

# T04: Outliers and $l_1$ loss

MATH 4432 Statistical Machine Learning

WANG Zhiwei

MATH, HKUST

2023-10-03

Let's start by recalling linear  
regression!

# Least squares

Recall the least squares (LS) problem for linear regression

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2.$$

---

A toy example

Code

Minimize the squared loss

---

- Suppose we know the ground truth of  $f(\cdot) : f(x) = x$
- Now given  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , we have a set of observations  $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$  with

$$y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i,$$

where  $\epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 0.1^2)$  is random noise.

# Least squares

Recall the least squares (LS) problem for linear regression

$$\hat{\beta} = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2 = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2.$$

A toy example

Code

Minimize the squared loss

```
set.seed(123)
N <- 10 # Sample size
x <- runif(N, 0, 1)
y0 <- x # Ground truth
y_obs <- y0 + rnorm(N, mean = 0, sd = 0.1) # Add noise, observed data
ggplot(data = NULL, aes(x = x, y = y_obs)) +
  geom_point(aes(x = x, y = y_obs), size = 5) +
  geom_smooth(method = "lm", size = 2) +
  ylab("y") +
  theme(
    text = element_text(size = 20),
    axis.text.y = element_text(size = 20),
    axis.text.x = element_text(size = 20)
  )
```

# Least squares


Recall the least squares (LS) problem for linear regression

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2.$$

A toy example

Code

Minimize the squared loss



**What if there exists an outlier?**

# Add an outlier

---

Add an outlier

---

Code

Minimize the squared loss

- We follow the above problem setting.
- But add an outlier

$$(x, y, y_{\text{obs}}) = (0.9, 0.9, -2),$$

of which the noise is extremely large. This situation is rare, but the probability is not zero!

# Add an outlier

Add an outlier

Code

Minimize the squared loss

```
x_ol <- c(x, 0.9)
y0_ol <- c(y0, 0.9)
y_obs_ol <- c(y_obs, -2)

ggplot(data = NULL) +
  geom_point(aes(x = x, y = y_obs), size = 5) +
  geom_point(aes(x = 0.9, y = -2), color = "red", size = 5) +
  geom_smooth(aes(x = x, y = y_obs), method = "lm", color = "blue", size = 2) +
  geom_smooth(aes(x = x_ol, y = y_obs_ol), method = "lm", color = "red", size = 2) +
  ylab("y") +
  theme(
    text = element_text(size = 20),
    axis.text.y = element_text(size = 20),
    axis.text.x = element_text(size = 20)
  )
```




# Add an outlier

Add an outlier

Code

Minimize the squared loss



# Brief summary of squared loss

## Pros

- Natural, intuitive (Euclidean distance)
- Closed form solution

## Con

- Not robust to outliers, equal weights to all data (assumes Gaussian distributed residual)

Let's consider a more robust loss  
function!

# $l_1$ loss

- $l_2$  norm and  $l_1$  norm


$$\|x\|_2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^p x_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|x\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^p |x_j|.$$

- $l_2$  loss and  $l_1$  loss

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2 = \|y - X\beta\|_2^2,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i - x_i^T \beta| = \|y - X\beta\|_1.$$

# Why is $l_1$ more robust?



However, the bad news is that  $l_1$  loss function is not differentiable :(

**Let's relax it!**

# MM algorithm \*

The "MM" stands for "Majorization-Minimization" or "Minorization-Maximization". In the following, "MM" refers to "Majorization-Minimization".

- Consider the following presumably difficult optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\text{minimize}} \ f(\mathbf{x}) \\ & \text{subject to } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mathcal{X}$  being the feasible set and  $f(\mathbf{x})$  being continuous.

- Idea: successively minimize a more manageable surrogate function  $u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^k)$

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^k),$$

hoping the sequence of minimizers  $\{\mathbf{x}^k\}$  will converge to optimal  $\mathbf{x}^*$ .

[\*] Not required in this course. Materials are from ELEC 5470 / IEDA 6100A Convex Optimization, Prof. Daniel P. Palomar, ECE, HKUST.

# Iterative algorithm

Suppose  $x_0$  is the initial point, in  $k$ -th step, we want  $x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_k$ .


---

After  $k$ -th step

---

$(k+1)$ -th step

$(k+2)$ -th step






# Iterative algorithm

Suppose  $x_0$  is the initial point, in  $k$ -th step, we want  $x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_k$ .

After  $k$ -th step

( $k+1$ )-th step

( $k+2$ )-th step




# Iterative algorithm

Suppose  $x_0$  is the initial point, in  $k$ -th step, we want  $x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_k$ .

After  $k$ -th step

$(k+1)$ -th step

$(k+2)$ -th step




# Construction rule of the surrogate / majorizer function \*

$$u(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{y}), \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}$$

$$u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}), \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}$$

$$u'(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{d})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}} = f'(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{d}), \forall \mathbf{d} \text{ with } \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{X}$$

$u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is continuous in  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$



Question: how to construct  $u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^k)$  ?

Answer: that's more like an art :)

Luckily, the MM algorithm for  $l_1$ -norm minimization  
has been well established!

# Majorizer for $l_1$ -norm

- Consider the following quadratic majorizer of  $f(t) = |t|$  for  $t \neq 0$  (for simplicity we ignore this case)

$$u(t, t^k) = \frac{1}{2|t^k|} \left( t^2 + (t^k)^2 \right).$$


- It is a valid majorizer since it is continuous, and

$$u(t, t^k) \geq f(t),$$

$$u(t^k, t^k) = f(t^k),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} u(t^k, t^k) = \frac{d}{dt} f(t^k).$$

# Majorizer for $l_1$ -norm



# Reweighted LS for $\ell_1$ -norm minimization

- Now we can apply it to the  $\ell_1$ -norm: a quadratic majorizer of  $f(\beta) = \|X\beta - y\|_1$  is

$$u(\beta, \beta^k) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2|[X\beta^k - y]_i|} \left( [X\beta - y]_i^2 + \left( [X\beta^k - y]_i \right)^2 \right).$$

- Now that we have the majorizer, we can write the MM iterative algorithm for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  as

$$\underset{\beta}{\text{minimize}} \|(X\beta - y) \odot w^k\|_2^2,$$

$$\text{where } w_i^k = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2|[X\beta^k - y]_i|}}.$$

# Algorithm

- Set  $k = 0$  and initialize with a feasible point  $\beta^0$
- **repeat**
  - $w_i^k \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{1}{2|[X\beta^k - y]_i|}}$
  - Update  $\beta^{k+1} \leftarrow \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|(X\beta - y) \odot w^k\|_2^2$
  - $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- **until** convergence
- **return**  $\beta^k$



# Implementation (first 4 steps)

---

Initialization

---

lm()

geom\_smooth()

ggplot

```
df <- data.frame(y = y_obs_ol, x = x_ol, weight = rep(0, length(x_ol)))  
  
#beta_0 <- rnorm(1) # Initialize intercept  
#beta_1 <- rnorm(1) # Initialize slope  
beta_0 <- -3 # To demonstrate, we fit beta_0 = -3  
beta_1 <- 1 # To demonstrate, we fit beta_1 = 1
```

# Implementation (first 4 steps)

Initialization

lm()

geom\_smooth()

ggplot

```
opar <- par()
par(mfrow = c(2, 2))

for (k in 0:3) { # Here we only repeat 4 times
  fit_l1 <- lm(y ~ x, data = df, weights = sqrt(1 / 2 / abs(beta_0 + x)))

  beta_0 <- coef(fit_l1)[1] # Update intercept
  beta_1 <- coef(fit_l1)[2] # Update slope

  # Visualize
  plot(x_ol, y_obs_ol, pch = 16,
        xlab = "x", ylab = "y",
        cex = 1.5, cex.axis = 1.5, cex.lab = 1.5)
  abline(fit_l1, col = "blue", lwd = 3,
        cex = 1.5, cex.axis = 1.5, cex.lab = 1.5)
}
```

# Implementation (first 4 steps)

Initialization

lm()

geom\_smooth()

ggplot

```
p_list <- list() # Figure list
for (k in c(1:4)) { # Here we only repeat 4 times
  df$weight <- sqrt(1 / 2 / abs(beta_0 + x_ol * beta_1 - y_obs_ol))
  fit_l1 <- lm(y ~ x, data = df, weights = weight) # Reweighted LS for
  beta_0 <- coef(fit_l1)[1] # Update intercept
  beta_1 <- coef(fit_l1)[2] # Update slope
  p <- ggplot(df, aes(x = x, y = y, size = weight / 3)) +
    geom_point(shape = 16) +
    geom_smooth(method = "lm", aes(weight = weight), size = 1.5, show.legend = FALSE)
  theme(
    text = element_text(size = 18),
    legend.title = element_text(size = 12),
    legend.text = element_text(size = 11),
    legend.position = "bottom"
  )
  p_list <- c(p_list, list(p))
}
```


# Implementation (first 4 steps)

Initialization

lm()

geom\_smooth()

ggplot



# Full implementation

---

Initialization and parameter setting

---

l1 norm minimization

Visualization

```
df <- data.frame(y = y_obs_ol, x = x_ol, weight = rep(0, length(x_ol)))  
  
#beta_0 <- rnorm(1) # Initialize intercept  
#beta_1 <- rnorm(1) # Initialize slope  
beta_0 <- -3 # To demonstrate, we fit beta_0 = -3  
beta_1 <- 1 # To demonstrate, we fit beta_1 = 1  
  
tol <- 1e-6 # Convergence tolerance / criterion  
iter_max <- 5000 # Maximum number of iterations
```

---

# Full implementation

Initialization and parameter setting

l1 norm minimization

Visualization

```
l1_loss <- sum(abs(beta_0 + x_ol * beta_1 - y_obs_ol)) # l1 loss at ini
for (k in 1:iter_max) {
  df$weight <- sqrt(1 / 2 / abs(beta_0 + x_ol * beta_1 - y_obs_ol))
  fit_l1 <- lm(y ~ x, data = df, weights = weight) # Reweighted LS for
  beta_0 <- coef(fit_l1)[1] # Update intercept
  beta_1 <- coef(fit_l1)[2] # Update slope

  l1_loss_current <- sum(abs(beta_0 + x_ol * beta_1 - y_obs_ol)) # l1 l
  l1_loss <- c(l1_loss, l1_loss_current)


  # Whether converges
  if(abs((l1_loss[k + 1] - l1_loss[k]) / l1_loss[k]) < tol){
    break
  }
}
```

# Full implementation

Initialization and parameter setting

$\ell_1$  norm minimization

Visualization



# Subgradient method \*

We say a vector  $g \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is a subgradient of  $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  at  $x \in \text{dom } f$  if for all  $z \in \text{dom } f$ ,

$$f(z) \geq f(x) + g^T(z - x).$$

The subgradient of  $l_1$ -norm can be taken as

$$g_i = \begin{cases} +1 & x_i > 0 \\ -1 & x_i < 0 \\ 0 & x_i = 0 \end{cases}.$$

**Note:** subgradient method is **not** a descent method, and negative subgradient is **not** always a descent direction!

[\*] Materials are from [Subgradients](#) and [Subgradient Methods](#), EE364b, Stephen Boyd and Lieven Vandenbergh, Stanford University.



# Implementation

---

Initialization and parameter setting

---

Implementation

Visualization

```
#beta_0 <- rnorm(1) # Initialize intercept
#beta_1 <- rnorm(1) # Initialize slope
beta_0 <- -3 # To demonstrate, we fit beta_0 = -3
beta_1 <- 1 # To demonstrate, we fit beta_1 = 1
beta <- c(beta_0 = beta_0, beta_1 = beta_1)

X <- cbind(x0 = rep(1, length(x_ol)), x1 = x_ol)
l1_loss <- sum(abs(beta[1] + x_ol * beta[2] - y_obs_ol)) # l1 loss at iteration

step_size <- 0.05 # Try different step size, e.g., 0.1 and 0.01, and see
tol <- 1e-3 # Convergence tolerance / criterion
iter_max <- 5000 # Maximum number of iterations
```

---

# Implementation

Initialization and parameter setting

Implementation

Visualization


```
for (k in 1:iter_max) {  
  r <- as.vector(y_obs_ol - X %*% as.matrix(c(beta))) # Residual  
  subgrad <- colSums(X * sign(r)) # Subgradient  
  
  beta <- beta + step_size * subgrad # Update beta  
  
  l1_loss_current <- sum(abs(beta[1] + x_ol * beta[2] - y_obs_ol)) # l1  
  l1_loss <- c(l1_loss, l1_loss_current)  
  
  # Whether converges  
  if(abs((l1_loss[k + 1] - l1_loss[k]) / l1_loss[k]) < tol){  
    break  
  }  
}
```

# Implementation

Initialization and parameter setting

Implementation

Visualization



# Good night!

Slides created via Yihui Xie's R package [xaringan](#).

Theme customized via Garrick Aden-Buie's R package [xaringanthemmer](#).

Tabbed panels created via Garrick Aden-Buie's R package [xaringanExtra](#).

The chakra comes from [remark.js](#), [knitr](#), and [R Markdown](#).