Math 321 Lecture 31

Yuchong Pan

March 25, 2019

1 Proof of the Inverse Function Theorem (Cont'd)

Proof (cont'd). (b) **Know:**

- $\mathbf{f}: \underbrace{U}_{\begin{subarray}{c} ||\bigcap\\ E\\ ||\bigcap\\ \mathbb{R}^n\end{subarray}}_{\begin{subarray}{c} \text{bijection}\\ E\\ \mathbb{R}^n\end{subarray}}_{\begin{subarray}{c} \text{bijection}\\ E\\ \mathbb{R}^n\end{subarray}} \mathbf{f}(U) = V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \text{ from part (a)}.$
- $\mathbf{a} \in U \subseteq E$.
- $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{f}^{-1} : V \to U$.

Goal: \mathbf{g} is C^1 .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{k}) &= \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h} &\Leftrightarrow & \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) &= \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{k}, \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{x} &\Leftrightarrow & \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{y}. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{f'}(\mathbf{a})$$
 invertible
$$\downarrow \mathbf{f'}(x) \text{ invertible for } \underbrace{\mathbf{x} \text{ near } \mathbf{a}}_{\text{so for } \mathbf{x} \in U}$$

$$\downarrow \mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{f'}(\mathbf{x}))^{-1} \text{ well-defined.}$$

Hence,

 \mathbf{g} is differentiable at \mathbf{y} with the derivative $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} = \left| \frac{\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{k}) - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{T} \mathbf{k} \|}{\|\mathbf{k}\|} \right| \xrightarrow{k \to 0} 0.$$

Math 321 Lecture 31 Yuchong Pan

We have

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\|(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - \mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))\|}{\|\mathbf{k}\|}$$

$$= \frac{\|\mathbf{h} - (\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))\|}{\|\mathbf{k}\|}$$

$$= \frac{\|(\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}(\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{h} - (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})))\|}{\|\mathbf{k}\|}$$

$$\leq \frac{\|(\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}(\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{h} - (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})))\|}{\|\mathbf{k}\|}$$

$$\leq \frac{\|(\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}\|}{\|\mathbf{k}\|} \|\mathbf{h}\| \underbrace{\frac{\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{h}\|}{\|\mathbf{h}\|}}_{\text{because } \mathbf{f} \text{ is differentiable at } \mathbf{x}}.$$

Observation 1. $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})$ is closed to $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a})$ if \mathbf{x} is close to \mathbf{a} because $\underbrace{\mathbf{f} \in C^1(E)}_{x \mapsto \mathbf{f}'(x) \text{ continuous}}$.

This implies that $\|(\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}\|$ is bounded from above for $\mathbf{x} \in U$, where $\|\mathbf{A}\| = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}$ for an $m \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} .

Recall from (a): $\varphi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))$ is a contraction for $\mathbf{x} \in U$; more precisely,

$$\|\varphi_{\mathbf{y}}(\underbrace{\mathbf{x}_{1}}_{=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}}) - \varphi_{\mathbf{y}}(\underbrace{\mathbf{x}_{2}}_{=\mathbf{x}})\| < \frac{1}{2} \|\underbrace{\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2}}_{\mathbf{h}}\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h})) - (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})))\| < \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{h}\|.$$

So,

$$\|\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\underbrace{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h})}_{\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{h}} - \underbrace{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})}_{\mathbf{y}})\| < \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{h}\|.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{k}\| &< \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{h}\| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underbrace{\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{k}\|}_{||\Lambda|} \geq \|\mathbf{h}\| - \|\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{k}\| \qquad \text{reverse triangle inequality} \\ &\geq \|\mathbf{h}\| - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{h}\| \\ &= \frac{\|\mathbf{h}\|}{2}. \end{split}$$

 $\mathbf{Get:}\ \ \tfrac{\|\mathbf{h}\|}{2} \leq \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{k}\|; \ i.e., \ \tfrac{\|\mathbf{h}\|}{\|\mathbf{k}\|} \leq 2 \cdot \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|; \ i.e., \ \mathrm{as} \ \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0, \ \tfrac{\|\mathbf{h}\|}{\|\mathbf{k}\|} \ \mathrm{stays} \ \mathrm{bounded}.$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
2\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{k}\| \\
\hline
0 & \frac{\|\mathbf{h}\|}{2} & \|\mathbf{h}\|
\end{array}$$

Math 321 Lecture 31 Yuchong Pan

Proof overview:

1. Show **f** is locally bijective. Find $U = B(\mathbf{a}; \epsilon)$.

- (a) $V = \mathbf{f}(U)$: \mathbf{f} surjective.
- (b) CMP used to prove **f** injective.
- (c) **Exercise:** V is open.
- 2. Relate \mathbf{g}' with \mathbf{f}' where $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{f}^{-1}$.

$$\mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})) = (\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}))^{-1}.$$

Critical: $\|\mathbf{h}\| \to 0$ at comparable rates; i.e., $\frac{\|\mathbf{h}\|}{\|\mathbf{k}\|}$ stays bounded.

2 Implicit Function Theorem

Question: Given any equation f(x,y) = 0 where $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, when can we solve it to get y = g(x)?

Remark.

- 1. For arbitrarily chosen f, no guarantee of any solution; e.g., $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 + 1$. Need to assume $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that f(a,b) = 0.
- 2. Suppose such (a, b) exists. Does this always imply that for every x in a neighbourhood of a, one can find y = g(x) such that f(x, g(x)) = 0?

Answer: No.

Examples:

- (a) $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$, (a,b) = (0,0). No other solution exists for $x \neq a$.
- (b) $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 1$.

