

Lectures on Probabilistically Checkable Proofs

Lecturer: Ronitt Rubinfeld

Scribe: Yuchong Pan

The probabilistically checkable proof (PCP) model consists of a polynomial time verifier, which is given an input x , a random string $\$,$ and a proof π (i.e., a fixed function).

Definition 1. We say that a language $L \in \text{PCP}(r, q)$ if there exists a polynomial time verifier V such that

- (i) for all $x \in L$, there exists a proof π such that $\mathbb{P}_{\$}[V, \pi \text{ accepts}] = 1$;
- (ii) for all $x \notin L$, for any proof π' , $\mathbb{P}_{\$}[V, \pi' \text{ accepts}] < 1/4$.

Moreover, V uses at most $r(n)$ random bits and makes $q(n)$ queries to π (each using 1 bit).

It is easy to see that $\text{SAT} \in \text{PCP}(0, n)$. Recall that the 3SAT problem asks for the satisfiability of a Boolean function F of the form $F = \bigwedge_i C_i$, where each clause C_i is of the form $C_i = y_{i_1} \vee y_{i_2} \vee y_{i_3}$ and each literal $y_{i_j} \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$. We shall show the following theorem:

Theorem 2. $3\text{SAT} \in \text{PCP}(O(n^3), O(1))$.

Corollary 3. $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(O(n^3), O(1))$.

Indeed, the following theorem holds:

Theorem 4. $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(O(\log n), O(1))$.

The first attempt of proving Theorem 2 is defining the proof π to be the settings of an assignment a , e.g., $a_1 = \text{T}, a_2 = \text{F}, \dots$, and defining the verifier to pick a random clause C_i and check if a satisfies C_i . This is good because if a satisfies F , then $\mathbb{P}[V \text{ succeeds}] = 1$. However, this is bad because if a does not satisfy F , then there exists a clause i such that a does not satisfy C_i , so $\mathbb{P}_{\$}[V \text{ finds an unsatisfied } C_i] \geq 1/m$, where m is the number of clauses in F .

Recall Freivald's test:

Theorem 5 (Freivald's test). *If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ are such that $a \neq b$, then $\mathbb{P}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n}[a \cdot r \neq b \cdot r] \geq 1/2$. If A, B, C are $\{0, 1\}$ -valued $n \times n$ matrices such that $A \cdot B \neq C$, then $\mathbb{P}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n}[A \cdot B \cdot r \neq C \cdot r] \geq 1/2$. This also holds for equality modulo 2.*

Proof. See Homework 1 and the orthogonality of the Fourier basis. □

Proof of Theorem 2. We introduce an arithmetrization $A(F)$ of a Boolean formula F over \mathbb{Z}_2 in Table 1. Then a Boolean formula F is satisfied by an assignment a if and only if $A(F)(a) = 1$. For a Boolean formula F consisting of clauses with 3 literals, $\deg A(F) \leq 3$.

We arithmetrize the complement of each clause separately (using $\widehat{\cdot}$ for complements), i.e., let

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = (\widehat{C_1}(x), \widehat{C_2}(x), \dots).$$

Then $\widehat{C_i}(x) = 0$ if x satisfies C_i , so $\mathcal{C}(x) = (0, 0, \dots)$ if F is satisfied by x . Recall that each C_i is a polynomial of degree at most 3, and the verifier V knows the coefficients.

Boolean formula F	$A(F)$ over \mathbb{Z}_2
\mathbf{T}	1
\mathbf{F}	0
x_i	x_i
$\overline{x_i}$	$1 - x_i$
$\alpha \wedge \beta$	$\alpha \cdot \beta$
$\alpha \vee \beta = \overline{\alpha} \wedge \overline{\beta}$	$1 - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)$
$\alpha \vee \beta \vee \gamma$	$1 - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)(1 - \gamma)$

Table 1: An arithmetrization $A(F)$ of a Boolean formula F .

We apply Freivald's test to $\mathcal{C}(a)$. Fix an assignment a . For all $r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$,

$$\left(\widehat{C_1}(a), \dots, \widehat{C_m}(a)\right) \cdot (r_1, \dots, r_m) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m r_i \widehat{C_i}(a) \pmod{2},$$

so by Freivald's test,

$$\mathbb{P}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m r_i \widehat{C_i}(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \widehat{C_i}(a) = 0 \text{ (i.e., } F \text{ is satisfied by } a \text{) for all } i \in [m], \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

TODO.