

Computing Science (CMPUT) 325

Nonprocedural Programming

Martin Müller

Department of Computing Science
University of Alberta
`mmueller@ualberta.ca`

Winter 2016

Introduction to Prolog

CMPUT 325

- Prolog syntax is based on predicate calculus
- Examples of predicates:
 - `likes(mary, john)`
 - `greater(3, 2)`
 - `isPrime(19)`

Atoms in Predicate Calculus

CMPUT 325

- Atom, or atomic formula:
- $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$
- p is a **predicate symbol** (e.g. `likes`, `isPrime`)
- t_i are called **terms** (a bit similar to symbolic expressions in Lisp)
- Terms can **only** be used as arguments in predicates

Terms

CMPUT 325

- A constant is a term (in Prolog: starts with lowercase letter)
 - Numbers are represented in Prolog as usual
- A variable is a term (in Prolog: starts with uppercase letter)
- Functions are terms, defined recursively:
- if s_1, \dots, s_k are terms, and f is an k -ary function symbol, then $f(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ is a term

More Details

CMPUT 325

- Functions and predicates in Prolog also start with lowercase letter
- Only variables start with uppercase
- Examples of predicates with variables:
 - `append(X, Y, Z)`
 - `p(X, f(Y), g(Z))`
- Note that in Prolog, the role of functions is **very** different
- Function symbols are mostly used to structure data, not used for computation
- We compute by doing inference with predicates

Binding Variables

CMPUT 325

- As in Lisp, variables can be *bound* to values
- The mechanisms for doing the binding are different
- The simplest one uses `=`, as in `x = 2`
- There are other ways to bind variables using unification, see later

Prolog Program

CMPUT 325

- A Prolog program is a collection of **clauses**
- A clause is either a **fact** or a **rule**
- Example of a fact:
 - `father(ken, mary) .`
- Example of a rule:
 - `parent(X,Y) :- father(X,Y) .`
- A Prolog program usually contains both rules and facts.
- Both rules and facts always end with a dot.
- If you forget it, Prolog will sit and wait for it (or give a syntax error).

More About Facts

CMPUT 325

- A fact is also called an unconditional clause.
- A fact like `father(ken, mary) .` means that this predicate is unconditionally true within the current program.
- Facts and rules can also contain variables. Those are always **universally quantified**.
- Example: `awesome(X) .` in Prolog means:
 - In logic: $\forall X \text{ awesome}(X)$
 - In words: “everything is awesome”

More About Rules

CMPUT 325

- `parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y) .`
- The `:-` symbol can be read as “if”
- Rules are **conditional** clauses
- The **head** of the clause is `parent(X, Y)`
- It is true under the condition that the **body** `father(X, Y)` is true
- It may be true in other cases as well,
if there are more clauses with `parent` as head

Rules with Multiple Predicates in the Body; Multiple Rules

CMPUT 325

- The body can have a list of atoms
- All of them must be true to imply that the head is true
- `banana(X) :- yellow(X), fruit(X),
bendy(X) .`
- This rule means that all yellow bendy fruits are bananas.
- It does **NOT** mean that all bananas must be yellow bendy fruits.
- We can add more rules such as
- `banana(X) :- green(X), vegetable(X),
notBent(X) .`

Rules and Logical Consequence

CMPUT 325

- `parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y) .`
- X is a parent of Y **if** X is a father of Y
- `parent(X, Y)` is true whenever `father(X, Y)` is true
- `father(X, Y)` implies `parent(X, Y)`
- In logic: $\forall X \forall Y \text{ father}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{parent}(X, Y)$
- In words: for all X and all Y: if X is a father of Y, then X is a parent of Y

General Form of Prolog Rules

CMPUT 325

- $A :- B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n.$
- A and all B_i are atoms
- A is the head of the clause
- The list of B_i 's is the body
- In logic: $(B_1 \wedge B_2 \wedge \dots \wedge B_n) \rightarrow A$
- In words: whenever all B_i are true, A is also true
- As before, universally quantified over all variables in the clause (see next slide)

Universal Quantifiers for Prolog Rules

CMPUT 325

- Given a rule $A :- B1, B2, \dots, Bn.$
- Assume $X1, \dots, Xm$ are all the variables appearing anywhere within the rule
- In logic, this is same as having universal quantifiers for all the Xi :
- $\forall X1 \forall X2 \dots \forall Xm$
 $(B1 \wedge B2 \wedge \dots \wedge Bn) \rightarrow A$

Facts as Special Cases of Rules

CMPUT 325

- Fact A .
- Rule $A \text{ :- } B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$.
- We can view a fact as a special case of a rule with zero atoms in the body
- We could also write the fact as $A \text{ :- true}$. but it just adds clutter.
- “If true then A ” is just a cluttered way of saying “ A ”.

Example Prolog Program - Family Tree

CMPUT 325

```
grandparent(X,Z) :- parent(X,Y), parent(Y,Z).  
parent(X,Y) :- father(X,Y).  
parent(X,Y) :- mother(X,Y).
```

```
father(ken, mary).  
mother(lily, mary).  
mother(mary, john).
```

- Some facts about father and mother relations
- General rules about parents and grandparents
- First we load this program called `family.pl` into Prolog with `[family], or consult(family).`

Example Prolog Program - Family Tree continued

CMPUT 325

- After loading, we can ask Prolog about which family relations follow from the facts and rules above
- `?- grandparent(ken, john) .`
- Prolog will say **yes**
- `?- grandparent(mary, ken) .`
- Prolog will say **no**
- We can also consult user, as in `[user]`, to read Prolog code from the terminal. Stop with Ctrl-D to get back to the usual prompt.

General Form of Prolog Queries

CMPUT 325

- A query is also called a goal
- General form $?- C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k.$
- $?-$ is the Prolog prompt, waiting for your queries
- C_i are atoms, called subgoals
- Don't. forget. the. dot. at. the. end. Or. nothing. will. happen.
- Prolog will try to prove all of C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k , using the facts and rules in your program
- Does C_1 and C_2 and ... and C_k follow logically from your program?
- Equivalently: if all the statements in your program are true, then is the conjunction C_1 and C_2 and ... and C_k also true?

Prolog Execution

CMPUT 325

- Rough outline of algorithm:
- to solve a goal $?- C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k.$
- Try to solve each subgoal C_i from left to right
- To solve a subgoal, find a clause in the program whose head can be “matched” with the subgoal
- Replace the subgoal by the body of the clause
- Apply the variable bindings, if any
- This step is a little bit like function application in Lisp
- If all subgoals are eventually solved, then the original goal is solved.

Sample of Execution

CMPUT 325

- Query: `grandparent (ken, john) .`
- Try to match with head of clause
`grandparent (X, Z) :- parent (X, Y) ,
parent (Y, Z) .`
- The match works if we bind the variables as follows:
- `X = ken` and `Z = john`
- Now we replace the original query with the body, after substituting the variables:
- New query: `parent (ken, Y) , parent (Y, john) .`
- Note that `Y` is still an unbound variable.

Sample of Execution - Continued

CMPUT 325

- New query: `parent(ken, Y), parent(Y, john) .`
- Important: in queries, free variables are **existentially quantified**
- We do **not** need to show that the query is true **for all Y**
- We only need to find at least one solution:
There exists some Y such that `parent(ken, Y)` and `parent(Y, john)` are both true (for the same choice of Y)

Sample of Execution - Continued

CMPUT 325

- New query: `parent(ken, Y), parent(Y, john).`
- To show `parent(ken, Y)`,
we can use `parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y).`
- This gives subgoal `father(ken, Y).`
- The overall goal at this step is
`father(ken, Y), parent(Y, john).`
- `father(ken, Y)` matches the fact
`father(ken, mary).`
- So `father(ken, Y)` can be solved
by setting `Y = mary.`

Sample of Execution - Continued

CMPUT 325

- Query: `parent(ken, Y), parent(Y, john) .`
- `father(ken, Y)` solved by setting `Y = mary.`
- Remaining goal now: `parent(mary, john) .`
- To solve `parent(mary, john) .` we can use `parent(X, Y) :- mother(X, Y) .`
- So, must solve `mother(mary, john) .`
- Easy! This is a fact in our program. Finally, all subgoals solved!
- The original query `?- grandparent(ken, john) .` is answered with `yes` . Prolog found a proof for it!
- How exactly did it find this proof? More next time.

Summary of Prolog Intro

CMPUT 325

- Discussed basics of Prolog syntax and its foundation in logic
- Sample program for family tree
- Sample execution steps - how queries can be resolved by matching with head of rule, then replacing it by body
- The matching may involve binding variables
- How to select the rule to match, and what if the matching fails? More next time.