

PATIENT Li, Mei-Yu TUMOR TYPE
Colon adenocarcinoma (CRC)
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE 11 Jul 2022 ORDERED TEST # ORD-1402485-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Colon adenocarcinoma (CRC)
NAME Li, Mei-Yu
DATE OF BIRTH 06 December 1951
SEX Female
MEDICAL RECORD # 48392821

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen
MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN SITE Colon
SPECIMEN ID S111-22625 D (PF22076)
SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck
DATE OF COLLECTION 14 June 2022
SPECIMEN RECEIVED 30 June 2022

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 4 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

KRAS G13D NRAS wildtype APC Q1429* PTEN loss exons 1-4 TP53 Y126C

2 Disease relevant genes with no reportable alterations: *BRAF*, *NRAS*

Report Highlights

- Evidence-matched **clinical trial options** based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 9)

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable Tumor Mutational Burden - 4 Muts/Mb GENOMIC FINDINGS KRAS - G13D 10 Trials see p. 10 NRAS - wildtype 0 Trials APC - Q1429* 4 Trials see p. 9 PTEN - loss exons 1-4 10 Trials see p. 12

·	<u> </u>				
No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section					
THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)				
Cetuximab 🗴	none				
Panitumumab 🗴					
Cetuximab 🗴	none				
Panitumumab 😮					
none	none				
none	none				

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

Extensive evidence showing variant(s) in this sample may confer resistance to this therapy



PATIENT Li, Mei-Yu TUMOR TYPE
Colon adenocarcinoma (CRC)
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE 11 Jul 2022 ORDERED TEST # ORD-1402485-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

TP53 - Y126C p. <u>7</u>

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)⁵. For patients with chemotherapy-refractory microsatellite-stable (MSS) metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC), a Phase 3 trial reported no OS advantage from the combination of the PD-L1 inhibitor atezolizumab plus cobimetinib relative to regorafenib (8.9 vs. 8.5 months, HR=1.00);

atezolizumab monotherapy similarly did not prolong OS (7.1 vs. 8.5 months, HR=1.19)⁶. For patients with MSS CRC, a Phase 2 study combining ipilimumab and nivolumab reported an overall DCR of 25% $(10/40)^7$. Two Phase 1 studies for patients with MSS CRC treated with regorafenib and nivolumab reported PFSs of 7.9 months⁸ and 5.7 months⁹, and a patient with MSS CRC refractory to chemotherapy treated with the PD-1 inhibitor sintilimab and regorafenib reported a CR^{10} .

Nontargeted Approaches —

MSI has not been found to be a predictive biomarker for combination chemotherapy regimens, including FOLFOX¹¹⁻¹² and FOLFIRI¹³⁻¹⁴. Patients with MSS CRC are more likely to benefit from postsurgical fluorouracil (FU)-based adjuvant therapy¹⁵⁻¹⁶ but less likely to benefit from irinotecan chemotherapy¹⁷.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MSS colorectal cancers (CRCs) make up 70-85% of CRC cases^{3,18-22}. MSS colorectal cancers are

molecularly heterogeneous, driven by diverse mechanisms such as extensive DNA methylation, oncogenic mutations in KRAS or BRAF, or chromosomal instability²². Multiple studies have shown that MSS CRCs have a worse prognosis than MSI-high tumors^{18,23-29}.

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor²⁰. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH₂, MSH₆, or PMS₂^{20,30-31}. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers^{19,32-33}. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{19-20,31,33}.

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 4 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L134-36, anti-PD-1 therapies34-37, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab $^{38-43}$. In multiple pan-tumor studies, increased tissue tumor mutational burden (TMB) was associated with sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors $^{34-37,44-48}$. In the KEYNOTE 158 trial of pembrolizumab monotherapy for patients with solid tumors, significant improvement in ORR was observed for patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (as measured by this assay) compared with those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb in a large cohort that included multiple tumor types⁴⁴; similar findings were observed in the KEYNOTE 028 and 012 trials 37 . At the same TMB cutpoint, retrospective analysis of patients with solid tumors treated with any checkpoint inhibitor identified that tissue TMB scores ≥ 10 Muts/Mb were associated with prolonged time to treatment failure compared with scores <10 muts/Mb (HR=0.68)48. For patients with solid tumors treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab in the CheckMate 848 trial, improved responses were observed in patients with a tissue TMB ≥ 10 Muts/Mb independent of blood TMB at any cutpoint in matched samples⁴⁹. However, support for higher TMB thresholds and efficacy was observed in the prospective Phase 2 MyPathway trial of atezolizumab for patients with pan-solid tumors, where improved ORR and DCR was seen in patients with TMB ≥ 16 Muts/Mb

than those with TMB \geq 10 and <16 Muts/Mb⁴⁷. Similarly, analyses across several solid tumor types reported that patients with higher TMB (defined as ≥16-20 Muts/Mb) achieved greater clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting monotherapy compared with patients with higher TMB treated with chemotherapy⁵⁰ or those with lower TMB treated with PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting agents35. In CRC specifically, a retrospective analysis of immune checkpoint inhibitor efficacy reported significantly improved OS for patients with tumors harboring TMB ≥9.8 Muts/MB compared with those with tumors with TMB < 9.8 Muts/Mb (~ equivalency <12 Muts/Mb as measured by this assay) 34 . Another retrospective study reported that a TMB ≥12 Muts/Mb cutoff identifies >99% of MSI-High CRC cases but only 3% of MSS cases, indicating the utility of this cutoff for identification of patients with CRC likely to benefit from treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors⁵¹.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Elevated tumor mutational burden (TMB) has been reported in 8-25% of colorectal cancer (CRC) samples 21,52-53. Multiple studies have reported that up to 90% of hypermutated CRC cases exhibit high levels of microsatellite instability (MSI-H) and mismatch repair deficiency (MMR-D)^{21,52}. Increased TMB is significantly associated with MSI-H and MMR-D, with studies reporting that 100% of MSI-H CRCs harbor elevated TMB and conversely that 100% of tumors with low TMB harbor intact MMR52. A subset of CRCs that harbor increased TMB but not MSI-H are driven by mutations in POLE, which leads to an "ultramutated" phenotype with especially high TMB^{21,52}. Tumors with increased TMB harbor BRAF V600E mutations more frequently than those with low TMB^{21,52}, whereas TMB-low tumors more frequently harbor mutations in TP53 and APC^{21} . The prognostic value of tumor mutational burden (TMB) in colorectal cancer (CRC) is context- and therapy-dependent. A study

of tissue TMB (tTMB) in 145 CRC samples showed longer OS in TMB-high samples compared with TMB-low ones⁵⁴. Similarly, for patients with metastatic CRC treated with first-line chemotherapy combined with bevacizumab or cetuximab, high tissue TMB (tTMB-H) was associated with longer OS55. For patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy, tTMB-H was associated with better 5-year relapse-free survival⁵⁶. However, for patients with EGFR/ BRAF-inhibitor-treated, BRAF-mutated microsatellite stable (MSS) metastatic CRC, intermediate tTMB was associated with significantly poorer PFS and OS compared with TMB-low status; patients with primary resistance to EGFR/BRAF blockage had higher TMB than those sensitive to these therapies⁵⁷. In a study for 61 patients with metastatic, MSS CRC treated with best standard of care, plasma TMB scores ≥28 Muts/Mb (approximately 14 Muts/Mb as measured by this assay) were associated with reduced OS compared with plasma TMB scores <28 Muts/Mb (3.0 vs. 5.3 months, HR=0.76, p=0.007), whereas tTMB was not found to be prognostic in this population⁵⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma⁵⁹⁻⁶⁰ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer⁶¹⁻⁶², treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁶³⁻⁶⁴, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes^{21,65-68}, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{21,65,68}. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents^{34,44,51}.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

KRAS

ALTERATION

TRANSCRIPT ID NM_004985

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

38G>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

29.1%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Preclinical evidence suggests that KRAS activation may predict sensitivity to MEK inhibitors, such as trametinib, binimetinib, cobimetinib, and selumetinib⁶⁹⁻⁷⁴. However, multiple clinical trials have reported lack of efficacy of trametinib and other MEK inhibitors when used as monotherapy for treatment of patients with KRAS-mutant CRC75-79. Both clinical80-81 and preclinical82-83 studies suggest that combinatorial approaches including MEK inhibitors are likely to be more effective for the treatment of CRC, including strategies such as combination of MEK inhibitors with PI₃K inhibitors⁸¹, RAF inhibitors⁸², pan-ERBB inhibitors⁸³, or chemotherapeutic agents⁸⁰. In a Phase 1 study evaluating the MEK-pan-RAF dual inhibitor CH5126766, 6 patients harboring KRAS mutations experienced PRs, including 3

with non-small cell lung cancer (NCSLC), 1 with low-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (LGSOC), 1 with endometrial adenocarcinoma, and 1 with multiple myeloma⁸⁴. Combination of CH₅₁₂6766 with the FAK inhibitor defactinib elicited PR rates of 50% (4/8) for patients with KRAS-mutated low-grade serous ovarian cancer and 12% (2/17) for patients with KRAS-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in a Phase 1 study⁸⁵⁻⁸⁶ Preclinical and clinical data suggest that KRAS mutations may predict clinical benefit from SHP2 inhibitors87-88. A Phase 1 study of RMC-4630 for relapsed/refractory solid tumors reported a DCR of 58% (23/40) for patients with NSCLC and KRAS mutations and a DCR of 75% (12/16) for patients with NSCLC and KRAS G12C mutations89. Interim results from a Phase 1/2 study of RMC-4630 plus cobimetinib reported tumor reduction in 3 of 8 patients with KRASmutated colorectal cancer⁹⁰. Preclinical data suggest that KRAS mutation may confer sensitivity to SOS1 inhibitors⁹¹⁻⁹². Phase 1 studies of the SOS1 inhibitor BI 1701963 alone or in combination with MEK inhibitors, KRAS G12C inhibitors, or irinotecan are recruiting for patients with solid tumors harboring KRAS mutations93-94 . Preclinical and limited clinical evidence suggest that KRAS mutation may predict sensitivity to PLK1 inhibitors⁹⁵. A Phase 1b/2 study of PLK1 inhibitor onvansertib in combination with FOLFIRI and bevacizumab for patients with KRAS-mutated metastatic CRC previously treated with chemotherapy reported an 87.5% (7/8; 3 PR, 4 SD) clinical benefit rate, with 1 patient going on

to successful curative surgery96.

Potential Resistance —

Activating mutations in KRAS or NRAS are associated with lack of clinical benefit from cetuximab⁹⁷⁻¹⁰⁰ or panitumumab¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ for patients with CRC. Therefore, activating mutations in either gene indicate against the use of cetuximab and panitumumab (NCCN Colon Cancer Guidelines, v3.2021).

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Mutations in KRAS have been reported in approximately 35-50% of colorectal cancers (CRCs)¹⁰⁴⁻¹¹². Numerous studies have reported that KRAS mutations are associated with increased metastasis, adverse clinicopathological features, and shorter survival of patients with CRC^{106-109,113-114}.

FINDING SUMMARY

KRAS encodes a member of the RAS family of small GTPases. Activating mutations in RAS genes can cause uncontrolled cell proliferation and tumor formation^{70,115}. KRAS alterations affecting amino acids G12, G13, Q22, P34, A59, Q61, and A146, as well as mutations G10_A11insG, G10_A11insAG (also reported as G10_A11dup and G12_G13insAG), A18D, L19F, D33E, G60_A66dup/E62_A66dup, E62K, E63K, R68S, and K117N have been characterized as activating and oncogenic^{70,116-138}.

GENE

NRAS

ALTERATION wildtype

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Targeted Therapies —

Lack of mutations in KRAS or NRAS is associated with clinical benefit of treatment with EGFR-

targeting antibodies cetuximab⁹⁷⁻¹⁰⁰ or panitumumab¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ for patients with CRC. Therefore, these agents are indicated to treat patients with CRC lacking such mutations (NCCN Guidelines v_{3.2021}).

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

The majority of colorectal cancers (CRCs) (91–98%) have been reported to lack NRAS mutations^{21,112,139-144}. NRAS wild-type status has been reported to be associated with decreased frequency of metastasis¹¹² and longer survival¹⁴⁴⁻¹⁴⁵

of patients with CRC.

FINDING SUMMARY

NRAS encodes a member of the RAS family of small GTPases that mediate transduction of growth signals. Activation of RAS signaling causes cell growth, differentiation, and survival by activating the RAF-MAPK-ERK, PI₃K, and other pathways⁷⁰. No alterations in NRAS were identified in this case.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

APC

ALTERATION

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_000038

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

4285C>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

29.8%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no approved drugs targeting APC inactivation in cancer. Loss of APC function leads to accumulation of beta-catenin and upregulation of WNT pathway transcription programs¹⁴⁶, and potential therapeutic approaches to target this

pathway include CBP/beta-catenin antagonists, which interfere with the ability of beta-catenin to interact with transcriptional co-activator CBP¹⁴⁷⁻¹⁴⁸. In a Phase 1 trial of the CBP/beta-catenin antagonist E7386, 1 patient with APC-mutated small bowel adenocarcinoma achieved a PR with tumor shrinkage of -69% and response duration of 165 days¹⁴⁹; preclinical data support sensitivity of APC-deficient gastric or colorectal cancer models to E7386¹⁵⁰⁻¹⁵¹.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

APC mutations have been found in 73% of tumors in the colorectal adenocarcinoma TCGA dataset²¹. In 1 study, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of APC was observed in 32% of colorectal cancer (CRC) samples¹⁵². The prognostic significance of APC mutations in sporadic CRC remains unclear¹⁵³. Solid tumors with WNT/beta-catenin pathway alterations, as seen here, were observed to have significantly less T-cell inflammation in one

study¹⁵⁴.

FINDING SUMMARY

APC (adenomatous polyposis coli) encodes a tumor suppressor with critical roles in regulating cell division and adhesion. APC interacts with beta-catenin and controls signaling in the WNT pathway, which regulates embryonic development and cell differentiation¹⁵⁵. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt APC function or expression¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁶⁰.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline mutations in APC are found in more than 90% of patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP)¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶³. The prevalence for FAP in the general population is estimated to be 1:8,300 from birth¹⁶⁴, and in the appropriate clinical context germline testing of APC is recommended.

GENE

PTEN

ALTERATION

loss exons 1-4

..... the I

- Targeted Therapies -

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

PTEN loss or mutation leads to activation of the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway and may predict sensitivity to inhibitors of this pathway¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁶⁸. A Phase 2 trial examining first-line treatment of everolimus combined with mFOLFOX6 and bevacizumab in metastatic colorectal cancer reported a greater ORR for PTEN-deficient patients (86%) compared with the entire cohort (53%)¹⁶⁹. Preclinical data indicate that PTEN loss or inactivation may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors¹⁷⁰⁻¹⁷⁴, and clinical benefit has been observed for patients with PTEN-altered breast cancer including triple negative breast cancer¹⁷⁵, ovarian cancer¹⁷⁶, uterine leiomyosarcoma¹⁷⁷, and endometrial cancer¹⁷⁴ treated with PARP

inhibitors. However, some studies have reported a lack of association between PTEN mutation and PARP inhibitor sensitivity¹⁷⁸⁻¹⁷⁹.

Potential Resistance —

Multiple clinical studies report that inhibitors of the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway have not produced significant clinical benefit as monotherapies to treat CRC, even for tumors that harbor alterations in PIK₃CA or PTEN; data are more limited for alterations in other genes in this pathway¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸².

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

PTEN mutations have been reported in up to 5.9% of colorectal adenocarcinoma cases^{21,183-184}. Loss of PTEN protein expression has been observed in 19-55% of colorectal tumor samples described in the literature¹⁸⁵⁻¹⁹¹. Loss of PTEN has been correlated with tumor grade, distant metastasis, and shorter progression-free and overall survival in patients with colorectal cancer¹⁹²⁻¹⁹³.

FINDING SUMMARY

PTEN encodes an inositol phosphatase that

functions as a tumor suppressor by negatively regulating the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway; loss of PTEN can lead to uncontrolled cell growth and suppression of apoptosis¹⁶⁶. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt PTEN function or expression¹⁹⁴⁻²³⁵.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

PTEN mutations underlie several inherited disorders, collectively termed PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome (PHTS), which include Cowden syndrome (CS) and its variant Lhermitte-Duclos disease (LD), Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome (BRRS), PTEN-related Proteus syndrome (PS), and Proteus-like syndrome²³⁶⁻²³⁷. The mutation rate for PTEN in these disorders ranges from 20 to 85% of patients^{236,238}. The estimated incidence of Cowden syndrome is 1/ 200,000, which may be an underestimate due to the high variability of this disorder²³⁶. Given the association between PTEN and these inherited syndromes, in the appropriate clinical context, germline testing for mutations affecting PTEN is recommended.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

TP53

ALTERATION

Y126C

TRANSCRIPT ID

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

377A>G

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

24.7%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no approved therapies to address TP53 mutation or loss. However, tumors with TP53 loss of function alterations may be sensitive to the WEE1 inhibitor adavosertib²³⁹⁻²⁴², or p53 gene therapy and immunotherapeutics such as SGT-53²⁴³⁻²⁴⁷ and ALT-801²⁴⁸. In a Phase 1 study, adayosertib in combination with gemcitabine. cisplatin, or carboplatin elicited PRs in 9.7% and SDs in 53% of patients with solid tumors; the response rate was 21% (4/19) for patients with TP53 mutations versus 12% (4/33) for patients who were TP53 wildtype249. A Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with chemotherapy (gemcitabine, carboplatin, paclitaxel, or doxorubicin) reported a 32% (30/94, 3 CR) ORR and a 73% (69/94) DCR for patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian, Fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer²⁵⁰. A smaller Phase 2 trial of adayosertib in combination with carboplatin achieved a 43% (9/21, 1 CR) ORR and a 76% (16/21) DCR for patients with platinumrefractory TP53-mutated ovarian cancer²⁵¹. The combination of adavosertib with paclitaxel and carboplatin for patients with TP53-mutated ovarian cancer also significantly increased PFS compared with paclitaxel and carboplatin alone²⁵². In the Phase 2 VIKTORY trial, patients with TP53-mutated metastatic and/or recurrent gastric

cancer experienced a 24% (6/25) ORR with adayosertib combined with paclitaxel²⁵³. A Phase 1 trial of neoadjuvant adayosertib in combination with cisplatin and docetaxel for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) elicited a 71% (5/7) response rate for patients with TP53 alterations²⁵⁴. The Phase 2 FOCUS₄-C trial for patients with TP53- and RAS-mutated colorectal cancer reported improvement in PFS (3.61 vs. 1.87 months, HR=0.35, p=0.0022), but not OS (14.0 vs 12.8 months, p=0.93), following adavosertib treatment compared with active monitoring²⁵⁵. In a Phase 1b clinical trial of SGT-53 in combination with docetaxel for patients with solid tumors, 75% (9/12) of evaluable patients experienced clinical benefit, including 2 confirmed and 1 unconfirmed PRs and 2 instances of SD with significant tumor shrinkage²⁴⁷. Missense mutations leading to TP₅₃ inactivation may also be sensitive to therapies that reactivate mutated p53 such as APR-246²⁵⁶⁻²⁵⁸. In a Phase 1b trial for patients with p53-positive highgrade serous ovarian cancer, APR-246 combined with carboplatin and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin achieved a 52% (11/21) response rate and 100% DCR²⁵⁹. ATR inhibitor treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cells with biallelic inactivation of TP53 suppressed cell viability, promoted DNA damage, and attenuated $xenograft\ growth\ in\ preclinical\ studies^{260\text{-}261};$ however, ATR inhibitors as monotherapy had little effect on these parameters in solid tumor models in other preclinical studies²⁶²⁻²⁶³. Therefore, it is unclear whether TP53 inactivation predicts sensitivity to ATR inhibition.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TP53 mutations have been reported in up to 75% of colorectal cancer cases^{21,264-269}. A study reported p53 expression in 49% of analyzed colorectal cancer cases²⁷⁰. TP53 mutation has not been consistently demonstrated to be a significant independent prognostic marker in the context of CRC²⁷¹.

FINDING SUMMARY

Functional loss of the tumor suppressor p53, which is encoded by the TP53 gene, is common in aggressive advanced cancers²⁷². Alterations such as seen here may disrupt TP53 function or expression²⁷³⁻²⁷⁷.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline mutations in TP53 are associated with the very rare autosomal dominant disorder Li-Fraumeni syndrome and the early onset of many cancers²⁷⁸⁻²⁸⁰, including sarcomas²⁸¹⁻²⁸². Estimates for the prevalence of germline TP53 mutations in the general population range from 1:5,000²⁸³ to 1:20,000²⁸². For pathogenic TP53 mutations identified during tumor sequencing, the rate of germline mutations was 1% in the overall population and 6% in tumors arising before age 30²⁸⁴. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of TP53 is recommended.

POTENTIAL CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS IMPLICATIONS

Variants seen in this gene have been reported to occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion²⁸⁵⁻²⁹⁰. CH in this gene has been associated with increased mortality, risk of coronary heart disease, risk of ischemic stroke, and risk of secondary hematologic malignancy²⁸⁵⁻²⁸⁶. Clinical management of patients with CH in this gene may include monitoring for hematologic changes and reduction of controllable risk factors for cardiovascular disease²⁹¹. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH^{289,292-293}. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH.



THERAPIES ASSOCIATED WITH RESISTANCE

IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE

Cetuximab



Resistance of variant(s) to associated therapy is likely

Assay findings association

KRAS G13D

NRAS wildtype

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Cetuximab is a monoclonal antibody that targets EGFR. It is FDA approved for the treatment of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) and KRAS-wild-type, EGFR-expressing metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Therapies targeting EGFR, including cetuximab, have been shown to have significant clinical activity for patients with CRC^{97-100,294-295}; wild-type KRAS and NRAS are predictive biomarkers for the efficacy of cetuximab in metastatic CRC (NCCN Colon Cancer Guidelines v3.2021). Activating mutations in either KRAS⁹⁷⁻¹⁰⁰ or NRAS^{142,269}, which function downstream of EGFR, are associated with lack of benefit of cetuximab for patients with CRC and indicate against the use of cetuximab (NCCN Guidelines v3.2021).

SUPPORTING DATA

Cetuximab has been shown to improve OS, PFS, and response rate for patients with KRAS-wildtype CRC, both in combination with FOLFIRI, FOLFOX4, or

irinotecan97-98,294-296 and as monotherapy for chemotherapy-refractory patients 100,297. A prospective study of cetuximab for patients with KRAS/NRAS/BRAF mutation-negative metastatic CRC resulted in limited efficacy, with 11% (2/19) of participants experiencing PRs and 58% (11/19) experiencing SDs²⁹⁸. The Phase 2 AVETUX trial of cetuximab combined with avelumab and mFOLFOX6 for patients with RAS- and BRAF-wildtype metastatic CRC resulted in an ORR of 81% (4 CR and 27 PRs, n=37) and a DCR of 89%299. In the Phase 3 ASPECCT study, panitumumab was found to be noninferior to cetuximab with respect to median OS (10.4 vs. 10.0 months, HR=0.97) for patients with previously treated KRAS exon 2 wildtype metastatic colorectal cancer; median PFS was also similar between the two treatment groups (4.4 vs. 4.1 months, HR=1.00)300. In a similar patient population, a Phase 2 study of combination panitumumab and irinotecan versus combination cetuximab and irinotecan also demonstrated non-inferiority with respect to median PFS (5.4 vs. 4.3 months, HR = 0.64) and median OS (14.9 vs. 11.5 months, HR=0.66)301.

Panitumumab



Resistance of variant(s) to associated therapy is likely

Assay findings association

KRAS G13D

NRAS wildtype

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Panitumumab is a monoclonal antibody that targets EGFR. It is FDA approved to treat KRAS wild-type and NRAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) combined with chemotherapy or as monotherapy for patients who have progressed on prior chemotherapy. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Therapies targeting EGFR, including panitumumab, have been shown to have significant clinical activity for patients with CRC^{101,300,302}; wild-type KRAS and NRAS are predictive biomarkers for the efficacy of panitumumab in metastatic CRC (NCCN Colon Cancer Guidelines v3.2021). Activating mutations in either KRAS¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ or NRAS^{102,267}, which function downstream of EGFR, are associated with lack of benefit of panitumumab for patients with CRC and indicate against the use of panitumumab (NCCN Guidelines v3.2021).

SUPPORTING DATA

Panitumumab has been shown to improve OS, PFS, and

ORR for patients with KRAS wildtype CRC, both in combination with FOLFOX4, FOLFIRI, irinotecan, or best supportive care101,303-305 and as monotherapy for chemotherapy-refractory patients^{267,300,302}. A Phase 2 trial reported that for patients with unresectable RASwildtype colorectal adenocarcinoma treated with panitumumab plus FOLFOX4, maintenance with a combination of panitumumab plus fluorouracil and leucovorin was superior to panitumumab monotherapy (10-month PFS, 59% vs. 49%)306. In the Phase 3 ASPECCT study, panitumumab was found to be non-inferior to cetuximab with respect to median OS (10.4 vs. 10.0 months, HR=0.97) for patients with previously treated KRAS exon 2 wildtype metastatic colorectal cancer; median PFS was also similar between the two treatment groups (4.4 vs. 4.1 months, HR=1.00)300. In a similar patient population, a Phase 2 study of combination panitumumab and irinotecan versus combination cetuximab and irinotecan also demonstrated noninferiority with respect to median PFS (5.4 vs. 4.3 months, HR = 0.64) and median OS (14.9 vs. 11.5 months, $HR=0.66)^{301}$

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.



CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

GENE APC

ALTERATION 01429*

LOCATIONS: Fukuoka (Japan), Nagaizumi-cho (Japan), Chuo Ku (Japan), Kashiwa (Japan)

LOCATIONS: Osaka (Japan), Tokyo (Japan), Chiba-shi (Japan), Kashiwa (Japan), California

Based on preclinical and limited clinical data, APC CBP/beta-catenin interaction inhibitors. inactivation may be associated with sensitivity to

NCT03833700 PHASE 1 A Study of E7386 in Participants With Advanced Solid Tumor Including Colorectal Cancer (CRC) **TARGETS** CBP, Beta-catenin

NCT04008797 PHASE 1 A Study of E7386 in Combination With Other Anticancer Drug in Participants With Solid Tumor **TARGETS** CBP, Beta-catenin, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRs, KIT LOCATIONS: Osakasayama (Japan), Chuo-Ku (Japan), Chiba (Japan), Kashiwa (Japan)

NCT05091346 **PHASE 1/2** A Study of E7386 in Combination With Pembrolizumab in Previously Treated Participants With **TARGETS** Selected Solid Tumors CBP, Beta-catenin, PD-1

NCT03264664 PHASE 1 **TARGETS** Study of E7386 in Participants With Selected Advanced Neoplasms CBP, Beta-catenin LOCATIONS: Glasgow (United Kingdom), Manchester (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom)

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.



LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)

LOCATIONS: Melbourne (Australia)

LOCATIONS: New York

CLINICAL TRIALS

GENE	
KRA	S

ALTERATION G13D

RATIONALE

KRAS activating mutations or amplification may predict sensitivity to inhibitors of MAPK pathway components, including MEK inhibitors. KRAS mutation may predict sensitivity to PLK1 inhibitors. Limited clinical and preclinical studies indicate KRAS mutations may predict sensitivity

to MEK-pan-RAF dual inhibitors. Multiple clinical studies have reported lack of efficacy of MEK inhibitors as monotherapy for treatment of KRAS-mutant colorectal cancer; combination therapies may be more effective.

NCT04803318	PHASE 2
Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTOR, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRs, KIT, MEK
LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)	

NCT03284502	PHASE 1
Cobimetinib and HM95573 in Patients With Locally Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors	TARGETS MEK, RAFs

LOCATIONS: Hwasun (Korea, Republic of), Pusan (Korea, Republic of), Seongnam (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang-si (Korea, Republic of)

NCT04303403	PHASE 1
Study of Trametinib and Ruxolitinib in Colorectal Cancer and Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma	TARGETS JAK2, JAK1, MEK

NCT04870034	PHASE NULL
Binimetinib and Palbociclib Before Surgery for the Treatment of Operable KRAS-Positive Lung, Colorectal, or Pancreatic Cancer	TARGETS MEK, CDK4, CDK6

NCT04801966	PHASE NULL
Safety and Oversight of the Individually Tailored Treatment Approach: A Novel Pilot Study	TARGETS CDK4, CDK6, PI3K-alpha, PD-L1, MEK, PARP, PD-1, BRAF

NCT04965818	PHASE 1/2
Phase 1b/2 Study of Futibatinib in Combination With Binimetinib in Patients With Advanced KRAS Mutant Cancer	TARGETS MEK, FGFRs
LOCATIONS: California, Indiana, Texas	



TUMOR TYPE
Colon adenocarcinoma (CRC)

REPORT DATE 11 Jul 2022



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1402485-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT03829410	PHASE 1/2		
Onvansertib in Combination With FOLFIRI and Bevacizumab for Second Line Treatment of Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Patients With a Kras Mutation	TARGETS PLK1, VEGFA		
LOCATIONS: California, Arizona, Minnesota, Kansas, Arkansas, Virginia, Florida			
NCT05071183	PHASE 1/2		
A Study of Repotrectinib in Combination With Other Anticancer Therapies for the Treatment of Subjects With KRAS-Mutant Solid Tumors	TARGETS TRKA, ALK, ROS1, TRKB, TRKC, MEK		
LOCATIONS: California, Colorado, Tennessee, Virginia, Texas			
NCT02079740	PHASE 1/2		
Trametinib and Navitoclax in Treating Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors	TARGETS BCL2, BCL-XL, BCL-W, MEK		
LOCATIONS: Massachusetts			
NCT04892017	PHASE 1/2		
A Safety, Tolerability and PK Study of DCC-3116 in Patients With RAS or RAF Mutant Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors.	TARGETS ULK1, ULK2, MEK		



CLINICAL TRIALS

GENE **PTFN**

ALTERATION loss exons 1-4

RATIONALE

PTEN loss or inactivating mutations may lead to increased activation of the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway and may indicate sensitivity to inhibitors of this pathway. Several clinical studies have shown that inhibitors of the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway have not produced significant clinical

benefit when used as a monotherapy in patients with colorectal cancer; combination therapies may be required to overcome this lack of response. PTEN loss or inactivation may also predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors.

NCT04644068

Study of AZD5305 as Monotherapy and in Combination With Anti-cancer Agents in Patients With **Advanced Solid Malignancies**

PHASE 1/2 **TARGETS**

ERBB2, TROP2, PARP

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Chuo-ku (Japan), Koto-ku (Japan), Melbourne (Australia), Warszawa (Poland), Gdynia (Poland), Grzepnica (Poland), Budapest (Hungary), Brno (Czechia)

NCT04337463

ATG-008 Combined With Toripalimab in Advanced Solid Tumors

PHASE NULL

TARGETS

mTORC1, mTORC2, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Chongqing (China), Chengdu (China)

NCT04456699

Efficacy and Safety of Olaparib, Olaparib + Bevacizumab Compared to Bevacizumab + 5-Fluorouracil (FU)

PHASE 3

TARGETS VEGFA, PARP

LOCATIONS: Fukuoka (Japan), Daegu (Korea, Republic of), Matsuyama (Japan), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Songpagu (Korea, Republic of), Nagoya (Japan), Sunto-gun (Japan), Kawasaki (Japan), Tokyo (Japan), Kitaadachi-gun (Japan)

NCT02264678

Ascending Doses of AZD6738 in Combination With Chemotherapy and/or Novel Anti Cancer Agents

PHASE 1/2

TARGETS

ATR, PARP, PD-L1

LOCATIONS: Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang-si (Korea, Republic of), Cambridge (United Kingdom), Withington (United Kingdom), Manchester (United Kingdom), Coventry (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom), Oxford (United Kingdom), Villejuif (France)

NCT04001569

PHASE 1/2

AZD8186 and Paclitaxel in Advanced Gastric Cancer

TARGETS PI3K-beta

LOCATIONS: Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of)

NCT05035745

PHASE 1/2

Selinexor & Talazoparib in Advanced Refractory Solid Tumors; Advanced/Metastatic Triple Negative **Breast Cancer (START)**

TARGETS XPO1, PARP

LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accurac

© 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.



TUMOR TYPE
Colon adenocarcinoma (CRC)

REPORT DATE 11 Jul 2022



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1402485-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT03772561	PHASE 1
Phase I Study of AZD5363 + Olaparib + Durvalumab in Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumor Malignancies	TARGETS PARP, AKTs, PD-L1
LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)	
NCT04801966	PHASE NULL
Safety and Oversight of the Individually Tailored Treatment Approach: A Novel Pilot Study	TARGETS CDK4, CDK6, PI3K-alpha, PD-L1, MEK PARP, PD-1, BRAF
LOCATIONS: Melbourne (Australia)	
NCT04632992	PHASE 2
A Study Evaluating Targeted Therapies in Participants Who Have Advanced Solid Tumors With Genomic Alterations or Protein Expression Patterns Predictive of Response	TARGETS TRKB, ALK, TRKC, ROS1, TRKA, PD-L1, ERBB2, PI3K-alpha, RET, AKTs
LOCATIONS: Alaska, Washington, Oregon, California, Idaho	
NCT03907969	PHASE 1/2
A Clinical Trial to Evaluate AZD7648 Alone and in Combination With Other Anti-cancer Agents in Patients With Advanced Cancers	TARGETS PARP, DNA-PK
LOCATIONS: Newcastle upon Tyne (United Kingdom), London (United Kingdom), Connecticut, Texas	



PATIENT Li, Mei-Yu TUMOR TYPE
Colon adenocarcinoma (CRC)

REPORT DATE 11 Jul 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1402485-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

BRAFR384G

CARD11

CD70

CDK8

amplification

T118M

amplification

DIS3FGF14IKZF1KDM6AamplificationamplificationY268C

MLH1 NOTCH3 P705S A1934V

APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

AND COPT NOM	BER ALIERATION	42						
ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B o	r WTX)
APC	AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX
AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2
BCL6	BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1
BTG2	BTK	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1	CCND2
CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1
CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B	CDKN2C
CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R	CTCF
CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1	DDR2
DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EMSY (C11orf30)	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12	FGF14
FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4
FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3	GATA4
GATA6	GID4 (C17orf39)	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3-3A (H3F3A)
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11 (MRE11A)	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD2 (WHSC1 or N	IMSET)	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3
P2RY8	PALB2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)
PDGFRA	PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1
PMS2	POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI
PRKN (PARK2)	PTCH1	PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51
RAD51B	RAD51C	RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10
REL	RET	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC
SDHD	SETD2	SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO
SNCAIP	SOCS1	SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3
STK11	SUFU	SYK	TBX3	TEK	TENT5C (FAM46C)		TGFBR2	TIPARP
TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53	TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL
WT1	XPO1	XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703				
DNA GENE LIST	FOR THE DETEC	TION OF SELECT	REARRANGEME	NTS				
ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV1
ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT
KMT2A (MLL)	MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA
RAF1	RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV1
ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT
KMT2A (MLL)	MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA
RAF1	RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**

TMPRSS2

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Homologous Recombination status Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

^{*}TERC is an NCRNA

^{**}Promoter region of TERT is interrogated

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/ficdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

- Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. Homologous Recombination status may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas (Coleman et al., 2017; 28916367). Samples with deleterious BRCA1/2 alteration and/or Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score ≥ 16% will be reported as "HRD Positive" and samples with absence of these findings will be reported as "HRD Not Detected," agnostic of potential secondary BRCA1/2 reversion alterations. Certain potentially deleterious missense or small in-frame deletions in BRCA1/ 2 may not be classified as deleterious and, in the absence of an elevated LOH profile, samples with such mutations may be classified as "HRD Not Detected." A result of "HRD Not Detected" does not rule out the presence of a BRCA1/2 alteration or an elevated LOH profile outside the assay performance characteristic limitations.
- 4. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments.

 Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian,

- peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 5. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- 6. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy.
- 7. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an ERBB2 amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of ERBB2 copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/ disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant

patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating physician.

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS Repeatability	%CV*

^{*}Interquartile Range = 1^{st} Quartile to 3^{rd} Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking

into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
ткі	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

REFERENCE SEQUENCE INFORMATION

Sequence data is mapped to the human genome, Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37 (GRCh37), also known as hg19.

MR Suite Version 6.3.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 954x

APPENDIX

References

- Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- 2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 6. Ciardiello et al., 2018; ESMO Abstract LBA-004
- 7. Parikh et al., 2021; DOI: 10.1038/s43018-021-00269-7
- 8. Fukuoka S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32343640
- 9. Kim et al., 2020; DOI: 10.1016/j.annonc.2020.04.073
- 10. Zhang Y, et al. BMC Gastroenterol (2021) pmid: 34688262
- 11. Sinicrope FA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 24019539
- Gavin PG, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 23045248
- Bertagnolli MM, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 13.
- Van Cutsem E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid:
- 15. Ribic CM, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2003) pmid: 12867608
- 16. Sargent DJ, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20498393
- 17. Fallik D, et al. Cancer Res. (2003) pmid: 14522894
- 18. Guastadisegni C, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20627535
- 19. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 20. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 21. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- 22. Histopathology (2007) pmid: 17204026
- Samowitz WS, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2001) pmid: 11535541
- Elsaleh H, et al. Clin Colorectal Cancer (2001) pmid: 24. 12445368
- 25. Brueckl WM, et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 12820457
- 26. Guidoboni M, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2001) pmid:
- 27. Gryfe R, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2000) pmid: 10631274
- Sinicrope FA, et al. Gastroenterology (2006) pmid:
- 29. Laghi L, et al. Dig Dis (2012) pmid: 22722556
- 30. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- 31. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 32. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 37. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- 39. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 40. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 41. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 42. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721 43. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 44. Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid:
- 32919526
- 45. Ott PA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30557521
- 46. Cristescu R. et al. J Immunother Cancer (2022) pmid: 35101941
- 47. Friedman CF, et al. Cancer Discov (2022) pmid: 34876409

- 48. Sturgill EG, et al. Oncologist (2022) pmid: 35274716
- 49. Schenker at al., 2022; AACR Abstract 7845
- 50. Legrand et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 12000
- 51. Fabrizio DA, et al. J Gastrointest Oncol (2018) pmid: 30151257
- 52. Stadler ZK, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27022117
- 53. Shao C, et al. JAMA Netw Open (2020) pmid: 33119110
- 54. Schwartz et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 572
- 55. Innocenti F, et al. J Clin Oncol (2019) pmid: 30865548
- 56. Lee DW, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2019) pmid: 31285374 57. Randon G, et al. Eur J Cancer (2022) pmid: 34933155
- 58. Chen EX, et al. JAMA Oncol (2020) pmid: 32379280
- 59. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- 60. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 61. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- 62. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 63. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- 64. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- 65. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 66. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- 67. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- Nakano H, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1984)
- pmid: 6320174 Pylayeva-Gupta Y, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2011) pmid:
- 71. Yamaguchi T, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2011) pmid: 21523318
- 72. Watanabe M, et al. Cancer Sci. (2013) pmid: 23438367
- Gilmartin AG, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21245089
- 74. Yeh JJ, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2009) pmid: 19372556
- 75. Tsimberidou et al., 2013; ASCO Abstract e22086
- 76. Infante JR, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22805291
- 77. Zimmer L, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid: 24947927
- 78. Bennouna J, et al. Invest New Drugs (2011) pmid:
- Weekes CD, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23434733
- 80. Hochster et al., 2013; ASCO GI Abstract 380
- 81. Juric et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 9051
- 82. Lamba S, et al. Cell Rep (2014) pmid: 25199829
- 83. Sun C, et al. Cell Rep (2014) pmid: 24685132
- 84. Guo C, et al. Lancet Oncol (2020) pmid: 33128873
- 85. Krebs et al., 2021; AACR Abstract CT019
- 86. Shinde et al., 2020; AACR Abstract CT143
- 87. Lu H, et al. Mol Cancer Ther (2019) pmid: 31068384
- 88. Mainardi S. et al. Nat Med (2018) pmid: 29808006
- 89. Koczywas et al., 2021; AACR Abstract LB001
- 90. Bendell et al., 2020; EORTC-NCI-AACR Abstract 5
- 91. Hillig RC, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (2019) pmid: 30683722
- 92. Hofmann MH, et al. Cancer Discov (2021) pmid:
- 32816843 93. Hofmann et al., 2021; AACR Abstract CT210
- 94. Gort et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract TPS3651
- 95. Luo J. et al. Cell (2009) pmid: 19490893
- 96. Barzi et al., 2020; AACR Abstract CT235
- 97. Van Cutsem E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2011) pmid: 21502544
- 98. Bokemeyer C, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2011) pmid: 21228335
- 99. Karapetis CS, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2008) pmid:

- 100. De Roock W, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2008) pmid: 17998284
- 101. Douillard JY, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24718886
- Douillard JY, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2013) pmid: 24024839
- 103. Amado RG, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2008) pmid: 18316791
- 104. Lièvre A, et al. Cancer Res. (2006) pmid: 16618717
- 105. De Roock W, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2011) pmid: 21163703
- 106. Chen J, et al. BMC Cancer (2014) pmid: 25367198
- 107. Li W, et al. BMC Cancer (2015) pmid: 25929517
- 108. Hu J, et al. Medicine (Baltimore) (2016) pmid: 27977612
- 109. Zekri J, et al. Genet. Mol. Res. (2017) pmid: 28218784
- 110. Staudacher JJ, et al. Clin Transl Gastroenterol (2017) pmid: 29048416
- 111. Wang Y, et al. Virchows Arch. (2018) pmid: 29705968
- 112. Guo F, et al. Sci Rep (2018) pmid: 29666387
- 113. Mármol I, et al. Int J Mol Sci (2017) pmid: 28106826
- Kwak MS, et al. Medicine (Baltimore) (2017) pmid:
- 115. Kahn S. et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 3310850
- Akagi K, et al. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2007) 116. pmid: 17150185
- 117. Bollag G, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (1996) pmid: 8955068
- Buhrman G, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2010) pmid: 20194776 118.
- Sci. STKE (2004) pmid: 15367757 119.
- Edkins S, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2006) pmid: 120.
- 16969076
- 121. Feig LA, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (1988) pmid: 3043178
- 122. Gremer L, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2011) pmid: 20949621 Janakiraman M, et al. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20570890
- Kim E, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27147599
- 125. Lukman S, et al. PLoS Comput. Biol. (2010) pmid: 20838576
- 126. Naguib A, et al. J Mol Signal (2011) pmid: 21371307
- 127. Prior IA, et al. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22589270
- 128. Privé GG, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1992) pmid:
- 129. Scheffzek K. et al. Science (1997) pmid: 9219684
- 130. Scholl C, et al. Cell (2009) pmid: 19490892 131. Smith G, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20147967
- 132. Tyner JW, et al. Blood (2009) pmid: 19075190 133. Valencia A, et al. Biochemistry (1991) pmid: 2029511
- 134. White Y, et al. Nat Commun (2016) pmid: 26854029
- 135. Wiest JS, et al. Oncogene (1994) pmid: 8058307
- 136. Angeles AKJ, et al. Oncol Lett (2019) pmid: 31289513 137. Tong JH, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2014) pmid: 24642870
- 138. Loree JM, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2021) pmid: 34117033
- Pentheroudakis G, et al. BMC Cancer (2013) pmid: 139. 23374602
- 140. Vaughn CP, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2011) pmid: 21305640
- 141. Janku F, et al. Target Oncol (2013) pmid: 23400451
- 142. De Roock W, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20619739
- Irahara N, et al. Diagn. Mol. Pathol. (2010) pmid:
- 144. Schirripa M, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2015) pmid: 24806288
- Cercek A, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2017) pmid: 146. Zhan T, et al. Oncogene (2017) pmid: 27617575
- 147. Jung YS, et al. Exp Mol Med (2020) pmid: 32037398 Krishnamurthy N, et al. Cancer Treat Rev (2018) pmid:
- Kawazoe et al., 2021; ESMO Abstract 473P
- 150. Yamada K, et al. Cancer Res (2021) pmid: 33408116

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

© 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

APPENDIX

References

- 151. Kanda Y, et al. Biochem Biophys Res Commun (2022) pmid: 34837838
- 152. Christie M, et al. Oncogene (2013) pmid: 23085758
- 153. Quyn AJ, et al. Surgeon (2008) pmid: 19110823
- 154. Luke JJ, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2019) pmid: 30635339
- 155. Logan CY, et al. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. (2004) pmid: 15473860
- 156. Eklof Spink K, et al. EMBO J. (2001) pmid: 11707392
- 157. Liu J, et al. J. Mol. Biol. (2006) pmid: 16753179
- 158. Dikovskaya D, et al. J. Cell. Sci. (2010) pmid: 20144988
- 159. Murphy SJ, et al. Dig. Dis. Sci. (2007) pmid: 17410430
- 160. Aretz S, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2004) pmid: 15459959
- 161. Kerr SE, et al. J Mol Diagn (2013) pmid: 23159591
- 162. Annu Rev Pathol (2011) pmid: 21090969
- 163. Kastritis E, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2009) pmid: 18844223
- 164. Half E, et al. Orphanet J Rare Dis (2009) pmid: 19822006
- 165. Courtney KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2010) pmid:
- 166. Simpson L, et al. Exp. Cell Res. (2001) pmid: 11237521
- 167. Patnaik A, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27672108
- 168. Milella M, et al. Sci Rep (2017) pmid: 28220839
- 169. Weldon Gilcrease G, et al. Invest New Drugs (2019) pmid: 30302599
- 170. Mendes-Pereira AM, et al. EMBO Mol Med (2009) pmid: 20049735
- 171. Shen Y, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23881923
- 172. Chatterjee P, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23565244
- 173. McCormick A, et al. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer (2016) pmid:
- Forster MD, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2011) pmid:
- 175. Eikesdal HP, et al. Ann Oncol (2021) pmid: 33242536
- 176. Dougherty et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 5536
- 177. Pan M, et al. Perm J (2021) pmid: 33970096 178. Sandhu SK, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23810788
- 179. Romero I, et al. Gynecol Oncol (2020) pmid: 32988624
- 180. Ng K, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23743569
- Ganesan P, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2013) pmid: 24092809
- 182. Janku F, et al. Cell Rep (2014) pmid: 24440717
- Mondaca S, et al. Gastroenterology (2020) pmid: 32730818
- Yaeger R, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29316426
- 185. Ghiță C, et al. Rom J Morphol Embryol (2012) pmid: 22990545
- 186. Naguib A, et al. BMC Cancer (2011) pmid: 21473780
- 187. Day FL, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23633456
- 188. Price TJ, et al. Cancer Med (2013) pmid: 23930204
- 189. Yip WK, et al. APMIS (2013) pmid: 23992303
- 190. Neumann J, et al. Virchows Arch. (2013) pmid: 23934607
- Laurent-Puig P, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19884556
- 192. Tural D, et al. Tumour Biol. (2014) pmid: 23996432
- 193. Lin MS, et al. Oncol Lett (2011) pmid: 22848291
- Campbell RB, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2003) pmid: 12857747
- Rodríguez-Escudero I, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2011) pmid: 21828076
- He X, et al. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23475934
- 197. Han SY, et al. Cancer Res. (2000) pmid: 10866302
- Myers MP, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1998) 198. pmid: 9811831
- 199. Pradella LM, et al. BMC Cancer (2014) pmid: 24498881
- 200. Kim JS, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2011) pmid: 21536651

- 201. Denning G, et al. Oncogene (2007) pmid: 17213812
- 202. Hlobilkova A, et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 16619501
- 203. Redfern RE, et al. Protein Sci. (2010) pmid: 20718038
- 204. Shenoy S, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 22505997
- 205. Wang Y, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009) pmid:
- 206. Okumura K, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2006) pmid: 16829519
- 207. Lee JO. et al. Cell (1999) pmid: 10555148
- 208. Maxwell GL, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9635567
- 209. Risinger JI, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9865913
- 210. Kato H, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2000) pmid: 11051241
- 211. Fenton TR, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2012)
- 212. Ngeow J, et al. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. (2012) pmid: 23066114
- 213. Lobo GP, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2009) pmid: 19457929
- 214. Liu J, et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 23995781
- Maehama T, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2001) pmid: 11395408
- 216. De Vivo I, et al. J. Med. Genet. (2000) pmid: 10807691
- 217. Ramaswamy S. et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1999) pmid: 10051603
- 218. Liu JL, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2005) pmid: 15988030
- 219. Karoui M. et al. Br. J. Cancer (2004) pmid: 15026806
- 220. Gil A, et al. PLoS ONE (2015) pmid: 25875300
- 221. Furnari FB, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823298
- 222. Spinelli L, et al. J. Med. Genet. (2015) pmid: 25527629
- 223. Mingo J, et al. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. (2018) pmid:
- 224. Wang Q, et al. J. Mol. Graph. Model. (2010) pmid:
- 225. Andrés-Pons A, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid:
- 17942903
- 226. Butler MG, et al. J. Med. Genet. (2005) pmid: 15805158 Georgescu MM, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1999) 227. pmid: 10468583
- 228. Staal FJ, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2002) pmid: 12085208
- 229. Nguyen HN, et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 24292679
- 230. Rahdar M, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009) pmid: 19114656
- 231. Das S. et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) pmid: 12808147
- 232. Wang X, et al. Biochem. J. (2008) pmid: 18498243
- 233. Valiente M. et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2005) pmid: 15951562
- 234. Nguyen HN, et al. Oncogene (2015) pmid: 25263454
- 235. Shan L, et al. Cell Discov (2020) pmid: 32704382
- 236. Blumenthal GM, et al. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. (2008) pmid:
- 237. Orloff MS, et al. Oncogene (2008) pmid: 18794875
- 238. Zbuk KM, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2007) pmid: 17167516
- 239. Hirai H. et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2010) pmid: 20107315
- 240. Bridges KA, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21799033
- 241. Rajeshkumar NV, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21389100
- 242. Osman AA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2015) pmid:
- 243. Xu L. et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2002) pmid: 12489850
- 244. Xu L, et al. Mol. Med. (2001) pmid: 11713371 245. Camp ER, et al. Cancer Gene Ther. (2013) pmid:
- 23470564
- 246. Kim SS, et al. Nanomedicine (2015) pmid: 25240597
- 247. Pirollo KF, et al. Mol. Ther. (2016) pmid: 27357628
- 248. Haidenberg et al., 2012; ASCO Abstract e15010
- 249. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27601554

- 250. Moore et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 5513
- 251. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27998224
- 252. Oza et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 5506
- 253. Lee J, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 31315834
- 254. Méndez E, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 29535125
- 255. Seligmann JF, et al. J Clin Oncol (2021) pmid: 34538072
- 256. Lehmann S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22965953
- 257. Mohell N, et al. Cell Death Dis (2015) pmid: 26086967
- 258. Fransson Å, et al. J Ovarian Res (2016) pmid: 27179933
- 259. Gourley et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5571
- 260. Kwok M, et al. Blood (2016) pmid: 26563132
- 261. Boudny M, et al. Haematologica (2019) pmid: 30975914
- Dillon MT, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28062704
- Middleton FK, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2018) pmid: 30127241
- 264. Goh HS, et al. Cancer Res. (1995) pmid: 7585578
- 265. Berg M, et al. PLoS ONE (2010) pmid: 21103049
- 266. Han SW, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23700467
- Peeters M, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23325582
- 268. Malhotra P. et al. Tumour Biol. (2013) pmid: 23526092
- 269. Di Bartolomeo M, et al. Target Oncol (2014) pmid:
- Wangefjord S, et al. Diagn Pathol (2013) pmid: 23337059
- 271. Russo A, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2005) pmid: 16172461
- 272. Brown CJ, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) pmid: 19935675 Joerger AC, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2008) pmid: 18410249
- Kato S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) pmid: 12826609
- 275. Kamada R. et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2011) pmid: 20978130 Zerdoumi Y, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28472496
- 277. Yamada H, et al. Carcinogenesis (2007) pmid: 17690113 Bougeard G, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 26014290
- Sorrell AD, et al. Mol Diagn Ther (2013) pmid: 23355100
- Nichols KE, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2001) pmid: 11219776
- 281. Kleihues P, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (1997) pmid: 9006316
- Gonzalez KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid:
- 283. Lalloo F, et al. Lancet (2003) pmid: 12672316
- 284. Mandelker D. et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31050713 285. Jaiswal S, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426837
- Genovese G, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426838
- Xie M, et al. Nat. Med. (2014) pmid: 25326804 Acuna-Hidalgo R, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28669404
- 289. Severson EA, et al. Blood (2018) pmid: 29678827
- 290. Fuster JJ, et al. Circ. Res. (2018) pmid: 29420212
- 291. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program (2018) pmid: 30504320
- 292. Chabon JJ, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32269342
- 293. Razavi P, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 31768066
- Cunningham D, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2004) pmid: 15269313 295. Jonker DJ, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2007) pmid: 18003960
- Papamichael D, et al. Eur J Cancer (2022) pmid: 296. 35033994 Karapetis CS, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid:
- 24218517 Moiseyenko VM, et al. Clin Drug Investig (2018) pmid: 29470838

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

© 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.



PATIENT Li, Mei-Yu TUMOR TYPE
Colon adenocarcinoma (CRC)

REPORT DATE 11 Jul 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1402485-01

APPENDIX References

- **299.** Stein A, et al. J Immunother Cancer (2021) pmid: 34315821
- **300.** Price TJ, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24739896
- **301.** Sakai D, et al. Eur J Cancer (2020) pmid: 32526634 **302.** Van Cutsem E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2007) pmid:
- 17470858
- **303.** Peeters M, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 26341920
- **304.** Kim TW, et al. Clin Colorectal Cancer (2018) pmid: 29703606
- **305.** Shitara K, et al. Cancer Sci (2016) pmid: 27712015
- **306.** Pietrantonio F, et al. JAMA Oncol (2019) pmid: 31268481