

# Math 321 Lecture 3

Yuchong Pan

January 7, 2019

## 1 Theorem and Consequences

### 1.1 Theorem and Proof

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, \rho)$  be metric spaces. Assume that  $f_n : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous for  $n \geq 1$  and that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $X$ . Then  $f$  is continuous on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . We need to find  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, x_0) > 0$  such that

$$\rho(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$$

whenever  $d(x, x_0) < \delta$ .

**Given:**

$$\begin{aligned} & f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f \text{ uniformly on } X \\ \Leftrightarrow & \sup_{x \in X} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{given any } \epsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } N \geq 1 \text{ such that} \\ & \sup_{x \in X} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad \text{whenever } n \geq N. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Thus, by the triangular inequality applied twice,

$$\rho(f(x), f(x_0)) \leq \underbrace{\rho(f(x), f_N(x))}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\rho(f_N(x), f_N(x_0))}_{(2)} + \underbrace{\rho(f_N(x_0), f(x_0))}_{(3)}.$$

Both (1) and (3) are bounded above by  $\sup_{y \in X} \rho(f_N(y), f(y))$ , which is  $< \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  by (\*). Therefore,

$$\rho(f(x), f(x_0)) < \frac{2\epsilon}{3} + \underbrace{\rho(f_N(x), f_N(x_0))}_{(2)} < \frac{2\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3},$$

if we choose  $\delta = \delta_N(\epsilon, x_0) > 0$  such that  $\rho(f_N(x), f_N(x_0)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  whenever  $d(x, x_0) < \delta_N$ , by the  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  definition of the continuity of  $f_N$  at  $x_0 \in X$ .  $\square$

**Example:**  $k_n(x) = x^n$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . Last time, we showed that  $k_n \xrightarrow{\text{pointwise}} k$ , where

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \neq 1, \\ 1, & x = 1, \end{cases}$$

which is discontinuous.

## 1.2 Consequences

1. Suppose  $X = [a, b]$  and  $Y = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then Theorem 1 implies that  $C[a, b]$  is closed under **uniform limits**.

That is, any sequence in  $C[a, b]$ , if it converges uniformly, admits the limit in  $C[a, b]$ ; i.e., if  $\{f_n\} \subseteq C[a, b]$  and  $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f$  uniformly on  $C[a, b]$ , then  $f \in C[a, b]$ .

Note that  $C[a, b]$  is closed in  $\mathcal{B}[a, b] = \left\{ f : [a, b] \xrightarrow{\text{bounded}} \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \right\}$ , a metric space with metric  $d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)|$ .

2.  $C[a, b]$  is **complete**.

That is, every Cauchy sequence  $\{f_n\} \subseteq C[a, b]$  (i.e.,  $\sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0$ ) converges.

**Step 1:** Find a candidate for  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ .

**Know:**  $\|f_n - f_m\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

Fix any  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a sequence of real (or complex) numbers, of  $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

Since  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are complete,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Set  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ . Note that  $f$  is pointwise convergent so far.

**Step 2:** We need to show:

- i.  $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$ , and
- ii.  $f \in C[a, b]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f_n(x) - f(x)| &= \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left| f_n(x) - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m(x) \right| \\
 &= \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left| \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (f_n(x) - f_m(x)) \right| \\
 &= \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \\
 &\leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \underbrace{\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty}_{\text{does not depend on } x} \\
 &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty \\
 &< \epsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

if  $n \geq N_\epsilon$  (using the fact that  $\{f_n\} \subseteq C[a, b]$  is Cauchy).

- ii.  $f \in C[a, b]$  by Theorem 1.

### 3. Weierstrass $M$ -test:

Let  $\{g_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) = \left\{ f : X \xrightarrow{\text{bounded}} \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \right\}$  be such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|g_n\|_\infty < \infty$ .

Then  $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n$  converges uniformly on  $X$ ; i.e.,  $\left\{ S_N(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N g_n(x) : N \geq 1 \right\}$  converges uniformly on  $X$ . In addition,  $g \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ .

Moreover, if  $\{g_n\} \subseteq C(X)$ , then  $g \in C(X)$ .