ACT Onco® + Report

PATIENT		
Identifier: 許榕芷		Patient ID: 43433734
Date of Birth: Jan 20, 1996		Gender: Female
Diagnosis: Sarcoma		
ORDERING PHYSICIAN		
Name: 李致穎醫師/吳博貴醫師		Tel: 886-228712121
Facility: 臺北榮總		
Address: 臺北市北投區石牌路二段 201 號		
SPECIMEN		
Specimen ID: S11201589	Collection site: Lung	Type: FFPE tissue
Date received: Mar 01, 2023	Lab ID: AA-23-01190	D/ID: NA

ABOUT ACTOnco®+

The test is a next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based assay developed for efficient and comprehensive genomic profiling of cancers. This test interrogates coding regions of 440 genes associated with cancer treatment, prognosis and diagnosis. Genetic mutations detected by this test include small-scale mutations like single nucleotide variants (SNVs), small insertions and deletions (InDels) (≤ 15 nucleotides) and large-scale genomic alterations like copy number alterations (CNAs). The test also includes an RNA test, detecting fusion transcripts of 13 genes.

SUMMARY FOR ACTIONABLE VARIANTS

VARIANTS/BIOMARKERS WITH EVIDENCE OF CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Genomic Alterations/Biomarkers	Probable Effects in Patient's Cancer Type		Probable Sensitive in Other Cancer Types
	Sensitive	Resistant	
Not detected			

VARIANTS/BIOMARKERS WITH POTENTIAL CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Genomic Alterations/Biomarkers	Possibly Sensitive	Possibly Resistant
Not detected		

Note:

- The above summary tables present genomic variants and biomarkers based on the three-tiered approach proposed by US FDA for reporting tumor profiling NGS testing. "Variants/biomarkers with evidence of clinical significance" refers to mutations that are widely recognized as standard-of-care biomarkers (FDA level 2/AMP tier 1). "Variants/biomarkers with potential clinical significance" refers to mutations that are not included in the standard of care but are informational for clinicians, which are commonly biomarkers used as inclusion criterial for clinical trials (FDA level 3/AMP tier 2).
- The therapeutic agents and possible effects to a given drug are based on mapping the variants/biomarkers with ACT Genomics clinical knowledge database. The mapping results only provide information for reference, but not medical recommendation.
- Please refer to corresponding sections for more detailed information about genomic alteration and clinical relevance listed above.

ACT Onco® + Report

TESTING RESULTS

VARIANT(S) WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Single Nucleotide and Small InDel Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Allele Frequency
TP53	R273H	56.5%

- Copy Number Alterations

Chromosome	Gene	Variation	Copy Number
Chr13	*BRCA2, RB1*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr17	*TP53*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr22	*CHEK2*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr3	*MLH1*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr5	*RAD50*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr9	*CDKN2A, PTCH1, TSC1*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr19	*BRD4*	Amplification	6
Chr8	*NBN*	Amplification	6
Chr8	*MYC*	Amplification	23

- Fusions

Fusion Gene & Exon	Transcript ID
No fusion gene detected in this sample	

- Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor (ICI) Related Biomarkers

Biomarker	Results
Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)	< 1 muts/Mb
Microsatellite Instability (MSI)	Microsatellite stable (MSS)

Note:
- Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) information was used to infer tumor cellularity. Copy number alteration in the tumor was determined based on 68% tumor purity.
- For more therapeutic agents which are possibly respond to heterozygous deletion of genes listed above, please refer to APPENDIX for more information.
- TMB was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco®+ to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The threshold for high mutation load is set at ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase. TMB, microsatellite status and gene copy number deletion cannot be determined if calculated tumor purity is < 30%.

ACT Onco® + Report

THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS

TARGETED THERAPIES

Not Applicable.

IMMUNE CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS (ICIs)

No genomic alterations detected to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to immune checkpoint therapies.

- Other Biomarkers with Potential Clinical Effects for ICIs

Genomic Alterations	Potential Clinical Effects
Not detected	

Note: Tumor non-genomic factors, such as patient germline genetics, PDL1 expression, tumor microenvironment, epigenetic alterations or other factors not provided by this test may affect ICI response.

CHEMOTHERAPIES

Genomic Alterations	Therapies	Effect	Level of Evidence	Cancer Type
MYC Amplification	FAC CMF and P-FEC regimens	**Sensitive**	Clinical	Breast cancer
	Platinum-based regimens	**Sensitive**	Clinical	Ovarian cancer
TP53 R273H	Platinum- and taxane-based regimens	**Less sensitive**	Clinical	Ovarian cancer

HORMONAL THERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to hormonal therapies.

OTHERS

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to other therapies.

Note:
Therapeutic implications provided in the test are based solely on the panel of 440 genes sequenced. Therefore, alterations in genes not covered in this panel, epigenetic and post-transcriptional and post-translational factors may also determine a patient's response to therapies. In addition, several other patient-associated clinical factors, including but not limited to, prior lines of therapies received, dosage and combinations with other therapeutic agents, patient's cancer types, sub-types, and/or stages, may also determine the patient's clinical response to therapies.

ACT Onco® + Report

VARIANT INTERPRETATION

TP53 R273H, Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

TP53 encodes the p53 protein, a crucial tumor suppressor that orchestrates essential cellular processes including cell cycle arrest, senescence and apoptosis[1]. TP53 is a proto-typical haploinsufficient gene, such that loss of a single copy of TP53 can result in tumor formation[2].

TP53 R273H is a hotspot mutation located in the DNA binding domain (DBD) of the p53 protein[3]. This mutation gains oncogenic functions to promote cell migration and cell growth in vitro[4][5][6].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Despite having a high mutation rate in cancers, there are currently no approved targeted therapies for TP53 mutations. A phase II trial demonstrated that Wee1 inhibitor (AZD1775) in combination with carboplatin was well tolerated and showed promising anti-tumor activity in TP53-mutated ovarian cancer refractory or resistant (< 3 months) to standard first-line therapy (NCT01164995)[7].

In a retrospective study (n=19), advanced sarcoma patients with TP53 loss-of-function mutations displayed improved progression-free survival (208 days versus 136 days) relative to patients with wild-type TP53 when treated with pazopanib[8]. Results from another Phase I trial of advanced solid tumors (n=78) demonstrated that TP53 hotspot mutations are associated with better clinical response to the combination of pazopanib and vorinostat[9].

Advanced solid tumor and colorectal cancer patients harboring a TP53 mutation have been shown to be more sensitive to bevacizumab when compared with patients harboring wild-type TP53[10][11][12]. In a pilot trial (n=21), TP53-negative breast cancer patients demonstrated increased survival following treatment with bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy agents, Adriamycin (doxorubicin) and Taxotere (docetaxel)[13]. TP53 mutations were correlated with poor survival of advanced breast cancer patients receiving tamoxifen or primary chemotherapy[14][15]. In a retrospective study of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), TP53 mutations were associated with high expression of VEGF-A, the primary target of bevacizumab, offering a mechanistic explanation for why patients exhibit improved outcomes after bevacizumab treatment when their tumors harbor mutant TP53 versus wild-type TP53[16].

TP53 oncomorphic mutations, including P151S, Y163C, R175H, L194R, Y220C, R248Q, R248W, R273C, R273H, R273L and R282W have been shown to predict resistance to platinum- and taxane-based chemotherapy in advanced serous ovarian carcinoma patients[17]. A preclinical study demonstrated that cells expressing TP53 R273H showed increased synergistic sensitivity to the talazoparib in combination with temozolomide in vitro[18][19].

BRCA2 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The BRCA2 gene encodes a tumor suppressor involved in the homologous recombination pathway for double-strand DNA repair[20]. BRCA2 has been implicated as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[21]. BRCA2 germline mutations confer an increased lifetime risk of developing breast, ovarian, prostate and pancreatic cancer, limited reports of related gastric cancer, and Fanconi anemia subtype D1-associated risk of brain cancer, medulloblastoma, pharyngeal cancer, chronic lymphocytic leukemia and acute myeloid leukemia[22]. Somatic mutations in BRCA2 are highest in colorectal, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and ovarian cancers[23].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Multiple PARP inhibitors, including olaparib, rucaparib, niraparib, and talazoparib, have been approved by the U.S. FDA for the treatment of cancer. Olaparib is approved for multiple settings in advanced ovarian cancer, metastatic breast

cancer with BRCA mutations, metastatic pancreatic cancer, and mCRPC with HRR gene mutations, including BRCA mutations. Rucaparib is approved for maintenance treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer with BRCA mutations and mCRPC with BRCA mutations. Niraparib is approved for maintenance treatment of advanced ovarian cancer and recurrent ovarian cancer with BRCA mutations. Talazoparib is approved for locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer with BRCA mutations.

According to the NCCN guidelines, rucaparib is recommended as recurrence therapy for patients with BRCA-mutated ovarian cancer who have been treated with multiple lines of chemotherapy. It is also recommended as maintenance therapy for patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer who have undergone prior platinum-based therapy and harbor germline or somatic BRCA mutations. Additionally, niraparib is recommended as maintenance therapy for ovarian cancer patients with BRCA mutations.

BRD4 Amplification

Biological Impact

BRD4 (Bromodomain-containing protein 4) gene encodes a member of the bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) family of proteins which binds to acetyl-lysine motifs of the histone and helps to recruit members of the transcriptional regulator complex, including P-TEF beta and Mediator, and are necessary for RNA polymerase II-dependent transcriptional elongation[24][25]. Amplification of BRD4 has been reported in the bladder, gastric, ovarian cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[26][27][28][29][30].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Higher expression of BRD4 was reported to associate with poorer overall survival in urothelial carcinoma of the bladder (UCB)[26], gastric carcinoma (GC)[27], hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[28], high-grade serous ovarian cancer[29][30], and clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC)[31]. A study demonstrated that BRD4 protein expression was positive correlation with BRD4 amplification in high-grade serous ovarian cancer[32].

CDKN2A Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) gene encodes the p16 (p16INK4a) and p14 (ARF) proteins. p16INK4a binds to CDK4 and CDK6, inhibiting these CDKs from binding D-type cyclins and phosphorylating the retinoblastoma (RB) protein whereas p14 (ARF) blocks the oncogenic activity of MDM2 by inhibiting MDM2-induced degradation of p53[33][34][35]. CDKN2A has been reported as a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor with one copy loss that may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[36]. Loss of CDKN2A has been frequently found in human tumors that result in uncontrolled cell proliferation[37][38].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Intact p16-Cdk4-Rb axis is known to be associated with sensitivity to cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors[39][40]. Several case reports also revealed that patients with CDKN2A-deleted tumors respond to the CDK4/6-specific inhibitor treatments[41][42][43]. However, there are clinical studies that demonstrated CDKN2A nuclear expression, CDKN2A/CDKN2B co-deletion, or CDKN2A inactivating mutation was not associated with clinical benefit from CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as palbociclib and ribociclib, in RB-positive patients[44][45][46]. However, CDKN2A loss or mutation has been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trial evaluating CDK4/6 inhibitors efficacy in different types of solid tumors (NCT02693535, NCT02187783).

The phase II TAPUR trial demonstrated clinical benefits to palbociclib monotherapy in advanced NSCLC or head and neck cancer harboring a CDKN2A mutation or copy number loss. However, pancreatic and biliary cancer patients harboring a CDKN2A mutation or copy number loss did not demonstrate an objective response or stable disease when treated with palbociclib monotherapy for 16 weeks (DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2021.39.15_suppl.6043)[47][48].

Notably, the addition of several CDK4/6 inhibitors to hormone therapies, including palbociclib in combination with letrozole, ribociclib plus letrozole, and abemaciclib combines with fulvestrant, have been approved by the U.S. FDA for the treatment of ER+ and HER2- breast cancer[40][49][50].

In a Phase I trial, a KRAS wild-type squamous non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patient with CDKN2A loss had a partial response when treated with CDK4/6 inhibitor abemaciclib[42]. Administration of combined palbociclib and MEK inhibitor PD-0325901 yield promising progression-free survival among patients with KRAS mutant non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (AACR 2017, Abstract CT046). Moreover, MEK inhibitor in combination with CDK4/6 inhibitor demonstrates significant anti-KRAS-mutant NSCLC activity and radiosensitizing effect in preclinical models[51].

A retrospective analysis demonstrated that concurrent deletion of CDKN2A with EGFR mutation in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), predicts worse overall survival after EGFR-TKI treatment[52].

CHEK2 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The checkpoint kinase 2 (CHEK2 or CHK2) gene encodes a serine/threonine protein kinase involved in transducing DNA damage signals that are required for both the intra-S phase and G2/M checkpoints[53]. CHEK2 heterozygosity has been shown to cause haploinsufficient phenotypes that can contribute to tumorigenesis through inappropriate S phase entry, accumulation of DNA damage during replication, and failure to restrain mitotic entry[54][55]. CHEK2 aberrations are associated with glioblastoma, breast, ovarian, prostate, colorectal, gastric, thyroid, and lung cancers[56][57][58][59][60].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In May 2020, the U.S. FDA approved olaparib for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who carry mutations in homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes, including BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD54L, and progressed following prior treatment with enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate (NCT02987543)[61].

In a phase II trial (TBCRC 048; NCT03344965), 7 metastatic breast cancer patients harboring only germline mutations in CHEK2 were not responded to olaparib treatment (SD: n=3, PD: n=4)[62]. Furthermore, in another phase II trial (TRITON2; NCT02952534), 12 mCRPC patients harboring CHEK2 alteration had limited response to rucaparib treatment. One patient with co-occurring ATM alteration had a radiographic partial response (n=1/9 evaluable patients). The prostate-specific antigen response rate was 16.7% (n=2/12), and the 6-month clinical benefit rate was 37.5% (n=3/8)[63].

In addition, CHEK2 has been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trials evaluating olaparib efficacy in advanced solid tumors (NCT03297606; CAPTUR trial), rucaparib efficacy in ovarian cancer (NCT01968213)[64], and prostate cancer (NCT02952534, NCT03533946)[63], niraparib efficacy in metastatic esophageal/gastroesophageal junction (GEJ)/proximal gastric adenocarcinoma (NCT03840967), melanoma (NCT03925350), pancreatic cancer (NCT03553004, NCT03601923), prostate cancer (NCT02854436), and any malignancy, except prostate (NCT03207347), and talazoparib efficacy in HER2-negative solid tumors (NCT02401347), prostate cancer (NCT03148795), and lung cancer (NCT03377556), respectively.

MLH1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The MutL protein homolog 1 (MLH1) gene encodes a tumor suppressor that dimerizes with PMS2 protein to form a component of the DNA mismatch repair (MMR) system[65]. Deletion of one copy of the MLH1 gene resulted in haploinsufficiency in the correction of small insertions/deletions (indels), and could be a driving force in pancreatic and renal carcinogenesis[66]. Genetic alterations such as mutation, loss of heterozygosity or epigenetic silencing could lead

to inactivation of MLH1 and are associated with a broad spectrum of cancers, including a subset of sporadic colon, gastric and endometrial cancers, as well as the hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer (HNPCC, also known as Lynch syndrome)[67][68][69].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Currently, there are no FDA-approved medications specifically targeting MLH1. A screening test for microsatellite instability (MSI) is commonly used to identify an MMR-deficient tumor in the clinic[70][71]. Pembrolizumab (KEYTRUDA), an inhibitor targeting programmed cell death 1 (PD-1), has been approved by the U.S. FDA for adult and pediatric patients with unresectable or metastatic, microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) or mismatch repair-deficient cancer. Down-regulation of genes involved in the MMR pathway such as MLH1, MSH2 and, MSH6 in high-grade serous epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines rendered cells sensitive to PARP inhibitors[72].

MYC Amplification

Biological Impact

The v-myc avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog, also known as c-myc (MYC) gene encodes a transcription factor involved in cellular proliferation, inhibiting exit from the cell cycle, stimulating vascularization and enhancing genomic instability[73][74][75]. Dysregulated MYC expression is implicated in a wide range of human cancers[76].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

MYC amplification was associated with better clinical outcome in breast cancer patients treated with FAC (5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide), CMF (cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil) and P-FEC (paclitaxel followed by 5-fluorouracil, epirubicin and cyclophosphamide) and higher expression of MYC was also associated with a better response rate in platinum-treated ovarian cancer patients[77][78][79].

CDK inhibition using the dinaciclib, a CDK1, 2, 5 and 9 inhibitors, exerted antitumor activity in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) tumor xenograft and cell lines with increased activity of the MYC pathway[80][81].

Overexpression of MYC has been reported as a favorable prognostic biomarker in colorectal carcinoma (CRC)[82][83]. However, the favorable prognostic value of MYC in CRC is abrogated by the TP53 mutation[83].

MYC amplification with the loss of tumor suppressor pathways such as p53 and RB has been shown to be associated with poor outcomes and was correlated with shortened disease-free survival in breast cancer with BRCA1 deficiency in TNBC[80][84].

NBN Amplification

Biological Impact

The NBN gene encodes a component of the MRE11-RAD50-NBN (MRN) complex, which involves in DNA double-strand break sensing and repair[85]. NBN mutation is related to Nijmegen breakage syndrome, increased cancer incidence and ionizing radiation sensitivity[85][86]. NBN mutations have been found in various cancers, including cholangiocarcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma[87], prostate cancer[88], leukemia, lymphoma[89], and triple-negative breast cancer[90].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In a phase II trial (ARIEL2), an ovarian cancer patient harboring a NBN germline mutation showed responses to rucaparib treatment[91]. NBN loss of function mutation has been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trial evaluating olaparib efficacy in ovarian cancer[92]; the trials evaluating rucaparib efficacy in ovarian cancer[64]or prostate cancer[63]; the trials evaluating talazoparib efficacy in HER2-negative breast cancer (NCT02401347), prostate cancer (NCT03148795) or lung cancer (NCT03377556), and the trials evaluating niraparib efficacy in pancreatic cancer

(NCT03553004) or any malignancy (except prostate) cancer (NCT03207347).

Germline and somatic mutations in homologous recombination genes, including NBN, have been suggested to be prognostic biomarkers for platinum-based treatment response and superior survival in patients with ovarian, fallopian tube, peritoneal carcinomas and pancreatic cancer[93][94].

In a retrospective study of localized prostate cancer, NBN gene amplification has been demonstrated to associate with overall tumor genomic instability and lower biochemical relapse-free rate following image-guided radiotherapy (IGRT)[95].

Another retrospective study showed that amplification of the NBN gene is associated with protein overexpression and mostly correlated with poor prognosis in several cancer types, including ovarian cancer, breast invasive carcinoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and sarcoma. Besides, in vivo and in vitro assays demonstrated that amplification of the NBN gene could induce cisplatin and PARP inhibitor resistance in breast and ovarian cancer cells[96].

PTCH1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The PTCH1 (protein patched homolog 1) gene encodes a multi-pass transmembrane receptor for sonic hedgehog (shh), a tumor suppressor that acts to repress shh signaling in the absence of ligand[97]. Inactivation of PTCH1 results in hedgehog ligand-independent activation of SMO, causing a downstream activation of the pathway and lead to the neoplastic growth[98][99]. Recurrent PTCH1 mutations have been reported in sporadic basal cell carcinoma (BCCs) and medulloblastoma[100][101][102][103]. Germline PTCH1 mutations are associated with the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (NBCCS, Gorlin syndrome), predisposing patients to basal cell carcinoma and medulloblastoma[101]. PTCH1 is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor gene with one copy loss may be sufficient to promote tumor development in mice[98][104].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Vismodegib and sonidegib are small molecule inhibitors of SMO approved by the U.S. FDA for the treatment of patients with basal cell carcinoma[105][106][107][108].A heavily pretreated patient with metastatic medulloblastoma harboring loss-of-heterozygosity and somatic mutation of PTCH1 showed rapid regression of the tumor after treated with vismodegib[109]. Furthermore, a phase II study demonstrated that vismodegib treatment results in extended progression-free survival (PFS) in patients with loss-of-heterozygosity, SHH-driven medulloblastoma[110]. In the phase II MyPathway trial, three advanced solid tumors patients harboring PTCH1 loss-of-function mutations had partial responses to vismodegib treatment[111]. In a clinical study, two patients with Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) activated medulloblastoma harboring PTCH1 loss-of-function mutations demonstrated partial responses to sonidegib treatment[112].

RAD50 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The RAD50 gene encodes a highly-conserved DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair factor. It forms MRN complex with NBS1 and MRE11 protein and is involved in sensing and early processing of DSB, cell cycle checkpoints, DNA recombination and maintenance of telomeres[113][114]. Mutations in the components of the MRN complex could increase susceptibility to familial breast cancer[115][116], gastric cancer[117], colorectal cancer[118], and urothelial cancer[119]. RAD50 has been implicated as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[120]. Besides, RAD50 deletion was also suggested as a marker of BRCAness, a phenotype shared between non-BRCA1/2-mutated ovarian cancers and BRCA1/2-mutated ovarian cancers[121].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Preclinical data showed that knockdown of the RAD50 gene in ovarian cancer cell lines was significantly associated

with better responses to two PARP inhibitors, olaparib and rucaparib[121]. RAD50 has been selected as an inclusion criterion for the trials examining talazoparib efficacy in HER2-negative breast cancer, olaparib efficacy in breast cancer, rucaparib efficacy in metastatic prostate cancer and niraparib efficacy in any malignancy (except prostate) (NCT02401347, NCT03207347, NCT03344965, NCT03413995).

RB1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The Retinoblastoma (RB1) gene encodes a tumor suppressor that negatively regulates the cell cycle, cell division, and DNA replication[122]. Loss-of-function RB1 could lead to unregulated cell division and growth, abrogation of multiple mechanisms that safeguard against cellular transformation, and tumorigenesis[123]. RB1 has also been implicated as a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[124][125][126]. Deletion or inactivating mutation of RB1 is found in a number of tumors, including lung, prostate, bladder, breast cancers and sarcomas. RB1 mutations are found in approximately half of all retinoblastoma cases[127].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

A deleterious mutation in one or more of the three DNA repair genes ATM, RB1, and FANCC predicted pathologic response and better overall survival to cisplatin-based chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer patients[128]. High RB loss was found to be associated with improved pathologic clinical response in breast cancer patients treated with 5-fluorouracil/adriamycin/cytoxan (FAC), T/FAC, and Taxane/Adriamycin neoadjuvant therapy[129].

Clinical and experimental data suggested that a non-functional retinoblastoma pathway is associated with resistance to tamoxifen in breast cancer[130][131].

Acquired RB1 mutations were found in hormone receptor positive breast cancer patients who developed resistance to palbociclib or ribociclib treatment[132]. Preclinical data also showed that knockdown of RB1 would impair antitumor activity of CDK4/6 inhibitor, abemaciclib[133].

Two large-scale genome-sequencing projects have identified a high prevalence of mutations in TP53 and RB1 in small cell lung cancer (SCLC)[134][135]. Analyses of repeat biopsy samples from patients with EGFR-mutant adenocarcinoma that had transformed to the SCLC subtype have revealed that 100% of these patients have loss of RB1 and may be the alteration that induces this non-small-cell to small-cell transformation[131][136].

TSC1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The tuberous sclerosis complex 1 (TSC1) gene encodes a tumor suppressor, hamartin, a key negative regulator of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway[137][138]. Mutations in TSC1/TSC2 tumor suppressor genes that result in inactivation of the complex are commonly found in patients with tuberous sclerosis[139][140][141], while LOH in TSC1/TSC2 has been identified in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)[142]and endometrial cancer[143]. Loss of single TSC1 allele (haploinsufficiency) may provide a growth advantage to bladder epithelial cells, contributing to bladder cancer development[144]. Both TSC1 and TSC2 mutations cause the autosomal dominant genetic disorder tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), in which individuals develop a variety of benign but often progressive neoplasms[145].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Genomic alterations with activating effects of the mTOR signaling pathway (including deletion/inactivation of TSC1/TSC2) have been shown to confer sensitivity to everolimus across multiple neoplasms, such as bladder tumors[146], gastric, sarcoma, thyroid cancer, and HNSCC[147]. There were case reports demonstrated the efficacy of sirolimus in malignant uterine perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PEComa) patients harboring mutations/deletions in

ACT Onco® + Report

TSC1 and TSC2 genes, and temsirolimus in PEComa patients with hyperactivated mTOR pathway. Genomic profiling analysis of GOG248, a Phase II study of temsirolimus or temsirolimus and alternating megestrol acetate and tamoxifen for advanced endometrial cancer showed that mutations in AKT1, TSC1 and TSC2 may predict clinical benefit from temsirolimus[148]. Recent studies indicate that there are mTORC1-independent signaling pathways downstream of hamartin-tuberin, which may represent new therapeutic targets[149].

Everolimus has been approval by the U.S. FDA for Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC)-associated renal angiomyolipoma and Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC)-associated subependymal giant cell astrocytoma (SEGA). This approval is based on the results from EXIST-1, EXIST-2, and Study 2485 trials (NCT00789828, NCT00790400, and NCT00411619).

ACT Onco® + Report

US FDA-APPROVED DRUG(S)

Abemaciclib (VERZENIO)

Abemaciclib is a cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) inhibitor. Abemaciclib is developed and marketed by Eli Lilly under the trade name VERZENIO.

- FDA Approval Summary of Abemaciclib (VERZENIO)

MONARCH E NCT03155997	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2021/10/12)
	HR+/HER2-
	Abemaciclib + tamoxifen/aromatase inhibitor vs. Tamoxifen/aromatase inhibitor [IDFS at 36 months(%): 86.1 vs. 79.0]
MONARCH 3[150] NCT02246621	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/02/26)
	HR+/HER2-
	Abemaciclib + anastrozole/letrozole vs. Placebo + anastrozole/letrozole [PFS(M): 28.2 vs. 14.8]
MONARCH 2[50] NCT02107703	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2017/09/28)
	HR+/HER2-
	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs. Placebo + fulvestrant [PFS(M): 16.4 vs. 9.3]
MONARCH 1[151] NCT02102490	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2017/09/28)
	HR+/HER2-
	Abemaciclib [ORR(%): 19.7 vs. 17.4]

Everolimus (AFINITOR)

Everolimus, a derivative of sirolimus, works as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) and blocks mTORC1-mediated downstream signals for cell growth, proliferation, and survival. Everolimus is developed and marketed by Novartis under the trade name AFINITOR.

- FDA Approval Summary of Everolimus (AFINITOR)

RADIANT-4[152] NCT01524783	**Lung or gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumor** (Approved on 2016/02/26)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 3.9]
BOLERO-2[153] NCT00863655	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2012/07/20)
	ER+/HER2-
	Everolimus + exemestane vs. Placebo + exemestane [PFS(M): 7.8 vs. 3.2]
EXIST-2 NCT00790400	**Tuberous sclerosis complex (tsc)-associated renal angiomyolipoma** (Approved on 2012/04/26)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 41.8 vs. 0]
RADIANT-3[154] NCT00510068	**Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor** (Approved on 2011/05/05)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 4.6]
EXIST-1[155] NCT00789828	**Subependymal giant cell astrocytoma** (Approved on 2010/10/29)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 35.0]
RECORD-1[156] NCT00410124	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2009/05/30)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 4.9 vs. 1.9]

Niraparib (ZEJULA)

Niraparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 and -2 (PARP-1, -2). Niraparib is developed and marketed by Tesaro under the trade name ZEJULA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Niraparib (ZEJULA)

PRIMA NCT02655016	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2020/04/29)
	-
	Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS (overall population)(M): 13.8 vs. 8.2]
NOVA[157] NCT01847274	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/03/27)
	-
	Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS (overall population)(M): 11.3 vs. 4.7]

Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

Olaparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2, and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Olaparib is developed by KuDOS Pharmaceuticals and marketed by AstraZeneca under the trade name LYNPARZA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

OlympiA NCT02032823	**Her2-negative high-risk early breast cancer** (Approved on 2022/03/11)
	HER2-/gBRCA mutation
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [invasive disease-free survival (IDFS)(M):]
PROfound[61] NCT02987543	**Prostate cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/19)
	HRR genes mutation
	Olaparib vs. Enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate [PFS(M): 5.8 vs. 3.5]
PAOLA-1[158] NCT02477644	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/08)
	HRD+
	Olaparib + bevacizumab vs. Placebo + bevacizumab [PFS(M): 37.2 vs. 17.7]
POLO[159] NCT02184195	**Pancreatic adenocarcinoma** (Approved on 2019/12/27)
	gBRCA mutation
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 23.0 vs. 12.0, PFS(M): 7.4 vs. 3.8]
SOLO-1[160] NCT01844986	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/12/19)
	gBRCA mutation or sBRCA mutation
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): NR vs. 13.8]
OlympiAD[161] NCT02000622	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/02/06)
	HER2-/gBRCA mutation
	Olaparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 7 vs. 4.2]
SOLO-2/ENGOT-Ov21[162] NCT01874353	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	gBRCA mutation
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 19.1 vs. 5.5]
Study19[163] NCT00753545	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	-
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 8.4 vs. 4.8]

ACT Onco® + Report

Palbociclib (IBRANCE)

Palbociclib is an oral, cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor specifically targeting CDK4 and CDK6, thereby inhibiting retinoblastoma (Rb) protein phosphorylation. Palbociclib is developed and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name IBRANCE.

- FDA Approval Summary of Palbociclib (IBRANCE)

PALOMA-2[164] NCT01740427	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2017/03/31)
	ER+/HER2-
	Palbociclib + letrozole vs. Placebo + letrozole [PFS(M): 24.8 vs. 14.5]
PALOMA-3[165] NCT01942135	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2016/02/19)
	ER+/HER2-
	Palbociclib + fulvestrant vs. Placebo + fulvestrant [PFS(M): 9.5 vs. 4.6]

Ribociclib (KISQALI)

Ribociclib is a cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor specifically targeting cyclin D1/CDK4 and cyclin D3/CDK6, thereby inhibiting retinoblastoma (Rb) protein phosphorylation. Ribociclib is developed by Novartis and Astex Pharmaceuticals and marketed by Novartis under the trade name KISQALI.

- FDA Approval Summary of Ribociclib (KISQALI)

MONALEESA-2[49] NCT01958021	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2017/03/13)
	HR+/HER2-
	Ribociclib vs. Letrozole [PFS(M): NR vs. 14.7]

Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

Rucaparib is an inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2 and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Rucaparib is developed and marketed by Clovis Oncology under the trade name RUBRACA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

TRITON2 NCT02952534	**Prostate cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/15)
	gBRCA mutation or sBRCA mutation
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 44.0, DOR(M): NE]
ARIEL3[64] NCT01968213	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/04/06)
	-
	Rucaparib vs. Placebo [PFS (All)(M): 10.8 vs. 5.4, PFS (HRD)(M): 13.6 vs. 5.4, PFS (tBRCA)(M): 16.6 vs. 5.4]

Sonidegib (ODOMZO)

Sonidegib is a Hedgehog signaling pathway inhibitor by blocking its key component, smoothened (smo). Sonidegib is developed and marketed by Novartis under the trade name ODOMZO.

- FDA Approval Summary of Sonidegib (ODOMZO)

BOLT[107] NCT01327053	**Basal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2015/07/24)
	-
	Sonidegib [ORR(%): 58.0]

Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

Talazoparib is an inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) enzymes, including PARP1 and PARP2. Talazoparib is developed and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TALZENNA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

EMBRACA[166] NCT01945775	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/10/16)
	HER2-/gBRCA mutation
	Talazoparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 8.6 vs. 5.6]

Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

Temsirolimus is a soluble ester of sirolimus (rapamycin, brand-name drug Rapamune) and functions as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex (mTORC). The inhibitory molecular mechanism is similar to Everolimus. Temsirolimus is developed by Wyeth Pharmaceuticals and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TORISEL.

- FDA Approval Summary of Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

[167] NCT00065468	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2007/05/30)
	-
	Temsirolimus vs. Ifn-α [OS(M): 10.9 vs. 7.3]

Vismodegib (ERIVEDGE)

Vismodegib is a cyclopamine-competitive antagonist and acts as a first-in-class Hedgehog signaling pathway inhibitor by blocking its key component smoothened (smo). Vismodegib is developed by Genentech and marketed by Roche under the trade name ERIVEDGE.

- FDA Approval Summary of Vismodegib (ERIVEDGE)

ERIVANCE BCC[105] NCT00833417	**Basal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2012/01/30)
	-
	Vismodegib [ORR (mBCC)(%): 30.3, ORR (laBCC)(%): 42.9]

D=day; W=week; M=month

ACT Onco® + Report

ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS

Trials were searched by applying filters: study status, patient's diagnosis, intervention, location and/or biomarker(s). Please visit https://clinicaltrials.gov to search and view for a complete list of open available and updated matched trials.

No trial has been found.

ACT Onco® + Report

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION OF TESTING RESULTS

DETAILED INFORMATION OF VARIANTS WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Single Nucleotide and Small InDel Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Exon	cDNA Change	Accession Number	COSMIC ID	Allele Frequency	Coverage
TP53	R273H	8	c.818G>A	NM_000546	COSM10660	56.5%	607

- Copy Number Alterations

Observed copy number (CN) for each evaluated position is shown on the y-axis. Regions referred to as amplification or deletion are shown in color. Regions without significant changes are represented in gray.



ACT Onco® + Report

OTHER DETECTED VARIANTS

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Exon	cDNA Change	Accession Number	COSMIC ID	Allele Frequency	Coverage
BRCA1	P346S	10	c.1036C>T	NM_007294	-	50.4%	675
CREBBP	Q2216dup	31	c.6606_6608dup	NM_004380	-	44.5%	317
CREBBP	G876R	14	c.2626G>A	NM_004380	-	53.8%	468
HSPA4	L757F	18	c.2271G>C	NM_002154	-	20.2%	257
MSH6	E1163V	6	c.3488A>T	NM_000179	COSM4416035	60.6%	1134
MUC16	V5155I	3	c.15463G>A	NM_024690	-	65.8%	1567
MUC6	V354I	9	c.1060G>A	NM_005961	-	48.3%	352
NKX2-1	A159E	2	c.476C>A	NM_003317	-	36.7%	256
PTCH1	T1404A	23	c.4210A>G	NM_000264	COSM1111410	76.9%	497
SYNE1	T8698M	144	c.26093C>T	NM_182961	-	21.9%	857
ZNF217	P823L	3	c.2468C>T	NM_006526	-	45.8%	861

Note:

- This table enlists variants detected by the panel other than those with clinical relevance (reported in Testing Result section). The clinical impact of a genetic variant is determined according to ACT Genomics in-house clinical knowledge database. A negative result does not necessarily indicate absence of biological effect on the tumor. Some variants listed here may possibly have preclinical data or may show potential clinical relevance in the future.

ACT Onco® + Report

TEST DETAILS

SPECIMEN RECEIVED AND PATHOLOGY REVIEW



- Collection date: Jan 11, 2023
- Facility retrieved: 臺北榮總
- H&E-stained section No.: S11201589
- Collection site: Lung
- Examined by: Dr. Chien-Ta Chiang
    1. The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the whole slide (%): 25%
    2. The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 60%
    3. The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the whole slide (%): 0%
    4. The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 0%
    5. Additional comment: NA
- Manual macrodissection: Performed on the highlighted region
- The outline highlights the area of malignant neoplasm annotated by a pathologist.

RUN QC

- Panel: ACTOnco®+

DNA test

- Mean Depth: 806x
- Target Base Coverage at 100x: 93%

RNA test

- Average unique RNA Start Sites per control GSP2: 168

ACT Onco® + Report

LIMITATIONS

1. This test does not provide information of variant causality and does not detect variants in non-coding regions that could affect gene expression. This report does not report polymorphisms and we do not classify whether a mutation is germline or somatic. Variants identified by this assay were not subject to validation by Sanger or other technologies.
2. The possibility cannot be excluded that certain pathogenic variants detected by other sequencing tools may not be reported in the test because of technical limitation of bioinformatics algorithm or the NGS sequencing platform, e.g. low coverage.
3. This test has been designed to detect fusions in 13 genes sequenced. Therefore, fusion in genes not covered by this test would not be reported. For novel fusions detected in this test, Sanger sequencing confirmation is recommended if residue specimen is available.

NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS) METHODS

DNA test

Extracted genomic DNA was amplified using primers targeting coding exons of analyzed genes and subjected to library construction. Barcoded libraries were subsequently conjugated with sequencing beads by emulsion PCR and enriched using Ion Chef system. Sequencing was performed according to Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Raw reads generated by the sequencer were mapped to the hg19 reference genome using the Ion Torrent Suite. Coverage depth was calculated using Torrent Coverage Analysis plug-in. Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and short insertions/deletions (InDels) were identified using the Torrent Variant Caller plug-in. VEP (Variant Effect Predictor) was used to annotate every variant using databases from Clinvar, COSMIC and Genome Aggregation database. Variants with coverage ≥ 20, allele frequency ≥ 5% and actionable variants with allele frequency ≥ 2% were retained. This test provides uniform coverage of the targeted regions, enabling target base coverage at 100x ≥ 85% with a mean coverage ≥ 500x.

Variants reported in Genome Aggregation database with > 1% minor allele frequency (MAF) were considered as polymorphisms. ACT Genomics in-house database was used to determine technical errors. Clinically actionable and biologically significant variants were determined based on the published medical literature.

The copy number alterations (CNAs) were predicted as described below:
Amplicons with read counts in the lowest 5th percentile of all detectable amplicons and amplicons with a coefficient of variation ≥ 0.3 were removed. The remaining amplicons were normalized to correct the pool design bias. ONCOCNV (an established method for calculating copy number aberrations in amplicon sequencing data by Boeva et al., 2014) was applied for the normalization of total amplicon number, amplicon GC content, amplicon length, and technology-related biases, followed by segmenting the sample with a gene-aware model. The method was used as well for establishing the baseline of copy number variations.

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco®+ to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The TMB calculation predicted somatic variants and applied a machine learning model with a cancer hotspot correction. TMB may be reported as "TMB-High", "TMB-Low" or "Cannot Be Determined". TMB-High corresponds to ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase (Muts/Mb); TMB-Low corresponds to < 7.5 Muts/Mb. TMB is reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the tumor purity of the sample is < 30%.

Classification of microsatellite instability (MSI) status is determined by a machine learning prediction algorithm. The change of a number of repeats of different lengths from a pooled microsatellite stable (MSS) baseline in > 400 genomic loci are used as the features for the algorithm. The final output of the results is either microsatellite Stable (MSS) or microsatellite instability high (MSI-H).

ACT Onco® + Report

RNA test

Extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed and subjected to library construction. Sequencing was performed according to Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To ensure sequencing quality for fusion variant analysis, the average unique RNA Start Sites (SS) per control Gene Specific Primer 2 (GSP 2) should be ≥ 10.

The fusion analysis pipeline aligned sequenced reads to the human reference genome, identified regions that map to noncontiguous regions of the genome, applied filters to exclude probable false-positive events and, annotated previously characterized fusion events according to Quiver Gene Fusion Database, a curated database owned and maintained by ArcherDX. In general, samples with detectable fusions need to meet the following criteria: (1) Number of unique start sites (SS) for the GSP2 ≥ 3; (2) Number of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction ≥ 5; (3) Percentage of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction ≥ 10%; (4) Fusions annotated in Quiver Gene Fusion Database.

DATABASE USED

- Reference genome: Human genome sequence hg19
- COSMIC v.92
- Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1
- ClinVar (version 20210404)
- ACT Genomics in-house database
- Quiver Gene Fusion Database version 5.1.18

Variant Analysis:
醫檢師張筑芺 博士
Chu-Yuan Chang Ph.D.
檢字第 020115 號

Sign Off
解剖病理專科醫師王業翰
Yeh-Han Wang M.D.
病解字第 000545 號

GENE LIST

SNV & CNV

*ABCB1**	*ABCC2**	*ABCG2**	*ABL1*	*ABL2*	*ADAMTS1*	*ADAMTS13*	*ADAMTS15*	*ADAMTS16*	*ADAMTS18*	*ADAMTS6*	*ADAMTS9*
ADAMTSL1	*ADGRA2*	*ADH1C**	*AKT1*	*AKT2*	*AKT3*	*ALDH1A1**	*ALK*	*AMER1*	*APC*	*AR*	*ARAF*
ARID1A	*ARID1B*	*ARID2*	*ASXL1*	*ATM*	*ATR*	*ATRX*	*AURKA*	*AURKB*	*AXIN1*	*AXIN2*	*AXL*
B2M	*BAP1*	*BARD1*	*BCL10*	*BCL2**	*BCL2L1*	*BCL2L2**	*BCL6*	*BCL9*	*BCOR*	*BIRC2*	*BIRC3*
BLM	*BMPR1A*	*BRAF*	*BRCA1*	*BRCA2*	*BRD4*	*BRIP1*	*BTG1*	*BTG2**	*BTK*	*BUB1B*	*CALR*
CANX	*CARD11*	*CASP8*	*CBFB*	*CBL*	*CCNA1*	*CCNA*	*CCNB1*	*CCNB2*	*CCNB3*	*CCND1*	*CCND2*
CCND3	*CCNE1*	*CCNE2*	*CCNH*	*CD19*	*CD274*	*CD58*	*CD70**	*CD79A*	*CD79B*	*CDC73*	*CDH1*
CDK1	*CDK12*	*CDK2*	*CDK4*	*CDK5*	*CDK6*	*CDK7*	*CDK8*	*CDK9*	*CDKN1A*	*CDKN1B*	*CDKN2A*
CDKN2B	*CDKN2C*	*CEBPA**	*CHEK1*	*CHEK2*	*CIC*	*CREBBP*	*CRKL*	*CRLF2*	*CSF1R*	*CTCF*	*CTLA4*
CTNNA1	*CTNNB1*	*CUL3*	*CYLD*	*CYP1A1**	*CYP2B6**	*CYP2C19**	*CYP2C8**	*CYP2D6*	*CYP2E1**	*CYP3A4**	*CYP3A5**
DAXX	*DCUN1D1*	*DDR2*	*DICER1*	*DNMT3A*	*DOT1L*	*DPYD*	*DTX1*	*E2F3*	*EGFR*	*EP300*	*EPCAM*
EPHA2	*EPHA3*	*EPHA5*	*EPHA7*	*EPHB1*	*ERBB2*	*ERBB3*	*ERBB4*	*ERCC1*	*ERCC2*	*ERCC3*	*ERCC4*
ERCC5	*ERG*	*ESR1*	*ESR2*	*ETV1*	*ETV4*	*EZH2*	*FAM46C*	*FANCA*	*FANCC*	*FANCD2*	*FANCE*
FANCF	*FANCG*	*FANCL*	*FAS*	*FAT1*	*FBXW7*	*FCGR2B*	*FGF1**	*FGF10*	*FGF14*	*FGF19**	*FGF23*
FGF3	*FGF4**	*FGF6*	*FGFR1*	*FGFR2*	*FGFR3*	*FGFR4*	*FH*	*FLCN*	*FLT1*	*FLT3*	*FLT4*
*FOXL2**	*FOXP1*	*FRG1*	*FUBP1*	*GATA1*	*GATA2*	*GATA3*	*GNA11*	*GNA13*	*GNAQ*	*GNAS*	*GREM1*
GRIN2A	*GSK3B*	*GSTP1**	*GSTT1**	*HGF*	*HIF1A*	*HIST1H1C**	*HIST1H1E**	*HNF1A*	*HR*	*HRAS**	*HSP90AA1*
HSP90AB1	*HSPA4*	*HSPA5*	*IDH1*	*IDH2*	*IFNL3**	*IGF1*	*IGF1R*	*IGF2*	*IKBKB*	*IKBKE*	*IKZF1*
IL6	*IL7R*	*INPP4B*	*INSR*	*IRF4*	*IRS1*	*IRS2**	*JAK1*	*JAK2*	*JAK3*	*JUN**	*KAT6A*
KDM5A	*KDM5C*	*KDM6A*	*KDR*	*KEAP1*	*KIT*	*KMT2A*	*KMT2C*	*KMT2D*	*KRAS*	*LCK*	*LIG1*
LIG3	*LMO1*	*LRP1B*	*LYN*	*MALT1*	*MAP2K1*	*MAP2K2*	*MAP2K4*	*MAP3K1*	*MAP3K7*	*MAPK1*	*MAPK3*
MAX	*MCL1*	*MDM2*	*MDM4*	*MED12*	*MEF2B*	*MEN1*	*MET*	*MITF*	*MLH1*	*MPL*	*MRE11*
MSH2	*MSH6*	*MTHFR**	*MTOR*	*MUC16*	*MUC4*	*MUC6*	*MUTYH*	*MYC*	*MYCL*	*MYCN*	*MYD88*
*NAT2**	*NBN*	*NEFH*	*NF1*	*NF2*	*NFE2L2*	*NFKB1*	*NFKBIA*	*NKX2-1**	*NOTCH1*	*NOTCH2*	*NOTCH3*
NOTCH4	*NPM1*	*NQO1**	*NRAS*	*NSD1*	*NTRK1*	*NTRK2*	*NTRK3*	*PAK3*	*PALB2*	*PARP1*	*PAX5*
PAX8	*PBRM1*	*PDCD1*	*PDCD1LG2*	*PDGFRA*	*PDGFRB*	*PDIA3*	*PGF*	*PHOX2B**	*PIK3C2B*	*PIK3C2G*	*PIK3C3*
PIK3CA	*PIK3CB*	*PIK3CD*	*PIK3CG*	*PIK3R1*	*PIK3R2*	*PIK3R3*	*PIM1*	*PMS1*	*PMS2*	*POLB*	*POLD1*
POLE	*PPARG*	*PPP2R1A*	*PRDM1*	*PRKAR1A*	*PRKCA*	*PRKCB*	*PRKCG*	*PRKCI*	*PRKCQ*	*PRKDC*	*PRKN*
PSMB8	*PSMB9*	*PSME1*	*PSME2*	*PSME3*	*PTCH1*	*PTEN*	*PTGS2*	*PTPN11*	*PTPRD*	*PTPRT*	*RAC1*
RAD50	*RAD51*	*RAD51B*	*RAD51C*	*RAD51D*	*RAD52*	*RAD54L*	*RAF1*	*RARA*	*RB1*	*RBM10*	*RECQL4*
REL	*RET*	*RHOA*	*RICTOR*	*RNF43*	*ROS1*	*RPPH1*	*RPTOR*	*RUNX1*	*RUNX1T1*	*RXRA*	*SDHA*
SDHB	*SDHC*	*SDHD*	*SERPINB3*	*SERPINB4*	*SETD2*	*SF3B1*	*SGK1*	*SH2D1A**	*SLC19A1**	*SLC22A2**	*SLCO1B1**
*SLCO1B3**	*SMAD2*	*SMAD3*	*SMAD4*	*SMARCA4*	*SMARCB1*	*SMO*	*SOCS1**	*SOX2**	*SOX9*	*SPEN*	*SPOP*
SRC	*STAG2*	*STAT3*	*STK11*	*SUFU*	*SYK*	*SYNE1*	*TAF1*	*TAP1*	*TAP2*	*TAPBP*	*TBX3*
TEK	*TERT*	*TET1*	*TET2*	*TGFBR2*	*TMSB4X**	*TNF*	*TNFAIP3*	*TNFRSF14*	*TNFSF11*	*TOP1*	*TP53*
*TPMT**	*TSC1*	*TSC2*	*TSHR*	*TYMS*	*U2AF1*	*UBE2A**	*UBE2K*	*UBR5*	*UGT1A1**	*USH2A*	*VDR**
VEGFA	*VEGFB*	*VHL*	*WT1*	*XIAP*	*XPO1*	*XRCC2*	*ZNF217*				

*Analysis of copy number alterations NOT available.

FUSION

ALK	*BRAF*	*EGFR*	*FGFR1*	*FGFR2*	*FGFR3*	*MET*	*NRG1*	*NTRK1*	*NTRK2*	*NTRK3*	*RET*	*ROS1*

ACT Onco® + Report

APPENDIX

POSSIBLE THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS FOR HETEROZYGOUS DELETION

Gene	Therapies	Possible effect
CDKN2A	Abemaciclib, Palbociclib, Ribociclib	**sensitive**
TSC1	Everolimus, Temsirolimus	**sensitive**
BRCA2	Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	**sensitive**
CHEK2	Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	**sensitive**
MLH1	Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	**sensitive**
RAD50	Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	**sensitive**
PTCH1	Sonidegib, Vismodegib	**sensitive**
RB1	Abemaciclib, Palbociclib, Ribociclib	**resistant**

SIGNALING PATHWAYS AND MOLECULAR-TARGETED AGENTS



1: Sonidegib, Vismodegib

ACT Onco® + Report



1: Everolimus, Temsirolimus



1: Olaparib, Niraparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib

ACT Onco® + Report



1: Abemaciclib, Palbociclib, Ribociclib

ACT Onco® + Report

DISCLAIMER

法律聲明

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，結果需經專業醫師解釋及判讀。基因突變資訊非必具備藥物或治療有效性指標，反之亦然。本檢驗報告提供之用藥指引不聲明或保證其臨床有效性，反之亦然。本基因檢測方法係由本公司研究開發，已經過有效性測試。
本檢驗報告非經本公司許可，不得私自變造、塗改，或以任何方式作為廣告及其他宣傳之用途。
本公司於提供檢驗報告後，即已完成本次契約義務，後續之報告解釋、判讀及用藥、治療，應自行尋求相關專業醫師協助，若需將報告移件其他醫師，本人應取得該醫師同意並填寫移件申請書，主動告知行動基因，行動基因僅能配合該醫師意願與時間提供醫師解說。

醫療決策需由醫師決定

任何治療與用藥需經由醫師在考慮病患所有健康狀況相關資訊包含健檢、其他檢測報告和病患意願後，依照該地區醫療照護標準由醫師獨立判斷。醫師不應僅依據單一報告結果(例如本檢測或本報告書內容)做決策。

基因突變與用藥資訊並非依照有效性排序

本報告中列出之生物標記變異與藥物資訊並非依照潛在治療有效性排序。

證據等級

藥物潛在臨床效益(或缺乏潛在臨床效益)的實證證據是依據至少一篇臨床療效個案報告或臨床前試驗做為評估。本公司盡力提供適時及準確之資料，但由於醫學科技之發展日新月異，本公司不就本報告提供的資料是否為準確、適宜或最新作保證。

責任

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，本公司及其員工不對任何由使用本報告之內容引起的直接、間接、特殊、連帶或衍生的損失或損害承擔責任。

ACT Onco® + Report

REFERENCE

1. PMID: 24739573; 2014, Nat Rev Cancer;14(5):359-70
Unravelling mechanisms of p53-mediated tumour suppression.

2. PMID: 21125671; 2011, J Pathol;223(2):137-46
Haplo-insufficiency: a driving force in cancer.

3. PMID: 22713868; 2012, Genes Dev;26(12):1268-86
Mutant p53: one name, many proteins.

4. PMID: 26181206; 2015, Cell Death Dis;6():e1826
Mutant p53-R273H mediates cancer cell survival and anoikis resistance through AKT-dependent suppression of BCL2-modifying factor (BMF).

5. PMID: 22114072; 2012, Carcinogenesis;33(2):442-51
Gain-of-function mutant p53 upregulates CXC chemokines and enhances cell migration.

6. PMID: 14743206; 2004, Oncogene;23(13):2330-8
Mutant p53 exerts a dominant negative effect by preventing wild-type p53 from binding to the promoter of its target genes.

7. PMID: 27998224; 2016, J Clin Oncol;34(36):4354-4361
Phase II Study of WEE1 Inhibitor AZD1775 Plus Carboplatin in Patients With TP53-Mutated Ovarian Cancer Refractory or Resistant to First-Line Therapy Within 3 Months.

8. PMID: 26646755; 2016, Ann Oncol;27(3):539-43
TP53 mutational status is predictive of pazopanib response in advanced sarcomas.

9. PMID: 25669829; 2015, Ann Oncol;26(5):1012-8
Phase I study of pazopanib and vorinostat: a therapeutic approach for inhibiting mutant p53-mediated angiogenesis and facilitating mutant p53 degradation.

10. PMID: 27466356; 2016, Mol Cancer Ther;15(10):2475-2485
TP53 Alterations Correlate with Response to VEGF/VEGFR Inhibitors: Implications for Targeted Therapeutics.

11. PMID: 23670029; 2013, Oncotarget;4(5):705-14
P53 mutations in advanced cancers: clinical characteristics, outcomes, and correlation between progression-free survival and bevacizumab-containing therapy.

12. PMID: 17145525; 2006, Semin Oncol;33(5 Suppl 10):S8-14
Bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy: first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer.

13. PMID: 21399868; 2011, Int J Oncol;38(5):1445-52
p53, HER2 and tumor cell apoptosis correlate with clinical outcome after neoadjuvant bevacizumab plus chemotherapy in breast cancer.

14. PMID: 20549698; 2011, Int J Cancer;128(8):1813-21
p53 status influences response to tamoxifen but not to fulvestrant in breast cancer cell lines.

15. PMID: 10786679; 2000, Cancer Res;60(8):2155-62
Complete sequencing of TP53 predicts poor response to systemic therapy of advanced breast cancer.

16. PMID: 25672981; 2015, Cancer Res;75(7):1187-90
VEGF-A Expression Correlates with TP53 Mutations in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Implications for Antiangiogenesis Therapy.

17. PMID: 25385265; 2015, Int J Oncol;46(2):607-18
TP53 oncomorphic mutations predict resistance to platinum□ and taxane□based standard chemotherapy in patients diagnosed with advanced serous ovarian carcinoma.

18. PMID: 31776133; 2020, Cancer Res;80(3):394-405
Gain-of-Function Mutant p53 R273H Interacts with Replicating DNA and PARP1 in Breast Cancer.

19. PMID: 28232952; 2017, NPJ Breast Cancer;3():
Identification, validation, and targeting of the mutant p53-PARP-MCM chromatin axis in triple negative breast cancer.

20. PMID: 11239455; 2001, Mol Cell;7(2):263-72
BRCA2 is required for homology-directed repair of chromosomal breaks.

21. PMID: 17597348; 2007, Ann Surg Oncol;14(9):2510-8
Heterogenic loss of the wild-type BRCA allele in human breast tumorigenesis.

22. PMID: 22193408; 2011, Nat Rev Cancer;12(1):68-78
BRCA1 and BRCA2: different roles in a common pathway of genome protection.

23. PMID: 27283171; 2016, J Natl Compr Canc Netw;14(6):795-806
The Relevance of Hereditary Cancer Risks to Precision Oncology: What Should Providers Consider When Conducting Tumor Genomic Profiling?

24. PMID: 24751816; 2014, Nat Rev Drug Discov;13(5):337-56
Targeting bromodomains: epigenetic readers of lysine acetylation.

25. PMID: 20871596; 2010, Nature;468(7327):1067-73
Selective inhibition of BET bromodomains.

26. PMID: 25120803; 2014, Int J Clin Exp Pathol;7(7):4231-8
Bromodomain 4 protein is a predictor of survival for urothelial carcinoma of bladder.

27. PMID: 28415703; 2017, Oncotarget;8(19):31092-31100
Bromodomain protein 4 is a novel predictor of survival for gastric carcinoma.

28. PMID: 25816404; 2015, Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol;28(1):36-44
BRD4 promotes tumor growth and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in hepatocellular carcinoma.

29. PMID: 26807235; 2015, Mol Clin Oncol;3(6):1291-1294
Amplification of the bromodomain-containing protein 4 gene in ovarian high-grade serous carcinoma is associated with worse prognosis and survival.

30. PMID: 28781807; 2017, Mol Clin Oncol;7(2):301-307
Amplification of the NSD3-BRD4-CHD8 pathway in pelvic high-grade serous carcinomas of tubo-ovarian and endometrial origin.

31. PMID: 29796168; 2018, Oncotarget;9(33):23003-23017
Bromodomain protein BRD4 inhibitor JQ1 regulates potential prognostic molecules in advanced renal cell carcinoma.

32. PMID: 32044108; 2020, Gynecol Oncol;157(2):405-410
CCNE1 and BRD4 co-amplification in high-grade serous ovarian cancer is associated with poor clinical outcomes.

33. PMID: 17055429; 2006, Cell;127(2):265-75
The regulation of INK4/ARF in cancer and aging.

34. PMID: 8521522; 1995, Cell;83(6):993-1000
Alternative reading frames of the INK4a tumor suppressor gene encode two unrelated proteins capable of inducing cell cycle arrest.

35. PMID: 9529249; 1998, Cell;92(6):725-34
ARF promotes MDM2 degradation and stabilizes p53: ARF-INK4a locus deletion impairs both the Rb and p53 tumor suppression pathways.

36. PMID: 16115911; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(16):5740-7
Comprehensive analysis of CDKN2A status in microdissected urothelial cell carcinoma reveals potential haploinsufficiency, a high frequency of homozygous co-deletion and associations with clinical phenotype.

37. PMID: 7550353; 1995, Nat Genet;11(2):210-2
Frequency of homozygous deletion at p16/CDKN2 in primary human tumours.

38. PMID: 24089445; 2013, Clin Cancer Res;19(19):5320-8
The cell-cycle regulator CDK4: an emerging therapeutic target in melanoma.

39. PMID: 27849562; 2017, Gut;66(7):1286-1296
Palbociclib (PD-0332991), a selective CDK4/6 inhibitor, restricts tumour growth in preclinical models of hepatocellular carcinoma.

40. PMID: 25524798; 2015, Lancet Oncol;16(1):25-35
The cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib in combination with letrozole versus letrozole alone as first-line treatment of oestrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative, advanced breast cancer (PALOMA-1/TRIO-18): a randomised phase 2 study.

41. PMID: 28283584; 2017, Oncologist;22(4):416-421
Clinical Benefit in Response to Palbociclib Treatment in Refractory Uterine Leiomyosarcomas with a Common CDKN2A Alteration.

42. PMID: 27217383; 2016, Cancer Discov;6(7):740-53
Efficacy and Safety of Abemaciclib, an Inhibitor of CDK4 and CDK6, for Patients with Breast Cancer, Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, and Other Solid Tumors.

43. PMID: 26715889; 2015, Curr Oncol;22(6):e498-501
Does CDKN2A loss predict palbociclib benefit?

44. PMID: 25501126; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(5):995-1001
CDK 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib (PD0332991) in Rb+ advanced breast cancer: phase II activity, safety, and predictive biomarker assessment.

45. PMID: 27542767; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(23):5696-5705
A Phase I Study of the Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 4/6 Inhibitor Ribociclib (LEE011) in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors and Lymphomas.

46. PMID: 24797823; 2014, Oncologist;19(6):616-22
Enabling a genetically informed approach to cancer medicine: a retrospective evaluation of the impact of comprehensive tumor profiling using a targeted next-generation sequencing panel.

47. PMID: 35050752; 2020, JCO Precis Oncol;4():757-766
Palbociclib in Patients With Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer With CDKN2A Alterations: Results From the Targeted Agent and Profiling Utilization Registry Study.

48. PMID: 35100714; 2019, JCO Precis Oncol;3():1-8
Palbociclib in Patients With Pancreatic and Biliary Cancer With CDKN2A Alterations: Results From the Targeted Agent and Profiling Utilization Registry Study.

49. PMID: 27717303; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(18):1738-1748
Ribociclib as First-Line Therapy for HR-Positive, Advanced Breast Cancer.

50. PMID: 28580882; 2017, J Clin Oncol;35(25):2875-2884
MONARCH 2: Abemaciclib in Combination With Fulvestrant in Women With HR+/HER2- Advanced Breast Cancer Who Had Progressed While Receiving Endocrine Therapy.

51. PMID: 26728409; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(1):122-33
Coadministration of Trametinib and Palbociclib Radiosensitizes KRAS-Mutant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancers In Vitro and In Vivo.

52. PMID: 31401335; 2019, Transl Oncol;12(11):1425-1431
Concomitant Genetic Alterations are Associated with Worse Clinical Outcome in EGFR Mutant NSCLC Patients Treated with Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors.

53. PMID: 21088254; 2011, Clin Cancer Res;17(3):401-5
Tumor suppressor CHK2: regulator of DNA damage response and mediator of chromosomal stability.

54. PMID: 15261141; 2004, Cancer Cell;6(1):45-59
Chk1 is haploinsufficient for multiple functions critical to tumor suppression.

55. PMID: 15539958; 2005, Cell Cycle;4(1):131-9

Chk1 is essential for tumor cell viability following activation of the replication checkpoint.

56. PMID: 23296741; 2013, Fam Cancer;12(3):473-8
The risk of gastric cancer in carriers of CHEK2 mutations.

57. PMID: 24713400; 2014, Hered Cancer Clin Pract;12(1):10
A risk of breast cancer in women - carriers of constitutional CHEK2 gene mutations, originating from the North - Central Poland.

58. PMID: 25583358; 2015, Int J Cancer;137(3):548-52
CHEK2 mutations and the risk of papillary thyroid cancer.

59. PMID: 12052256; 2002, Breast Cancer Res;4(3):R4
Mutation analysis of the CHK2 gene in breast carcinoma and other cancers.

60. PMID: 15125777; 2004, Mol Cancer;3():14
CHK2 kinase expression is down-regulated due to promoter methylation in non-small cell lung cancer.

61. PMID: 32343890; 2020, N Engl J Med;382(22):2091-2102
Olaparib for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer.

62. PMID: 33119476; 2020, J Clin Oncol;38(36):4274-4282
TBCRC 048: Phase II Study of Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer and Mutations in Homologous Recombination-Related Genes.

63. PMID: 32086346; 2020, Clin Cancer Res;26(11):2487-2496
Non-BRCA DNA Damage Repair Gene Alterations and Response to the PARP Inhibitor Rucaparib in Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Analysis From the Phase II TRITON2 Study.

64. PMID: 28916367; 2017, Lancet;390(10106):1949-1961
Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

65. PMID: 16873062; 2006, Cell;126(2):297-308
Endonucleolytic function of MutLalpha in human mismatch repair.

66. PMID: 22156295; 2012, Genome Res;22(2):208-19
Whole-exome sequencing of human pancreatic cancers and characterization of genomic instability caused by MLH1 haploinsufficiency and complete deficiency.

67. PMID: 8484122; 1993, Science;260(5109):816-9
Microsatellite instability in cancer of the proximal colon.

68. PMID: 8040889; 1994, J Natl Cancer Inst;86(16):1216-21
Microsatellite instability in sporadic endometrial carcinoma.

69. PMID: 8261393; 1993, Cancer Res;53(24):5853-5
Microsatellite instability is associated with tumors that characterize the hereditary non-polyposis colorectal carcinoma syndrome.

70. PMID: 9823339; 1998, Cancer Res;58(22):5248-57
A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer.

71. PMID: 12454837; 2002, Gastroenterology;123(6):1804-11
Evaluation of tumor microsatellite instability using five quasimonomorphic mononucleotide repeats and pentaplex PCR.

72. PMID: 27374179; 2017, Oncotarget;8(25):40152-40168
Cumulative defects in DNA repair pathways drive the PARP inhibitor response in high-grade serous epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines.

73. PMID: 19029958; 2008, Nat Rev Cancer;8(12):976-90
Reflecting on 25 years with MYC.

ACT Onco® + Report

74. PMID: 22464321; 2012, Cell;149(1):22-35
MYC on the path to cancer.

75. PMID: 10378696; 1999, Oncogene;18(19):3004-16
MYC oncogenes and human neoplastic disease.

76. PMID: 16934487; 2006, Semin Cancer Biol;16(4):318-30
The Myc oncoprotein as a therapeutic target for human cancer.

77. PMID: 22113465; 2012, Clin Exp Med;12(4):217-23
C-myc as a predictive marker for chemotherapy in metastatic breast cancer.

78. PMID: 21741827; 2011, Eur J Cancer;47(12):1779-88
Association between c-myc amplification and pathological complete response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer.

79. PMID: 15132769; 2004, Cancer Sci;95(5):418-23
Expression of the c-myc gene as a predictor of chemotherapy response and a prognostic factor in patients with ovarian cancer.

80. PMID: 22430491; 2012, J Exp Med;209(4):679-96
MYC pathway activation in triple-negative breast cancer is synthetic lethal with CDK inhibition.

81. PMID: 27486754; 2016, Oncotarget;7(35):56864-56875
Inhibition of cyclin dependent kinase 9 by dinaciclib suppresses cyclin B1 expression and tumor growth in triple negative breast cancer.

82. PMID: 24503701; 2014, PLoS One;9(2):e87456
Immunohistochemistry for myc predicts survival in colorectal cancer.

83. PMID: 9816266; 1996, Clin Cancer Res;2(6):1049-53
Overexpression of the c-myc proto-oncogene in colorectal carcinoma is associated with a reduced mortality that is abrogated by point mutation of the p53 tumor suppressor gene.

84. PMID: 23860775; 2013, Tumour Biol;34(6):3945-58
MYC overexpression and poor prognosis in sporadic breast cancer with BRCA1 deficiency.

85. PMID: 9590181; 1998, Cell;93(3):477-86
The hMre11/hRad50 protein complex and Nijmegen breakage syndrome: linkage of double-strand break repair to the cellular DNA damage response.

86. PMID: 9590180; 1998, Cell;93(3):467-76
Nibrin, a novel DNA double-strand break repair protein, is mutated in Nijmegen breakage syndrome.

87. PMID: 24349281; 2013, PLoS One;8(12):e82426
Mutation inactivation of Nijmegen breakage syndrome gene (NBS1) in hepatocellular carcinoma and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

88. PMID: 22864661; 2012, Fam Cancer;11(4):595-600
Identification of a novel NBN truncating mutation in a family with hereditary prostate cancer.

89. PMID: 21923652; 2011, Br J Haematol;155(4):468-76
Promising therapy results for lymphoid malignancies in children with chromosomal breakage syndromes (Ataxia teleangiectasia or Nijmegen-breakage syndrome): a retrospective survey.

90. PMID: 26083025; 2015, PLoS One;10(6):e0130393
Prevalence of Germline Mutations in Genes Engaged in DNA Damage Repair by Homologous Recombination in Patients with Triple-Negative and Hereditary Non-Triple-Negative Breast Cancers.

91. PMID: 27908594; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(1):75-87
Rucaparib in relapsed, platinum-sensitive high-grade ovarian carcinoma (ARIEL2 Part 1): an international, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial.

92. PMID: 30353044; 2018, Br J Cancer;119(11):1401-1409

Candidate biomarkers of PARP inhibitor sensitivity in ovarian cancer beyond the BRCA genes.

93. PMID: 24240112; 2014, Clin Cancer Res;20(3):764-75
Germline and somatic mutations in homologous recombination genes predict platinum response and survival in ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal carcinomas.

94. PMID: 29961768; 2019, Genet Med;21(1):213-223
Germline cancer susceptibility gene variants, somatic second hits, and survival outcomes in patients with resected pancreatic cancer.

95. PMID: 25415046; 2014, Oncotarget;5(22):11081-90
NBN gain is predictive for adverse outcome following image-guided radiotherapy for localized prostate cancer.

96. PMID: 32226530; 2020, Theranostics;10(9):3939-3951
Copy Number Amplification of DNA Damage Repair Pathways Potentiates Therapeutic Resistance in Cancer.

97. PMID: 8906794; 1996, Nature;384(6605):176-9
Biochemical evidence that patched is the Hedgehog receptor.

98. PMID: 12016144; 2002, Carcinogenesis;23(5):727-33
Unbalanced overexpression of the mutant allele in murine Patched mutants.

99. PMID: 11130178; 2000, Cell Mol Life Sci;57(12):1720-31
Hedgehog signalling in cancer.

100. PMID: 8782823; 1996, Nat Genet;14(1):78-81
The role of the human homologue of Drosophila patched in sporadic basal cell carcinomas.

101. PMID: 8658145; 1996, Science;272(5268):1668-71
Human homolog of patched, a candidate gene for the basal cell nevus syndrome.

102. PMID: 9422511; 1998, Nature;391(6662):90-2
Activating Smoothened mutations in sporadic basal-cell carcinoma.

103. PMID: 22832583; 2012, Nature;488(7409):100-5
Dissecting the genomic complexity underlying medulloblastoma.

104. PMID: 10738305; 2000, Genes Chromosomes Cancer;28(1):77-81
Evidence that haploinsufficiency of Ptch leads to medulloblastoma in mice.

105. PMID: 22670903; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(23):2171-9
Efficacy and safety of vismodegib in advanced basal-cell carcinoma.

106. PMID: 28511673; 2017, BMC Cancer;17(1):332
Long-term safety and efficacy of vismodegib in patients with advanced basal cell carcinoma: final update of the pivotal ERIVANCE BCC study.

107. PMID: 25981810; 2015, Lancet Oncol;16(6):716-28
Treatment with two different doses of sonidegib in patients with locally advanced or metastatic basal cell carcinoma (BOLT): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind phase 2 trial.

108. PMID: 31545507; 2020, Br J Dermatol;182(6):1369-1378
Long-term efficacy and safety of sonidegib in patients with advanced basal cell carcinoma: 42-month analysis of the phase II randomized, double-blind BOLT study.

109. PMID: 19726761; 2009, N Engl J Med;361(12):1173-8
Treatment of medulloblastoma with hedgehog pathway inhibitor GDC-0449.

110. PMID: 26169613; 2015, J Clin Oncol;33(24):2646-54
Vismodegib Exerts Targeted Efficacy Against Recurrent Sonic Hedgehog-Subgroup Medulloblastoma: Results From Phase II Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium Studies PBTC-025B and PBTC-032.

111. PMID: 29320312; 2018, J Clin Oncol;36(6):536-542
Targeted Therapy for Advanced Solid Tumors on the Basis of Molecular Profiles: Results From MyPathway, an Open-Label, Phase IIa Multiple Basket Study.

112. PMID: 34409296; 2021, Neurooncol Adv;3(1):vdab097
Clinical and molecular analysis of smoothened inhibitors in Sonic Hedgehog medulloblastoma.

113. PMID: 9315668; 1997, Mol Cell Biol;17(10):6087-96
hMre11 and hRad50 nuclear foci are induced during the normal cellular response to DNA double-strand breaks.

114. PMID: 16467875; 2006, Cell Res;16(1):45-54
The role of NBS1 in DNA double strand break repair, telomere stability, and cell cycle checkpoint control.

115. PMID: 16385572; 2006, Int J Cancer;118(11):2911-6
Evaluation of RAD50 in familial breast cancer predisposition.

116. PMID: 24894818; 2014, Breast Cancer Res;16(3):R58
Rare key functional domain missense substitutions in MRE11A, RAD50, and NBN contribute to breast cancer susceptibility: results from a Breast Cancer Family Registry case-control mutation-screening study.

117. PMID: 18440592; 2008, Hum Pathol;39(6):925-32
Gastric cancer with high-level microsatellite instability: target gene mutations, clinicopathologic features, and long-term survival.

118. PMID: 11196187; 2001, Cancer Res;61(1):36-8
Frameshift mutations at coding mononucleotide repeats of the hRAD50 gene in gastrointestinal carcinomas with microsatellite instability.

119. PMID: 24934408; 2014, Cancer Discov;4(9):1014-21
Synthetic lethality in ATM-deficient RAD50-mutant tumors underlies outlier response to cancer therapy.

120. PMID: 16474176; 2006, Carcinogenesis;27(8):1593-9
RAD50 and NBS1 are breast cancer susceptibility genes associated with genomic instability.

121. PMID: 27016230; 2016, Gynecol Oncol;141(1):57-64
Copy number deletion of RAD50 as predictive marker of BRCAness and PARP inhibitor response in BRCA wild type ovarian cancer.

122. PMID: 22293180; 2012, J Clin Invest;122(2):425-34
Understanding pRb: toward the necessary development of targeted treatments for retinoblastoma.

123. PMID: 6320372; 1984, Science;223(4640):1028-33
Retinoblastoma: clues to human oncogenesis.

124. PMID: 27308386; 2015, Mol Cell Oncol;2(1):e968069
Conditional haploinsufficiency of the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor gene.

125. PMID: 23687339; 2013, Cancer Res;73(14):4247-55
Rb1 haploinsufficiency promotes telomere attrition and radiation-induced genomic instability.

126. PMID: 28169375; 2017, Sci Rep;7():42056
The Rb1 tumour suppressor gene modifies telomeric chromatin architecture by regulating TERRA expression.

127. PMID: 15884040; 2005, Hum Mutat;25(6):566-74
Sensitive multistep clinical molecular screening of 180 unrelated individuals with retinoblastoma detects 36 novel mutations in the RB1 gene.

128. PMID: 26238431; 2015, Eur Urol;68(6):959-67
Defects in DNA Repair Genes Predict Response to Neoadjuvant Cisplatin-based Chemotherapy in Muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer.

129. PMID: 22811582; 2012, Clin Cancer Res;18(18):5110-22
RB-pathway disruption is associated with improved response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer.

130. PMID: 21358261; 2011, Cell Cycle;10(6):956-62
A non-functional retinoblastoma tumor suppressor (RB) pathway in premenopausal breast cancer is associated with resistance to tamoxifen.

131. PMID: 17160137; 2007, J Clin Invest;117(1):218-28
The retinoblastoma tumor suppressor modifies the therapeutic response of breast cancer.

132. PMID: 29236940; 2018, Ann Oncol;29(3):640-645
Polyclonal RB1 mutations and acquired resistance to CDK 4/6 inhibitors in patients with metastatic breast cancer.

133. PMID: 29483214; 2018, Mol Cancer Ther;17(5):897-907
Preclinical Activity of Abemaciclib Alone or in Combination with Antimitotic and Targeted Therapies in Breast Cancer.

134. PMID: 22941188; 2012, Nat Genet;44(10):1104-10
Integrative genome analyses identify key somatic driver mutations of small-cell lung cancer.

135. PMID: 22941189; 2012, Nat Genet;44(10):1111-6
Comprehensive genomic analysis identifies SOX2 as a frequently amplified gene in small-cell lung cancer.

136. PMID: 25846096; 2015, Lancet Oncol;16(4):e165-72
Transformation from non-small-cell lung cancer to small-cell lung cancer: molecular drivers and cells of origin.

137. PMID: 21157483; 2011, Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol;12(1):21-35
mTOR: from growth signal integration to cancer, diabetes and ageing.

138. PMID: 12271141; 2002, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A;99(21):13571-6
Tuberous sclerosis complex-1 and -2 gene products function together to inhibit mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)-mediated downstream signaling.

139. PMID: 9242607; 1997, Science;277(5327):805-8
Identification of the tuberous sclerosis gene TSC1 on chromosome 9q34.

140. PMID: 8269512; 1993, Cell;75(7):1305-15
Identification and characterization of the tuberous sclerosis gene on chromosome 16.

141. PMID: 1303246; 1992, Nat Genet;2(1):37-41
Linkage of an important gene locus for tuberous sclerosis to a chromosome 16 marker for polycystic kidney disease.

142. PMID: 18538015; 2008, BMC Cancer;8():163
Involvement of TSC genes and differential expression of other members of the mTOR signaling pathway in oral squamous cell carcinoma.

143. PMID: 28339086; 2017, Int J Oncol;50(5):1778-1784
Identification of novel mutations in endometrial cancer patients by whole-exome sequencing.

144. PMID: 20610279; 2010, Urol Oncol;28(4):409-28
Bladder cancer or bladder cancers? Genetically distinct malignant conditions of the urothelium.

145. PMID: 17005952; 2006, N Engl J Med;355(13):1345-56
The tuberous sclerosis complex.

146. PMID: 22923433; 2012, Science;338(6104):221
Genome sequencing identifies a basis for everolimus sensitivity.

147. PMID: 26859683; 2016, Oncotarget;7(9):10547-56
Next-generation sequencing reveals somatic mutations that confer exceptional response to everolimus.

148. PMID: 27016228; 2016, Gynecol Oncol;141(1):43-8
Tumor mutational analysis of GOG248, a phase II study of temsirolimus or temsirolimus and alternating megestrol acetate and tamoxifen for advanced endometrial cancer (EC): An NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group study.

ACT Onco® + Report

149. PMID: 26412398; 2015, Sci Rep;5():14534
PAK2 is an effector of TSC1/2 signaling independent of mTOR and a potential therapeutic target for Tuberous Sclerosis Complex.

150. PMID: 28968163; 2017, J Clin Oncol;35(32):3638-3646
MONARCH 3: Abemaciclib As Initial Therapy for Advanced Breast Cancer.

151. PMID: 28533223; 2017, Clin Cancer Res;23(17):5218-5224
MONARCH 1, A Phase II Study of Abemaciclib, a CDK4 and CDK6 Inhibitor, as a Single Agent, in Patients with Refractory HR+/HER2- Metastatic Breast Cancer.

152. PMID: 26703889; 2016, Lancet;387(10022):968-977
Everolimus for the treatment of advanced, non-functional neuroendocrine tumours of the lung or gastrointestinal tract (RADIANT-4): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study.

153. PMID: 22149876; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(6):520-9
Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer.

154. PMID: 21306238; 2011, N Engl J Med;364(6):514-23
Everolimus for advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

155. PMID: 23158522; 2013, Lancet;381(9861):125-32
Efficacy and safety of everolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytomas associated with tuberous sclerosis complex (EXIST-1): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial.

156. PMID: 18653228; 2008, Lancet;372(9637):449-56
Efficacy of everolimus in advanced renal cell carcinoma: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase III trial.

157. PMID: 27717299; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(22):2154-2164
Niraparib Maintenance Therapy in Platinum-Sensitive, Recurrent Ovarian Cancer.

158. PMID: 31851799; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(25):2416-2428
Olaparib plus Bevacizumab as First-Line Maintenance in Ovarian Cancer.

159. PMID: 31157963; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(4):317-327
Maintenance Olaparib for Germline BRCA-Mutated Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer.

160. PMID: 30345884; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(26):2495-2505
Maintenance Olaparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer.

161. PMID: 28578601; 2017, N Engl J Med;377(6):523-533
Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer in Patients with a Germline BRCA Mutation.

162. PMID: 28754483; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(9):1274-1284
Olaparib tablets as maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed ovarian cancer and a BRCA1/2 mutation (SOLO2/ENGOT-Ov21): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

163. PMID: 27617661; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(11):1579-1589
Overall survival in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent serous ovarian cancer receiving olaparib maintenance monotherapy: an updated analysis from a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, phase 2 trial.

164. PMID: 27959613; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(20):1925-1936
Palbociclib and Letrozole in Advanced Breast Cancer.

165. PMID: 26030518; 2015, N Engl J Med;373(3):209-19
Palbociclib in Hormone-Receptor-Positive Advanced Breast Cancer.

166. PMID: 30110579; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(8):753-763
Talazoparib in Patients with Advanced Breast Cancer and a Germline BRCA Mutation.

ACT Onco® + Report

167. PMID: 17538086; 2007, N Engl J Med;356(22):2271-81
Temsirolimus, interferon alfa, or both for advanced renal-cell carcinoma.