

PATIENT Yeh, Kun-Lung TUMOR TYPE Brain astrocytoma COUNTRY CODE TW

REPORT DATE 24 May 2022 ORDERED TEST # ORD-1362449-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

**DISEASE** Brain astrocytoma NAME Yeh, Kun-Lung DATE OF BIRTH 08 September 1987 SEX Male MEDICAL RECORD # 47692717

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872 PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN SITE Brain **SPECIMEN ID** S110-31113 A (PF22059) SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck DATE OF COLLECTION 19 October 2021 SPECIMEN RECEIVED 12 May 2022

Sensitivity for the detection of copy number alterations is reduced due to sample quality. Sensitivity for the detection of alterations and genomic signatures is reduced and the TMB score may be underreported.

### Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - Cannot Be Determined  $\alpha$ Tumor Mutational Burden - 4 Muts/Mb

### Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

**RRAF** N581Y **H3F3A** K28M KRAS G12V ATRX S1585fs\*16 FANCC W113\*

 $\alpha$  Patients with Microsatellite status of Cannot Be Determined should be re-tested with an orthogonal (alternative) method.

### Report Highlights

- Variants with diagnostic implications that may indicate a specific cancer type: H3F3A K28M (p. 5)
- Evidence-matched clinical trial options based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 8)
- Variants with **prognostic implications** for this tumor type that may impact treatment decisions: H3F3A K28M (p. 5)

# **BIOMARKER FINDINGS**

Microsatellite status - Cannot Be Determined

Tumor Mutational Burden - 4 Muts/Mb

# **GENOMIC FINDINGS BRAF - N581Y** 10 Trials see p. 8 **H3F3A - K28M** 1 Trial see p. 10 KRAS - G12V 10 Trials see p. 11

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICAT	ONS
-------------------------------------	-----

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)
none	none
none	none
none	none

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.



Yeh, Kun-Lung

TUMOR TYPE

Brain astrocytoma

COUNTRY CODE

TW

REPORT DATE 24 May 2022 ORDERED TEST # ORD-1362449-01

#### GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

ATRX - S1585fs*16p. 7_	FANCC - W113* p. 7
For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including implications, see the Genomic Findings section.	ng prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



**BIOMARKER FINDINGS** 

#### BIOMARKER

## Microsatellite status

DESILIT

Cannot Be Determined

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### - Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of prospective clinical evidence in multiple solid tumor types, microsatellite instability (MSI) and associated increased tumor mutational burden (TMB)<sup>1-2</sup> may predict sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors, including the approved PD-1-targeting agents cemiplimab, dostarlimab, nivolumab (alone or in combination with ipilimumab), and

pembrolizumab<sup>3-8</sup> and PD-L1-targeting agents atezolizumab, avelumab, and durvalumab<sup>9-11</sup>. As the MSI status of this tumor is unknown, the relevance of these therapeutic approaches is unclear

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

MSI-High has been reported in 3-8% of adult or pediatric astrocytomas and was generally not associated with Lynch syndrome<sup>12-14</sup>. Low-level MSI has been reported in 5-9% of glioblastoma (GBM) samples<sup>15-17</sup>. A large-scale study did not find high-level microsatellite instability (MSI-H) in any of 129 GBM samples<sup>15</sup>, although a small-scale study reported MSI-H in 4 of 15 pediatric GBMs and 1 of 12 adult GBMs<sup>18</sup>. The frequency of MSI has been reported to be increased in relapsed compared to primary GBM<sup>15</sup>, in GBMs with a

previous lower grade astrocytoma<sup>16</sup>, and in giant cell GBM compared to classic GBM<sup>17</sup>.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor<sup>19</sup>. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2<sup>19-21</sup>. The level of MSI in this sample could not be determined with confidence. Depending on the clinical context, MSI testing of an alternate sample or by another methodology could be considered.

#### RIOMARKER

# Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 4 Muts/Mb

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### - Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1<sup>22-24</sup>, anti-PD-1 therapies<sup>22-25</sup>, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab<sup>26-31</sup>. In glioma, a lack of association between TMB and clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors has been reported<sup>22,32-33</sup>. However, multiple case studies have reported that patients with ultramutated gliomas driven by POLE

mutations have benefited from treatment with anti-PD-1<sup>34-35</sup> or anti-PD-L1<sup>36</sup> therapies. Therefore, although increased TMB alone may not be a strong biomarker for PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors in this cancer type, these agents may have efficacy for patients with glioma harboring both high TMB and POLE mutation.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

Astrocytomas harbor a median TMB of 1.8 mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 1.4% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb)<sup>37</sup>. For pediatric patients, high TMB has been reported in a subset of high-grade gliomas, frequently in association with mutations in mismatch repair or proofreading genes and in TP53, whereas BRAF alterations or other oncogene fusions were observed more frequently in brain tumors harboring low TMB<sup>38-39</sup>. Increased TMB has been reported to correlate with higher tumor grade in glioma<sup>40</sup> and glioblastoma (GBM) tissue samples with biallelic mismatch repair deficiency

(bMMRD)<sup>34</sup>, as well as with shorter OS of patients with diffuse glioma<sup>41</sup>.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma42-43 and cigarette smoke in lung cancer<sup>7,44</sup>, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma<sup>45-46</sup>, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes<sup>47-51</sup>, and microsatellite instability (MSI)<sup>47,50-51</sup>. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents<sup>22,32-36</sup>.



**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

#### GENE

## **BRAF**

ALTERATION

N581Y

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM\_004333

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

1741A>T

**VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)** 

34.8%

#### POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

#### - Targeted Therapies -

BRAF and MEK inhibitors have shown efficacy for patients with activating BRAF alterations at the V600 codon; clinical outcomes are more limited for class 2 alterations in BRAF such as one or more of the alterations seen here. A retrospective study of immunotherapies in NSCLC reported a 69% DCR (9/13) for patients with class 2 mutations<sup>52</sup>. MEK inhibitors alone or in combination with RAF inhibitors also may be of benefit in these alterations<sup>53-56</sup>. Doublet RAF- and MEK-directed therapy may be more efficacious relative to either monotherapy; a retrospective analysis of BRAF-mutated melanoma observed 5/16 patient responses to BRAF inhibitor with MEK

inhibitor therapy in BRAF class 2 tumors and 0/13 responses to BRAF inhibitor monotherapy<sup>57</sup>. A basket trial of single-agent BRAF-inhibitor vemurafenib  $(n=11)^{58}$  and a trial in NSCLC  $(n=9)^{59}$ also did not yield any responses for patients with class 2 tumors. In a basket trial of single-agent MEK-inhibitor trametinib, no responses were observed for patients with class 2 tumors (3 SDs, n=5)60. Investigational ERK inhibitors are also in development; a basket trial of ulixertinib reported 3 PRs for patients across class 2-mutated tumors<sup>61</sup>. A basket trial of second-generation investigational BRAF inhibitor PLX8394 reported 3 SDs and 4 PDs for patients with class 2 tumors<sup>62</sup>. In 2 Phase 1 studies evaluating the MEK-pan-RAF dual inhibitor CH5126766, 3 patients harboring BRAF V600E mutations experienced PRs, including 2 patients with melanoma<sup>63</sup> and 1 patient with lowgrade serous ovarian carcinoma<sup>64</sup>. It is not known whether these therapeutic approaches would be relevant in the context of alterations that have not been fully characterized, as seen here.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

Various studies have implicated BRAF alterations, including V6ooE and rearrangements, in the oncogenesis of pediatric low grade astrocytomas, including gangliogliomas<sup>65-74</sup>. BRAF alterations have been reported in 1-3% of gliomas including low grade gliomas<sup>45,72,75-78</sup> and glioblastomas

(GBM)<sup>79</sup>. Studies have reported conflicting results as to whether BRAF mutations, including V600E, are more likely to be found in lower grade astrocytomas or higher grade glioblastomas<sup>72,76,80</sup>, and the frequency of the BRAF V600E mutation in astrocytoma reported in the literature varies by cohort<sup>81-83</sup>. BRAF V600E is not strongly associated with prognosis in patients with astrocytoma<sup>81,83</sup>. While one study associated KIAA1549-BRAF fusion with improved prognosis in pediatric patients with low grade astrocytomas<sup>84</sup>, others reported no significant association between BRAF rearrangements and outcome<sup>85-88</sup>.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

BRAF encodes a member of the RAF family of protein kinases, which includes ARAF, BRAF, and CRAF. These kinases function downstream of RAS as part of the MAPK (RAF-MEK-ERK) signaling cascade that facilitates cell proliferation, survival and transformation<sup>89-90</sup>. BRAF mutations have been reported in up to 20% of all cancers, with the majority of mutations occurring at the V600 position<sup>91-92</sup>. Although alterations such as seen here have not been fully characterized and are of unknown functional significance, similar alterations have been previously reported in the context of cancer, which may indicate biological relevance.



**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

GENE

## H3F3A

ALTERATION

K28M

TRANSCRIPT ID

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

83A>T

**VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)** 

44.4%

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### - Targeted Therapies -

Prospective data from pooled clinical studies and preclinical evidence suggest that H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A K<sub>2</sub>8M mutation predicts benefit from the investigational selective dopamine receptor D<sub>2</sub> (DRD<sub>2</sub>) antagonist ONC<sub>201</sub><sup>93-94</sup>, which is supported by increased expression of the ONC<sub>201</sub> target DRD<sub>2</sub> in H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A K<sub>2</sub>8M-mutant versus wild-type gliomas<sup>94</sup>. Among adult patients with recurrent H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A K<sub>2</sub>8M-mutant gliomas, ONC<sub>201</sub> achieved a DCR of 64% (7/11) and a 6-month PFS rate of 36% (5/14), with 3 patients experiencing complete and durable regressions of thalamic lesions<sup>95</sup>. Data from pooled ONC<sub>201</sub> monotherapy trials showed that 31% (9/29) of patients with recurrent H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A K<sub>2</sub>8M-mutated glioma remain progression free at

6.5 months median follow-up<sup>96</sup>. Although other H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A mutations have been reported<sup>39</sup>, it is unclear whether these therapeutic strategies would be relevant in gliomas with H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A mutations other than K<sub>2</sub>8M.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

Recurrent mutations in the histone tail of H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A, at sites involved in critical post-translational modifications, have been reported at high frequency in pediatric and young adult brain tumors, including diffuse midline gliomas<sup>97</sup>, diffuse hemispheric glioma<sup>98</sup>, glioblastomas<sup>99-101</sup>, aggressive pediatric gliomas<sup>102</sup>, pilocytic astrocytomas103, gangliogliomas104, glial and glioneuronal tumors<sup>105</sup>, as well as in low-grade gliomas undergoing transformation and secondary high-grade gliomas<sup>106</sup>. These mutations were commonly found concurrently with mutations in TP53 or in ATRX and DAXX, which form a complex required for H3.3 recruitment to DNA, and were mutually exclusive with IDH1 mutations, which indirectly affect methylation of critical  $H_{3.3}$  residues $^{100}$ .  $H_3F_3A$   $K_28M$  (also known as K27M) is a poor prognostic marker in glioma (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2021). H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A G<sub>3</sub>5 mutations are associated with disease onset during adolescence, whereas K28 mutations affect younger children and predict poorer IOS101,107. H3F3A K28M mutation has also been identified in 58% of adult midline gliomas, and is

associated with shorter OS for patients with brainstem gliomas but not for patients with thalamic gliomas los. Mutations of H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A or H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>B, the other gene encoding histone H<sub>3.3</sub>, have also been detected in giant cell tumor of bone and chondroblastoma, with low mutation frequencies in other tumors of cartilage and bone los-lil. H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>B K<sub>3</sub>7M (commonly known as K<sub>3</sub>6M) has been identified in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, specifically in tumors of the oral cavity los overexpression of H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A is associated with poor survival in lung adenocarcinomas, and is thought to promote cancer cell invasion lil.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A encodes the histone 3 variant H<sub>3</sub>.3. Histones form part of the nucleosome complex around which DNA is coiled in the cell. H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A mutations affecting different hotspot residues, such as G<sub>35</sub> (commonly referred to as G<sub>34</sub> in the literature) and K<sub>2</sub>8 (commonly known as K<sub>27</sub>), form different subgroups based on methylation and gene expression differences, the region of the brain affected, and clinical parameters<sup>107</sup>.

#### POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A K<sub>2</sub>TM mutation is characteristic of diffuse midline glioma, H<sub>3</sub> K<sub>2</sub>TM-altered (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2021)<sup>114-115</sup>.



**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

GENE

## KRAS

ALTERATION

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM\_004985
CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

35G>T

**VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)** 

35.3%

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### - Targeted Therapies -

Preclinical evidence suggests that KRAS activation may predict sensitivity to MEK inhibitors, such as trametinib, binimetinib, cobimetinib, and selumetinib<sup>116-121</sup>. In a Phase 1 study evaluating the MEK-pan-RAF dual inhibitor CH5126766, 6 patients harboring KRAS mutations experienced PRs, including 3 with non-small cell lung cancer (NCSLC), 1 with low-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (LGSOC), 1 with endometrial adenocarcinoma, and 1 with multiple myeloma<sup>64</sup>. Combination of CH5126766 with the FAK inhibitor defactinib elicited PR rates of 50% (4/8) for patients with KRAS-mutated low-grade serous ovarian cancer and 12% (2/17) for patients with KRAS-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in a Phase 1 study<sup>122-123</sup>. Preclinical and clinical data suggest that KRAS mutations may predict clinical benefit from SHP2 inhibitors<sup>124-125</sup>. A Phase 1 study of RMC-4630 for relapsed/ refractory solid tumors reported a DCR of 58% (23/40) for patients with NSCLC and KRAS

mutations and a DCR of 75% (12/16) for patients with NSCLC and KRAS G12C mutations 126. Interim results from a Phase 1/2 study of RMC-4630 plus cobimetinib reported tumor reduction in 3 of 8 patients with KRAS-mutated colorectal cancer<sup>127</sup>. Preclinical data suggest that KRAS mutation may confer sensitivity to SOS1 inhibitors $^{128-129}$ . Phase 1 studies of the SOS1 inhibitor BI 1701963 alone or in combination with MEK inhibitors, KRAS G12C inhibitors, or irinotecan are recruiting for patients with solid tumors harboring KRAS mutations<sup>130-131</sup>. While clinical responses have been reported for patients with KRAS-mutated ovarian<sup>132-135</sup>, cervical small cell neuroendocrine<sup>136</sup>, or uterine cancer<sup>134</sup> treated with MEK inhibitor monotherapy, multiple clinical trials have not demonstrated increased response rates for patients with KRAS-altered tumors including KRAS-mutated CRC137-140, pancreatic cancer<sup>141-143</sup>, and NSCLC<sup>138,144-145</sup>. A Phase 2 study of trametinib and uprosertib for patients with recurrent cervical cancer reported no responses for patients with KRAS-mutated (2/ 2 SDs) or KRAS-amplified (1/1 SD) cancer<sup>146</sup>. Clinical responses have been reported for combination treatment strategies including MEK inhibitors with PI3K or AKT inhibitors for patients with KRAS-mutated ovarian cancer<sup>147-149</sup> and KRAS-mutated endometrioid adenocarcinoma<sup>150</sup>.

#### FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the TCGA dataset, KRAS mutations or amplification was detected in 1.8% of glioblastomas (GBM)<sup>79</sup> and 2.8% of lower grade gliomas<sup>75</sup>. In other studies KRAS mutations were observed in 2 out of 125 pilocytic astrocytomas, 1

out 25 grade 1 and 2 astrocytomas<sup>151-152</sup>, and 2 out of 94 patients with GBM<sup>78</sup>. While the importance of RAS signaling in astrocytomas has been established, there is very little information regarding clinical implications of KRAS alterations in human astrocytoma<sup>151,153</sup>. In mouse models of cancer, activating KRAS mutation in combination with AKT mutation was sufficient to induce GBM in astrocytes and neural progenitors<sup>154</sup>. Furthermore, mutant KRAS-driven signaling was required for the maintenance of mouse GBM tumors<sup>155</sup>, suggesting that targeting KRAS signaling may be an appropriate therapeutic strategy in KRAS-driven GBMs.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

KRAS encodes a member of the RAS family of small GTPases. Activating mutations in RAS genes can cause uncontrolled cell proliferation and tumor formation 117,156. KRAS alterations affecting amino acids G12, G13, Q22, P34, A59, Q61, and A146, as well as mutations G10\_A11insG, G10\_A11insAG (also reported as G10\_A11dup and G12\_G13insAG), A18D, L19F, D33E, G60\_A66dup/E62\_A66dup, E62K, E63K, R68S, and K117N have been characterized as activating and oncogenic 117,157-179.

#### POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

In pediatric gliomas lacking typical BRAF and NF1 driver alterations, RAS/MAPK hyperactivation arising from non-KIAA1549 fusions with BRAF, non-V600 BRAF mutations, FGFR1/2 fusions, FGFR1 mutations, RAF1 fusions, KRAS mutations, or MYB or MYBL1 rearrangements are typical of the WHO entity diffuse low-grade glioma, MAPK pathway-altered<sup>115,180-182</sup>.



**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

#### GENE

## **ATRX**

ALTERATION S1585fs\*16

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM\_000489

CODING SEOUENCE EFFECT

4753\_4754TC>ATG

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 68.9%

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### - Targeted Therapies -

No targeted therapies are available to directly address ATRX inactivation. Based on preclinical 183-184 and limited clinical data 185, ATRX alterations may confer sensitivity to combination strategies involving WEE1 inhibition. In a Phase 2 study evaluating the WEE1 inhibitor adavosertib plus irinotecan for the treatment of pediatric patients with neuroblastoma, prolonged SD was reported for 44% (4/9) of patients with ATRX-deficient tumors and responses were seen in two tumors that had evidence of ALT 185. Preclinical evidence also suggests that ATRX deficiency may impart sensitivity to synthetic lethal approaches

involving PARP inhibition and irinotecan<sup>186</sup>, combined PARP and ATR inhibition<sup>184</sup>, or double-strand break-induction with agents such as doxorubicin, irinotecan, and topotecan<sup>187</sup>; however, these approaches have not been demonstrated clinically.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

Somatic mutation of ATRX has been reported in a number of solid tumor types, often associated with ALT188. ATRX mutation correlating with ALT has been reported in 10-20% of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs)188-190, 12.6% of pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas<sup>191</sup>, and 48% of adolescent and young adult (AYA) patients with glioblastoma (GBM) or neuroblastoma 192-196. ATRX loss in PNET189,197 and melanoma198 and mutation in other neuroendocrine tumors<sup>191</sup> is associated with poor prognosis. Pediatric patients with high-grade glioma and ATRX mutation were shown to have more aggressive disease but are more responsive to treatment with double-strand break therapy<sup>187</sup>. ATRX mutation or loss of expression is more frequent in Grade 2/3 astrocytoma and secondary GBM than primary GBM, oligodendroglioma, and oligoastrocytoma199-202 and has been proposed as a distinguishing biomarker<sup>200-202</sup>. ATRX mutation has not been detected in concurrence with MYCN

amplification in glioma and neuroblastoma  $^{193\text{-}196}.$  Low-grade gliomas with both IDH1/2 mutation and ATRX mutation are associated with worse prognosis than those with IDH1/2 mutation but no ATRX mutation  $^{200}.$  Loss of ATRX protein expression has been reported in 33-39% of incidences of leiomyosarcoma (LMS) associating with ALT, a poor prognostic factor across all LMS subtypes, and with poor prognosis in extrauterine LMS but not in uterine LMS $^{203\text{-}204}.$ 

#### FINDING SUMMARY

ATRX encodes a SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling protein implicated in histone variant H<sub>3·3</sub> deposition, transcriptional regulation, and telomere maintenance<sup>205-206</sup>. ATRX inactivation or loss of expression is associated with alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT)<sup>188,204,207-208</sup>. Alterations that disrupt the ADD domain (aa 167-270) or helicase domain (aa 2010-2280) of ATRX are predicted to result in loss of ATRX function is not sufficient to induce ALT, which requires other undetermined factors<sup>205,212</sup>. Germline mutations in ATRX give rise to alpha-thalassemia X-linked intellectual disability syndrome (ATR-X syndrome)<sup>213</sup>.

#### GENE

# **FANCC**

ALTERATION W113\*

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM\_000136

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

339G>A

**VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)** 

48.2%

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no targeted therapies that directly

address loss of FANCC activity. However, limited preclinical evidence<sup>214-215</sup> and clinical evidence in sarcoma<sup>216</sup> suggest that FANCC alterations may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

Somatic mutations in FANCC are very infrequently observed in human malignancies (COSMIC, Jan 2022)<sup>217</sup>.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

FANCC encodes a key component of an eight protein (FANCA/B/C/E/F/G/L/M) Fanconi anemia (FA) nuclear E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. This complex is involved in DNA repair and is essential for prevention of chromosome breakage caused by DNA damage<sup>218</sup>. Upon DNA damage or

during the S-phase of the cell cycle, the FA complex is activated and recruited to the sites of DNA damage/DNA repair. The complex then activates FANCD2 and FANCL via monoubiquitination, leading to their colocalization with FANCD1/BRCA2, BRCA1, RAD51, PCNA, and other proteins at the DNA repair foci on chromatin. Germline mutations in FANCC cause Fanconi anemia, a clinically heterogeneous disorder involving various developmental abnormalities as well as predisposition to cancer; underlying these phenotypes are defects in DNA repair<sup>219</sup>.



LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)

LOCATIONS: Melbourne (Australia)

**CLINICAL TRIALS** 

**NOTE** Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial  $\Rightarrow$  Geographical proximity  $\Rightarrow$  Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

### GENE BRAF

ALTERATION N581Y

#### **RATIONALE**

BRAF activating alterations may predict sensitivity to inhibitors of BRAF, MEK, or ERK. Limited clinical and preclinical studies indicate BRAF mutations may predict sensitivity to MEK- pan-RAF dual inhibitors. It is not known whether these therapeutic approaches would be relevant in the context of alterations that have not been fully characterized, as seen here.

NCT04803318	PHASE 2
Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTOR, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRs, KIT, MEK

NCT03284502	PHASE 1
Cobimetinib and HM95573 in Patients With Locally Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors	TARGETS MEK, RAFs

LOCATIONS: Hwasun (Korea, Republic of), Pusan (Korea, Republic of), Seongnam (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang-si (Korea, Republic of)

NCT04801966	PHASE NULL
Safety and Oversight of the Individually Tailored Treatment Approach: A Novel Pilot Study	TARGETS CDK4, CDK6, PI3K-alpha, PD-L1, MEK, PARP, PD-1, BRAF

NCT03905148

Study of the Safety and Pharmacokinetics of BGB-283 and PD-0325901 in Patients With Advanced or Refractory Solid Tumors

TARGETS
RAFs, EGFR, MEK

LOCATIONS: Nedlands (Australia), Blacktown (Australia), Randwick (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), California, Texas

NCT04913285	PHASE 1
A Study to Evaluate KIN-2787 in Subjects With BRAF Mutation Positive Solid Tumors	TARGETS BRAF, MEK
LOCATIONS: Perth (Australia), California, Tennessee, Virginia, Florida	



**CLINICAL TRIALS** 

NCT02407509	PHASE 1
Phase I Trial of RO5126766	TARGETS RAFs, MEK, mTOR
LOCATIONS: London (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom)	
NCT04720976	PHASE 1/2
JAB-3312 Activity in Adult Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS MEK, SHP2, PD-1, EGFR, KRAS
LOCATIONS: Utah	
NCT04965818	PHASE 1/2
Phase 1b/2 Study of Futibatinib in Combination With Binimetinib in Patients With Advanced KRAS Mutant Cancer	TARGETS MEK, FGFRS
LOCATIONS: California, Indiana, Texas	
NCT02428712	PHASE 1/2
A Study of PLX8394 as a Single Agent in Patients With Advanced Unresectable Solid Tumors	TARGETS BRAF, CRAF
LOCATIONS: Arizona, New York, Texas, Florida	
NCT03434262	PHASE 1
SJDAWN: St. Jude Children's Research Hospital Phase 1 Study Evaluating Molecularly-Driven Doublet Therapies for Children and Young Adults With Recurrent Brain Tumors	TARGETS CDK6, CDK4, MEK, SMO
LOCATIONS: Tennessee	



TUMOR TYPE Brain astrocytoma REPORT DATE 24 May 2022

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1362449-01

**CLINICAL TRIALS** 

GENE H3F3A

**RATIONALE** 

On the basis of clinical and preclinical data, H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>A K<sub>2</sub>8M mutation may predict response to antagonists of dopamine receptors D2.

ALTERATION K28M

NCT03295396	PHASE 2
ONC201 in Adults With Recurrent H3 K27M-mutant Glioma	TARGETS DRD2, CLLP, DRD3
LOCATIONS: California, Minnesota, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas, North Carolina	



LOCATIONS: Melbourne (Australia)

**CLINICAL TRIALS** 

GENE	
KRA	S

# ALTERATION G12V

#### **RATIONALE**

KRAS activating mutations or amplification may predict sensitivity to inhibitors of MAPK pathway components, including MEK inhibitors. Limited

clinical and preclinical studies indicate KRAS mutations may predict sensitivity to MEK-pan-RAF dual inhibitors.

NCT04803318	PHASE 2
Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTOR, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRs, KIT, MEK
LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)	

NCT03284502	PHASE 1
Cobimetinib and HM95573 in Patients With Locally Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors	TARGETS MEK, RAFs

LOCATIONS: Hwasun (Korea, Republic of), Pusan (Korea, Republic of), Seongnam (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang-si (Korea, Republic of)

NCT04801966	PHASE NULL
	TARGETS CDK4, CDK6, PI3K-alpha, PD-L1, MEK, PARP, PD-1, BRAF

NCT03905148	PHASE 1/2
Study of the Safety and Pharmacokinetics of BGB-283 and PD-0325901 in Patients With Advanced or Refractory Solid Tumors	TARGETS RAFs, EGFR, MEK

LOCATIONS: Nedlands (Australia), Blacktown (Australia), Randwick (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), California, Texas

NCT02407509	PHASE 1
Phase I Trial of RO5126766	TARGETS RAFs, MEK, mTOR
LOCATIONS: London (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom)	

NCT04720976	PHASE 1/2
JAB-3312 Activity in Adult Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS MEK, SHP2, PD-1, EGFR, KRAS
LOCATIONS: Utah	



TUMOR TYPE
Brain astrocytoma

REPORT DATE 24 May 2022



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1362449-01

**CLINICAL TRIALS** 

NCT04965818	PHASE 1/2
Phase 1b/2 Study of Futibatinib in Combination With Binimetinib in Patients With Advanced KRAS Mutant Cancer	TARGETS MEK, FGFRs
LOCATIONS: California, Indiana, Texas	
NCT02079740	PHASE 1/2
Trametinib and Navitoclax in Treating Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors	TARGETS BCL2, BCL-XL, BCL-W, MEK
LOCATIONS: Massachusetts	
NCT04214418	PHASE 1/2
Study of Combination Therapy With the MEK Inhibitor, Cobimetinib, Immune Checkpoint Blockade, Atezolizumab, and the AUTOphagy Inhibitor, Hydroxychloroquine in KRAS-mutated Advanced Malignancies	TARGETS PD-L1, MEK
LOCATIONS: Rhode Island, New York	
NCT03434262	PHASE 1
SJDAWN: St. Jude Children's Research Hospital Phase 1 Study Evaluating Molecularly-Driven Doublet Therapies for Children and Young Adults With Recurrent Brain Tumors	TARGETS CDK6, CDK4, MEK, SMO
LOCATIONS: Tennessee	



Yeh, Kun-Lung

TUMOR TYPE
Brain astrocytoma

REPORT DATE 24 May 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1362449-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

**NOTE** One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

 ALK
 BRD4
 EPHB4
 ERBB3

 P278L
 V1110del
 1942M
 C1078R

 FANCC
 FGF3
 RAD51

 A325T
 R210W
 T132A



**APPENDIX** 

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

#### DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

AND COPT NOM	BER ALIERATION	42						
ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B o	r WTX)
APC	AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX
AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2
BCL6	BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1
BTG2	BTK	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1	CCND2
CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1
CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B	CDKN2C
CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R	CTCF
CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1	DDR2
DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EMSY (C11orf30)	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12	FGF14
FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4
FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3	GATA4
GATA6	GID4 (C17orf39)	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3-3A (H3F3A)
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11 (MRE11A)	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD2 (WHSC1 or N	1MSET)	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3
P2RY8	PALB2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)
PDGFRA	PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1
PMS2	POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI
PRKN (PARK2)	PTCH1	PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51
RAD51B	RAD51C	RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10
REL	RET	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC
SDHD	SETD2	SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO
SNCAIP	SOCS1	SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3
STK11	SUFU	SYK	TBX3	TEK	TENT5C (FAM46C)		TGFBR2	TIPARP
TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53	TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL
WT1	XPO1	XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703				
DNA GENE LIST	FOR THE DETEC	TION OF SELECT	REARRANGEME	NTS				
ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV1
ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT
KMT2A (MLL)	MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA
RAF1	RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV1
ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT
KMT2A (MLL)	MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	<b>PDGFRA</b>
RAF1	RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**

TMPRSS2

#### ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>TERC is an NCRNA

<sup>\*\*</sup>Promoter region of TERT is interrogated



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

#### **ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX**

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/ficdxtech.

#### **INTENDED USE**

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

#### **TEST PRINCIPLES**

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

#### THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

#### **Diagnostic Significance**

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

# Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

# NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

#### Limitations

1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

- Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- 5. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine

whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an ERBB2 amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of ERBB2 copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/ disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be

# approximately 2%. **REPORT HIGHLIGHTS**

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating

#### **VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY**

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS Repeatability	%CV*

<sup>\*</sup>Interquartile Range =  $1^{st}$  Quartile to  $3^{rd}$  Quartile

#### VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

# VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear



**APPENDIX** 

About FoundationOne®CDx

cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

#### **LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED**

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

#### **NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT**

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

#### **NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT**

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

#### TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE **RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN**

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and MR Suite Version 6.2.0 treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

#### **SELECT ABBREVIATIONS**

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
ткі	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

#### REFERENCE SEQUENCE INFORMATION

Sequence data is mapped to the human genome, Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37 (GRCh37), also known as hg19.

#### The median exon coverage for this sample is 682x

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

**APPENDIX** 

References

- ORDERED TEST # ORD-1362449-01
- 1. Histopathology (2007) pmid: 17204026 2. Lal N. et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 3. Overman MJ, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2017) pmid: 28734759
- 4. Overman MJ, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid:
- 5. Lipson EJ, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23169436
- 6. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 7. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 8. Oaknin A, et al. JAMA Oncol (2020) pmid: 33001143
- 9. Hochster et al., 2017: ASCO Abstract 673
- 10. Fleming et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 5585
- 11. Bang et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 92
- 12. Alonso M, et al. Cancer Res. (2001) pmid: 11280776
- 13. Rodríguez-Hernández I, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid:
- 14. Vladimirova V, et al. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. (2008) pmid: 18053027
- 15. Martinez R, et al. Oncology (2004) pmid: 15331927
- 16. Martinez R, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. (2005)
- 17. Martinez R, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (2007) pmid: 17498554
- 18. Szybka M, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 12908754
- 19. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- 21. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid:
- 22. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- 23. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- 24. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 25. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 26. Ready N. et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- 27. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 28. Hellmann MD. et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 29. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 30. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721 31. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 32. Zhao J, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 30742119
- 33. Touat M, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32322066 34. Bouffet E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27001570
- 35. Johanns TM, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid:
- 36. Lukas RV, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2018) pmid: 30073642 37. Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid:
- 28420421 38. Patel RR, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer (2020) pmid:
- 39. Johnson A, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28912153 40. Draaisma K, et al. Acta Neuropathol Commun (2015)
- 41. Wang L, et al. BMC Cancer (2020) pmid: 32164609
- 42. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- 43. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 44. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- 45. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- 46. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 48. Briggs S. et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- 49. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid:

- 50. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- 51. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid:
- 52. Marin-Acevedo et al., 2021; ASCO Abstract e21016 53. Nikaniam M. et al. Mol Cancer Ther (2021) pmid:
- 33722853
- 54. Nebhan CA, et al. Oncologist (2021) pmid: 33861486
- 55. Gautschi O, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid:
- 56. Negrao MV, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2020) pmid: 32540409
- 57. Menzer C, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31580757
- 58. Hainsworth JD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29320312
- 59. Mazieres J, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2020) pmid: 31959346
- 60. Johnson DB, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2020) pmid:
- 61. Sullivan RJ, et al. Cancer Discov (2018) pmid: 29247021
- 62. Janku et al., 2021; AACR Abstract CT212
- Martinez-Garcia M, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22761467
- 64. Guo C, et al. Lancet Oncol (2020) pmid: 33128873
- Rodriguez FJ, et al. Annu Rev Pathol (2013) pmid: 23121055
- 66. Gronych J, et al. J. Clin. Invest. (2011) pmid: 21403401
- Koelsche C, et al. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. (2014) pmid: 23822828
- Nicolaides TP, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 22038996
- Huillard E, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2012) pmid: 22586120
- 70. Dahiya S, et al. Case Rep Med (2012) pmid: 22548077
- 71. Korshunov A, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2009) pmid: 19543740
- 72. Schindler G, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2011) pmid:
- 73. Donson AM, et al. Brain Pathol. (2014) pmid: 24238153
- **74.** Dougherty MJ, et al. Neuro-oncology (2010) pmid: 20156809
- 75. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26061751
- Basto D, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2005) pmid: 15791479
- 77. Chi AS, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2012) pmid: 22821383
- 78. Knobbe CB, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2004) pmid: 15517309
- 79. Brennan CW, et al. Cell (2013) pmid: 24120142
- 80. Horbinski C, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) pmid: 22492957
- 81. Bannykh SI, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 25066317
- Theeler BJ, et al. Neuro-oncology (2014) pmid: 24470550
- 83. Chappé C, et al. Brain Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23442159
- 84. Hawkins C, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid:
- 85. Colin C, et al. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. (2013) pmid: 23278243
- 86. Jones DT, et al. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18974108
- 87. Lin A, et al. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. (2012) pmid:
- 88. Horbinski C, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2010) pmid: 20044755
- 89. Holderfield M, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 24957944
- 90. Burotto M, et al. Cancer (2014) pmid: 24948110
- 91. Davies H, et al. Nature (2002) pmid: 12068308
- 92. Kandoth C. et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 24132290
- 93. Arrillaga-Romany I, et al. Oncotarget (2017) pmid:

- 94. Prabhu VV, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid:
- 95. Chi et al., 2018; SNO abstract ACTR-34
- 96. Arrillaga et al., 2019; ASCO abstract 3005
- 97. Solomon DA, et al. Brain Pathol. (2016) pmid: 26517431
- 98. Fontebasso AM, et al. Acta Neuropathol (2013) pmid:
- Pandit et al., 2016; ISPNO Abstract HG-58
- Schwartzentruber J, et al. Nature (2012) pmid: 22286061
- Venneti S, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2014) pmid: 25200322
- 102. Wu G, et al. Nat. Genet. (2012) pmid: 22286216
- Hochart A, et al. Ann Clin Transl Neurol (2015) pmid: 25909089
- Joyon N, et al. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. (2017) pmid: 27219822
- Nguyen AT, et al. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. (2015)
- Mistry M. et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25667294 106
- 107. Sturm D, et al. Cancer Cell (2012) pmid: 23079654
- 108. Feng J, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2015) pmid: 26297251
- Behjati S, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 24162739 110. Kervarrec T. et al. Mod Pathol (2017) pmid: 28059095
- 111. Righi A, et al. Hum Pathol (2017) pmid: 28899740 112. Papillon-Cavanagh S, et al. Nat. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28067913
- 113. Park SM, et al. Nat Commun (2016) pmid: 27694942
- 114. Louis DN, et al. Neuro Oncol (2021) pmid: 34185076 115. Pfister SM, et al. Cancer Discov (2022) pmid: 34921008
- Nakano H, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1984) pmid: 6320174
- 117. Pylayeva-Gupta Y, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2011) pmid:
- 118. Yamaguchi T. et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2011) pmid: 21523318
- 119. Watanabe M, et al. Cancer Sci. (2013) pmid: 23438367
- Gilmartin AG, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21245089
- Yeh JJ, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2009) pmid: 19372556
- 122. Krebs et al., 2021; AACR Abstract CT019
- Shinde et al., 2020: AACR Abstract CT143
- 124. Lu H, et al. Mol Cancer Ther (2019) pmid: 31068384
- 125. Mainardi S, et al. Nat Med (2018) pmid: 29808006
- Koczywas et al., 2021; AACR Abstract LB001
- 127. Bendell et al., 2020; EORTC-NCI-AACR Abstract 5
- Hillig RC, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (2019) pmid: 30683722
- Hofmann MH, et al. Cancer Discov (2021) pmid: 129. 32816843
- Hofmann et al., 2021: AACR Abstract CT210 130
- 131. Gort et al., 2020: ASCO Abstract TPS3651 132. Monk BJ, et al. J Clin Oncol (2020) pmid: 32822286
- 133. Farley J, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23261356
- 134. Slosberg ED, et al. Oncotarget (2018) pmid: 29765547
- 135. Han C, et al. Gynecol Oncol Rep (2018) pmid: 29946554 136. Lyons YA, et al. Gynecol Oncol Rep (2014) pmid:
- 26075998 137. Infante JR, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22805291
- 138. Zimmer L, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid: 24947927 Bennouna J, et al. Invest New Drugs (2011) pmid:
- Weekes CD, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid:
- 23434733 Van Laethem JL, et al. Target Oncol (2017) pmid:

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

© 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.



**APPENDIX** 

References

- ORDERED TEST # ORD-1362449-01
- 142. Infante JR, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2014) pmid: 24915778
   143. Van Cutsem E, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2018) pmid: 20776206
- 144. Blumenschein GR, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25722381
- 145. Leijen S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22767668
- 146. Liu JF, et al. Gynecol. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31118140
- 147. Spreafico et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 5506
- 148. Juric et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 9051
- 149. Banerji et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract e13559
- **150.** Shapiro GI, et al. Invest New Drugs (2019) pmid: 31020608
- 151. Cin H, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2011) pmid: 21424530
- 152. Janzarik WG, et al. Neuropediatrics (2007) pmid: 17712732
- 153. Mellinghoff IK, et al. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. (2012) pmid: 22015553
- 154. Holland EC, et al. Nat. Genet. (2000) pmid: 10802656
- 155. Holmen SL, et al. Cancer Res. (2005) pmid: 16166301
- 156. Kahn S, et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 3310850
- Akagi K, et al. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2007) pmid: 17150185
- 158. Bollag G, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (1996) pmid: 8955068
- 159. Buhrman G, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2010) pmid: 20194776
- 160. Sci. STKE (2004) pmid: 15367757
- **161.** Edkins S, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2006) pmid: 16969076
- **162.** Feig LA, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (1988) pmid: 3043178
- 163. Gremer L, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2011) pmid: 20949621
- **164.** Janakiraman M, et al. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20570890
- 165. Kim E, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27147599
- **166.** Lukman S, et al. PLoS Comput. Biol. (2010) pmid: 20838576

- 167. Naguib A, et al. J Mol Signal (2011) pmid: 21371307
- 168. Prior IA, et al. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22589270
- 169. Privé GG, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1992) pmid: 1565661
- 170. Scheffzek K, et al. Science (1997) pmid: 9219684
- 171. Scholl C, et al. Cell (2009) pmid: 19490892
- 172. Smith G, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20147967
- 173. Tyner JW, et al. Blood (2009) pmid: 19075190
- 174. Valencia A, et al. Biochemistry (1991) pmid: 2029511
- 175. White Y, et al. Nat Commun (2016) pmid: 26854029
- **176.** Wiest JS, et al. Oncogene (1994) pmid: 8058307
- 177. Angeles AKJ, et al. Oncol Lett (2019) pmid: 31289513
- 178. Tong JH, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2014) pmid: 24642870179. Loree JM, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2021) pmid: 34117033
- 180. Rvall S. et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32289278
- **181.** Qaddoumi I, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2016) pmid: 26810070
- **182.** Zhang J, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 23583981
- **183.** Liang J, et al. Cancer Res (2020) pmid: 31551363
- **184.** Garbarino J, et al. Transl Oncol (2021) pmid: 34118569
- 185. Cole et al., 2021: AACR Abstract CT059
- **186.** George SL, et al. EBioMedicine (2020) pmid: 32846370
- **187.** Koschmann C, et al. Sci Transl Med (2016) pmid: 26936505
- 188. Heaphy CM, et al. Science (2011) pmid: 21719641
- **189.** Singhi et al., 2015; USCAP Abstract 1797
- 190. Jiao Y, et al. Science (2011) pmid: 21252315
- 191. Fishbein L, et al. Nat Commun (2015) pmid: 25608029
- 192. Morosini et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 11008
- 193. Cheung NK, et al. JAMA (2012) pmid: 22416102
- 194. Molenaar JJ, et al. Nature (2012) pmid: 22367537
- 195. Pugh TJ, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 23334666
- 195. Pugn 13, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 23334666
   196. Cheung NK, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23702928

- 197. Marinoni I, et al. Gastroenterology (2014) pmid: 24148618
- Qadeer ZA, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2014) pmid: 24468746
- 199. Kannan K, et al. Oncotarget (2012) pmid: 23104868
- 200. Haberler C, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 24559763
- **201.** Reuss DE, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2015) pmid: 25427834
- 202. Sahm F, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2014) pmid: 25143301
- 203. Singhi et al., 2015; USCAP Abstract 93
- 204. Liau JY, et al. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. (2015) pmid:
- **205.** Clynes D, et al. Trends Biochem. Sci. (2013) pmid: 23916100
- **206.** Ratnakumar K, et al. Epigenetics (2013) pmid: 23249563
- **207.** Lovejoy CA, et al. PLoS Genet. (2012) pmid: 22829774
- 208. Bower K, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 23185534
- 209. Nan X, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2007) pmid:
- 210. Garrick D, et al. Gene (2004) pmid: 14729260
- 211. Eustermann S, et al. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. (2011) pmid: 21666677
- 212. Flynn RL, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25593184
- 213. Gibbons RJ, et al. Cell (1995) pmid: 7697714
- 214. Ghosh S, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2014) pmid: 24200853
- 215. Lombardi AJ, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid:
- 216. Piha-Paul et al., 2018; AACR-NCI-EORTC Abstract A096
- 217. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878
- 218. Moldovan GL, et al. Annu. Rev. Genet. (2009) pmid: 19686080
- 219. Deakyne JS, et al. Biochemistry Mosc. (2011) pmid: