





Quantum error correction II:

Detecting bit-flips and phase-flips





Austin Fowler







What will the measurement results be?




Make sure you can derive these results



A useful definition $\boxed{M_X} = \boxed{H} \boxed{M}$




Repeated detection




Consider a data qubit (top) being checked by a measure qubit (bottom)

Repeated detection: results without errors




In the absence of errors, each measurement will report zero

Repeated detection: results with a data error?




Now let's consider the case of a bit flip error occurring on the data qubit

Repeated detection: results with a data error?




A single data qubit bit flip changes all future measurements

Repeated detection: what about a measurement error?




A measurement error can be modeled as a bit flip before the measurement gate

Repeated detection: what about a measurement error?




A measurement error flips one result

Repeated detection: summary




- A single data error permanently flips the measurement stream
- A single measurement error just flips a single bit

Repeated detection




- Circuit detects if the top and bottom qubits are the same or different, it calculates the parity as was done in the classical repetition code
- Can use it to find errors in states $\alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle$ without collapse
- Not enough to identify which qubit suffered an error

The quantum repetition code




- The above circuit can monitor states of the form $\alpha|000\rangle + \beta|111\rangle$
- Let's discuss how the above can reliably find any single bit flip

The quantum repetition code: no errors




- In the absence of errors, each new measurement will be the same as the previous measurement
- A detector is a set of measurements with an expected parity in the absence of errors

The quantum repetition code: no errors




- Every sequential pair of measurements is a detector
- Note that it's not the specific value of the individual measurements that matters, just the parity of the set

The quantum repetition code: one error




- **Detector:** a set of measurements with an expected parity
- **Detection event:** a detector with an unexpected parity
 - A single error can lead to **one** detection event

The quantum repetition code: one error




- **Detector:** a set of measurements with an expected parity
- **Detection event:** a detector with an unexpected parity
 - A single error can lead to **one** detection event
 - A single error can lead to **two** detection events

The quantum repetition code: one error




- **Detector:** a set of measurements with an expected parity
- **Detection event:** a detector with an unexpected parity
 - A single error can lead to **two** detection events in two **different** detection rounds
 - This is rare as there is very small region in circuit where this can happen

The quantum repetition code: no errors




- Let's shrink the detector bubbles so they only touch their measurements
 - Can represent each possible set of detection events with an edge
 - Build a graph, thin edges means few errors lead to that set of detection events

The quantum repetition code: unknown errors




- Suppose we run an experiment and observed this pattern of measurements

The quantum repetition code: unknown errors




- Suppose we run an experiment and observed this pattern of measurements
- Highlight the detectors that are detection events

The quantum repetition code: unknown errors




- Suppose we run an experiment and observed this pattern of measurements
- Highlight the detectors that are detection events
- Use minimum weight perfect matching (maximum probability, $\text{wt} = -\ln p_{\text{edge}}$)
 - Won't chose the low probability diagonal edge in this case

The quantum repetition code: inferred errors




- Suppose we run an experiment and observed this pattern of measurements
- Highlight the detectors that are detection events
- Use minimum weight perfect matching (maximum probability, $\text{wt} = -\ln p_{\text{edge}}$)
- Infer the presence of errors

The quantum repetition code: performance



- Provided errors are rare, random, and independent, d data qubits enables the detection and correction (in software) of up to $(d-1)/2$ X errors
- Logical errors exponentially suppressed with code distance due to low probability of many errors on a single graph path from top to bottom

The quantum repetition code: no errors



- Can use the Z detection circuit to protect states $\alpha|+++ \rangle + \beta|--- \rangle$
- Next time, the math we will need to study more complex codes, namely stabilizers