ACT Onco® + Report

PATIENT		
Name: 陳翠珠		Patient ID: 38194115
Date of Birth: Jun 04, 1959		Gender: Female
Diagnosis: Pancreatic cancer		
ORDERING PHYSICIAN		
Name: 周德盈醫師/姜乃榕醫師		Tel: 886-228712121
Facility: 臺北榮總		
Address: 臺北市北投區石牌路二段 201 號		
SPECIMEN		
Specimen ID: S11170160A	Collection site: Pancreas	Type: FFPE tissue
Date received: Apr 22, 2022	Lab ID: AA-22-02113	D/ID: NA

ABOUT ACTOnco®+

The test is a next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based assay developed for efficient and comprehensive genomic profiling of cancers. This test interrogates coding regions of 440 genes associated with cancer treatment, prognosis and diagnosis. Genetic mutations detected by this test include small-scale mutations like single nucleotide variants (SNVs), small insertions and deletions (InDels) (≤ 15 nucleotides) and large-scale genomic alterations like copy number alterations (CNAs). The test also includes an RNA test, detecting fusion transcripts of 13 genes.

SUMMARY FOR ACTIONABLE VARIANTS

VARIANTS/BIOMARKERS WITH EVIDENCE OF CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Genomic Alterations/Biomarkers	Probable Effects in Patient's Cancer Type		Probable Sensitive in Other Cancer Types
	Sensitive	Resistant	
Not detected			

VARIANTS/BIOMARKERS WITH POTENTIAL CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Genomic Alterations/Biomarkers	Possibly Sensitive	Possibly Resistant
BRAF V600_K601delinsE	Selumetinib	Vemurafenib
FGFR1 Amplification	Erdafitinib, Infigratinib, Lenvatinib, Pazopanib, Ponatinib, Regorafenib, Sunitinib	Palbociclib, Ribociclib

Note:

- The above summary tables present genomic variants and biomarkers based on the three-tiered approach proposed by US FDA for reporting tumor profiling NGS testing. "Variants/biomarkers with evidence of clinical significance" refers to mutations that are widely recognized as standard-of-care biomarkers (FDA level 2/AMP tier 1). "Variants/biomarkers with potential clinical significance" refers to mutations that are not included in the standard of care but are informational for clinicians, which are commonly biomarkers used as inclusion criterial for clinical trials (FDA level 3/AMP tier 2).
- The therapeutic agents and possible effects to a given drug are based on mapping the variants/biomarkers with ACT Genomics clinical knowledge database. The mapping results only provide information for reference, but not medical recommendation.
- Please refer to corresponding sections for more detailed information about genomic alteration and clinical relevance listed above.

ACT Onco® + Report

TESTING RESULTS

VARIANT(S) WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Single Nucleotide and Small InDel Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Allele Frequency
BRAF	V600_K601delinsE	31.9%
TP53	Splice donor	42.9%

- Copy Number Alterations

Chromosome	Gene	Variation	Copy Number
Chr18	*SMAD4*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr19	*STK11*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr3	*BAP1*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr8	*FGFR1*	Amplification	8

- Fusions

Fusion Gene & Exon	Transcript ID
No fusion gene detected in this sample	

- Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor (ICI) Related Biomarkers

Biomarker	Results
Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)	1.9 muts/Mb
Microsatellite Instability (MSI)	Microsatellite stable (MSS)

Note:
- Variant(s) enlisted in the SNV table may currently exhibit no relevance to treatment response prediction. Please refer to INTERPRETATION for more biological information and/or potential clinical impacts of the variants.
- Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) information was used to infer tumor cellularity. Copy number alteration in the tumor was determined based on 43% tumor purity.
- For more therapeutic agents which are possibly respond to heterozygous deletion of genes listed above, please refer to APPENDIX for more information.
- TMB was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco®+ to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The threshold for high mutation load is set at ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase. TMB, microsatellite status and gene copy number deletion cannot be determined if calculated tumor purity is < 30%.

ACT Onco® + Report

THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS

TARGETED THERAPIES

Genomic Alterations	Therapies	Effect
Level 3B		
BRAF V600_K601delinsE	Selumetinib	**sensitive**
FGFR1 Amplification	Erdafitinib, Infigratinib, Ponatinib, Regorafenib, Sunitinib	**sensitive**
Level 4		
FGFR1 Amplification	Lenvatinib, Pazopanib	**sensitive**
BRAF V600_K601delinsE	Vemurafenib	**resistant**
FGFR1 Amplification	Palbociclib, Ribociclib	**resistant**

Therapies associated with benefit or lack of benefit are based on biomarkers detected in this tumor and published evidence in professional guidelines or peer-reviewed journals.

Level	Description
1	FDA-recognized biomarkers predictive of response or resistance to FDA approved drugs in this indication
2	Standard care biomarkers (recommended by the NCCN guideline) predictive of response or resistance to FDA approved drugs in this indication
3A	Biomarkers predictive of response or resistance to therapies approved by the FDA or NCCN guideline in a different cancer type
3B	Biomarkers that serve as inclusion criteria for clinical trials (minimal supportive data required)
4	Biomarkers that show plausible therapeutic significance based on small studies, few case reports, or preclinical studies

ACT Onco® + Report

IMMUNE CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS (ICIs)

No genomic alterations detected to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to immune checkpoint therapies.

- Other Biomarkers with Potential Clinical Effects for ICIs

Genomic Alterations	Potential Clinical Effects
Not detected	

Note: Tumor non-genomic factors, such as patient germline genetics, PDL1 expression, tumor microenvironment, epigenetic alterations or other factors not provided by this test may affect ICI response.

CHEMOTHERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to chemotherapies.

HORMONAL THERAPIES

Genomic Alterations	Therapies	Effect	Level of Evidence	Cancer Type
FGFR1 Amplification	Letrozole	**Resistant**	Clinical	Estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer
	Tamoxifen	**Resistant**	Preclinical	Breast cancer

OTHERS

Pharmacogenomic implication

Gene	Detection Site	Genotype	Drug Impact	Level of Evidence*
UGT1A1	rs4148323	AG	Irinotecan-based regimens	Level 1B
Clinical Interpretation: Patients with the AG genotype and cancer who are treated with irinotecan-based regimens may have an increased risk of diarrhea and neutropenia as compared to patients with the GG genotype, or a decreased risk of diarrhea and neutropenia compared to patients with the AA genotype. Other genetic and clinical factors may also influence a patient's risk of diarrhea and neutropenia.				

* Level of evidence was defined by PharmGKB (https://www.pharmgkb.org/page/clinAnnLevels)

Level 1A: Clinical annotations describe variant-drug combinations that have variant-specific prescribing guidance available in a current clinical guideline annotation or an FDA-approved drug label annotation.
Level 1B: Clinical annotations describe variant-drug combinations with a high level of evidence supporting the association but no variant-specific prescribing guidance in an annotated clinical guideline or FDA drug label.
Level 2A: Variants in Level 2A clinical annotations are found in PharmGKB's Tier 1 Very Important Pharmacogenes (VIPs). These variants are in known pharmacogenes, implying causation of drug phenotype is more likely.

Note:
Therapeutic implications provided in the test are based solely on the panel of 440 genes sequenced. Therefore, alterations in genes not covered in this panel, epigenetic and post-transcriptional and post-translational factors may also determine a patient's response to therapies. In addition, several other patient-associated clinical factors, including but not limited to, prior lines of therapies received, dosage and combinations with other therapeutic agents, patient's cancer types, sub-types, and/or stages, may also determine the patient's clinical response to therapies.

VARIANT INTERPRETATION

BRAF V600_K601delinsE

Biological Impact

BRAF is a serine/threonine kinase that belongs to the RAF family. The protein plays an essential role in the regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade, which affects a range of cellular response including cell division, differentiation, and secretion[1][2]. Mutations in the BRAF gene, most commonly the V600 residue, are the most frequently identified oncogenic mutations in melanomas, and have been identified in several types of cancers including non-Hodgkin lymphoma, thyroid cancers, non-small cell lung carcinoma, hairy cell leukemia, glioma, gastrointestinal stromal tumor, and colorectal cancers (CRCs)[3][4]. Of note, in the vast majority of cases, BRAF mutations are non-overlapping with other oncogenic mutations (e.g., NRAS mutations, KIT mutations, etc.) found in melanoma. V600E has been determined to be an activating mutation, which results in enhanced BRAF kinase activity and constitutive activation of downstream MEK/ERK signaling cascade[5][6].

BRAF V600_K601delinsE results in a deletion of 2 amino acids in the protein kinase domain of the BRAF protein from amino acids 600 to 601, combined with the insertion of a glutamate at the same site (UniProtKB). BRAF V600_K601delinsE has been identified in papillary thyroid cancer and NSCLC, and functionally characterized as an activating mutation that enhanced MEK/ERK signaling pathway and anchorage-dependent colony formation in vitro[7][8].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

A case report demonstrated that a NSCLC patient harboring BRAF V600_K601delinsE mutation showed disease progression after vemurafenib treatment[9].

The NCCN guidelines for central nervous system cancers recommended selumetinib for pilocytic astrocytoma patients with BRAF fusion or BRAF V600E activating mutation. BRAF activating mutations have been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trials evaluating selumetinib efficacies in cancers (NCT01089101, NCT00888134, NCT00866177, and NCT00936221).

TP53 Splice donor

Biological Impact

TP53 encodes the p53 protein, a crucial tumor suppressor that orchestrates essential cellular processes including cell cycle arrest, senescence and apoptosis[10]. TP53 is a proto-typical haploinsufficient gene, such that loss of a single copy of TP53 can result in tumor formation[11].

TP53 c.993+1G>A is a variant located at the splice donor region, which may result in the exon skipping.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Despite having a high mutation rate in cancers, there are currently no approved targeted therapies for TP53 mutations. A phase II trial demonstrated that Wee1 inhibitor (AZD1775) in combination with carboplatin was well tolerated and showed promising anti-tumor activity in TP53-mutated ovarian cancer refractory or resistant (< 3 months) to standard first-line therapy (NCT01164995)[12].

In a retrospective study (n=19), advanced sarcoma patients with TP53 loss-of-function mutations displayed improved progression-free survival (208 days versus 136 days) relative to patients with wild-type TP53 when treated with pazopanib[13]. Results from another Phase I trial of advanced solid tumors (n=78) demonstrated that TP53 hotspot mutations are associated with better clinical response to the combination of pazopanib and vorinostat[14].

Advanced solid tumor and colorectal cancer patients harboring a TP53 mutation have been shown to be more sensitive to bevacizumab when compared with patients harboring wild-type TP53[15][16][17]. In a pilot trial (n=21), TP53-negative

breast cancer patients demonstrated increased survival following treatment with bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy agents, Adriamycin (doxorubicin) and Taxotere (docetaxel)[18]. TP53 mutations were correlated with poor survival of advanced breast cancer patients receiving tamoxifen or primary chemotherapy[19][20]. In a retrospective study of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), TP53 mutations were associated with high expression of VEGF-A, the primary target of bevacizumab, offering a mechanistic explanation for why patients exhibit improved outcomes after bevacizumab treatment when their tumors harbor mutant TP53 versus wild-type TP53[21].

BAP1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

Breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein (BRCA1)-associated protein (BAP1) encodes an enzyme with ubiquitin carboxyl hydrolase activity involved in the regulation of cell cycle, transcription, and double-strand DNA repair[22][23][24]. BAP1 acts as a tumor suppressor by forming a complex with BRCA1[25]. BAP1 is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is related to renal cell carcinoma (RCC)[26]. Inactivating mutations of BAP1 were frequently observed in uveal melanoma with high metastatic risk, malignant mesothelioma and other carcinoma types, including a subtype of renal cell carcinoma and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma[23][27][28][29][30][31][32].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In a Phase II trial (MiST1; NCT03654833), rucaparib demonstrated manageable toxicity and clinical activity in patients with relapsed malignant mesothelioma that were negative for BAP1 (n=23), BRCA1 (n=13), or both (n=10), resulting in a 12-week disease control rate (DCR) of 58% (15/26), a 24-week DCR of 23% (6/26), and an objective response rate of 11.5% (3/26)[33].The loss of BAP1 was shown to be associated with increased sensitivity to PARP inhibitor, olaparib, in renal cell carcinoma (RCC)[29]and mesothelioma cell lines[34]. However, no difference in sensitivity to the PARP inhibitor niraparib (MK4827) was observed between BAP1-mutant and wild-type mesothelioma cell[23]. BAP1 deficiency was also linked to a high tumor grade and was correlated with metastasis development in uveal melanoma[27].

An open-label, non-randomized, Phase II study (NCT03207347) has been initiated, aimed at investigating the use of niraparib in mesothelioma, uveal melanoma, renal cell carcinoma, and cholangiocarcinoma patients with tumors known to have mutations in BAP1 and other selected DNA double-strand break repair pathway genes. BAP1 loss of function mutation has been selected as an inclusion criteria for the trial examining olaparib in urothelial cancer (NCT03375307) and malignant mesothelioma (NCT04515836).

FGFR1 Amplification

Biological Impact

The fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (FGFR1) gene encodes a receptor tyrosine kinase that plays crucial roles in cellular proliferation, survival, migration and angiogenesis[35][36]. Several studies have demonstrated that FGFR1 amplification correlates with FGFR1 overexpression[37][38][39][40][41][42]. Overexpression of FGFR1 has also been shown to enhance both ligand-dependent, and independent activation of downstream signaling pathways such as the phosphoinositide-3 kinase (PI3K) and the extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) cascades[43][44][45]. Amplification of FGFR1 has been associated with early relapse, and poor survival, specifically in ER+ breast cancer[43][46], and may be associated with progression of breast cancer from in situ-to-invasive transition[47].

FGFR1 amplifications have been reported in various types of cancer, including lung cancer[48], breast cancer[43], oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC)[49], prostate cancer[50], and esophageal cell carcinoma[51]. Besides, activating mutations (C381R and N330I) have been identified in giant cell lesions of the jaw[52].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Non-selective TKI-targeting inhibitors such as pazopanib, regorafenib, and ponatinib are multi-kinase inhibitors with

inhibitory activities towards FGFR1[53][54].

To date, Erdafitinib (BALVERSATM), is the first and only pan-FGFR kinase inhibitor approved by U.S. FDA, for the treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic bladder cancer with FGFR3 mutations or FGFR2/FGFR3 fusions. Addition of the erdafitinib to palbociclib/fulvestrant induced complete responses of FGFR1-amplified/ER+ patient-derived-xenografts[55].

A case report of a patient with HR+, HER2- breast cancer harboring FGFR1 amplification responded well to pazopanib[56].

FGFR1 amplification has been selected as an inclusion criteria for the trial examining erdafitinib, ponatinib, regorafenib, sunitinib, and infigratinib efficacies in multiple tumor types (NCT03390504, NCT03473743, NCT03238196, NCT02272998, NCT02795156, NCT02693535, NCT04233567, NCT02150967).

Several small molecule FGFR inhibitors such as AZD-4547 and NVP-BGJ398 (Infigratinib) are under clinical evaluation, although mainly in the early stages of trials[57]. Infigratinib has shown antitumor activity and manageable safety profile in patients with a variety of solid tumors, including FGFR1-amplified squamous cell lung cancer (sqNSCLC) and FGFR3-mutant bladder/urothelial cancers[58]. Meanwhile, Dovitinib, a potent FGFR inhibitor, in combination with fulvestrant showed promising clinical activity in the FGF pathway-amplified postmenopausal patients with HR+, HER2- advanced breast cancer[59].

In ER-positive breast cancer, FGFR1 amplification has been implicated as an acquired mechanism of resistance to endocrine therapies[60], such as letrozole, 4-hydroxytamoxifen, and anastrozole-containing regimen[61][43][62]. Besides, FGFR1/2 amplification or activating mutations were detected in ctDNA from post-progression ER-positive breast cancer patients after the fulvestrant plus palbociclib treatment. According to the subgroup analysis from MONALEESA-2 clinical trial, ER-positive breast cancer patients with FGFR1 amplification exhibited a shorter progression-free survival when treated with letrozole plus ribociclib[55].

Meanwhile, in non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), FGFR1 is considered as an alternative acquired mechanism of resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors[63]. For example, upregulated FGFR1-FGF2 autocrine loop was identified in a gefitinib-resistant cell model[64], and focal FGFR1 amplification was observed in an NSCLC patient who developed resistance to osimertinib treatment[65].

The BOLERO-2 clinical trial (everolimus plus exemestane) suggested that FGFR1 amplification and CCND1 amplification may be correlated with lessened progression-free survival (PFS) with the mTOR inhibitor everolimus[66][67].

In preclinical study, thyroid cancer cell with FGFR1 amplification is sensitive to lenvatinib treatment[68][69]. Ponatinib, a multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor, demonstrated anti-proliferative activity in lung cancer, breast cancer, and Ewing's sarcoma cells overexpressing FGFR1[70][53][71].

SMAD4 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The SMAD family member 4 (SMAD4) gene encodes a transcription factor that acts as a downstream effector in the TGF-β signaling pathway. Upon phosphorylated and activated by serine-threonine receptor kinase, Smad4 is the Co-Smad which recruits other activated R-Smad proteins to the Smad transcriptional complex and regulate TGF-β-targeted genes[72]. Smad4 has been identified as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to a weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological function[73]. SMAD4 germline mutations are associated with juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS)[74][75][76][77]. Somatic mutations of SMAD4 are commonly observed in pancreatic cancer[78], colorectal cancer (CRC)[76][79][80], and less frequently seen in other cancers such as lung adenocarcinoma[81],

head and neck cancer[82][83], and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma[84].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In Chinese patients with metastatic colorectal cancer, SMAD4 or NF1 mutations are suggested as a potential biomarker for poor prognosis to cetuximab-based therapy[85]. Preclinical data demonstrated that depletion of SMAD4 by shRNA knockdown increased clonogenic survival and cetuximab resistance in HPV-negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells[86].

SMAD4 is also suggested as a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in colorectal cancer (CRC)[87][88]. CRC patients with normal SMAD4 diploidy exhibited three-fold higher benefit of 5-FU/mitomycin-based adjuvant therapy when compared with those with SMAD4 deletion[89].

Results from clinical and meta-analyses showed that loss of SMAD4 in CRC, pancreatic cancer was correlated with poor prognosis[90][91][92][93][94][95][96][97]. In cervical cancer patients, weak cytoplasmic SMAD4 expression and absent nuclear SMAD4 expression were shown to be significantly associated with poor disease-free and overall 5-year survival[98].

STK11 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The serine/threonine kinase 11 (STK11, also known as LKB1) gene encodes the multifunctional serine/threonine kinase, a tumor suppressor that functions as an inhibitor for the mTOR signaling pathway[99][100]. STK11 is a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[101][102]. In the mouse model, loss of STK11 promotes aggressive endometrial and squamous cell carcinomas[103][104]. Mutations in STK11 have been found in lung, breast, cervical, testicular, and liver cancers, as well as malignant melanoma, pancreatic and biliary carcinoma[105]. Germline mutations in STK11 are found in 30-70% of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome[106].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

A clinical study in a pancreatic cancer patient with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome whose tumor harboring an STK11 D194E mutation coupled with the loss of heterozygosity of the other STK11 allele displayed partial response to the everolimus treatment[107]. In another clinical case study, an adrenocorticotropic pituitary carcinoma patient whose tumor bearing an STK11 inactivating mutation responded to a combination of everolimus and radiotherapy[108].

Preclinical data suggested that lung cancer cell lines with STK11 inactivating mutations may confer increased sensitivity to the MEK-1 and MEK-2 inhibitor, trametinib[109].

Inactivating mutations of STK11 was shown to be associated with resistance to immune checkpoint blockade in KRAS-mutant lung adenocarcinoma (LUAC) and NSCLC (DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.9016)[110][111][112]. It was proposed that loss of STK11 negatively impacts the number and function of tumor-infiltrating T cells (TILs) and PD-L1 expression on tumor cells and therefore results in an ineffective response to PD-1-targeting antibodies[113].

US FDA-APPROVED DRUG(S)

Binimetinib (MEKTOVI)

Binimetinib is an oral kinase inhibitor that targets MEK. Binimetinib is developed and marketed by Array BioPharma under the trade name MEKTOVI.

- FDA Approval Summary of Binimetinib (MEKTOVI)

MEKTOVI[114] NCT01909453	**Melanoma** (Approved on 2018/06/27)
	BRAF V600E/K
	Encorafenib + binimetinib vs. Vemurafenib [PFS(M): 14.9 vs. 7.3]

Cobimetinib (COTELLIC)

Cobimetinib is a reversible inhibitor which targets MEK1 and MEK2. Cobimetinib is developed by Exelixis and Genentech, and marketed by Genentech under the trade name COTELLIC.

- FDA Approval Summary of Cobimetinib (COTELLIC)

coBRIM[115] NCT01689519	**Melanoma** (Approved on 2015/11/10)
	BRAF V600E/K
	Cobimetinib + vemurafenib vs. Placebo + vemurafenib [PFS(M): 12.3 vs. 7.2]

Erdafitinib (BALVERSA)

Erdafitinib is a kinase inhibitor that binds to and inhibits enzymatic activity of FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3 and FGFR4 based on in vitro data. Erdafitinib also binds to RET, CSF1R, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, FLT4, KIT, and VEGFR2. Erdafitinib is developed and marketed by Janssen under the trade name BALVERSA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Erdafitinib (BALVERSA)

Study BLC2001 NCT02365597	**Bladder urothelial carcinoma** (Approved on 2019/04/12)
	-
	Erdafitinib [ORR(%): 32.2]

Everolimus (AFINITOR)

Everolimus, a derivative of sirolimus, works as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) and blocks mTORC1-mediated downstream signals for cell growth, proliferation, and survival. Everolimus is developed and marketed by Novartis under the trade name AFINITOR.

- FDA Approval Summary of Everolimus (AFINITOR)

RADIANT-4[116] NCT01524783	**Lung or gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumor** (Approved on 2016/02/26)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 3.9]
BOLERO-2[117] NCT00863655	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2012/07/20)
	ER+/HER2-
	Everolimus + exemestane vs. Placebo + exemestane [PFS(M): 7.8 vs. 3.2]

EXIST-2 NCT00790400	**Tuberous sclerosis complex (tsc)-associated renal angiomyolipoma** (Approved on 2012/04/26)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 41.8 vs. 0]
RADIANT-3[118] NCT00510068	**Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor** (Approved on 2011/05/05)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 4.6]
EXIST-1[119] NCT00789828	**Subependymal giant cell astrocytoma** (Approved on 2010/10/29)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 35.0]
RECORD-1[120] NCT00410124	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2009/05/30)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 4.9 vs. 1.9]

Infigratinib (TRUSELTIQ)

Infigratinib a kinase inhibitor. Infigratinib is developed and marketed by QED Therapeutics, Inc. under the trade name TRUSELTIQ.

- FDA Approval Summary of Infigratinib (TRUSELTIQ)

CBGJ398X2204 NCT02150967	**Cholangiocarcinoma** (Approved on 2021/05/28)
	FGFR2 fusion
	Infigratinib [ORR(%): 23.0, DOR(M): 5]

Lenvatinib (LENVIMA)

Lenvatinib is a multiple kinase inhibitor against the VEGFR1, VEGFR2 and VEGFR3. Lenvatinib is marketed by Eisai Inc. under the trade name LENVIMA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Lenvatinib (LENVIMA)

KEYNOTE-775 (Study 309) NCT03517449	**Endometrial carcinoma** (Approved on 2021/07/22)
	Not microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) or mismatch repair deficient (dMMR)
	Pembrolizumab + lenvatinib vs. Investigator's choice of doxorubicin or paclitaxel [PFS(M): 6.6 vs. 3.8, OS(M): 17.4 vs. 12]
KEYNOTE-146 NCT02501096	**Endometrial carcinoma** (Approved on 2019/09/17)
	Not microsatellite instability high (MSI-H) or mismatch repair deficient (dMMR)
	Pembrolizumab + lenvatinib [ORR(%): 38.3, DOR(M): NR]
REFLECT[121] NCT01761266	**Hepatocellular carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/08/16)
	-
	Lenvatinib vs. Sorafenib [OS(M): 13.6 vs. 12.3]
SELECT[122] NCT01136733	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2016/05/13)
	-
	Lenvatinib+ everolimus vs. Everolimus [PFS(M): 14.6 vs. 5.5]
SELECT[123] NCT01321554	**Thyroid cancer** (Approved on 2015/02/13)
	-
	Lenvatinib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 18.3 vs. 3.6]

Niraparib (ZEJULA)

Niraparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 and -2 (PARP-1, -2). Niraparib is developed and marketed by Tesaro under the trade name ZEJULA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Niraparib (ZEJULA)

PRIMA NCT02655016	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2020/04/29)
	-
	Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS (overall population)(M): 13.8 vs. 8.2]
QUADRA[124] NCT02354586	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2019/10/23)
	HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability)
	Niraparib [ORR(%): 24.0, DOR(M): 8.3]
NOVA[125] NCT01847274	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/03/27)
	-
	Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS (overall population)(M): 11.3 vs. 4.7]

Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

Olaparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2, and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Olaparib is developed by KuDOS Pharmaceuticals and marketed by AstraZeneca under the trade name LYNPARZA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

OlympiA NCT02032823	**Her2-negative high-risk early breast cancer** (Approved on 2022/03/11)
	gBRCA
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [invasive disease-free survival (IDFS)(M):]
PROfound[126] NCT02987543	**Prostate cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/19)
	ATMm, BRCA1m, BRCA2m, BARD1m, BRIP1m, CDK12m, CHEK1m, CHEK2m, FANCLm, PALB2m, RAD51Bm, RAD51Cm, RAD51Dm, RAD54Lm
	Olaparib vs. Enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate [PFS(M): 5.8 vs. 3.5]
PAOLA-1[127] NCT02477644	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/08)
	HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability)
	Olaparib + bevacizumab vs. Placebo + bevacizumab [PFS(M): 37.2 vs. 17.7]
POLO[128] NCT02184195	**Pancreatic adenocarcinoma** (Approved on 2019/12/27)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious)
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 23.0 vs. 12.0, PFS(M): 7.4 vs. 3.8]
SOLO-1[129] NCT01844986	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/12/19)
	Germline or somatic BRCA-mutated (gBRCAm or sBRCAm)
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): NR vs. 13.8]
OlympiAD[130] NCT02000622	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/02/06)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative
	Olaparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 7 vs. 4.2]
SOLO-2/ENGOT-Ov21[131] NCT01874353	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	gBRCA+
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 19.1 vs. 5.5]

Study19[132] NCT00753545	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	-
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 8.4 vs. 4.8]
Study 42[133] NCT01078662	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2014/12/19)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious)
	Olaparib [ORR(%): 34.0, DOR(M): 7.9]

Pazopanib (VOTRIENT)

Pazopanib is an oral, small molecule, multi-kinase inhibitor that targets receptor tyrosine kinase including vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1, -2, -3 (VEGFR-1, -2, -3), platelet-derived growth factor receptor-α, -β (PDGFR-α, -β), c-kit, fibroblast growth factor-1 and -3 (FGFR-1, -3), thereby inhibiting angiogenesis. Pazopanib is developed and marketed by GlaxoSmithKline under the trade name VOTRIENT.

- FDA Approval Summary of Pazopanib (VOTRIENT)

PALETTE[134] NCT00753688	**Sarcoma** (Approved on 2016/04/26)
	-
	Pazopanib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 4.6 vs. 1.6]
VEG105192[135] NCT00334282	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2009/10/19)
	-
	Pazopanib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 9.2 vs. 4.2]

Ponatinib (ICLUSIG)

Ponatinib is an oral, small molecule, multi-kinase inhibitor designed to inhibit the activity of the tyrosine kinase ABL, including the T315I mutated ABL as well. Ponatinib is developed and marketed by ARIAD under the trade name ICLUSIG.

- FDA Approval Summary of Ponatinib (ICLUSIG)

PACE[136] NCT01207440	**Chronic phase chronic myeloid leukemia** (Approved on 2014/03/12)
	-
	Ponatinib [MCyR(%): 55]
PACE[136] NCT01207440	**Accelerated phase chronic myeloid leukemia** (Approved on 2014/03/12)
	-
	Ponatinib [MaHR(%): 57]
PACE[136] NCT01207440	**Blast phase chronic myeloid leukemia** (Approved on 2014/03/12)
	-
	Ponatinib [MaHR(%): 31]
PACE[136] NCT01207440	**Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia** (Approved on 2014/03/12)
	-
	Ponatinib [MaHR(%): 41]

ACT Onco® + Report

Regorafenib (STIVARGA)

Regorafenib is a multi-kinase inhibitor which targets angiogenic, stromal and oncogenic receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs). Regorafenib is developed and marketed by Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals under the trade name STIVARGA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Regorafenib (STIVARGA)

RESORCE[137] NCT01774344	**Hepatocellular carcinoma, Hepatocellular carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/04/27)
	-
	Bsc vs. Placebo [OS(M): 10.6 vs. 7.8]
GRID[138] NCT01271712	**Gastrointestinal stromal tumor** (Approved on 2013/02/25)
	-
	Regorafenib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 4.8 vs. 0.9]
CORRECT[139] NCT01103323	**Colorectal cancer** (Approved on 2012/09/27)
	-
	Regorafenib vs. Placebo [OS(M): 6.4 vs. 5]

Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

Rucaparib is an inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2 and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Rucaparib is developed and marketed by Clovis Oncology under the trade name RUBRACA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

TRITON2 NCT02952534	**Prostate cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/15)
	gBRCA+, sBRCA
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 44.0, DOR(M): NE]
ARIEL3[140] NCT01968213	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/04/06)
	All|HRD|tBRCA
	Rucaparib vs. Placebo [PFS (All)(M): 10.8 vs. 5.4, PFS (HRD)(M): 13.6 vs. 5.4, PFS (tBRCA)(M): 16.6 vs. 5.4]
ARIEL2[141] NCT01482715, NCT01891344	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2016/12/19)
	Germline and/or somatic BRCA mutation
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 54.0]

Selumetinib (KOSELUGO)

Selumetinib is a kinase inhibitor. Selumetinib is developed and marketed by AstraZeneca under the trade name KOSELUGO.

- FDA Approval Summary of Selumetinib (KOSELUGO)

SPRINT NCT01362803	**Plexiform neurofibromas** (Approved on 2020/04/10)
	Neurofibromatosis type 1
	Selumetinib [ORR(%): 66.0]

ACT Onco® + Report

Sunitinib (SUTENT)

Sunitinib is an oral, small molecule, multi-kinase inhibitor that targets receptor tyrosine kinase including platelet-derived growth factor receptor-α, -β (PDGFR-α, -β), vascular endothelial growth factor receptors-1, -2, -3 (VEGFR-1, -2, -3), c-kit, Fms-like tyrosine kinase-3 (FLT3), colony stimulating factor receptor type 1 (CSF-1R), and the glial cell-line derived neurotrophic factor receptor (RET), thereby inhibiting angiogenesis. Sunitinib is developed and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name SUTENT.

- FDA Approval Summary of Sunitinib (SUTENT)

[142][143][144] NCT00428597	**Pancreatic cancer** (Approved on 2011/05/20)
	-
	Sunitinib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 10.2 vs. 5.4]
[145][146] NCT00083889	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2007/02/02)
	-
	Sunitinib vs. Ifn-α [PFS(W): 47.3 vs. 22]
[147][148][146] NCT00077974	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2007/02/02)
	-
	Sunitinib [ORR(%): 34.0]
[148][146] NCT00054886	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2007/02/02)
	-
	Sunitinib [ORR(%): 36.5]
[149] NCT00075218	**Gastrointestinal stromal tumor** (Approved on 2006/01/26)
	-
	Sunitinib vs. Placebo [TTP(W): 27.3 vs. 6.4]

Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

Talazoparib is an inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) enzymes, including PARP1 and PARP2. Talazoparib is developed and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TALZENNA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

EMBRACA[150] NCT01945775	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/10/16)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative
	Talazoparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 8.6 vs. 5.6]

Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

Temsirolimus is a soluble ester of sirolimus (rapamycin, brand-name drug Rapamune) and functions as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex (mTORC). The inhibitory molecular mechanism is similar to Everolimus. Temsirolimus is developed by Wyeth Pharmaceuticals and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TORISEL.

- FDA Approval Summary of Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

[151] NCT00065468	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2007/05/30)
	-
	Temsirolimus vs. Ifn-α [OS(M): 10.9 vs. 7.3]

ACT Onco® + Report

Trametinib (MEKINIST)

Trametinib is an anti-cancer inhibitor which targets MEK1 and MEK2. Trametinib is developed and marketed by GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) under the trade name MEKINIST.

- FDA Approval Summary of Trametinib (MEKINIST)

BRF117019[152] NCT02034110	**Anaplastic thyroid cancer** (Approved on 2018/05/04)
	BRAF V600E
	Dabrafenib + trametinib [ORR(%): 61.0]
BRF113928[153] NCT01336634	**Non-small cell lung cancer** (Approved on 2017/06/22)
	BRAF V600E
	Trametinib + dabrafenib vs. Dabrafenib [ORR(%): 63.0 vs. 27.0, DOR(M): 12.6 vs. 9.9]
COMBI-d[154] NCT01584648	**Melanoma** (Approved on 2014/01/10)
	BRAF V600E/K
	Trametinib + dabrafenib vs. Dabrafenib + placebo [PFS(M): 9.3 vs. 8.8]
METRIC[155] NCT01245062	**Melanoma** (Approved on 2013/05/29)
	BRAF V600E/K
	Trametinib vs. Dacarbazine or paclitaxel [PFS(M): 4.8 vs. 1.5]

D=day; W=week; M=month

ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS

Trials were searched by applying filters: study status, patient's diagnosis, intervention, location and/or biomarker(s). Please visit https://clinicaltrials.gov to search and view for a complete list of open available and updated matched trials.

No trial has been found.

ACT Onco® + Report

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION OF TESTING RESULTS

DETAILED INFORMATION OF VARIANTS WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Single Nucleotide and Small InDel Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Exon	cDNA Change	Accession Number	COSMIC ID	Allele Frequency	Coverage
BRAF	V600_K601delinsE	15	c.1799_1801del	NM_004333	COSM1133	31.9%	1336
TP53	Splice donor	-	c.993+1G>A	NM_000546	COSM44295	42.9%	638

- Copy Number Alterations

Observed copy number (CN) for each evaluated position is shown on the y-axis. Regions referred to as amplification or deletion are shown in color. Regions without significant changes are represented in gray.



ACT Onco® + Report

OTHER DETECTED VARIANTS

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Exon	cDNA Change	Accession Number	COSMIC ID	Allele Frequency	Coverage
DOT1L	D588E	18	c.1764C>G	NM_032482	-	75.5%	339
EPHA2	E375*	5	c.1123G>T	NM_004431	-	6.1%	440
EPHA2	K468N	6	c.1404G>T	NM_004431	-	7.5%	346
EPHA2	W467*	6	c.1401G>A	NM_004431	-	7.5%	346
ERBB2	D483N	12	c.1447G>A	NM_004448	COSM6906406	53.5%	400
FANCL	Splice region	-	c.155+7T>C	NM_018062	-	49.4%	1158
FAT1	A3644M	19	c.10930_10931delinsAT	NM_005245	-	51.4%	1212
FAT1	Y4232C	25	c.12695A>G	NM_005245	-	54.1%	747
IRS2	R1097C	1	c.3289C>T	NM_003749	-	51.2%	168
KMT2D	G3660A	39	c.10979G>C	NM_003482	-	50.0%	178
NOTCH4	G1196R	21	c.3586G>A	NM_004557	-	44.2%	156
VEGFB	Splice region	-	c.411-3T>C	NM_003377	-	42.8%	711

Note:

- This table enlists variants detected by the panel other than those with clinical relevance (reported in Testing Result section). The clinical impact of a genetic variant is determined according to ACT Genomics in-house clinical knowledge database. A negative result does not necessarily indicate absence of biological effect on the tumor. Some variants listed here may possibly have preclinical data or may show potential clinical relevance in the future.

ACTOnco® + Report

TEST DETAILS

SPECIMEN RECEIVED AND PATHOLOGY REVIEW



- Collection date: Jan 2022
- Facility retrieved: 臺北榮總
- H&E-stained section No.: S11170160A
- Collection site: Pancreas
- Examined by: Dr. Yeh-Han Wang
    1. The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the whole slide (%): 60%
    2. The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 60%
    3. The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the whole slide (%): 0%
    4. The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 0%
    5. Additional comment: NA
- Manual macrodissection: Not performed
- The outline highlights the area of malignant neoplasm annotated by a pathologist.

RUN QC

- Panel: ACTOnco®+

DNA test

- Mean Depth: 795x
- Target Base Coverage at 100x: 94%

RNA test

- Average unique RNA Start Sites per control GSP2: 164

ACT Onco® + Report

LIMITATIONS

1. This test does not provide information of variant causality and does not detect variants in non-coding regions that could affect gene expression. This report does not report polymorphisms and we do not classify whether a mutation is germline or somatic. Variants identified by this assay were not subject to validation by Sanger or other technologies.
2. The possibility cannot be excluded that certain pathogenic variants detected by other sequencing tools may not be reported in the test because of technical limitation of bioinformatics algorithm or the NGS sequencing platform, e.g. low coverage.
3. This test has been designed to detect fusions in 13 genes sequenced. Therefore, fusion in genes not covered by this test would not be reported. For novel fusions detected in this test, Sanger sequencing confirmation is recommended if residue specimen is available.

NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS) METHODS

DNA test

Extracted genomic DNA was amplified using primers targeting coding exons of analyzed genes and subjected to library construction. Barcoded libraries were subsequently conjugated with sequencing beads by emulsion PCR and enriched using Ion Chef system. Sequencing was performed according to Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Raw reads generated by the sequencer were mapped to the hg19 reference genome using the Ion Torrent Suite. Coverage depth was calculated using Torrent Coverage Analysis plug-in. Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and short insertions/deletions (InDels) were identified using the Torrent Variant Caller plug-in. VEP (Variant Effect Predictor) was used to annotate every variant using databases from Clinvar, COSMIC and Genome Aggregation database. Variants with coverage ≥ 25, allele frequency ≥ 5% and actionable variants with allele frequency ≥ 2% were retained. This test provides uniform coverage of the targeted regions, enabling target base coverage at 100x ≥ 85% with a mean coverage ≥ 500x.

Variants reported in Genome Aggregation database with > 1% minor allele frequency (MAF) were considered as polymorphisms. ACT Genomics in-house database was used to determine technical errors. Clinically actionable and biologically significant variants were determined based on the published medical literature.

The copy number alterations (CNAs) were predicted as described below:
Amplicons with read counts in the lowest 5th percentile of all detectable amplicons and amplicons with a coefficient of variation ≥ 0.3 were removed. The remaining amplicons were normalized to correct the pool design bias. ONCOCNV (an established method for calculating copy number aberrations in amplicon sequencing data by Boeva et al., 2014) was applied for the normalization of total amplicon number, amplicon GC content, amplicon length, and technology-related biases, followed by segmenting the sample with a gene-aware model. The method was used as well for establishing the baseline of copy number variations.

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco®+ to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The TMB calculation predicted somatic variants and applied a machine learning model with a cancer hotspot correction. TMB may be reported as "TMB-High", "TMB-Low" or "Cannot Be Determined". TMB-High corresponds to ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase (Muts/Mb); TMB-Low corresponds to < 7.5 Muts/Mb. TMB is reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the tumor purity of the sample is < 30%.

Classification of microsatellite instability (MSI) status is determined by a machine learning prediction algorithm. The change of a number of repeats of different lengths from a pooled microsatellite stable (MSS) baseline in > 400 genomic loci are used as the features for the algorithm. The final output of the results is either microsatellite Stable (MSS) or microsatellite instability high (MSI-H).

ACT Onco® + Report

RNA test

Extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed and subjected to library construction. Sequencing was performed according to Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To ensure sequencing quality for fusion variant analysis, the average unique RNA Start Sites (SS) per control Gene Specific Primer 2 (GSP 2) should be ≥ 10.

The fusion analysis pipeline aligned sequenced reads to the human reference genome, identified regions that map to noncontiguous regions of the genome, applied filters to exclude probable false-positive events and, annotated previously characterized fusion events according to Quiver Gene Fusion Database, a curated database owned and maintained by ArcherDX. In general, samples with detectable fusions need to meet the following criteria: (1) Number of unique start sites (SS) for the GSP2 ≥ 3; (2) Number of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction ≥ 5; (3) Percentage of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction ≥ 10%; (4) Fusions annotated in Quiver Gene Fusion Database.

DATABASE USED

- Reference genome: Human genome sequence hg19
- COSMIC v.92
- Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1
- ClinVar (version 20210404)
- ACT Genomics in-house database
- Quiver Gene Fusion Database version 5.1.18

Variant Analysis:
醫檢師張筑芃 博士
Chu-Yuan Chang Ph.D.
檢字第 020115 號

Sign Off
醫檢師張筑芃 博士
Chu-Yuan Chang Ph.D.
檢字第 020115 號

ACT Onco® + Report

GENE LIST

SNV & CNV

ABCB1*	ABCC2*	ABCG2*	ABL1	ABL2	ADAMTS1	ADAMTS13	ADAMTS15	ADAMTS16	ADAMTS18	ADAMTS6	ADAMTS9
ADAMTSL1	ADGRA2	ADH1C*	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALDH1A1*	ALK	AMER1	APC	AR	ARAF
ARID1A	ARID1B	ARID2	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1	AXIN2	AXL
B2M	BAP1	BARD1	BCL10	BCL2*	BCL2L1	BCL2L2*	BCL6	BCL9	BCOR	BIRC2	BIRC3
BLM	BMPR1A	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2*	BTK	BUB1B	CALR
CANX	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCNA1	CCNA	CCNB1	CCNB2	CCNB3	CCND1	CCND2
CCND3	CCNE1	CCNE2	CCNH	CD19	CD274	CD58	CD70*	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1
CDK1	CDK12	CDK2	CDK4	CDK5	CDK6	CDK7	CDK8	CDK9	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A
CDKN2B	CDKN2C	CEBPA*	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CRLF2	CSF1R	CTCF	CTLA4
CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CYLD	CYP1A1*	CYP2B6*	CYP2C19*	CYP2C8*	CYP2D6	CYP2E1*	CYP3A4*	CYP3A5*
DAXX	DCUN1D1	DDR2	DICER1	DNMT3A	DOT1L	DPYD	DTX1	E2F3	EGFR	EP300	EPCAM
EPHA2	EPHA3	EPHA5	EPHA7	EPHB1	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC1	ERCC2	ERCC3	ERCC4
ERCC5	ERG	ESR1	ESR2	ETV1	ETV4	EZH2	FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCD2	FANCE
FANCF	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FAT1	FBXW7	FCGR2B	FGF1*	FGF10	FGF14	FGF19*	FGF23
FGF3	FGF4*	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FLT4
FOXL2*	FOXP1	FRG1	FUBP1	GATA1	GATA2	GATA3	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GREM1
GRIN2A	GSK3B	GSTP1*	GSTT1*	HGF	HIF1A	HIST1H1C*	HIST1H1E*	HNF1A	HR	HRAS*	HSP90AA1
HSP90AB1	HSPA4	HSPA5	IDH1	IDH2	IFNL3*	IGF1	IGF1R	IGF2	IKBKB	IKBKE	IKZF1
IL6	IL7R	INPP4B	INSR	IRF4	IRS1	IRS2*	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3	JUN*	KAT6A
KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KIT	KMT2A	KMT2C	KMT2D	KRAS	LCK	LIG1
LIG3	LMO1	LRP1B	LYN	MALT1	MAP2K1	MAP2K2	MAP2K4	MAP3K1	MAP3K7	MAPK1	MAPK3
MAX	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1	MET	MITF	MLH1	MPL	MRE11
MSH2	MSH6	MTHFR*	MTOR	MUC16	MUC4	MUC6	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL	MYCN	MYD88
NAT2*	NBN	NEFH	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKB1	NFKBIA	NKX2-1*	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NOTCH4	NPM1	NQO1*	NRAS	NSD1	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	PAK3	PALB2	PARP1	PAX5
PAX8	PBRM1	PDCD1	PDCD1LG2	PDGFRA	PDGFRB	PDIA3	PGF	PHOX2B*	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3C3
PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3CD	PIK3CG	PIK3R1	PIK3R2	PIK3R3	PIM1	PMS1	PMS2	POLB	POLD1
POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCA	PRKCB	PRKCG	PRKCI	PRKCQ	PRKDC	PRKN
PSMB8	PSMB9	PSME1	PSME2	PSME3	PTCH1	PTEN	PTGS2	PTPN11	PTPRD	PTPRT	RAC1
RAD50	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C	RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	RECQL4
REL	RET	RHOA	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPPH1	RPTOR	RUNX1	RUNX1T1	RXRA	SDHA
SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SERPINB3	SERPINB4	SETD2	SF3B1	SGK1	SH2D1A*	SLC19A1*	SLC22A2*	SLCO1B1*
SLCO1B3*	SMAD2	SMAD3	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SOCS1*	SOX2*	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP
SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU	SYK	SYNE1	TAF1	TAP1	TAP2	TAPBP	TBX3
TEK	TERT	TET1	TET2	TGFBR2	TMSB4X*	TNF	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TNFSF11	TOP1	TP53
TPMT*	TSC1	TSC2	TSHR	TYMS	U2AF1	UBE2A*	UBE2K	UBR5	UGT1A1*	USH2A	VDR*
VEGFA	VEGFB	VHL	WT1	XIAP	XPO1	XRCC2	ZNF217				

*Analysis of copy number alterations NOT available.

FUSION

ALK	BRAF	EGFR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	MET	NRG1	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	RET	ROS1

ACT Onco® + Report

APPENDIX

POSSIBLE THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS FOR HETEROZYGOUS DELETION

Gene	Therapies	Possible effect
STK11	Binimetinib, Cobimetinib, Trametinib, Everolimus, Temsirolimus	**sensitive**
BAP1	Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	**sensitive**
SMAD4	Cetuximab	**resistant**

SIGNALING PATHWAYS AND MOLECULAR-TARGETED AGENTS



1: Everolimus, Temsirolimus; 2: Selumetinib, Trametinib, Binimetinib, Cobimetinib

ACT Onco® + Report



1: Olaparib, Niraparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib; 2: Ponatinib



1: Ponatinib, Lenvatinib, Erdafitinib, Infigratinib, Pazopanib; 2: Ponatinib, Pazopanib, Sunitinib, Regorafenib; 3: Ponatinib, Lenvatinib, Pazopanib, Sunitinib; 4: Lenvatinib, Pazopanib, Sunitinib, Ponatinib; 5: Sunitinib; 6: Ponatinib, Regorafenib, Lenvatinib, Pazopanib, Sunitinib

ACT Onco® + Report

DISCLAIMER

法律聲明

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，結果需經專業醫師解釋及判讀。基因突變資訊非必具備藥物或治療有效性指標，反之亦然。本檢驗報告提供之用藥指引不聲明或保證其臨床有效性，反之亦然。本基因檢測方法係由本公司研究開發，已經過有效性測試。
本檢驗報告非經本公司許可，不得私自變造、塗改，或以任何方式作為廣告及其他宣傳之用途。
本公司於提供檢驗報告後，即已完成本次契約義務，後續之報告解釋、判讀及用藥、治療，應自行尋求相關專業醫師協助，若需將報告移件其他醫師，本人應取得該醫師同意並填寫移件申請書，主動告知行動基因，行動基因僅能配合該醫師意願與時間提供醫師解說。

醫療決策需由醫師決定

任何治療與用藥需經由醫師在考慮病患所有健康狀況相關資訊包含健檢、其他檢測報告和病患意願後，依照該地區醫療照護標準由醫師獨立判斷。醫師不應僅依據單一報告結果(例如本檢測或本報告書內容)做決策。

基因突變與用藥資訊並非依照有效性排序

本報告中列出之生物標記變異與藥物資訊並非依照潛在治療有效性排序。

證據等級

藥物潛在臨床效益(或缺乏潛在臨床效益)的實證證據是依據至少一篇臨床療效個案報告或臨床前試驗做為評估。本公司盡力提供適時及準確之資料，但由於醫學科技之發展日新月異，本公司不就本報告提供的資料是否為準確、適宜或最新作保證。

責任

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，本公司及其員工不對任何由使用本報告之內容引起的直接、間接、特殊、連帶或衍生的損失或損害承擔責任。

REFERENCE

1. PMID: 15520807; 2004, Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol;5(11):875-85
The RAF proteins take centre stage.

2. PMID: 24737949; 2014, J Carcinog;13():1
BRAF and beyond: Tailoring strategies for the individual melanoma patient.

3. PMID: 12068308; 2002, Nature;417(6892):949-54
Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer.

4. PMID: 24071849; 2013, Nat Genet;45(10):1113-20
The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project.

5. PMID: 20179705; 2010, Nature;464(7287):427-30
RAF inhibitors transactivate RAF dimers and ERK signalling in cells with wild-type BRAF.

6. PMID: 21388974; 2011, Mol Cancer Ther;10(3):385-94
BRAFV600E: implications for carcinogenesis and molecular therapy.

7. PMID: 17297294; 2007, Cell Cycle;6(3):377-9
Functional characterization of the T1799-1801del and A1799-1816ins BRAF mutations in papillary thyroid cancer.

8. PMID: 23833300; 2013, Clin Cancer Res;19(16):4532-40
Clinical, pathologic, and biologic features associated with BRAF mutations in non-small cell lung cancer.

9. PMID: 26045855; 2015, Int J Clin Exp Pathol;8(3):3294-8
BRAFV600 mutant non-small-cell lung cancer resistant to Vemurafenib.

10. PMID: 24739573; 2014, Nat Rev Cancer;14(5):359-70
Unravelling mechanisms of p53-mediated tumour suppression.

11. PMID: 21125671; 2011, J Pathol;223(2):137-46
Haplo-insufficiency: a driving force in cancer.

12. PMID: 27998224; 2016, J Clin Oncol;34(36):4354-4361
Phase II Study of WEE1 Inhibitor AZD1775 Plus Carboplatin in Patients With TP53-Mutated Ovarian Cancer Refractory or Resistant to First-Line Therapy Within 3 Months.

13. PMID: 26646755; 2016, Ann Oncol;27(3):539-43
TP53 mutational status is predictive of pazopanib response in advanced sarcomas.

14. PMID: 25669829; 2015, Ann Oncol;26(5):1012-8
Phase I study of pazopanib and vorinostat: a therapeutic approach for inhibiting mutant p53-mediated angiogenesis and facilitating mutant p53 degradation.

15. PMID: 27466356; 2016, Mol Cancer Ther;15(10):2475-2485
TP53 Alterations Correlate with Response to VEGF/VEGFR Inhibitors: Implications for Targeted Therapeutics.

16. PMID: 23670029; 2013, Oncotarget;4(5):705-14
P53 mutations in advanced cancers: clinical characteristics, outcomes, and correlation between progression-free survival and bevacizumab-containing therapy.

17. PMID: 17145525; 2006, Semin Oncol;33(5 Suppl 10):S8-14
Bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy: first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer.

18. PMID: 21399868; 2011, Int J Oncol;38(5):1445-52
p53, HER2 and tumor cell apoptosis correlate with clinical outcome after neoadjuvant bevacizumab plus chemotherapy in breast cancer.

19. PMID: 20549698; 2011, Int J Cancer;128(8):1813-21
p53 status influences response to tamoxifen but not to fulvestrant in breast cancer cell lines.

20. PMID: 10786679; 2000, Cancer Res;60(8):2155-62
Complete sequencing of TP53 predicts poor response to systemic therapy of advanced breast cancer.

21. PMID: 25672981; 2015, Cancer Res;75(7):1187-90
VEGF-A Expression Correlates with TP53 Mutations in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Implications for Antiangiogenesis Therapy.

22. PMID: 20302916; 2010, Biochim Biophys Acta;1806(1):1-6
The potential role of ubiquitin c-terminal hydrolases in oncogenesis.

23. PMID: 21642991; 2011, Nat Genet;43(7):668-72
The nuclear deubiquitinase BAP1 is commonly inactivated by somatic mutations and 3p21.1 losses in malignant pleural mesothelioma.

24. PMID: 19188440; 2009, Mol Cell Biol;29(8):2181-92
Association of C-terminal ubiquitin hydrolase BRCA1-associated protein 1 with cell cycle regulator host cell factor 1.

25. PMID: 18757409; 2008, Cancer Res;68(17):6953-62
BRCA1-associated protein-1 is a tumor suppressor that requires deubiquitinating activity and nuclear localization.

26. PMID: 26891804; 2016, Int J Oncol;48(4):1571-80
Genomic profiling of the genes on chromosome 3p in sporadic clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

27. PMID: 21051595; 2010, Science;330(6009):1410-3
Frequent mutation of BAP1 in metastasizing uveal melanomas.

28. PMID: 24128712; 2013, J Thorac Oncol;8(11):1430-3
Clinical characteristics of patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma harboring somatic BAP1 mutations.

29. PMID: 22683710; 2012, Nat Genet;44(7):751-9
BAP1 loss defines a new class of renal cell carcinoma.

30. PMID: 23333114; 2013, Lancet Oncol;14(2):159-167
Effects on survival of BAP1 and PBRM1 mutations in sporadic clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma: a retrospective analysis with independent validation.

31. PMID: 24185509; 2013, Nat Genet;45(12):1470-3
Exome sequencing identifies frequent inactivating mutations in BAP1, ARID1A and PBRM1 in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas.

32. PMID: 25889843; 2015, J Transl Med;13():122
BAP1 mutation is a frequent somatic event in peritoneal malignant mesothelioma.

33. PMID: 33515503; 2021, Lancet Respir Med;9(6):593-600
Rucaparib in patients with BAP1-deficient or BRCA1-deficient mesothelioma (MiST1): an open-label, single-arm, phase 2a clinical trial.

34. PMID: 28389374; 2017, J Thorac Oncol;12(8):1309-1319
A Novel BRCA1-Associated Protein-1 Isoform Affects Response of Mesothelioma Cells to Drugs Impairing BRCA1-Mediated DNA Repair.

35. PMID: 21047773; 2010, Mol Cancer Res;8(11):1439-52
Roles of fibroblast growth factor receptors in carcinogenesis.

36. PMID: 20094046; 2010, Nat Rev Cancer;10(2):116-29
Fibroblast growth factor signalling: from development to cancer.

37. PMID: 16380503; 2005, Mol Cancer Res;3(12):655-67
Comprehensive profiling of 8p11-12 amplification in breast cancer.

38. PMID: 7927944; 1994, Int J Cancer;59(3):373-8
Expression of the FGFR1 gene in human breast-carcinoma cells.

39. PMID: 10086345; 1999, Oncogene;18(10):1903-10
Differential expression assay of chromosome arm 8p genes identifies Frizzled-related (FRP1/FRZB) and Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 1 (FGFR1) as candidate breast cancer genes.

40. PMID: 19147748; 2009, Clin Cancer Res;15(2):441-51
Molecular characterization of breast cancer with high-resolution oligonucleotide comparative genomic hybridization array.

41. PMID: 17157792; 2006, Cancer Cell;10(6):529-41
Genomic and transcriptional aberrations linked to breast cancer pathophysiologies.

42. PMID: 9331099; 1997, Cancer Res;57(19):4360-7
Mapping of DNA amplifications at 15 chromosomal localizations in 1875 breast tumors: definition of phenotypic groups.

43. PMID: 20179196; 2010, Cancer Res;70(5):2085-94
FGFR1 amplification drives endocrine therapy resistance and is a therapeutic target in breast cancer.

44. PMID: 15863030; 2005, Cytokine Growth Factor Rev;16(2):139-49
Cellular signaling by fibroblast growth factor receptors.

45. PMID: 23418312; 2013, Cancer Discov;3(3):264-79
Fibroblast growth factor receptor inhibitors as a cancer treatment: from a biologic rationale to medical perspectives.

46. PMID: 17397528; 2007, Breast Cancer Res;9(2):R23
FGFR1 amplification in breast carcinomas: a chromogenic in situ hybridisation analysis.

47. PMID: 22863309; 2012, Breast Cancer Res;14(4):R115
FGFR1 is amplified during the progression of in situ to invasive breast carcinoma.

48. PMID: 21160078; 2010, Sci Transl Med;2(62):62ra93
Frequent and focal FGFR1 amplification associates with therapeutically tractable FGFR1 dependency in squamous cell lung cancer.

49. PMID: 16807070; 2007, Oral Oncol;43(1):60-6
Recurrent FGFR1 amplification and high FGFR1 protein expression in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC).

50. PMID: 14614009; 2003, Clin Cancer Res;9(14):5271-81
Gene amplifications associated with the development of hormone-resistant prostate cancer.

51. PMID: 12147242; 2002, Biochem Biophys Res Commun;296(1):152-5
Gene amplification profiling of esophageal squamous cell carcinomas by DNA array CGH.

52. PMID: 30385747; 2018, Nat Commun;9(1):4572
TRPV4 and KRAS and FGFR1 gain-of-function mutations drive giant cell lesions of the jaw.

53. PMID: 22238366; 2012, Mol Cancer Ther;11(3):690-9
Ponatinib (AP24534), a multitargeted pan-FGFR inhibitor with activity in multiple FGFR-amplified or mutated cancer models.

54. PMID: 26224133; 2015, Cancer Metastasis Rev;34(3):479-96
Fibroblast growth factor receptor signaling in hereditary and neoplastic disease: biologic and clinical implications.

55. PMID: 30914635; 2019, Nat Commun;10(1):1373
Aberrant FGFR signaling mediates resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors in ER+ breast cancer.

56. PMID: 29223982; 2017, J Natl Compr Canc Netw;15(12):1456-1459
Pazopanib Sensitivity in a Patient With Breast Cancer and FGFR1 Amplification.

57. PMID: 30011957; 2018, Cells;7(7):
Current Status of Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor-Targeted Therapies in Breast Cancer.

58. PMID: 27870574; 2017, J Clin Oncol;35(2):157-165

Evaluation of BGJ398, a Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 1-3 Kinase Inhibitor, in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors Harboring Genetic Alterations in Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptors: Results of a Global Phase I, Dose-Escalation and Dose-Expansion Study.

59. PMID: 28183331; 2017, Breast Cancer Res;19(1):18
Phase II, randomized, placebo-controlled study of dovitinib in combination with fulvestrant in postmenopausal patients with HR+, HER2- breast cancer that had progressed during or after prior endocrine therapy.

60. PMID: 32723837; 2020, Clin Cancer Res;26(22):5974-5989
Acquired FGFR and FGF Alterations Confer Resistance to Estrogen Receptor (ER) Targeted Therapy in ER⁺ Metastatic Breast Cancer.

61. PMID: 22879364; 2012, Mol Cancer Ther;11(10):2301-5
Discordant cellular response to presurgical letrozole in bilateral synchronous ER+ breast cancers with a KRAS mutation or FGFR1 gene amplification.

62. PMID: 26021831; 2015, BMC Cancer;15():442
Multiple gene aberrations and breast cancer: lessons from super-responders.

63. PMID: 29455669; 2018, Mol Cancer;17(1):53
EGFR-TKIs resistance via EGFR-independent signaling pathways.

64. PMID: 23536707; 2013, Mol Cancer Res;11(7):759-67
Activation of the FGF2-FGFR1 autocrine pathway: a novel mechanism of acquired resistance to gefitinib in NSCLC.

65. PMID: 26473643; 2015, J Thorac Oncol;10(12):1736-44
Mechanisms of Acquired Resistance to AZD9291: A Mutation-Selective, Irreversible EGFR Inhibitor.

66. PMID: 26503204; 2016, J Clin Oncol;34(5):419-26
Correlative Analysis of Genetic Alterations and Everolimus Benefit in Hormone Receptor-Positive, Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Advanced Breast Cancer: Results From BOLERO-2.

67. PMID: 24833916; 2014, Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press);6():43-57
Use of mTOR inhibitors in the treatment of breast cancer: an evaluation of factors that influence patient outcomes.

68. PMID: 25295214; 2014, J Thyroid Res;2014():638747
Antitumor activity of lenvatinib (e7080): an angiogenesis inhibitor that targets multiple receptor tyrosine kinases in preclinical human thyroid cancer models.

69. PMID: 26062443; 2015, Oncotarget;6(24):20160-76
Genomic characterization of a large panel of patient-derived hepatocellular carcinoma xenograft tumor models for preclinical development.

70. PMID: 23563700; 2013, Oncol Rep;29(6):2181-90
Novel FGFR inhibitor ponatinib suppresses the growth of non-small cell lung cancer cells overexpressing FGFR1.

71. PMID: 26179511; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(21):4935-46
Deep Sequencing in Conjunction with Expression and Functional Analyses Reveals Activation of FGFR1 in Ewing Sarcoma.

72. PMID: 25935112; 2015, Trends Biochem Sci;40(6):296-308
Structural determinants of Smad function in TGF-β signaling.

73. PMID: 19014666; 2008, Pathogenetics;1(1):2
Smad4 haploinsufficiency: a matter of dosage.

74. PMID: 9545410; 1998, Am J Hum Genet;62(5):1129-36
A gene for familial juvenile polyposis maps to chromosome 18q21.1.

75. PMID: 8553070; 1996, Science;271(5247):350-3
DPC4, a candidate tumor suppressor gene at human chromosome 18q21.1.

76. PMID: 8673134; 1996, Nat Genet;13(3):343-6

Evaluation of candidate tumour suppressor genes on chromosome 18 in colorectal cancers.

77. PMID: 18662538; 2008, Cell;134(2):215-30
TGFbeta in Cancer.

78. PMID: 9135016; 1997, Cancer Res;57(9):1731-4
Tumor-suppressive pathways in pancreatic carcinoma.

79. PMID: 23139211; 2013, Cancer Res;73(2):725-35
SMAD2, SMAD3 and SMAD4 mutations in colorectal cancer.

80. PMID: 22810696; 2012, Nature;487(7407):330-7
Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer.

81. PMID: 25890228; 2015, World J Surg Oncol;13():128
Clinical outcome and expression of mutant P53, P16, and Smad4 in lung adenocarcinoma: a prospective study.

82. PMID: 19841540; 2009, J Clin Invest;119(11):3208-11
Smad4: gatekeeper gene in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

83. PMID: 15867212; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(9):3191-7
Differences in Smad4 expression in human papillomavirus type 16-positive and human papillomavirus type 16-negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

84. PMID: 25589618; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(6):1447-56
Genomic analysis of metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma.

85. PMID: 29703253; 2018, BMC Cancer;18(1):479
SMAD4 and NF1 mutations as potential biomarkers for poor prognosis to cetuximab-based therapy in Chinese metastatic colorectal cancer patients.

86. PMID: 28522603; 2017, Clin Cancer Res;23(17):5162-5175
SMAD4 Loss Is Associated with Cetuximab Resistance and Induction of MAPK/JNK Activation in Head and Neck Cancer Cells.

87. PMID: 16144935; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(17):6311-6
SMAD4 levels and response to 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer.

88. PMID: 24384683; 2014, Br J Cancer;110(4):946-57
Loss of Smad4 in colorectal cancer induces resistance to 5-fluorouracil through activating Akt pathway.

89. PMID: 12237773; 2002, Br J Cancer;87(6):630-4
SMAD4 is a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in patients with colorectal cancer.

90. PMID: 25749173; 2015, Transl Oncol;8(1):18-24
A Meta-Analysis of SMAD4 Immunohistochemistry as a Prognostic Marker in Colorectal Cancer.

91. PMID: 19478385; 2009, Cell Oncol;31(3):169-78
Presence of a high amount of stroma and downregulation of SMAD4 predict for worse survival for stage I-II colon cancer patients.

92. PMID: 25681512; 2015, J Clin Pathol;68(5):341-5
Smad4 inactivation predicts for worse prognosis and response to fluorouracil-based treatment in colorectal cancer.

93. PMID: 26861460; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(12):3037-47
Reduced Expression of SMAD4 Is Associated with Poor Survival in Colon Cancer.

94. PMID: 26947875; 2016, Transl Oncol;9(1):1-7
Prognostic Value of SMAD4 in Pancreatic Cancer: A Meta-Analysis.

95. PMID: 25760429; 2015, Pancreas;44(4):660-4
SMAD4 expression predicts local spread and treatment failure in resected pancreatic cancer.

ACT Onco® + Report

96. PMID: 22504380; 2012, Pancreas;41(4):541-6
SMAD4 genetic alterations predict a worse prognosis in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

97. PMID: 19584151; 2009, Clin Cancer Res;15(14):4674-9
SMAD4 gene mutations are associated with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer.

98. PMID: 18425078; 2008, Mod Pathol;21(7):866-75
Expression of Smad2 and Smad4 in cervical cancer: absent nuclear Smad4 expression correlates with poor survival.

99. PMID: 19029933; 2008, Oncogene;27(55):6908-19
LKB1; linking cell structure and tumor suppression.

100. PMID: 19584313; 2009, Physiol Rev;89(3):777-98
LKB1 and AMPK family signaling: the intimate link between cell polarity and energy metabolism.

101. PMID: 20142330; 2010, Dis Model Mech;3(3-4):181-93
Lkb1 inactivation is sufficient to drive endometrial cancers that are aggressive yet highly responsive to mTOR inhibitor monotherapy.

102. PMID: 17676035; 2007, Nature;448(7155):807-10
LKB1 modulates lung cancer differentiation and metastasis.

103. PMID: 18245476; 2008, Cancer Res;68(3):759-66
Loss of Lkb1 provokes highly invasive endometrial adenocarcinomas.

104. PMID: 18172296; 2008, Cancer Res;68(1):55-63
LKB1 deficiency sensitizes mice to carcinogen-induced tumorigenesis.

105. PMID: 25244018; 2014, Int J Mol Sci;15(9):16698-718
Recent progress on liver kinase B1 (LKB1): expression, regulation, downstream signaling and cancer suppressive function.

106. PMID: 9425897; 1998, Nat Genet;18(1):38-43
Peutz-Jeghers syndrome is caused by mutations in a novel serine threonine kinase.

107. PMID: 21189378; 2011, J Clin Oncol;29(6):e150-3
mTOR inhibitor treatment of pancreatic cancer in a patient With Peutz-Jeghers syndrome.

108. PMID: 27615706; 2016, CNS Oncol;5(4):203-9
Widely metastatic atypical pituitary adenoma with mTOR pathway STK11(F298L) mutation treated with everolimus therapy.

109. PMID: 27821489; 2017, Cancer Res;77(1):153-163
A Transcriptional Signature Identifies LKB1 Functional Status as a Novel Determinant of MEK Sensitivity in Lung Adenocarcinoma.

110. PMID: 29764856; 2018, Clin Cancer Res;24(22):5710-5723
TP53, STK11, and EGFR Mutations Predict Tumor Immune Profile and the Response to Anti-PD-1 in Lung Adenocarcinoma.

111. PMID: 29773717; 2018, Cancer Discov;8(7):822-835
STK11/LKB1 Mutations and PD-1 Inhibitor Resistance in KRAS-Mutant Lung Adenocarcinoma.

112. PMID: 29337640; 2018, J Clin Oncol;36(7):633-641
Molecular Determinants of Response to Anti-Programmed Cell Death (PD)-1 and Anti-Programmed Death-Ligand 1 (PD-L1) Blockade in Patients With Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Profiled With Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing.

113. PMID: 26833127; 2016, Cancer Res;76(5):999-1008
STK11/LKB1 Deficiency Promotes Neutrophil Recruitment and Proinflammatory Cytokine Production to Suppress T-cell Activity in the Lung Tumor Microenvironment.

114. PMID: 29573941; 2018, Lancet Oncol;19(5):603-615
Encorafenib plus binimetinib versus vemurafenib or encorafenib in patients with BRAF-mutant melanoma (COLUMBUS): a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial.

115. PMID: 27480103; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(9):1248-60
Cobimetinib combined with vemurafenib in advanced BRAF(V600)-mutant melanoma (coBRIM): updated efficacy results from a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial.

116. PMID: 26703889; 2016, Lancet;387(10022):968-977
Everolimus for the treatment of advanced, non-functional neuroendocrine tumours of the lung or gastrointestinal tract (RADIANT-4): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study.

117. PMID: 22149876; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(6):520-9
Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer.

118. PMID: 21306238; 2011, N Engl J Med;364(6):514-23
Everolimus for advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

119. PMID: 23158522; 2013, Lancet;381(9861):125-32
Efficacy and safety of everolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytomas associated with tuberous sclerosis complex (EXIST-1): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial.

120. PMID: 18653228; 2008, Lancet;372(9637):449-56
Efficacy of everolimus in advanced renal cell carcinoma: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase III trial.

121. PMID: 29433850; 2018, Lancet;391(10126):1163-1173
Lenvatinib versus sorafenib in first-line treatment of patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised phase 3 non-inferiority trial.

122. PMID: 26482279; 2015, Lancet Oncol;16(15):1473-1482
Lenvatinib, everolimus, and the combination in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma: a randomised, phase 2, open-label, multicentre trial.

123. PMID: 25671254; 2015, N Engl J Med;372(7):621-30
Lenvatinib versus placebo in radioiodine-refractory thyroid cancer.

124. PMID: 30948273; 2019, Lancet Oncol;20(5):636-648
Niraparib monotherapy for late-line treatment of ovarian cancer (QUADRA): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 trial.

125. PMID: 27717299; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(22):2154-2164
Niraparib Maintenance Therapy in Platinum-Sensitive, Recurrent Ovarian Cancer.

126. PMID: 32343890; 2020, N Engl J Med;382(22):2091-2102
Olaparib for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer.

127. PMID: 31851799; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(25):2416-2428
Olaparib plus Bevacizumab as First-Line Maintenance in Ovarian Cancer.

128. PMID: 31157963; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(4):317-327
Maintenance Olaparib for Germline BRCA-Mutated Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer.

129. PMID: 30345884; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(26):2495-2505
Maintenance Olaparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer.

130. PMID: 28578601; 2017, N Engl J Med;377(6):523-533
Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer in Patients with a Germline BRCA Mutation.

131. PMID: 28754483; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(9):1274-1284
Olaparib tablets as maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed ovarian cancer and a BRCA1/2 mutation (SOLO2/ENGOT-Ov21): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

132. PMID: 27617661; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(11):1579-1589
Overall survival in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent serous ovarian cancer receiving olaparib maintenance monotherapy: an updated

analysis from a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, phase 2 trial.

133. PMID: 25366685; 2015, J Clin Oncol;33(3):244-50
Olaparib monotherapy in patients with advanced cancer and a germline BRCA1/2 mutation.

134. PMID: 22595799; 2012, Lancet;379(9829):1879-86
Pazopanib for metastatic soft-tissue sarcoma (PALETTE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial.

135. PMID: 20100962; 2010, J Clin Oncol;28(6):1061-8
Pazopanib in locally advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma: results of a randomized phase III trial.

136. PMID: 24180494; 2013, N Engl J Med;369(19):1783-96
A phase 2 trial of ponatinib in Philadelphia chromosome-positive leukemias.

137. PMID: 27932229; 2017, Lancet;389(10064):56-66
Regorafenib for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who progressed on sorafenib treatment (RESORCE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

138. PMID: 23177515; 2013, Lancet;381(9863):295-302
Efficacy and safety of regorafenib for advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours after failure of imatinib and sunitinib (GRID): an international, multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

139. PMID: 23177514; 2013, Lancet;381(9863):303-12
Regorafenib monotherapy for previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer (CORRECT): an international, multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

140. PMID: 28916367; 2017, Lancet;390(10106):1949-1961
Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

141. PMID: 27908594; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(1):75-87
Rucaparib in relapsed, platinum-sensitive high-grade ovarian carcinoma (ARIEL2 Part 1): an international, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial.

142. PMID: 27924459; 2016, Target Oncol;11(6):815-824
Patient-Reported Outcomes and Quality of Life with Sunitinib Versus Placebo for Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors: Results From an International Phase III Trial.

143. PMID: 27836885; 2017, Ann Oncol;28(2):339-343
Sunitinib in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: updated progression-free survival and final overall survival from a phase III randomized study.

144. PMID: 21306237; 2011, N Engl J Med;364(6):501-13
Sunitinib malate for the treatment of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

145. PMID: 17227905; 2007, Oncologist;12(1):107-13
Food and Drug Administration drug approval summary: Sunitinib malate for the treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumor and advanced renal cell carcinoma.

146. PMID: 27238653; 2016, Eur Urol;70(6):1006-1015
Early Tumour Shrinkage: A Tool for the Detection of Early Clinical Activity in Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma.

147. PMID: 16757724; 2006, JAMA;295(21):2516-24
Sunitinib in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma.

148. PMID: 25577718; 2015, Eur Urol;67(5):952-8
Depth of remission is a prognostic factor for survival in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma.

149. PMID: 17046465; 2006, Lancet;368(9544):1329-38
Efficacy and safety of sunitinib in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumour after failure of imatinib: a randomised controlled trial.

ACT Onco® + Report

150. PMID: 30110579; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(8):753-763
Talazoparib in Patients with Advanced Breast Cancer and a Germline BRCA Mutation.

151. PMID: 17538086; 2007, N Engl J Med;356(22):2271-81
Temsirolimus, interferon alfa, or both for advanced renal-cell carcinoma.

152. PMID: 29072975; 2018, J Clin Oncol;36(1):7-13
Dabrafenib and Trametinib Treatment in Patients With Locally Advanced or Metastatic BRAF V600-Mutant Anaplastic Thyroid Cancer.

153. PMID: 27080216; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(5):642-50
Dabrafenib in patients with BRAF(V600E)-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a single-arm, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial.

154. PMID: 25265492; 2014, N Engl J Med;371(20):1877-88
Combined BRAF and MEK inhibition versus BRAF inhibition alone in melanoma.

155. PMID: 22663011; 2012, N Engl J Med;367(2):107-14
Improved survival with MEK inhibition in BRAF-mutated melanoma.