

## Homework 5

Yuchong Pan

MIT ID: 911346847

1. *Collaborators and sources:* none.

*Proof.* Let  $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
NS_\varepsilon(f) &= \mathbb{P}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} [f(x) \neq f(N_\varepsilon(x))] = \mathbb{P}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} [f(x)f(N_\varepsilon(x)) = -1] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} f(x)f(N_\varepsilon(x)) \right] = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} [f(x)f(N_\varepsilon(x))] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} \left[ \left( \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) \right) \left( \sum_{T \subset [n]} \hat{f}(T) \chi_T(N_\varepsilon(x)) \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S, T \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} [\chi_S(x) \chi_T(N_\varepsilon(x))].
\end{aligned}$$

For all  $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$  and  $i \in [n]$ , we denote by  $x_i$  and  $N_\varepsilon(x)_i$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinates of  $x$  and  $N_\varepsilon(x)$ , respectively. For all  $S \subset [n]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} [\chi_S(x) \chi_S(N_\varepsilon(x))] &= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} \left[ \left( \prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in S} N_\varepsilon(x)_i \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} \left[ \prod_{i \in S} x_i N_\varepsilon(x)_i \right] \\
&= \prod_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} [x_i N_\varepsilon(x)_i] = (\varepsilon \cdot (-1) + (1 - \varepsilon) \cdot 1)^{|S|} \\
&= (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Note that (1) is due to the independence of each bit in  $N_\varepsilon(x)$  and the fact that each bit of  $x$  uniformly chosen from  $\{\pm 1\}^n$  is uniform in  $\{\pm 1\}$ . For all  $S, T \subset [n]$  with  $S \neq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} [\chi_S(x) \chi_T(N_\varepsilon(x))] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} \left[ \left( \prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in T} N_\varepsilon(x)_i \right) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} \left[ \left( \prod_{i \in S \cap T} x_i N_\varepsilon(x)_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in T \setminus S} N_\varepsilon(x)_i \right) \right] \\
&= \left( \prod_{i \in S \cap T} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} [x_i N_\varepsilon(x)_i] \right) \left( \prod_{i \in S \setminus T} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [x_i] \right) \left( \prod_{i \in T \setminus S} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, N_\varepsilon} [N_\varepsilon(x)_i] \right). \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that (2) is again due to the independence of each bit in  $N_\varepsilon(x)$ . For  $S, T \subset [n]$  with  $S \neq T$ , either  $S \setminus T \neq \emptyset$  or  $T \setminus S \neq \emptyset$ . Note that each bit of  $x$  uniformly chosen from  $\{\pm 1\}^n$  is uniform in  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Therefore, if  $S \setminus T \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$\prod_{i \in S \setminus T} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [x_i] = \left( \mathbb{E}_{b \in \{\pm 1\}} [b] \right)^{|S \setminus T|} = 0^{|S \setminus T|} = 0.$$

Moreover, if  $T \setminus S \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$\prod_{i \in T \setminus S} \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [N_\varepsilon(x)_i] = \left( \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon(-1) + (1 - \varepsilon) \cdot 1) + \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon \cdot 1 + (1 - \varepsilon)(-1)) \right)^{|T \setminus S|} = 0^{|T \setminus S|} = 0.$$

Therefore, for all  $S, T \subset [n]$  with  $S \neq T$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [\chi_S(x) \chi_T(N_\varepsilon(x))] = 0.$$

It follows that

$$NS_\varepsilon(f) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S, T \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [\chi_S(x) \chi_T(N_\varepsilon(x))] = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|}.$$

This completes the proof. □

2. (a) *Collaborators and sources*: none.

*Proof.* Let  $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  be monotone. Let  $i \in [n]$ . WLOG, assume  $i = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(\{1\}) &= \langle f, \chi_{\{1\}} \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} f(x) \chi_{\{1\}}(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} f(x) x_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \sum_{\substack{x=(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n \\ x_1=1}} f(x) \cdot 1 + \sum_{\substack{x=(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n \\ x_1=-1}} f(x) \cdot (-1) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \sum_{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}} f(1, x') - \sum_{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}} f(-1, x') \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}} (f(1, x') - f(-1, x')) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\substack{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1} \\ f(1, x') \neq f(-1, x')}} (f(1, x') - f(-1, x')).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is monotone, then  $f(1, x') \geq f(-1, x')$  for all  $x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}$ . Hence, for all  $x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}$ , if  $f(1, x') \neq f(-1, x')$ , then  $f(1, x') = 1$  and  $f(-1, x') = -1$ , so  $f(1, x') - f(-1, x') = 1 - (-1) = 2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(\{1\}) &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\substack{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1} \\ f(1, x') \neq f(-1, x')}} 2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot 2 |\{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1} : f(1, x') \neq f(-1, x')\}| \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} |\{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1} : f(1, x') \neq f(-1, x')\}|.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
Inf_1(f) &= \mathbb{P}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [f(x) \neq f(x^{\oplus 1})] \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \mathbb{1} [f(x) \neq f(x^{\oplus 1})] \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot 2 \sum_{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1}} \mathbb{1} [f(1, x') \neq f(-1, x')] \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} |\{x' \in \{\pm 1\}^{n-1} : f(1, x') \neq f(-1, x')\}| \\
&= \hat{f}(\{1\}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

(b) *Collaborators and sources*: none.

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be odd. Let  $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  be the majority function, i.e.,  $f(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$  for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ . First, we show that  $f$  is monotone. Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$  be such that  $x_i \leq y_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ , so  $f(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \leq \text{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) = f(y)$ . This proves that  $f$  is monotone.

Second, let  $g : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  be monotone. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Inf}(g) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Inf}_i(g) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}(\{i\}) && \text{(part (a))} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle g, \chi_{\{i\}} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} g(x) \chi_{\{i\}}(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} g(x) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\
&\leq \left| \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} g(x) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} |g(x)| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right| && \text{(triangle inequality)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right|. && \text{(since } g(x) \in \{\pm 1\} \text{ for all } x \in \{\pm 1\}^n)
\end{aligned}$$

Third, since  $f$  is monotone,

$$\text{Inf}(f) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} f(x) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Since  $n$  is odd, then  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \neq 0$ . If  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i < 0$ , then  $f(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) < 0$ , so

$$\text{Inf}(f) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} |f(x)| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right| = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right|, \quad (3)$$

since  $f(x) \in \{\pm 1\}$  for all  $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ . Otherwise,  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i > 0$ , so  $f(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) > 0$ , implying that (3) holds. Hence, (3) holds in both cases. It follows that  $\text{Inf}(g) \leq \text{Inf}(f)$  for any monotone  $g : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

3. (a) *Collaborators and sources:* none.

*Proof.* Let  $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We show that the statement holds with  $C = 1$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\sum_{S \subset [n], |S| \geq \text{Inf}(f)/\varepsilon} \hat{f}(S)^2 > C\varepsilon = \varepsilon$ . For each  $i \in [n]$ , let  $g_i : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, \pm 1\}$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(x) &= \frac{f(x) - f(x^{\oplus i})}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) - \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x^{\oplus i}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S) (\chi_S(x) - \chi_S(x^{\oplus i})). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $g_i(x)^2 = \mathbb{1}[f(x) \neq f(x^{\oplus i})]$  for all  $i \in [n]$  and  $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ . Fix  $i \in [n]$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$  and  $S \subset [n]$ . If  $i \in S$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_S(x) - \chi_S(x^{\oplus i}) &= \prod_{j \in S} x_j - (-x_i) \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} x_j = x_i \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} x_j - (-x_i) \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} x_j \\ &= (x_i - (-x_i)) \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} x_j = 2x_i \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} x_j = 2 \prod_{j \in S} x_j = 2\chi_S(x). \end{aligned}$$

If  $i \notin S$ , then

$$\chi_S(x) - \chi_S(x^{\oplus i}) = \prod_{j \in S} x_j - \prod_{j \in S} x_j = 0.$$

Hence, for all  $i \in [n]$  and  $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ ,

$$g_i(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S) \cdot 2\chi_S(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) = \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x).$$

For all  $i \in [n]$ , by the orthonormality of the Fourier basis  $\{\chi_S : S \subset [n]\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inf}_i(f) &= \mathbb{P}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [f(x) \neq f(x^{\oplus i})] = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [\mathbb{1}[f(x) \neq f(x^{\oplus i})]] = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} [g_i(x)^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[ \left( \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[ \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \sum_{\substack{T \subset [n] \\ i \in T}} \hat{f}(S) \hat{f}(T) \chi_S(x) \chi_T(x) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \left[ \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S)^2 \right] = \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inf}(f) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Inf}_i(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ i \in S}} \hat{f}(S)^2 = \sum_{S \subset [n]} \sum_{i \in S} \hat{f}(S)^2 = \sum_{S \subset [n]} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ |S| \geq \frac{\text{Inf}(f)}{\varepsilon}}} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \geq \frac{\text{Inf}(f)}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ |S| \geq \frac{\text{Inf}(f)}{\varepsilon}}} \hat{f}(S)^2 > \frac{\text{Inf}(f)}{\varepsilon} \cdot \varepsilon = \text{Inf}(f), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$