

PATIENT Lin, Te Hsien

TUMOR TYPE Brain anaplastic astrocytoma COUNTRY CODE TW

REPORT DATE 24 Jan 2023 ORDERED TEST # ORD-1544965-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

**DISEASE** Brain anaplastic astrocytoma NAME Lin, Te Hsien

DATE OF BIRTH 12 August 1954

SEX Male

MEDICAL RECORD # 21930687

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital **PHYS** 

ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872 PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN SITE Brain

**SPECIMEN ID** S111-54715 A (PF23009)

SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck

DATE OF COLLECTION 27 December 2022 SPECIMEN RECEIVED 16 January 2023

### Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable Tumor Mutational Burden - 4 Muts/Mb

### Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

EGFR A289V **PTEN** E314fs\*3 FRRFI1 loss RB1 loss exons 18-27 TERT promoter -124C>T

## Report Highlights

- Variants with diagnostic implications that may indicate a specific cancer type: TERT promoter -124C>T (p. 6)
- Targeted therapies with potential clinical benefit approved in another tumor type: Erlotinib (p. 7), Gefitinib (p. 7), Osimertinib (p. 8)
- Evidence-matched clinical trial options based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 9)
- Variants with **prognostic implications** for this tumor type that may impact treatment decisions: TERT promoter -124C>T (p.

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS

No therapies or clinical trials. See Biomarker Findings section

## **BIOMARKER FINDINGS** Microsatellite status - MS-Stable Tumor Mutational Burden - 4 Muts/Mb **GENOMIC FINDINGS EGFR - A289V** 7 Trials see p. 9 **PTEN -** E314fs\*3 10 Trials see p. 11

No therapies or clinical trials. See Biomarker Findings section	
HERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)  THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)	
none	Erlotinib
	Gefitinib
	Osimertinib

none

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

THERAPIES W

none

none



PATIENT Lin, Te Hsien TUMOR TYPE
Brain anaplastic astrocytoma
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE
24 Jan 2023

ORDERED TEST #

ORD-1544965-01

#### GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

<i>ERRFI1</i> - lossp. <u>5</u>	TERT - promoter -124C>T p. 6
<i>RB1</i> - loss exons 18-27 p. <u>5</u>	

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



**BIOMARKER FINDINGS** 

#### **BIOMARKER**

## Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors<sup>1-3</sup>, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab<sup>4</sup>. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and

experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)<sup>5</sup>.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

MSI-High has been reported in 3-8% of adult or pediatric astrocytomas and was generally not associated with Lynch syndrome<sup>6-8</sup>. Low-level MSI has been reported in 5-9% of glioblastoma (GBM) samples<sup>9-11</sup>. A large-scale study did not find high-level microsatellite instability (MSI-H) in any of 129 GBM samples<sup>9</sup>, although a small-scale study reported MSI-H in 4 of 15 pediatric GBMs and 1 of 12 adult GBMs<sup>12</sup>. The frequency of MSI has been reported to be increased in relapsed compared to primary GBM<sup>9</sup>, in GBMs with a previous lower grade astrocytoma<sup>10</sup>, and in giant cell GBM compared to classic GBM<sup>11</sup>.

have benefited from treatment with anti-PD- $1^{31-32}$ 

or anti-PD-L133 therapies. Therefore, although

#### FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor<sup>13</sup>. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH<sub>2</sub>, MSH<sub>6</sub>, or PMS<sub>2</sub><sup>13-15</sup>. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers<sup>16-18</sup>. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins<sup>13,15,17-18</sup>.

#### **BIOMARKER**

## Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 4 Muts/Mb

# biomarker for PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors in this cancer type, these agents may have efficacy for patients with glioma harboring both high TMB and POLE mutation.

increased TMB alone may not be a strong

## FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Anaplastic astrocytoma harbors a median TMB of 1.8 mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 2% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb)<sup>34</sup>. For pediatric patients, high TMB has been reported in a subset of high-grade gliomas, frequently in association with mutations in mismatch repair or proofreading genes and in TP53, whereas BRAF alterations or other oncogene fusions were observed more frequently in brain tumors harboring low TMB<sup>35-36</sup>. Increased TMB has been reported to correlate with higher tumor grade in glioma<sup>37</sup> and glioblastoma (GBM) tissue samples with biallelic mismatch repair deficiency

(bMMRD)<sup>31</sup>, as well as with shorter OS of patients with diffuse glioma<sup>38</sup>.

#### FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma<sup>39-40</sup> and cigarette smoke in lung cancer<sup>41-42</sup>, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma<sup>43-44</sup>, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes  $^{\rm 45\text{-}49}\!,$  and microsatellite instability (MSI)<sup>45,48-49</sup>. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents<sup>19,29-33</sup>.

## POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

#### Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1<sup>19-21</sup>, anti-PD-1 therapies<sup>19-22</sup>, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab<sup>23-28</sup>. In glioma, a lack of association between TMB and clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors has been reported<sup>19,29-30</sup>. However, multiple case studies have reported that patients with ultramutated gliomas driven by POLE mutations

**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

#### GENE

## **EGFR**

ALTERATION

A289V

TRANSCRIPT ID NM\_005228.3

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

866C>T

VARIANT CHROMOSOMAL POSITION

chr7:55221822

**VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)** 

25.0%

### POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

#### - Targeted Therapies -

For patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), EGFR activating mutations may predict sensitivity to EGFR-TKIs, including erlotinib<sup>50</sup>,

gefitinib<sup>51-54</sup>, afatinib<sup>55-58</sup>, dacomitinib<sup>59</sup>, and osimertinib<sup>56,60</sup>; however, the data for patients with other tumor types are limited<sup>61-66</sup>. Patients with EGFR-mutated bithalamic glioma have reported responses to osimertinib<sup>67-68</sup>. In a case series of 11 patients with bithalamic gliomas with EGFR mutations, EGFR inhibitors, including osimertinib, showed improved survival; however, it showed a lack of significant clinical responses<sup>64</sup>. On the basis of preclinical data, EGFR mutations confer sensitivity to EGFR inhibitors, including osimertinib<sup>64</sup>

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

EGFR alterations have been reported in 13.2% of anaplastic astrocytomas, 5.3-15.9% of glioblastoma multiformes (GBMs), and 0% of pilocytic astrocytomas in several genomic studies of CNS tumors<sup>69-72</sup>. In GBMs, Missense mutations in the EGFR extracellular domain have been found in 10-15% of cases and approximately half have a low-

level amplification of the mutated allele<sup>73-74</sup>. EGFR amplification and/or EGFR expression in glioma has been correlated with poor overall survival in patients under 60 years of age, prolonged survival in patients over the age of 60, and tumor grade<sup>75-78</sup>.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

EGFR encodes the epidermal growth factor receptor, which belongs to a class of proteins called receptor tyrosine kinases. In response to signals from the environment, EGFR passes biochemical messages to the cell that stimulate it to grow and divide<sup>79</sup>. The EGFR A289V mutation, located in the extracellular domain, has been shown to be activating<sup>73</sup>. Glioblastoma cell lines harboring an EGFR A289V or A289D mutation were shown to be dependent on EGFR kinase activity<sup>74</sup>, and other mutations at this position are also likely activating. In addition, A289V is frequently associated with increased EGFR gene copy number<sup>73</sup>.

#### GENE

## **PTEN**

ALTERATION E314fs\*3

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM 000314.4

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

942delA

VARIANT CHROMOSOMAL POSITION

chr10:89720789-89720790

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

41.8%

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### Targeted Therapies —

PTEN loss or mutation leads to activation of the PI<sub>3</sub>K-AKT-mTOR pathway and may predict sensitivity to inhibitors of this pathway<sup>80-83</sup>. Across various tissues, most clinical studies have not observed an association between PTEN deficiency and response to inhibitors of the PI<sub>3</sub>K-AKT-mTOR pathway. However, limited studies in prostate cancer<sup>84-87</sup>, renal cell carcinoma<sup>88</sup>, breast cancer<sup>89-90</sup>, and colorectal cancer<sup>91</sup> have reported an association between PTEN deficiency and response

to inhibitors targeting the PI<sub>3</sub>K-AKT-mTOR pathway. Preclinical data indicate that PTEN loss or inactivation may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors <sup>92-96</sup>, and clinical benefit has been observed for patients with PTEN-altered breast cancer including triple negative breast cancer <sup>97</sup>, ovarian cancer <sup>98</sup>, uterine leiomyosarcoma <sup>99</sup>, and endometrial cancer <sup>96</sup> treated with PARP inhibitors. However, some studies have reported a lack of association between PTEN mutation and PARP inhibitor sensitivity <sup>100-101</sup>.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

Studies in the literature have indicated that PTEN alterations (mutation or homozygous deletion) occur most frequently in glioblastoma (GBM), less frequently in anaplastic astrocytoma, and rarely in lower grade glioma subtypes including low grade astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, oligoastrocytoma, and ependymoma<sup>75,102-108</sup>. One study detected PTEN mutation in 42% (97/232) and loss in 10% (24/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed<sup>109</sup>. In the TCGA dataset, PTEN mutation was observed in 23% of GBM cases and PTEN deletion was reported in 7% of cases<sup>70</sup>, while in the Lower Grade Glioma TCGA dataset, PTEN mutation was observed in 4% of cases and homozygous deletion observed in 1.2% of cases<sup>110</sup>.

Loss of PTEN correlated with significantly worse prognosis in all grades of gliomas<sup>105,111</sup>.

### FINDING SUMMARY

PTEN encodes an inositol phosphatase that functions as a tumor suppressor by negatively regulating the PI<sub>3</sub>K-AKT-mTOR pathway; loss of PTEN can lead to uncontrolled cell growth and suppression of apoptosis<sup>81</sup>. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt PTEN function or expression<sup>107,112-152</sup>.

#### POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

PTEN mutations underlie several inherited disorders, collectively termed PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome (PHTS), which include Cowden syndrome (CS) and its variant Lhermitte-Duclos disease (LD), Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome (BRRS), PTEN-related Proteus syndrome (PS), and Proteus-like syndrome<sup>153-154</sup>. The mutation rate for PTEN in these disorders ranges from 20 to 85% of patients<sup>153,155</sup>. The estimated incidence of Cowden syndrome is 1/200,000, which may be an underestimate due to the high variability of this disorder<sup>153</sup>. Given the association between PTEN and these inherited syndromes, in the appropriate clinical context, germline testing for mutations affecting PTEN is recommended.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

GENE

## **ERRFI1**

ALTERATION loss

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### Targeted Therapies —

ERRFI1 loss or inactivating mutations may result in EGFR activation and predict sensitivity to ERBB tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as erlotinib, gefitinib, afatinib, and lapatinib, which are approved to treat lung or breast cancers. One patient with cholangiocarcinoma and an ERRFI1 loss of function mutation was reported to have a partial response to the EGFR inhibitor erlotinib<sup>156</sup>.

In preclinical studies, the EGFR inhibitor gefitinib was reported to cause regression of tumors in ERRFI1 knockout mice<sup>157</sup> and inhibit signaling downstream EGFR in ERRFI1-depleted human cell lines<sup>158</sup>.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

ERRFI1 mutations have been reported in 0-1% of low-grade glioma and glioblastoma samples across several genomic studies<sup>70-71,159</sup>. Published data investigating the prognostic implications of ERRFI1 alterations in glioma are limited (PubMed, Nov 2022).

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

The ERRFI1 gene (also known as MIG6 or RALT) encodes a tumor suppressor that negatively

regulates the ERBB family of receptors 160-163, and is transcriptionally activated by RAS-RAF-ERK signaling<sup>164</sup>. ERRFI1 directly binds to the kinase domain of ERBB proteins and consequently antagonizes their oncogenic signaling and cell proliferation<sup>160-163</sup>. ERRFI1 also negatively regulates signaling by promoting EGFR endocytosis and degradation<sup>165-166</sup>. Knockout or depletion of ERRFI1 was shown to result in EGFR and ERBB2/ERBB3 activation<sup>157,164,166-167</sup>, and disruption of the ERRFI1 gene promoted tumorigenesis in mice157,168, whereas overexpression of ERRFI1 inhibited EGFR- or ERBB2-mediated signaling and oncogenic transformation in vitro<sup>166,169-170</sup>. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt ERRFI1 function or  $expression {}^{160,162}.\\$ 

GENE

## RB1

ALTERATION

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of limited clinical data<sup>171</sup> and strong preclinical data<sup>172-175</sup>, RB1 inactivation may be associated with sensitivity to inhibitors of Aurora kinase A, particularly in small cell lung cancer (SCLC). A clinical study evaluating the Aurora kinase A inhibitor alisertib for patients with prostate cancer did not find an association between RB1 deletion and clinical benefit<sup>176</sup>. Other approaches to target RB1 inactivation under investigation in preclinical studies include inhibitors of BCL-2 family members<sup>177</sup> and activation of the NOTCH pathway<sup>178</sup>.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

In low-grade gliomas, alteration in the Rb pathway, including homozygous deletion and promoter methylation of CDKN2A, CDKN2B, and RB1, is reported to occur in 26% of cases lacking IDH1/2, TP53, or 1p/19q alterations, and in 11% of tumors harboring these alterations<sup>179</sup>. Loss of heterozygosity at the RB1 locus has been reported in 28-30% of high-grade astrocytomas, and specifically in 19% of anaplastic astrocytoma cases in one study<sup>180-182</sup>. Promoter hypermethylation of RB1 has also been observed in 6-19% of malignant astrocytomas, with one study citing RB1 promoter methylation in 14% of anaplastic astrocytomas<sup>182-183</sup>. In the TCGA datasets, RB1 mutation or homozygous deletion was observed in 9% of glioblastomas<sup>70</sup> and 2.5% of lower grade glioma cases<sup>110</sup>. In patients with low-grade diffuse gliomas, Rb pathway alterations have been significantly associated with poor clinical outcome<sup>179,184</sup>.

#### FINDING SUMMARY

RB1 encodes the retinoblastoma protein (Rb), a tumor suppressor and negative regulator of the cell cycle<sup>185-186</sup>. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt RB1 function or expression<sup>187-193</sup>.

#### POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Mutations in RB1 underlie the development of retinoblastoma (RB), a rare tumor that arises at a rate of approximately 1:20,000 live births, with nearly 5,000 new cases worldwide per year<sup>194</sup>. Germline mutations in RB1 account for approximately 40% of RB tumors<sup>195</sup> and are associated with an increased risk of developing secondary malignancies that include soft tissue and bone sarcoma and malignant melanoma<sup>196-197</sup>. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of RB1 is recommended.



**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

#### GENE

## **TERT**

ALTERATION

promoter -124C>T

TRANSCRIPT ID NM\_198253.2

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

-124C>T

VARIANT CHROMOSOMAL POSITION

chr5:1295228

**VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)** 

36.9%

## POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Targeted Therapies —

Therapeutic options for targeting tumors with TERT mutations are limited, although a variety of approaches have been investigated, including immunotherapies using TERT as a tumorassociated antigen and antisense oligonucleotideor peptide-based therapies. TERT peptide vaccines showed limited anticancer efficacy in clinical trials<sup>198</sup>; however, in one preclinical study, the

combination of a TERT peptide vaccine and anti-CTLA-4 therapy suppressed tumor growth<sup>199</sup>. A Phase 2 study of the TERT inhibitor imetelstat for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer reported no improvement in PFS or OS<sup>200</sup>.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

TERT promoter mutations have been reported in 51-59% of gliomas<sup>201-202</sup>, most frequently in glioblastoma (GBM, 54-84%), gliosarcoma (81%), oligodendroglioma (78%), and historically in oligoastrocytomas (25-31%) but less frequently in lower grade astrocytomas (10-18%) and in only 1% of ependymomas<sup>201-205</sup>. TERT promoter mutation has been shown to be significantly associated with increased TERT gene expression in astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, and GBM206. TERT promoter mutations significantly associate with poor prognosis in patients with GBM, although this correlation may be due to the association with primary GBM as opposed to IDH-positive secondary GBM<sup>201,203,206-207</sup>. In the context of IDHwildtype glioma, TERT mutations are associated with reduced OS (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines,

#### FINDING SUMMARY

Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT, or hTERT) is a catalytic subunit of the telomerase complex, which is required to maintain appropriate chromosomal length<sup>208</sup>. Activation of TERT is a hallmark of cancer, being detected in up to 80-90% of malignancies and absent in quiescent cells<sup>209-211</sup>. Mutations within the promoter region of TERT that confer enhanced TERT promoter activity have been reported in two hotspots, located at -124 bp and -146 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site (also termed C228T and C250T, respectively)<sup>212-214</sup>, as well as tandem mutations at positions -124/-125 bp and -138/-139 bp<sup>212</sup>.

#### POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

TERT mutations are associated with 1p/19q codeletion in oligodendrogliomas, and are highly recurrent in IDH/ATRX-wildtype glioblastoma (GBM) (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v1.2022)<sup>215</sup>. The presence of EGFR gene amplification or TERT promoter mutations are indicative of diffuse astrocytic glioma with molecular features of glioblastoma, WHO grade 4 in IDH1/2-wildtype tumors (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v1.2022)<sup>216</sup>.



THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

### **Erlotinib**

Assay findings association

**EGFR** A289V

#### **AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE**

Erlotinib is a small-molecule inhibitor of EGFR. It is FDA approved as a monotherapy or in combination with ramucirumab for patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring EGFR exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) mutations. Erlotinib is also FDA approved in combination with gemcitabine as a first-line treatment for advanced pancreatic cancer. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

#### **GENE ASSOCIATION**

Amplification or activation of EGFR may predict sensitivity to therapies such as erlotinib. For patients with activating mutations in EGFR, treatment with erlotinib has been associated with improved response and lengthened time to progression<sup>50,217-219</sup>.

#### **SUPPORTING DATA**

In the MyPathway Phase 2a basket study for advanced solid tumors, 1 of 9 patients with EGFR activation mutations responded to erlotinib monotherapy; the responding patient had urethral adenocarcinoma<sup>220</sup>. A

patient with EGFR-mutated metastatic lacrimal gland adenoid cystic carcinoma experienced clinical benefit from erlotinib treatment that was ongoing at 14 months<sup>221</sup>. A clinical study of patients with glioblastoma (GBM) treated with gefitinib or erlotinib found that 9/49 (18%) had tumor shrinkage of 25% or more; in this study, the extracellular domain EGFRvIII mutation was correlated with response<sup>222</sup>. In a Phase 2 study of 65 patients with GBM or gliosarcoma, treatment with erlotinib, temozolomide, and radiotherapy resulted in longer progression-free survival relative to a historical control study utilizing a regimen of temozolomide and radiotherapy alone (19.3 months vs. 14.1 months)<sup>223</sup>. However, in a Phase 1/2 trial of erlotinib monotherapy in 11 patients with relapsed or refractory GBM or anaplastic astrocytoma, all patients showed disease progression and the drug showed significant toxicity<sup>224</sup>. In addition, a Phase 2 trial of patients with recurrent or progressive GBM treated with erlotinib and sorafenib did not meet its objective of a 30% increase in overall survival time compared with historical controls; sorafenib was found to increase erlotinib clearance<sup>225</sup>.

## Gefitinib

Assay findings association

**EGFR** A289V

#### **AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE**

Gefitinib targets the tyrosine kinase EGFR and is FDA approved to treat non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) substitution mutations in EGFR. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

#### **GENE ASSOCIATION**

Activation of EGFR may predict sensitivity to therapies such as gefitinib. Clinical studies have consistently shown significant improvement in response rates and PFS for patients with EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with gefitinib compared with chemotherapy<sup>219,226-231</sup>, and responses have been reported for patients with EGFR-rearranged NSCLC<sup>232-233</sup>.

#### **SUPPORTING DATA**

A clinical study of patients with glioblastoma (GBM)

treated with gefitinib or erlotinib found that 9/49 (18%) had tumor shrinkage of 25% or more; in this study, the extracellular domain EGFRvIII mutation was correlated with response<sup>222</sup>. A Phase 2 clinical study of gefitinib in patients with high-grade glioma (including GBM, anaplastic astrocytoma, and oligodendroglioma) reported 18% (5/28) disease stabilization; efficacy was not correlated with EGFR expression<sup>234</sup>. However, a Phase 1/2 clinical trial of gefitinib combined with radiotherapy in 178 patients with GBM reported no overall survival benefit of added gefitinib, and EGFR expression was found to be of no prognostic value for patients treated with gefitinib plus radiotherapy<sup>235</sup>. A Phase 2 trial of preoperative gefitinib treatment in patients with recurrent GBM reported that although EGFR phosphorylation was decreased in treated patients as compared to the control group, measurement of 12 downstream molecules revealed no significant changes236.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy



THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

## **Osimertinib**

Assay findings association

EGFR A289V

#### **AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE**

Osimertinib is an irreversible EGFR TKI that is selective for EGFR TKI-sensitizing mutations and the EGFR T790M mutation. It is FDA approved in various treatment settings for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) whose tumors have EGFR exon 19 deletions, exon 21 L858R mutations, or T790M mutations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

#### **GENE ASSOCIATION**

EGFR TKI-sensitizing mutations or rearrangements and/ or the EGFR T790M mutation may predict sensitivity to osimertinib in non-small cell lung cancer  $^{60,232,237\cdot239}$ . EGFR mutations may confer sensitivity to osimertinib on the basis of clinical responses to the third-generation TKI osimertinib for patients with EGFR-mutated glioma  $^{67\cdot68}$  and additional clinical studies suggesting clinical benefit for these patients  $^{64,240}$ .

#### **SUPPORTING DATA**

Clinical benefit from osimertinib has been observed for cases of pediatric and adult patients with EGFR-altered glioma<sup>64,67-68,240-241</sup>. Osimertinib has been studied primarily for the treatment of EGFR-mutated NSCLC. The Phase 3 FLAURA study reported that, relative to erlotinib or gefitinib, first-line osimertinib significantly increased both median PFS (mPFS; 18.9 vs. 10.2 months, HR=0.46) and median OS (38.6 vs. 31.8 months; HR=0.80) for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and activating, sensitizing EGFR mutations (specifically, exon 19 deletion or L858)237,242. In the Phase 3 ADAURA study, patients with early-stage (IB/II/IIIA) EGFR-mutated NSCLC experienced longer disease-free survival on osimertinib compared with placebo in the adjuvant setting (65.8 vs. 28.1 months, HR=0.27)<sup>243</sup>. A Phase 1 study reported that T790M-negative patients with

acquired EGFR TKI resistance experienced an ORR of 21% and a median PFS of 2.8 months<sup>60</sup>. A Phase 1b/2 study evaluating osimertinib in combination with the CD73 inhibitor oleclumab for patients with advanced EGFR-mutated, T790M-negative NSCLC reported an ORR of 19% (4/21), a DCR of 81%, and mPFS of 11 months (Kim et al., 2021 AACR Abstract CT163). A Phase 2 study of osimertinib for EGFR-TKI-naive patients with metastatic or recurrent NSCLC and uncommon EGFR mutations reported a 50% (18/36) ORR and an 89% (32/ 36) DCR with a median PFS of 8.2 months and a median duration of response of 11.2 months; patients harboring L861Q, G719X, or S768I mutations had ORRs of 78% (7/ 9), 53% (10/19), and 38% (3/8), respectively<sup>244</sup>. A Phase 2 trial of osimertinib in combination with bevacizumab versus osimertinib monotherapy for patients with untreated advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring EGFR del19 or L858R reported no difference in ORR (82% vs 86%) and median PFS (22.1 vs 20.2 months, HR 0.862 p=0.213)<sup>245</sup>. The Phase 2 BOOSTER study of osimertinib in combination with bevacizumab versus osimertinib monotherapy for patients with advanced NSCLC with EGFR-sensitizing mutations (exon 19 del or L858R) and L790M at progression on prior EGFR TKI reported no difference in ORR (55% vs 55%), median OS (24.0 vs 24.3 months, HR 1.03 p=0.91), or median PFS (15.4 vs 12.3 months, HR 0.96 p=0.83), although improved PFS was observed for the combination in the subgroup of current or former smokers (16.5 vs 8.4, HR 0.52) while nonsmokers had no benefit (HR 1.47)<sup>246</sup>. The Phase 1b TATTON study of osimertinib in combination with selumetinib, savolitinib, or durvalumab for patients with previously treated EGFR-mutated NSCLC reported ORRs of 42% (15/36), 44% (8/18), and 44% (10/23), respectively<sup>247</sup>.

**NOTE** Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



PATIENT Lin, Te Hsien TUMOR TYPE
Brain anaplastic astrocytoma

REPORT DATE 24 Jan 2023

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1544965-01

**CLINICAL TRIALS** 

**NOTE** Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually

updated and should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial  $\rightarrow$  Geographical proximity  $\rightarrow$  Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that

may require medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or, visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-testing#support-services.

## EGFR

ALTERATION A289V

#### **RATIONALE**

EGFR activating mutations, rearrangements, or amplification may predict sensitivity to EGFRtargeted therapies. Strategies to overcome resistance to current agents include nextgeneration EGFR inhibitors and combination therapies.

NCT03239015	PHASE 2
Efficacy and Safety of Targeted Precision Therapy in Refractory Tumor With Druggable Molecular Event	TARGETS EGFR, ERBB4, ERBB2, PARP, mTOR, MET, ROS1, RET, VEGFRs, BRAF, CDK4, CDK6

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China)

NCT03783403	PHASE 1
A Study of CC-95251, a Monoclonal Antibody Directed Against SIRP $\alpha$ , in Subjects With Advanced Solid and Hematologic Cancers	TARGETS CD20, EGFR, SIRP-alpha

**LOCATIONS:** Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Heidelberg (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), Manchester (United Kingdom), Edmonton (Canada), Rouen (France), Oregon, Marseille (France), Creteil (France), Nantes Cedex 01 (France)

NCT04946968	PHASE 2
Phase-2 Dacomitinib Study on Patients With EGFR-Driven Advanced Solid Tumours With Low EGFR-AS1 IncRNA Expr or Other Novel Emerging Biomarkers	TARGETS ERBB4, EGFR, ERBB2
LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)	
NCT04616196	PHASE 1/2
Study of NKTR 255 in Combination With Cetuximab in Solid Tumors	TARGETS EGFR

LOCATIONS: California, Montana, Arizona, Minnesota, Illinois, Michigan, Texas, New York

NCT04720976	PHASE 1/2
JAB-3312 Activity in Adult Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS MEK, SHP2, PD-1, EGFR, KRAS

LOCATIONS: Utah, California, Arizona, Minnesota, Illinois, Michigan, Oklahoma, Missouri, Indiana, Connecticut

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



REPORT DATE 24 Jan 2023

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1544965-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

TARGETS EGFR
PHASE 1
TARGETS SHP2, EGFR



REPORT DATE 24 Jan 2023



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1544965-01

**CLINICAL TRIALS** 

## PTEN

## **ALTERATION** E314fs\*3

#### RATIONALE

PTEN loss or inactivating mutations may lead to increased activation of the PI<sub>3</sub>K-AKT-mTOR pathway and may indicate sensitivity to inhibitors

of this pathway. PTEN loss or inactivation may also predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors.

NCT04337463	PHASE NULL
ATG-008 Combined With Toripalimab in Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTORC1, mTORC2, PD-1
LOCATIONS: Chongqing (China), Chengdu (China)	

NCT04740190	PHASE 2
Talazoparib - Carboplatin for Recurrent High-grade Glioma With DDRd	TARGETS PARP
LOCATIONS: Hong Kong (Hong Kong)	

NCT02264678	PHASE 1/2

Ascending Doses of AZD6738 in Combination With Chemotherapy and/or Novel Anti Cancer Agents

TARGETS

ATR, PARP, PD-L1

LOCATIONS: Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang-si (Korea, Republic of), Cambridge (United Kingdom), Withington (United Kingdom), Manchester (United Kingdom), London (United Kingdom), Coventry (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom), Oxford (United Kingdom)

NCT05035745	PHASE 1/2
Selinexor & Talazoparib in Advanced Refractory Solid Tumors; Advanced/Metastatic Triple Negative Breast Cancer (START)	TARGETS XPO1, PARP
LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)	

NCT03772561	PHASE 1
Phase I Study of AZD5363 + Olaparib + Durvalumab in Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumor Malignancies	TARGETS PARP, AKTs, PD-L1

NCT05076513	PHASE NULL
Trial of Niranarib in Participants With Newly-diagnosed Glioblastoma and Recurrent Glioma	TARGETS

Trial of Niraparib in Participants With Newly-diagnosed Glioblastoma and Recurrent Glioma

TARGETS
PARP

LOCATIONS: Arizona

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)



REPORT DATE 24 Jan 2023



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1544965-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT04801966	PHASE NULL		
Safety and Oversight of the Individually Tailored Treatment Approach: A Novel Pilot Study	TARGETS CDK4, CDK6, PI3K-alpha, PD-L1, MEK, PARP, PD-1, BRAF		
LOCATIONS: Melbourne (Australia)			
NCT04317105	PHASE 1/2		
Testing the Addition of an Anti-cancer Drug, Copanlisib, to the Usual Immunotherapy (Nivolumab With or Without Ipilimumab) in Patients With Advanced Solid Cancers That Have Changes in the Following Genes: PIK3CA and PTEN	TARGETS PD-1, CTLA-4, PI3K		
LOCATIONS: Toronto (Canada), Texas, Virginia			
NCT05297864	PHASE 2		
PARP Inhibition for Gliomas (PI-4G or π4g)	TARGETS PARP		
LOCATIONS: Oklahoma			
NCT02484404	PHASE 1/2		
Phase I/II Study of the Anti-Programmed Death Ligand-1 Antibody MEDI4736 in Combination With Olaparib and/or Cediranib for Advanced Solid Tumors and Advanced or Recurrent Ovarian, Triple Negative Breast, Lung, Prostate and Colorectal Cancers	TARGETS PARP, VEGFRS, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, KIT, PD-L1		



REPORT DATE 24 Jan 2023



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1544965-01

**APPENDIX** 

Variants of Unknown Significance

**NOTE** One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

<b>ALK</b>	<b>DOT1L</b>	<b>GATA6</b>	<i>INPP4B</i>
V163L	A1266T	A179_A183del	1342V
<b>MET</b>	<b>MLL2</b>	<b>NOTCH1</b>	<b>NOTCH3</b>
S1040P	S3781N	E1563D	A102T
<b>PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)</b> P228S	<b>TET2</b> H658Y		

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1544965-01

**APPENDIX** 

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

#### DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY **NUMBER ALTERATIONS**

ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B	or WTX)
APC	AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX
AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2
BCL6	BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1
BTG2	BTK	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1	CCND2
CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1
CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B	CDKN2C
CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R	CTCF
CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1	DDR2
DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EMSY (C11orf30)	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12	FGF14
FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4
FGF 19 FH	FGF23 FLCN	FLT1	FGF4 FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3	GATA4
					GNAS		GSK3B	
GATA6	GID4 (C17orf39)	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ		GRM3		H3-3A (H3F3A)
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11 (MRE11A)	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	<i>NOTCH3</i>
NPM1	NRAS	NSD2 (WHSC1 or I	•	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3
P2RY8	PALB2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)
PDGFRA	PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1
PMS2	POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI
PRKN (PARK2)	PTCH1	PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51
RAD51B	RAD51C	RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10
REL	RET	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC
SDHD	SETD2	SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO
SNCAIP	SOCS1	SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3
STK11	SUFU	SYK	TBX3	TEK	TENT5C (FAM46C	)	TET2	TGFBR2
TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53	TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA
VHL	WT1	XPO1	XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703			
DNA GENE LIS	ST: FOR THE D	ETECTION OF	SELECT REAR	RANGEMENTS				
ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TMPRSS2

<sup>\*</sup>TERC is an NCRNA

#### ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

**Homologous Recombination status** Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

<sup>\*\*</sup>Promoter region of TERT is interrogated

**APPENDIX** 

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a,

Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium. C € **ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX** 



FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

#### **INTENDED USE**

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

#### **TEST PRINCIPLE**

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes

detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture-selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

#### THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

#### **Diagnostic Significance**

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical

methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

**Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials** Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

#### **NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK®** (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

#### Limitations

1. In the fraction-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy



**APPENDIX** 

About FoundationOne®CDx

- analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. Homologous Recombination status may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas (Coleman et al., 2017; 28916367). Samples with deleterious BRCA1/2 alteration and/or Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score ≥ 16% will be reported as "HRD Positive" and samples with absence of these findings will be reported as "HRD Not Detected," agnostic of potential secondary BRCA1/2 reversion alterations. Certain potentially deleterious missense or small in-frame deletions in BRCA1/2 may not be classified as deleterious and, in the absence of an elevated LOH profile, samples with such mutations may be classified as "HRD Not Detected." A result of "HRD Not Detected" does not rule out the presence of a BRCA1/2 alteration or an elevated LOH profile outside the assay performance characteristic limitations.
- 4. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and

- extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 5. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- 6. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy.
- 7. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an *ERBB2* amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of *ERBB2* copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/

disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

#### **REPORT HIGHLIGHTS**

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal

hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating physician.

#### **VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY**

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS  Repeatability	%CV*

\*Interquartile Range = 1st Quartile to 3rd Quartile

#### VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



**APPENDIX** 

About FoundationOne®CDx

tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

## VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

#### LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

#### **NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT**

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

#### NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

## TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking

into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

#### **SELECT ABBREVIATIONS**

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

#### REFERENCE SEQUENCE INFORMATION

Sequence data is mapped to the human genome, Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37 (GRCh37), also known as hg19.

MR Suite Version (RG) 7.4.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 729x

**APPENDIX** 

References

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1544965-01

- 1. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- 2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 6. Alonso M, et al. Cancer Res. (2001) pmid: 11280776
- 7. Rodríguez-Hernández I, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid:
- 8. Vladimirova V, et al. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. (2008) pmid: 18053027
- 9. Martinez R, et al. Oncology (2004) pmid: 15331927
- 10. Martinez R, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. (2005) pmid: 15672285
- 11. Martinez R, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (2007) pmid: 17498554
- 12. Szybka M, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 12908754
- 13. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- 15. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid:
- 16. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 17. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 18. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 19. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid:
- 22. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 23. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid:
- 25. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 27. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721
- 28. Sharma P. et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128 29. Zhao J, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 30742119
- 30. Touat M, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32322066
- 31. Bouffet E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27001570
- 32. Johanns TM, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid:
- 27683556 33. Lukas RV, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2018) pmid: 30073642
- Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 28420421
- Patel RR, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer (2020) pmid: 32386112
- 36. Johnson A, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28912153
- Draaisma K, et al. Acta Neuropathol Commun (2015) pmid: 26699864
- 38. Wang L, et al. BMC Cancer (2020) pmid: 32164609
- 39. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- 40. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 41. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- 42. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 43. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570 44. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature
- (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 46. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- 47. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- 48. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696

- 49. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid:
- 50. Rosell R. et al. Lancet Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22285168 51. Douillard JY, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2014) pmid: 24263064
- 52. Hayashi T, et al. Hum Pathol (2020) pmid: 32673682
- 53. Cao L, et al. Onco Targets Ther (2018) pmid: 29780256
- **54.** Yang TY, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2011) pmid: 21422421
- 55. Sequist LV, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23816960
- 56. Qin BD, et al. Onco Targets Ther (2018) pmid: 30127622
- 57. Frega S. et al. J Thorac Oncol (2016) pmid: 27131295
- 58. Long X, et al. Onco Targets Ther (2020) pmid: 33116645
- 59. Mok TS, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29864379
- 60. Jänne PA, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 25923549
- 61. Hong MH, et al. Cancer (2020) pmid: 32749686
- 62. Kim HS, et al. Oncotarget (2015) pmid: 26462025
- 63. Kim HS, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 25424851
- 64. Mondal G, et al. Acta Neuropathol (2020) pmid:
- 32303840 65. Cavalieri S, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2018) pmid: 29734047
- 66. Chi AS, et al. JCO Precis Oncol (2020) pmid: 32923886
- 67. Makhlin I, et al. CNS Oncol (2019) pmid: 31769726
- 68. Goyal A, et al. World Neurosurg (2021) pmid: 33940677
- 69. Jonsson P, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31263031
- 70. Brennan CW, et al. Cell (2013) pmid: 24120142
- 71. Ceccarelli M, et al. Cell (2016) pmid: 26824661
- 72. Thomas AA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2017) pmid: 28472509
- 73. Lee JC, et al. PLoS Med. (2006) pmid: 17177598
- 74. Vivanco I, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588883
- 75. Smith JS, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2001) pmid: 11504770
- 76. Shinojima N, et al. Cancer Res. (2003) pmid: 14583498
- Ambroise MM, et al. Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev. (2010) pmid: 21133628
- Hobbs J, et al. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. (2012) pmid: 22472960
- 79. Ciardiello F, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2008) pmid:
- 80. Courtney KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20085938
- 81. Simpson L, et al. Exp. Cell Res. (2001) pmid: 11237521
- 82. Patnaik A, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27672108
- 83. Milella M, et al. Sci Rep (2017) pmid: 28220839
- 84. Templeton AJ, et al. Eur. Urol. (2013) pmid: 23582881
- 85. Sweeney C, et al. Lancet (2021) pmid: 34246347
- 86. de Bono JS, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid:
- 87. Saura C, et al. Cancer Discov (2017) pmid: 27872130
- 88. Voss MH, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 30327302
- André F, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27091708 90. Schmid P, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31841354
- 91. Weldon Gilcrease G, et al. Invest New Drugs (2019)
- pmid: 30302599 92. Mendes-Pereira AM, et al. EMBO Mol Med (2009)
- pmid: 20049735
- 93. Shen Y, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23881923 94. Chatteriee P. et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23565244
- **95.** McCormick A, et al. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer (2016) pmid: 26905328
- 96. Forster MD, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2011) pmid:
- 97. Eikesdal HP, et al. Ann Oncol (2021) pmid: 33242536
- 98. Dougherty et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 5536
- 99. Pan M, et al. Perm J (2021) pmid: 33970096

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed form

100. Sandhu SK, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23810788

- 101. Romero I, et al. Gynecol Oncol (2020) pmid: 32988624
- 102. Zhou XP, et al. Int. J. Cancer (1999) pmid: 10096247
- 103. Rasheed BK, et al. Cancer Res. (1997) pmid: 9331072
- 104. Davies MP, et al. Br. J. Cancer (1999) pmid: 10188904
- 105. Lin H, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9796977
- Schmidt EE, et al. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. (1999) pmid: 10560660
- Kato H, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2000) pmid: 11051241
- 108. Furnari FB, et al. Genes Dev. (2007) pmid: 17974913
- 109. Yan et al. 2020; DOI:10.1200/PO.19.00385 Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26061751
- Srividya MR, et al. Neuropathology (2011) pmid:
- 112. Campbell RB, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2003) pmid: 12857747
- 113. Rodríguez-Escudero I, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2011) pmid: 21828076
- 114. He X, et al. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23475934
- 115. Han SY, et al. Cancer Res. (2000) pmid: 10866302
- 116. Myers MP, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1998) pmid: 9811831
- 117. Pradella LM, et al. BMC Cancer (2014) pmid: 24498881
- 118. Kim JS, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2011) pmid: 21536651
- Denning G, et al. Oncogene (2007) pmid: 17213812
- 120. Hlobilkova A, et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 16619501
- 121. Redfern RE, et al. Protein Sci. (2010) pmid: 20718038
- 122. Shenoy S, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 22505997 Wang Y, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009) pmid:
- 19329485
- 124. Okumura K, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2006) pmid: 16829519
- 125. Lee JO, et al. Cell (1999) pmid: 10555148
- 126. Maxwell GL, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9635567
- 127. Risinger JI, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9865913 Fenton TR, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2012)
- pmid: 22891331 Ngeow J, et al. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. (2012) pmid: 23066114
- 130. Lobo GP, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2009) pmid:
- 19457929 131. Liu J. et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 23995781
- 132. Maehama T, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2001) pmid: 11395408
- 133. De Vivo I, et al. J. Med. Genet. (2000) pmid: 10807691
- Ramaswamy S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1999) pmid: 10051603
- 135. Liu JL, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2005) pmid: 15988030
- 136. Karoui M, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2004) pmid: 15026806
- 137. Gil A, et al. PLoS ONE (2015) pmid: 25875300
- 138. Furnari FB, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823298
- 139. Spinelli L, et al. J. Med. Genet. (2015) pmid: 25527629 Mingo J, et al. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. (2018) pmid: 29706633 140.
- Wang Q, et al. J. Mol. Graph. Model. (2010) pmid: 20538496
- 142. Andrés-Pons A, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid: 17942903
- 143. Butler MG, et al. J. Med. Genet. (2005) pmid: 15805158 Georgescu MM, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1999)
- pmid: 10468583 145. Staal FJ, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2002) pmid: 12085208
- 146. Nguyen HN, et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 24292679
- 147. Rahdar M, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009) pmid: 19114656
- Das S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) pmid: 12808147

149. Wang X, et al. Biochem. J. (2008) pmid: 18498243



**APPENDIX** 

References

#### ORDERED TEST # ORD-1544965-01

- 150. Valiente M. et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2005) pmid: 15951562
- 151. Nguyen HN, et al. Oncogene (2015) pmid: 25263454
- 152. Shan L, et al. Cell Discov (2020) pmid: 32704382
- 153. Blumenthal GM, et al. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. (2008) pmid:
- 154. Orloff MS, et al. Oncogene (2008) pmid: 18794875
- 155. Zbuk KM, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2007) pmid: 17167516
- 156. Borad MJ, et al. PLoS Genet. (2014) pmid: 24550739
- 157. Ferby I, et al. Nat. Med. (2006) pmid: 16648858
- 158. Walsh AM, et al. J. Cell. Sci. (2013) pmid: 23868981
- 159. Zehir A, et al. Nat. Med. (2017) pmid: 28481359
- 160. Anastasi S, et al. Oncogene (2003) pmid: 12833145
- 161. Anastasi S, et al. Oncogene (2007) pmid: 17599051
- 162. Zhang X, et al. Nature (2007) pmid: 18046415
- 163. Anastasi S, et al. Oncogene (2005) pmid: 15856022
- 164. Fiorini M, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12226756
- 165. Frosi Y, et al. J. Cell Biol. (2010) pmid: 20421427
- Ying H, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2010) pmid: 20351267
- 167. Reschke M, et al. Hepatology (2010) pmid: 20044804
- 168. Zhang YW, et al. Oncogene (2007) pmid: 16819504
- 169. Hackel PO, et al. Biol. Chem. (2001) pmid: 11843178
- 170. Fiorentino L, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2000) pmid:
- 171. Owonikoko et al., 2016: ESMO Abstract 14230
- 172. Hook KE, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) pmid: 22222631
- 173. Gong X, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 30373917
- 174. Oser MG, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 30373918
- Yang W, et al. Kaohsiung J Med Sci (2022) pmid: 175.
- Beltran H, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 176. 30232224
- 177. Allaman-Pillet N, et al. Ophthalmic Genet. () pmid:
- 178. Viatour P, et al. J. Exp. Med. (2011) pmid: 21875955
- 179. Kim YH, et al. Brain Pathol. (2011) pmid: 21470325
- 180. Ichimura K, et al. Oncogene (1996) pmid: 8806696 181. Henson JW, et al. Ann. Neurol. (1994) pmid: 7979217
- Gonzalez-Gomez P, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2003) pmid:
- 12556968
- 183. Ohta T, et al. Oncol. Rep. (2006) pmid: 17016577
- 184. Bäcklund LM, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2005) pmid: 15970925

- 185. Burkhart DL, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2008) pmid:
- 186. Knudsen ES, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2008) pmid: 19143056
- 187. Berge EO, et al. Mol. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20594292
- 188. Giacinti C, et al. Oncogene (2006) pmid: 16936740
- Otterson GA, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1997) pmid: 9342358
- 190. Otterson GA, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (1999) pmid: 10486322
- 191. Oin XO, et al. Genes Dev. (1992) pmid: 1534305
- 192. Rubin SM, et al. Cell (2005) pmid: 16360038
- 193. Sun H, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2006) pmid: 16449662
- 194. Chen Z, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2014) pmid: 24282159
- 195. Yun J. et al. Int J Ophthalmol (2011) pmid: 22553621
- Houston SK, et al. Int Ophthalmol Clin (2011) pmid: 196. 21139478
- 197. Ng AK, et al. Semin Radiat Oncol (2010) pmid: 19959033
- 198. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2017) pmid: 27245281
- 199. Duperret EK, et al. Mol Ther (2018) pmid: 29249395
- 200. Chiappori AA, et al. Ann Oncol (2015) pmid: 25467017
- 201. Killela PJ, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2013) pmid: 23530248
- 202. Killela PJ, et al. Oncotarget (2014) pmid: 24722048
- 203. Nonoguchi N, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 23955565
- 204. Liu X, et al. Cell Cycle (2013) pmid: 23603989
- 205. Koelsche C, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid:
- 206. Arita H. et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 23764841
- 207. Reitman ZJ, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid:
- Shay JW, et al. Semin. Cancer Biol. (2011) pmid: 208. 22015685
- 209. Shay JW, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (1997) pmid: 9282118
- 210. Kim NW, et al. Science (1994) pmid: 7605428
- 211. Hanahan D, et al. Cell (2000) pmid: 10647931
- 212. Horn S, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348503

33293629

- 213. Huang FW. et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348506
- 214. Vinagre J, et al. Nat Commun (2013) pmid: 23887589 215. Weller M, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2021) pmid:

- 216. Louis DN, et al. Neuro Oncol (2021) pmid: 34185076
- 217. Cappuzzo F, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20493771
- Zhong WZ, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31194613
- Petrelli F, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2012) pmid:
- 220. Hainsworth JD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29320312
- 221. Nie KK, et al. Chin Med J (Engl) (2018) pmid: 29998897
- 222. Mellinghoff IK, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2005) pmid: 16282176
- 223. Prados MD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19075262
- Kesavabhotla K, et al. J. Exp. Ther. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 224.
- Peereboom DM, et al. Neuro-oncology (2013) pmid: 23328813
- 226. Han JY, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22370314
- Maemondo M, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2010) pmid: 20573926
- 228. Mitsudomi T, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20022809
- Mok TS, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2009) pmid: 19692680
- 230. Qi WX, et al. Curr Med Res Opin (2015) pmid: 25329826
- 231. Zhao H, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 25546556
- 232. Wang J, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2019) pmid: 30255937
- 233. Baik CS, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 26398831
- 234. Franceschi E. et al. Br. J. Cancer (2007) pmid: 17353924
- Chakravarti A, et al. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. (2013) pmid: 23182702
- 236. Hegi ME, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid: 21471286
- 237. Soria JC, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29151359
- 238. Alanazi A, et al. Lung Cancer Manag (2020) pmid: 33318755
- Kim et al., 2021; DOI: 10.1200/PO.20.00296 239.
- 240. Abousand et al., 2021; DOI: 10.26502/jcsct.5079114
- 241. Cardona AF, et al. J Neurooncol (2021) pmid: 34498213
- Ramalingam SS, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2019) pmid: 31751012
- 243. Tsuboi et al., 2022; ESMO Abstract LBA47
- 244. Cho JH, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31825714
- Kenmotsu H, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2022) pmid: 245. 35636696
- 246. Soo et al., 2021; ESMO Abstract VP3-2021
- 247. Oxnard GR, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32139298

isclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy