

Homework 4

Yuchong Pan

MIT ID: 911346847

1. *Collaborators and sources:* Guanghao Ye, Zixuan Xu.

Proof.

□

2. (a) *Collaborators and sources*: none.

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{1}_{\text{test accepts}} = (1 + f(x)f(y)f(z))/2$. By the Fourier transform of f and by linearity of expectation,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[f(x)f(y)f(z)] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) \right) \left(\sum_{T \subset [n]} \hat{f}(T) \chi_T(y) \right) \left(\sum_{U \subset [n]} \hat{f}(U) \chi_U(z) \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{S, T, U \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \mathbb{E} [\chi_S(x) \chi_T(y) \chi_U(x \circ y \circ w)].\end{aligned}$$

Let $S, T, U \subset [n]$. For all $i \in [n]$, since $x_i, y_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, then $x_i^2 = y_i^2 = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_S(x) \chi_T(y) \chi_U(x \circ y \circ w) &= \left(\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \left(\prod_{i \in T} y_i \right) \left(\prod_{i \in U} x_i y_i w_i \right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i \in S \cap U} x_i^2 \right) \left(\prod_{i \in T \cap U} y_i^2 \right) \left(\prod_{i \in S \Delta U} x_i \right) \left(\prod_{i \in T \Delta U} y_i \right) \left(\prod_{i \in U} w_i \right) \\ &= \chi_{S \Delta U}(x) \chi_{T \Delta U}(y) \chi_U(w).\end{aligned}$$

If $S = T = U$, since w_1, \dots, w_n are all chosen independently and since $\mathbb{E}[w_i] = (-1) \cdot \delta + 1 \cdot (1 - \delta) = 1 - 2\delta$ for all $i \in [m]$, then

$$\mathbb{E} [\chi_{S \Delta U}(x) \chi_{T \Delta U}(y) \chi_U(w)] = \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i \in S} w_i \right] = \prod_{i \in S} \mathbb{E} [w_i] = (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|}.$$

Now, suppose that either $S \neq U$ or $T \neq U$. WLOG assume that $S \neq U$. Then $S \Delta U \neq \emptyset$. Let $j \in S \Delta U$. For $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$, let $x^{\oplus j}$ be the vector obtained by flipping the j^{th} bit in x . Then we can partition $\{\pm 1\}^n$ into (unordered) pairs $(x, x^{\oplus j})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [\chi_{S \Delta U}(x)] &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \chi_{S \Delta U}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\text{pairs } (x, x^{\oplus j})} (\chi_{S \Delta U}(x) + \chi_{S \Delta U}(x^{\oplus j})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\text{pairs } (x, x^{\oplus j})} \left(x_j \prod_{i \in (S \Delta U) \setminus \{j\}} x_i + (-x_j) \prod_{i \in (S \Delta U) \setminus \{j\}} x_i \right) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since x, y and w are chosen independently, then for all $S, T, U \subset [n]$ such that either $S \neq U$ or $T \neq U$,

$$\mathbb{E} [\chi_{S \Delta U}(x) \chi_{T \Delta U}(y) \chi_U(w)] = \mathbb{E} [\chi_{S \Delta U}(x)] \mathbb{E} [\chi_{T \Delta U}(y)] \mathbb{E} [\chi_U(w)] = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\text{test accepts}] &= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{1}_{\text{test accepts}}] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1 + f(x)f(y)f(z)}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[f(x)f(y)f(z)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S, T, U \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \mathbb{E} [\chi_{S \Delta U}(x) \chi_{T \Delta U}(y) \chi_U(w)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

(b) *Collaborators and sources:* none.

Proof. Let $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be a dictator function. Then $f = \chi_{\{j\}}$ for some $j \in [n]$. Therefore, $\hat{f}(\{j\}) = 1$ and $\hat{f}(S) = 0$ for all $S \subset [n]$ with $S \neq \{j\}$. By part (a),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[\text{test accepts}] &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left((1 - 2\delta)^{|\{j\}|} \hat{f}(\{j\})^3 + \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ S \neq \{j\}}} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left((1 - 2\delta)^1 \cdot 1^3 + \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ S \neq \{j\}}} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \cdot 0^3 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2\delta) = 1 - \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

(c) *Collaborators and sources:* none.

Proof. Let $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be such that f passes with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$. By part (a),

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \mathbb{P}[\text{test accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3.$$

Rearranging the above inequality and applying Parseval's identity yield

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - 2\varepsilon &\leq \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3 \leq \left(\max_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \right) \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 \\ &= \left(\max_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \right) \cdot 1 = \max_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists $S \subset [n]$ such that $(1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$. Set $\delta = \varepsilon$ in the test. Then $(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, then $1 - 2\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, so $(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|} \in (0, 1]$. Therefore,

$$\hat{f}(S) \geq \frac{1 - 2\varepsilon}{(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|}} \geq \frac{1 - 2\varepsilon}{1} = 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. □

(d) *Collaborators and sources*: none.

By part (c), if f passes with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, then there exists $S \subset [n]$ such that $(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$ by setting $\delta = \varepsilon$ in the test. Since $\text{dist}(f, \chi_S) \in [0, 1]$, then $\hat{f}(S) = 1 - 2\text{dist}(f, \chi_S) \in [-1, 1]$. Since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, then $1 - 2\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If $|S| \geq 2$, then $0 < (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|} < 1 - 2\varepsilon$, so $(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) < 1 - 2\varepsilon$, a contradiction. Therefore, one of the following two cases holds:

- (i) $|S| = 1$ and $\hat{f}(S) = 1$ (so $\text{dist}(f, \chi_S) = 0$, and $f = \chi_S$ is a dictator function);
- (ii) $|S| = 0$ and $\hat{f}(S) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$ (so $\text{dist}(f, \chi_\emptyset) \leq \varepsilon$).

Hence, if f is ε -close to $\chi_\emptyset \equiv 1$ (a non-dictator function), then f also passes with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$.

Note that for any dictator function, say $\chi_{\{j\}}$ for some $j \in [n]$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [\chi_{\{j\}}(x) = 0] = \mathbb{P}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [x_j = 0] = \frac{|\{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n : x_j = 0\}|}{2^n} = \frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

In other words, any dictator function equals 0 for half of the inputs, and 1 for the other half. We give a simple fix to the test by applying the following new test before the original test. For any sufficiently small $\eta > 0$, we independently and uniformly sample $\Theta(\log(1/\eta))$ random inputs from $\{\pm 1\}^n$, and reject if and only if more than $3/4$ of the values are 1. If f is ε -close to $\chi_\emptyset \equiv 1$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/8)$, then by the Chernoff bound,

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{new test rejects } f] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[\leq 3/4 \text{ of the values are 1}] \geq 1 - e^{-\Theta(\log(1/\eta))} = 1 - \Theta(\eta).$$

On the other hand, if f is a dictator function, then by the Chernoff bound,

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{new test accepts } f] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[> 3/4 \text{ of the values are 1}] \geq 1 - e^{-\Theta(\log(1/\eta))} = 1 - \Theta(\eta).$$

Hence, if f passes the combination of the new test and the original test with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$ and with $\delta = \varepsilon$ in the original test for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, then f is a dictator function with probability at least $1 - \Theta(\eta)$; on the other hand, if f is a dictator function, then the union bound implies that f passes the combined test with probability at least $1 - \Theta(\eta) - \delta$. This shows that the combined test is a dictator test.