

PATIENT Li, Min-Ling TUMOR TYPE
Soft tissue sarcoma (NOS)
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE 09 Mar 2022 ORDERED TEST # 0RD-1308441-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne[®] Heme is a comprehensive genomic profiling test designed to identify genomic alterations within hundreds of cancer-related genes in hematologic malienancies and sarcomas.

PATIENT

DISEASE Soft tissue sarcoma (NOS)
NAME Li, Min-Ling
DATE OF BIRTH 28 April 1967
SEX Female
MEDICAL RECORD # 45579666

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen

MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital

ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None

MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872

PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN SITE Lung
SPECIMEN ID S110-77326B (PF22021)
SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck
DATE OF COLLECTION 08 September 2021
SPECIMEN RECEIVED 23 February 2022

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

TSC2 deletion exons 4-7 CHD2 rearrangement intron 38 GTSE1 R569C RB1 loss exons 18-27 TP53 C176fs*71

† See About the Test in appendix for details.

Report Highlights

- Targeted therapies with potential clinical benefit approved in another tumor type: Nab-sirolimus (p. 6)
- Evidence-matched clinical trial options based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 7)

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable

Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb

GENOMIC FINDINGS

TSC2 - deletion exons 4-7

10 Trials see p. 7

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)

Nab-sirolimus

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

CHD2 - rearrangement intron 38	р. З	RB1 - loss exons 18-2/	p. 4	•
GTSE1 - R569C	n. 3	TP53 - C176fs*71	p. 5	

none

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA-approved drugs; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type.

Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use

© 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL

RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Reports of MSI in sarcomas in the literature are conflicting and varied due to substantial heterogeneity, lack of consensus on the markers and methods used for MSI assessment, and small sample size in most studies⁶. In a computational analysis of paired tumor and normal sarcomas in the TCGA dataset, of which 40% were leiomyosarcomas and 25% were liposarcomas, only 0.8% (2/255) of samples were MSI-high (MSI-H)7. In smaller studies of soft tissue sarcoma, reports of MSI at any level have been rare, with the highest incidences between 11% (2/18) to 25% (10/40) of cases⁸⁻¹³. In one study, MSI was reported to occur more frequently in high-grade soft tissue sarcomas compared with lower grade¹⁴. However, published data investigating the prognostic implications of MSI in sarcoma are limited (PubMed, Jan 2022).

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹⁵. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH₂, MSH₆, or PMS₂¹⁵⁻¹⁷. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers¹⁸⁻²⁰. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{15,17,19-20}.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 3 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1²¹⁻²³, anti-PD-1 therapies²¹⁻²⁴, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²⁵⁻³⁰. In multiple pan-tumor studies, higher TMB has been reported to be associated with increased ORR and OS from treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors^{21-24,31}. Higher TMB was found to be significantly associated with improved OS upon immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment for patients with 9 types of advanced tumors²¹. Analyses across several solid tumor types reported that patients with higher TMB (defined as ≥16-20 Muts/Mb) achieved greater clinical benefit from

PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting monotherapy, compared with patients with higher TMB treated with chemotherapy³² or those with lower TMB treated with PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting agents²². However, the KEYNOTE 158 trial of pembrolizumab monotherapy for patients with solid tumors found significant improvement in ORR for patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) compared to those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb, in a large cohort that included multiple tumor types; similar findings were observed in the KEYNOTE o28 and o12 trials^{24,31}. Together, these studies suggest that patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb may derive clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Soft tissue sarcomas harbor a median TMB of 2.5 Muts/Mb, with angiosarcoma (13.4%) and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST) (8.2%) having the highest percentage of cases with high TMB (>20 Muts/Mb)³³. Increased mutation burden has been reported in undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcomas as compared to Ewing sarcomas or rhabdomyosarcomas³⁴⁻³⁶. Published data investigating the prognostic implications of TMB

in sarcoma are limited (PubMed, Feb 2022). High TMB was associated with improved PFS and metastasis-free survival in a study of undifferentiated sarcomas³⁷ and with reduced survival in a study of patients with rhabdomyosarcoma³⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma³⁹⁻⁴⁰ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer⁴¹⁻⁴², treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁴³⁻⁴⁴, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁴⁵⁻⁴⁹, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{45,48-49}. This sample harbors a TMB level associated with lower rates of clinical benefit from treatment with PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors compared with patients with tumors harboring higher TMB levels, based on several studies in multiple solid tumor types^{22-23,31}.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

TSC2

ALTERATION deletion exons 4-7

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Loss or inactivation of TSC2 can activate mTOR signaling⁵⁰. MTOR inhibitors such as everolimus, temsirolimus, and sirolimus have shown activity against tumors associated with the genetic disease tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), including subependymal giant cell astrocytomas and renal angiomyolipomas⁵¹⁻⁵⁶. Sirolimus and nabsirolimus have shown activity for patients with TSC2-altered malignant perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PEComas)⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹. Nab-sirolimus has also shown limited activity for patients with TSC2-mutated sarcomas⁶⁰. In the context of TSC2-altered malignancies unrelated to TSC, everolimus and temsirolimus activity has been limited⁶¹⁻⁶³ with the exception of anecdotal reports

across various solid tumors, including anaplastic thyroid cancer⁶⁴, renal cell carcinoma (RCC)⁶⁵⁻⁶⁶, glioblastoma⁶⁷, and CNS embryonal tumor⁶⁸, as well as a case of Hodgkin lymphoma⁶⁹. In the prospective NCI-MATCH study, only 6.7% (1/15) of patients with TSC2-mutated solid tumors responded to everolimus, with the single response reported for 1 patient with uterine leiomyosarcoma⁶¹. Retrospective analyses of the RECORD-3, GRANITE-1, and EVOLVE-1 studies of everolimus to treat patients with RCC, gastric cancer, or hepatocellular carcinoma, respectively, showed no significant association between alterations in MTOR, TSC1, or TSC2 and median PFS70

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TSC2 mutation or homozygous deletion was not observed in any of 207 sarcoma cases in one genomic study⁷¹. TSC2 mutations have been described in chordomas, perivascular epithelioid cell tumors, uterine angiosarcoma, and rhabdoid tumors, among others^{58,72-74}. The mTOR pathway is frequently activated in various sarcomas⁷⁵⁻⁷⁹. Published data investigating the prognostic

implications of TSC2 alterations in sarcomas are limited (PubMed, Jan 2022).

FINDING SUMMARY

The tumor suppressor protein Tuberin (TSC2) binds with Hamartin (TSC1) to inhibit mTOR signaling and cell growth^{50,80}. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt TSC2 function or expression81-83.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Inactivating germline mutations in TSC2 are associated with the autosomal dominant disorder tuberous sclerosis complex, which results in the development of hamartomas in multiple organ systems and an increased risk of developing renal cell carcinoma (RCC)84-86. TSC2 mutations account for approximately 75 to 80% of reported sporadic cases⁸⁷. Prevalence for this disorder in the general population is estimated to be 1/6,000 from birth and 1/12,000 to 1/14,000 in children under 10 years of age⁸⁷. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of TSC2 is recommended.

GENE

CHD2

AITFRATION

rearrangement intron 38

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no therapies available to directly address genomic alterations in CHD2.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Somatic mutations in CHD2 have been reported in 3% of all cancers in COSMIC, most frequently in skin (10.1%), esophagus (9.9%), pancreas (9.9%), liver (9.0%), gastric (8.7%), and prostate (7.7%) cancers (COSMIC, Jan 2022)88. Loss-of-function mutations in CHD2 have been observed in nearly 50% of MSI-high colorectal and gastric cancers89, and differential expression of CHD2 was reported to be associated with colon cancer progression90. Deletion of this gene has also been observed in a Hodgkin lymphoma cell line91. In agreement with these findings, preclinical research has suggested

that CHD2 is a tumor suppressor that plays roles in the DNA damage response⁹².

FINDING SUMMARY

CHD2 encodes chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 2, an ATPase/helicase that alters gene expression by modifying chromatin structure. Germline deletions and mutations in CHD2 are associated with several epilepsy syndromes, including Dravet syndrome and Lennox-Gastaut syndrome⁹³⁻⁹⁵.

GTSE1

ALTERATION R569C

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM 016426

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

1705C>T

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Targeted Therapies —

There are no therapies available to target alterations in GTSE1.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Mutations in this gene have been observed in 1-4% of various solid tumor types (COSMIC, Jun 2021)88. GTSE1 expression correlates with cell migration, invasive potential, and poor prognosis in breast cancer⁹⁶⁻⁹⁷, bladder cancer⁹⁸, and hepatocellular carcinoma⁹⁹⁻¹⁰⁰. However, no correlations were found between GTSE1 expression levels and clinical data in lung cancer¹⁰¹.

FINDING SUMMARY

GTSE1 encodes a microtubule-interacting protein that interacts with p53 in response to DNA damage. Studies investigating the role of GTSE1 in cell invasiveness have generated mixed results.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accurac



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

RB1

ALTERATION loss exons 18-27

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of limited clinical data¹⁰² and strong preclinical data¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵, RB1 inactivation may be associated with sensitivity to inhibitors of Aurora kinase A, particularly in small cell lung cancer. It should be noted that a trial of the Aurora kinase A inhibitor alisertib in advanced prostate cancer did not find an association between RB1 deletion and clinical benefit¹⁰⁶. Other approaches to target RB1 inactivation under investigation in preclinical studies include inhibitors of BCL-2 family members¹⁰⁷ and activation of the NOTCH pathway¹⁰⁸.

Potential Resistance —

Rb inactivation may predict resistance to CDK₄/6 inhibitors such as palbociclib, abemaciclib, and ribociclib, which act upstream of Rb¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹⁸.

Nontargeted Approaches —

Loss of Rb function has been associated with increased sensitivity to cytotoxic agents and chemotherapeutics in both preclinical studies and in patients with bladder or breast cancer¹¹⁹⁻¹²⁰.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

RB1 mutations have been reported in 4.2% of soft tissue tumors analyzed in the COSMIC database (Jul 2021)⁸⁸. Putative homozygous deletion of RB1 was reported in 7.7% of soft tissue sarcomas, most frequently in pleomorphic liposarcoma (25%, 6/24), myxofibrosarcoma (18%, 7/38), and leiomyosarcoma (11%, 3/27)⁷¹. One study reported homozygous deletion of RB1 in 2/36 (5.5%) of undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcomas (previously called malignant fibrous histiocytoma), but loss of RB1 expression in 30/35 (86%), suggesting that loss of RB1 plays a pivotal role in

the pathogenesis of this group of soft tissue sarcomas¹²¹. In one study, decreased Rb protein expression was associated with improved overall survival in patients with soft tissue sarcoma¹²².

FINDING SUMMARY

RB1 encodes the retinoblastoma protein (Rb), a tumor suppressor and negative regulator of the cell cycle^{120,123}. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt RB1 function or expression¹²⁴⁻¹³⁰.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Mutations in RB1 underlie the development of retinoblastoma (RB), a rare tumor that arises at a rate of approximately 1:20,000 live births, with nearly 5,000 new cases worldwide per year¹³¹. Germline mutations in RB1 account for approximately 40% of RB tumors¹³² and are associated with an increased risk of developing secondary malignancies that include soft tissue and bone sarcoma and malignant melanoma¹³³⁻¹³⁴. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of RB1 is recommended.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

TP53

ALTERATION

C176fs*71

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_000546

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

526delT

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no approved therapies to address TP53 mutation or loss. However, tumors with TP53 loss of function alterations may be sensitive to the WEE1 inhibitor adavosertib135-138, or p53 gene therapy and immunotherapeutics such as SGT-53¹³⁹⁻¹⁴³ and ALT-801¹⁴⁴. In a Phase 1 study, adavosertib in combination with gemcitabine, cisplatin, or carboplatin elicited PRs in 9.7% and SDs in 53% of patients with solid tumors; the response rate was 21% (4/19) for patients with TP53 mutations versus 12% (4/33) for patients who were TP53 wildtype145. A Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with chemotherapy (gemcitabine, carboplatin, paclitaxel, or doxorubicin) reported a 32% (30/94, 3 CR) ORR and a 73% (69/94) DCR for patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian, Fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer¹⁴⁶. A smaller Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with carboplatin achieved a 43% (9/21, 1 CR) ORR and a 76% (16/21) DCR for patients with platinumrefractory TP53-mutated ovarian cancer¹⁴⁷. The combination of adavosertib with paclitaxel and carboplatin for patients with TP53-mutated ovarian cancer also significantly increased PFS compared with paclitaxel and carboplatin alone $^{148}. \\$ In the Phase 2 VIKTORY trial, patients with

TP53-mutated metastatic and/or recurrent gastric cancer experienced a 24% (6/25) ORR with adavosertib combined with paclitaxel¹⁴⁹. A Phase 1 trial of neoadjuvant adavosertib in combination with cisplatin and docetaxel for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) elicited a 71% (5/7) response rate for patients with TP53 alterations¹⁵⁰. The Phase 2 FOCUS₄-C trial for patients with TP53- and RAS-mutated colorectal cancer reported improvement in PFS (3.61 vs. 1.87 months, HR=0.35, p=0.0022), but not OS (14.0 vs 12.8 months, p=0.93), following adavosertib treatment compared with active monitoring¹⁵¹. In a Phase 1b clinical trial of SGT-53 in combination with docetaxel for patients with solid tumors, 75% (9/12) of evaluable patients experienced clinical benefit, including 2 confirmed and 1 unconfirmed PRs and 2 instances of SD with significant tumor shrinkage¹⁴³. ATR inhibitor treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cells with biallelic inactivation of TP53 suppressed cell viability, promoted DNA damage, and attenuated xenograft growth in preclinical studies¹⁵²⁻¹⁵³; however, ATR inhibitors as monotherapy had little effect on these parameters in solid tumor models in other preclinical studies¹⁵⁴⁻¹⁵⁵. Therefore, it is unclear whether TP53 inactivation predicts sensitivity to ATR inhibition.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TP53 mutations and homozygous deletion have been observed in 33% and 10% of sarcoma samples in the TCGA dataset, respectively (cBioPortal, Feb 2022)156-157. TP53 alterations appear to lead to chromosomal instability and drive oncogenesis in soft tissue sarcomas¹⁵⁸. One study of soft tissue sarcomas reported that TP53 non-frameshift mutations correlated with poor prognosis, including lymph node metastasis, increased rate of relapse, and decreased overall survival¹⁵⁹.

FINDING SUMMARY

Functional loss of the tumor suppressor p53, which is encoded by the TP53 gene, is common in aggressive advanced cancers160. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt TP53 function or expression161-165.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline mutations in TP53 are associated with the very rare autosomal dominant disorder Li-Fraumeni syndrome and the early onset of many cancers¹⁶⁶⁻¹⁶⁸, including sarcomas¹⁶⁹⁻¹⁷⁰. Estimates for the prevalence of germline TP53 mutations in the general population range from 1:5,000¹⁷¹ to 1:20,000¹⁷⁰. For pathogenic TP53 mutations identified during tumor sequencing, the rate of germline mutations was 1% in the overall population and 6% in tumors arising before age 30172. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of TP53 is recommended.

POTENTIAL CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS IMPLICATIONS

Variants seen in this gene have been reported to occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion173-178. CH in this gene has been associated with increased mortality, risk of coronary heart disease, risk of ischemic stroke, and risk of secondary hematologic malignancy¹⁷³⁻¹⁷⁴. Clinical management of patients with CH in this gene may include monitoring for hematologic changes and reduction of controllable risk factors for cardiovascular disease¹⁷⁹. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH177,180-181. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy



THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Nab-sirolimus

Assay findings association

TSC2 deletion exons 4-7

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Nab-sirolimus is an intravenous nanoparticle albuminbound mTOR inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat adult patients with advanced or metastatic perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PEComas). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Based on a prospective study that showed a higher ORR for patients with TSC2-altered PEComas treated with nab-sirolimus than for those with neither TSC1- nor TSC2-altered disease⁵⁹ and additional responses reported for individual patients with TSC2-altered endometrial stromal sarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, high grade sarcoma (NOS), or lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM)⁶⁰, TSC2 mutation or loss may predict sensitivity to nab-sirolimus.

SUPPORTING DATA

Nab-sirolimus has been primarily investigated for the treatment of malignant PEComa but is also being explored to treat TSC2- or TSC1-altered solid tumors. The Phase 2 AMPECT trial of nab-sirolimus for patients with malignant PEComa prospectively showed a significantly higher ORR for patients with TSC2-altered PEComa relative to those lacking TSC2 alterations (89%; 8/9 vs. 13%; 2/16, p<0.001)⁵⁹. In an expanded access program, 50% (3/6) of patients with TSC2-mutated malignant PEComa that had previously progressed on rapalogs, including everolimus, temsirolimus, and sirolimus, exhibited a PR when treated with nab-sirolimus 182. Outside of malignant PEComa, PRs were reported for individual patients with TSC2-altered endometrial stromal sarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, high-grade sarcoma, or lymphangioleiomyomatosis treated with nab-sirolimus60.

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial \Rightarrow Geographical proximity \Rightarrow Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

TSC2

RATIONALE

Inactivating TSC2 alterations may lead to increased mTOR activation and predict sensitivity

to mTOR inhibitors.

ALTERATION
deletion exons 4-7

Efficacy and Safety of Targeted Precision Therapy in Refractory Tumor With Druggable Molecular Event TARGETS EGFR, ERBB4, ERBB2, PARP, mTOR, MET, ROS1, RET, VEGFRS, BRAF, CDK4, CDK6	NCT03239015	PHASE 2
CDICO	Event	EGFR, ERBB4, ERBB2, PARP, mTOR, MET, ROS1, RET, VEGFRs, BRAF, CDK4, CDK6

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China)

LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)

NCT04337463	PHASE NULL
ATG-008 Combined With Toripalimab in Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTORC1, mTORC2, PD-1
LOCATIONS: Chongqing (China), Chengdu (China)	

NCT04803318	PHASE 2
Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTOR, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRs, KIT, MEK

NCT03297606	PHASE 2
Canadian Profiling and Targeted Agent Utilization Trial (CAPTUR)	TARGETS VEGFRS, ABL, SRC, ALK, ROS1, AXL, TRKA, MET, TRKC, DDR2, KIT, EGFR, PD-1, CTLA-4, PARP, CDK4, CDK6, FLT3, CSF1R, RET, mTOR, ERBB2, MEK, BRAF, SMO

LOCATIONS: Vancouver (Canada), Edmonton (Canada), Saskatoon (Canada), Regina (Canada), Ottawa (Canada), Montreal (Canada), Toronto (Canada), Kingston (Canada), London (Canada)

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT03660930	PHASE 1/2		
Nanoparticle Albumin-Bound Rapamycin and Pazopanib Hydrochloride in Patients With Nonadipocytic Soft Tissue Sarcomas	TARGETS mTOR, FGFR3, KIT, FGFR1, VEGFRs, FGFR2		
LOCATIONS: Washington			
NCT02693535	PHASE 2		
TAPUR: Testing the Use of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Approved Drugs That Target a Specific Abnormality in a Tumor Gene in People With Advanced Stage Cancer	TARGETS VEGFRS, ABL, SRC, ALK, ROS1, AXL, TRKA, MET, TRKC, CDK4, CDK6, FLT3, CSF1R, KIT, RET, mTOR, EGFR, ERBB2, MEK, BRAF, SMO, DDR2, PARP, PD-1, CTLA-4, ERBB4		
LOCATIONS: Hawaii, Washington, Oregon, California			
NCTO4185831	PHASE 2		
A MolEcularly Guided Anti-Cancer Drug Off-Label Trial	TARGETS PD-L1, MEK, mTOR		
LOCATIONS: Uppsala (Sweden), Gothenburg (Sweden)			
NCT03778996	PHASE 2		
SM-88 as Maintenance Therapy for Advanced Ewing's Sarcoma Patients and as Salvage Therapy for Sarcoma Patients	TARGETS mTOR		
LOCATIONS: California			
NCT02584647	PHASE 1/2		
PLX3397 Plus Sirolimus in Unresectable Sarcoma and Malignant Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumors	TARGETS mTOR, CSF1R, KIT, FLT3		
LOCATIONS: Iowa, Michigan, Missouri, New York			
NCT03065062	PHASE 1		
Study of the CDK4/6 Inhibitor Palbociclib (PD-0332991) in Combination With the PI3K/mTOR Inhibitor Gedatolisib (PF-05212384) for Patients With Advanced Squamous Cell Lung, Pancreatic, Head & Neck and Other Solid Tumors	TARGETS PI3K-alpha, PI3K-gamma, mTORC1, mTORC2, CDK4, CDK6		

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



TUMOR TYPE
Soft tissue sarcoma (NOS)

REPORT DATE 09 Mar 2022

FOUNDATIONONE®HEME

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1308441-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future. Please note that some VUS rearrangements between targeted genes and unknown fusion partners or intergenic regions detected by RNA sequencing may not be reported.

BRCA2	CARD11	DAXX	FANCA
D293E	T532M	E457del	K1297N
FBXO11 Q29_P32del	GPR124	JAK3	P2RY8
	K1288del	R222H	M16K
PCLO V71A	SETBP1 Y994*		

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

AAAFD1 (FAAA122D aw IA/TV)

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1308441-01

APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®Heme

FoundationOne Heme is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human hematologic malignancies and sarcomas that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay utilizes DNA sequencing to interrogate 406 genes as well as selected introns of 31 genes involved in rearrangements, in addition to RNA sequencing of 265 genes. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

HEMATOLOGICAL MALIGNANCY DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

ABL1	ACTB	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	AMER1 (FAM123B o	r WTX)	APC
APH1A	AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARHGAP26 (GRAF)		ARID1A	ARID2	ASMTL
ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	B2M
BAP1	BARD1	BCL10	BCL11B	BCL2	BCL2L2	BCL6	BCL7A	BCOR
BCORL1	BIRC3	BLM	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BRSK1
BTG2	BTK	BTLA	C11orf30 (EMSY)	CAD	CALR*	CARD11	CBFB	CBL
CCND1	CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	ССТ6В	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD36	CD58
CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8
CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B	CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHD2	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC
CIITA	CKS1B	CPS1	CREBBP	CRKL	CRLF2	CSF1R	CSF3R	CTCF
CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUX1	CXCR4	DAXX	DDR2	DDX3X	DNM2	DNMT3A
DOT1L	DTX1	DUSP2	DUSP9	EBF1	ECT2L	EED	EGFR	ELP2
EP300	ЕРНАЗ	EPHA5	EPHA7	EPHB1	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERG
ESR1	ETS1	ETV6	EXOSC6	EZH2	FAF1	FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC
FANCD2	FANCE	FANCF	FANCG	FANCL	FAS (TNFRSF6)	FBXO11	FBXO31	FBXW7
FGF10	FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2
FGFR3	FGFR4	FHIT	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FLT4	FLYWCH1	FOXL2
FOXO1	FOXO3	FOXP1	FRS2	GADD45B	GATA1	GATA2	GATA3	GID4 (C17orf39)
GNA11	GNA12	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GPR124	GRIN2A	GSK3B	GTSE1
HDAC1	HDAC4	HDAC7	HGF	HIST1H1C	HIST1H1D	HIST1H1E	HIST1H2AC	HIST1H2AG
HIST1H2AL	HIST1H2AM	HIST1H2BC	HIST1H2BJ	HIST1H2BK	HIST1H2BO	HIST1H3B	HNF1A	HRAS
HSP90AA1	ICK	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R	IKBKE	IKZF1	IKZF2
IKZF3	IL7R	INHBA	INPP4B	INPP5D (SHIP)	IRF1	IRF4	IRF8	IRS2
JAK1	JAK2	JAK3	JARID2	JUN	KAT6A (MYST3)	KDM2B	KDM4C	KDM5A
KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KIT	KLHL6	KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2C (MLL3)	KMT2D (MLL2)
KRAS	LEF1	LRP1B	LRRK2	MAF	MAFB	MAGED1	MALT1	MAP2K1
MAP2K2	MAP2K4	MAP3K1	MAP3K14	MAP3K6	MAP3K7	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2
MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEF2C	MEN1	MET	MIB1	MITF	MKI67
MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3	MSH6	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC
MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88	MYO18A	NCOR2	NCSTN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2
NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOD1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NPM1	NRAS	NT5C2	NTRK1
NTRK2	NTRK3	NUP93	NUP98	P2RY8	PAG1	PAK3	PALB2	PASK
PAX5	PBRM1	PC	PCBP1	PCLO	PDCD1	PDCD11	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA
PDGFRB	PDK1	PHF6	PIK3CA	PIK3CG	PIK3R1	PIK3R2	PIM1	PLCG2
POT1	PPP2R1A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKDC	PRSS8	PTCH1	PTEN	PTPN11
PTPN2	PTPN6 (SHP-1)	PTPRO	RAD21	RAD50	RAD51	RAF1	RARA	RASGEF1A
RB1	RELN	RET	RHOA	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	RUNX1
S1PR2	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SERP2	SETBP1	SETD2	SF3B1
SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA1	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMC1A	SMC3	SMO
SOCS1	SOCS2	SOCS3	SOX10	SOX2	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	SRSF2
STAG2	STAT3	STAT4	STAT5A	STAT5B	STAT6	STK11	SUFU	SUZ12
TAF1	TBL1XR1	TCF3 (E2A)	TCL1A (TCL1)	TET2	TGFBR2	TLL2	TMEM30A	
TMSB4XP8 (TMSL3	2)	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF11A	TNFRSF14	TNFRSF17	TOP1	TP53	TP63
TRAF2	TRAF3	TRAF5	TSC1	TSC2	TSHR	TUSC3	TYK2	U2AF1
U2AF2	VHL	WDR90	WHSC1 (MMSET or	NSD2)	WISP3	WT1	XBP1	XPO1
YY1AP1	ZMYM3	ZNF217	ZNF24 (ZSCAN3)	ZNF703	ZRSR2			

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®Heme

*Note: the assay	was updated on 11/	/8/2016 to include t	he detection of al	terations in CALR				
HEMATOLOGI	CAL MALIGNANC	Y DNA GENE LIST	: FOR THE DET	ECTION OF SELECT	REARRANGEM	ENTS		
ALK	BCL2	BCL6	BCR	BRAF	CCND1	CRLF2	EGFR	EPOR
ETV1	ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	FGFR2	IGH	IGK	IGL
JAK1	JAK2	KMT2A (MLL)	MYC	NTRK1	PDGFRA	PDGFRB	RAF1	RARA
RET	ROS1	TMPRSS2	TRG					
HEMATOLOGI	CAL MALIGNANC	Y RNA GENE LIST	FOR THE DET	ECTION OF SELECT	REARRANGEM	ENTS*		
ABI1	ABL1	ABL2	ACSL6	AFF1	AFF4	ALK	ARHGAP26 (GRA	AF)
ARHGEF12	ARID1A	ARNT	ASXL1	ATF1	ATG5	ATIC	BCL10	BCL11A
BCL11B	BCL2	BCL3	BCL6	BCL7A	BCL9	BCOR	BCR	BIRC3
BRAF	BTG1	CAMTA1	CARS	CBFA2T3	CBFB	CBL	CCND1	CCND2
CCND3	CD274 (PD-L1)	CDK6	CDX2	CHIC2	CHN1	CIC	CIITA	CLP1
CLTC	CLTCL1	CNTRL (CEP110)	COL1A1	CREB3L1	CREB3L2	CREBBP	CRLF2	CSF1
CTNNB1	DDIT3	DDX10	DDX6	DEK	DUSP22	EGFR	EIF4A2	ELF4
ELL	ELN	EML4	EP300	EPOR	EPS15	ERBB2	ERG	ETS1
ETV1	ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	FCGR2B	FCRL4	FEV	FGFR1
FGFR1OP	FGFR2	FGFR3	FLI1	FNBP1	FOXO1	FOXO3	FOXO4	FOXP1
FSTL3	FUS	GAS7	GLI1	GMPS	GPHN	HERPUD1	HEY1	HIP1
HIST1H4I	HLF	HMGA1	HMGA2	HOXA11	HOXA13	HOXA3	HOXA9	HOXC11
HOXC13	HOXD11	HOXD13	HSP90AA1	HSP90AB1	IGH	IGK	IGL	IKZF1
IL21R	IL3	IRF4	ITK	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3	JAZF1	KAT6A (MYST3)
KDSR	KIF5B	KMT2A (MLL)	LASP1	LCP1	LMO1	LMO2	LPP	LYL1
MAF	MAFB	MALT1	MDS2	MECOM	MKL1	MLF1	MLLT1 (ENL)	MLLT10 (AF10)
MLLT3	MLLT4 (AF6)	MLLT6	MN1	MNX1	MSI2	MSN	MUC1	MYB
MYC	MYH11	МҮН9	NACA	NBEAP1 (BCL8)	NCOA2	NDRG1	NF1	NF2
NFKB2	NIN	NOTCH1	NPM1	NR4A3	NSD1	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3
NUMA1	NUP214	NUP98	NUTM2A	OMD	P2RY8	PAFAH1B2	PAX3	PAX5
PAX7	PBX1	PCM1	PCSK7	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDE4DIP	PDGFB	PDGFRA	PDGFRB
PER1	PHF1	PICALM	PIM1	PLAG1	PML	POU2AF1	PPP1CB	PRDM1
PRDM16	PRRX1	PSIP1	PTCH1	PTK7	RABEP1	RAF1	RALGDS	RAP1GDS1
RARA	RBM15	RET	RHOH	RNF213	ROS1	RPL22	RPN1	RUNX1
RUNX1T1 (ETO)	RUNX2	SEC31A	SEPT5	SEPT6	SEPT9	SET	SH3GL1	SLC1A2
SNX29 (RUNDC2	PA) SRSF3	SS18	SSX1	SSX2	SSX4	STAT6	STL	SYK
TAF15	TAL1	TAL2	TBL1XR1	TCF3 (E2A)	TCL1A (TCL1)	TEC	TET1	TFE3
TFG	TFPT	TFRC	TLX1	TLX3	TMPRSS2	TNFRSF11A	TOP1	TP63

^{*}Note: some VUS rearrangements between targeted genes and unknown fusion partners or intergenic regions detected by RNA sequencing may not be reported.

ZNF384

TTL

TYK2

ZNF521

USP6

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

TRIM24

ZBTB16

TRIP11

ZMYM2

Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

TPM4

YPEL5

ТРМ3

WHSC1L1

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

WHSC1 (MMSET or NSD2)



APPENDIX

Performance Specifications

The median exon coverage for this sample is 801x

ACCURACY		
Sensitivity: Base Substitutions	At ≥5% Minor Allele Frequency	>99.0%
Sensitivity: Insertions/Deletions (1-40bp)	At ≥10% Minor Allele Frequency	98.0%
Sensitivity: Focal Copy Number Alterations (Homozygous Deletions or Amplifications)	At ≥8 copies	>95.0%
Sensitivity: Microsatellite Instability-High (MSI-H) status	Positive Predictive Agreement (PPA)	100.0% (87.54%-100.00%)*
Sensitivity: Microsatellite Stable (MSS) status	Positive Predictive Agreement (PPA)	89.66% (81.50%, 94.46%)*
Sensitivity: Known Gene Fusions	>95.0%	
Specificity: Base Substitutions, Insertions/Deletions, and Focal Copy Number Alterations	Positive Predictive Value (PPV)	>99.0%
Specificity: Known Gene Fusions	Positive Predictive Value (PPV)	>95.0%
Specificity: Microsatellite Instability-High (MSI-H) status	Negative Predictive Agreement (NPA)	97.44% (91.12%-99.29%)*
Specificity: Microsatellite Stable (MSS) status	Negative Predictive Agreement (NPA)	94.44% (86.57%, 97.82%)*
Accuracy: Tumor Mutation Burden	At ≥20% tumor nuclei	>90.0%
Reproducibility (average concordance between replicates)	97.0% inter-batch precision 97.0% intra-batch precision 95.0% microsatellite status precision 96.0% tumor mutation burden precision	

^{*95%} Confidence Interval

Assay specifications were determined for typical median exon coverage of approximately 500X. For additional information regarding the validation of FoundationOne®Heme, please refer to the article He, J. et al. Integrated genomic DNA/RNA profiling of hematologic malignancies in the clinical setting, Blood (2016 Jun. 16).

In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the FoundationOne Heme assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator

assay using a pan-tumor sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.

Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB) is determined by measuring the number of somatic mutations in sequenced genes on the FoundationOne Heme test and extrapolating to the genome as a whole. TMB is assayed for all FoundationOne Heme samples and is reported as the number of mutations per megabase (Muts/Mb). Tumor Mutational Burden is reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine Tumor Mutational Burden.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®Heme

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE HEME

FoundationOne®Heme is a comprehensive genomic profiling test for hematologic malignancies and sarcomas. The test is designed to provide physicians with clinically actionable information to help with diagnostic sub-classification, prognosis assessment, and targeted therapeutic selection. Test results provide information about clinically significant alterations, potential targeted therapies, available clinical trials, and quantitative markers that may support immunotherapy clinical trial enrollment. FoundationOne Heme is analytically validated to detect all classes of genomic alterations in more than 400 cancer-related genes. In addition to DNA sequencing, FoundationOne Heme employs RNA sequencing across 265 genes to capture a broad range of gene fusions, common drivers of hematologic malignancies and sarcomas.

FoundationOne Heme was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne Heme has not been cleared or approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The FDA has determined that such clearance or approval is not necessary. FoundationOne Heme may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratory is certified under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA) as qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance
FoundationOne Heme identifies alterations to select
cancer-associated genes or portions of genes
(biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also
highlights selected negative test results regarding
biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls

(Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that FoundationOne Heme data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne Heme for identifying a copy number amplification is five (5) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that FoundationOne Heme data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that FoundationOne Heme analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK* (NCCN*) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information. age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source

or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne Heme.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. These include: subclonal alterations in heterogeneous samples, low sample quality or with homozygous losses of <3 exons; and deletions and insertions >40bp, or in repetitive/high homology sequences. FoundationOne Heme is performed using DNA and RNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

The following targets typically have low coverage resulting in a reduction in sensitivity: SDHD exon 4, TNFRSF11A exon1, and TP53 exon 1.

FoundationOne Heme fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®Heme



REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating physician.

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 20165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2),

MPL, MYD88, SF₃B₁, TET₂, and U₂AF₁ and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
ТКІ	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 6.0.0

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

APPENDIX

References

- Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 6. Monument MJ, et al. ISRN Oncol (2012) pmid: 23401795
- 7. Bonneville R, et al. JCO Precis Oncol (2017) pmid: 29850653
- 8. Wooster R, et al. Nat. Genet. (1994) pmid: 8162069
- 9. Kawaguchi K, et al. Oncol. Rep. (2005) pmid: 15643505
- 10. Saito T, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2003) pmid: 14562278
- 11. Suwa K. et al. J Orthop Sci (1999) pmid: 14302276
- 12. Garcia JJ, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2006) pmid: 16619000
- **13.** Aue G, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (1998) pmid: 9689926
- Rucińska M, et al. Med. Sci. Monit. (2005) pmid: 15668629
- 15. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 16. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 18. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 19. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 20. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 21. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- 22. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- 23. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 24. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 25. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- **26.** Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 27. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 28. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 29. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721
- Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
 Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32919526
- 32. Legrand et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 12000
- **33.** Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 28420421
- **34.** Lim J, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 26330427
- **35.** Brohl AS, et al. PLoS Genet. (2014) pmid: 25010205
- **36.** Chen X, et al. Cancer Cell (2013) pmid: 24332040
- **37.** Steele CD, et al. Cancer Cell (2019) pmid: 30889380
- **38.** Casey DL, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2020) pmid: 31699828
- **39.** Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- 40. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 41. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- 42. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 43. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 2433657044. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- **46.** Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- **47.** Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- 48. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- **50.** Tee AR, et al. Curr. Biol. (2003) pmid: 12906785

- Kwiatkowski DJ, et al. Eur J Hum Genet (2015) pmid: 25782670
- 52. Luo C, et al. Orphanet J Rare Dis (2021) pmid: 34217357
- **53.** Wang T, et al. Cancer Biol Ther (2020) pmid: 31597506
- 54. Guo G, et al. Front Oncol (2020) pmid: 3357521755. Espinosa M, et al. BMC Cancer (2018) pmid: 29764404
- 56. Chuang CK, et al. Int Urol Nephrol (2017) pmid: 28547571
- 57. Wagner AJ, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20048174
- 58. Dickson MA, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2013) pmid: 22927055
- 59. Wagner AJ, et al. J Clin Oncol (2021) pmid: 34637337
- 60. Dickson et al., 2021; ASCO Abstract 3111
- **61.** Adib E, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2021) pmid: 33727259
- **62.** Nassar AH, et al. Mol Cancer Ther (2020) pmid: 31653662
- 63. De S, et al. Gegenbaurs Morphol Jahrb (1986) pmid: 3032730
- **64.** Wagle N, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25295501
- 65. Tannir NM, et al. Eur. Urol. (2016) pmid: 26626617
- 66. Maroto P, et al. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2018) pmid: 29632054
- 67. Zureick AH, et al. BMJ Case Rep (2019) pmid: 31154346
- **68.** Hu W, et al. Front Oncol (2020) pmid: 33344249
- **69.** Perini GF, et al. Blood Cancer J (2016) pmid: 27176796
- 70. Voss MH, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 30327302
- 71. Barretina J, et al. Nat. Genet. (2010) pmid: 20601955
- Lee-Jones L, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2004) pmid: 15236319
- 73. Hayashi T, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2012) pmid: 22748302
- **74.** Lee RS, et al. J. Clin. Invest. (2012) pmid: 22797305
- **75.** Ando K, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2013) pmid: 24216993
- Zenali MJ, et al. Ann. Clin. Lab. Sci. (2009) pmid: 19429803
- 77. Zhang YX, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23714727
- 78. Brewer Savannah KJ, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22821997
- 79. Curr Oncol Rep (2013) pmid: 23605780
- 80. Inoki K, et al. Genes Dev. (2003) pmid: 12869586
- 81. Hodges AK, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2001) pmid: 11741833
- 82. Int. J. Cancer (2006) pmid: 16206276
- 83. Li Y, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2004) pmid: 15340059
- 84. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. (1991) pmid: 2039135
- 85. Kandt RS, et al. Nat. Genet. (1992) pmid: 1303246
- 86. Cell (1993) pmid: 8269512
- 87. Curatolo P, et al. Lancet (2008) pmid: 18722871
- 88. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878
- **89.** Kim MS, et al. Histopathology (2011) pmid: 21447119
- **90.** Bandrés E, et al. Oncol. Rep. (2007) pmid: 17390049
- 91. Feys T, et al. Haematologica (2007) pmid: 17606441
- Nagarajan P, et al. Oncogene (2009) pmid: 19137022
 Capelli LP, et al. Eur J Med Genet (2012) pmid: 22178256
- 94. Suls A, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2013) pmid: 24207121
- 95. Lund C, et al. Epilepsy Behav (2014) pmid: 24614520
- Scolz M, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 23236459
 Pérez-Peña J, et al. Oncotarget (2017) pmid: 28423514
- 98. Liu A, et al. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. (2019) pmid:
- 99. Wu X, et al. Sci Rep (2017) pmid: 28698581
- 100. Guo L, et al. Cell Biol. Toxicol. (2016) pmid: 27240802101. Tian T, et al. Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev. (2011) pmid: 22292647
- 102. Owonikoko et al., 2016; ESMO Abstract 14230
- 103. Hook KE, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) pmid: 22222631
- **104.** Gong X, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 30373917
- **105.** Oser MG, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 30373918

- **106.** Beltran H, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 30232224
- Allaman-Pillet N, et al. Ophthalmic Genet. () pmid: 21955141
- 108. Viatour P, et al. J. Exp. Med. (2011) pmid: 21875955
- 109. Condorelli R, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29236940
- 110. Fry DW, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2004) pmid: 15542782
- 111. Dean JL, et al. Oncogene (2010) pmid: 20473330
- 112. Dean JL, et al. Cell Cycle (2012) pmid: 22767154
- 113. Garnett MJ, et al. Nature (2012) pmid: 22460902
- 114. Roberts PJ, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2012) pmid: 22302033
- 115. Patnaik A, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27217383
- 116. O'Leary B. et al. Cancer Discoy (2018) pmid: 30206110
- 117. Costa C, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 31594766
- 118. Chen SH, et al. Oncogene (2018) pmid: 29059158
- 119. Derenzini M, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18381962
- **120.** Knudsen ES, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2008) pmid: 19143056
- 19143030
- 121. Chibon F, et al. Cancer Res. (2000) pmid: 11103795122. Shim BY, et al. Cancer Res Treat (2010) pmid: 20948919
- 123. Burkhart DL, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2008) pmid:
- 124. Berge EO, et al. Mol. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20594292
- 125. Giacinti C, et al. Oncogene (2006) pmid: 16936740
- 126. Otterson GA, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1997) pmid: 9342358
- 127. Otterson GA, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (1999) pmid: 10486322
- 128. Oin XO. et al. Genes Dev. (1992) pmid: 1534305
- 128. Qin XQ, et al. Genes Dev. (1992) pmid: 15343: 129. Rubin SM, et al. Cell (2005) pmid: 16360038
- 130. Sun H, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2006) pmid: 16449662
- 131. Chen Z, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2014) pmid: 24282159
- 132. Yun J, et al. Int J Ophthalmol (2011) pmid: 22553621
- 133. Houston SK, et al. Int Ophthalmol Clin (2011) pmid: 21139478
- **134.** Ng AK, et al. Semin Radiat Oncol (2010) pmid: 19959033
- 135. Hirai H, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2010) pmid: 20107315
- 136. Bridges KA, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21799033
- 137. Rajeshkumar NV, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21389100
- 138. Osman AA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2015) pmid: 25504633
- 139. Xu L, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2002) pmid: 12489850
- **140.** Xu L, et al. Mol. Med. (2001) pmid: 11713371
- 141. Camp ER, et al. Cancer Gene Ther. (2013) pmid: 23470564
- 142. Kim SS, et al. Nanomedicine (2015) pmid: 25240597
- 143. Pirollo KF, et al. Mol. Ther. (2016) pmid: 252405:
- 144. Hajdenberg et al., 2012; ASCO Abstract e15010
- 145. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27601554
- 146. Moore et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 5513147. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27998224
- 148. Oza et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 5506
- **149.** Lee J, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 31315834 **150.** Méndez E, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid:
- 29535125 **151.** Seligmann JF, et al. J Clin Oncol (2021) pmid: 34538072
- **152.** Kwok M, et al. Blood (2016) pmid: 26563132
- 153. Boudny M, et al. Haematologica (2019) pmid: 30975914 154. Dillon MT, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid:
- 28062704

 155. Middleton FK, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2018) pmid:

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

APPENDIX

References

- 156. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588877
- **157.** Gao J, et al. Sci Signal (2013) pmid: 23550210
- 158. Pérot G, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2010) pmid: 20884963
- **159.** Taubert H, et al. Cancer Res. (1996) pmid: 8797580
- **160.** Brown CJ, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) pmid: 19935675
- **161.** Joerger AC, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2008) pmid: 18410249
- **162.** Kato S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) pmid: 12826609
- 163. Kamada R, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2011) pmid: 20978130
- **164.** Zerdoumi Y, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28472496
- 165. Yamada H, et al. Carcinogenesis (2007) pmid: 17690113
- **166.** Bougeard G, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 26014290
- **167.** Sorrell AD, et al. Mol Diagn Ther (2013) pmid: 23355100
- **168.** Nichols KE, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2001) pmid: 11219776
- **169.** Kleihues P, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (1997) pmid: 9006316
- 170. Gonzalez KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19204208
- 171. Lalloo F, et al. Lancet (2003) pmid: 12672316
- 172. Mandelker D, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31050713
- 173. Jaiswal S, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426837
- 174. Genovese G, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid:

- 25426838
- 175. Xie M, et al. Nat. Med. (2014) pmid: 25326804
- **176.** Acuna-Hidalgo R, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28669404
- 177. Severson EA, et al. Blood (2018) pmid: 29678827
- 178. Fuster JJ, et al. Circ. Res. (2018) pmid: 29420212
- 179. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program (2018) pmid: 30504320
- 180. Chabon JJ, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32269342
- 181. Razavi P, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 31768066
- 182. Dickson et al., 2021; CTOS Abstract 1818755

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.