6.842 Randomness and Computation April 11, 2022

Homework 4

Yuchong Pan *MIT ID: 911346847*

1. *Collaborators and sources:* Guanghao Ye, Zixuan Xu.

   Proof. □

2. (a) *Collaborators and sources:* none.

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{1}_{\text{test accepts}} = (1 + f(x)f(y)f(z))/2$. By the Fourier transform of f and by linearity of expecation,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[f(x)f(y)f(z)] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{S\subset[n]}\hat{f}(S)\chi_S(x)\right)\left(\sum_{T\subset[n]}\hat{f}(T)\chi_T(y)\right)\left(\sum_{U\subset[n]}\hat{f}(U)\chi_U(z)\right)\right]\\ &= \sum_{S,T,U\subset[n]}\hat{f}(S)\hat{f}(T)\hat{f}(U)\,\mathbb{E}\left[\chi_S(x)\chi_T(y)\chi_U(x\circ y\circ w)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Let $S, T, U \subset [n]$. For all $i \in [n]$, since $x_i, y_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, then $x_i^2 = y_i^2 = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_S(x)\chi_T(y)\chi_U(x\circ y\circ w) &= \left(\prod_{i\in S}x_i\right)\left(\prod_{i\in T}y_i\right)\left(\prod_{i\in U}x_iy_iw_i\right)\\ &= \left(\prod_{i\in S\cap U}x_i^2\right)\left(\prod_{i\in T\cap U}y_i^2\right)\left(\prod_{i\in S\triangle U}x_i\right)\left(\prod_{i\in T\triangle U}y_i\right)\left(\prod_{i\in U}w_i\right)\\ &= \chi_{S\triangle U}(x)\chi_{T\triangle U}(y)\chi_U(w).\end{aligned}$$

If $S = T = U$, since $w_1, \dots, w_n$ are all chosen independently and since $\mathbb{E}[w_i] = (-1)\cdot\delta + 1\cdot(1-\delta) = 1 - 2\delta$ for all $i \in [m]$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\chi_{S\triangle U}(x)\chi_{T\triangle U}(y)\chi_U(w)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i\in S}w_i\right] = \prod_{i\in S}\mathbb{E}\left[w_i\right] = (1-2\delta)^{|S|}.$$

Now, suppose that either $S \neq U$ or $T \neq U$. WLOG assume that $S \neq U$. Then $S\triangle U \neq \emptyset$. Let $j \in S\triangle U$. For $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$, let $x^{\oplus j}$ be the vector obtained by flipping the j^{th} bit in x. Then we can partition $\{\pm 1\}^n$ into (unordered) pairs $(x, x^{\oplus j})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\chi_{S\triangle U}(x)\right] &= \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{x\in\{\pm1\}^n}\chi_{S\triangle U}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{\text{pairs }(x,x^{\oplus j})}\left(\chi_{S\triangle U}(x) + \chi_{S\triangle U}\left(x^{\oplus j}\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{\text{pairs }(x,x^{\oplus j})}\left(x_j\prod_{i\in(S\triangle U)\setminus\{j\}}x_i + (-x_j)\prod_{i\in(S\triangle U)\setminus\{j\}}x_i\right) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since x, y and w are chosen independently, then for all $S, T, U \subset [n]$ such that either $S \neq U$ or $T \neq U$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\chi_{S\triangle U}(x)\chi_{T\triangle U}(y)\chi_U(w)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\chi_{S\triangle U}(x)\right]\mathbb{E}\left[\chi_{T\triangle U}(y)\right]\mathbb{E}\left[\chi_U(w)\right] = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\text{test accepts}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\text{test accepts}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1+f(x)f(y)f(z)}{2}\right] &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[f(x)f(y)f(z)]\\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S,T,U\subset[n]}\hat{f}(S)\hat{f}(T)\hat{f}(U)\,\mathbb{E}\left[\chi_{S\triangle U}(x)\chi_{T\triangle U}(y)\chi_U(w)\right]\\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S\subset[n]}(1-2\delta)^{|S|}\hat{f}(S)^3.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

(b) *Collaborators and sources:* none.

Proof. Let $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ be a dictator function. Then $f = \chi_{\{j\}}$ for some $j \in [n]$. Therefore, $\hat{f}(\{j\}) = 1$ and $\hat{f}(S) = 0$ for all $S \subset [n]$ with $S \neq \{j\}$. By part (a),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[\text{test accepts}] &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1-2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left((1-2\delta)^{|\{j\}|} \hat{f}(\{j\})^3 + \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ S \neq \{j\}}} (1-2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left((1-2\delta)^1 \cdot 1^3 + \sum_{\substack{S \subset [n] \\ S \neq \{j\}}} (1-2\delta)^{|S|} \cdot 0^3 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1-2\delta) = 1 - \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

(c) *Collaborators and sources:* none.

Proof. Let $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ be such that f passes with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$. By part (a),

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \mathbb{P}[\text{test accepts}] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3.$$

Rearranging the above inequality and applying Parseval's identity yield

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - 2\varepsilon &\leq \sum_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^3 \leq \left(\max_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \right) \sum_{S \subset [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 \\ &= \left(\max_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \right) \cdot 1 = \max_{S \subset [n]} (1 - 2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists $S \subset [n]$ such that $(1-2\delta)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \geq 1-2\varepsilon$. Set $\delta = \varepsilon$ in the test. Then $(1-2\varepsilon)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) \geq 1-2\varepsilon$. If $|S| \geq 2$, then $0 < (1-2\varepsilon)^{|S|} < 1-2\varepsilon$, so $(1-2\varepsilon)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S) < 1-2\varepsilon$ since $\hat{f}(S) = 1 - 2\,\mathrm{dist}(f, \chi_S) \in [-1, 1]$, a contradiction. Therefore, one of the following two cases holds:

(i) $|S| = 1$ and $\hat{f}(S) = 1$;
(ii) $|S| = 0$ and $\hat{f}(S) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$.

This completes the proof. $\square$