

Real Analysis

Homework 6

score:9

National Taiwan University, Department of Mathematics
R06221012 Yueh-Chou Lee

April 29, 2019

EXERCISE 10.17

Let μ be a σ -finite and define $\mathcal{L}^p(d\mu)$ to be the class of complex-valued f with $\int |f|^p d\mu < +\infty$. Let l be a complex-valued bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{L}^p(d\mu)$. If $1 \leq p < \infty$, show that there is a function $g \in \mathcal{L}^{p'}(d\mu)$ such that $l(f) = \int fg d\mu$.

Here, as usual, we define $\int h d\mu = \int h_1 d\mu + i \int h_2 d\mu$ if $h = h_1 + ih_2$ with h_1 and h_2 real-valued. (Hint: Reduce to the real case.)

Proof.

Let two complex-valued functions be $f = f_1 + if_2$.

Since μ be a σ -finite and $\mathcal{L}^p(d\mu)$ is the class of complex-valued f with $\int |f|^p d\mu = \int |f_1 + if_2|^p d\mu < \infty$, then we can deduce that $\int |f_2|^p d\mu < \infty$ if we let $f_1 = 0$ and $\int |f_1|^p d\mu < \infty$ if we let $f_2 = 0$. So $f_1, f_2 \in L^p$. Since

$$\int fg d\mu = \int (f_1 + if_2)g d\mu = \int f_1g d\mu + i \int f_2g d\mu,$$

by Theorem 10.43 and l is a complex-valued bounded linear functional, we know that

$$\int fg d\mu = \int f_1g d\mu + i \int f_2g d\mu = l(f_1) + il(f_2) = l(f_1) + l(if_2) = l(f_1 + if_2) = l(f)$$

EXERCISE 10.18

Give an example to show that $(L^\infty)'$ cannot be identified with L^1 as in Theorem 10.44.

(Consider $L^\infty[-1, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure, and let \mathcal{S} be the subspace of continuous functions on $[-1, 1]$ with the sup norm. Define $l(f) = f(0)$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Then l is a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{S} , so by the Hahn-Banach theorem, l has an extension $l \in (L^\infty[-1, 1])'$. If there were a function $g \in L^1[-1, 1]$ such that $l(f) = \int_{-1}^1 fg dx$ for all $f \in L^\infty[-1, 1]$, then we would have $f(0) = \int_{-1}^1 fg dx$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Show that this implies that $g = 0$ a.e., so that $l \equiv 0$.)

Proof.

Let \mathcal{S} be the space of continuous functions on the closed interval $[-1, 1]$. Clearly, \mathcal{S} is a subspace of $L^\infty[-1, 1]$. Also, \mathcal{S} is a Banach space with norm

$$\|\cdot\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x)|.$$

Define $l(f) = f(0)$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Then l is a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{S} , so by the Hahn-Banach theorem, l has an extension $l \in (L^\infty[-1, 1])'$. We want to show that there does not exist $g \in L^1$ such that

$$l(f) = \int_{-1}^1 f g \, dx \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}.$$

To show this suppose there exists such a function $g \in L^1[-1, 1]$. Consider the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ such that

$$f_n(x) = \max\{1 - n|x|, 0\}.$$

Clearly, $f_n(x)$ converges to 0 pointwise, $|f(x)| \leq 1$. Also, we know l is well defined since

$$\int |f_n g| \, dx \leq \int |g| \, dx < \infty.$$

Hence, by the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l(f_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n g \, dx = \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n g \, dx = 0.$$

However, $f_n(0) = 1$ for all n , by definition, which leads to a contradiction. This shows that there does not exist such a function $g \in L^1[-1, 1]$.

EXERCISE 10.20

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 10.49, prove that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_x(h))} \int_{Q_x(h)} |f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mu(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}(\mu).$$

Proof.

Since $f \in L(d\mu)$. For $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_x(h))} \int_{Q_x(h)} |f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mu(\mathbf{y}) &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(Q_x(h))} \int_{Q_x(h)} |f(\mathbf{y}) - r| \, d\mu(\mathbf{y}) + \frac{1}{\mu(Q_x(h))} \int_{Q_x(h)} |r - f(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(Q_x(h))} \int_{Q_x(h)} |f(\mathbf{y}) - r| \, d\mu(\mathbf{y}) + |r - f(\mathbf{x})|. \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit on the both sides and Theorem 10.49, we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_x(h))} \int_{Q_x(h)} |f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \leq 2|r - f(\mathbf{x})|$$

Since r can be chosen such that $|r - f(\mathbf{x})|$ is arbitrarily small. Hence

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(Q_x(h))} \int_{Q_x(h)} |f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mu(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}(\mu).$$

EXERCISE 10.21

Derive an analogue of the Besicovitch Covering Lemma for the case of two dimensions (x, y) when the squares $Q_{(x,y)}$ are replaced by rectangles $R_{(x,y)}(h)$ centered at (x, y) whose x and y dimensions are h and h^2 , respectively. Use this result to prove that under the hypothesis of Theorem 10.49,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(R_{(x,y)}(h))} \int_{R_{(x,y)}(h)} f \, d\mu = f(x, y) \quad \text{a.e.}(\mu).$$

Proof.

Since $f \in L(d\mu)$. For any integrable g , we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\mu(R_{(x,y)}(h))} \int_{R_{(x,y)}(h)} f d\mu - f(x,y) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\mu(R_{(x,y)}(h))} \int_{R_{(x,y)}(h)} |f - g| d\mu + \left| \frac{1}{\mu(R_{(x,y)}(h))} \int_{R_{(x,y)}(h)} g d\mu - f(x,y) \right|.$$

If g is also continuous, the last term on the right converges to $|g(x,y) - f(x,y)|$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Hence, letting $L(x,y)$ denote the lim sup as $h \rightarrow 0$ of the term of the left, we obtain

$$L(x,y) \leq \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{\mu(R_{(x,y)}(h))} \int_{R_{(x,y)}(h)} |f - g| d\mu + |g(x,y) - f(x,y)|$$

Therefore, the set S_ϵ where $L(x,y) > \epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$, is contained in the union of the two sets where the corresponding terms on the right side of the last inequality exceed $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. From Lemma 10.47 and Tchebyshev's inequality, we obtain

$$\mu(S_\epsilon) \leq c \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f - g| d\mu + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f - g| d\mu$$

As noted before the proof of Lemma 10.47, g can be chosen such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f - g| d\mu$ is arbitrarily small. Hence, $\mu(S - \epsilon) = 0$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, and the results follows.

EXERCISE 10.26 (*Hardy's inequality*)

Let $f \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $d\mu(x) = x^\alpha dx$ and $d\nu(x) = x^{\alpha+p} dx$ on $(0, \infty)$. Prove there exists a constant c independent of f such that

(i)

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x f(t) dt \right)^p d\mu(x) \leq c \int_0^\infty f^p(x) d\nu(x), \quad \alpha < -1,$$

(ii)

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty f(t) dt \right)^p d\mu(x) \leq c \int_0^\infty f^p(x) d\nu(x), \quad \alpha > -1.$$

For (i), $(\int_0^x f(t) dt)^p \leq cx^{p-\eta-1} \int_0^x f(t)^p t^\eta dt$ by Hölder's inequality, provided $p - \eta - 1 > 0$. Multiply both sides by x^α , integrate over $(0, \infty)$, change the order of integration, and observe that an appropriate η exists since $\alpha < -1$.

Proof.

(i) If $p = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^x f(t) dt d\mu(x) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^x f(t) dt x^\alpha dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(t) \int_t^\infty x^\alpha dx dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(t) \frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} dt, \quad t^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ since } \alpha < -1 \\ &= c \int_0^\infty f(x) x^{\alpha+1} dx \\ &= c \int_0^\infty f(x) d\nu(x) \end{aligned}$$

If $1 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha < -1$, then $p + \alpha < p - 1$. So $\exists \eta$ such that $p + \alpha < \eta < p - 1$, then $\alpha + p - \eta - 1 < -1$, $\alpha + p - \eta < 0$. Thus, we let q such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^x f(t) dt \right)^p &= \left(\int_0^x f(t) t^{\frac{\eta}{p}} t^{\frac{-\eta}{p}} dt \right)^p \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^x f^p(t) t^\eta dt \right) \left(\int_0^x t^{\frac{-\eta q}{p}} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &= c_1 x^{p-\eta-1} \left(\int_0^x f^p(t) t^\eta dt \right), \end{aligned}$$

$x^{p-\eta-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, since $\frac{-\eta q + p}{p} \cdot \frac{p}{q} = -\eta + \frac{p}{q} = -\eta + p - 1 > 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x f(t) dt \right)^p d\mu(x) &\leq \int_0^\infty c_1 x^{p-\eta-1} \left(\int_0^x f^p(t) t^\eta dt \right) x^\alpha dx \\ &= c_1 \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+p-\eta-1} \left(\int_0^x f^p(t) t^\eta dt \right) dx \\ &= c_1 \int_0^\infty f^p(t) t^\eta \int_t^\infty x^{\alpha+p-\eta-1} dx dt \\ &= c_1 \int_0^\infty f^p(t) t^\eta \frac{t^{\alpha+p-\eta}}{\alpha + p - \eta} dt \\ &= c \int_0^\infty f^p(x) d\nu(x), \end{aligned}$$

$t^{\alpha+p-\eta} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ since $\alpha + p - \eta < 0$.

(ii) If $p = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty f(t) dt d\mu(x) &= \int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty f(t) dt x^\alpha dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(t) \int_0^t x^\alpha dx dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(t) \frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} dt, \quad t^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \text{ since } \alpha > -1 \\ &= c \int_0^\infty f(x) x^{\alpha+1} dx \\ &= c \int_0^\infty f(x) d\nu(x) \end{aligned}$$

If $1 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > -1$, then $p - 1 > 0$. So $\exists \eta$ such that $p - \alpha > \eta > p - 1$, then $\alpha + p - \eta - 1 > -1$, $\alpha + p - \eta > 0$. Thus, we let q such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_x^\infty f(t) dt \right)^p &= \left(\int_x^\infty f(t) t^{\frac{\eta}{p}} t^{\frac{-\eta}{p}} dt \right)^p \\ &\leq \left(\int_x^\infty f^p(t) t^\eta dt \right) \left(\int_x^\infty t^{\frac{-\eta q}{p}} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &= c_1 x^{p-\eta-1} \left(\int_x^\infty f^p(t) t^\eta dt \right), \end{aligned}$$

$x^{p-\eta-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, since $\frac{-\eta q + p}{p} \cdot \frac{p}{q} = -\eta + \frac{p}{q} = -\eta + p - 1 < 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty f(t) dt \right)^p d\mu(x) &\leq \int_0^\infty c_1 x^{p-\eta-1} \left(\int_x^\infty f^p(t) t^\eta dt \right) x^\alpha dx \\ &= c_1 \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+p-\eta-1} \left(\int_x^\infty f^p(t) t^\eta dt \right) dx \\ &= c_1 \int_0^\infty f^p(t) t^\eta \int_0^t x^{\alpha+p-\eta-1} dx dt \\ &= c_1 \int_0^\infty f^p(t) t^\eta \frac{t^{\alpha+p-\eta}}{\alpha+p-\eta} dt \\ &= c \int_0^\infty f^p(x) d\nu(x), \end{aligned}$$

$t^{\alpha+p-\eta} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ since $\alpha + p - \eta > 0$.

EXERCISE 10.27

If μ is a σ -finite regular Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^n , show that the class of continuous functions with compact support is dense in $L^p(d\mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

(By **EXERCISE 10.8**, it is enough to approximate χ_E , where E is a Borel set with finite measure. Given $\epsilon > 0$, as shown in Section 10.5 on p. 269, there exist open G and closed F with $F \subset E \subset G$ and $\mu(G - F) < \epsilon$. Now use Urysohn's lemma: if F_1 and F_2 are disjoint closed sets in \mathbb{R}^n , there is a continuous f on \mathbb{R}^n with $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $f = 1$ on F_1 , $f = 0$ on F_2 .)

Proof.

Follow the hint, we approximate χ_E where E is regular Borel set with $\mu(E) < \infty$.

Let $F \subset E \subset G$, F is closed and G is open such that $\mu(G \setminus F) < \epsilon$, G^c is closed and $F \cap G^c = \emptyset$. Hence, we can apply Urysohn's lemma to find a continuous f such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ where $f = 1$ on F and $f = 0$ on G^c . So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f - \chi_E|^p d\mu &= \int_F |f - \chi_E|^p d\mu + \int_{G \setminus F} |f - \chi_E|^p d\mu + \int_{G^c} |f - \chi_E|^p d\mu \\ &\leq 0 + \int_{G \setminus F} 1 d\mu + 0 \\ &= \mu(G \setminus F) < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S = \{\text{simple function on } E\}$ to approximate χ_E , where $E = \cup_{k=1}^N E_k$ and E_k is regular Borel set, then $S = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \chi_{E_k}$, so we can find $F_k \subset E_k \subset G_k$ where F_k is closed, G_k is open and $\mu(G_k \setminus F_k) < \frac{\epsilon}{N a_k^p}$.

By above result, we can find a continuous function f_k with compact support and $f_k = 1$ on F_k , $f_k = 0$ on G_k^c , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |a_k f_k - a_k \chi_{E_k}|^p d\mu \leq a_k^p \mu(G_k \setminus F_k) < \frac{\epsilon}{N}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |S - \chi_E|^p d\mu &= \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\int_{F_k} |f_k - \chi_{E_k}|^p d\mu + \int_{G_k \setminus F_k} |f_k - \chi_{E_k}|^p d\mu + \int_{G_k^c} |f_k - \chi_{E_k}|^p d\mu \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \mu(G_k \setminus F_k) < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$