

# On abelian 2-ramification torsion modules of quadratic fields

Jianing Li<sup>1</sup>, Yi Ouyang<sup>2,†</sup> & Yue Xu<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Shandong University, Qingdao 266237, China;

<sup>2</sup>CAS Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

Email: [lijn@sdu.edu.cn](mailto:lijn@sdu.edu.cn), [yiyouyang@ustc.edu.cn](mailto:yiyouyang@ustc.edu.cn), [wasx250@mail.ustc.edu.cn](mailto:wasx250@mail.ustc.edu.cn)

Received January 27, 2021; accepted January 19, 2022; published online May 11, 2022

**Abstract** For a number field  $F$  and a prime number  $p$ , the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -torsion module of the Galois group of the maximal abelian pro- $p$  extension of  $F$  unramified outside  $p$  over  $F$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$ , is an important subject in the abelian  $p$ -ramification theory. In this paper, we study the group  $\mathcal{T}_2(F) = \mathcal{T}_2(m)$  of the quadratic field  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ . Firstly, assuming  $m > 0$ , we prove an explicit 4-rank formula for quadratic fields that  $\text{rk}_4(\mathcal{T}_2(-m)) = \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{T}_2(-m)) - \text{rank}(R)$ , where  $R$  is a certain explicitly described Rédei matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Furthermore, using this formula, we obtain the 4-rank density formula of  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -groups of imaginary quadratic fields. Secondly, for  $l$  an odd prime, we obtain the results about the 2-power divisibility of orders of  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm 2l)$ , both of which are cyclic 2-groups. In particular, we find that  $\#\mathcal{T}_2(l) \equiv 2\#\mathcal{T}_2(2l) \equiv h_2(-2l) \pmod{16}$  if  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , where  $h_2(-2l)$  is the 2-class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2l})$ . We then obtain the density results for  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm 2l)$  when the orders are small. Finally, based on our density results and numerical data, we propose distribution conjectures about  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  when  $F$  varies over real or imaginary quadratic fields for any prime  $p$ , and about  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm 2l)$  when  $l$  varies, in the spirit of Cohen-Lenstra heuristics. Our conjecture in the  $\mathcal{T}_2(l)$  case is closely connected to Shanks-Sime-Washington's speculation on the distributions of the zeros of 2-adic  $L$ -functions and to the distributions of the fundamental units.

**Keywords** quadratic fields, density theorems, abelian 2-ramification

**MSC(2020)** 11R45, 11R11, 11R37

**Citation:** Li J N, Ouyang Y, Xu Y. On abelian 2-ramification torsion modules of quadratic fields. *Sci China Math*, 2022, 65: 2459–2482, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-021-1946-0>

## 1 Introduction

Let  $p$  be a prime number. For a number field  $F$ , let  $M = M(F, p)$  be the maximal abelian pro- $p$  extension of  $F$  unramified outside  $p$ . By the class field theory,  $\text{Gal}(M/F)$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of rank  $r_2(F) + \delta_p(F) + 1$ , where  $r_2(F)$  is the number of complex places of  $F$  and  $\delta_p(F) \geq 0$  is the Leopoldt defect of  $F$  at  $p$ . Leopoldt's conjecture is that  $\delta_p(F) = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $F$  and this has been proved when  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  is abelian. We call the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -torsion subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(M/F)$ , a finite abelian  $p$ -group, the  $\mathcal{T}_p$ -group of  $F$  and denote it by  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$ . The study of  $\text{Gal}(M/F)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  which goes back to fundamental contributions

<sup>†</sup> Current address: Hefei National Laboratory, Hefei 230088, China

\* Corresponding author

of Serre, Shafarevich and Brumer (see [8]), is the so-called abelian  $p$ -ramification theory. We refer the readers to the historical survey by Gras [8] for this theory, in which the  $p$ -rank formula for  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  due to himself is stated. When  $F$  is totally real, by assuming  $\delta_p(F) = 0$ , the work of Coates [2] and Colmez [3] shows that the order of  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  is essentially the residue of the  $p$ -adic zeta function of  $F$  up to a  $p$ -adic unit. This motivates us to study the group structure of  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  in more detail. Like class groups, the study of  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  can be much more explicit in the case where  $F$  is a quadratic field and  $p = 2$ . In this paper, we mainly consider this case, and our main purpose is to study the distribution of  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)$  when  $F$  varies in a certain family of quadratic fields.

Note that the structure of a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $A$  is completely determined by its  $p^i$ -rank

$$\mathrm{rk}_{p^i}(A) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} p^{i-1}A/p^iA$$

for all  $i$ . As a consequence, to study  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$ , it is necessary and sufficient to study  $\mathrm{rk}_{p^i}(\mathcal{T}_p(F))$  for all  $i$ .

The general  $p$ -rank formula for  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  becomes very explicit for  $p = 2$  and  $F$  quadratic, after a computation of genus class numbers (see Theorem 2.1). If  $F$  is imaginary quadratic, we prove that an explicit 4-rank formula of  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)$ , namely,  $\mathrm{rk}_4(\mathcal{T}_2(F))$  is the difference of  $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathcal{T}_2(F))$  and the rank of a certain explicitly described Rédei matrix (see Theorem 2.4). This formula is new and is analogous to the classical 4-rank formula for narrow class groups of quadratic fields. Applying this result, we deduce the following 4-rank density formula for  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -groups of imaginary quadratic fields, which is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1** (4-rank density formula for  $\mathcal{T}_2$  of imaginary quadratic fields). *For integers  $t \geq 1$  and  $r \geq 0$ , and a real number  $x > 0$ , put*

$$\begin{aligned} N_x &:= \{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid m \leq x \text{ squarefree}\}, \\ N_{t;x} &:= \{m \in N_x \mid \text{exactly } t \text{ prime numbers are ramified in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})\}, \\ T_{t;x}^r &:= \{m \in N_{t;x} \mid \mathrm{rk}_4(\mathcal{T}_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m}))) = r\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Then for any integer  $r \geq 0$ , the limit  $d_{\infty,r}^T$ , which is defined by*

$$d_{\infty,r}^T := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#T_{t;x}^r}{\#N_{t;x}} \quad (1.1)$$

*exists and*

$$d_{\infty,r}^T = \frac{\prod_{i=r+2}^{\infty} (1 - 2^{-i})}{2^{r(r+1)} \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - 2^{-i})} = \frac{\eta_{\infty}(2)}{2^{r(r+1)} \eta_r(2) \eta_{r+1}(2)}, \quad (1.2)$$

*where  $\eta_s(q) := \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - q^{-i})$  for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $q \geq 2$  and  $\eta_0(q) := 1$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** Theorem 1.1 is analogue to the density theorem of Gerth [5] on the 4-rank of narrow class groups of quadratic fields, and to the theorem of Yue and Yu [24] on the 4-rank of the tame kernel of quadratic fields.

We then turn to study the  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -groups of subfamilies of quadratic fields, namely,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm l})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm 2l})$  where  $l$  is an odd prime. For simplicity, write  $\mathcal{T}_2(m)$  for  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}))$ ,  $t_2(m)$  for its order and  $h_2(m)$  for the 2-class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ . Such questions for  $\mathcal{T}_2(l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(2l)$  have been studied by many researchers before. For example, consider  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{l})$  and let  $L_2(1, \chi_l)$  be the 2-adic  $L$ -function, where  $\chi_l$  is the quadratic character associated with  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{l})$ . By recalling that  $h_2(l) = 1$ , we see that Coates' order formula (see [2, Appendix 1] or Proposition 3.3) directly relates  $\#\mathcal{T}_2(l)$  to the 2-adic regulator of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{l})$  and therefore to the 2-adic valuation of  $L_2(1, \chi_l)$  by the class number formula. The latter two objects and their relation to  $h_2(-l)$  and  $h_2(-2l)$  have been studied by Kaplan and Williams [10], Leonard and Williams [13], Williams [23] and Shanks et al. [19]. However, it seems that there is no study for  $\mathcal{T}_2(-l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(-2l)$  before.

By the 2-rank formula (2.7),  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm l) = \mathcal{T}_2(\pm 2l) = 0$  if  $l \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm 2l)$  are nontrivial 2-cyclic groups if  $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ . Applying our 4-rank formula and Coates' order formula for totally real fields, we obtain the following results:

- (Theorem 3.1) Determine the congruent conditions for  $l$  satisfying  $t_2(-l)$  or  $t_2(-2l)$  equal to 2, 4 and greater than or equal to 8, and hence find the respective densities;
- (Theorem 3.7) Determine the conditions for  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  satisfying  $t_2(l)$  equal to 4, 8 and greater than or equal to 16, and deduce the formula

$$t_2(l) \equiv 2t_2(2l) \equiv h_2(-2l) \pmod{16}. \quad (1.3)$$

- (Proposition 3.9) Determine the conditions for  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  satisfying  $t_2(l)$  or  $t_2(2l)$  equal to 2 or 4.

Here, Theorem 3.1 is new, Theorem 3.7 is an improvement of the result in [13] and Proposition 3.9 is essentially a summary of the results in [10, 13, 23] by using the language of  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -groups.

For the real case, we then have the following density result which is inspired by the work on the distribution of 2-adic valuation of  $L_2(1, \chi_l)$  in [19].

**Theorem 1.3.** For  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $e \in \{0, 1\}$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv (-1)^e \pmod{8}, t_2(l) = 2^{i+1+e}\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv (-1)^e \pmod{8}\}} = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv (-1)^e \pmod{8}, t_2(2l) = 2^{i+1}\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv (-1)^e \pmod{8}\}} = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}. \quad (1.5)$$

In the last section, we present several conjectures in light of the density results we proved in the spirit of Cohen-Lenstra heuristics. We present the computational evidence for our conjectures in Appendixes A and B.

## 2 The rank and density formulas for quadratic imaginary fields

### 2.1 Notations

We use the following notations.

(1) For a general number field  $F$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_F$  is the ring of integers of  $F$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$  is the group of units of  $F$ ,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are respectively the numbers of real and complex places of  $F$ , and  $n = r_1 + 2r_2 = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ . For a finite place  $v$  of  $F$ , we let  $U_v$  and  $U_{1,v}$  be the groups of local units and principal local units, respectively. For  $v$  infinite, let  $U_v = F_v^\times$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}_F$  be the adèle ring of  $F$ . The idèle group of  $F$ , as the units of  $\mathbb{A}_F$ , is denoted by  $\mathbb{A}_F^\times$ .

Let

$$F^+ = \{\alpha \in F \mid v(\alpha) > 0 \text{ for all real places } v \text{ of } F\}$$

be the subgroup of  $F^\times$  of totally real elements. Hence,  $F^\times / F^+$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space of dimension  $r_1$ , by the approximation theorem.

Let  $S = S_p$  be the set of primes of  $F$  lying above  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_S$ ,  $E_S$ ,  $\text{Cl}_S$  and  $\text{Cl}_S^+$  denote the ring of  $S$ -integers, the group of  $S$ -units, the  $S$ -class group and the narrow  $S$ -class group of  $F$ , respectively. Let  $E_S^+ = E_S \cap F^+$  and  $U_{1,S} = \prod_{v \in S} U_{1,v}$ .

(2) In the special case where  $F$  is a quadratic field, write  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ , and then  $(r_1, r_2)$  equals  $(2, 0)$  if  $m > 0$  and equals  $(0, 1)$  if  $m < 0$ . Let  $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) = \{1, \sigma\}$ . Let  $\text{Cl}(m)$ ,  $\text{Cl}_p(m)$ ,  $h(m)$ ,  $h_p(m)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_p(m)$  be the class group, the  $p$ -class group, the class number, the  $p$ -class number and the  $\mathcal{T}_p$ -group of  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ , respectively. Let  $t_p(m) = \#\mathcal{T}_p(m)$ .

If  $p = 2$ , the size of  $S$  is 2 if 2 splits and 1 if 2 is not split in  $F$ . If  $F$  is imaginary,  $F^+ = F^\times$  and  $\sigma$  is the restriction of complex conjugation on  $F$ .

(3) For any abelian group  $A$ ,  $A[n]$  is the  $n$ -torsion subgroup of  $A$  and  $A[p^\infty]$  is the  $p$ -primary part of  $A$ . For a finite abelian group  $A$  and a positive integer  $i$ , the  $p^i$ -rank  $\text{rk}_{p^i}(A) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} p^{i-1}A/p^iA$ . If  $A$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space,  $\dim A := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} A$  is its dimension.

(4) For Jacobi, 2nd Hilbert and Artin symbols with values in  $\mu_2 = \{1, -1\}$ , we use  $[\cdot]$  instead of  $(\cdot)$  to represent the corresponding additive symbols with values in  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ .

## 2.2 The 2-rank and 4-rank formulas in general

For  $F$  a general number field, we recall some facts about  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$ , all of which are standard consequences of the global class field theory (see, for example, [22, Theorem 13.4]). The closed subgroup  $F^\times \prod_{v \notin S} \overline{U_v}$  of  $\mathbb{A}_F^\times$  corresponds to the maximal abelian extension of  $F$  unramified outside  $S$ . Set

$$\mathcal{A}_F := \mathbb{A}_F^\times / \overline{F^\times \prod_{v \notin S} U_v}. \quad (2.1)$$

As it was shown in the proof of [22, Theorem 13.4], the induced Artin map  $\mathcal{A}_F \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(M/F)$  is surjective and has the finite kernel of the prime-to- $p$  order, and thus it induces a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_F^{\text{pro-}p} \cong \text{Gal}(M/F),$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_F^{\text{pro-}p}$  is the pro- $p$ -part of  $\mathcal{A}_F$ . Let  $H$  be the  $p$ -Hilbert class field of  $F$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(H/F) \cong \text{Cl}_p(F)$  canonically. Let  $\phi$  be the canonical diagonal embedding  $F \hookrightarrow \prod_{v \in S} F_v$  and  $E_{1,S} = \phi^{-1}(U_{1,S}) \cap \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ . By the class field theory, the following diagram is commutative with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & U_{1,S}/\overline{\phi(E_{1,S})} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_F^{\text{pro-}p} & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}_p(F) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(M/H) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(M/F) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(H/F) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (2.2)$$

The group  $U_{1,S}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of rank  $n = r_1 + 2r_2$  and the submodule  $\overline{\phi(E_{1,S})}$  is of rank  $r_1 + r_2 - 1 - \delta_p(F)$  for some integer  $\delta_p(F) \geq 0$ . It follows that  $\text{Gal}(M/F)$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of rank  $r_2 + 1 + \delta_p(F)$ . Leopoldt (see [22, Theorem 5.25]) conjectured that  $\delta_p(F)$  is always 0 and this has been proved when  $F$  is abelian over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$ , by definition the torsion subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(M/F)$ , is finite and

$$\mathcal{T}_p(F) \cong \mathcal{A}_F[p^\infty], \quad (2.3)$$

and the  $p$ -rank of  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  is given by

$$\text{rk}_p(\mathcal{T}_p(F)) = \text{rk}_p(\text{Gal}(M/F)) - r_2 - 1 - \delta_p(F). \quad (2.4)$$

From now on, we identify  $\mathcal{A}_F[p^\infty]$  with  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$ . By abuse of notation, we write  $\mathcal{A}_F$  and  $\text{Gal}(M/F)$  additively. Let  $L$  be the maximal abelian extension of  $F$  which is of exponent  $p$  and unramified outside  $p$ . Then  $L$  is the intermediate field of  $M/F$  fixed by  $p\text{Gal}(M/F)$ . The induced Artin map

$$\mathcal{A}_F \rightarrow \text{Gal}(M/F)$$

has the kernel consisting of prime-to- $p$ -torsion elements, and hence is contained in  $p\mathcal{A}_F$  and the induced map

$$\mathcal{A}_F/p\mathcal{A}_F \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/F)$$

is an isomorphism. The kernel of the composite map

$$\varphi: \mathcal{T}_p(F)[p] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_F/p\mathcal{A}_F = \text{Gal}(L/F)$$

is

$$\mathcal{T}_p(F)[p] \cap p\mathcal{A}_F = p\mathcal{T}_p(F)[p^2],$$

which is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -space of dimension  $\text{rk}_{p^2}(\mathcal{T}_p(F))$ . This gives the identity

$$\text{rk}_{p^2}(\mathcal{T}_p(F)) = \text{rk}_p(\mathcal{T}_p(F)) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Im}(\varphi). \quad (2.5)$$

We first derive the 2- and 4-rank formulas of  $\mathcal{T}_2$  for a general number field, and then obtain the 2-rank formula for a quadratic field. The general 2-rank formula (2.6) below was proved in [6, Théorème I 3], and the 4-rank formula is quite routine.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $F$  be a number field,  $S$  be the set of primes in  $F$  above 2 and  $\text{Cl}_S^+$  be the narrow  $S$ -class group of  $F$ .

(1) (See [6]) The 2-rank of  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)$  is given by the formula

$$\text{rk}_2 \mathcal{T}_2(F) = \#S + \text{rk}_2(\text{Cl}_S^+) - 1 - \delta_2(F). \quad (2.6)$$

In particular, if  $m$  is a squarefree integer with  $t$  odd prime factors, then for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ ,

$$\text{rk}_2(\mathcal{T}_2(F)) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \text{ for all odd prime } q \mid m, \\ t-1, & \text{if } q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \text{ for some odd prime } q \mid m. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

(2) Suppose that  $A$  is a finite set of idèles which generates

$$\mathcal{T}_2(F) \subset \mathcal{A}_F := \mathbb{A}_F^\times / \overline{F^\times \prod_{v \nmid 2} U_v}.$$

Suppose that  $B$  is a finite set of elements in  $F^\times$  such that  $F(\sqrt{B})$  is the maximal abelian extension of  $F$  of exponent 2 unramified outside 2. For  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , let

$$[a, b] = \log_{-1}(a, F(\sqrt{b})) \in \mathbb{F}_2$$

be the additive Artin symbol. Let  $R = ([a, b])_{a \in A, b \in B}$ . Then

$$\text{rk}_4(\mathcal{T}_2(F)) = \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{T}_2(F)) - \text{rank}(R). \quad (2.8)$$

**Remark 2.2.** (1) The minimal size of  $A$  is  $\text{rk}_2(\mathcal{T}_2(F))$ , and the minimal size of  $B$  is

$$\text{rk}_2(\text{Gal}(M/F)) = \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{T}_2(F)) + r_2(F) + 1 + \delta_2(F).$$

Moreover, if  $F(\sqrt{b})$  is contained in a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -extension of  $F$ , then  $[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a \in A$  and we can delete the corresponding row in  $R$ .

(2) The  $p^2$ -rank formula for  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  in the case  $\mu_p \subseteq F$  can be proved similarly, as the kernel of the map

$$\mathcal{T}_p(F)[p] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_F/p\mathcal{A}_F \cong \text{Gal}(L/F)$$

is  $p\mathcal{T}_p[p^2]$ . Moreover, one can similarly deduce the formula

$$\text{rk}_{p^{i+1}}(\mathcal{T}_p(F)) = \text{rk}_{p^i}(\mathcal{T}_p(F)) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Im}(\mathcal{T}_p(F)[p^i] \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)).$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* We are in the case  $p = 2$ . Then  $L$  is the maximal abelian extension of  $F$  of exponent 2 unramified outside  $S$ . By the Kummer theory,  $L = F(\sqrt{J})$ , where  $J$  is the finite subgroup of  $F^\times/F^{\times 2}$  given by

$$J := \{\beta \in F^\times \mid \beta \mathcal{O}_S = \mathfrak{b}^2 \text{ for some } \mathcal{O}_S\text{-fractional ideal } \mathfrak{b} \text{ of } F\} / (F^\times)^2. \quad (2.9)$$

(1) First, suppose that  $F$  is general. The non-degeneracy of the Kummer pairing  $J \times \text{Gal}(L/F) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  then implies

$$\text{rk}_2 \text{Gal}(M/F) = \dim \text{Gal}(L/F) = \dim J. \quad (2.10)$$

Let  $\text{pr}$  be the natural projection  $\text{Cl}_S^+ \rightarrow \text{Cl}_S$  and  $\text{Cl}_{S,+} = \text{pr}(\text{Cl}_S^+[2]) \subset \text{Cl}_S[2]$ . For  $[\beta] \in J$  and  $\beta \mathcal{O}_S = \mathfrak{b}^2$ , then the class map  $\text{cl}_S(\mathfrak{b})$  lies in  $\text{Cl}_{S,+}$ . This gives an exact sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector spaces, i.e.,

$$1 \rightarrow E_S^+ / E_S^2 \rightarrow J \xrightarrow{\beta \mapsto \text{cl}_S(\mathfrak{b})} \text{Cl}_{S,+} \rightarrow 1. \quad (2.11)$$

Let  $F^\times \mathcal{O}_S = \{\alpha \mathcal{O}_S \mid \alpha \in F^\times\}$  and  $F^+ \mathcal{O}_S = \{\alpha \mathcal{O}_S \mid \alpha \in F^+\}$ . Then  $\ker \text{pr} = F^\times \mathcal{O}_S / F^+ \mathcal{O}_S \subset \text{Cl}_S^+[2]$ . This gives an exact sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector spaces

$$1 \rightarrow F^\times \mathcal{O}_S / F^+ \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \text{Cl}_S^+[2] \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{S,+} \rightarrow 1. \quad (2.12)$$

We also have the following natural exact sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector spaces:

$$1 \rightarrow E_S/E_S^+ \rightarrow F^\times/F^+ \rightarrow F^\times \mathcal{O}_S/F^+ \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 1. \quad (2.13)$$

Combining the above results, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{rk}_2 \mathrm{Gal}(M/F) &= \dim E_S^+/E_S^2 + \dim \mathrm{Cl}_{S,+} \\ &= \dim E_S^+/E_S^2 + \dim \mathrm{Cl}_S^+[2] - r_1 + \dim E_S/E_S^+ \\ &= \dim E_S/E_S^2 + \dim \mathrm{Cl}_S^+[2] - r_1 \\ &= r_2 + \#S + \dim \mathrm{Cl}_S^+[2], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dim F^\times/F^+ = r_1$  by the approximation theorem, and  $\dim E_S/E_S^2 = r_1 + r_2 + \#S$  by Dirichlet's unit theorem that  $E_S \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r_1+r_2+\#S-1} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  with  $d$  even. By (2.4), we then get the general 2-rank formula (2.6) for  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -group of a general base field (see [6] for a slightly different approach).

Now suppose that  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  is a quadratic field. Then  $\delta_2(F) = 0$ . Write  $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  has class number 1, we conclude that  $\mathrm{Cl}_S^+[2] = (\mathrm{Cl}_S^+)^G$ . Recall that  $t$  is the number of odd prime factors of  $m$ . Applying the  $S$ -narrow version of the ambiguous class number formula (see, for example, [16, Remark 4.5]) gives the following result:

$$\dim(\mathrm{Cl}_S^+)^G = \begin{cases} t-2, & \text{if } 2 \text{ splits and } 2 \notin N(F), \\ t-1, & \text{if } 2 \text{ splits and } 2 \in N(F) \text{ or } 2 \text{ does not split and } 2 \notin N(F), \\ t, & \text{if } 2 \text{ does not split and } 2 \in N(F). \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

By Lemma 2.3 below,  $2 \in N(F)$  if and only if  $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  for all the odd primes  $q \mid m$ , and then the 2-rank formula (2.7) for  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  follows.

(2) We may assume that  $\tilde{B} = \{b \pmod{F^{\times 2}} \mid b \in B\}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of  $J$ . Then

$$\mathrm{Gal}(L/F) \hookrightarrow \prod_{b \in B} \mathrm{Gal}(F(\sqrt{b})/F)$$

is an isomorphism. Written additively, the map  $\varphi$  sends  $a \in \mathcal{T}_2(F)[2] \subset \mathcal{A}_F$  to  $([a, F(\sqrt{b})])_{b \in B}$ . Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathrm{Im}(\varphi))$  is nothing but the rank of  $([a, b])_{a \in A, b \in B}$ . By (2.5), we get the 4-rank formula.  $\square$

We have the following easy lemma to transform the norm conditions into congruent conditions.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $m$  be a positive squarefree integer,  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$  and  $\tilde{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \in N(F) &\Leftrightarrow 2 \in N(\tilde{F}) \Leftrightarrow q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \text{ for all odd prime } q \mid m, \\ -2 \in N(\tilde{F}) &\Leftrightarrow q \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8} \text{ for all odd prime } q \mid m, \\ -1 \in N(\tilde{F}) &\Leftrightarrow q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ for all odd prime } q \mid m. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Hasse's norm theorem and the product formula,  $2 \in N(F)$  if and only if  $2 \in N(F_v)$  for all but one prime  $v$  of  $F$ . If  $v \nmid 2m$ , then  $v$  is always unramified and  $2 \in N(F_v)$  by the local class field theory. For an odd prime  $q \mid m$ ,  $q$  is ramified in  $F$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be the unique ramified prime of  $F$  above  $q$ . Then  $2 \in N(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  if and only if the Hilbert symbol  $(2, -m)_{\mathfrak{q}} = 1$ , which is equivalent to that  $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ . If 2 splits in  $F$ , then  $v \mid 2$  is unramified and  $2 \in N(F_v)$ ; in other cases, there is only one prime  $v$  above 2 which can be excluded from consideration. Hence,  $2 \in N(F)$  if and only if  $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  for every odd prime  $q \mid m$ . The other cases can be proved similarly.  $\square$

### 2.3 The explicit 4-rank formula for imaginary quadratic fields

We turn to work on the imaginary quadratic field case. We work out  $A$  and  $B$  explicitly for an imaginary quadratic field and hence obtain an explicit 4-rank formula in this case. This explicit formula will be used to deduce the 4-rank density formula of  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -groups of imaginary quadratic fields in the next subsection.

We suppose  $m > 0$  and  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ . Let  $\{q_1, \dots, q_t\}$  be the set of odd prime factors of  $m$ , arranged in such a way that  $q_i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  if  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $\pm 3 \pmod{8}$  if  $k < i \leq t$ . Note that  $k = 0$  if  $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  for all  $q \mid m$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $F$  above 2. Then  $\mathfrak{p}$  is either the unique prime above 2 or  $(2) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits in  $F$ , where  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \mathfrak{p}$  is the complex conjugate of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  be the unique prime of  $F$  above  $q_i$ . For an odd prime  $q$ , let  $q^* = (-1)^{(q-1)/2}q$ . Then  $q_i^*$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) and  $q_j^*q_{j'}$  ( $k < j, j' \leq t$ ) are squares in the 2-adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ .

Our explicit 4-rank formula for  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m}))$  is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** Suppose  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq t$ , we define the idèles  $a_i = (a_{i,v}) \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times$  as follows:

- (1)  $a_{0,\mathfrak{p}} = \sqrt{-1}$  if  $F_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})$ , and  $a_{0,\mathfrak{p}} = -1$  if  $(2) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits in  $F$ ;
- (2) if  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $a_{i,\mathfrak{q}_i} = \sqrt{-m}$  and  $a_{i,v} = \sqrt{q_i^*}$  for  $v \mid 2$ ;
- (3) if  $k < i < t$ ,  $a_{i,\mathfrak{q}_i} = a_{i,\mathfrak{q}_t} = \sqrt{-m}$  and  $a_{i,v} = \sqrt{q_i^*q_t^*}$  for  $v \mid 2$ ;
- (4) for all other places  $v$ ,  $a_{i,v} = 1$ . In particular,  $a_t = 1$  if  $k < t$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a generator of  $\mathfrak{p}^\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the order of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in the class group of  $F$ . If 2 is a norm of  $F$ , noting that  $m$  is a norm of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ , we write  $m = 2g^2 - h^2$  with  $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and define

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} h + \sqrt{-m}, & \text{if } 2 \in N(F) \setminus N\left(\left(\mathcal{O}_F\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\right)^\times\right), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

Let

$$A = \{a_0, \dots, a_t\} \subset \mathbb{A}_F^\times, \quad B = \{-1, q_1, \dots, q_t, \pi, \alpha\} \subset F^\times. \quad (2.16)$$

Then  $A$  and  $B \cup \{2\}$  satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 2.1(2), and  $[a, 2] = 0$  for  $a \in A$ . Hence,

$$\text{rk}_4(\mathcal{T}_2(F)) = \text{rk}_2(\mathcal{T}_2(F)) - \text{rank}(R), \quad \text{where } R = ([a, b])_{a \in A, b \in B}. \quad (2.17)$$

**Remark 2.5.** For  $F$  a general real quadratic field, it is still quite easy to find  $B$ , but the harder part is to find a set of generators  $A$  for  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)[2]$ . One reason is that it is not known how to obtain a system of explicit generators  $\text{Cl}(F)[2]$  for an arbitrary real quadratic field  $F$  by a general formula.

If  $t = 1$ , then  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$  or  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ . In this case, Theorem 2.4 can be verified directly. We assume  $t > 1$  in what follows. For an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $F$ , let  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{a})$  be its ideal class in  $\text{Cl}(F)$ , and  $\text{cl}_S(\mathfrak{a})$  be its class in the  $S$ -class group  $\text{Cl}_S$  of  $F$ .

Theorem 2.4 is then a consequence of the following three propositions.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $L$  be the maximal abelian extension of exponent 2 over  $F$ , unramified outside  $S$ . Then  $L = F(\sqrt{B'})$ , where  $B' = B \cup \{2\} = \{-1, 2, q_1, \dots, q_t, \pi, \alpha\}$ .

*Proof.* We include the proof, which is routine, for lack of exact references. Let  $J'$  be the subgroup of  $F^\times/(F^\times)^2$  generated by  $B'$ . It suffices to show that  $J' = J$  with  $J$  defined in (2.9).

We note that for all  $x \in B'$  and  $x \neq \alpha$ ,  $F(\sqrt{x})/F$  is unramified outside  $S$ . Thus if one can show that  $F(\sqrt{\alpha})/F$  is unramified outside  $S$ , then  $J' \subseteq J$ .

Suppose first that either  $2 \in N(E_S)$  or  $2 \notin N(F)$ . In this case,  $\alpha = 1$  and hence  $J' \subset J$ . We use the exact sequence (2.11) to show that  $J'$  is indeed equal to  $J$ . Since  $F$  is imaginary,  $F^+ = F^\times$ . (2.11) becomes the following exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow E_S/E_S^2 \rightarrow J \xrightarrow{g} \text{Cl}_S[2] \rightarrow 1. \quad (2.18)$$

Here, we recall that the map  $g$  sends  $\beta$  to  $\text{cl}_S(\mathfrak{b})$ , for  $\beta \in J$  satisfying  $\beta\mathcal{O}_S = \mathfrak{b}^2$  for some  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Clearly,  $E_S/E_S^2 \subset J'$ , as  $E_S$  is generated by  $-1, 2$  and  $\pi$ . Thus, in order to prove  $J' = J$ , it suffices to show that  $g(J') = \text{Cl}_S[2]$ . Let  $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $\text{Cl}_S^G = \text{Cl}_S[2]$ . Let  $I_S$  be the subgroup of fractional ideals of  $F$  which is generated by prime ideals not in  $S$ . There is an isomorphism (see [16, Section 4])

$$\text{Coker}(I_S^G \rightarrow \text{Cl}_S^G) \cong \left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]\right)^\times \cap N(F^\times)/N(E_S). \quad (2.19)$$



Since  $-1 \notin N(F)$  as  $F$  is imaginary, the assumption that either  $2 \in N(E_S)$  or  $2 \notin N(F^\times)$  precisely implies that the group on the right-hand side of (2.19) is trivial. Thus,  $\text{Cl}_S^G$  is generated by  $I_S^G$ . But  $I_S^G$  is generated by the ramified primes (see [16, Lemma 4.4]), it follows that  $\text{Cl}_S^G = \langle \mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_t \rangle$ . Since  $g(q_i) = \text{cl}_S(\mathfrak{q}_i)$  for each  $i$ , this proves  $g(J') = \text{Cl}_S^G = \text{Cl}_S[2]$ . Therefore, we have  $J' = J$  when either  $2 \in N(E_S)$  or  $2 \notin N(F)$ .

Suppose next that  $2 \in N(F)$  but  $2 \notin N(E_S)$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $q_i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Hence we can write  $m = 2g^2 - h^2$  for some  $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . In this case,  $\alpha = h + \sqrt{-m}$  (see (2.15)). Then  $\alpha + \bar{\alpha} = 2h$  and  $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = 2g^2$ , where  $\bar{\alpha}$  is the complex conjugate of  $\alpha$ . Clearly,  $\gcd(g, h) = 1$ . It follows that  $\gcd((\alpha), (\bar{\alpha})) \mid 2\mathcal{O}_F$ .

(1) If  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  or  $2 \mid m$ , then  $2\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}^2$  is ramified in  $F$  and  $g$  is odd. In this case,  $\mathfrak{p} \mid (\alpha)$  but  $2 \nmid (\alpha)$ , otherwise  $4 \mid \alpha\bar{\alpha} = 2g^2$ . Hence,  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p} \mid (\bar{\alpha})$  and  $\gcd((\alpha), (\bar{\alpha})) = \mathfrak{p}$ . Since the integral ideals  $(\alpha)\mathfrak{p}^{-1}$  and  $(\bar{\alpha})\mathfrak{p}^{-1}$  are coprime to each other and their product is a square, and hence there exists an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -integral ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that  $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{a}^2$ .

(2) If  $m \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $2\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits and  $g$  is even. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\mathfrak{p} \mid \alpha$ . Then  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \bar{\alpha}$  and hence  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \alpha = 2h - \bar{\alpha}$ . This means  $2 \mid \alpha$  and  $\gcd((\alpha), (\bar{\alpha})) = 2\mathcal{O}_F$ . Now  $\frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} = 2 \cdot (\frac{g}{2})^2$ , and then one and only one of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  divides  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ . Assume  $\mathfrak{p} \mid \frac{\alpha}{2}$ . Then the two integral ideals  $(\alpha/2)\mathfrak{p}^{-1}$  and  $(\bar{\alpha}/2)\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$  are coprime and their product is a square, and hence there exists an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -integral ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that  $(\alpha) = 2\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{a}^2$ .

Thus, in both cases, we have

$$\alpha\mathcal{O}_S = \mathfrak{a}^2\mathcal{O}_S. \quad (2.20)$$

This shows that  $F(\sqrt{\alpha})/F$  is unramified outside  $S$ . Hence  $J' \subset J$ . Following the same argument in the previous case and applying (2.18), to show  $J' = J$ , we just need to show  $g(J') = \text{Cl}_S[2] = \text{Cl}_S^G$ . If we can prove  $\text{Cl}_S^G = \langle \text{cl}_S(I_S^G), \text{cl}_S(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ , by the facts that  $\text{cl}_S(I_S^G) \subset g(J')$  and  $\text{cl}_S(\mathfrak{a}) = g(\alpha) \in g(J')$ , then we are done.

We are left to prove the claim  $\text{Cl}_S^G = \langle \text{cl}_S(I_S^G), \text{cl}_S(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ . By the isomorphism (2.19) and by our assumption  $2 \in N(F) \setminus N(E_S)$ , we have  $[\text{Cl}_S^G : \text{cl}_S(I_S^G)] = 2$ . Thus we just need to show  $\text{cl}_S(\mathfrak{a}) \notin \text{cl}_S(I_S^G)$ . Suppose, on the contrary,  $\text{cl}_S(\mathfrak{a}) \in \text{cl}_S(I_S^G)$ . Then we have  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{a}) \in \langle \text{cl}(I_S^G), \text{cl}(\mathfrak{p}), \text{cl}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) \rangle$ , since by definition,  $\text{Cl}_S = \text{Cl}_F / \langle \text{cl}(S) \rangle$ . Also note that  $\text{cl}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{cl}(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}$ . So we can write  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{cl}(\mathfrak{p})^{r_0} \prod_i \text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_i)^{r_i}$  for some integers  $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{a})^2 = \text{cl}(\mathfrak{p})^{2r_0}$ . But we have shown that  $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{a})^2 = \text{cl}(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{p}^{2r_0+1}$  would be principal, say  $\mathfrak{p}^{2r_0+1} = (\gamma)$ . This implies that  $2 = N(\gamma/2^{r_0}) \in N(E_S)$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $2 \in N(F^\times) \setminus N(E_S)$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *If  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\{\text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_1), \dots, \text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_{t-1})\}$  is a basis of the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space  $\text{Cl}(F)[2]$ . If  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\{\text{cl}(\mathfrak{p}), \text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_1), \dots, \text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_{t-1})\}$  is a basis of  $\text{Cl}(F)[2]$ . If  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\{\text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_1), \dots, \text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_t)\}$  is a basis of  $\text{Cl}(F)[2]$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is the classical genus theory and we refer to [4, Theorem 6.1] for the details.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $\hat{A}$  be the image of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}_F$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)[2] = \hat{A}$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $i$ ,  $a_i^2$  is clearly in  $\overline{F^\times \prod_{v \notin S} U_v}$ , and hence  $\hat{a}_i$ , the image of  $a_i$  in  $\mathcal{A}_F$ , is in  $\mathcal{A}_F[2] = \mathcal{T}_2(F)[2]$ , and  $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2(F)[2]$ . We have the following exact sequence of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector spaces induced from (2.2):

$$0 \rightarrow U_{1,S}/\overline{\phi(E_{1,S})}[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_2(F)[2] \xrightarrow{f} \text{Cl}(F)[2]. \quad (2.21)$$

Since  $E_{1,S} = \{\pm 1\}$ , the first term of (2.21) has the order 2 and is generated by  $\hat{a}_0$  if  $F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{Q}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})$ , and is trivial otherwise. Thus  $\dim \text{Ker}(f) = \dim \text{Ker}(f|_{\hat{A}}) = 1$  if  $F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{Q}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})$ , and 0 otherwise. By definition,  $f(\hat{a}_i) = \text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$  if  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $f(\hat{a}_j) = \text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_j)\text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_t)$  if  $k < j < t$ .

Suppose first that  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . In this case,  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  cannot be  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})$ , so  $\text{Ker}(f) = 0$  and  $\dim(\hat{A}) = \dim f(\hat{A})$ . If  $t = k$ , then  $\dim f(\hat{A}) = t$  by Lemma 2.7. Then  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)[2] = \hat{A}$  by the 2-rank formula (2.7) for  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)$ . If  $t > k$ , one can write

$$(f(\hat{a}_1), \dots, f(\hat{a}_k), f(\hat{a}_{k+1}\hat{a}_t), \dots, f(\hat{a}_{t-1}\hat{a}_t)) = (\text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_1), \dots, \text{cl}(\mathfrak{q}_t))M,$$



where  $M$  is a matrix of rank  $t-1$ . Note that  $\{\text{cl}(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, \text{cl}(\mathbf{q}_t)\}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of  $\text{Cl}(F)[2]$  by Lemma 2.7, and then  $\dim \hat{A} = \dim f(\hat{A}) = \text{rank}(M) = t-1$ . However,  $\dim \mathcal{T}_2(F)[2] = t-1$  by (2.7) if  $t > k$ , and hence  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)[2] = \hat{A}$ .

Suppose next that  $m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ . Then  $t-k$  is even and  $F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{Q}_2$  or  $F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})$ . If  $t = k$ , it follows from Lemma 2.7 that  $\dim f(\hat{A}) = t-1$  and hence  $\dim \hat{A} = t$  which coincides with  $\dim \mathcal{T}_2(F)[2]$  by (2.7). If  $t-k$  is positive and even, this time we can write

$$(f(\hat{a}_1), \dots, f(\hat{a}_k), f(\hat{a}_{k+1}\hat{a}_t), \dots, f(\hat{a}_{t-1}\hat{a}_t)) = (\text{cl}(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, \text{cl}(\mathbf{q}_{t-1}))M,$$

where  $M$  is a matrix of rank  $t-2$ . Note that  $\{\text{cl}(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, \text{cl}(\mathbf{q}_{t-1})\}$  is linearly independent by Lemma 2.7, and then  $\dim \hat{A} = \dim f(\hat{A}) + 1 = \text{rank}(M) + 1 = t-1$ , which coincides with  $\dim \mathcal{T}_2(F)[2]$  by the 2-rank formula (2.7). This proves  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)[2] = \hat{A}$  when  $m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ .

Finally, suppose that  $m \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ . It follows that  $t-k$  is an odd integer and the local field  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  cannot be  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})$ . Then

$$(f(\hat{a}_1), \dots, f(\hat{a}_k), f(\hat{a}_{k+1}\hat{a}_t), \dots, f(\hat{a}_{t-1}\hat{a}_t)) = (\text{cl}(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, \text{cl}(\mathbf{q}_{t-1}))M,$$

where  $M$  is a matrix of rank  $t-1$ . Thus  $\dim \hat{A} = \dim f(\hat{A}) = t-1$ , which coincides with  $\dim \mathcal{T}_2(F)[2]$  by the 2-rank formula (2.7). This proves  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)[2] = \hat{A}$  when  $m \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.**  $[a, 2] = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F(\sqrt{2})$  is the first layer of the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -extension of  $F$ , the proposition then follows from Remark 2.2(1).  $\square$

## 2.4 The 4-rank density formula

The aim of this subsection is to prove Theorem 1.1. We first give a simplification of the matrix  $R$  in Theorem 2.4 when 2 is not a norm of  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ . Although only the result in the case  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we also present the simplification in the case  $m \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$  for completeness.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ , where  $m$  is a positive squarefree integer. Let  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t$  be all the ramified prime numbers in  $F$  and assume that  $q_1 = 2$  if 2 is ramified in  $F$ . Set

$$R^C := \left( \left[ \frac{q_i, -m}{q_j} \right] \right)_{2 \leq i, j \leq t} \in M_{t-1}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

and

$$\tau := \begin{cases} \left( \left[ \frac{-2}{q_2} \right], \dots, \left[ \frac{-2}{q_t} \right] \right)^T, & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \left( \left[ \frac{2}{q_2} \right], \dots, \left[ \frac{2}{q_t} \right] \right)^T, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $2 \notin N(F)$ , then

$$\text{rk}_4 \mathcal{T}_2(F) = t-1 - \text{rank}(\tau, R^C). \quad (2.22)$$

**Remark 2.11.** A word on the notation: note that in the above theorem,  $q_1, \dots, q_t$  denote the ramified primes in  $F$  rather than the odd prime factors of  $m$  as used in Theorem 2.4 and in last subsection. Clearly, this makes no difference when  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

**Remark 2.12.** Recall that (see, for example, [16, Section 2]) the classical Rédei matrix for  $\text{Cl}_F$  is

$$R^{\text{Cl}} := \left( \left[ \frac{q_i, -m}{q_j} \right] \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq t} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rk}_4(\text{Cl}_F) = t-1 - \text{rank} R^{\text{Cl}}.$$

The matrix  $R^C$  defined above is obtained from  $R^{\text{Cl}}$  by deleting its first row and first column. When  $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ , using the quadratic reciprocity law, one sees that the sums of each row and of each column of  $R^{\text{Cl}}$  are zero, and hence  $\text{rank} R^C = \text{rank} R^{\text{Cl}}$ . Therefore,

$$\text{rk}_4 \text{Cl}_F = t-1 - \text{rank} R^C, \quad \text{if } m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.10.* Firstly, we consider the case where 2 is unramified, i.e.,  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $\text{rk}_2(\mathcal{T}_2(F)) = t - 1$  by Theorem 2.1.

(1) First assume  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . Then 2 is inert in  $F$ . Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^t \left[ \frac{-2}{q_i} \right] = \left[ \frac{-2}{m} \right] = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

Hence, we can rearrange  $\{q_1, \dots, q_t\}$  without changing the rank of  $(\tau, R^C)$ . In this case, the sets  $A$  and  $B$  of Theorem 2.4 are as follows:  $a_0 = a_t = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\pi = 2$ . But by Proposition 2.9,  $[a, 2] = 0$  for each  $a \in A$ . So we may assume that  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}\}$  and  $B = \{-1 := q_0, q_1, \dots, q_t\}$ . Clearly,  $B$  can be replaced by  $\{-1 := q_0^*, q_1^*, \dots, q_t^*\}$  as they generate the same group.

For  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , note that  $\sqrt{q_i^*} \in F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$  and define

$$a'_i := \left( \dots, \sqrt{q_i^*}, \dots, \sqrt{-m}, \dots \right) \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times.$$

Then we have  $a_i = a'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $a_j = a'_j a'_t$  for  $k < j \leq t - 1$ . Since  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ ,  $t - k$  must be odd. Then a direct computation shows

$$a_1 \cdots a_{t-1} \equiv a'_t \left( \text{mod} \left( \mathbb{A}_F^{\times 2}, F^\times \prod_{v \notin S} U_v \right) \right).$$

It follows that we may replace  $A$  by  $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_t\}$  as they generate the same group in  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\text{rk}_4 \mathcal{T}_2(F) = t - 1 - \text{rank}([a'_i, q_j^*])_{1 \leq i \leq t, 0 \leq j \leq t}.$$

Using the quadratic reciprocity law, for  $i, j \geq 1$ , one checks that

$$[a'_i, -1] = \left[ \frac{-2}{q_i} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad [a'_i, q_j^*] = \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_i} \right] = \left[ \frac{q_i, -m}{q_j} \right].$$

By the row-sum-zero and column-sum-zero property of the matrix mentioned in Remark 2.12 and the equation (2.23), we conclude that

$$\text{rk}_4 \mathcal{T}_2(F) = t - 1 - \text{rank}(\tau, R^C).$$

(2) Then assume  $m \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . The prime 2 splits in  $F$ . Note that  $t > k$  since  $2 \notin N(F)$ , and hence the element  $a_t \in A$  is trivial. We still replace  $B$  by  $\{-1 := q_0, \pi, q_1^*, \dots, q_t^*\}$ . Also note that both  $a_0 \in A$  and  $\pi \in B$  are nontrivial. We may choose the sign of  $\pi$  such that  $[\frac{\pi, -1}{\mathfrak{p}}] = 0$ . Then  $[a_0, \pi] = 0$ . The matrix  $R$  for  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)$  in Theorem 2.4 is

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ \left[ \frac{-1}{q_1} \right] & \left[ \frac{\sqrt{-m}, \pi}{q_1} \right] & \cdots & \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_1} \right] & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \left[ \frac{-1}{q_t q_{k+1}} \right] & \left[ \frac{\sqrt{-m}, \pi}{q_{k+1}} \right] + \left[ \frac{\sqrt{-m}, \pi}{q_t} \right] & \cdots & \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_{k+1}} \right] + \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_t} \right] & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \left[ \frac{-1}{q_t q_{t-1}} \right] & \left[ \frac{\sqrt{-m}, \pi}{q_{t-1}} \right] + \left[ \frac{\sqrt{-m}, \pi}{q_t} \right] & \cdots & \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_{t-1}} \right] + \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_t} \right] & \cdots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.24)$$

We make the following elementary operations on the matrix  $R$ : firstly, replace the first column by  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ , and replace the first row  $(\cdots)$  by  $(1, [\frac{\sqrt{-m}, \pi}{q_t}], \dots, [\frac{m, q_j^*}{q_t}], \dots)$ . Secondly, add the first row to the  $(k+2)$ -th,  $(k+3)$ -th,  $\dots$ ,  $t$ -th rows. Thirdly, move the first row to the bottom. Finally, delete the first row. It follows that the matrix  $R$  in (2.24) is equivalent to

$$(\tau, \beta, R^C), \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\beta := \left( \left[ \frac{\sqrt{-m}, \pi}{\mathfrak{q}_i} \right] \right)_{2 \leq i \leq t}^T.$$

By Lemma 2.13 below,  $\text{rank}(R) = \text{rank}(\tau, R^C)$ . This proves the case  $m \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  by Theorem 2.4.

Now we consider the case where 2 is ramified whence  $q_1 = 2$ . Then  $m = q_2 \cdots q_t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $m = 2q_2 \cdots q_t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Write  $2\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}^2$ . By our condition  $2 \notin N(F)$ ,  $B$  in Theorem 2.4 is  $B = \{q_0^* := -1, q_2^*, \dots, q_t^*\}$ .

(3) Suppose  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Then  $A = \{a_0, a_2, \dots, a_{t-1}\}$ . It is clear that the matrix  $R$  for  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)$  is

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_2} \right] & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_{k+1}} \right] + \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_t} \right] & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_{t-1}} \right] + \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_t} \right] & \cdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Firstly, replace the first row  $(\cdots)$  by  $(1, \dots, \left[ \frac{m, q_j^*}{q_t} \right], \dots)$ . Then we get a matrix whose rank equals  $1 + \text{rank} R$ . Secondly, add the first row to the  $(k+2)$ -th,  $(k+3)$ -th,  $\dots$ ,  $t$ -th rows. Finally, move the first row to the bottom. Now we get  $(\tau, R^C)$ . We have  $\text{rk}_2 \mathcal{T}_2(F) = t - 2$  by Theorem 2.1. Thus,

$$\text{rk}_4 \mathcal{T}_2(-m) = t - 2 - \text{rank} R = t - 1 - \text{rank}(\tau, R^C).$$

This proves the case  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . The arguments for the other cases are similar and we leave the details to the readers.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** Assume that  $m \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  having a prime factor  $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ . Then  $\beta$  is a sum of column vectors of  $R^C$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be the order of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\text{Cl}(F)$ . Suppose that  $\pi = \frac{c+d\sqrt{-m}}{2}$  with  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\pi\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}^\lambda$  and  $(\frac{-1, \pi}{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$ . Note that  $\lambda$  must be even; otherwise,  $2 = N(\pi 2^{-\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}) \in N(F)$  which contradicts the assumption. Write  $\lambda = 2\lambda'$ . Then we have a decomposition in  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$(2^{\lambda'+1} - c)(2^{\lambda'+1} + c) = md^2. \quad (2.26)$$

Since  $(\frac{-1, \pi}{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$ , it follows from the product formula that  $(\frac{-1, \pi}{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}) = 1$ . We obtain  $\pi \equiv 1 \pmod{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^2}$  and  $\bar{\pi} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}$ . But  $\mathfrak{p}^\lambda \mid \pi$  and  $\lambda$  is even, we have  $\pi \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}$ . Thus

$$c = \pi + \bar{\pi} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2} \Rightarrow c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

Then  $2^{\lambda'+1} - c$  and  $2^{\lambda'+1} + c$  are coprime, and by (2.26), there exist positive integers  $m_+, m_-, d_+$  and  $d_-$  such that  $m = m_+m_-$ ,  $d = d_+d_-$ ,  $2^{\lambda'+1} + c = m_+d_+^2$  and  $2^{\lambda'+1} - c = m_-d_-^2$ . In particular,  $m_+ \equiv c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $m_- \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ . We obtain

$$2c = m_+d_+^2 - m_-d_-^2.$$

Now the vector

$$\beta = \left( \left[ \frac{q_i, 2c}{q_i} \right] \right)_{1 \leq i \leq t}^T.$$

If  $q_i \mid m_-$ , noting that  $m_+ \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we have

$$\left[ \frac{q_i, 2c}{q_i} \right] = \left[ \frac{q_i, m_+}{q_i} \right] = \sum_{q \mid 2m_+} \left[ \frac{q_i, m_+}{q} \right] = \sum_{q \mid m_+} \left[ \frac{q_i, m_+}{q} \right] = \sum_{q \mid m_+} \left[ \frac{q_i, -m}{q} \right].$$

If  $q_i \mid m_+$ , noting that  $-m_- \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we also have

$$\left[ \frac{q_i, 2c}{q_i} \right] = \left[ \frac{q_i, -m_-}{q_i} \right] = \sum_{q \mid 2m_-} \left[ \frac{q_i, -m_-}{q} \right] = \sum_{q \mid m_-} \left[ \frac{q_i, -m_-}{q} \right] = \sum_{q \mid m_-} \left[ \frac{q_i, -m}{q} \right] = \sum_{q \mid m_+} \left[ \frac{q_i, -m}{q} \right].$$

This means that  $\beta$  is the sum of the column vectors  $([\frac{q_i, -m}{q_j}])_i^T$  for  $q_j \mid m_+$  of  $R^C$ .  $\square$

The rest of this subsection is dedicated to proving Theorem 1.1, which is based on the work of Gerth [5] and Yue and Yu [24]. As in the statement of Theorem 1.1,  $x$  always denotes a positive real number and  $t$  denotes a positive integer.

The set  $N_{t,x}$  is the disjoint union of subsets  $N_{t,x}^{(i)}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) defined by (all  $p_i$ 's are odd distinct primes)

$$\begin{aligned} N_{t,x}^{(1)} &:= \{m \in N_{t,x} \mid m = p_1 \cdots p_t \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\}, \\ N_{t,x}^{(2)} &:= \{m \in N_{t,x} \mid m = p_1 \cdots p_{t-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}, \\ N_{t,x}^{(3)} &:= \{m \in N_{t,x} \mid m = 2p_1 \cdots p_{t-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{4}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Following [5], we know that when  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \#N_{t,x}^{(1)} &\sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(t-1)!} \frac{x(\log \log x)^{t-1}}{\log x}, \\ \#N_{t,x}^{(2)} &\sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(t-2)!} \frac{x(\log \log x)^{t-2}}{\log x} = o(\#N_{t,x}^{(1)}), \\ \#N_{t,x}^{(3)} &\sim \frac{1}{(t-2)!} \frac{x(\log \log(x/2))^{t-2}}{2 \log(x/2)} = o(\#N_{t,x}^{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Here and after, we define  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$  and  $f(x) = o(g(x))$  if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$ . Then

$$\#N_{t,x} \sim \#N_{t,x}^{(1)} \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(t-1)!} \frac{x(\log \log x)^{t-1}}{\log x}. \quad (2.27)$$

We define two equivalence relations in  $N_{t,x}^{(1)}$ .

**Definition 2.14.** For  $m = p_1 \cdots p_t$  and  $n = q_1 \cdots q_t \in N_{t,x}^{(1)}$  which are arranged such that  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_t$  and  $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_t$ , we say that  $m$  and  $n$  have the same Rédei type if  $q_i \equiv p_i \pmod{4}$  for  $i \leq t$  and  $[\frac{q_i}{q_j}] = [\frac{p_i}{p_j}]$  for  $1 \leq j < i \leq t$ ; we say that  $m$  and  $n$  have the same Rédei type modulo 8, if furthermore  $q_i \equiv p_i \pmod{8}$  for  $i \leq t$ . Denote by  $[m]$  (resp.  $[[m]]$ ) the equivalence class of  $m$  with the same Rédei type (resp. modulo 8), respectively.

**Lemma 2.15.** For any  $m \in N_{t,x}^{(1)}$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} R(m; t, x) &:= [m] \cap N_{t,x}^{(1)} = \{m' \in N_{t,x}^{(1)} \mid m' \text{ and } m \text{ have the same Rédei type}\}, \\ S(m; t, x) &:= [[m]] \cap N_{t,x}^{(1)} = \{m' \in N_{t,x}^{(1)} \mid m' \text{ and } m \text{ have the same Rédei type modulo 8}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then when  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\#R(m; t, x) \sim 2^{1-\frac{t^2+t}{2}} \cdot \#N_{t,x}^{(1)}$$

and

$$\#S(m; t, x) \sim \frac{\#R(m; t, x)}{2^t}.$$

*Proof.* See [24, Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.2].  $\square$

**Remark 2.16.** As mentioned in [5, p. 493], an intuitive explanation of the above lemma might proceed as follows. To decide the equivalence class  $[m]$ , we need to fix the conditions  $p_i \pmod{4}$  for  $l \leq i \leq t-1$  since  $m = \prod_{i=1}^t p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and the conditions  $[\frac{p_i}{p_j}]$  for  $1 \leq j < i \leq t$ . Hence, there are  $2^{\frac{t^2+t}{2}-1}$

equivalence classes and the proportion of each equivalence class in  $N_{t,x}^{(1)}$  is the same by the above lemma. Furthermore, given a class  $[m]$ , then  $\{p_1 \pmod{8}, \dots, p_t \pmod{8}\}$  have  $2^t$  choices. Hence, there are  $2^t$  modulo 8 equivalence classes in  $[m]$  and the proportion of each modulo 8 equivalence class in  $[m]$  is the same by the above lemma again.

**Lemma 2.17.** Let  $W(t, x) = \{m \in N_{t,x}^{(1)} \mid 2 \in N(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m}))\}$ . Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#W(t, x)}{\#N_{t,x}^{(1)}} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Put

$$f(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 2 \in N(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Given an equivalence class  $[m]$ , we claim that there is exactly one class  $[[n]]$  in  $[m]$  such that  $f(n) = 1$ . Indeed,  $q_i \pmod{4}$  is determined as  $n = q_1 \cdots q_t \in [m]$ . Then by Hasse's norm theorem,  $f(n) = 1$  implies that  $q_i$  must be  $1 \pmod{8}$  (resp.  $7 \pmod{8}$ ) in  $1 \pmod{4}$  (resp.  $3 \pmod{4}$ ). Hence the claim follows.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#W(t, x)}{\#N_{t,x}^{(1)}} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{[m]} \sum_{[[n]], n \in [m]} f(n) \cdot \#S(n; t, x)}{\sum_{[m]} \sum_{[[n]], n \in [m]} \#S(n; t, x)} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{[m]} \#R(m; t, x) / 2^t}{\sum_{[m]} \#R(m; t, x)} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^t} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is by Lemma 2.15.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Theorem 2.10, Lemma 2.17 and the estimate (2.27), it suffices to prove that for  $r \geq 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{m \in N_{t,x}^{(1)} \mid \text{rank}(\tau, R^C) = t - 1 - r\}}{\#N_{t,x}^{(1)}} = \frac{\eta_\infty(2)}{2^{r(r+1)} \eta_r(2) \eta_{r+1}(2)}.$$

For any matrix  $A \in M_{t-1}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ , write  $\text{Im}A := \{Ax \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{t-1}\}$ . Then we only need to prove that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{m \in N_{t,x}^{(1)} \mid \text{rank} R^C = t - 1 - r, \tau \in \text{Im} R^C\}}{\#N_{t,x}^{(1)}} = \frac{1}{2^r} \cdot \frac{\eta_\infty(2)}{2^{r^2} \eta_r(2)^2} \quad (2.28)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{m \in N_{t,x}^{(1)} \mid \text{rank} R^{Cl} = t - 2 - r, \tau \notin \text{Im} R^{Cl}\}}{\#N_{t,x}^{(1)}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{r+1}}\right) \cdot \frac{\eta_\infty(2)}{2^{(r+1)^2} \eta_{r+1}(2)^2} \quad (2.29)$$

since

$$\frac{1}{2^r} \cdot \frac{\eta_\infty(2)}{2^{r^2} \eta_r(2)^2} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{r+1}}\right) \cdot \frac{\eta_\infty(2)}{2^{(r+1)^2} \eta_{r+1}(2)^2} = \frac{\eta_\infty(2)}{2^{r(r+1)} \eta_r(2) \eta_{r+1}(2)}.$$

By Lemma 2.15 and [24, Remark 2.3, Equation (3.19)], in each equivalence class  $[m] \subset N_{t,x}^{(1)}$ ,  $\tau \in \text{Im} R^C$  has probability  $\frac{2^{t-1-r}}{2^{t-1}} = \frac{1}{2^r}$  if  $\text{rank} R^C = t - 1 - r$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{m \in N_{t,x}^{(1)} \mid \text{rank} R^C = t - 1 - r, \tau \in \text{Im} R^C\}}{\#\{m \in N_{t,x}^{(1)} \mid \text{rank} R^C = t - 1 - r\}} = \frac{1}{2^r}.$$

It is proved by Gerth [5] that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{m \in N_{t,x}^{(1)} \mid \text{rank} R^C = t - 1 - r\}}{\#N_{t,x}^{(1)}} = \frac{\eta_\infty(2)}{2^{r^2} \eta_r(2)^2}.$$

This implies the equation (2.28). The proof of the equation (2.29) is similar and we leave the details to the readers. Thus,

$$d_{\infty,r}^T = \frac{1}{2^r} \cdot \frac{\eta_{\infty}(2)}{2^{r^2}\eta_r(2)^2} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{r+1}}\right) \cdot \frac{\eta_{\infty}(2)}{2^{(r+1)^2}\eta_{r+1}(2)^2} = \frac{\eta_{\infty}(2)}{2^{r(r+1)}\eta_r(2)\eta_{r+1}(2)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

### 3 Study of $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm l)$ and $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm 2l)$ for odd prime $l$

By the 2-rank formula (2.7), if  $l$  is a prime,  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm 2l)$  are trivial if  $l \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ , and nontrivial cyclic 2-groups if  $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ . In what follows, we assume that  $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  is a prime. In this section, we study the structures of  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(\pm 2l)$ , or equivalently, the 2-power divisibility of their orders  $t_2(\pm l)$  and  $t_2(\pm 2l)$ .

#### 3.1 The imaginary case

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  be a prime. Then  $\mathcal{T}_2(-l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(-2l)$  are nontrivial cyclic 2 groups, and*

- (1)  $t_2(-l) = 2$  if  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ,  $t_2(-l) = 4$  if  $l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ , and  $t_2(-l) \geq 8$  if  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ ;
- (2)  $t_2(-2l) = 2$  if  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  or  $l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ , and  $t_2(-2l) \geq 4$  if  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Based on the numerical data, we find out that the conditions  $t_2(-l) = 2^i$  for  $i \geq 3$  and  $t_2(-2l) = 2^i$  for  $i \geq 2$  are not classified by congruence relations.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* (1) Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-l})$ . We consider (i)  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  and (ii)  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  separately.

- (i) In this case,  $2 \nmid h(-l)$  by the genus theory. From the commutative diagram (2.2), we have

$$\mathcal{T}_2(-l) \cong ((\mathbb{Z}_2^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_2^\times)/\pm 1)[2^\infty] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

- (ii) In this case, 2 is ramified and  $F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})$ .

Let

$$a = \left( \dots, 1 + \sqrt{-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \dots \right) \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times$$

and  $\hat{a}$  be its image in  $\mathcal{A}_F$ ; here, we recall that  $\mathcal{A}_F$  is the group defined in (2.1) with  $p = 2$ . Then

$$a^4 = \left( \dots, -4_{\mathfrak{p}}, \dots \right) \in \overline{F^\times \prod_{v \notin S} U_v}$$

and hence,  $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{T}_2(F)[4]$ . Since

$$a^2 = \left( \dots, 2\sqrt{-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \dots \right) \equiv \left( \dots, \sqrt{-1}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \dots \right) \pmod{\overline{F^\times \prod_{v \notin S} U_v}}$$

and  $\sqrt{-1}$  is nontrivial in  $U_{1,\mathfrak{p}}/\{\pm 1\} \subset \mathcal{A}_F^{\text{pro-2}}$ , we have  $\hat{a}^2 \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)$ . Thus,  $\hat{a}$  is a generator of the cyclic group  $\mathcal{T}_2(F)[4]$ .

The 2-units  $E_S$  of  $F$  is generated by  $-1$  and  $2$ . Clearly,  $2 \notin N(E_S)$ . Write  $l = 2g^2 - h^2$ . Let  $\alpha = h + \sqrt{-l}$ . By Proposition 2.6,  $L = F(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{l}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{\alpha}) = F(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{\alpha})$  is the maximal abelian extension of exponent 2 over  $F$  unramified outside 2. The map

$$\mathcal{T}_2(F)[4] \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt{-1})/F) \times \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt{2})/F) \times \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt{\alpha})/F)$$



has the kernel  $2\mathcal{T}_2(F)[8]$ . Thus  $t_2(-l) \geq 8$  if and only if the additive Artin symbols  $[a, -1] = [a, 2] = [a, \alpha] = 0$ . It is easy to see that  $[a, 2] = [a, -1] = 0$  since  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} [a, h + \sqrt{-l}] &= \left[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{-1}, h + \sqrt{-l}}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right] = \left[ \frac{-\sqrt{l}, h + \sqrt{-l}}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right] + \left[ \frac{-\sqrt{l} - \sqrt{-l}, h + \sqrt{-l}}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{-\sqrt{l}, 2g^2}{\mathbb{Q}_2} \right] + \left[ \frac{-\sqrt{l} - \sqrt{-l}, h + \sqrt{-l}}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{\sqrt{l}, 2}{\mathbb{Q}_2} \right] + \left[ \frac{-\sqrt{l} - \sqrt{-l}, h + \sqrt{-l}}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left[ \frac{\sqrt{l}, 2}{\mathbb{Q}_2} \right] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, \\ 1, & \text{if } l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}. \end{cases}$$

For any  $x, y \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , noting that  $-1$  is a square in  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we have

$$0 = \left[ \frac{\frac{x}{x+y}, \frac{y}{x+y}}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right] = \left[ \frac{xy, x+y}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right] + \left[ \frac{x+y, x+y}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right] + \left[ \frac{x, y}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right].$$

Put  $x = -\sqrt{l} - \sqrt{-l}$  and  $y = h + \sqrt{-l}$ . Note that  $x + y \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ . It follows that  $\left[ \frac{x+y, x+y}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right] = 0$ . Thus,

$$\left[ \frac{x, y}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right] = \left[ \frac{x+y, xy}{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \right] = \left[ \frac{x+y, 4g^2l}{\mathbb{Q}_2} \right] = 0.$$

This proves (1).

(2) follows from the same argument used in the proof of (1). We omit the details here.  $\square$

### 3.2 The real case

We need the following order formula of Coates (see [2, Appendix] or [7, Chapter III.2.6.5]).

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$  be a totally real number field. Assume that the Leopoldt Conjecture holds for  $(p, K)$ , i.e.,  $\delta_p(K) = 0$ . Then*

$$\#\mathcal{T}_p(K) = (p\text{-adic unit}) \cdot \frac{p \cdot [K \cap \mathbb{Q}^{p, \text{cyc}} : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot h(K) \cdot R_p(K)}{\sqrt{D_K} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | p} N_{\mathfrak{p}}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here,  $h(K)$  is the class number,  $R_p(K)$  is the  $p$ -adic regulator,  $D_K$  is the discriminant of  $K$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}^{p, \text{cyc}}$  is the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the product runs over all the primes of  $K$  lying above  $p$ , and  $N$  is the norm map from  $K$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume that  $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  is a prime. Let  $\nu_2$  be the normalized 2-adic valuation and  $\log_2$  be the 2-adic logarithmic map. For  $m$  equal to  $l$  or  $2l$ , let  $\varepsilon_m = a_m + b_m\sqrt{m}$  be the fundamental unit of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ . Then*

- (1)  $\nu_2(t_2(l)) = \nu_2(\log_2(\varepsilon_l)) - 1 = \nu_2(a_l) - 1$ ;
- (2)  $\nu_2(t_2(2l)) = \nu_2(h(2l)) + \nu_2(b_{2l}) - 1$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{l})$ . Recall that the 2-adic regulator  $R_2(F)$  is  $\log_2(\varepsilon_l)$ . By Coates' order formula above, we have  $\nu_2(t_2(l)) = \nu_2(\log_2(\varepsilon_l)) - 1$  as  $2 \nmid h(l)$ . It remains to show that  $\nu_2(\log_2(\varepsilon_l)) = \nu_2(a_l)$ . We use the basic property of logarithm that for  $x \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ , if  $\nu_2(x - 1) > 1$ , then  $\nu_2(\log_2(x)) = \nu_2(x)$ .

If  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then it is easy to see that  $a_l$  and  $b_l$  are integers. It is also known that  $N(\varepsilon_l) = a_l^2 - lb_l^2 = -1$ . It follows that  $4 \mid a_l$ , and  $b_l$  is odd. Thus,  $\nu_2(\varepsilon_l^2 - 1) = \nu_2(\varepsilon_l^2 + \varepsilon_l\bar{\varepsilon}_l) = 1 + \nu_2(a_l) \geq 3$ . This implies that  $\nu_2(\log_2(\varepsilon_l^2)) = \nu_2(\varepsilon_l^2 - 1) = 1 + \nu_2(a_l)$ . Hence,  $\nu_2(\log_2(\varepsilon_l)) = \nu_2(a_l)$ .

If  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , we first prove that  $a_l$  is even. In this case, 2 is ramified in  $F$ , i.e.,  $2\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{p}^2$ . Since  $h(l)$  is odd,  $\mathfrak{p}$  must be principal, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$  with  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_F$ . Then  $\pi^2/2$  is a unit, i.e.,  $\varepsilon_l^k$ . Note that  $k$  must

be odd. Otherwise,  $\sqrt{2} \in F$ , which is absurd. Then  $(\pi\varepsilon_l^{-(k-1)/2})^2 = 2\varepsilon_l$  and hence  $\pi\varepsilon_l^{-(k-1)/2} \in \mathcal{O}_F$ . Write  $\pi\varepsilon_l^{-(k-1)/2} = c + d\sqrt{l}$  with  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $c$  and  $d$  must be odd since  $N(c + d\sqrt{l}) = 2$ . Hence,  $a_l = \frac{c^2 + d^2 l}{2}$  is clearly even.

Thus,  $b_l$  must be odd. Then  $\nu_2(\varepsilon_l^4 - 1) = \nu_2(\varepsilon_l^4 - \varepsilon_l^2 \bar{\varepsilon}_l^2) = 2 + \nu_2(a_l b_l) = 2 + \nu_2(a_l)$ . Therefore,  $\nu_2(\log_2(\varepsilon_l)) = \nu_2(a_l)$ . This completes the proof of (1).

(2) Clearly,  $a_{2l}$  is odd and  $b_{2l}$  is even. We have

$$\nu_2(\varepsilon_{2l}^4 - 1) = \nu_2(\varepsilon_{2l}^2 + \varepsilon_{2l}\bar{\varepsilon}_{2l}) + \nu_2(\varepsilon_{2l}^2 - \varepsilon_{2l}\bar{\varepsilon}_{2l}) = \nu_2(2a_{2l}) + \nu_2(2\sqrt{2l}b_{2l}) = \frac{5}{2} + \nu_2(b_{2l}).$$

Hence,  $\nu_2(\log_2(\varepsilon_{2l})) = \frac{1}{2} + \nu_2(b_{2l})$ . Then (2) follows from Coates' order formula for  $\mathcal{T}_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2l}))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** The proof that  $a_l$  is even for  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  also holds for  $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . For a different proof of this fact, see [25].

The following proposition collects results about the 2-class groups  $\text{Cl}_2(-l)$  and  $\text{Cl}_2(-2l)$  due to Brown [1], Gauss (see [4]), Hasse [9] and others, most importantly due to Leonard and Williams [13]; please see [15, Theorem 4.2] for a proof about  $\text{Cl}_2(-2l)$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $l$  be an odd prime. Then both  $\text{Cl}_2(-l)$  and  $\text{Cl}_2(-2l)$  are cyclic groups.*

(1)  $h_2(-l) = 1$  if  $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $h_2(-l) = 2$  if  $l \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $h_2(-l) \geq 4$  if  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Moreover, if  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , suppose  $l = 2g^2 - h^2$ , then  $h_2(-l) = 4$  if and only if  $g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $h_2(-l) = 8$  if and only if  $(\frac{2h}{g})(\frac{g}{l})_4 = -1$ .

(2)  $h_2(-2l) = 2$  if  $l \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  and  $h_2(-2l) \geq 4$  if  $l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ . Moreover,

(i) if  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , suppose  $l = u^2 - 2v^2$  such that  $u \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $h_2(-2l) = 4$  if and only if  $u \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , and  $h_2(-2l) = 8$  if and only if  $(\frac{u}{l})_4 = -1$ ;

(ii) if  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $h_2(-2l) = 4$  if and only if  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ , and  $h_2(-2l) = 8$  if and only if  $l \equiv 15 \pmod{16}$  and  $(-1)^{\frac{l+1}{16}}(\frac{2u}{v}) = -1$ , where  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2$  satisfying  $l = u^2 - 2v^2$ .

We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Assume that  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  is a prime. Then  $\mathcal{T}_2(l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(2l)$  are nontrivial 2-cyclic groups,  $4 \mid t_2(l)$  and*

(1)  $t_2(l) = 4 \Leftrightarrow t_2(2l) = 2 \Leftrightarrow h_2(-2l) = 4 \Leftrightarrow l \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ ;

(2)  $t_2(l) = 8 \Leftrightarrow t_2(2l) = 4 \Leftrightarrow h_2(-2l) = 8 \Leftrightarrow l \equiv 15 \pmod{16}$  and  $(-1)^{\frac{l+1}{16}}(\frac{2u}{v}) = -1$ , where  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2$  is a solution of  $l = X^2 - 2Y^2$ .

Consequently, we always have  $t_2(l) \equiv 2t_2(2l) \equiv h_2(-2l) \pmod{16}$ .

**Remark 3.8.** However, in general the three numbers  $t_2(l)$ ,  $2t_2(2l)$  and  $h_2(-2l)$  are not equal if one (hence all) of them is greater than or equal to 16. For example, let  $l = 223$ . Then  $t_2(l) = 16$ ,  $2t_2(2l) = 256$  and  $h_2(-2l) = 32$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.7.* (1) We first study  $t_2(l)$ . As shown in the proof of Lemma 3.4,

$$\varepsilon_l = a_l + b_l\sqrt{l} = \frac{1}{2}(c + d\sqrt{l})^2,$$

where  $c$  and  $d$  are odd integers and  $N(c + d\sqrt{l}) = c^2 - d^2l = 2$ . In particular,  $c^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{d}$ . It follows that every prime factor of  $d$  is congruent to  $\pm 1 \pmod{8}$ . Hence,  $d^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$  and  $\nu_2(a_l) = \nu_2(1 + d^2l)$ . For  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ,  $\nu_2(1 + d^2l) \geq 3$  with the equality if and only if  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ . By Lemma 3.4(1),  $4 \mid t_2(l) = 2^{\nu_2(ld^2+1)-1}$ , and  $t_2(l) = 4$  if and only if  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ .

Note that the Jacobi symbol  $(\frac{2u}{v})$  is independent of the choices of  $u$  and  $v$  (see [15, Lemma 4.1]). By the results of Leonard and Williams [13] (see Proposition 3.6(2)), we are left to show that if  $l \equiv 15 \pmod{16}$ , then

$$\nu_2(ld^2 + 1) = 4 \Leftrightarrow (-1)^{\frac{l+1}{16}}\left(\frac{2u}{v}\right) = -1.$$

Since  $l = (u + \sqrt{2}v)(u - \sqrt{2}v) \mid ld^2 = (c + \sqrt{2})(c - \sqrt{2})$ , one of the prime elements  $u \pm \sqrt{2}v$  must divide  $c + \sqrt{2}$  in the Euclidean domain  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ .

(i) Suppose  $\frac{c+\sqrt{2}}{u+\sqrt{2}v} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ . Note that  $c + \sqrt{2}$  and  $c - \sqrt{2}$  are coprime in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ , and the integers  $\frac{c+\sqrt{2}}{u+\sqrt{2}v}$  and  $\frac{c-\sqrt{2}}{u-\sqrt{2}v}$  are coprime, but their product is  $d^2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  has class number 1, and hence there exist  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{1, 1 + \sqrt{2}\}$  such that

$$\frac{c + \sqrt{2}}{u + \sqrt{2}v} = \varepsilon(t - s\sqrt{2})^2.$$

Since the left-hand side is totally positive, we must have  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $\sqrt{2}$  gives

$$1 = (t^2 + 2s^2)v - 2tsu. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that  $ts$  must be positive. We may assume that  $t$  and  $s$  are both positive. Since  $l = u^2 - 2v^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{16}$ , both  $u$  and  $v$  are odd. In fact,  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  by (3.2). Hence,  $(\frac{2u}{v}) = (\frac{-st}{v}) = (\frac{t}{v})(\frac{s}{v})$ . Note that  $d$  and  $t$  are odd. By the quadratic reciprocity law,  $(\frac{t}{v}) = (\frac{v}{t}) = (\frac{2}{t})$ . The last equality follows from (3.2). Write  $s = 2^r s_0$  with  $2 \nmid s_0$ . If  $s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $v \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $(\frac{s}{v}) = (\frac{2s_0}{v}) = -(\frac{v}{s_0})$ . If  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $t^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . So  $(\frac{s}{v}) = (\frac{s_0}{v}) = (\frac{v}{s_0}) = 1$ . If  $s \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $(\frac{s}{v}) = (\frac{v}{s}) = 1$ . Hence,

$$\left(\frac{s}{v}\right) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $(\frac{2u}{v}) = 1$  if and only if  $\pm d = t^2 - 2s^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{16}$ . This implies that  $16 \parallel ld^2 + 1$  if and only if  $(-1)^{\frac{l+1}{16}}(\frac{2u}{v}) = -1$ .

(ii) Suppose  $\frac{c+\sqrt{2}}{u-\sqrt{2}v} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ . By the similar argument, there exist two positive integers  $t$  and  $s$  such that

$$1 = 2stu - (t^2 + 2s^2)v.$$

For this equation,  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $(\frac{2u}{v}) = (\frac{t}{v})(\frac{s}{v})$ . One can repeat the argument above to obtain that  $(\frac{t}{v}) = (\frac{2}{t})$  and

$$\left(\frac{s}{v}\right) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Again this implies that  $16 \parallel ld^2 + 1$  if and only if  $(-1)^{\frac{l+1}{16}}(\frac{2u}{v}) = -1$ .

(2) If  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $h(2l)$  is odd. By Lemma 3.4(2),  $\nu_2(t_2(2l)) = \nu_2(b_{2l}) - 1$ . According to the last paragraph in [13, Section 3], we have  $h(-2l) \equiv b_{2l} \pmod{16}$ . Then

$$t_2(2l) = \frac{h_2(-2l)}{2} = \frac{t_2(l)}{2},$$

if  $t_{2l}$  equals 2 or 4. We just need to apply Proposition 3.6. □

**Proposition 3.9.** Assume that  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  is a prime.

(1) Write  $l = 2g^2 - h^2$  with  $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then

$$t_2(l) = 2 \Leftrightarrow h_2(-l) = 4 \Leftrightarrow g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$t_2(l) = 4 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} h_2(-l) = 8, & \text{if } l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, \\ h_2(-l) \geq 16, & \text{if } l \equiv 9 \pmod{16} \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow (-1)^{\frac{l-1}{8}} \left(\frac{2h}{g}\right) \left(\frac{g}{l}\right)_4 = -1. \quad (3.4)$$

(2) Write  $l = u^2 - 2v^2$  with  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $u \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then

$$t_2(2l) = 2 \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{u}{l}\right) = -1, \quad (3.5)$$

$$t_2(2l) = 4 \Leftrightarrow (-1)^{\frac{l-1}{8}} \left(\frac{u}{l}\right)_4 = -1. \quad (3.6)$$

*Proof.* (1) For  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , Williams [23] proved that

$$a_l \equiv \begin{cases} h(-l) + l - 1 \pmod{16}, & \text{if } h_2(-l) \geq 8, \\ 4(h(l) - 1) + l - 1 - h(-l) \pmod{16}, & \text{if } h_2(-l) = 4. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{cases} 2t_2(l) \equiv h(-l) + l - 1 \pmod{16}, & \text{if } h_2(-l) \geq 8, \\ t_2(l) = 2, & \text{if } h_2(-l) = 4. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Applying Coates' order formula (3.1), Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.6(1), we get the result.

(2) It follows from (3.1) that  $t_2(2l)$  is equal to  $\log_2(\varepsilon_{2l})h(2l)/(2\sqrt{2})$  up to a 2-adic unit. Denote by  $R + S\sqrt{2l}$  the fundamental unit of norm 1 of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2l})$  and by  $h^+(2l)$  the narrow class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2l})$ . Then  $R + S\sqrt{2l} = \varepsilon_{2l}$  and  $h^+(2l) = 2h(2l)$  if  $N(\varepsilon_{2l}) = 1$ ;  $R + S\sqrt{2l} = \varepsilon_{2l}^2$  and  $h^+(2l) = h(2l)$  if  $N(\varepsilon_{2l}) = -1$ . Thus, by Lemma 3.4(2), we have

$$\nu_2(t_2(2l)) = \nu_2(h^+(2l)) + \nu_2(S) - 2.$$

The main theorem in [10] tells us that

$$\frac{S \cdot h^+(2l)}{2} \equiv 1 - l - h(-2l) \pmod{16}.$$

Then all the results here directly follow the discussion in [13, Section 2].  $\square$

Now we can prove the density results about  $\mathcal{T}_2(l)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2(2l)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* (1) We first show (1.4). In the case  $e = 0$ , then  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Steinhagen [21, Theorem 1] proved that  $h_2(-l) \geq 8$  if and only if  $l$  splits completely in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8, \sqrt{1+i})$ . Then by Chebotarev's density theorem,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, h_2(-l) = 4\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}\}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, h_2(-l) \geq 8\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}\}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

By (3.3) in Proposition 3.9, the case  $i = 0$  follows.

Recently, Koymans [11, Theorem 1.1] proved that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, h_2(-l) = 8\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}\}} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

As a corollary of [21, Theorem 1], we have  $l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$  such that  $h_2(-l) \geq 8$  if and only if the Frobenius of  $l$  in  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{16}, \sqrt{1+i})/\mathbb{Q})$  acts trivially in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8, \sqrt{1+i})$  and maps  $\zeta_{16}$  to  $-\zeta_{16}$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, h_2(-l) \geq 8\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}\}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, h_2(-l) \geq 8\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}\}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

If we can show

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, h_2(-l) = 8\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}\}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, h_2(-l) \geq 16\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}\}} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad (3.8)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, h_2(-l) \geq 16\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}\}} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, h_2(-l) = 8\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}\}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the case  $i = 1$  follows from (3.4). It suffices to show (3.8).

Let

$$e_l = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } h_2(-l) \geq 16, \\ -1, & \text{if } h_2(-l) = 8, \\ 0, & \text{if } h_2(-l) = 4. \end{cases}$$

By [11, Theorem 1.2], we have

$$\sum_{l \leq x, l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}} e_l \ll x / \exp((\log x)^{0.1}). \quad (3.9)$$

Replacing the spin symbol  $[w]$  in [11, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2] by the twisted symbol  $[w]' := [w] \cdot \lambda(w)$  for all the totally positive elements  $w$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$ , where  $\lambda(w)$  equals  $(-1)^{\frac{Nw-1}{8}}$  if  $Nw \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and 1 otherwise, one follows the argument there and obtains

$$\sum_{l \leq x, l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}} (-1)^{\frac{l-1}{8}} e_l \ll x / \exp((\log x)^{0.1}). \quad (3.10)$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{l \leq x, l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}} (e_l - (-1)^{\frac{l-1}{8}} e_l) = 2 \sum_{l \leq x, l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}} (1_{16| h(-l)} - 1_{8|| h(-l)}) \ll x / \exp((\log x)^{0.1}).$$

Note that as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\log x = o(\exp((\log x)^{0.1}))$ . By Dirichlet's density theorem, we have

$$\#\{l \leq x, l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, h_2(-l) = 8\} \sim \#\{l \leq x, l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, h_2(-l) \geq 16\} \sim \frac{x}{32 \log x}.$$

Hence, we have (3.8).

In the case  $e = 1$ ,  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . By Theorem 3.7, the case  $i = 0$  follows from the fact that  $t_2(l) = 4$  if and only if  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ , and the case  $i = 1$  follows from the following result of Milovic [17, Theorem 1] on  $h_2(-2l)$  that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv -1 \pmod{8}, h_2(-2l) = 8\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv -1 \pmod{8}\}} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

(2) Case 7 (mod 8) for (1.5) follows from (1) and Theorem 3.7, and Case 1 (mod 8) follows from Proposition 3.9(2) and [12, Theorem 1] with the similar arguments for  $t_2(l)$ ; we omit the details.  $\square$

**Remark 3.10.** We actually prove that for the cases where  $i = 1$  and  $i = 2$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, t_2(l) = 2^i\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}\}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, t_2(l) = 2^i\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}\}} = \frac{1}{2^i}.$$

## 4 Distribution conjectures for $\mathcal{T}_p$ -groups of quadratic fields

### 4.1 The distribution conjecture of $\mathcal{T}_p$ in the full family

We first propose a distribution conjecture on the group structure of  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  when  $F$  varies in the family of all the imaginary (resp. real) quadratic fields  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{im}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{re}}$ ).

For  $5 \leq p \leq 47$ , the numerical data presented in [18, Subsection 5.2] suggested that of all the real quadratic fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  with  $m \leq 10^9$  squarefree, the proportion of those with trivial  $\mathcal{T}_p$ -groups (namely the so-called  $p$ -rational fields) is close to  $\eta_\infty(p)$ . It was mentioned there that the authors also considered the distribution about the group structures of  $\mathcal{T}_p$ -groups; however, we did not find any further statement and subsequent studies in the literature.

Based on Theorem 1.1 and the numerical data in the appendixes, we propose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 4.1.** Let  $p$  be a prime. Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{im}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{re}}$ ) be the family of all the imaginary (resp. real) quadratic fields. For each finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$ , one has

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{im}} \mid -D_F \leq x, 6\mathcal{T}_p(F) \cong G\}}{\#\{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{im}} : -D_F \leq x\}} = \frac{\eta_\infty(p)/\eta_1(p)}{\#G \cdot \#\text{Aut}(G)}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{re}} \mid D_F \leq x, 6\mathcal{T}_p(F) \cong G\}}{\#\{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{re}} : D_F \leq x\}} = \frac{\eta_{\infty}(p)}{\#\text{Aut}(G)}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here,  $D_F$  is the discriminant of  $F$ , and we recall that  $\eta_s(q) := \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - q^{-i})$  for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $q > 1$ .

**Remark 4.2.** (1) For  $p \geq 5$ , we have  $6\mathcal{T}_p(F) \cong \mathcal{T}_p(F)$ , and hence the factor 6 can be removed from the statement of our conjecture. For  $p$  equal to 2 and 3, we have  $6\mathcal{T}_p(F) = p\mathcal{T}_p(F)$ . For  $p$  equal to 5 and 7, we have carried out numerical computations of  $\mathcal{T}_p(F)$  with  $|D_F| \leq 5 \times 10^7$  (see Tables 1–4 in Appendix A), which give strong evidence of Conjecture 4.1 in these cases.

(2) For the bad primes 2 and 3, when the bound is  $5 \times 10^7$ , the distributions of  $2\mathcal{T}_2$  and  $3\mathcal{T}_3$  are actually not quite good based on our computation, but this is expected just like the analogue phenomenon for the distributions of narrow 2-class groups and tame kernels of quadratic fields: the bound is not big enough. We gain confidence from recent breakthrough of Smith [20] on the distribution of narrow 2-class groups of quadratic fields, as well as the 4-rank density formula for  $\mathcal{T}_2$  of imaginary quadratic fields we just proved here.

(3) If we use the setting of the local Cohen-Lenstra heuristics, we see that the weight function for  $p$ -class groups is  $\omega_0$  for imaginary quadratic fields and  $\omega_1$  for real ones where

$$\omega_i(G) = \frac{1}{(\#G)^i \cdot \#\text{Aut}(G)}, \quad (4.3)$$

and the weight functions for  $\mathcal{T}_p$ -groups are exactly the reverse order.

(4) For more general conjectures on distributions of  $\mathcal{T}_p$ -groups of quadratic fields, which are also in the spirit of the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics, please see [14].

## 4.2 The distribution conjecture of $\mathcal{T}_2$ in sub-families

**Conjecture 4.3.** Assume that all  $l$ 's appeared below are primes. For each integer  $i \geq 0$  and  $e \in \{0, 1\}$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, t_2(-l) = 2^{i+3}\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}\}} = \frac{3}{4^{i+1}}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, t_2(-2l) = 2^{i+2}\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}\}} = \frac{3}{4^{i+1}}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv (-1)^e \pmod{8}, t_2(l) = 2^{i+1+e}\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv (-1)^e \pmod{8}\}} = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv (-1)^e \pmod{8}, t_2(2l) = 2^{i+1}\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv (-1)^e \pmod{8}\}} = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}. \quad (4.7)$$

We present the numerical evidence in Tables 5–10 in Appendix B.

**Remark 4.4.** (1) Under the setting of the extended local Cohen-Lenstra heuristics, one can interpret (4.4) more conceptually as follows. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \{\mathbb{Z}/2^{i+k}\mathbb{Z} \mid i \geq 0\} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

For  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2^{i+k}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , then a direct computation gives

$$\frac{\omega_1(G)}{\sum_{H \in \mathcal{M}_k} \omega_1(H)} = \frac{3}{4^{i+1}}.$$

Thus, (4.4) is equivalent to that the natural density of primes  $l$  with  $\mathcal{T}_2(-l) \cong G$  among all the primes  $\equiv 1 \pmod{16}$  is equal to the ratio of  $\omega_1(G)$  to the total 1-weight of the space  $\mathcal{M}_3$ . For (4.5), the corresponding space is  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .



(2) One can also reformulate (4.6) and (4.7) by using the weight function  $\omega_0$  and by noting the following identity:

$$\frac{\omega_0(G)}{\sum_{H \in \mathcal{M}_k} \omega_0(H)} = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}, \quad \text{where } G = \mathbb{Z}/2^{k+i}\mathbb{Z}.$$

In (4.6) (resp. (4.7)), the total space is  $\mathcal{M}_{e+1}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}_1$ ).

(3) By Lemma 3.4(1), (4.6) has the following equivalent form about the distribution of fundamental units: for each  $i \geq 0$  and  $e \in \{0, 1\}$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \text{ prime} : l \leq x, l \equiv (-1)^e \pmod{8}, \nu_2(a_l) = i + 2 + e\}}{\#\{l \text{ prime} : l \leq x, l \equiv (-1)^e \pmod{8}\}} = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}. \quad (4.8)$$

(4) Finally, for  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , (4.6) actually has a finer form: for  $i \geq 0$  and  $a \in \{1, 9\}$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv a \pmod{16}, t_2(l) = 2^{i+1}\}}{\#\{l \leq x : l \equiv a \pmod{16}\}} = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}. \quad (4.9)$$

The cases where  $i = 0$  and  $i = 1$  were proved in Theorem 1.3. We actually speculate that this is the case for all the sub-congruent classes  $a \pmod{2^k}$  of  $1 \pmod{8}$ .

In the case  $a = 9$ , let  $\chi_l$  be the associated Dirichlet character of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{l})$  and  $L_2(s, \chi_l)$  be its 2-adic  $L$ -function. By the 2-adic class number formula (see [22, Theorem 5.24]) and Coates' order formula (3.1), (4.9) has the following equivalent form which was implicitly proposed by Shanks et al. [19, p. 1253]:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{l \text{ prime} : l \leq x, l \equiv 9 \pmod{16} \text{ and } \nu_2(L_2(1, \chi_l)) = i + 2\}}{\#\{l \text{ prime} : l \leq x \text{ and } l \equiv 9 \pmod{16}\}} = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}. \quad (4.10)$$

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies (Grant No. AHY150200). The authors are grateful to the referees for their very helpful suggestions and remarks.

## References

- 1 Brown E A. The class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ , for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  a prime. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 1972, 31: 381–383
- 2 Coates J H.  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions and Iwasawa's theory. In: *Algebraic Number Fields:  $L$ -Functions and Galois Properties*. London: Academic Press, 1977, 269–353
- 3 Colmez P. Résidu en  $s = 1$  des fonctions zêta  $p$ -adiques. *Invent Math*, 1988, 91: 371–389
- 4 Cox D A. *Primes of the Form  $x^2 + ny^2$* , 2nd ed. *Fermat, Class Field Theory, and Complex Multiplication*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, 2013
- 5 Gerth III F. The 4-class ranks of quadratic fields. *Invent Math*, 1984, 77: 489–515
- 6 Gras G. Groupe de Galois de la  $p$ -extension abélienne  $p$ -ramifiée maximale d'un corps de nombres. *J Reine Angew Math*, 1982, 333: 86–132
- 7 Gras G. *Class Field Theory: From Theory to Practice*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2003
- 8 Gras G. Practice of the incomplete  $p$ -ramification over a number field—History of abelian  $p$ -ramification. *Comm Adv Math Sci*, 2019, 2: 251–280
- 9 Hasse H. Über die Klassenzahl des Körpers  $P(\sqrt{-2p})$  mit einer Primzahl  $p \neq 2$ . *J Number Theory*, 1969, 1: 231–234
- 10 Kaplan P, Williams K S. On the class numbers of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm 2p})$  modulo 16, for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  a prime. *Acta Arith*, 1982, 40: 289–296
- 11 Koymans P. The 16-rank of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ . *Algebra Number Theory*, 2020, 14: 37–65
- 12 Koymans P, Milovic D. On the 16-rank of class groups of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2p})$  for primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . *Int Math Res Not IMRN*, 2019, 23: 7406–7427
- 13 Leonard P A, Williams K S. On the divisibility of the class numbers of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2p})$  by 16. *Canad Math Bull*, 1982, 25: 200–206
- 14 Li J N, Ouyang Y, Xu Y. Abelian  $p$ -ramification groups and new Cohen-Lenstra heuristics (in Chinese). *Sci Sin Math*, 2021, 51: 1635–1654
- 15 Li J N, Xu Y. On class numbers of pure quartic fields. *Ramanujan J*, 2021, 56: 235–248
- 16 Li J N, Yu C F. The Chevalley-Gras formula over global fields. *J Theor Nombres Bordeaux*, 2020, 32: 525–543
- 17 Milovic D. On the 16-rank of class groups of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-8p})$  for  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ . *Geom Funct Anal*, 2017, 27: 973–1016

- 18 Pitoun F, Varescon F. Computing the torsion of the  $p$ -ramified module of a number field. *Math Comp*, 2015, 84: 371–383
- 19 Shanks D C, Sime P J, Washington L C. Zeros of 2-adic  $L$ -functions and congruences for class numbers and fundamental units. *Math Comp*, 1999, 68: 1243–1255
- 20 Smith A.  $2^\infty$ -Selmer groups,  $2^\infty$ -class groups, and Goldfeld's conjecture. *arXiv:1702.02325v2*, 2017
- 21 Stevenhagen P. Divisibility by 2-powers of certain quadratic class numbers. *J Number Theory*, 1993, 43: 1–19
- 22 Washington L C. Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 83. New York: Springer, 1997
- 23 Williams K S. On the class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  modulo 16, for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  a prime. *Acta Arith*, 1981, 39: 381–398
- 24 Yue Q, Yu J. The densities of 4-ranks of tame kernels for quadratic fields. *J Reine Angew Math*, 2004, 567: 151–173
- 25 Zhang Z, Yue Q. Fundamental units of real quadratic fields of odd class number. *J Number Theory*, 2014, 137: 122–129

## Appendix A Data for Conjecture 4.1

In Tables 1–4, we let the middle value be the ratio of the field  $F$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_p(F) \cong G$  among all the quadratic fields whose absolute discriminant is less than or equal to  $B$ , and  $\mathbb{D}$  be the value predicted by Conjecture 4.1.

**Table 1**  $\mathcal{T}_5$  of real quadratic fields

$B \backslash G$	$\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/25\mathbb{Z}$	$(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/25\mathbb{Z}$	$(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^3$
$10^7$	0.1876	0.03694	1.375E–3	3.277E–4	0
$2 \times 10^7$	0.1880	0.03712	1.396E–3	3.463E–4	1.645E–7
$3 \times 10^7$	0.1880	0.03727	1.416E–3	3.439E–4	2.193E–7
$4 \times 10^7$	0.1880	0.03739	1.438E–3	3.447E–4	3.290E–7
$5 \times 10^7$	0.1882	0.03740	1.453E–3	3.430E–4	2.632E–7
$\mathbb{D}$	0.1901	0.03802	1.584E–3	3.802E–4	5.110E–7

**Table 2**  $\mathcal{T}_7$  of real quadratic fields

$B \backslash G$	$\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/49\mathbb{Z}$	$(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^2$	$(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^3$
$10^7$	0.1377	0.01950	3.622E–4	0
$2 \times 10^7$	0.1382	0.01956	3.622E–4	0
$3 \times 10^7$	0.1383	0.01963	3.713E–4	0
$4 \times 10^7$	0.1385	0.01966	3.764E–4	0
$5 \times 10^7$	0.1385	0.01968	3.833E–4	5.483E–8
$\mathbb{D}$	0.1395	0.01992	4.151E–4	2.477E–8

**Table 3**  $\mathcal{T}_5$  of imaginary quadratic fields

$B \backslash G$	$\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/25\mathbb{Z}$	$(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/25\mathbb{Z}$	$(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^3$
$10^7$	0.04558	1.767E–3	6.185E–5	6.580E–7	0
$2 \times 10^7$	0.04584	1.789E–3	6.004E–5	1.645E–6	0
$3 \times 10^7$	0.04604	1.801E–3	6.152E–5	2.084E–6	0
$4 \times 10^7$	0.04613	1.809E–3	6.424E–5	2.385E–6	0
$5 \times 10^7$	0.04618	1.915E–3	6.659E–5	2.237E–6	0
$\mathbb{D}$	0.04752	1.901E–3	7.920E–5	3.802E–6	5.110E–9

**Table 4**  $\mathcal{T}_7$  of imaginary quadratic fields

$B \backslash G$	$\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/49\mathbb{Z}$	$(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^2$	$(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^3$
$10^7$	0.02287	0.00043	3.619E-6	0
$2 \times 10^7$	0.02297	0.00045	5.263E-6	0
$3 \times 10^7$	0.02302	0.00045	5.593E-6	0
$4 \times 10^7$	0.02307	0.00045	6.827E-6	0
$5 \times 10^7$	0.02307	0.00045	7.435E-6	0
$\mathbb{D}$	0.02324	0.00047	9.883E-6	8.425E-11

## Appendix B Data for Conjecture 4.3

In Tables 5–10, we let the middle value be the ratio of the field  $F$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_p(F) \cong G$  among all the quadratic fields whose absolute discriminant is less than or equal to  $B$ , and  $\mathbb{D}$  be the value predicted by Conjecture 4.3.

**Table 5**  $\mathcal{T}_2$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-l})$ ,  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$  and  $l$  is a prime

$B \backslash G$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/64\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/128\mathbb{Z}$
$10^7$	0.7508	0.1867	0.04704	0.01172	2.905E-3
$2 \times 10^7$	0.7501	0.1872	0.04708	0.01170	3.062E-3
$3 \times 10^7$	0.7501	0.1878	0.04658	0.01169	2.977E-3
$4 \times 10^7$	0.7498	0.1881	0.04666	0.01166	2.910E-3
$5 \times 10^7$	0.7496	0.1880	0.04694	0.01160	2.934E-3
$\mathbb{D}$	0.7500	0.1875	0.04688	0.01172	2.930E-3

**Table 6**  $\mathcal{T}_2$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2l})$ ,  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$  and  $l$  is a prime

$B \backslash G$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/64\mathbb{Z}$
$10^7$	0.7508	0.1876	0.04611	0.01144	3.134E-3
$2 \times 10^7$	0.7501	0.1886	0.04604	0.01142	3.075E-3
$3 \times 10^7$	0.7501	0.1885	0.04611	0.01140	3.029E-3
$4 \times 10^7$	0.7498	0.1885	0.04633	0.01153	3.032E-3
$5 \times 10^7$	0.7496	0.1883	0.04655	0.01157	3.051E-3
$\mathbb{D}$	0.7500	0.1875	0.04688	0.01172	2.930E-3

**Table 7**  $\mathcal{T}_2$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{l})$ ,  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $l$  is a prime

$B \backslash G$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/64\mathbb{Z}$
$10^7$	0.5002	0.2499	0.1245	0.06236	0.03169	0.01553
$2 \times 10^7$	0.5000	0.2499	0.1245	0.06255	0.03163	0.01567
$3 \times 10^7$	0.5005	0.2496	0.1246	0.06278	0.03115	0.01560
$4 \times 10^7$	0.5003	0.2496	0.1247	0.06278	0.03115	0.01564
$5 \times 10^7$	0.5001	0.2497	0.1247	0.06281	0.03116	0.01567
$\mathbb{D}$	0.5000	0.2500	0.1250	0.06250	0.03125	0.01563

**Table 8**  $\mathcal{T}_2$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{l})$ ,  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  and  $l$  is a prime

$\begin{smallmatrix} G \\ B \end{smallmatrix}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/64\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/128\mathbb{Z}$
$10^7$	0.5000	0.2484	0.1260	0.06361	0.03103	0.01518
$2 \times 10^7$	0.5000	0.2494	0.1255	0.06265	0.03123	0.01534
$3 \times 10^7$	0.4998	0.2497	0.1252	0.06278	0.03109	0.01557
$4 \times 10^7$	0.4999	0.2497	0.1254	0.06246	0.03112	0.01570
$5 \times 10^7$	0.5001	0.2497	0.1254	0.06237	0.03116	0.01570
$\mathbb{D}$	0.5000	0.2500	0.1250	0.06250	0.03125	0.01563

**Table 9**  $\mathcal{T}_2$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2l})$ ,  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $l$  is a prime

$\begin{smallmatrix} G \\ B \end{smallmatrix}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/64\mathbb{Z}$
$10^7$	0.5006	0.2515	0.1237	0.06214	0.03100	0.01564
$2 \times 10^7$	0.5004	0.2511	0.1239	0.06219	0.03105	0.01576
$3 \times 10^7$	0.5001	0.2506	0.1245	0.06256	0.03093	0.01572
$4 \times 10^7$	0.5001	0.2505	0.1249	0.06233	0.03090	0.01564
$5 \times 10^7$	0.5000	0.2503	0.1252	0.06236	0.03083	0.01572
$\mathbb{D}$	0.5000	0.2500	0.1250	0.06250	0.03125	0.01563

**Table 10**  $\mathcal{T}_2$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2l})$ ,  $l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  and  $l$  is a prime

$\begin{smallmatrix} G \\ B \end{smallmatrix}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/64\mathbb{Z}$
$10^7$	0.5000	0.2484	0.1253	0.06378	0.03129	0.01565
$2 \times 10^7$	0.5000	0.2494	0.1253	0.06258	0.03137	0.01565
$3 \times 10^7$	0.4998	0.2497	0.1254	0.06258	0.03116	0.01575
$4 \times 10^7$	0.4999	0.2497	0.1252	0.06267	0.03129	0.01569
$5 \times 10^7$	0.5001	0.2497	0.1250	0.06268	0.03126	0.01573
$\mathbb{D}$	0.5000	0.2500	0.1250	0.06250	0.03125	0.01563