

Selective inference for clustering via k-means

July 8, 2021

1 Formulation

With two clusters \widehat{C}_1 and \widehat{C}_2 obtained from k-means algorithm on the realization $X = x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, the hypothesis of interest takes the form

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : \mu_{\widehat{C}_1} = \mu_{\widehat{C}_2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, the number of clusters k is pre-specified by the algorithm and should not be taken into account by the selective inference framework. Since the clusters are determined by the original data, the chi-squared test ought to be corrected conditioning on the clustering result. Denote $\phi = \|\bar{X}_{\widehat{C}_1} - \bar{X}_{\widehat{C}_2}\|$, a general approach is developed as:

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\phi \geq \|\bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_1} - \bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_2}\| \middle| X \text{ results in } \widehat{C}_1, \widehat{C}_2, \text{ others} \right) \quad (1.2)$$

$$= \mathbb{P} \left(\phi \geq \|\bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_1} - \bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_2}\| \middle| x'(\phi) \text{ results in } \widehat{C}_1, \widehat{C}_2 \right). \quad (1.3)$$

The novel choice of $x'(\phi)$ explicit in x and ϕ is for simplifying the computation where

$$[x'(\phi)]_i = \begin{cases} x_i + \left(\frac{|\widehat{C}_2|}{|\widehat{C}_1| + |\widehat{C}_2|} \right) \left(\phi - \|\bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_1} - \bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_2}\| \right) \text{dir} \left(\bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_1} - \bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_2} \right), & i \in \widehat{C}_1, \\ x_i - \left(\frac{|\widehat{C}_1|}{|\widehat{C}_1| + |\widehat{C}_2|} \right) \left(\phi - \|\bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_1} - \bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_2}\| \right) \text{dir} \left(\bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_1} - \bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_2} \right), & i \in \widehat{C}_2, \\ x_i, & i \notin \widehat{C}_1 \cup \widehat{C}_2. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

2 Computation of the conditional event

The selective inference approach can be viewed as the truncation of the chi-squared test function on the set $\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \phi \geq 0 : \widehat{C}_1, \widehat{C}_2 \in C(x'(\phi)) \right\}$, where $C(\cdot)$ is the clustering map. Then, to ease the implementation, we consider the characterization of \mathcal{S} based on the k-means algorithm. As $x'(\phi)$ results in the two clusters of interest, this event with respect to the specific k-means algorithm can be written as:

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \phi \geq 0 : x'(\phi) \text{ results in } \widehat{C}_1, \widehat{C}_2 \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

$$= \left(\bigcap_{A \in C(x) \cap \widehat{C}_1^c} \left\{ \phi \geq 0 : \forall i \in \widehat{C}_1, d([x'(\phi)]_i, \tilde{m}_{\widehat{C}_1}) \leq d([x'(\phi)]_i, \tilde{m}_A) \right\} \right) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\bigcap \left(\bigcap_{A \in C(x) \cap \widehat{C}_2^c} \left\{ \phi \geq 0 : \forall i \in \widehat{C}_2, d([x'(\phi)]_i, \tilde{m}_{\widehat{C}_2}) \leq d([x'(\phi)]_i, \tilde{m}_A) \right\} \right) \quad (2.3)$$

$$= \left(\bigcap_{A \in C(x) \cap \widehat{C}_1^c \cap \widehat{C}_2^c} \bigcap_{i \in \widehat{C}_1} \left\{ \phi \geq 0 : d(x_i, m_{\widehat{C}_1}) \leq d([x'(\phi)]_i, m_A) \right\} \right) \quad (2.4)$$

$$\bigcap \left(\bigcap_{A \in C(x) \cap \widehat{C}_1^c \cap \widehat{C}_2^c} \bigcap_{i \in \widehat{C}_2} \left\{ \phi \geq 0 : d(x_i, m_{\widehat{C}_2}) \leq d([x'(\phi)]_i, m_A) \right\} \right) \quad (2.5)$$

$$\bigcap \left(\bigcap_{i \in \widehat{C}_1} \left\{ \phi \geq 0 : d(x_i, m_{\widehat{C}_1}) \leq d([x'(\phi)]_i, \tilde{m}_{\widehat{C}_2}) \right\} \right) \quad (2.6)$$

$$\bigcap \left(\bigcap_{i \in \widehat{C}_2} \left\{ \phi \geq 0 : d(x_i, m_{\widehat{C}_2}) \leq d([x'(\phi)]_i, \tilde{m}_{\widehat{C}_1}) \right\} \right) \quad (2.7)$$

$$(2.8)$$

Here \tilde{m}_A is the centroid for cluster A with $x'(\phi)$ and m_A is the centroid for cluster A with original data x . For $A \neq \widehat{C}_1, \widehat{C}_2$, $m_A = \tilde{m}_A$ and the distance-structure within each cluster remains the same after the perturbation.

WLOG, we consider $i \in \widehat{C}_1$, then there are two kinds of sets:

1. Cluster $A \neq \widehat{C}_1, \widehat{C}_2$. Denote $\mathcal{S}_{1,i} = \left\{ \phi \geq 0 : d(x_i, m_{\widehat{C}_1}) \leq d([x'(\phi)]_i, m_A) \right\}$. In $\mathcal{S}_{1,i}$, if we choose $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ to be the l_2 distance, $d(x_i, m_{\widehat{C}_1})$ can be computed using the clustering result with x and is therefore treated as fixed. For the second term, $x'(\phi)$ is linear in ϕ , then $\|[x'(\phi)]_i - m_A\|^2$ is a quadratic function in ϕ for any $A \in C(x) \cap \widehat{C}_1^c \cap \widehat{C}_2^c$.
2. Cluster $A = \widehat{C}_2$. The other kind of set has the form $\mathcal{S}_{2,i} = \left\{ \phi \geq 0 : d(x_i, m_{\widehat{C}_1}) \leq d([x'(\phi)]_i, \tilde{m}_{\widehat{C}_2}) \right\}$. To compute $\tilde{m}_{\widehat{C}_2}$, $[x'(\phi)]_i = x_i - c_2\phi - a_2$ for $i \in \widehat{C}_2$, then $\tilde{m}_{\widehat{C}_2} = \frac{1}{|\widehat{C}_2|} \sum_{i \in \widehat{C}_2} [x'(\phi)]_i = m_{\widehat{C}_2} - c_2\phi - a_2$ that is linear in ϕ . As both $[x'(\phi)]_i$ and $\tilde{m}_{\widehat{C}_2}$ are linear in ϕ , the squared distance is also quadratic in ϕ similar with the first case.

From above, the restricted set \mathcal{S} is the intersection of quadratic constraints for ϕ and can be computed explicitly with x , $x'(\phi)$ and the clustering results $C(x)$ together with the perturbed version $C(x'(\phi))$. To sum up, the selective p-value can be written as

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\phi \geq \|\bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_1} - \bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_2}\| \mid \phi \in \bigcap_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{A}_j \right) = 1 - F_{\bigcap_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{A}_j}(\|\bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_1} - \bar{x}_{\widehat{C}_2}\|), \quad (2.9)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_j = \{\phi \geq 0 : \kappa_j^2 \phi^2 - \lambda_j \phi + \theta_j \geq 0\}$ is the quadratic constraint for ϕ and $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the cdf of $\sigma \sqrt{(1/|\widehat{C}_1| + 1/|\widehat{C}_2|)} \chi_p$ truncated to \mathcal{S} .