

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Bladder urothelial (transitional cell) carcinoma
NAME Yeh, Chen-Yu
DATE OF BIRTH 24 January 1980
SEX Female
MEDICAL RECORD # 40170556

PHYSICIAN

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Mu-Hsin Chang, Peter
MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN

SPECIMEN SITE Pelvis
SPECIMEN ID S110-26284 A
SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck
DATE OF COLLECTION 08 September 2021
SPECIMEN RECEIVED 14 October 2021

Biomarker Findings

Tumor Mutational Burden - 13 Muts/Mb
Microsatellite status - MS-Stable

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

NF2 splice site 1575-1G>C
TERT promoter -124C>T
TP53 splice site 994-1G>A

2 Disease relevant genes with no reportable alterations: *FGFR2, FGFR3*

10 Therapies with Clinical Benefit
0 Therapies with Resistance

20 Clinical Trials

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

Tumor Mutational Burden - 13 Muts/Mb

10 Trials *see p. 14*

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable

GENOMIC FINDINGS

NF2 - splice site 1575-1G>C

10 Trials *see p. 16*

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)

Avelumab	1
Pembrolizumab	1
Atezolizumab	2A
Nivolumab	2A
Dostarlimab	

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)

Cemiplimab
Durvalumab
Nivolumab + Ipilimumab

No therapies or clinical trials. *see Biomarker Findings section*

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)

none

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)

Everolimus
Temsirolimus

☐ NCCN category

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

TERT - promoter -124C>T p. 4 **TP53** - splice site 994-1G>A p. 5

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions

remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT

13 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1¹⁻³, anti-PD-1 therapies¹⁻⁴, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab⁵⁻¹⁰. In multiple studies of immune checkpoint inhibitors in urothelial carcinoma, higher TMB has corresponded with clinical benefit from treatment with anti-PD-L1¹¹⁻¹⁵ and anti-PD-1 immunotherapeutic agents¹⁶⁻¹⁷. For patients with metastatic urothelial carcinoma treated with the

PD-L1 inhibitor atezolizumab, those with a significantly increased mutational load (9.7 Muts/Mb or greater by this assay or others) were associated with response and longer OS compared with those with lower TMB¹¹⁻¹³. Similarly, in a study of pembrolizumab in muscle invasive bladder cancer, the median TMB in responders was 12.3 Muts/Mb, versus 7.0 Muts/Mb in nonresponding patients¹⁷. The PD-1 inhibitor nivolumab led to increased ORR, PFS, and OS for patients with a TMB of 167 missense mutations/tumor or higher (~ equivalency = 9 Muts/Mb or higher as measured by this assay) compared with those harboring lower TMB in a study of metastatic urothelial cancer¹⁶.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma TCGA dataset, the median somatic mutation burden was 5.5 mutations per megabase (mut/Mb)¹⁸. One study reported that the number of somatic mutations positively correlates with increased tumor stage and grade of bladder cancers¹⁹. For

patients with metastatic urothelial carcinoma receiving atezolizumab, however, higher median mutation load has been reported to be significantly associated with improved PFS and OS^{11-12,20}.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma²¹⁻²² and cigarette smoke in lung cancer²³⁻²⁴, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma²⁵⁻²⁶, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes²⁷⁻³¹, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{27,30-31}. This sample harbors a TMB level that may be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors in urothelial carcinoma^{11-15,32}.

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT

MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors³³⁻³⁵, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab³⁶. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were

MSI-H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, $p=0.001$)³⁷.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MSI has been detected in 26-49% of urothelial carcinomas³⁸⁻³⁹; MSI-H has also been reported in multiple case studies of upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma⁴⁰. MSI, as determined through loss of MSH2 or MSH6 protein expression, correlated with non-invasive, well-differentiated bladder tumors and favorable overall survival³⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of

genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor⁴¹. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2⁴¹⁻⁴³. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{41,43,45-46}.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

NF2

ALTERATION

splice site 1575-1G>C

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_000268

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

1575-1G>C

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

20.7%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

NF2 inactivating alterations may indicate sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰. Two case studies reported clinical benefit for patients with NF2-mutated cancers, including urothelial carcinoma⁵¹ and metaplastic breast cancer⁵²⁻⁵³ treated with everolimus and temsirolimus, respectively. Loss or inactivation of NF2 may also predict sensitivity to FAK inhibitors based on

clinical data in mesothelioma⁵⁴ and meningioma⁵⁵ and strong preclinical data⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸. Limited preclinical and clinical evidence in vestibular schwannoma suggests possible sensitivity of NF2-deficient tumors to the pan-ERBB inhibitor lapatinib⁵⁹⁻⁶⁰. Similarly, on the basis of limited clinical⁶¹ and preclinical⁶²⁻⁶⁴ evidence, NF2 inactivation may predict sensitivity to MEK inhibitors, such as approved agents trametinib and cobimetinib. These and other relevant compounds are being investigated in clinical trials. Whereas frequent adverse events precluded a recommended Phase 2 dose and schedule for the combination of trametinib and everolimus in a Phase 1b trial for solid tumors⁶⁵, a retrospective study for heavily pretreated patients with solid tumors reported tolerable regimens of the combination for 23/31 patients, with 16 patients treated >3 months and evaluable patients achieving a median PFS of 6.5 months⁶⁶.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

NF2 mutation has been observed in 0-3% of urothelial carcinomas⁶⁷⁻⁷⁰. Published data

investigating the prognostic implications of NF2 alterations in urothelial carcinoma are limited (PubMed, Jan 2021).

FINDING SUMMARY

Merlin, encoded by NF2, coordinates cell contact with growth signals; the inactivation of Merlin disrupts this mechanism and can lead to unrestrained growth despite cell contact⁷¹. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt NF2 function or expression⁷²⁻⁷⁸.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Heterozygous germline NF2 loss or inactivation is associated with neurofibromatosis type 2, which results in the development of vestibular schwannomas, meningiomas, ependymomas, and ocular disturbances⁷⁹⁻⁸¹. Prevalence for this disorder in the general population is estimated to be 1:25,000⁸¹. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of NF2 is recommended.

GENE

TERT

ALTERATION

promoter -124C>T

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_198253

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

-124C>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

47.5%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

Therapeutic options for targeting tumors with TERT mutations are limited, although a variety of approaches are under development, including

immunotherapies utilizing TERT as a tumor-associated antigen, antisense oligonucleotide- or peptide-based therapies, and TERT promoter-directed cytotoxic molecules.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TERT promoter mutations have been observed in a variety of solid tumors, including bladder cancer⁸²⁻⁹⁰. One study reported TERT promoter mutations in 67% (14/21) of high-grade and 56% (34/61) of low-grade bladder carcinomas⁸², while another study demonstrated that 85% (44/52) of all bladder cancer samples and 88% (7/8) of bladder cancer cell lines exhibited TERT promoter alteration⁸⁹. TERT promoter mutations correlated with increased TERT mRNA expression in urothelial cancer cells⁹¹. In patients with bladder urothelial carcinoma, both TERT promoter mutations and increased TERT expression

associate with poor prognosis, although carrying an additional germline alteration at -245 (rs2853669) may confer a better prognosis^{85,91-92}.

FINDING SUMMARY

Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT, or hTERT) is a catalytic subunit of the telomerase complex, which is required to maintain appropriate chromosomal length⁹³. Activation of TERT is a hallmark of cancer, being detected in up to 80-90% of malignancies and absent in quiescent cells⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶. Mutations within the promoter region of TERT that confer enhanced TERT promoter activity have been reported in two hotspots, located at -124 bp and -146 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site (also termed C228T and C250T, respectively)^{82-83,97}, as well as tandem mutations at positions -124/-125 bp and -138/-139 bp⁹⁷.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

TP53

ALTERATION

splice site 994-1G>A

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_000546

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

994-1G>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

20.6%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

There are no approved therapies to address TP53 mutation or loss. However, tumors with TP53 loss of function alterations may be sensitive to the WEE1 inhibitor adavosertib⁹⁸⁻¹⁰¹, or p53 gene therapy and immunotherapeutics such as SGT-53¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁶ and ALT-801¹⁰⁷. In a Phase 1 study, adavosertib in combination with gemcitabine, cisplatin, or carboplatin elicited PRs in 9.7% (17/176) and SDs in 53.4% (94/176) of patients with solid tumors; the response rate was 21.1% (4/19) for patients with TP53 mutations versus 12.1% (4/33) for patients who were TP53 wild-type¹⁰⁸. A Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with chemotherapy (gemcitabine, carboplatin, paclitaxel, or doxorubicin) reported a 31.9% (30/94, 3 CR) ORR and a 73.4% (69/94) DCR for patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian, Fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer¹⁰⁹. A smaller Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with carboplatin achieved a 42.9% (9/21, 1 CR) ORR and a 76.2% (16/21) DCR for patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian cancer¹¹⁰. The combination of adavosertib with paclitaxel and carboplatin for patients with TP53-mutated ovarian cancer also significantly increased PFS compared with paclitaxel and carboplatin alone¹¹¹. In the Phase 2 VIKTORY trial, patients with TP53-mutated metastatic and/or recurrent gastric cancer experienced a 24.0% (6/25) ORR with adavosertib combined with

paclitaxel¹¹². A Phase 1 trial of neoadjuvant adavosertib in combination with cisplatin and docetaxel for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) elicited a 71.4% (5/7) response rate for patients with TP53 alterations¹¹³. In a Phase 1b clinical trial of SGT-53 in combination with docetaxel for patients with solid tumors, 75.0% (9/12) of evaluable patients experienced clinical benefit, including 2 confirmed and 1 unconfirmed PRs and 2 instances of SD with significant tumor shrinkage¹⁰⁶. Additionally, the combination of a CHK1 inhibitor and irinotecan reportedly reduced tumor growth and prolonged survival in a TP53-mutated, but not TP53-wild-type, breast cancer xenotransplant mouse model¹¹⁴. ATR inhibitor treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cells with biallelic inactivation of TP53 suppressed cell viability, promoted DNA damage, and attenuated xenograft growth in preclinical studies¹¹⁵⁻¹¹⁶; however, ATR inhibitors as monotherapy had little effect on these parameters in solid tumor models in other preclinical studies¹¹⁷⁻¹¹⁸. Therefore, it is unclear whether TP53 inactivation predicts sensitivity to ATR inhibition.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TP53 mutation has been reported in 49–54% of bladder urothelial carcinoma (UC)^{18,119}, 33% of renal pelvis UC¹²⁰, and 25% (22/71) of ureter UC samples¹²¹. Expression of p53 has been correlated with TP53 mutation, and reported in 52–84% of bladder cancers¹²²⁻¹²⁷, 48% (24/50) bladder SCCs¹²⁸, 36–53% of upper urinary tract UCs (UTUC)¹²⁹⁻¹³¹, and in 4/4 urethral clear cell carcinomas¹³². TP53 mutations in both bladder and renal pelvis urothelial carcinoma (UC) are more common in invasive tumors^{120,127,133-134}, and have been associated with inferior survival in patients with renal pelvis UC¹²⁰ or upper tract UC (UTUC)¹³⁵. Alterations to the p53 pathway are correlated with aggressive disease and poor prognosis in bladder cancer¹³⁶⁻¹³⁸, and p53 overexpression has been linked to poor progression-free survival in UTUC^{135,139}, disease progression in UC of the renal pelvis and ureter¹⁴⁰, and higher tumor grade in

bladder squamous cell carcinoma¹⁴¹⁻¹⁴³.

FINDING SUMMARY

Functional loss of the tumor suppressor p53, which is encoded by the TP53 gene, is common in aggressive advanced cancers¹⁴⁴. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt TP53 function or expression¹⁴⁵⁻¹⁴⁹.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline mutations in TP53 are associated with the very rare autosomal dominant disorder Li-Fraumeni syndrome and the early onset of many cancers¹⁵⁰⁻¹⁵², including sarcomas¹⁵³⁻¹⁵⁴. Estimates for the prevalence of germline TP53 mutations in the general population range from 1:5,000¹⁵⁵ to 1:20,000¹⁵⁴. For pathogenic TP53 mutations identified during tumor sequencing, the rate of germline mutations was 1% in the overall population and 6% in tumors arising before age 30¹⁵⁶. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of TP53 is recommended.

POTENTIAL CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS IMPLICATIONS

Variants seen in this gene have been reported to occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion¹⁵⁷⁻¹⁶². CH in this gene has been associated with increased mortality, risk of coronary heart disease, risk of ischemic stroke, and risk of secondary hematologic malignancy¹⁵⁷⁻¹⁵⁸. Clinical management of patients with CH in this gene may include monitoring for hematologic changes and reduction of controllable risk factors for cardiovascular disease¹⁶³. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH^{161,164-165}. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE

Atezolizumab

Assay findings association
Tumor Mutational Burden
13 Muts/Mb

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Atezolizumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to PD-L1 and blocks its interaction with PD-1 to enhance antitumor immune responses. It is FDA approved to treat patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and urothelial carcinoma, depending on treatment setting. Atezolizumab is also approved in combination with other therapies to treat patients with non-squamous NSCLC lacking EGFR or ALK alterations, small cell lung cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and BRAF V600-positive melanoma. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical data across solid tumors^{2-4,166-167}, TMB of ≥ 10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) may predict sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors targeting PD-1 or PD-L1. An association between higher TMB and improved OS, median PFS, and ORR has been observed in large pan-solid tumor studies for patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors²⁻³.

SUPPORTING DATA

In the IMvigor130 study, patients with metastatic urothelial carcinoma harboring TMB-high (>10 muts/Mb) and PD-L1 expression $>5\%$ experienced improved OS with atezolizumab monotherapy compared to platinum-based chemotherapy (HR=0.22)¹⁶⁸. As second-line therapy for advanced urothelial carcinoma in the Phase 3 IMvigor211 study, atezolizumab compared with

chemotherapy did not significantly improve median OS (11.1 vs. 10.6 months, HR=0.87) for patients with PD-L1 expression on 5% or more of tumor-infiltrating immune cells¹³. The ORRs (23% vs. 22%) and median PFSs (HR=1.01) were similar between the treatment arms, but atezolizumab was associated with a numerically longer median duration of response (15.9 vs. 8.3 months)¹³. The Phase 3 IMvigor130 study for patients with treatment-naive urothelial carcinoma found that the addition of atezolizumab to platinum-based chemotherapy improved median PFS (8.2 vs. 6.3 months, HR=0.82) and numerically improved median OS (16.0 vs. 13.4 months, HR=0.83) compared to placebo, with similar ORRs (47.4% vs. 43.8%) but a higher CR rate (12.5% vs. 6.8%)¹⁶⁹. In a Phase 2 study, patients with metastatic urothelial carcinoma treated with atezolizumab as first-line therapy experienced an ORR of 23%, a CR rate of 9%, and a clinical benefit rate of 30%¹². Another Phase 2 trial of atezolizumab as second-line therapy reported an ORR of 15%, with 80% (37/46) of the responses ongoing at the median follow-up of 14.4 months; the median PFS was 2.11 months, and the 12-month OS rate was 37%^{11,170}. Long-term follow-up of a Phase 1 expansion cohort reported a 3-year OS rate of 27% on second-line atezolizumab¹⁷¹. Multiple studies have reported superior ORR and OS outcomes with atezolizumab monotherapy for patients with higher tumor mutational burden (TMB) or PD-L1 expression compared to those with lower TMB or PD-L1 expression^{11-13,168}.

Avelumab

Assay findings association
Tumor Mutational Burden
13 Muts/Mb

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Avelumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to PD-L1 and blocks its interaction with PD-1 in order to enhance antitumor immune responses. It is FDA approved to treat patients 12 years and older with Merkel cell carcinoma, or for urothelial carcinoma in various treatment settings. The combination of avelumab and axitinib is FDA approved for patients with renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical data across solid tumors^{2-4,166-167}, TMB of ≥ 10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) may predict sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors targeting PD-1 or PD-L1. An association between higher TMB and improved OS, median PFS, and ORR has been observed in large pan-solid tumor studies for patients

treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors²⁻³.

SUPPORTING DATA

The Phase 3 JAVELIN Bladder 100 trial of maintenance avelumab for patients with advanced or metastatic urothelial cancer reported longer median PFS (mPFS; 3.7 vs. 2.0 months, HR=0.62), higher ORR (9.7% vs. 1.4%), and longer median OS (mOS; 21.4 vs. 14.3 months, HR=0.69) for avelumab plus best supportive care (BSC) as compared with BSC in the randomized population; longer mPFS (5.7 vs. 2.1 months, HR=0.56), higher ORR (13.8% vs. 1.2%), and longer mOS (not reached vs. 17.1 months, HR=0.56) were also reported for the PD-L1-positive population¹⁷². In the Phase 2 JAVELIN Medley VEGF study, avelumab plus axitinib yielded an ORR of 10% (2/20) and mPFS of 2.3 months for patients with treatment-naive, cisplatin-ineligible urothelial carcinoma¹⁷³.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE

Dostarlimab

Assay findings association
Tumor Mutational Burden
13 Muts/Mb

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Dostarlimab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to the PD-1 receptor and blocks its interaction with PD-L1 and PD-L2, reducing inhibition of the antitumor response. It is FDA approved to treat patients with mismatch repair deficient recurrent or advanced endometrial cancer or solid tumors. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical data across solid tumors^{2-4,166-167}, TMB of ≥ 10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) may predict sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors targeting PD-1 or PD-L1. An association between higher

TMB and improved OS, median PFS, and ORR has been observed in large pan-solid tumor studies for patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors²⁻³.

SUPPORTING DATA

Clinical data on the efficacy of dostarlimab for the treatment of urothelial carcinoma are limited (PubMed, May 2021). Dostarlimab has been studied primarily in recurrent and advanced mismatch repair-deficient (dMMR) endometrial and non-endometrial cancers¹⁷⁴⁻¹⁷⁶. In the Phase 1 GARNET trial, single-agent dostarlimab elicited an ORR of 39% (41/106) and an immune-related ORR of 46% (50/110) for patients with non-endometrial dMMR solid tumors^{174,177}.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE

Nivolumab

Assay findings association

Tumor Mutational Burden

13 Muts/Mb

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Nivolumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to the PD-1 receptor and blocks its interaction with PD-L1 and PD-L2, reducing inhibition of the antitumor immune response. It is FDA approved in various treatment settings for patients with melanoma, renal cell carcinoma (RCC), non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), urothelial carcinoma, classical Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL), gastric cancer, gastroesophageal junction cancer, and esophageal adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). Furthermore, nivolumab is approved to treat patients with mismatch repair-deficient (dMMR) or microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) that has progressed on fluoropyrimidine, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical data across solid tumors^{2-4,166-167}, TMB of ≥ 10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) may predict sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors targeting PD-1 or PD-L1. An association between higher TMB and improved OS, median PFS, and ORR has been observed in large pan-solid tumor studies for patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors²⁻³.

SUPPORTING DATA

The Phase 2 CheckMate 275 and Phase 1/2 CheckMate 032 studies evaluating nivolumab for patients with platinum-refractory metastatic urothelial carcinoma (UC) reported ORRs of 20% (6.3% CR) and 26% (10.3% CR), PFS of 1.9 and 2.8 months, and OS of 8.6 and 9.9 months, respectively¹⁷⁸⁻¹⁸⁰. CheckMate 032 additionally reported a 38% ORR, 4.9 month median PFS (mPFS), and 15.3 month median OS for patients treated with nivolumab and ipilimumab; a 58% ORR was observed for patients with $\geq 1\%$ tumor PD-L1 expression¹⁷⁸. In a Phase 3 trial of neoadjuvant nivolumab and ipilimumab for patients with high-risk advanced UC, 60% (9/15) of patients with a combined positive PD-L1 score ≥ 10 experienced a

pathologic CR compared with 22% (2/9) of patients with lower PD-L1 expression¹⁸¹. A Phase 2 study of ipilimumab and nivolumab for patients with platinum-refractory metastatic UC who progressed on nivolumab monotherapy observed PRs for 23% (5/22) of patients¹⁸². The Phase 3 CheckMate-274 study of adjuvant nivolumab versus placebo following radical surgery for patients with high-risk muscle-invasive UC reported an improved median disease-free survival (20.8 vs. 10.8 months) with 75% of patients treated with nivolumab alive and disease-free at 6 months versus 60% with placebo (HR=0.70); the percentages were 75% and 56%, respectively, for patients with PD-L1 expression $\geq 1\%$ (HR=0.55); in an exploratory subgroup analysis, the DFS HR was 0.82 for patients with PD-L1-negative tumors¹⁸³. A Phase 2 study of nivolumab plus chemotherapy for patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer reported a complete clinical response (cCR) rate of 48% (31/64)¹⁸⁴. An exploratory biomarker analysis of this study found an association between cCR and TMB ≥ 10 Muts/Mb ($p=0.02$) or ERCC2 mutation ($p=0.02$)¹⁸⁴. Combining the multikinase inhibitor cabozantinib with nivolumab or with nivolumab plus ipilimumab demonstrated activity for immunotherapy-naïve patients with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic UC (ORR of 50% [6/12] and 22% [2/9], respectively; mPFS of 24 and 10 months, respectively); cabozantinib combined with nivolumab also benefited immunotherapy-refractory patients (ORR of 29% [2/7])¹⁸⁵ and responses to these combination treatments were observed for patients with bladder squamous cell carcinoma or bladder adenocarcinoma¹⁸⁶. Addition of the IDO1 inhibitor BMS986205 to nivolumab in previously treated advanced UC elicited ORRs for 37% (3/27 CRs, 7/27 PRs) of immunotherapy-naïve patients but no responses for 3 patients who had prior immunotherapy¹⁸⁷. As first-line therapy for advanced UC, nivolumab combined with the immunostimulatory therapy bempagalsdesleukin achieved an ORR of 48% (13/27; 5/27 CRs), with 50% (6/12) of PD-L1-positive and 45% (5/11) of PD-L1-negative patients responding¹⁸⁸.

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 20 October 2021
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Shakti Ramkissoon, M.D., Ph.D., M.M. Sc, Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE

Pembrolizumab

Assay findings association

Tumor Mutational Burden
13 Muts/Mb

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Pembrolizumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to the PD-1 receptor and blocks its interaction with the ligands PD-L1 and PD-L2 to enhance antitumor immune responses. It is FDA approved for patients with tumor mutational burden (TMB)-high (≥ 10 Muts/Mb), microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H), or mismatch repair-deficient (dMMR) solid tumors; as a single agent for PD-L1-positive non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), head and neck squamous cell cancer (HNSCC), cervical cancer, or gastric, esophageal, or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) cancer; and in combination with chemotherapy for PD-L1-positive triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) or cervical cancer. It is also approved in various treatment settings as a single agent for patients with melanoma, HNSCC, urothelial carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, Merkel cell carcinoma, cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, classical Hodgkin lymphoma, or primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma, and in combination with chemotherapy or targeted therapy for NSCLC, HNSCC, esophageal or GEJ cancer, renal cell carcinoma, TNBC, or endometrial carcinoma that is not MSI-H or dMMR. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical data across solid tumors^{2-4,166-167}, TMB of ≥ 10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) may predict sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors targeting PD-1 or PD-L1. An association between higher TMB and improved OS, median PFS, and ORR has been observed in large pan-solid tumor studies for patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors²⁻³.

SUPPORTING DATA

In the Phase 2 PURE-01 study of neoadjuvant pembrolizumab for muscle-invasive bladder urothelial carcinoma, TMB was significantly associated with the probability of pathologic CR (pCR) but was not an

independent marker of pCR probability¹⁸⁹. For TMB ≤ 11 Muts/Mb, the probability of pCR was not dependent on the PD-L1 combined positive score (CPS); however, increased CPS was associated with increased pCR probability for TMB > 11 Muts/Mb¹⁸⁹. The Phase 3 KEYNOTE-045 trial for patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma found second-line pembrolizumab superior to chemotherapy for median OS (10.1 vs. 7.3 months, HR=0.74) and ORR (21% vs. 11%), but not PFS (2.1 vs. 3.3 months, HR=0.96)¹⁹⁰; a 2-year follow-up revealed PFS rates were higher for patients who received pembrolizumab (12% vs. 3.0%)¹⁹¹. First-line pembrolizumab therapy for cisplatin-ineligible patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma achieved a confirmed ORR of 29%, median DOR of 33.4 months, and median OS of 11.3 months after 5 years of follow-up in the Phase 2 KEYNOTE-052 trial. Improved median OS (18.5 months), ORR (47%, n=110, 21% CR), and median DOR (not yet reached at the 5-year mark) were observed for the subset of patients with a PD-L1 combined positive score (CPS) ≥ 10 , compared with a median OS of 9.7 months, an ORR of 21% (n= 251, 4% CR), and a DOR of 21.2 months for the subset of patients with a PD-L1 CPS < 10 ¹⁹². The Phase 2 PURE-01 study investigated neoadjuvant pembrolizumab followed by radical cystectomy in muscle-invasive urothelial bladder carcinoma (MIBC) and reported pathologic CRs for 40% (17/43) of patients; there was a significant association between CR rate and PBRM1 mutation (p=0.0024)¹⁹³. For patients with high-risk non-MIBC unresponsive to the Bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccine, follow-up analysis from the Phase 2 KEYNOTE-057 trial reported a 3-month CR rate of 40% (41/102) for patients treated with pembrolizumab, 75% and 53% of whom experienced a CR duration of at least 6 months and 12 months, respectively¹⁹⁴. In a Phase 1b/2 trial, treatment of patients with advanced urothelial cancer with combination pembrolizumab and lenvatinib elicited an ORR of 25% (5/20, 1 CR) and median PFS of 5.4 months¹⁹⁵.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Cemiplimab

Assay findings association
Tumor Mutational Burden
13 Muts/Mb

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Cemiplimab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to the PD-1 receptor and blocks its interaction with the ligands PD-L1 and PD-L2 to enhance antitumor immune responses. It is FDA approved to treat patients with NSCLC with high PD-L1 expression (TPS \geq 50%), cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (CSCC), or basal cell carcinoma (BCC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical data across solid tumors^{2-4,166-167}, TMB of \geq 10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) may predict sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors targeting PD-1 or PD-L1. An association between higher TMB and improved OS, median PFS, and ORR has been observed in large pan-solid tumor studies for patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors²⁻³.

SUPPORTING DATA

Clinical data on the efficacy of cemiplimab for the treatment of urothelial carcinoma are limited (PubMed, Jun 2021). Cemiplimab has been studied primarily in advanced cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (CSCC), where it elicited a combined ORR of 48% (41/85) in Phase 1 and 2 studies¹⁹⁶. A Phase 2 trial of cemiplimab in patients with basal cell carcinoma (BCC) reported ORRs of 31% (5 CRs and 21 PRs) in patients with locally advanced BCC and 21% (6 PRs) in patients with metastatic BCC¹⁹⁷⁻¹⁹⁸. The Phase 3 EMPOWER-Lung 1 trial for advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with PD-L1 expression \geq 50% reported that cemiplimab is associated with improved PFS (8.2 vs. 5.7 months), OS (not reached vs. 14.2 months), and ORR (37% vs. 21%) compared with chemotherapy¹⁹⁹.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Durvalumab

Assay findings association
Tumor Mutational Burden
13 Muts/Mb

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Durvalumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to PD-L1 and blocks its interaction with PD-1 to enhance antitumor immune responses. It is FDA approved to treat patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and small cell lung cancer (SCLC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical data across solid tumors^{2-4,166-167}, TMB of ≥ 10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) may predict sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors targeting PD-1 or PD-L1. An association between higher TMB and improved OS, median PFS, and ORR has been observed in large pan-solid tumor studies for patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors²⁻³.

SUPPORTING DATA

Biomarker analysis of the Phase 3 DANUBE trial for patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma reported that a blood TMB (bTMB) score ≥ 24 Muts/Mb (approximately 12 Muts/Mb as measured by this assay) or tissue TMB (tTMB) score ≥ 10 Muts/Mb was associated with improved survival following combination treatment of durvalumab with the CTLA-4 inhibitor tremelimumab compared with chemotherapy; neither bTMB nor tTMB was associated with better outcomes following treatment with durvalumab alone²⁰⁰. In the first-line setting for locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma, the randomized, controlled, Phase 3 DANUBE study showed that durvalumab monotherapy did not significantly improve median OS for patients with PD-L1 high tumor status compared with chemotherapy (14.4 vs. 12.1 months, HR=0.89, p=0.30); durvalumab plus tremelimumab also did not improve median OS in the

intention-to-treat population (15.1 vs. 12.1 months, HR=0.85, p=0.075)²⁰¹⁻²⁰². For chemotherapy-pretreated patients with advanced urinary tract carcinoma, the Phase 3b STRONG study of durvalumab reported an ORR of 18% and mOS of 7.0 months, with longer mOS observed for patients with high PD-L1 expression (9.3 vs. 6.5 months)²⁰³. The Phase 2 DUART study of concurrent durvalumab and radiation therapy followed by adjuvant durvalumab for patients with locally advanced bladder urothelial carcinoma reported a 65% (13/20) ORR and 70% (14/20) DCR; median PFS was 18.5 months and median OS was not reached, but 1- and 2- year OS probabilities were 84% and 77%, respectively²⁰⁴. In a Phase 1 study of durvalumab with tremelimumab in a cohort of patients with platinum-refractory metastatic urothelial cancer, an ORR of 21% (35/168, 4 CRs), a median PFS of 1.9 months, and an OS of 9.5 months were reported²⁰⁵. For patients with localized muscle-invasive bladder cancer, the Phase 2 IMMUNOPRESERVE-SOGUG study of durvalumab plus tremelimumab with concurrent radiotherapy reported a CR rate of 81% (26/32), 12-month DFS rate of 76%, 12-month bladder intact DFS rate of 73%, and 12-month OS rate of 87%²⁰⁶. Interim results from the Phase 2 ARCADIA study evaluating the combination of durvalumab and cabozantinib to treat patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma following progression on platinum chemotherapy reported an ORR of 38% (6/16, 2 CRs)²⁰⁷. Combining durvalumab with matched targeted therapies (FGFRi, PARP, or mTOR inhibitors) did not improve PFS or OS for patients with platinum-refractory advanced urothelial cancer in the Phase 2 BISCAY study²⁰⁸. In the neoadjuvant setting, a Phase 2 study of durvalumab and olaparib yielded an ORR of 14% (4/29) for patients with muscle-invasive bladder carcinoma²⁰⁹.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Everolimus

Assay findings association

NF2

splice site 1575-1G>C

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Everolimus is an orally available mTOR inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat renal cell carcinoma (RCC) following antiangiogenic therapy; pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors; and well-differentiated non-functional neuroendocrine tumors of the lung or gastrointestinal tract. Everolimus is also approved to treat either renal angiomyolipoma or subependymal giant cell astrocytoma in association with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Based on individual responses for patients with NF2-mutated metaplastic breast cancer⁵²⁻⁵³ and urothelial carcinoma⁵¹ treated with temsirolimus and everolimus, respectively, as well as preclinical evidence⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰, NF2 inactivation may predict sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors such as everolimus and temsirolimus.

SUPPORTING DATA

A single-arm, non-randomized Phase 2 study of

everolimus in metastatic urothelial carcinoma did not meet its primary endpoint; however, two PRs, one near-CR, and twelve minor regressions were observed²¹⁰. A Phase 2 study of everolimus in urothelial carcinoma reported two patients with PRs and eight patients with SD, out of 37 patients treated in the study²¹¹. Preclinical studies have suggested that the use of everolimus in combination with cisplatin may be an effective therapeutic strategy for the treatment of urothelial bladder cancer²¹². Two case studies of patients with bladder carcinoma harboring inactivating NF2 mutations reported exceptional responses to therapy regimens involving the mTOR inhibitor everolimus⁵⁰⁻⁵¹. Whereas frequent adverse events precluded a recommended Phase 2 dose and schedule for the combination of trametinib and everolimus in a Phase 1b trial for solid tumors⁶⁵, a retrospective study for heavily pretreated patients with solid tumors reported tolerable regimens of the combination for 23/31 patients, with 16 patients treated >3 months and evaluable patients achieving a median PFS of 6.5 months⁶⁶.

Nivolumab + Ipilimumab

Assay findings association

Tumor Mutational Burden

13 Muts/Mb

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Nivolumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to the PD-1 receptor and blocks its interaction with PD-L1 and PD-L2, reducing inhibition of the antitumor immune response, and ipilimumab is a cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4)-blocking antibody. The combination is FDA approved in various treatment settings for patients with melanoma, renal cell carcinoma (RCC), non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and pleural mesothelioma. Furthermore, nivolumab is approved in combination with ipilimumab to treat patients with mismatch repair-deficient (dMMR) or microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) that has progressed on fluoropyrimidine, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical data across solid tumors^{5-6,213}, a TMB score of ≥ 10 Muts/Mb (as measured by this assay) may predict sensitivity to combination nivolumab and ipilimumab treatment.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 2 study of ipilimumab and nivolumab for patients with platinum-refractory metastatic UC who progressed on nivolumab monotherapy observed PRs for 23% (5/22) of patients¹⁸². The Phase 1/2 CheckMate 032 reported a 38% ORR, a 4.9 month median PFS, and a 15.3 month median OS for patients with locally advanced or metastatic UC treated with nivolumab and ipilimumab; a 58% ORR was observed for patients with $\geq 1\%$ tumor PD-L1 expression¹⁷⁸. A Phase 2 study of nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab for patients with advanced bladder cancers reported 1 CR in a patient with plasmacytoid carcinoma and 2 PRs in patients with small cell carcinoma²¹⁴. A Phase 1 trial of nivolumab plus ipilimumab and cabozantinib in patients with refractory metastatic UC and other genitourinary cancers reported a 42% ORR among patients with metastatic UC and bladder squamous cell carcinoma²¹⁵. In the Phase 1 NABUCCO study of neoadjuvant ipilimumab plus nivolumab for patients with advanced urothelial cancer, 93% (23/24) of patients underwent resection within 12 weeks and 46% (11/24) had a pathological CR²¹⁶.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Temsirolimus

Assay findings association

NF2

splice site 1575-1G>C

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Temsirolimus is an intravenous mTOR inhibitor that is FDA approved for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Based on individual responses for patients with NF2-mutated metaplastic breast cancer⁵²⁻⁵³ and urothelial carcinoma⁵¹ treated with temsirolimus and everolimus, respectively, as well as preclinical evidence⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰, NF2 inactivation may predict sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors

such as everolimus and temsirolimus.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 2 study investigating temsirolimus in 15 patients with metastatic urothelial cancer reported minimal activity, with no responses, although 4 patients experienced SD²¹⁷. An additional clinical study of temsirolimus in patients with recurrent or metastatic bladder cancer who had already received first-line chemotherapy reported PRs in 2 patients and SD in 16 patients, out of the 36 evaluable patients included in the study²¹⁸.

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see [clinicaltrials.gov](https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-testing#support-services). Or visit <https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-testing#support-services>.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT

13 Muts/Mb

RATIONALE

Increased tumor mutational burden may predict response to anti-PD-1 (alone or in combination

with anti-CTLA-4) or anti-PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitors.

NCT04237649
PHASE NULL

KAZ954 Alone and With PDR001, NZV930 and NIR178 in Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
 ADORA2A, CD73, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Sunto Gun (Japan), Singapore (Singapore), Milano (Italy), Barcelona (Spain), California, Illinois, Missouri, Connecticut, Texas

NCT03898180
PHASE 3

Study of First-line Pembrolizumab (MK-3475) With Lenvatinib (MK-7902/E7080) in Urothelial Carcinoma Cisplatin-ineligible Participants Whose Tumors Express Programmed Cell Death-Ligand 1 and in Participants Ineligible for Platinum-containing Chemotherapy (MK-7902-011/E7080-G000-317/LEAP-011)

TARGETS
 PD-1, FGFRs, KIT, PDGFRA, RET, VEGFRs

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Taichung (Taiwan), Tainan (Taiwan), Kaohsiung (Taiwan), Kaoshiung (Taiwan), Xiamen (China), Hangzhou (China), Shanghai (China), Guangdong (China), Nanjing (China)

NCT03661320
PHASE 3

A Study of Chemo Only Versus Chemo Plus Nivo With or Without BMS-986205, Followed by Post-Surgery Therapy With Nivo or Nivo and BMS-986205 in Patients With MIBC

TARGETS
 IDO1, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Taipei City (Taiwan), Taichung (Taiwan), Kaohsiung (Taiwan), Fukuoka (Japan), Gyeongsangnam-do (Korea, Republic of), Daegu (Korea, Republic of), Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of), Seongnam-si, (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of)

NCT03732677
PHASE 3

Durvalumab+ Gemcitabine/Cisplatin (Neoadjuvant Treatment) and Durvalumab (Adjuvant Treatment) in Patients With MIBC

TARGETS
 PD-L1

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Taipei City (Taiwan), Taoyuan (Taiwan), Taichung (Taiwan), Tainan (Taiwan), Kaohsiung (Taiwan), Baguio City (Philippines), Quezon City (Philippines), Manila (Philippines), Nagasaki-shi (Japan)

NCT04223856
PHASE 3

Enfortumab Vedotin and Pembrolizumab, With or Without Chemotherapy, vs. Chemotherapy Alone in Untreated Locally Advanced or Metastatic Urothelial Cancer

TARGETS
 PD-1, Nectin-4

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Taichung (Taiwan), Hwasun (Korea, Republic of), Daejeon (Korea, Republic of), Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang-si (Korea, Republic of), Okayama (Japan), Toyama (Japan), Kawasaki-shi (Japan)

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

CLINICAL TRIALS
NCT04241185
PHASE 3

Efficacy and Safety of Pembrolizumab (MK-3475) in Combination With Chemoradiotherapy (CRT) Versus CRT Alone in Muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer (MIBC) (MK-3475-992/KEYNOTE-992)

TARGETS
PD-1

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Tainan (Taiwan), Nagasaki (Japan), Daejeon (Korea, Republic of), Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Songpagu (Korea, Republic of), Gyeonggi-do (Korea, Republic of), Takatsuki (Japan), Tokyo (Japan)

NCT03674567
PHASE 1/2

Dose Escalation and Expansion Study of FLX475 Monotherapy and in Combination With Pembrolizumab

TARGETS
PD-1, CCR4

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Tainan (Taiwan), Shatin (Hong Kong), High West (Hong Kong), Ulsan (Korea, Republic of), Chungbuk (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Bangkok (Thailand), Nedlands (Australia), Heidelberg (Australia)

NCT04181788
PHASE 1/2

Sasanlimab (PF-06801591, PD-1 Inhibitor) in Participants With Advanced Malignancies

TARGETS
PD-1

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Kaohsiung (Taiwan), Shanghai (China), Nanjing (China), Incheon (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Chongqing (China), Beijing (China), Chuo-ku (Japan), Kopeysk (Russian Federation)

NCT02829723
PHASE 1/2

Phase I/II Study of BLZ945 Single Agent or BLZ945 in Combination With PDR001 in Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
PD-1, CSF1R

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Tainan (Taiwan), Nagoya (Japan), Koto ku (Japan), Singapore (Singapore), Tel Aviv (Israel), Zurich (Switzerland), Rozzano (Italy), Barcelona (Spain), Hospitalet de Llobregat (Spain)

NCT03207867
PHASE 2

A Phase 2 Study of NIR178 in Combination With PDR001 in Patients With Solid Tumors and Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma

TARGETS
PD-1, ADORA2A

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Koto ku (Japan), Singapore (Singapore), Brno (Czechia), Salzburg (Austria), Essen (Germany), Koeln (Germany), St. Gallen (Switzerland), Rotterdam (Netherlands), Liege (Belgium)

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

CLINICAL TRIALS
GENE
NF2
ALTERATION

splice site 1575-1G>C

RATIONALE

Inactivation or loss of NF2 results in the dysregulation of mTOR and FAK pathway signaling. Therefore, mTOR and/or FAK inhibitors

may be relevant for patients with NF2 inactivating mutations.

NCT03239015
PHASE 2

Efficacy and Safety of Targeted Precision Therapy in Refractory Tumor With Druggable Molecular Event

TARGETS

EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, PARP, mTOR, MET, RET, ROS1, VEGFRs, BRAF, CDK4, CDK6

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China)

NCT04337463
PHASE NULL

ATG-008 Combined With Toripalimab in Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS

mTORC1, mTORC2, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Chongqing (China), Chengdu (China)

NCT04803318
PHASE 2

Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS

mTOR, FGFRs, KIT, PDGFRA, RET, VEGFRs, MEK

LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)

NCT03297606
PHASE 2

Canadian Profiling and Targeted Agent Utilization Trial (CAPTUR)

TARGETS

VEGFRs, ABL, SRC, ALK, AXL, MET, ROS1, TRKA, TRKC, DDR2, KIT, EGFR, PD-1, CTLA-4, PARP, CDK4, CDK6, CSF1R, FLT3, RET, mTOR, ERBB2, ERBB3, MEK, BRAF, SMO

LOCATIONS: Vancouver (Canada), Edmonton (Canada), Saskatoon (Canada), Regina (Canada), Ottawa (Canada), Montreal (Canada), Toronto (Canada), Kingston (Canada), London (Canada)

NCT02758587
PHASE 1/2

Study of FAK (Defactinib) and PD-1 (Pembrolizumab) Inhibition in Advanced Solid Malignancies (FAK-PD1)

TARGETS

FAK, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Edinburgh (United Kingdom), Glasgow (United Kingdom), Leicester (United Kingdom), Belfast (United Kingdom), Southampton (United Kingdom)

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

CLINICAL TRIALS
NCT03190174
PHASE 1/2

Nivolumab (Opdivo®) Plus ABI-009 (Nab-rapamycin) for Advanced Sarcoma

TARGETS
mTOR, PD-1

LOCATIONS: California

NCT03217669
PHASE 1

Epacadostat (INCB24360) in Combination With Sirolimus in Advanced Malignancy

TARGETS
IDO1, mTOR

LOCATIONS: Kansas

NCT03065062
PHASE 1

Study of the CDK4/6 Inhibitor Palbociclib (PD-0332991) in Combination With the PI3K/mTOR Inhibitor Gedatolisib (PF-05212384) for Patients With Advanced Squamous Cell Lung, Pancreatic, Head & Neck and Other Solid Tumors

TARGETS
PI3K-alpha, PI3K-gamma, mTORC1, mTORC2, CDK4, CDK6

LOCATIONS: Massachusetts

NCT01582191
PHASE 1

A Phase 1 Trial of Vandetanib (a Multi-kinase Inhibitor of EGFR, VEGFR and RET Inhibitor) in Combination With Everolimus (an mTOR Inhibitor) in Advanced Cancer

TARGETS
mTOR, EGFR, RET, SRC, VEGFRs

LOCATIONS: Texas

NCT02159989
PHASE 1

Sapanisertib and Ziv-Aflibercept in Treating Patients With Recurrent Solid Tumors That Are Metastatic or Cannot Be Removed by Surgery

TARGETS
PIGF, VEGFA, VEGFB, mTORC1, mTORC2

LOCATIONS: Texas

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

APPENDIX
Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

ATM
amplification

BCOR
S1633L

BRAF
A27T

DAXX
E457del

EED
amplification

EPHB1
R767C

MAP2K2 (MEK2)
P298L

MRE11A
amplification

MTOR
E751Q, H1744Y and Q752H

NF2
E564Q and L549F

PDGFRB
R370C

PTCH1
E44G and S827G

RAD52
R55H

TSC1
A84T

TYRO3
E371K

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

APPENDIX
Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTB	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRF1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXO2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDMSA	KDMS5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKB1A	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOC3
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1	WT1	XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703						

DNA GENE LIST: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT REARRANGEMENTS

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSP02	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TPRSS2

*TERC is an NCRNA

**Promoter region of TERT is interrogated

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score

Microsatellite (MS) status

Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

APPENDIX
About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.


ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDx

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details:
www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture-selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g., gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification – equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss – equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials
Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table

Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials

Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2021. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. The MSI-H/MSS designation by FMI F1CDx test is based on genome wide analysis of 95 microsatellite loci and not based on the 5 or 7 MSI loci described in current clinical practice guidelines. The threshold for MSI-H/MSS was determined by analytical concordance to comparator assays (IHC and PCR) using uterine, cecum and colorectal cancer FFPE tissue. The clinical validity of the qualitative MSI designation has not been established. For Microsatellite Instability (MSI) results, confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal method should be considered.
2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.

3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding arm- and chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
5. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy.
6. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an *ERBB2* amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive,

and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of *ERBB2* copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in <https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/> report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
Repeatability	6.29 - 10.00
Reproducibility	7.33 - 11.71

*Interquartile Range = 1st Quartile to 3rd Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of follow-up germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are *ATM*, *BAP1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *BRIP1*, *CHEK2*, *FH*, *FLCN*, *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *MUTYH*, *PALB2*, *PMS2*, *POLE*,

RAD51C, *RAD51D*, *RET*, *SDHA*, *SDHB*, *SDHC*, *SDHD*, *TSC2*, and *VHL*, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are *ASXL1*, *CBL*, *DNMT3A*, *IDH2*, *JAK2*, *KMT2D* (*MLL2*), *MPL*, *MYD88*, *SF3B1*, *TET2*, and *U2AF1* and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
mut/m	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
OS	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 5.0.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 370x

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 20 October 2021
 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
 Shakti Ramkissoon, M.D., Ph.D., M.M. Sc, Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

APPENDIX
References

1. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) PMID: 30643254
2. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) PMID: 28835386
3. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) PMID: 31405947
4. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) PMID: 30309915
5. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) PMID: 30785829
6. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) PMID: 29658845
7. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) PMID: 29657128
8. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) PMID: 29731394
9. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat. Med. (2021) PMID: 33558721
10. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) PMID: 32916128
11. Rosenberg JE, et al. Lancet (2016) PMID: 26952546
12. Balar AV, et al. Lancet (2017) PMID: 27939400
13. Powles T, et al. Lancet (2018) PMID: 29268948
14. Mariathasan S, et al. Nature (2018) PMID: 29443960
15. Miao D, et al. Nat. Genet. (2018) PMID: 30150660
16. Galsky et al., 2017; ESMO Abstract 848PD
17. Necchi et al., 2018; AACR Abstract CT003
18. Nature (2014) PMID: 24476821
19. Cazier JB, et al. Nat Commun (2014) PMID: 24777035
20. Rosenberg et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 104
21. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) PMID: 15748635
22. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) PMID: 23875803
23. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) PMID: 12379884
24. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) PMID: 25765070
25. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) PMID: 24336570
26. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) PMID: 29452419
27. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) PMID: 23636398
28. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) PMID: 23447401
29. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) PMID: 24583393
30. Nature (2012) PMID: 22810696
31. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) PMID: 25568919
32. Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) PMID: 32919526
33. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) PMID: 25392179
34. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) PMID: 26140250
35. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) PMID: 25949894
36. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) PMID: 26028255
37. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
38. Mylona E, et al. APMIS (2008) PMID: 18254781
39. Amira N, et al. J. Urol. (2003) PMID: 14501713
40. Bai S, et al. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. (2013) PMID: 23690119
41. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) PMID: 26337942
42. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) PMID: 21081928
43. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) PMID: 24623249
44. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) PMID: 9823339
45. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) PMID: 15528785
46. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) PMID: 20420947
47. López-Lago MA, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2009) PMID: 19451229
48. James MF, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2009) PMID: 19451225
49. Pachow D, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) PMID: 23406776
50. Iyer G, et al. Science (2012) PMID: 22923433
51. Ali SM, et al. Eur. Urol. (2015) PMID: 25630452
52. Ganesan P, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2014) PMID: 25253784
53. Moulder S, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) PMID: 25878190
54. Soria JC, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2016) PMID: 27733373
55. Brastianos et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 2502
56. Poulidakos PI, et al. Oncogene (2006) PMID: 16652148
57. Shapiro IM, et al. Sci Transl Med (2014) PMID: 24848258
58. Shah NR, et al. Gynecol. Oncol. (2014) PMID: 24786638
59. Ammoun S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2010) PMID: 20511180
60. Karajannis MA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) PMID: 22844108
61. Subbiah et al., 2011; ASCO Abstract 2100
62. Garcia-Rendueles ME, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) PMID: 26359368
63. Ammoun S, et al. Cancer Res. (2008) PMID: 18593924
64. Yi C, et al. Cancer Cell (2011) PMID: 21481793
65. Tolcher AW, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) PMID: 25344362
66. Patterson et al., 2018; AACR Abstract 3891
67. Robertson AG, et al. Cell (2017) PMID: 28988769
68. Van Allen EM, et al. Cancer Discov (2014) PMID: 25096233
69. Guo G, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) PMID: 24121792
70. Sfakianos JP, et al. Eur. Urol. (2015) PMID: 26278805
71. Curto M, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2008) PMID: 17971776
72. Laulajainen M, et al. J. Cell. Mol. Med. (2012) PMID: 22325036
73. Lallemant D, et al. J. Cell. Sci. (2009) PMID: 19910496
74. Sherman L, et al. Oncogene (1997) PMID: 9395247
75. Li W, et al. EMBO Rep. (2012) PMID: 22482125
76. Manetti ME, et al. Biol Open (2012) PMID: 23213372
77. Stokowski RP, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2000) PMID: 10712203
78. Mani T, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2011) PMID: 21402777
79. Evans GR, et al. Adv. Otorhinolaryngol. (2011) PMID: 21358190
80. Lu-Emerson C, et al. Rev Neurol Dis (2009) PMID: 19898272
81. Asthagiri AR, et al. Lancet (2009) PMID: 19476995
82. Vinagre J, et al. Nat Commun (2013) PMID: 23887589
83. Huang FW, et al. Science (2013) PMID: 23348506
84. Pinyol R, et al. J. Hepatol. (2014) PMID: 24859456
85. Rachakonda PS, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2013) PMID: 24101484
86. Liu X, et al. Endocr. Relat. Cancer (2013) PMID: 23766237
87. Landa J, et al. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. (2013) PMID: 23833040
88. Nonoguchi N, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) PMID: 23955565
89. Liu X, et al. Cell Cycle (2013) PMID: 23603989
90. Killela PJ, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2013) PMID: 23530248
91. Borah S, et al. Science (2015) PMID: 25722414
92. Kinde I, et al. Cancer Res. (2013) PMID: 24121487
93. Shay JW, et al. Semin. Cancer Biol. (2011) PMID: 22015685
94. Shay JW, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (1997) PMID: 9282118
95. Kim NW, et al. Science (1994) PMID: 7605428
96. Hanahan D, et al. Cell (2000) PMID: 10647931
97. Horn S, et al. Science (2013) PMID: 23348503
98. Hirai H, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2010) PMID: 20107315
99. Bridges KA, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) PMID: 21799033
100. Rajeshkumar NV, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) PMID: 21389100
101. Osman AA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2015) PMID: 25504633
102. Xu L, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2002) PMID: 12489850
103. Xu L, et al. Mol. Med. (2001) PMID: 11713371
104. Camp ER, et al. Cancer Gene Ther. (2013) PMID: 23470564
105. Kim SS, et al. Nanomedicine (2015) PMID: 25240597
106. Pirolo KF, et al. Mol. Ther. (2016) PMID: 27357628
107. Hajdenberg et al., 2012; ASCO Abstract e15010
108. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) PMID: 27601554
109. Moore et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 5513
110. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) PMID: 27998224
111. Oza et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 5506
112. Lee J, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) PMID: 31315834
113. Méndez E, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) PMID: 29535125
114. Ma CX, et al. J. Clin. Invest. (2012) PMID: 22446188
115. Kwok M, et al. Blood (2016) PMID: 26563132
116. Boudry M, et al. Haematologica (2019) PMID: 30975914
117. Dillon MT, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) PMID: 28062704
118. Middleton FK, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2018) PMID: 30127241
119. Ross JS, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2014) PMID: 23887298
120. Bringuier PP, et al. Int. J. Cancer (1998) PMID: 9761125
121. Furihata M, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2000) PMID: 10675480
122. Esrig D, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (1993) PMID: 7901994
123. Cordon-Cardo C, et al. Int. J. Cancer (1994) PMID: 7906253
124. Diaz-Cano SJ, et al. Lab. Invest. (2000) PMID: 10744064
125. Kapur P, et al. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. (2011) PMID: 21571954
126. Lotan Y, et al. Eur. Urol. (2013) PMID: 23571005
127. Kim PH, et al. Eur. Urol. (2015) PMID: 25092538
128. El-Kenawy Ael-M, et al. Int. J. Biol. Markers () PMID: 14756544
129. Joung JY, et al. Urol. Int. (2008) PMID: 18931548
130. Jinza S, et al. Urol. Int. (1998) PMID: 9644783
131. Kamijima S, et al. Int. J. Urol. (2005) PMID: 16351648
132. Alexiev BA, et al. Virchows Arch. (2013) PMID: 23307189
133. Goebell PJ, et al. Urol. Oncol. () PMID: 20610279
134. Lindgren D, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) PMID: 22685613
135. Feng C, et al. Sci Rep (2014) PMID: 24500328
136. Eissa S, et al. Med. Oncol. (2010) PMID: 20012564
137. Mitra AP, et al. World J Urol (2007) PMID: 17710407
138. Lambrou GI, et al. Cell Cycle (2013) PMID: 23624844
139. Lee YC, et al. Anticancer Res. (2013) PMID: 23482786
140. Hashimoto H, et al. Int. J. Urol. (2000) PMID: 11168685
141. Osman I, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (1997) PMID: 9815716
142. Helal Tel A, et al. Pathol. Oncol. Res. (2006) PMID: 16998598
143. Jalali MM, et al. Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev. (2011) PMID: 22126554
144. Brown CJ, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) PMID: 19935675
145. Joerges AC, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2008) PMID: 18410249
146. Kato S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) PMID: 12826609
147. Kamada R, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2011) PMID: 20978130
148. Zerdoumi Y, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2017) PMID: 28472496
149. Yamada H, et al. Carcinogenesis (2007) PMID: 17690113
150. Bougeard G, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) PMID: 26014290
151. Sorrell AD, et al. Mol Diagn Ther (2013) PMID: 23355100
152. Nichols KE, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2001) PMID: 11219776

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

 Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 20 October 2021
 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
 Shakti Ramkissoon, M.D., Ph.D., M.M. Sc, Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

 Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1213873-01

APPENDIX
References

153. Kleihues P, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (1997) PMID: 9006316
154. Gonzalez KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) PMID: 19204208
155. Lalloo F, et al. Lancet (2003) PMID: 12672316
156. Mandelker D, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) PMID: 31050713
157. Jaiswal S, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) PMID: 25426837
158. Genovese G, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) PMID: 25426838
159. Xie M, et al. Nat. Med. (2014) PMID: 25326804
160. Acuna-Hidalgo R, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2017) PMID: 28669404
161. Severson EA, et al. Blood (2018) PMID: 29678827
162. Fuster JJ, et al. Circ. Res. (2018) PMID: 29420212
163. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program (2018) PMID: 30504320
164. Chabon JJ, et al. Nature (2020) PMID: 32269342
165. Razavi P, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) PMID: 31768066
166. Marabelle et al., 2019; ESMO Abstract 11920
167. Legrand et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 12000
168. Galsky et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 5011
169. Galsky MD, et al. Lancet (2020) PMID: 32416780
170. Dreicer et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 4515
171. Petrylak DP, et al. JAMA Oncol (2018) PMID: 29423515
172. Powles T, et al. N Engl J Med (2020) PMID: 32945632
173. Gaffey et al., 2020; SITC Abstract 281
174. Andre et al., 2021; ASCO GI Abstract 9
175. Oaknin A, et al. JAMA Oncol (2020) PMID: 33001143
176. Berton et al., 2021; ASCO Abstract 2564
177. Andre et al., 2021; ESMO GI Abstract SO-9
178. Sharma P, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) PMID: 31100038
179. Sharma P, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2017) PMID: 28131785
180. Sharma et al., 2018; AACR Abstract CT178
181. van der Heijden et al., 2019; ESMO Abstract 904PD
182. Keegan et al., 2019; ASCO GU Abstract 481
183. Bajorin DF, et al. N Engl J Med (2021) PMID: 34077643
184. Galsky et al., 2021; ASCO Abstract 4503
185. Nadal et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 4528
186. Nadal et al., 2018; ASCO GU Abstract 515
187. Luke et al., 2019; ASCO GU Abstract 358
188. Siefker-Radtke et al., 2019; ASCO GU Abstract 388
189. Bandini M, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2020) PMID: 32516377
190. Bellmunt J, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2017) PMID: 28212060
191. Fradet Y, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) PMID: 31050707
192. O'Donnell et al., 2021; ASCO Abstract 4508
193. Necchi et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 4507
194. De Wit et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 4530
195. Taylor MH, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2020) PMID: 31961766
196. Migden MR, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) PMID: 29863979
197. Stratigos et al., 2020; ESMO Abstract LBA47
198. Lewis et al. 2020; doi: 10.1136/jitc-2020-SITC2020.0428
199. Sezer et al., 2020; ESMO Abstract LBA52
200. Wildsmith et al., 2020; SITC Abstract 266
201. Powles T, et al. Lancet Oncol (2020) PMID: 32971005
202. Powles et al., 2020; ESMO Abstract 6970
203. Sonpavde et al., 2021; ASCO GI Abstract 429
204. Joshi et al., 2021; ASCO GU Abstract 398
205. Balar et al., 2018; AACR abstract CT112
206. del Muro et al., 2021; Abstract 4505
207. Marandino L, et al. Clin Genitourin Cancer (2021) PMID: 34006499
208. Powles T, et al. Nat Med (2021) PMID: 33941921
209. Rodriguez-Moreno et al., 2020; ESMO Abstract 761P
210. Milowsky MI, et al. BJU Int. (2013) PMID: 23551593
211. Seront E, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2012) PMID: 22473592
212. Pinto-Leite R, et al. Biomed. Pharmacother. (2013) PMID: 23433853
213. Hodi et al., 2019; AACR abstract CT037
214. McGregor et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 4518
215. Apolo et al., 2017; ASCO Abstract 4562
216. van Dijk N, et al. Nat Med (2020) PMID: 33046870
217. Gerullis H, et al. Med. Oncol. (2012) PMID: 22447503
218. Houede et al., 2015; ASCO GU Abstract 304