6.842 Randomness and Computation

April 27, 2022

Homework 5

Yuchong Pan MIT ID: 911346847

1. Collaborators and sources: none.

Proof. Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$. Then

$$\begin{split} NS_{\varepsilon}(f) &= \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{P}} \left[f(x) \neq f\left(N_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) \right] = \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{P}} \left[f(x) f\left(N_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) = -1 \right] \\ &= \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} f(x) f\left(N_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) \right] = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \underset{N_{\varepsilon}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[f(x) f\left(N_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \underset{N_{\varepsilon}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_{S}(x) \right) \left(\sum_{T \subset [n]} \hat{f}(T) \chi_{T}\left(N_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \underset{N_{\varepsilon}}{\sum} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\chi_{S}(x) \chi_{T}\left(N_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) \right]. \end{split}$$

For all $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ and $i \in [n]$, we denote by x_i and $N_{\varepsilon}(x)_i$ the i^{th} coordinates of x and $N_{\varepsilon}(x)$, respectively. For all $S \subset [n]$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[\chi_S(x) \chi_S \left(N_{\varepsilon}(x) \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[\left(\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \left(\prod_{i \in S} N_{\varepsilon}(x)_i \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[\prod_{i \in S} x_i N_{\varepsilon}(x)_i \right] \\
= \prod_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[x_i N_{\varepsilon}(x)_i \right] = (\varepsilon \cdot (-1) + (1 - \varepsilon) \cdot 1)^{|S|} \\
= (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|}. \tag{1}$$

Note that (1) is due to the independence of each bit in $N_{\varepsilon}(x)$ and the fact that each bit of x uniformly chosen from $\{\pm 1\}^n$ is uniform in $\{\pm 1\}$. For all $S, T \subset [n]$ with $S \neq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[\chi_S(x) \chi_T \left(N_{\varepsilon}(x) \right) \right] \\
= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[\left(\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \left(\prod_{i \in T} N_{\varepsilon}(x)_i \right) \right] \\
= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[\left(\prod_{i \in S \cap T} x_i N_{\varepsilon}(x)_i \right) \left(\prod_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i \right) \left(\prod_{i \in T \setminus S} N_{\varepsilon}(x)_i \right) \right] \\
= \left(\prod_{i \in S \cap T} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[x_i N_{\varepsilon}(x)_i \right] \right) \left(\prod_{i \in S \setminus T} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[x_i \right] \right) \left(\prod_{i \in T \setminus S} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[N_{\varepsilon}(x)_i \right] \right). \tag{2}$$

Note that (2) is again due to the independence of each bit in $N_{\varepsilon}(x)$. For $S, T \subset [n]$ with $S \neq T$, either $S \setminus T \neq \emptyset$ or $T \setminus S \neq \emptyset$. Note that each bit of x uniformly chosen from $\{\pm 1\}^n$ is uniform in $\{\pm 1\}$. Therefore, if $S \setminus T \neq \emptyset$,

$$\prod_{i \in S \backslash T} \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n}{\mathbb{E}} \left[x_i \right] = \left(\underset{b \in \{\pm 1\}}{\mathbb{E}} [b] \right)^{|S \backslash T|} = 0^{|S \backslash T|} = 0.$$

Moreover, if $T \setminus S \neq \emptyset$

$$\prod_{i \in T \setminus S} \mathbb{E}_{N_{\varepsilon}}[N_{\varepsilon}(x)_{i}] = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon(-1) + (1-\varepsilon) \cdot 1) + \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon \cdot 1 + (1-\varepsilon)(-1))\right)^{|T \setminus S|} = 0^{|T \setminus S|} = 0.$$

Therefore, for all $S, T \subset [n]$ with $S \neq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\substack{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n \\ N_{\varepsilon}}} \left[\chi_S(x) \chi_T \left(N_{\varepsilon}(x) \right) \right] = 0.$$

It follows that

$$NS_{\varepsilon}(f) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S,T \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S)\hat{f}(T) \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\chi_S(x) \chi_T\left(N_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) \right] = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|}.$$

2. (a) Collaborators and sources: none.

Proof. Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ be monotone. Let $i \in [n]$. WLOG, assume i = 1. Then

$$\hat{f}(\{1\}) = \langle f, \chi_{\{1\}} \rangle
= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} f(x) \chi_{\{1\}}(x)
= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} f(x) x_1
= \frac{1}{2^n} \left(\sum_{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} f(x) \cdot 1 + \sum_{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} f(x) \cdot (-1) \right)
= \frac{1}{2^n} \left(\sum_{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}} f(1, x') - \sum_{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}} f(-1, x') \right)
= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}} \left(f(1, x') - f(-1, x') \right)
= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}} \left(f(1, x') - f(-1, x') \right) .$$

Since f is monotone, then $f(1,x') \ge f(-1,x')$ for all $x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}$. Hence, for all $x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}$, if $f(1,x') \ne f(-1,x')$, then f(1,x') = 1 and f(-1,x') = -1, so f(1,x') - f(-1,x') = 1 - (-1) = 2. Therefore,

$$\hat{f}(\{1\}) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\substack{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1} \\ f(1,x') \neq f(-1,x')}} 2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot 2 \left| \left\{ x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1} : f(1,x') \neq f(-1,x') \right\} \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left| \left\{ x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1} : f(1,x') \neq f(-1,x') \right\} \right|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} &Inf_{1}(f) = \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{P}} \left[f(x) \neq f\left(x^{\oplus 1}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}} \mathbb{1} \left[f(x) \neq f\left(x^{\oplus 1}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \cdot 2 \sum_{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}} \mathbb{1} \left[f\left(1, x'\right) \neq f\left(-1, x'\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left| \left\{ x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1} : f\left(1, x'\right) \neq f\left(-1, x'\right) \right\} \right| \\ &= \hat{f}(\{1\}). \end{split}$$

(b) Collaborators and sources: none.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd. Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ be the majority function, i.e., $f(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$ for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$. First, we show that f is monotone. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ be such that $x_i \leq y_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$, so $f(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \leq \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) = f(y)$. This proves that f is monotone.

Second, let $g: \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ be monotone. Then

$$Inf(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Inf_{i}(g)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{g}(\{i\}) \qquad \text{(part (a))}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle g, \chi_{\{i\}} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}} g(x) \chi_{\{i\}}(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{x = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}} g(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{x = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}} g(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{x = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}} |g(x)| \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \right| \qquad \text{(triangle inequality)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{x = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \right| \qquad \text{(since } g(x) \in \{\pm 1\} \text{ for all } x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n})$$

Third, since f is monotone,

$$Inf(f) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in\{\pm 1\}^n} f(x) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Since n is odd, then $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \neq 0$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i < 0$, then $f(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) < 0$, so

$$Inf(f) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} |f(x)| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right| = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right|, \quad (3)$$

since $f(x) \in \{\pm 1\}$ for all $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$. Otherwise, $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i > 0$, so $f(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) > 0$, implying that (3) holds. Hence, (3) holds in both cases. It follows that $Inf(g) \leq Inf(f)$ for any monotone $g : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$, completing the proof.

3. (a) Collaborators and sources: none.

Proof. Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We show that the statement holds with C = 1. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\sum_{S \subset [n], |S| \geq Inf(f)/\varepsilon} \hat{f}(S)^2 > C\varepsilon = \varepsilon$. For each $i \in [n]$, let $g_i: \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{0, \pm 1\}$ be defined by

$$g_i(x) = \frac{f(x) - f\left(x^{\oplus i}\right)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) - \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S\left(x^{\oplus i}\right) \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \left(\chi_S(x) - \chi_S\left(x^{\oplus i}\right) \right).$$

Then $g_i(x)^2 = \mathbb{1}[f(x) \neq f(x^{\oplus i})]$ for all $i \in [n]$ and $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$. Fix $i \in [n]$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ and $S \subset [n]$. If $i \in S$, then

$$\chi_{S}(x) - \chi_{S}(x^{\oplus i}) = \prod_{j \in S} x_{j} - (-x_{i}) \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} x_{j} = x_{i} \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} x_{j} - (-x_{i}) \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} x_{j}$$
$$= (x_{i} - (-x_{i})) \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} x_{j} = 2x_{i} \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} x_{j} = 2 \prod_{j \in S} x_{j} = 2\chi_{S}(x).$$

If $i \notin S$, then

$$\chi_S(x) - \chi_S(x^{\oplus i}) = \prod_{j \in S} x_j - \prod_{j \in S} x_j = 0.$$

Hence, for all $i \in [n]$ and $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$,

$$g_i(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S) \cdot 2\chi_S(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S)\chi_S(x) = \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S)\chi_S(x).$$

For all $i \in [n]$, by the orthonormality of the Fourier basis $\{\chi_S : S \subset [n]\}$,

$$\begin{split} & Inf_{i}(f) = \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{P}} \left[f(x) \neq f\left(x^{\oplus i}\right) \right] = \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\mathbb{1} \left[f(x) \neq f\left(x^{\oplus i}\right) \right] \right] = \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[g_{i}(x)^{2} \right] \\ & = \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S) \chi_{S}(x) \right)^{2} \right] = \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \sum_{\substack{T \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \chi_{S}(x) \chi_{T}(x) \right] \\ & = \underset{x \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S)^{2} \right] = \underset{i \in S}{\sum_{C \in [n]}} \hat{f}(S)^{2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} &Inf(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Inf_i(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S)^2 = \sum_{S \subset [n]} \sum_{i \in S} \hat{f}(S)^2 = \sum_{S \subset [n]} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \\ & \geq \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ |S| \geq \frac{Inf(f)}{\varepsilon}}} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \geq \frac{Inf(f)}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ |S| \geq \frac{Inf(f)}{\varepsilon}}} \hat{f}(S)^2 > \frac{Inf(f)}{\varepsilon} \cdot \varepsilon = Inf(f), \end{split}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.

(b) Collaborators and sources: Guanghao Ye.

Proof. Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ be monotone. Then

$$Inf(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Inf_i(f)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{f}(\{i\}) \qquad \text{(Problem 2 part (a))}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{f}(\{i\})^2} \qquad \text{(Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{n \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{n \cdot 1} \qquad \text{(Boolean Parseval's identity)}$$

$$= \sqrt{n}.$$

By part (a), there exists an absolute constant C such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ |S| \ge \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon}}} \hat{f}(S)^2 \le \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ |S| \ge \frac{Inf(f)}{\varepsilon}}} \hat{f}(S)^2 \le C\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, any monotone Boolean function $\{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ has Fourier concentration $\alpha(\varepsilon,n) = C\sqrt{n}/\varepsilon$. The low degree algorithm induces a uniform distribution learning algorithm \mathcal{A} for the class of monotone Boolean functions $\{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ to accuracy ε with sample complexity

$$O\left(\frac{n^{\alpha\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2},n\right)}}{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\log\frac{n^{\alpha\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2},n\right)}}{\delta}\right) = O\left(\frac{n^{\alpha\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2},n\right)}}{\varepsilon} \cdot \alpha\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2},n\right)\log n\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{n^{\frac{C\sqrt{n}}{2}}}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{C\sqrt{n}}{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\log n\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{n^{\frac{C\sqrt{n}}{2}}}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{C\sqrt{n}}{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\log n\right).$$

Since $1/\varepsilon^2 \leq (n^{2C\sqrt{n}})^{1/\varepsilon}$ for sufficiently large n and sufficiently small ε , then the sample complexity of \mathcal{A} is

$$O\left(n^{\frac{C\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2}}\log n\right) \leq n^{\Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon}\right)} = \left(2^{\log_2 n}\right)^{\Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon}\right)} = 2^{(\log_2 n)\Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon}\right)} = 2^{\Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon}\log n\right)} = 2^{\widetilde{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varepsilon}\right)}.$$

4. (a) Collaborators and sources: none.

Proof. Let $X = (X_1, ..., X_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ be an (ε, k) -wise independent random vector for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $k \in [n]$. Let $S \subset [n]$ be such that $0 < |S| \le k$. By straightforward calculations (see, e.g., the proof of Problem 1 part (a) in Homework 2), for all $\ell \in [n]$,

$$\underset{(W_1,\dots,W_\ell)\sim \mathsf{Unif}\{\pm 1\}^\ell}{\mathbb{P}} \left[\prod_{i=1}^\ell W_\ell = 1\right] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since X is (ε, k) -wise independent and since $0 < |S| \le k$,

$$\left| \underset{X}{\mathbb{P}} \left[\prod_{i \in S} X_i = 1 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \right| = \left| \underset{X}{\mathbb{P}} \left[\prod_{i \in S} X_i = 1 \right] - \underset{(W_1, \dots, W_\ell) \sim \mathsf{Unif}\{\pm 1\}^\ell}{\mathbb{P}} \left[\prod_{i=1}^\ell W_\ell = 1 \right] \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

WLOG, assume that

$$\mathbb{P}_{X}\left[\prod_{i\in S}X_{i}=1\right]=\frac{1+\varepsilon_{0}}{2},$$

for some $\varepsilon_0 \in [0, 2\varepsilon]$ (the case $\mathbb{P}_X[\prod_{i \in S} X_i = 1] = (1 - \varepsilon_0)/2$ for some $\varepsilon_0 \in [0, 2\varepsilon]$ is symmetric). Let $\lambda = 1/(1 + \varepsilon_0) \in (0, 1]$. Let $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ be a random vector defined as follows:

- (i) With probability λ , let Y = X.
- (ii) With probability 1λ , let Y be uniform over

$$W := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n : \prod_{i \in S} x_i = -1 \right\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{Y}\left[\prod_{i \in S} Y_{i} = 1\right] = \lambda \mathbb{P}_{X}\left[\prod_{i \in S} X_{i} = 1\right] + (1 - \lambda) \cdot 0 = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon_{0}} \cdot \frac{1 + \varepsilon_{0}}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

For all $\mathcal{T} \subset \{\pm 1\}^n$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X \in \mathcal{T}] - \mathbb{P}[Y \in \mathcal{T}] = \mathbb{P}[X \in \mathcal{T}] - \left(\lambda \mathbb{P}[X \in \mathcal{T}] + (1 - \lambda) \mathbb{P}_{W \sim \mathsf{Unif} \mathcal{W}}[W \in \mathcal{T}]\right) \\
= (1 - \lambda) \left(\mathbb{P}[X \in \mathcal{T}] - \mathbb{P}_{W \sim \mathsf{Unif} \mathcal{W}}[W \in \mathcal{T}]\right) \\
\leq (1 - \lambda)(1 - 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon_0} = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{1 + \varepsilon_0} \\
\leq \varepsilon_0 \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta(X,Y) = \max_{\mathcal{T} \subset \{\pm 1\}^n} \left(\underset{X}{\mathbb{P}}[X \in \mathcal{T}] - \underset{Y}{\mathbb{P}}[Y \in \mathcal{T}] \right) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

(b) Collaborator	rs and sources: none.	
Proof.		