

## Homework 4

Yuchong Pan

MIT ID: 911346847

1. *Collaborators and sources:* Guanghao Ye, Zixuan Xu.

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be a subset of the left vertices such that  $|L| \leq n/2$ . If  $L = \emptyset$ , then the result trivially holds. Hence, assume that  $|L| \geq 1$ . Let  $R_0$  be the set of the right vertices. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[|N(L)| < (1 + \varepsilon)|L|] &\leq \mathbb{P}[\exists R \subset R_0, |R| = \lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor, N(L) \subset R] \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{R \subset R_0 \\ |R| = \lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor}} \mathbb{P}[N(L) \subset R] && \text{(union bound)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{R \subset R_0 \\ |R| = \lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor}} \left( \frac{|R|}{n} \cdot \frac{|R| - 1}{n - 1} \cdots \frac{|R| - |L| + 1}{n - |L| + 1} \right)^3 \\
&\leq \binom{n}{\lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor} \left( \frac{\lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor}{n} \right)^{3|L|} && \text{(for } \varepsilon \leq 1) \\
&\leq \left( \frac{en}{\lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor} \right)^{\lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor} \left( \frac{\lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor}{n} \right)^{3|L|} && \text{(Stirling's approximation)} \\
&\leq \left( \frac{en}{\lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor} \right)^{(1 + \varepsilon)|L|} \left( \frac{\lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor}{n} \right)^{3|L|} && \text{(for } \varepsilon \leq 2e - 1) \\
&= \left( e^{1 + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{\lfloor (1 + \varepsilon)|L| \rfloor}{n} \right)^{2 - \varepsilon} \right)^{|L|} \\
&\leq \left( e^{1 + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)|L|}{n} \right)^{2 - \varepsilon} \right)^{|L|} \\
&\leq \left( e^{1 + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{2} \right)^{2 - \varepsilon} \right)^{|L|} && \text{(since } |L| \leq n/2)
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon = 1/2$ . Then  $0 < e^{1 + \varepsilon}((1 + \varepsilon)/2)^{2 - \varepsilon} < 1/2$ . Since  $|L| \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[|N(L)| \geq (1 + \varepsilon)|L|] &\geq 1 - \mathbb{P}[|N(L)| < (1 + \varepsilon)|L|] \\
&\geq 1 - \left( e^{1 + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{2} \right)^{2 - \varepsilon} \right)^{|L|} \\
&\geq 1 - e^{1 + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{2} \right)^{2 - \varepsilon} \\
&> 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

2. (a) *Collaborators and sources*: none.

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathbb{1}_{\text{test accepts}} = (1 + f(x)f(y)f(z))/2$ . By the Fourier transform of  $f$  and by linearity of expectation,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[f(x)f(y)f(z)] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) \right) \left( \sum_{T \subset [n]} \hat{f}(T) \chi_T(y) \right) \left( \sum_{U \subset [n]} \hat{f}(U) \chi_U(z) \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{S, T, U \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \mathbb{E} [\chi_S(x) \chi_T(y) \chi_U(x \circ y \circ w)].\end{aligned}$$

Let  $S, T, U \subset [n]$ . For all  $i \in [n]$ , since  $x_i, y_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ , then  $x_i^2 = y_i^2 = 1$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_S(x) \chi_T(y) \chi_U(x \circ y \circ w) &= \left( \prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in T} y_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in U} x_i y_i w_i \right) \\ &= \left( \prod_{i \in S \cap U} x_i^2 \right) \left( \prod_{i \in T \cap U} y_i^2 \right) \left( \prod_{i \in S \Delta U} x_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in T \Delta U} y_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in U} w_i \right) \\ &= \chi_{S \Delta U}(x) \chi_{T \Delta U}(y) \chi_U(w).\end{aligned}$$

If  $S = T = U$ , since  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  are all chosen independently and since  $\mathbb{E}[w_i] = (-1) \cdot \delta + 1 \cdot (1 - \delta) = 1 - 2\delta$  for all  $i \in [m]$ , then

$$\mathbb{E} [\chi_{S \Delta U}(x) \chi_{T \Delta U}(y) \chi_U(w)] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i \in S} w_i \right] = \prod_{i \in S} \mathbb{E} [w_i] = (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|}.$$

Now, suppose that either  $S \neq U$  or  $T \neq U$ . WLOG assume that  $S \neq U$ . Then  $S \Delta U \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $j \in S \Delta U$ . For  $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ , let  $x^{\oplus j}$  be the vector obtained by flipping the  $j^{\text{th}}$  bit in  $x$ . Then we can partition  $\{\pm 1\}^n$  into (unordered) pairs  $(x, x^{\oplus j})$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [\chi_{S \Delta U}(x)] &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \chi_{S \Delta U}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\text{pairs } (x, x^{\oplus j})} (\chi_{S \Delta U}(x) + \chi_{S \Delta U}(x^{\oplus j})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\text{pairs } (x, x^{\oplus j})} \left( x_j \prod_{i \in (S \Delta U) \setminus \{j\}} x_i + (-x_j) \prod_{i \in (S \Delta U) \setminus \{j\}} x_i \right) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $x, y$  and  $w$  are chosen independently, then for all  $S, T, U \subset [n]$  such that either  $S \neq U$  or  $T \neq U$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} [\chi_{S \Delta U}(x) \chi_{T \Delta U}(y) \chi_U(w)] = \mathbb{E} [\chi_{S \Delta U}(x)] \mathbb{E} [\chi_{T \Delta U}(y)] \mathbb{E} [\chi_U(w)] = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\text{test accepts}] &= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{1}_{\text{test accepts}}] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1 + f(x)f(y)f(z)}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[f(x)f(y)f(z)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S, T, U \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \hat{f}(U) \mathbb{E} [\chi_{S \Delta U}(x) \chi_{T \Delta U}(y) \chi_U(w)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

(b) *Collaborators and sources:* none.

*Proof.* Let  $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  be a dictator function. Then  $f = \chi_{\{j\}}$  for some  $j \in [n]$ . Therefore,  $\hat{f}(\{j\}) = 1$  and  $\hat{f}(S) = 0$  for all  $S \subset [n]$  with  $S \neq \{j\}$ . By part (a),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[\text{test accepts}] &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( (1 - 2\delta)^{|\{j\}|} \hat{f}(\{j\})^3 + \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ S \neq \{j\}}} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( (1 - 2\delta)^1 \cdot 1^3 + \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ S \neq \{j\}}} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \cdot 0^3 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2\delta) = 1 - \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

(c) *Collaborators and sources:* none.

*Proof.* Let  $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  be such that  $f$  passes with probability at least  $1 - \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ . By part (a),

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \mathbb{P}[\text{test accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3.$$

Rearranging the above inequality and applying Parseval's identity yield

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - 2\varepsilon &\leq \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3 \leq \left( \max_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \right) \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 \\ &= \left( \max_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \right) \cdot 1 = \max_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists  $S \subset [n]$  such that  $(1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$ . Set  $\delta = \varepsilon$  in the test. Then  $(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , then  $1 - 2\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , so  $(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|} \in (0, 1]$ . Therefore,

$$\hat{f}(S) \geq \frac{1 - 2\varepsilon}{(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|}} \geq \frac{1 - 2\varepsilon}{1} = 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. □

(d) *Collaborators and sources*: none.

By part (c), if  $f$  passes with probability at least  $1 - \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , then there exists  $S \subset [n]$  such that  $(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$  by setting  $\delta = \varepsilon$  in the test. Since  $\text{dist}(f, \chi_S) \in [0, 1]$ , then  $\hat{f}(S) = 1 - 2\text{dist}(f, \chi_S) \in [-1, 1]$ . Since  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , then  $1 - 2\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . If  $|S| \geq 2$ , then  $0 < (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|} < 1 - 2\varepsilon$ , so  $(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) < 1 - 2\varepsilon$ , a contradiction. Therefore, one of the following two cases holds:

- (i)  $|S| = 1$  and  $\hat{f}(S) = 1$  (so  $\text{dist}(f, \chi_S) = 0$ , and  $f = \chi_S$  is a dictator function);
- (ii)  $|S| = 0$  and  $\hat{f}(S) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$  (so  $\text{dist}(f, \chi_\emptyset) \leq \varepsilon$ ).

Hence, if  $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $\chi_\emptyset \equiv 1$  (a non-dictator function), then  $f$  also passes with probability at least  $1 - \varepsilon$ .

Note that for any dictator function, say  $\chi_{\{j\}}$  for some  $j \in [n]$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [\chi_{\{j\}}(x) = 0] = \mathbb{P}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [x_j = 0] = \frac{|\{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n : x_j = 0\}|}{2^n} = \frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

In other words, any dictator function equals 0 for half of the inputs, and 1 for the other half. We give a simple fix to the test by applying the following new test before the original test. For any sufficiently small  $\eta > 0$ , we independently and uniformly sample  $\Theta(\log(1/\eta))$  random inputs from  $\{\pm 1\}^n$ , and reject if and only if more than  $3/4$  of the values are 1. If  $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $\chi_\emptyset \equiv 1$  for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/8)$ , then by the Chernoff bound,

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{new test rejects } f] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[\leq 3/4 \text{ of the values are 1}] \geq 1 - e^{-\Theta(\log(1/\eta))} = 1 - \Theta(\eta).$$

On the other hand, if  $f$  is a dictator function, then by the Chernoff bound,

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{new test accepts } f] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[\geq 3/4 \text{ of the values are 1}] \geq 1 - e^{-\Theta(\log(1/\eta))} = 1 - \Theta(\eta).$$

Hence, if  $f$  passes the combination of the new test and the original test with probability at least  $1 - \varepsilon$  and with  $\delta = \varepsilon$  in the original test for some sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $f$  is a dictator function with probability at least  $1 - \Theta(\eta)$ ; on the other hand, if  $f$  is a dictator function, then the union bound implies that  $f$  passes the combined test with probability at least  $1 - \Theta(\eta) - \delta$ . This shows that the combined test is a dictator test.