

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Brain glioblastoma (GBM)
NAME Hung, Wen-Lung
DATE OF BIRTH 19 January 1959
SEX Male
MEDICAL RECORD # 47672833

PHYSICIAN

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Hsu, Pin-Chuan
MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN

SPECIMEN SITE Brain
SPECIMEN ID S110-30310 A
SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck
DATE OF COLLECTION 12 October 2021
SPECIMEN RECEIVED 08 November 2021

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

EGFR R108K - subclonal, R222C, A289T, amplification, EGFRvIII[†]
PIK3CA G118D
CDKN2A/B CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss
MTAP loss exons 7-8
TERT promoter -124C>T

2 Disease relevant genes with no reportable alterations: IDH1, PDGFRA

[†] See About the Test in appendix for details.

6 Therapies with Clinical Benefit
0 Therapies with Resistance

19 Clinical Trials

REPORT UPDATES

Corrected Report 18-Nov-2021

This Corrected Report has been issued to update PHYSICIAN NAME from "Yeh, Yi-Chen" to "Hsu, Pin-Chuan".

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable

Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb

GENOMIC FINDINGS

EGFR - R108K - subclonal, R222C, A289T, amplification, EGFRvIII

10 Trials see p. 12

PIK3CA - G118D

10 Trials see p. 14

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)

none

none

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)

Cetuximab
Erlotinib
Gefitinib
Panitumumab
Everolimus
Temsirrolimus

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

CDKN2A/B - CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss **p. 6** **TERT - promoter -124C>T** **p. 8**
MTAP - loss exons 7-8 **p. 7**

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT

MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and

experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, $p=0.001$)⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Low-level MSI has been reported in 5-9% of glioblastoma (GBM) samples⁶⁻⁸. A large-scale study did not find high-level microsatellite instability (MSI-H) in any of 129 GBM samples⁶, although a small-scale study reported MSI-H in 4 of 15 pediatric GBMs and 1 of 12 adult GBMs⁹. The frequency of MSI has been reported to be increased in relapsed compared to primary GBM⁶, in GBMs with a previous lower grade astrocytoma⁷, and in giant cell GBM compared to classic GBM⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹⁰. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2¹⁰⁻¹². This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers¹³⁻¹⁵. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{10,12,14-15}.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT

3 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1¹⁶⁻¹⁸, anti-PD-1 therapies¹⁶⁻¹⁹, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²⁰⁻²⁵. In glioma, a lack of association between TMB and clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors has been reported^{16,26-27}. However, multiple case studies have reported that patients with ultramutated gliomas driven by POLE

mutations have benefited from treatment with anti-PD-1²⁸⁻²⁹ or anti-PD-L1³⁰ therapies. Therefore, although increased TMB alone may not be a strong biomarker for PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors in this cancer type, these agents may have efficacy for patients with glioma harboring both high TMB and POLE mutation.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Glioblastoma (GBM) harbors a median TMB of 2.7 mutations per megabase (mut/Mb), and 4.2% of cases have high TMB (>20 mut/Mb)³¹. For pediatric patients, high TMB has been reported in a subset of high-grade gliomas, frequently in association with mutations in mismatch repair or proofreading genes and in TP53, whereas BRAF alterations or other oncogene fusions were observed more frequently in brain tumors harboring low TMB³²⁻³³. Increased TMB has been reported to correlate with higher tumor grade in glioma³⁴ and glioblastoma (GBM) tissue samples with biallelic mismatch repair deficiency

(bMMRD)²⁸, as well as with shorter OS of patients with diffuse glioma³⁵.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma³⁶⁻³⁷ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer³⁸⁻³⁹, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁴⁰⁻⁴¹, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁴²⁻⁴⁶, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{42,45-46}. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents^{16,26-30}.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

EGFR

ALTERATION

R108K - subclonal, R222C, A289T, amplification, EGFRvIII

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_005228, NM_005228, NM_005228

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

323G>A, 664C>T, 865G>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

0.91%, 23.0%, 18.9%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

In multiple glioblastoma (GBM) studies, the presence of EGFRvIII has not predicted clinical benefit from first-generation EGFR TKIs such as erlotinib⁴⁷⁻⁵² or gefitinib^{50,53}. However, case reports have described patients with EGFRvIII-positive GBM responding to erlotinib⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷. In a retrospective study of patients with GBM treated with erlotinib or gefitinib, co-expression of EGFRvIII with PTEN protein was the strongest predictor of response ($P < 0.001$)⁵⁸, suggesting that activity in this setting is dependent on PTEN status⁵⁹⁻⁶⁰. However, a prospective Phase 2 trial testing erlotinib monotherapy for patients with EGFRvIII and PTEN-positive recurrent glioblastoma reported minimal efficacy and was terminated⁵². The second-generation EGFR TKIs afatinib and dacomitinib have shown minimal efficacy for patients with EGFRvIII glioblastoma (GBM)⁶¹⁻⁶⁴. A Phase 1/2 study of afatinib, temozolomide, or the combination for patients with GBM reported clinical benefit, including for patients with EGFRvIII; however, temozolomide alone and in combination exhibited better responses than afatinib monotherapy⁶¹⁻⁶². A Phase 2 trial of dacomitinib for patients with EGFR-amplified GBM reported a DCR of 26% (5/19) among patients with EGFR amplification and EGFRvIII; however, the trial failed to meet its primary endpoint of 6-month PFS⁶³. A retrospective biomarker analysis of another Phase 2 study of dacomitinib for patients with GBM found no association between EGFRvIII and clinical benefit⁶⁴. A patient with multiple glioblastoma (GBM) tumors, one of which harbored EGFRvIII, experienced progression of the EGFRvIII-positive tumor during treatment with osimertinib⁶⁵. Novel approaches that specifically target EGFRvIII in glioblastoma (GBM), such as the vaccine rindopepimut, are

under investigation in both clinical and preclinical studies. A Phase 2 trial reported significant improvement in OS for patients with EGFRvIII-positive GBM with rindopepimut in combination with bevacizumab compared to bevacizumab alone ($HR = 0.53$, $p = 0.01$)⁶⁶. However, a Phase 3 study of rindopepimut combined with temozolomide compared to temozolomide alone in newly diagnosed EGFRvIII-positive GBM patients was terminated after the interim analysis, due to a lack of clinical benefit as measured by OS (20 vs. 20 months)⁶⁷. For patients with non-small cell lung cancer, EGFR activating mutations may predict sensitivity to EGFR TKIs, including erlotinib⁶⁸, gefitinib⁶⁹, afatinib⁷⁰, dacomitinib⁷¹, and osimertinib⁷²; however, the data for patients with other tumor types are limited^{64,73-77}. A case study of a patient with multiple glioblastoma (GBM) tumors, one of which harbored EGFR amplification and multiple missense mutations, reported a near-CR of the EGFR-amplified and -mutated tumor to osimertinib⁶⁵. A case series of 11 patients with bithalamic gliomas with EGFR mutations suggested that treatment with EGFR inhibitors, including osimertinib, prolonged patient survival relative to other types of treatment; however, no patients attained PR or SD⁷⁶. Clinical studies of the second-generation EGFR TKIs afatinib and dacomitinib for patients with EGFR-amplified gliomas have shown limited efficacy^{61,63-64,78-79}; however, a small subset of patients has experienced clinical benefit^{63-64,78}. Multiple studies have failed to find a positive association between increased EGFR expression and clinical benefit from erlotinib or gefitinib for patients with glioblastoma^{58,80-82}. There are conflicting data on the efficacy of anti-EGFR antibodies for the treatment of EGFR-amplified tumors. A meta-analysis of colorectal cancer patients treated with second-line or higher cetuximab or panitumumab observed an association between EGFR copy number gain and increased OS and PFS⁸³. However, studies in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and gastric cancer found either no association or a negative association between EGFR copy number gain and survival after treatment with first-line cetuximab or panitumumab in combination with chemotherapy⁸⁴⁻⁸⁵. The Phase 3 INTELLANCE trial of depatuxizumab mafodotin (ABT-414), an EGFR-targeted antibody-drug conjugate with a toxic payload, in patients with EGFR-amplified glioblastoma (GBM) was stopped for futility. Interim analysis demonstrated improved median PFS (mPFS) of ABT-414 monotherapy compared with placebo ($HR = 0.84$); however, no OS benefit

was observed ($HR = 1.01$). Improved mPFS was also observed in patients harboring EGFRvIII ($HR = 0.73$) but without an OS improvement ($HR = 0.95$)⁸⁶. The Phase 2 INTELLANCE trial demonstrated clinical benefit for EGFR-amplified GBM for the combination of ABT-414, temozolomide, and radiotherapy ($HR = 0.66$, $p = 0.017$), but there was no evidence of efficacy for ABT-414 monotherapy ($HR = 1.04$, $p = 0.83$)⁸⁷.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Across several genomic studies of CNS tumors, EGFR amplification has been reported in 16.9% of anaplastic astrocytomas, and 39.7% of glioblastoma multiformes (GBMs)⁸⁸⁻⁹¹. Across several genomic studies of CNS tumors, EGFR alterations have been reported in 13.2% of anaplastic astrocytomas, 5.3-15.9% of glioblastoma multiformes (GBMs), and 0% of pilocytic astrocytomas⁸⁸⁻⁹¹. In the glioblastoma (GBM) TCGA dataset, putative high-level amplification of EGFR has been found in 48% of cases and mutation has been found in 21% of cases⁸⁹. Missense mutations in the EGFR extracellular domain have been found in 10-15% of GBMs and approximately half have a low-level amplification of the mutated allele⁹²⁻⁹³. One study detected EGFR alterations in 50% (117/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed, including 41% (95/232) with a co-occurring EGFR amplification and mutation, 26% (61/232) with an EGFR domain truncation event, such as EGFRvIII, and 2.2% (5/232) with an EGFR fusion event⁹⁴. The EGFRvIII mutation has been variously reported in 6-46% of GBM samples^{58,95-102}. No definitive correlation has been identified between EGFR amplification and length of survival in patients with GBM¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁴; however, EGFR amplification has been associated with prolonged survival in patients over the age of 60 with GBM¹⁰⁵. The link between EGFRvIII status and prognosis is unclear, although some studies suggest that it may be linked to improved survival and response to chemotherapy¹⁰⁶.

FINDING SUMMARY

EGFR encodes the epidermal growth factor receptor, which belongs to a class of proteins called receptor tyrosine kinases. In response to signals from the environment, EGFR passes biochemical messages to the cell that stimulate it to grow and divide¹⁰⁷. Amplification of EGFR has been associated with increased expression of EGFR mRNA and protein in several cancer types¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹⁰. A mutation of the EGFR gene, referred to as EGFRvIII, results from a gene rearrangement

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

that deletes exons 2-7. This alteration causes an in-frame deletion of 801 base pairs encoding part of the extracellular ligand-binding domain⁹⁵. This deletion has shown to result in ligand-independent (constitutive) phosphorylation and activation of EGFR, as well as consequent tumorigenesis^{95,111}. EGFR mutations that have

been characterized in biochemical assays to be activating, as observed here, are predicted to confer sensitivity to EGFR-targeted therapies^{92,112-128}. The EGFR A289V mutation, located in the extracellular domain, has been shown to be activating⁹². Glioblastoma cell lines harboring an EGFR A289V or A289D mutation

were shown to be dependent on EGFR kinase activity⁹³, and other mutations at this position are also likely activating. In addition, A289V is frequently associated with increased EGFR gene copy number⁹².

GENE
PIK3CA

ALTERATION

G118D

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_006218

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

353G>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

43.0%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

Clinical and preclinical data in various tumor types indicate that PIK3CA activating alterations may predict sensitivity to therapies targeting PI3K¹²⁹⁻¹³¹, AKT¹³²⁻¹³³, or mTOR¹³⁴⁻¹⁴¹. In the Phase 2 MATCH trial for patients with PIK3CA-mutated solid tumors, 28% (18/65) of patients experienced PFS lasting at least 6 months after treatment with taselisib; however, no ORs were observed in this study¹⁴². A separate Phase 1b study of taselisib in combination with the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib for patients with PIK3CA-mutated solid tumors reported an ORR of 0% (n=12) and a DCR of 17% (2/12)¹⁴³. In a Phase 1 trial of the dual

PI3K/mTOR kinase inhibitor apitolisib, 79% (11/14) of patients with PIK3CA-mutated advanced solid tumors experienced disease control (3 PRs, 8 SDs)¹⁴⁴. The PI3K inhibitor alpelisib demonstrated an ORR of 6.0% (8/134) and a DCR of 58% (78/134) in a study for patients with PIK3CA-mutated solid tumors¹⁴⁵. However, the PI3K inhibitor copanlisib exhibited limited efficacy in PIK3CA-mutated tumors¹⁴⁶⁻¹⁴⁷.

— Potential Resistance —

Activating mutations in PIK3CA may confer resistance to HER2-targeted therapies; combined inhibition of HER2 and the PI3K pathway may be required in HER2-positive tumors with PIK3CA mutation¹⁴⁸⁻¹⁵².

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

PIK3CA mutations have been reported in 9% of glioblastoma (GBM) samples analyzed in the TCGA dataset⁸⁹, and other studies report the incidence of PIK3CA mutations in primary GBMs as 5-18%¹⁵³⁻¹⁵⁵. One study detected PIK3CA mutation in 16% (36/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed⁹⁴. PIK3CA mutations have been reported in 5-23% of high-grade gliomas (including glioblastomas, anaplastic astrocytomas, and anaplastic oligodendrogliomas)¹⁵³⁻¹⁵⁷. While another study did not observe PIK3CA mutations

in low-grade astrocytomas or in anaplastic astrocytomas, it did report high ERK and AKT activity¹⁵⁵. One study found that PIK3CA mutation in glioblastoma (GBM) was associated with shorter median PFS in both a discovery cohort (6.9 vs. 12.4 months, HR=2.89, p=0.01) and in the TCGA cohort (6.1 vs. 9 months, p=0.008), but was not consistently associated with median OS¹⁵⁸. In a study of IDH-wildtype GBM, patients with alterations in PI3K class I genes (PIK3CA, PIK3R1, PIK3CG, and PIK3R2) had significantly longer OS (20.0 months altered vs. 16.9 months wildtype, HR=0.62, p=0.002) and PFS (11.0 months altered vs. 7.4 months wildtype, p=0.0043); patients with PIK3CA alterations experienced an improved OS but this association was not highly significant (20.0 months altered vs. 18.1 months wildtype, p=0.0407)⁹⁴.

FINDING SUMMARY

PIK3CA encodes p110-alpha, which is the catalytic subunit of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K). The PI3K pathway is involved in cell signaling that regulates a number of critical cellular functions, including cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, motility, and survival¹⁵⁹⁻¹⁶⁰. PIK3CA alterations that have been characterized as activating, such as observed here, are predicted to be oncogenic¹⁶¹⁻¹⁸¹.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

CDKN2A/B

ALTERATION

CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

Preclinical data suggest that tumors with loss of p16INK4a function may be sensitive to CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as abemaciclib, ribociclib, and palbociclib¹⁸²⁻¹⁸⁵. Although case studies have reported that patients with breast cancer or uterine leiomyosarcoma harboring CDKN2A loss responded to palbociclib treatment¹⁸⁶⁻¹⁸⁷, multiple other clinical studies have shown no significant correlation between p16INK4a loss or inactivation and therapeutic benefit of these agents¹⁸⁸⁻¹⁹⁴; it is not known whether CDK4/6 inhibitors would be beneficial in this case. Although preclinical studies have suggested that loss of p14ARF function may be associated with reduced sensitivity to MDM2 inhibitors¹⁹⁵⁻¹⁹⁶, the clinical relevance of p14ARF as a predictive biomarker is not clear. There are no drugs that directly target the mutation or loss of CDKN2B in cancer. Because the p15INK4b protein encoded by CDKN2B is known to inhibit CDK4, tumors with CDKN2B mutation or loss may predict sensitivity to CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as ribociclib, abemaciclib, and palbociclib^{189,191-192,197-199}.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Concurrent putative homozygous deletion of

CDKN2A and CDKN2B has been reported in 35% of patients with gliomas⁹⁰ and detected more frequently in patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM; 58%)⁸⁹ than in those with lower grade gliomas (13%) (cBioPortal, Sep 2021)²⁰⁰⁻²⁰¹. In other studies, loss of CDKN2A/B by deletion has been reported in up to 78% of astrocytomas (including anaplastic astrocytomas and GBM)^{101,202-203}. A study found homozygous deletion of both p16INK4a and p14ARF in 26% (13/50) of glioblastomas (GBMs); 18% (9/50) of cases showed homozygous deletion of the p14ARF-encoding locus alone²⁰⁴. One study detected CDKN2A/B loss in 69% (161/232) and mutation in 2.6% (6/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed⁹⁴. Decreased p14ARF and p16INK4a expression levels were found to be tightly associated in a study of glioma samples²⁰⁵. Homozygous deletion of the genomic region including CDKN2A and CDKN2B has been found to be associated with poor prognosis in GBM and likely serves as an early event in GBM progression^{202,206}. In addition, expression of p16INK4a has been found to be lower in patients with high grade malignant gliomas compared to patients with low grade gliomas, and loss of p16INK4a expression has been associated with shorter overall survival in pilocytic astrocytomas²⁰⁷⁻²⁰⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

CDKN2A encodes two different, unrelated tumor suppressor proteins, p16INK4a and p14ARF, whereas CDKN2B encodes the tumor suppressor p15INK4b²⁰⁹⁻²¹⁰. Both p15INK4b and p16INK4a bind to and inhibit CDK4 and CDK6, thereby

maintaining the growth-suppressive activity of the Rb tumor suppressor; loss or inactivation of either p15INK4b or p16INK4a contributes to dysregulation of the CDK4/6-cyclin-Rb pathway and loss of cell cycle control²¹¹⁻²¹². The tumor suppressive functions of p14ARF involve stabilization and activation of p53, via a mechanism of MDM2 inhibition²¹³⁻²¹⁴. One or more alterations observed here are predicted to result in p16INK4a loss of function²¹⁵⁻²³⁶. One or more alterations seen here are predicted to result in p14ARF loss of function^{219,236-239}. CDKN2B alterations such as seen here are predicted to inactivate p15INK4b²⁴⁰.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline CDKN2A mutation is associated with melanoma-pancreatic cancer syndrome, a condition marked by increased risk of developing malignant melanoma and/or pancreatic cancer²⁴¹. Mutation carriers within families may develop either or both types of cancer, and melanoma cases may be referred to as familial or hereditary melanoma²⁴²⁻²⁴³. CDKN2A is the most implicated gene in familial melanoma, with germline mutations present in 16% to 20% of familial melanoma cases²⁴⁴⁻²⁴⁶. CDKN2A alteration has also been implicated in familial melanoma-astrocytoma syndrome, an extremely rare tumor association characterized by dual predisposition to melanoma and nervous system tumors²⁴⁷⁻²⁴⁹. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of CDKN2A is recommended.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

MTAP

ALTERATION

loss exons 7-8

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

Preclinical and limited clinical evidence indicate that MTAP inactivation produces specific metabolic vulnerabilities. MTAP inactivation may confer sensitivity to MAT2A inhibitors²⁵⁰. A Phase 1 trial of MAT2A inhibitor AG-270 reported 1 PR and 2 SDs lasting longer than 6 months for patients with advanced solid tumors displaying MTAP loss²⁵¹. Although preclinical data have suggested that MTAP loss sensitizes cells to PRMT5 inhibition^{250,252-253}, MTAP loss may not be a biomarker of response to previously developed small-molecule SAM-uncompetitive PRMT5 inhibitors²⁵⁴; dual PRMT1 and PRMT5 inhibition may be more effective²⁵⁵⁻²⁵⁷. In preclinical cancer models, MTAP inactivation showed increased

sensitivity to inhibitors of purine synthesis or purine analogs, especially upon addition of exogenous MTA, which is converted to adenine in normal cells, thereby providing competition to purine poisons lacking in MTAP-deficient cells²⁵⁸⁻²⁶⁸. A Phase 2 study of L-alanosine, an inhibitor of adenine synthesis, as a monotherapy for 65 patients with MTAP-deficient cancers reported no responses and stable disease in 23.6% (13/55) of patients²⁶⁹.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MTAP loss/homozygous deletion as well as loss of expression has been reported in a wide variety of solid tumors and hematologic cancers²⁷⁰⁻²⁷¹; such events have been correlated with poor prognosis in a variety of cancer types, including hepatocellular carcinoma²⁷², gastrointestinal stromal tumors²⁷³, mantle cell lymphoma (MCL)²⁷⁴, melanoma²⁷⁵⁻²⁷⁶, gastric cancer²⁷⁷, myxofibrosarcoma²⁷⁸, nasopharyngeal carcinoma²⁷⁹, ovarian carcinoma²⁷⁰ and non-small cell lung cancer²⁸⁰. MTAP loss was not prognostic in pediatric B-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia²⁸¹ or in astrocytoma²⁸². However, MTAP has also

been reported to be overexpressed in colorectal cancer (CRC) samples²⁸³, and MTAP retention is thought to be important for prostate cancer growth due to continuous supply of SAM²⁸⁴. Germline SNPs in MTAP have been correlated with the development of cutaneous melanoma²⁸⁵⁻²⁸⁶, esophageal cancer²⁸⁷⁻²⁸⁸, osteosarcoma²⁸⁹, and CRC²⁹⁰.

FINDING SUMMARY

MTAP encodes S-methyl-5'-thioadenosine (MTA) phosphorylase, a tumor suppressor involved in polyamine metabolism and methionine synthesis, although its enzymatic function is dispensable for its tumor suppressor activity²⁹¹⁻²⁹². Decreased expression of MTAP leads to MTA accumulation within tumor cells and their microenvironment^{272,293-294}, thereby reducing intracellular arginine methylation^{250,252,295} and altering cell signaling^{294,296}. MTAP is located at 9p21, adjacent to CDKN2A and CDKN2B, with which it is frequently co-deleted in various cancers. Other alterations in MTAP are rare and have not been extensively characterized.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS
GENE
TERT
ALTERATION

promoter -124C>T

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_198253

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

-124C>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

41.5%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES
— Targeted Therapies —

Therapeutic options for targeting tumors with TERT mutations are limited, although a variety of approaches are under development, including immunotherapies utilizing TERT as a tumor-associated antigen, antisense oligonucleotide- or peptide-based therapies, and TERT promoter-directed cytotoxic molecules.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TERT promoter mutations have been reported in 51-59% of gliomas²⁹⁷⁻²⁹⁸, most frequently in

glioblastoma (GBM, 54-84%), gliosarcoma (81%), oligodendroglioma (78%), and historically in oligoastrocytomas (25-31%) but less frequently in lower grade astrocytomas (10-18%) and in only 1% of ependymomas²⁹⁷⁻³⁰¹. In patients with glioblastoma (GBM), the prevalence of TERT promoter mutation is lower in pediatric primary GBM (11%) and adult secondary GBM (28%) compared with adult primary GBM (58-83%)^{297,299}. One study detected TERT promoter mutations in 78% (181/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed⁹⁴. The significance of the TERT promoter mutation as an independent prognostic indicator in patients with glioma is not clear. While TERT promoter mutations significantly associate with poor prognosis in patients with GBM, this correlation may be due to the association with primary GBM as opposed to IDH-positive secondary GBM^{297,299,302-303}. In the context of IDH-wildtype glioma, TERT mutations are associated with reduced OS, whereas in IDH-mutated, 1p/19q co-deleted oligodendroglioma, TERT mutations are associated with improved OS (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v5.2020). TERT promoter mutation has been shown to be significantly associated with increased TERT gene expression in astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, and GBM³⁰³.

FINDING SUMMARY

Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT, or hTERT) is a catalytic subunit of the telomerase complex, which is required to maintain appropriate chromosomal length³⁰⁴. Activation of TERT is a hallmark of cancer, being detected in up to 80-90% of malignancies and absent in quiescent cells³⁰⁵⁻³⁰⁷. Mutations within the promoter region of TERT that confer enhanced TERT promoter activity have been reported in two hotspots, located at -124 bp and -146 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site (also termed C228T and C250T, respectively)³⁰⁸⁻³¹⁰, as well as tandem mutations at positions -124/-125 bp and -138/-139 bp³⁰⁸.

POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

TERT mutations are associated with 1p/19q co-deletion in oligodendrogliomas, and are highly recurrent in IDH/ATRX-wildtype glioblastoma (GBM) (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v5.2020). Co-occurring TERT mutation, IDH mutation, and 1p/19q co-deletion is indicative of oligodendroglioma, whereas IDH mutation in the absence of TERT mutation is suggestive of astrocytoma (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v5.2020).

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Cetuximab

Assay findings association

EGFR

R108K - subclonal, R222C, A289T, amplification, EGFRvIII

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Cetuximab is a monoclonal antibody that targets EGFR. It is FDA approved for the treatment of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) and KRAS-wild-type, EGFR-expressing metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

For patients with metastatic CRC receiving cetuximab or panitumumab as mono- or combination therapy, increased EGFR copy number associated with improved OS (HR=0.62) in a meta-analysis, although increased survival was not seen in populations that received first-line treatment with EGFR antibodies⁸³.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 3 trial of combined cetuximab and platinum/5-FU in patients with HNSCC demonstrated improved response compared to platinum/5-FU alone, but EGFR amplification was not shown to predict response to this treatment⁸⁴. A Phase 3 study of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma did not report any improved outcome in patients treated with a combination of cetuximab plus

gemcitabine vs gemcitabine alone³¹¹. In a Phase 1/2 trial of 36 patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) treated with cetuximab in combination with doxorubicin, stable disease was reported in approximately 63% of patients³¹². A Phase 1 study of the combination therapy of cetuximab, erlotinib, and bevacizumab reported stable disease in 21% (7/34) of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)³¹³. A clinical trial of cetuximab with bevacizumab (an anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody) in patients with glioblastoma (GBM) did not show improved efficacy compared with bevacizumab alone³¹⁴. In preclinical trials, cetuximab, matuzumab, and panitumumab were reported to be ineffective at blocking EGFR dimerization and activation in GBM cells expressing EGFR extracellular domain mutations³¹⁵. However, another study demonstrated that in patients with GBM harboring EGFR amplification but lacking expression of the EGFRvIII variant, treatment with cetuximab resulted in significantly better progression-free survival (PFS) and numerical (although not statistically significant) improvement in overall survival (OS)¹⁰².

Erlotinib

Assay findings association

EGFR

R108K - subclonal, R222C, A289T, amplification, EGFRvIII

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Erlotinib is a small-molecule inhibitor of EGFR. It is FDA approved as a monotherapy or in combination with ramucirumab for patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring EGFR exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) mutations. Erlotinib is also FDA approved in combination with gemcitabine as a first-line treatment for advanced pancreatic cancer. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Amplification or activation of EGFR may predict sensitivity to therapies such as erlotinib. For patients with activating mutations in EGFR, treatment with erlotinib has been associated with improved response and lengthened time to progression^{68,316-318}. For patients with esophageal or biliary cancer treated with erlotinib or gefitinib, elevated EGFR copy number or amplification is associated with clinical responses and longer survival³¹⁹⁻³²³. Responses to erlotinib have been reported for patients with EGFR rearrangements³²⁴⁻³²⁸.

SUPPORTING DATA

In the MyPathway Phase 2a basket study for advanced solid tumors, 1 of 9 patients with EGFR activation

mutations responded to erlotinib monotherapy; the responding patient had urethral adenocarcinoma³²⁹. A patient with EGFR-mutated metastatic lacrimal gland adenoid cystic carcinoma experienced clinical benefit from erlotinib treatment that was ongoing at 14 months³³⁰. A clinical study of patients with glioblastoma (GBM) treated with gefitinib or erlotinib found that 9/49 (18%) had tumor shrinkage of 25% or more; in this study, the extracellular domain EGFRvIII mutation was correlated with response⁵⁸. In a Phase 2 study of 65 patients with GBM or gliosarcoma, treatment with erlotinib, temozolomide, and radiotherapy resulted in longer progression-free survival relative to a historical control study utilizing a regimen of temozolomide and radiotherapy alone (19.3 months vs. 14.1 months)³³¹. However, in a Phase 1/2 trial of erlotinib monotherapy in 11 patients with relapsed or refractory GBM or anaplastic astrocytoma, all patients showed disease progression and the drug showed significant toxicity³³². In addition, a Phase 2 trial of patients with recurrent or progressive GBM treated with erlotinib and sorafenib did not meet its objective of a 30% increase in overall survival time compared with historical controls; sorafenib was found to increase erlotinib clearance³³³.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Everolimus

Assay findings association
PIK3CA
G118D

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Everolimus is an orally available mTOR inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat renal cell carcinoma (RCC) following antiangiogenic therapy; pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors; and well-differentiated non-functional neuroendocrine tumors of the lung or gastrointestinal tract. Everolimus is also approved to treat either renal angiomyolipoma or subependymal giant cell astrocytoma in association with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical evidence¹³⁴⁻¹⁴¹, PIK3CA activating mutations may predict sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors such as everolimus and temsirolimus. Studies have reported modest activity of these therapies as single agents (ORRs of 0-4%), but improved activity has been observed when they are combined with other agents such as bevacizumab and doxorubicin (ORRs of 25-44%), for patients with PIK3CA-mutated solid tumors^{138-141,334-338}.

SUPPORTING DATA

Case reports have described 2 children with PIK3CA-mutated diffuse glioma or glioneuronal tumor who benefited from treatment with everolimus alone or in combination with temozolomide³³⁹⁻³⁴⁰, and 1 adult with glioblastoma (GBM) harboring PIK3CA mutation and KRAS amplification who experienced disease progression

with single-agent everolimus⁷⁹. A Phase 2 trial of radiotherapy (RT), temozolomide (TMZ), and bevacizumab followed by everolimus and bevacizumab reported that 61% (31/51) of patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma had objective responses with a median progression-free survival (PFS) of 11.3 months and median overall survival (OS) of 13.9 months³⁴¹. A Phase 2 study of everolimus combined with TMZ and RT for the treatment of newly diagnosed glioblastoma reported a median PFS of 6.4 months and median OS of 15.8 months³⁴². A Phase 1 trial of everolimus plus TMZ for patients with newly diagnosed or progressive glioblastoma reported partial responses (PR) in 11% (3/28) and stable disease (SD) in 57% (16/28) of cases³⁴³. A pilot study of everolimus with gefitinib in patients with recurrent glioblastoma reported 14% (3/22) PRs, 36% (8/22) SDs, and median PFS and OS of 2.6 months and 5.8 months, respectively³⁴⁴. Everolimus treatment achieved SD in 45% (5/11) of pediatric patients with heavily pretreated low-grade CNS tumors; median PFS of these responses was 14 months³⁴⁵. Whereas frequent adverse events precluded a recommended Phase 2 dose and schedule for the combination of trametinib and everolimus in a Phase 1b trial for solid tumors³⁴⁶, a retrospective study for heavily pretreated patients with solid tumors reported tolerable regimens of the combination for 23/31 patients, with 16 patients treated >3 months and evaluable patients achieving a median PFS of 6.5 months³⁴⁷.

Gefitinib

Assay findings association
EGFR
R108K - subclonal, R222C, A289T, amplification, EGFRvIII

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Gefitinib targets the tyrosine kinase EGFR and is FDA approved to treat non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) substitution mutations in EGFR. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Activation of EGFR may predict sensitivity to therapies such as gefitinib. Clinical studies have consistently shown significant improvement in response rates and PFS for patients with EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with gefitinib compared with chemotherapy^{318,348-353}, and responses have been reported for patients with EGFR-rearranged NSCLC³²⁶⁻³²⁷. For patients with esophageal or biliary cancer treated with erlotinib or gefitinib, elevated EGFR copy number or amplification is associated with clinical responses and longer survival³¹⁹⁻³²³. Patients with refractory advanced esophageal carcinoma and EGFR amplification derived significant overall survival benefit from gefitinib compared to placebo (HR = 0.21)^{319,354}.

SUPPORTING DATA

A clinical study of patients with glioblastoma (GBM) treated with gefitinib or erlotinib found that 9/49 (18%) had tumor shrinkage of 25% or more; in this study, the extracellular domain EGFRvIII mutation was correlated with response⁵⁸. A Phase 2 clinical study of gefitinib in patients with high-grade glioma (including GBM, anaplastic astrocytoma, and oligodendroglioma) reported 18% (5/28) disease stabilization; efficacy was not correlated with EGFR expression⁸⁰. However, a Phase 1/2 clinical trial of gefitinib combined with radiotherapy in 178 patients with GBM reported no overall survival benefit of added gefitinib, and EGFR expression was found to be of no prognostic value for patients treated with gefitinib plus radiotherapy⁸¹. A Phase 2 trial of preoperative gefitinib treatment in patients with recurrent GBM reported that although EGFR phosphorylation was decreased in treated patients as compared to the control group, measurement of 12 downstream molecules revealed no significant changes⁸².

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 17 November 2021

Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531

Shakti Ramkissoon, M.D., Ph.D., M.M. Sc, Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309

Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Panitumumab

Assay findings association

EGFR

R108K - subclonal, R222C, A289T, amplification, EGFRvIII

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Panitumumab is a monoclonal antibody that targets EGFR. It is FDA approved to treat KRAS wild-type and NRAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) combined with chemotherapy or as monotherapy for patients who have progressed on prior chemotherapy. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

For patients with metastatic CRC receiving cetuximab or panitumumab as mono- or combination therapy, increased EGFR copy number associated with improved OS (HR=0.62) in a meta-analysis, although increased survival was not seen in populations that received first-line treatment with EGFR antibodies⁸³.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 1 trial of EnGeneIC delivery vehicle (EDV) targeting EGFR with panitumumab in combination with

doxorubicin for 14 patients with glioblastoma (GBM) reported no responses and 28% (4/14) SDs³⁵⁵. Panitumumab has shown efficacy as monotherapy or in combination with chemotherapy for patients with KRAS-wildtype colorectal cancer³⁵⁶⁻³⁵⁸ and has been investigated in a variety of other tumor types. For patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), data are conflicting; some trials of panitumumab in various lines and with different chemotherapy combinations have shown modest benefit³⁵⁹⁻³⁶¹ and others have reported no benefit³⁶²⁻³⁶⁴. A Phase 3 study of chemotherapy with or without panitumumab for patients with advanced gastroesophageal cancer was terminated for futility³⁶⁵. Trials in a variety of tumor types have failed to show significant benefit for patients, including non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)³⁶⁶⁻³⁶⁷; biliary tract cancers, including cholangiocarcinoma³⁶⁸⁻³⁶⁹; and renal cell carcinoma (RCC)³⁷⁰.

Temsirolimus

Assay findings association

PIK3CA

G118D

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Temsirolimus is an intravenous mTOR inhibitor that is FDA approved for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical evidence¹³⁴⁻¹⁴¹, PIK3CA activating mutations may predict sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors such as everolimus and temsirolimus. Studies have reported modest activity of these therapies as single agents (ORRs of 0-4%), but improved activity has been observed when they are combined with other agents such as bevacizumab and doxorubicin (ORRs of 25-44%), for patients with PIK3CA-mutated solid tumors^{138-141,334-338}.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 1, dose-escalation trial combining temsirolimus and radiation/temozolomide therapy, with or without adjuvant temozolomide monotherapy, in patients with

newly diagnosed glioblastoma reported no clinical responses but 24/25 patients experienced a period of stable disease; increased infection rates were noted with this regimen³⁷¹. A Phase 1/2 trial of temsirolimus in combination with sorafenib in glioblastoma was terminated at the Phase 2 interim analysis after patients failed to meet the primary endpoint of 6 month progression-free survival; significant toxicity was also observed in the combination therapy, even at low doses of temsirolimus³⁷². A Phase 2 study showed that addition of temsirolimus to bevacizumab therapy in patients with recurrent glioblastoma did not add clinical benefit³⁷³. A Phase 2 clinical trial of temsirolimus in pediatric glioma reported disease stabilization in 7/17 patients including one patient with anaplastic astrocytoma³⁷⁴. A Phase 1/2 study of temsirolimus in combination with erlotinib reported 6% (1/16) complete responses, 6% (1/16) partial responses, and 12.5% (2/16) instances of stable disease in patients with anaplastic glioma⁵¹.

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see [clinicaltrials.gov](https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-testing#support-services). Or visit <https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-testing#support-services>.

GENE
EGFR
ALTERATION

R108K - subclonal, R222C, A289T, amplification, EGFRvIII

RATIONALE

EGFR activating mutations, rearrangements, or amplification may predict sensitivity to EGFR-targeted therapies. Strategies to overcome

resistance to current agents include next-generation EGFR inhibitors and combination therapies.

NCT03239015
PHASE 2

Efficacy and Safety of Targeted Precision Therapy in Refractory Tumor With Druggable Molecular Event

TARGETS

EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, PARP, mTOR, MET, RET, ROS1, VEGFRs, BRAF, CDK4, CDK6

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China)

NCT03810872
PHASE 2

An Explorative Study of Afatinib in the Treatment of Advanced Cancer Carrying an EGFR, a HER2 or a HER3 Mutation

TARGETS

EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4

LOCATIONS: Liège (Belgium), Brussels (Belgium), Gent (Belgium)

NCT03618667
PHASE 2

GC1118 in Recurrent Glioblastoma Patients With High EGFR Amplification

TARGETS

EGFR

LOCATIONS: Seoul (Korea, Republic of)

NCT04172597
PHASE 2

A Study of Pozotinib in Patients With EGFR or HER2 Activating Mutations in Advanced Malignancies

TARGETS

EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4

LOCATIONS: California

NCT02800486
PHASE 2

Super Selective Intra-arterial Repeated Infusion of Cetuximab (Erbix) With Reirradiation for Treatment of Relapsed/Refractory GBM, AA, and AOA

TARGETS

EGFR

LOCATIONS: New York

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

CLINICAL TRIALS
NCT02861898
PHASE 1/2

Super-selective Intra-arterial Repeated Infusion of Cetuximab for the Treatment of Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma

TARGETS
EGFR

LOCATIONS: New York

NCT03783403
PHASE 1

A Study of CC-95251, a Monoclonal Antibody Directed Against SIRP α , in Subjects With Advanced Solid and Hematologic Cancers

TARGETS
CD20, EGFR, SIRP-alpha

LOCATIONS: Heidelberg (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), Edmonton (Canada), California, Colorado, Arizona, Toronto (Canada), Oklahoma, Texas, Pennsylvania

NCT02451553
PHASE 1

Afatinib Dimaleate and Capecitabine in Treating Patients With Advanced Refractory Solid Tumors, Pancreatic Cancer or Biliary Cancer

TARGETS
EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4

LOCATIONS: Washington

NCT03829436
PHASE 1

TPST-1120 as Monotherapy and in Combination With (Nivolumab, Docetaxel or Cetuximab) in Subjects With Advanced Cancers

TARGETS
PD-1, PPARalpha

LOCATIONS: California, Michigan, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, New York, Tennessee, Maryland, North Carolina, Florida

NCT02303678
PHASE 1

D2C7 for Adult Patients With Recurrent Malignant Glioma

TARGETS
EGFRvIII

LOCATIONS: North Carolina

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

CLINICAL TRIALS
GENE
PIK3CA
ALTERATION
G118D

RATIONALE
PIK3CA activating mutations may lead to activation of the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway and may therefore indicate sensitivity to inhibitors of

this pathway. Strong clinical data support sensitivity of PIK3CA-mutated solid tumors to the PI3K-alpha inhibitor alpelisib.

NCT04589845
PHASE 2

Tumor-Agnostic Precision Immuno-Oncology and Somatic Targeting Rational for You (TAPISTRY) Platform Study

TARGETS
ALK, ROS1, TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, RET, PD-L1, AKTs, ERBB2, MDM2, PI3K-alpha

LOCATIONS: Zhongzheng Dist. (Taiwan), Taipei City (Taiwan), Tainan (Taiwan), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Beijing (China), Woolloongabba (Australia), Darlinghurst (Australia), Randwick (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), Haifa (Israel)

NCT03239015
PHASE 2

Efficacy and Safety of Targeted Precision Therapy in Refractory Tumor With Druggable Molecular Event

TARGETS
EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, PARP, mTOR, MET, RET, ROS1, VEGFRs, BRAF, CDK4, CDK6

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China)

NCT04337463
PHASE NULL

ATG-008 Combined With Toripalimab in Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
mTORC1, mTORC2, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Chongqing (China), Chengdu (China)

NCT02688881
PHASE 4

Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Sirolimus, in Subject With Refractory Solid Tumors

TARGETS
mTOR

LOCATIONS: Seoul (Korea, Republic of)

NCT04803318
PHASE 2

Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
mTOR, FGFRs, KIT, PDGFRA, RET, VEGFRs, MEK

LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)

NCT03772561
PHASE 1

Phase I Study of AZD5363 + Olaparib + Durvalumab in Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumor Malignancies

TARGETS
PARP, AKTs, PD-L1

LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

CLINICAL TRIALS
NCT03834740
PHASE NULL

Ph0/2 Ribociclib & Everolimus

TARGETS
CDK6, CDK4, mTOR

LOCATIONS: Arizona

NCT04801966
PHASE NULL

Safety and Oversight of the Individually Tailored Treatment Approach: A Novel Pilot Study

TARGETS
CDK4, CDK6, PI3K-alpha, PD-L1, MEK, PARP, PD-1, BRAF

LOCATIONS: Melbourne (Australia)

NCT03994796
PHASE 2

Genetic Testing in Guiding Treatment for Patients With Brain Metastases

TARGETS
ALK, ROS1, TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, CDK4, CDK6, PI3K, mTOR

LOCATIONS: Alaska, Washington

NCT04632992
PHASE 2

A Study Evaluating Targeted Therapies in Participants Who Have Advanced Solid Tumors With Genomic Alterations or Protein Expression Patterns Predictive of Response

TARGETS
ALK, ROS1, TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, PD-L1, ERBB2, ERBB3, PI3K-alpha, RET, AKTs

LOCATIONS: Alaska, Washington, Oregon, California, Montana

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

APPENDIX
Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

BRCA2
Q3036E

CSF3R
E591K

EGFR
C628Y

EP300
N1227K

ERCC4
C723*

FLT3
Q121R

NFE2L2
T260R

NOTCH1
E334K

PDCD1 (PD-1)
A202_R203insGA

RAD51D
A52V

STK11
F354L

TNFAIP3
G456V

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

APPENDIX
Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTB	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRF1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXO2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDMSA	KDMS5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKB1A	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOC3
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1	WT1	XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703						

DNA GENE LIST: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT REARRANGEMENTS

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSP02	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TPRSS2

*TERC is an NCRNA

**Promoter region of TERT is interrogated

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score

Microsatellite (MS) status

Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

APPENDIX
About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.


ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDx

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details:
www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture-selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g., gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification – equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss – equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials
Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table

Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials

Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2021. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 17 November 2021
 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
 Shakti Ramkissoon, M.D., Ph.D., M.M. Sc, Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
 Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
 Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

- Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
 3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding arm- and chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
 4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
 5. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine

whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy.

6. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an *ERBB2* amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of *ERBB2* copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in <https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/> report the frequency of *HER2* overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx *HER2* CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of *HER2* amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS		%CV*
Repeatability		5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility		5.95 - 12.31
INDELS		%CV*
Repeatability		6.29 - 10.00
Reproducibility		7.33 - 11.71

*Interquartile Range = 1st Quartile to 3rd Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of follow-up germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert

panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are *ATM*, *BAP1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *BRIP1*, *CHEK2*, *FH*, *FLCN*, *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *MUTYH*, *PALB2*, *PMS2*, *POLE*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, *RET*, *SDHA*, *SDHB*, *SDHC*, *SDHD*, *TSC2*, and *VHL*, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are *ASXL1*, *CBL*, *DNMT3A*, *IDH2*, *JAK2*, *KMT2D* (*MLL2*), *MPL*, *MYD88*, *SF3B1*, *TET2*, and *U2AF1* and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
mut/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
OS	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 5.1.1

The median exon coverage for this sample is 744x

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

APPENDIX
References

1. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) PMID: 25392179
2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) PMID: 26140250
3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) PMID: 25949894
4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) PMID: 26028255
5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
6. Martinez R, et al. Oncology (2004) PMID: 15331927
7. Martinez R, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. (2005) PMID: 15672285
8. Martinez R, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (2007) PMID: 17498554
9. Szybka M, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () PMID: 12908754
10. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) PMID: 26337942
11. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) PMID: 21081928
12. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) PMID: 24623249
13. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) PMID: 9823339
14. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) PMID: 15528785
15. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) PMID: 20420947
16. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) PMID: 30643254
17. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) PMID: 28835386
18. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) PMID: 31405947
19. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) PMID: 30309915
20. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) PMID: 30785829
21. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) PMID: 29658845
22. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) PMID: 29657128
23. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) PMID: 29731394
24. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) PMID: 33558721
25. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) PMID: 32916128
26. Zhao J, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) PMID: 30742119
27. Touat M, et al. Nature (2020) PMID: 32322066
28. Bouffet E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) PMID: 27001570
29. Johanns TM, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) PMID: 27683556
30. Lukas RV, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2018) PMID: 30073642
31. Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) PMID: 28420421
32. Patel RR, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer (2020) PMID: 32386112
33. Johnson A, et al. Oncologist (2017) PMID: 28912153
34. Draaisma K, et al. Acta Neuropathol Commun (2015) PMID: 26699864
35. Wang L, et al. BMC Cancer (2020) PMID: 32164609
36. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) PMID: 15748635
37. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) PMID: 23875803
38. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) PMID: 12379884
39. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) PMID: 25765070
40. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) PMID: 24336570
41. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) PMID: 29452419
42. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) PMID: 23636398
43. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) PMID: 23447401
44. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) PMID: 24583393
45. Nature (2012) PMID: 22810696
46. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) PMID: 25568919
47. van den Bent MJ, et al. J Clin Oncol (2009) PMID: 19204207
48. Haas-Kogan DA, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst (2005) PMID: 15956649
49. Brown PD, et al. J Clin Oncol (2008) PMID: 18955445
50. Preusser M, et al. J Neurooncol (2008) PMID: 18458820
51. Wen PY, et al. Neuro-oncology (2014) PMID: 24470557
52. Gallego O, et al. J Neurooncol (2014) PMID: 24352766
53. Uhm JH, et al. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys (2011) PMID: 20510539
54. Doyle SP, et al. Oxf Med Case Reports (2018) PMID: 30410775
55. D'Alessandris QG, et al. Acta Neurochir (Wien) (2013) PMID: 23132371
56. Custodio A, et al. Clin Transl Oncol (2010) PMID: 20462843
57. D'Alessandris QG, et al. Acta Neurochir (Wien) (2018) PMID: 30306271
58. Mellinghoff IK, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2005) PMID: 16282176
59. Mellinghoff IK, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2007) PMID: 17255257
60. Arif SH, et al. Asian J Neurosurg () PMID: 29492119
61. Reardon DA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2015) PMID: 25140039
62. Alshami J, et al. Oncotarget (2015) PMID: 26423602
63. Sepúlveda-Sánchez JM, et al. Neuro-oncology (2017) PMID: 28575464
64. Chi AS, et al. JCO Precis Oncol (2020) PMID: 32923886
65. Makhlin I, et al. CNS Oncol (2019) PMID: 31769726
66. Reardon DA, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2020) PMID: 32034072
67. Weller M, et al. Lancet Oncol (2017) PMID: 28844499
68. Rosell R, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2012) PMID: 22285168
69. Douillard JY, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2014) PMID: 24263064
70. Sequist LV, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) PMID: 23816960
71. Mok TS, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) PMID: 29864379
72. Jänne PA, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) PMID: 25923549
73. Hong MH, et al. Cancer (2020) PMID: 32749686
74. Kim HS, et al. Oncotarget (2015) PMID: 26462025
75. Kim HS, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) PMID: 25424851
76. Mondal G, et al. Acta Neuropathol (2020) PMID: 32303840
77. Cavalieri S, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2018) PMID: 29734047
78. Tanaka S, et al. Sci Rep (2019) PMID: 30644426
79. Blumenthal DT, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2016) PMID: 27531351
80. Franceschi E, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2007) PMID: 17353924
81. Chakravarti A, et al. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. (2013) PMID: 23182702
82. Hegi ME, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) PMID: 21471286
83. Jiang Z, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) PMID: 23441167
84. Licitra L, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2011) PMID: 21048039
85. Smyth EC, et al. Gut (2021) PMID: 33199443
86. Lassman et al., 2019; Neuro-Oncology Abstract ACTR-21
87. Van Den Bent M, et al. Neuro Oncol (2020) PMID: 31747009
88. Jonsson P, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) PMID: 31263031
89. Brennan CW, et al. Cell (2013) PMID: 24120142
90. Ceccarelli M, et al. Cell (2016) PMID: 26824661
91. Thomas AA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2017) PMID: 28472509
92. Lee JC, et al. PLoS Med. (2006) PMID: 17177598
93. Vivanco I, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) PMID: 22588883
94. Yan et al. 2020; DOI:10.1200/PO.19.00385
95. Nishikawa R, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1994) PMID: 8052651
96. Shinojima N, et al. Cancer Res. (2003) PMID: 14583498
97. Nishikawa R, et al. Brain Tumor Pathol (2004) PMID: 15700833
98. Viana-Pereira M, et al. Anticancer Res. () PMID: 18507036
99. Yoshimoto K, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2008) PMID: 18223223
100. Larysz D, et al. Folia Neuropathol (2011) PMID: 21455841
101. Verhaak RG, et al. Cancer Cell (2010) PMID: 20129251
102. Lv S, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2012) PMID: 22752145
103. Srividya MR, et al. J. Clin. Pathol. (2010) PMID: 20702468
104. Das P, et al. J Clin Neurosci (2011) PMID: 20888234
105. Smith JS, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2001) PMID: 11504770
106. Montano N, et al. Neoplasia (2011) PMID: 22241957
107. Ciardiello F, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2008) PMID: 18337605
108. Liang Z, et al. BMC Cancer (2010) PMID: 20637128
109. Bhargava R, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2005) PMID: 15920544
110. Yang YL, et al. Chin. Med. J. (2012) PMID: 22490401
111. Nedergaard MK, et al. BioDrugs (2012) PMID: 22385404
112. Foster JM, et al. World J Surg Oncol (2010) PMID: 20942962
113. Cai CQ, et al. Oncogene (2008) PMID: 18193092
114. Stabile LP, et al. Cancer Res. (2005) PMID: 15735034
115. Zhang W, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2006) PMID: 17409930
116. Siegfried JM, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2012) PMID: 22258476
117. U M, et al. PLoS Comput. Biol. (2014) PMID: 24743239
118. Cho J, et al. Mol. Cancer (2014) PMID: 24894453
119. Hama T, et al. Oncologist (2009) PMID: 19726454
120. Tam IY, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2009) PMID: 19671738
121. Kancha RK, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2009) PMID: 19147750
122. Chen YR, et al. Oncogene (2006) PMID: 16205628
123. Ymer SI, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2011) PMID: 24212795
124. Razis E, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2009) PMID: 19789313
125. Wang H, et al. Neoplasia (2011) PMID: 21532887
126. Kim N, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2019) PMID: 31290142
127. Sueaengoen N, et al. Cell Biosci (2020) PMID: 32190291
128. Lundby A, et al. Cell (2019) PMID: 31585087
129. Fritsch C, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2014) PMID: 24608574
130. Juric D, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) PMID: 29401002
131. Gallant JN, et al. NPJ Precis Oncol (2019) PMID: 30793038
132. André F, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2019) PMID: 31091374
133. Smyth LM, et al. NPJ Breast Cancer (2021) PMID: 33863913
134. Park HS, et al. PLoS ONE (2016) PMID: 27105424
135. Lim SM, et al. Oncotarget (2016) PMID: 26859683
136. Hou MM, et al. Oncotarget (2014) PMID: 25426553
137. Varnier R, et al. Eur J Cancer (2019) PMID: 31351267
138. Janku F, et al. Cell Rep (2014) PMID: 24440717
139. Moroney J, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) PMID: 22927482
140. Basho RK, et al. JAMA Oncol (2017) PMID: 27893038
141. Moroney JW, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) PMID: 21890452
142. Krop et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 101
143. Pascual J, et al. Cancer Discov (2021) PMID: 32958578
144. Dolly SO, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) PMID: 26787751
145. Aust Fam Physician (1986) PMID: 2941002
146. Santin AD, et al. Gynecol Oncol Rep (2020) PMID: 31934607
147. Patnaik A, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2016) PMID: 27672108
148. Esteve FJ, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2010) PMID: 20813970

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

 Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 17 November 2021
 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
 Shakti Ramkissoon, M.D., Ph.D., M.M. Sc, Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

 Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

APPENDIX

References

149. Baselga J, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2014) PMID: 25332247
150. Chakrabarty A, et al. Oncogene (2010) PMID: 20581867
151. Kataoka Y, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2010) PMID: 19633047
152. Wang L, et al. BMC Cancer (2011) PMID: 21676217
153. Gallia GL, et al. Mol. Cancer Res. (2006) PMID: 17050665
154. Broderick DK, et al. Cancer Res. (2004) PMID: 15289301
155. El-Habr EA, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. (2011) PMID: 20569675
156. Derakhshandeh-Peykar P, et al. J. Neurogenet. (2011) PMID: 22026810
157. Nature (2008) PMID: 18772890
158. Tanaka S, et al. Acta Neuropathol Commun (2019) PMID: 31036078
159. Samuels Y, et al. Cancer Cell (2005) PMID: 15950905
160. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) PMID: 19629070
161. Kang S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2005) PMID: 15647370
162. Ikenoue T, et al. Cancer Res. (2005) PMID: 15930273
163. Gymnopoulos M, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2007) PMID: 17376864
164. Horn S, et al. Oncogene (2008) PMID: 18317450
165. Rudd ML, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) PMID: 21266528
166. Hon WC, et al. Oncogene (2012) PMID: 22120714
167. Burke JE, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2012) PMID: 22949682
168. Wu H, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009) PMID: 19915146
169. Laurenti R, et al. Rev Saude Publica (1990) PMID: 2103068
170. Dan S, et al. Cancer Res. (2010) PMID: 20530683
171. Oda K, et al. Cancer Res. (2008) PMID: 18829572
172. Zhao L, et al. Oncogene (2008) PMID: 18794883
173. Lui VW, et al. Cancer Discov (2013) PMID: 23619167
174. Ross RL, et al. Oncogene (2013) PMID: 22430209
175. Rivière JB, et al. Nat. Genet. (2012) PMID: 22729224
176. Shibata T, et al. Cancer Lett. (2009) PMID: 19394761
177. Dogruluk T, et al. Cancer Res. (2015) PMID: 26627007
178. Croessmann S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) PMID: 29284706
179. Ng PK, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) PMID: 29533785
180. Spangle JM, et al. (2020) PMID: 32929011
181. Chen L, et al. Nat Commun (2018) PMID: 29636477
182. Konecny GE, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) PMID: 21278246
183. Katsumi Y, et al. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2011) PMID: 21871868
184. Cen L, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) PMID: 22711607
185. Logan JE, et al. Anticancer Res. (2013) PMID: 23898052
186. Elvin JA, et al. Oncologist (2017) PMID: 28283584
187. Gao J, et al. Curr Oncol (2015) PMID: 26715889
188. Gopalan et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 8077
189. Peguero et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 2528
190. Konecny et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5557
191. DeMichele A, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) PMID: 25501126
192. Finn RS, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2015) PMID: 25524798
193. Infante JR, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) PMID: 27542767
194. Johnson DB, et al. Oncologist (2014) PMID: 24797823
195. Van Maerken T, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) PMID: 21460101
196. Gamble LD, et al. Oncogene (2012) PMID: 21725357
197. Shapiro et al., 2013; ASCO Abstract 2500
198. Flaherty KT, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) PMID: 22090362
199. Dickson MA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) PMID: 23569312
200. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) PMID: 22588877
201. Gao J, et al. Sci Signal (2013) PMID: 23550210
202. Sottoriva A, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2013) PMID: 23412337
203. Weber RG, et al. Oncogene (2007) PMID: 16909113
204. Nakamura M, et al. Brain Pathol. (2001) PMID: 11303791
205. Chakravarti A, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2001) PMID: 11489817
206. Feng J, et al. Cancer (2012) PMID: 21713760
207. Raabe EH, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) PMID: 21636552
208. Liu W, et al. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) PMID: 21843312
209. Quelle DE, et al. Cell (1995) PMID: 8521522
210. Mutat. Res. (2005) PMID: 15878778
211. Gazzeri S, et al. Oncogene (1998) PMID: 9484839
212. Oncogene (1999) PMID: 10498883
213. Sherr CJ, et al. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. (2005) PMID: 16869746
214. Ozenne P, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2010) PMID: 20549699
215. Ruas M, et al. Oncogene (1999) PMID: 10498896
216. Jones R, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) PMID: 17909018
217. Haferkamp S, et al. Aging Cell (2008) PMID: 18843795
218. Huot TJ, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2002) PMID: 12417717
219. Rizo H, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2001) PMID: 11518711
220. Gombart AF, et al. Leukemia (1997) PMID: 9324288
221. Yang R, et al. Cancer Res. (1995) PMID: 7780957
222. Parry D, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (1996) PMID: 8668202
223. Greenblatt MS, et al. Oncogene (2003) PMID: 12606942
224. Yarbrough WG, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (1999) PMID: 10491434
225. Poi MJ, et al. Mol. Carcinog. (2001) PMID: 11255261
226. Byeon IJ, et al. Mol. Cell (1998) PMID: 9660926
227. Kannengiesser C, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2009) PMID: 19260062
228. Lal G, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2000) PMID: 10719365
229. Koh J, et al. Nature (1995) PMID: 7777061
230. McKenzie HA, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2010) PMID: 20340136
231. Miller PJ, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2011) PMID: 21462282
232. Kutscher CL, et al. Physiol. Behav. (1977) PMID: 905385
233. Scaini MC, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2014) PMID: 24659262
234. Jenkins NC, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2013) PMID: 23190892
235. Walker GJ, et al. Int. J. Cancer (1999) PMID: 10389768
236. Rutter JL, et al. Oncogene (2003) PMID: 12853981
237. Itahana K, et al. Cancer Cell (2008) PMID: 18538737
238. Zhang Y, et al. Mol. Cell (1999) PMID: 10360174
239. Zhang Y, et al. Cell (1998) PMID: 9529249
240. Jafri M, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) PMID: 25873077
241. Whelan AJ, et al. N Engl J Med (1995) PMID: 7666917
242. Adv Exp Med Biol (2010) PMID: 20687502
243. Hogg D, et al. J Cutan Med Surg (1998) PMID: 9479083
244. De Unamuno B, et al. Melanoma Res (2018) PMID: 29543703
245. Soura E, et al. J Am Acad Dermatol (2016) PMID: 26892650
246. Huerta C, et al. Acta Derm Venereol (2018) PMID: 29405243
247. Kaufman DK, et al. Neurology (1993) PMID: 8414022
248. Bahuu M, et al. Cancer Res (1998) PMID: 9622062
249. Chan AK, et al. Clin Neuropathol (2013) PMID: 28699883
250. Marjon K, et al. Cell Rep (2016) PMID: 27068473
251. Heist et al., 2019; AACR-NCI-EORTC Abstract B116
252. Mavrakis KJ, et al. Science (2016) PMID: 26912361
253. Endoscopy (1989) PMID: 2691236
254. Guccione E, et al. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. (2019) PMID: 31350521
255. Fedorow A, et al. Cancer Cell (2019) PMID: 31257072
256. Srour N, et al. Cancer Cell (2019) PMID: 31287990
257. Gao G, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) PMID: 30916320
258. Hansen LJ, et al. Cancer Res. (2019) PMID: 31040154
259. Tang B, et al. Cancer Res. (2018) PMID: 29844120
260. Munshi PN, et al. Oncologist (2014) PMID: 24928612
261. de Oliveira SF, et al. PLoS ONE (2016) PMID: 26751376
262. Lubin M, et al. PLoS ONE (2009) PMID: 19478948
263. Tang B, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2012) PMID: 22825330
264. Collins CC, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) PMID: 22252602
265. Bertino JR, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2011) PMID: 21301207
266. Coulthard SA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) PMID: 21282358
267. Miyazaki S, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2007) PMID: 17912432
268. Efferth T, et al. Blood Cells Mol. Dis. (2011) PMID: 11987241
269. Kindler HL, et al. Invest New Drugs (2009) PMID: 18618081
270. Wei R, et al. Sci Rep (2016) PMID: 27929028
271. Zhao M, et al. BMC Genomics (2016) PMID: 27556634
272. Kirovski G, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2011) PMID: 21356366
273. Huang HY, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2009) PMID: 19887491
274. Marcé S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2006) PMID: 16778103
275. Meyer S, et al. Exp. Dermatol. (2010) PMID: 20500769
276. Wild PJ, et al. Arch Dermatol (2006) PMID: 16618867
277. Kim J, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2011) PMID: 21412930
278. Li CF, et al. Oncotarget (2014) PMID: 25426549
279. He HL, et al. Medicine (Baltimore) (2015) PMID: 26656376
280. Su CY, et al. Eur J Surg Oncol (2014) PMID: 24969958
281. Mirebeau D, et al. Haematologica (2006) PMID: 16818274
282. Becker AP, et al. Pathobiology (2015) PMID: 26088413
283. Snezhkina AV, et al. Oxid Med Cell Longev (2016) PMID: 27433286
284. Bistulfi G, et al. Oncotarget (2016) PMID: 26910893
285. Antonopoulou K, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2015) PMID: 25407435
286. Maccioni L, et al. BMC Cancer (2013) PMID: 23816148
287. Hyland PL, et al. Int J Epidemiol (2016) PMID: 26635288
288. Lin X, et al. Cancer Sci. (2017) PMID: 27960044
289. Zhi L, et al. J Cancer (2016) PMID: 27994653
290. Gu F, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2013) PMID: 23361049
291. Limm K, et al. PLoS ONE (2016) PMID: 27479139
292. Tang B, et al. G3 (Bethesda) (2014) PMID: 25387827
293. Limm K, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2013) PMID: 23265702
294. Stevens AP, et al. J. Cell. Biochem. (2009) PMID: 19097084
295. Kryukov GV, et al. Science (2016) PMID: 26912360
296. Limm K, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2014) PMID: 25087184
297. Killela PJ, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2013) PMID: 23530248
298. Killela PJ, et al. Oncotarget (2014) PMID: 24722048
299. Nonoguchi N, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) PMID: 23955565
300. Liu X, et al. Cell Cycle (2013) PMID: 23603989
301. Koelsche C, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) PMID: 24154961
302. Reitman ZJ, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) PMID: 24217890

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 17 November 2021
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Shakti Ramkissoon, M.D., Ph.D., M.M. Sc, Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1233272-01

APPENDIX
References

303. Arita H, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 23764841
304. Shay JW, et al. Semin. Cancer Biol. (2011) pmid: 22015685
305. Shay JW, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (1997) pmid: 9282118
306. Kim NW, et al. Science (1994) pmid: 7605428
307. Hanahan D, et al. Cell (2000) pmid: 10647931
308. Horn S, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348503
309. Huang FW, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348506
310. Vinagre J, et al. Nat Commun (2013) pmid: 23887589
311. Philip PA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20606093
312. Slovin SF, et al. Clin Genitourin Cancer (2009) pmid: 19815486
313. Falchook GS, et al. Oncotarget (2013) pmid: 23435217
314. Hasselbalch B, et al. Neuro-oncology (2010) pmid: 20406901
315. Gajadhar AS, et al. Mol. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22232519
316. Cappuzzo F, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20493771
317. Zhong WZ, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31194613
318. Petrelli F, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2012) pmid: 22056888
319. Petty RD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2017) pmid: 28537764
320. Philip PA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2006) pmid: 16809731
321. Xie C, et al. Br J Cancer (2020) pmid: 32958820
322. Luo H, et al. JAMA Netw Open (2020) pmid: 33026449
323. Lee J, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22192731
324. Konduri K, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27102076
325. Zhu YC, et al. Lung Cancer (2018) pmid: 29290255
326. Wang J, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2019) pmid: 30255937
327. Baik CS, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 26398831
328. Xu et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract e13538
329. Hainsworth JD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29320312
330. Nie KK, et al. Chin Med J (Engl) (2018) pmid: 29998897
331. Prados MD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19075262
332. Kesavabhotla K, et al. J. Exp. Ther. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22946346
333. Peereboom DM, et al. Neuro-oncology (2013) pmid: 23328813
334. Janku F, et al. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23066039
335. Janku F, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22271473
336. Janku F, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid: 21216929
337. Moulder S, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25878190
338. Byeon et al., 2020; doi: 10.21037/tcr.2020.04.07
339. Gojo J, et al. Front Oncol (2019) pmid: 31998633
340. McNall-Knapp et al., 2020; SNO Abstract LGG-47
341. Hainsworth JD, et al. Clin Adv Hematol Oncol (2012) pmid: 22706484
342. Ma DJ, et al. Neuro-oncology (2015) pmid: 25526733
343. Mason WP, et al. Invest New Drugs (2012) pmid: 22160854
344. Kreisl TN, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2009) pmid: 19018475
345. Segal et al., 2016; ISPNO Abstract EPT-21
346. Tolcher AW, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25344362
347. Patterson et al., 2018; AACR Abstract 3891
348. Han JY, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22370314
349. Maemondo M, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2010) pmid: 20573926
350. Mitsudomi T, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20022809
351. Mok TS, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2009) pmid: 19692680
352. Qi WX, et al. Curr Med Res Opin (2015) pmid: 25329826
353. Zhao H, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 25546556
354. Dutton SJ, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24950987
355. Whittle JR, et al. J Clin Neurosci (2015) pmid: 26279503
356. Douillard JY, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24718886
357. Price TJ, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24739896
358. Van Cutsem E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2007) pmid: 17470858
359. Vermorken JB, et al. Lancet Oncol (2013) pmid: 23746666
360. Wirth LJ, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2010) pmid: 19892746
361. Siano M, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28592616
362. Mesía R, et al. Lancet Oncol (2015) pmid: 25596660
363. Giralt J, et al. Lancet Oncol (2015) pmid: 25596659
364. Siu LL, et al. JAMA Oncol (2016) pmid: 27930762
365. Waddell T, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23594787
366. Crawford J, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2013) pmid: 24389433
367. Schuette W, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2015) pmid: 26094080
368. Leone F, et al. Cancer (2016) pmid: 26540314
369. Vogel A, et al. Eur J Cancer (2018) pmid: 29413685
370. Rowinsky EK, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2004) pmid: 15210739
371. Sarkaria JN, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20921209
372. Lee EQ, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) pmid: 23099651
373. Lassen U, et al. Anticancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23564811
374. Geoerger B, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2012) pmid: 22033322