6.842 Randomness and Computation

March 7, 2022

Homework 2

Yuchong Pan MIT ID: 911346847

1. (a) Collaborators and sources: none.

Proof. Recall that the $n=2^{\ell}-1$ pairwise independent random bits are generated by $C_S=\prod_{i\in S}b_i$ for all $S\subset [\ell]$ with $S\neq\emptyset$, from ℓ truly random bits $b_1,\ldots,b_\ell\in\{-1,1\}$. First, we show that $\mathbb{P}[C_S=1]=\mathbb{P}[C_S=-1]=1/2$ for all $S\subset [\ell]$ with $S\neq\emptyset$. Let $b\in\{-1,1\}$. Let $S\subset [\ell]$ be such that $S\neq\emptyset$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[C_{S}=1\right] = \frac{1}{2^{|S|}} \sum_{i=1}^{\left\lceil \frac{|S|}{2} \right\rceil} \binom{|S|}{2i-1} \\
= \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2^{|S|}} \sum_{i=1}^{|S|/2} \binom{|S|-1}{2i-2} + \binom{|S|-1}{2i-1}, & \text{if } |S| \text{ is even,} \\
\frac{1}{2^{|S|}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{(|S|-1)/2} \binom{|S|-1}{2i-2} + \binom{|S|-1}{2i-1} \right) + \binom{|S|}{|S|}, & \text{if } |S| \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \\
= \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2^{|S|}} \sum_{i=0}^{|S|-1} \binom{|S|-1}{i}, & \text{if } |S| \text{ is even,} \\
\frac{1}{2^{|S|}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{|S|-2} \binom{|S|-1}{i} + \binom{|S|-1}{|S|-1} \right), & \text{if } |S| \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \\
= \frac{1}{2^{|S|}} \sum_{i=0}^{|S|-1} \binom{|S|-1}{i} = \frac{2^{|S|-1}}{2^{|S|}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence, $\mathbb{P}[C_S = -1] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[C_S = 1] = 1 - 1/2 = 1/2$.

Now, let $S, S' \subset [\ell]$ be such that $S \neq S', S \neq \emptyset$ and $S' \neq \emptyset$. Let $b, b' \in \{-1, 1\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[C_{S} = b, C_{S'} = b'\right] = \sum_{\beta \in \{-1,1\}} \mathbb{P}\left[C_{S \cap S'} = \beta\right] \mathbb{P}\left[C_{S} = b, C_{S'} = b' \mid C_{S \cap S'} = \beta\right]
= \sum_{\beta \in \{-1,1\}} \mathbb{P}\left[C_{S \cap S'} = \beta\right] \mathbb{P}\left[C_{S \setminus S'} = b\beta, C_{S' \setminus S} = b'\beta\right]
= \sum_{\beta \in \{-1,1\}} \mathbb{P}\left[C_{S \cap S'} = \beta\right] \mathbb{P}\left[C_{S \setminus S'} = b\beta\right] \mathbb{P}\left[C_{S' \setminus S} = b'\beta\right]
= \sum_{\beta \in \{-1,1\}} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \mathbb{P}\left[C_{S} = b\right] \mathbb{P}\left[C_{S} = b'\right].$$

Note that (1) follows from the fact that $S \setminus S'$ and $S' \setminus S$ are disjoint and thus that $C_{S \setminus S'}$ and $C_{S' \setminus S}$ are independent. This completes the proof that the $n = 2^{\ell} - 1$ random bits C_S for $S \subset [\ell]$ with $S \neq \emptyset$ are pairwise independent.

(b) Collaborators and sources: Guanghao Ye.

We show that

- (i) a necessary condition of S being a pairwise independent space is that the columns of S are pairwise orthogonal;
- (ii) a pairwise independent space S contains at least n vectors.

Proof. WLOG, assume that $n \ge 2$ and that $s \ge 1$. For each $i \in [s], j \in [n]$, we denote by $s_{i,j}$ the (i,j)-entry of S. For each $j \in [n]$, we denote by s_j the jth column of S.

(i) Suppose that S is a pairwise independent space. Let $j, j' \in [n]$ be such that $j \neq j'$. Then for all $b, b' \in \{-1, 1\}$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{i \in [s]} \left[s_{i,j} = b, s_{i,j'} = b' \right] = \mathbb{P}_{i \in [s]} \left[\mathbf{x}_j^{(i)} = b, \mathbf{x}_{j'}^{(i)} = b' \right] = \frac{1}{4},$$

and hence,

$$\left|\left\{i \in [s] : s_{i,j} = b, s_{i,j'} = b'\right\}\right| = \frac{s}{4}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{s}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{j'} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} s_{i,j} s_{i,j'} = \left| \left\{ i \in [s] : s_{i,j} = s_{i,j'} \right\} \right| - \left| \left\{ i \in [s] : s_{i,j} \neq s_{i,j'} \right\} \right|$$

$$= \left(\left| \left\{ i \in [s] : s_{i,j} = s_{i,j'} = 1 \right\} \right| + \left| \left\{ i \in [s] : s_{i,j} = s_{i,j'} = -1 \right\} \right| \right) - \left(\left| \left\{ i \in [s] : s_{i,j} = 1, s_{i,j'} = -1 \right\} \right| + \left| \left\{ i \in [s] : s_{i,j} = -1, s_{i,j'} = 1 \right\} \right| \right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{s}{4} + \frac{s}{4} \right) - \left(\frac{s}{4} + \frac{s}{4} \right) = 0.$$

(ii) We show that $\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ are linearly independent. Suppose that S is a pairwise independent space. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ that are not all zeros such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \mathbf{s}_j = \mathbf{0}.$$

Let $j' \in [n]$. Since $|\{i \in [s] : s_{i,j} = 1, s_{i,j'} = 1\}| = s/4 > 0$ for all $j \in [n] \setminus \{j'\}$, then $\mathbf{s}_{j'} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and hence $\|\mathbf{s}_{j'}\|^2 > 0$. Therefore,

$$0 = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{j'} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \mathbf{s}_j\right) \cdot \mathbf{s}_{j'} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \left(\mathbf{s}_j \cdot \mathbf{s}_{j'}\right) = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq j'}}^{n} \alpha_j \left(\mathbf{s}_j \cdot \mathbf{s}_{j'}\right) + \alpha_{j'} \left(\mathbf{s}_{j'} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{j'}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq j'}}^{n} \alpha_{j} \cdot 0 + \alpha_{j'} \|\mathbf{s}_{j'}\|^{2} = \alpha_{j'} \|\mathbf{s}_{j'}\|^{2}.$$

This implies that $\alpha_{j'} = 0/\|\mathbf{s}_{j'}\|^2 = 0$ for all $j' \in [n]$, a contradiction. Hence, $\mathbf{s}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{s}_n$ are linearly independent. It follows that

$$s \ge \operatorname{rank} S = n$$
.

This completes the proof.

(c) Collaborators and sources: none.

Proof. Note that any algorithm which generates n pairwise independent random bits samples a vector \mathbf{x} from a pairwise independent space $S = {\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(s)}}$ on n variables. By part (b), any pairwise independent space S on n variables has size $|S| \geq n$. Therefore, any algorithm that generates n pairwise independent random bits requires at least $\log n$ truly random bits to sample a vector from a space of size n. This implies that the construction is optimal, completing the proof.

2. (a) Collaborators and sources: Guanghao Ye.

Proof. Let $x \in [n]$. Since w_x is chosen from S uniformly at random, then for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[w_x = s\right] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0, & \text{if } s \notin S, \\ \frac{1}{|S|}, & \text{if } s \in S, \end{array} \right\} \le \frac{1}{|S|}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}[\alpha(x) = w_x] = \mathbb{P}\left[w_x = \min_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ x \notin M_i}} w\left(M_i\right) - \min_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ x \in M_i}} w\left(M_i \setminus \{x\}\right)\right] \le \frac{1}{|S|}.$$

By the union bound,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists x \in [n] \text{ such that } \alpha(x) = w_x\right] \leq \sum_{x=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left[\alpha(x) = w_x\right] \leq n \cdot \frac{1}{|S|} = \frac{n}{|S|}.$$

This completes the proof.

(b) Collaborators and sources: Guanghao Ye.

Proof. Suppose that there exist two distinct M_j and M_ℓ with $j, \ell \in [k]$ that have the same minimum weight (compared to all other $w(M_i)$ with $i \in [k]$). Then there exists $x \in M_j \triangle M_\ell$. WLOG, suppose that $x \notin M_j$ and $x \in M_\ell$. Since M_j and M_ℓ have the same minimum weight, then

$$w(M_j) = w(M_\ell),$$

$$\min_{i \in [k], x \notin M_i} w(M_i) = w(M_j),$$

$$\min_{i \in [k], x \in M_i} w(M_i) = w(M_\ell).$$

Hence,

$$\alpha(x) = \min_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ x \notin M_i}} w(M_i) - \min_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ x \in M_i}} w(M_i \setminus \{x\}) = \min_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ x \notin M_i}} w(M_i) - \min_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ x \notin M_i}} (w(M_i) - w_x)$$

$$= \min_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ x \notin M_i}} w(M_i) - \min_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ x \in M_i}} w(M_i) + w_x = w(M_j) - w(M_\ell) + w_x = w_x.$$

This implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists \text{a unique } w(M_i) \text{ with } i \in [k] \text{ of minimum weight}\right]$$

$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}\left[\exists \text{distinct } M_j, M_\ell \text{ with } j, \ell \in [k] \text{ that have the same minimum weight}\right]$$

$$\geq 1 - \mathbb{P}\left[\exists x \in [n] \text{ such that } \alpha(x) = w_x\right]$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{n}{|S|}.$$
(2)

Note that (2) follows from part (a). This completes the proof.

3. (a) Collaborators and sources: Guanghao Ye.

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be the sequential algorithm given in Algorithm 1 for finding a perfect matching, given a black box algorithm \mathcal{A} that checks whether a given graph contains a perfect matching or not. In other words, \mathcal{B} removes each edge from the graph, checks whether the resulting graph has a perfect matching, and if so, adds this edge to the perfect matching.

```
1 if \mathcal{A}(G)=0 then
2 return "G does not have a perfect matching"
3 M=\emptyset
4 G'\leftarrow G
5 foreach e=\{u,v\}\in E do
6 G'\leftarrow (V(G')\setminus\{u,v\},E(G')\setminus\{e\})
7 if \mathcal{A}(G')=1 then
8 M\leftarrow M\cup\{e\}
9 return M
```

Algorithm 1: A sequential algorithm for finding a perfect matching in a graph G = (V, E), given a black box algorithm \mathcal{A} that checks whether a given graph contains a perfect matching.

Since \mathcal{B} makes m+1 calls to \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{B} runs in time $O((m+1) \cdot T_{\mathcal{A}}^{seq}(G)) = O(m \cdot T_{\mathcal{A}}^{seq}(G))$. We show that \mathcal{B} is correct. If G does not contain a perfect matching, then \mathcal{B} correctly reports so. Suppose that G contains a perfect matching. If an edge $e \in E$ is in a perfect matching of G, then the graph G' obtained by removing e from G also contains a perfect matching M', and $M' \cup \{e\}$ is a perfect matching of G; otherwise, any perfect matching of G is still contained in G'. This justifies the correctness of \mathcal{B} , completing the proof. \square