

Chen, Li-An

TUMOR TYPE
Brain glioblastoma (GBM)
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE
14 Mar 2022
ORDERED TEST #
ORD-1316134-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Brain glioblastoma (GBM)
NAME Chen, Li-An
DATE OF BIRTH 18 December 1969
SEX Female
MEDICAL RECORD # 22703851

PHYSICIAN

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen
MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN

SPECIMEN SITE Brain
SPECIMEN ID S111-05915A (PF22031)
SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck
DATE OF COLLECTION 15 February 2022
SPECIMEN RECEIVED 08 March 2022

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

EGFR amplification
CDKN2A/B CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss
FGF12 N178S
MTAP loss
SMARCA4 duplication exons 22-30
TERT promoter -124C>T

2 Disease relevant genes with no reportable alterations: *IDH1*, *PDGFRA*

† See About the Test in appendix for details.

Report Highlights

- Variants with diagnostic implications that may indicate a specific cancer type: EGFR amplification (p. 4), TERT promoter -124C>T (p. 7)
- Targeted therapies with potential clinical benefit approved in another tumor type: Cetuximab (p. 8), Panitumumab (p. 8)
- Evidence-matched clinical trial options based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 9)
- Variants with prognostic implications for this tumor type that may impact treatment decisions: TERT promoter -124C>T (p. 7)

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable

Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb

GENOMIC FINDINGS

EGFR - amplification

4 Trials see p. 9

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)

Cetuximab

Cetux

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)

Panitumumab

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

CDKN2A/B - CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss p. 5	SMARCA4 - duplication exons 22-30 p. 7
<i>FGF12</i> - N178Sp. 6	TERT - promoter -124C>Tp. 7
MTAP - loss p. 6	

none

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions



PATIENT Chen, Li-An TUMOR TYPE
Brain glioblastoma (GBM)
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE
14 Mar 2022
ORDERED TEST #
ORD-1316134-01

 $remain the full and final \ responsibility \ of the \ treating \ physician \ and \ physicians \ should \ refer to \ approved \ prescribing \ information \ for \ all \ the \ rapies.$

The rapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and

experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Low-level MSI has been reported in 5-9% of glioblastoma (GBM) samples⁶⁻⁸. A large-scale study did not find high-level microsatellite instability (MSI-H) in any of 129 GBM samples⁶, although a small-scale study reported MSI-H in 4 of 15 pediatric GBMs and 1 of 12 adult GBMs⁹. The frequency of MSI has been reported to be increased in relapsed compared to primary GBM⁶, in GBMs with a previous lower grade astrocytoma⁷, and in giant cell GBM compared to classic GBM⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹⁰. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH₂, MSH₆, or PMS₂¹⁰⁻¹². This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers13-15. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{10,12,14-15}.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 3 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1¹⁶⁻¹⁸, anti-PD-1 therapies¹⁶⁻¹⁹, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²⁰⁻²⁵. In glioma, a lack of association between TMB and clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors has been reported^{16,26-27}. However, multiple case studies have reported that patients with ultramutated gliomas driven by POLE

mutations have benefited from treatment with anti-PD-1²⁸⁻²⁹ or anti-PD-L1³⁰ therapies. Therefore, although increased TMB alone may not be a strong biomarker for PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors in this cancer type, these agents may have efficacy for patients with glioma harboring both high TMB and POLE mutation.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Glioblastoma (GBM) harbors a median TMB of 2.7 mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 4.2% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb)³¹. For pediatric patients, high TMB has been reported in a subset of high-grade gliomas, frequently in association with mutations in mismatch repair or proofreading genes and in TP53, whereas BRAF alterations or other oncogene fusions were observed more frequently in brain tumors harboring low TMB³²⁻³³. Increased TMB has been reported to correlate with higher tumor grade in glioma³⁴ and glioblastoma (GBM) tissue samples with biallelic mismatch repair deficiency

 $(bMMRD)^{28}$, as well as with shorter OS of patients with diffuse glioma³⁵.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma³⁶⁻³⁷ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer³⁸⁻³⁹, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁴⁰⁻⁴¹, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁴²⁻⁴⁶, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{42,45-46}. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents $^{16,26-30}$.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE **EGFR**

ALTERATION amplification

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Clinical studies of the second-generation EGFR TKIs afatinib and dacomitinib for patients with EGFR-amplified gliomas have shown limited efficacy⁴⁷⁻⁵¹; however, a small subset of patients has experienced clinical benefit⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹. Multiple studies have failed to find a positive association between increased EGFR expression and clinical benefit from erlotinib or gefitinib for patients with glioblastoma⁵²⁻⁵⁵. There are conflicting data on the efficacy of anti-EGFR antibodies for the treatment of EGFR-amplified tumors. A metaanalysis of colorectal cancer patients treated with second-line or higher cetuximab or panitumumab observed an association between EGFR copy number gain and increased OS and PFS⁵⁶. However, studies in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and gastric cancer found either no association or a negative association between EGFR copy number gain and survival after treatment with first-line cetuximab or panitumumab in combination with

chemotherapy⁵⁷⁻⁵⁸. The Phase 3 INTELLANCE trial IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed, including of depatuxizumab mafodotin (ABT-414), an EGFRtargeted antibody-drug conjugate with a toxic payload, in patients with EGFR-amplified glioblastoma (GBM) was stopped for futility. Interim analysis demonstrated improved median PFS (mPFS) of ABT-414 monotherapy compared with placebo (HR=0.84); however, no OS benefit was observed (HR=1.01). Improved mPFS was also observed in patients harboring EGFRvIII (HR=0.73) but without an OS improvement (HR=0.95)59. The Phase 2 INTELLANCE trial demonstrated clinical benefit for EGFR-amplified GBM for the combination of ABT-414, temozolomide, and radiotherapy (HR=0.66, p=0.017), but there was no evidence of efficacy for ABT-414 monotherapy (HR=1.04, p=0.83)60.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Across several genomic studies of CNS tumors, EGFR amplification has been reported in 16.9% of anaplastic astrocytomas, and 39.7% of glioblastoma multiformes (GBMs)61-64. In the glioblastoma (GBM) TCGA dataset, putative high-level amplification of EGFR has been found in 48% of cases and mutation has been found in 21% of cases⁶². Missense mutations in the EGFR extracellular domain have been found in 10-15% of GBMs and approximately half have a low-level amplification of the mutated allele⁶⁵⁻⁶⁶. One study detected EGFR alterations in 50% (117/232) of

41% (95/232) with a co-occurring EGFR amplification and mutation, 26% (61/232) with an EGFR domain truncation event, such as EGFRvIII, and 2.2% (5/232) with an EGFR fusion event⁶⁷. No definitive correlation has been identified between EGFR amplification and length of survival in patients with GBM⁶⁸⁻⁶⁹; however, EGFR amplification has been associated with prolonged survival in patients over the age of 60 with GBM⁷⁰.

FINDING SUMMARY

EGFR encodes the epidermal growth factor receptor, which belongs to a class of proteins called receptor tyrosine kinases. In response to signals from the environment, EGFR passes biochemical messages to the cell that stimulate it to grow and divide⁷¹. Amplification of EGFR has been associated with increased expression of EGFR mRNA and protein in several cancer types⁷²⁻⁷⁴.

POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

The presence of EGFR gene amplification or TERT promoter mutations are indicative of diffuse astrocytic glioma with molecular features of glioblastoma, WHO grade 4 in IDH1/2-wildtype tumors (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2021)⁷⁵.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

CDKN2A/B

ALTERATION

CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Preclinical data suggest that tumors with loss of p16INK4a function may be sensitive to CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as abemaciclib, ribociclib, and palbociclib⁷⁶⁻⁷⁹. Although case studies have reported that patients with breast cancer or uterine leiomyosarcoma harboring CDKN2A loss responded to palbociclib treatment⁸⁰⁻⁸¹, multiple other clinical studies have shown no significant correlation between p16INK4a loss or inactivation and the rapeutic benefit of these agents $^{82\text{--}88};$ it is not known whether CDK4/6 inhibitors would be beneficial in this case. Although preclinical studies have suggested that loss of p14ARF function may be associated with reduced sensitivity to MDM2 inhibitors89-90, the clinical relevance of p14ARF as a predictive biomarker is not clear. There are no drugs that directly target the mutation or loss of CDKN2B in cancer. Because the p15INK4b protein encoded by CDKN2B is known to inhibit CDK4, tumors with CDKN2B mutation or loss may predict sensitivity to CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as ribociclib, abemaciclib, and palbociclib83,85-86,91-93.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Concurrent putative homozygous deletion of

CDKN2A and CDKN2B has been reported in 35% of patients with gliomas⁶³ and detected more frequently in patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM; 58%)62 than in those with lower grade gliomas (13%) (cBioPortal, Sep 2021)94-95. In other studies, loss of CDKN2A/B by deletion has been reported in up to 78% of astrocytomas (including anaplastic astrocytomas and GBM)96-98. A study found homozygous deletion of both p16INK4a and p14ARF in 26% (13/50) of glioblastomas (GBMs); 18% (9/50) of cases showed homozygous deletion of the p14ARF-encoding locus alone⁹⁹. One study detected CDKN2A/B loss in 69% (161/232) and mutation in 2.6% (6/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed⁶⁷. Decreased p14ARF and p16INK4a expression levels were found to be tightly associated in a study of glioma samples¹⁰⁰. Homozygous deletion of the genomic region including CDKN2A and CDKN2B has been found to be associated with poor prognosis in GBM and likely serves as an early event in GBM progression^{97,101}. In addition, expression of p16INK4a has been found to be lower in patients with high grade malignant gliomas compared to patients with low grade gliomas, and loss of p16INK4a expression has been associated with shorter overall survival in pilocytic $astrocytomas ^{102\text{-}103}.$

FINDING SUMMARY

CDKN2A encodes two different, unrelated tumor suppressor proteins, p16INK4a and p14ARF, whereas CDKN2B encodes the tumor suppressor p15INK4b $^{104-105}$. Both p15INK4b and p16INK4a

bind to and inhibit CDK4 and CDK6, thereby maintaining the growth-suppressive activity of the Rb tumor suppressor; loss or inactivation of either p15INK4b or p16INK4a contributes to dysregulation of the CDK4/6-cyclin-Rb pathway and loss of cell cycle control¹⁰⁶⁻¹⁰⁷. The tumor suppressive functions of p14ARF involve stabilization and activation of p53, via a mechanism of MDM2 inhibition¹⁰⁸⁻¹⁰⁹. One or more alterations observed here are predicted to result in p16INK4a loss of function¹¹⁰⁻¹³¹. One or more alterations seen here are predicted to result in p14ARF loss of function^{114,131-134}. CDKN2B alterations such as seen here are predicted to inactivate p15INK4b¹³⁵.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline CDKN2A mutation is associated with melanoma-pancreatic cancer syndrome, a condition marked by increased risk of developing malignant melanoma and/or pancreatic cancer¹³⁶. Mutation carriers within families may develop either or both types of cancer, and melanoma cases may be referred to as familial or hereditary melanoma¹³⁷⁻¹³⁸. CDKN₂A is the most implicated gene in familial melanoma, with germline mutations present in 16% to 20% of familial melanoma cases¹³⁹⁻¹⁴¹. CDKN2A alteration has also been implicated in familial melanomaastrocytoma syndrome, an extremely rare tumor association characterized by dual predisposition to melanoma and nervous system tumors¹⁴²⁻¹⁴⁴. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of CDKN2A is recommended.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

FGF12

ALTERATION

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_021032

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

533A>G

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

47.4%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no therapies available to target

alterations in FGF12.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

FGF12 mutations have been reported in tumors of the pancreas (13%), liver (12%), esophagus (10%), prostate (8.7%), ovary (7.7%), and skin (7.2%) (COSMIC, Jan 2022)¹⁴⁵. FGF12 amplification has been reported in various tumors, with highest frequencies in lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (39%), esophageal carcinoma (24%), ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma (24%), and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) (18%) (cBioPortal, Jan 2022)^{94-95,146}. FGF12 amplification was also reported in esophageal SCC in one study¹⁴⁷. Hypermethylation of FGF12 promoter has been reported in a number of cancer types, including colorectal carcinoma¹⁴⁸, prostate

cancer¹⁴⁹, non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC)¹⁵⁰, endometrial carcinoma¹⁵¹, and myeloid leukemia¹⁵². FGF12 expression was increased in diffuse type of gastric cancer¹⁵³, whereas it was downregulated in breast cancer samples from patients who had a pathological complete response (pCR) following chemotherapy¹⁵⁴.

FINDING SUMMARY

FGF12 is a member of the fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family. It does not activate any FGF receptors (FGFRs), and is not secreted from cells. Because it is expressed in developing as well as adult nervous systems, FGF12 is thought to be related to nervous system development and function¹⁵⁵.

GENE

MTAP

ALTERATION loss

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Targeted Therapies —

Preclinical and limited clinical evidence indicate that MTAP inactivation produces specific metabolic vulnerabilities. MTAP inactivation may confer sensitivity to MAT2A inhibitors¹⁵⁶. A Phase 1 trial of MAT2A inhibitor AG-270 reported 1 PR and 2 SDs lasting longer than 6 months for patients with advanced solid tumors displaying MTAP loss¹⁵⁷. Although preclinical data have suggested that MTAP loss sensitizes cells to PRMT5 inhibition^{156,158-159}, MTAP loss may not be a biomarker of response to previously developed small-molecule SAM-uncompetitive PRMT5 inhibitors¹⁶⁰; dual PRMT1 and PRMT5 inhibition may be more effective¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶³. In preclinical cancer models, MTAP inactivation showed increased

sensitivity to inhibitors of purine synthesis or purine analogs, especially upon addition of exogenous MTA, which is converted to adenine in normal cells, thereby providing competition to purine poisons lacking in MTAP-deficient cells¹⁶⁴⁻¹⁷⁴. A Phase 2 study of L-alanosine, an inhibitor of adenine synthesis, as a monotherapy for 65 patients with MTAP-deficient cancers reported no responses and stable disease in 23.6% (13/55) of patients¹⁷⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MTAP loss/homozygous deletion as well as loss of expression has been reported in a wide variety of solid tumors and hematologic cancers¹⁷⁶⁻¹⁷⁷; such events have been correlated with poor prognosis in a variety of cancer types, including hepatocellular carcinoma¹⁷⁸, gastrointestinal stromal tumors¹⁷⁹, mantle cell lymphoma (MCL)¹⁸⁰, melanoma¹⁸¹⁻¹⁸², gastric cancer¹⁸³, myxofibrosarcoma¹⁸⁴, nasopharyngeal carcinoma¹⁸⁵, ovarian carcinoma¹⁷⁶ and non-small cell lung cancer¹⁸⁶. MTAP loss was not prognostic in pediatric B-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia¹⁸⁷ or in astrocytoma¹⁸⁸. However, MTAP has also

been reported to be overexpressed in colorectal cancer (CRC) samples¹⁸⁹, and MTAP retention is thought to be important for prostate cancer growth due to continuous supply of SAM¹⁹⁰. Germline SNPs in MTAP have been correlated with the development of cutaneous melanoma¹⁹¹⁻¹⁹², esophageal cancer¹⁹³⁻¹⁹⁴, osteosarcoma¹⁹⁵, and CRC¹⁹⁶.

FINDING SUMMARY

MTAP encodes S-methyl-5'-thioadenosine (MTA) phosphorylase, a tumor suppressor involved in polyamine metabolism and methionine synthesis, although its enzymatic function is dispensable for its tumor suppressor activity¹⁹⁷⁻¹⁹⁸. Decreased expression of MTAP leads to MTA accumulation within tumor cells and their microenvironment^{178,199-200}, thereby reducing intracellular arginine methylation^{156,158,201} and altering cell signaling^{200,202}. MTAP is located at 9p21, adjacent to CDKN2A and CDKN2B, with which it is frequently co-deleted in various cancers. Other alterations in MTAP are rare and have not been extensively characterized.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

SMARCA4

ALTERATION

duplication exons 22-30

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Clinical²⁰³ and preclinical²⁰⁴⁻²¹⁰ data suggest that patients with small cell carcinoma of the ovary, hypercalcemic type (SCCOHT) harboring SMARCA4 loss or inactivation may benefit from treatment with EZH2 inhibitors, including tazemetostat. In addition, preclinical data have demonstrated that SMARCA4-deficient non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and SCCOHT patient-derived xenografts and cell lines are highly sensitive to CDK4/6 inhibition through a synthetic lethal mechanism of reduced cyclin D1 expression²¹¹⁻²¹². Notably, similar drug sensitivity

was detected in SMARCA4-deficient lung and ovarian tumors, thereby suggesting that SMARCA4-deficient tumors are likely to be sensitive to CDK4/6 inhibition regardless of tissue of origin²¹¹⁻²¹².

Nontargeted Approaches

Downregulation of BRG1 and BRM was reported to enhance cellular sensitivity to cisplatin in lung and head and neck cancer cells²¹³. In vitro studies have shown that SCCOHT cell lines are sensitive to treatment with epothilone B, methotrexate, and topotecan, compared to treatment with other chemotherapies such as platinum-containing compounds; similar sensitivity was not observed for treatment with ixabepilone, a compound closely related to epothilone B²¹⁴.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the TCGA datasets, SMARCA4 mutation was observed in 4.5% of lower grade glioma cases $^{215}\,$

and <1% of glioblastoma cases⁶². BRG1 expression has been reported to be increased in glioma tumors, compared to the surrounding normal tissue, and this study suggested that this increase may contribute to cell proliferation, migration, and/or invasion of glioma cells²¹⁶. One study reported that certain germline polymorphisms in SMARCA4 are associated with increased risk of oligodendroglioma but not astrocytoma or glioblastoma²¹⁷.

FINDING SUMMARY

SMARCA4 encodes the protein BRG1, an ATP-dependent helicase that regulates gene transcription through chromatin remodeling²¹⁸. SMARCA4 is inactivated in a variety of cancers and considered a tumor suppressor²¹⁹. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt SMARCA4 function or expression²²⁰⁻²²⁴.

GENE

TERT

ALTERATION promoter -124C>T

TRANSCRIPT ID

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

-124C>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

33.2%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Therapeutic options for targeting tumors with TERT mutations are limited, although a variety of approaches have been investigated, including immunotherapies using TERT as a tumorassociated antigen and antisense oligonucleotideor peptide-based therapies. TERT peptide vaccines showed limited anticancer efficacy in clinical trials²²⁵; however, in one preclinical study, the combination of a TERT peptide vaccine and anti-CTLA-4 therapy suppressed tumor growth²²⁶. A Phase 2 study of the TERT inhibitor imetelstat for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer

reported no improvement in PFS or OS²²⁷.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TERT promoter mutations have been reported in 51-59% of gliomas²²⁸⁻²²⁹, most frequently in glioblastoma (GBM, 54-84%), gliosarcoma (81%), oligodendroglioma (78%), and historically in oligoastrocytomas (25-31%) but less frequently in lower grade astrocytomas (10-18%) and in only 1% of ependymomas²²⁸⁻²³². In patients with glioblastoma (GBM), the prevalence of TERT promoter mutation is lower in pediatric primary GBM (11%) and adult secondary GBM (28%) compared with a dult primary GBM (58-83%) 228,230 . One study detected TERT promoter mutations in 78% (181/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed⁶⁷. TERT promoter mutation has been shown to be significantly associated with increased TERT gene expression in astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, and GBM233. TERT promoter mutations significantly associate with poor prognosis in patients with GBM, although this correlation may be due to the association with primary GBM as opposed to IDH-positive secondary GBM^{228,230,233-234}. In the context of IDHwildtype glioma, TERT mutations are associated with reduced OS (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, V2.2021).

FINDING SUMMARY

Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT, or hTERT) is a catalytic subunit of the telomerase complex, which is required to maintain appropriate chromosomal length²³⁵. Activation of TERT is a hallmark of cancer, being detected in up to 80-90% of malignancies and absent in quiescent cells²³⁶⁻²³⁸. Mutations within the promoter region of TERT that confer enhanced TERT promoter activity have been reported in two hotspots, located at -124 bp and -146 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site (also termed C228T and C250T, respectively)²³⁹⁻²⁴¹, as well as tandem mutations at positions -124/-125 bp and -138/-139 bp²³⁹.

POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

TERT mutations are associated with 1p/19q codeletion in oligodendrogliomas, and are highly recurrent in IDH/ATRX-wildtype glioblastoma (GBM) (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2021)²⁴². The presence of EGFR gene amplification or TERT promoter mutations are indicative of diffuse astrocytic glioma with molecular features of glioblastoma, WHO grade 4 in IDH1/2-wildtype tumors (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2021)⁷⁵.

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Cetuximab

Assay findings association

EGFR amplification

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Cetuximab is a monoclonal antibody that targets EGFR. It is FDA approved for the treatment of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) and KRAS-wild-type, EGFR-expressing metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

For patients with metastatic CRC receiving cetuximab or panitumumab as mono- or combination therapy, increased EGFR copy number associated with improved OS (HR=0.62) in a meta-analysis, although increased survival was not seen in populations that received first-line treatment with EGFR antibodies⁵⁶.

SUPPORTING DATA

A clinical trial of cetuximab with bevacizumab (an anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody) in patients with glioblastoma (GBM) did not show improved efficacy compared with bevacizumab alone²⁴³. In preclinical trials, cetuximab, matuzumab, and panitumumab were reported to be ineffective at blocking EGFR dimerization and activation in GBM cells expressing EGFR extracellular

domain mutations²⁴⁴. However, another study demonstrated that in patients with GBM harboring EGFR amplification but lacking expression of the EGFRvIII variant, treatment with cetuximab resulted in significantly better progression-free survival (PFS) and numerical (although not statistically significant) improvement in overall survival (OS)245. A Phase 3 trial of combined cetuximab and platinum/5-FU in patients with HNSCC demonstrated improved response compared to platinum/5-FU alone, but EGFR amplification was not shown to predict response to this treatment⁵⁷. A Phase 3 study of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma did not report any improved outcome in patients treated with a combination of cetuximab plus gemcitabine vs gemcitabine alone 246 . In a Phase $^{1/2}$ trial of 36 patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) treated with cetuximab in combination with doxorubicin, stable disease was reported in approximately 63% of patients²⁴⁷. A Phase 1 study of the combination therapy of cetuximab, erlotinib, and bevacizumab reported stable disease in 21% (7/34) of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)248.

Panitumumab

Assay findings association

EGFR amplification

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Panitumumab is a monoclonal antibody that targets EGFR. It is FDA approved to treat KRAS wild-type and NRAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) combined with chemotherapy or as monotherapy for patients who have progressed on prior chemotherapy. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

For patients with metastatic CRC receiving cetuximab or panitumumab as mono- or combination therapy, increased EGFR copy number associated with improved OS (HR=0.62) in a meta-analysis, although increased survival was not seen in populations that received first-line treatment with EGFR antibodies⁵⁶.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 1 trial of EnGeneIC delivery vehicle (EDV) targeting EGFR with panitumumab in combination with

doxorubicin for 14 patients with glioblastoma (GBM) reported no responses and 28% (4/14) SDs²⁴⁹. Panitumumab has shown efficacy as monotherapy or in combination with chemotherapy for patients with KRASwildtype colorectal cancer²⁵⁰⁻²⁵² and has been investigated in a variety of other tumor types. For patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), data are conflicting; some trials of panitumumab in various lines and with different chemotherapy combinations have shown modest benefit²⁵³⁻²⁵⁵ and others have reported no benefit²⁵⁶⁻²⁵⁸ . A Phase 3 study of chemotherapy with or without panitumumab for patients with advanced gastroesophageal cancer was terminated for futility²⁵⁹. Trials in a variety of tumor types have failed to show significant benefit for patients, including non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)²⁶⁰⁻²⁶¹; biliary tract cancers, including cholangiocarcinoma²⁶²⁻²⁶³; and renal cell carcinoma (RCC)²⁶⁴.

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.



CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial \Rightarrow Geographical proximity \Rightarrow Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

GENE FGFR

ALTERATION amplification

RATIONALE

EGFR activating mutations, rearrangements, or amplification may predict sensitivity to EGFR-targeted therapies. Strategies to overcome

resistance to current agents include next-generation EGFR inhibitors and combination therapies.

NCT03783403	PHASE 1
A Study of CC-95251, a Monoclonal Antibody Directed Against SIRP α , in Subjects With Advanced Solid and Hematologic Cancers	TARGETS CD20, EGFR, SIRP-alpha

LOCATIONS: Heidelberg (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), Edmonton (Canada), Oregon, California, Arizona, Toronto (Canada), Oklahoma, Missouri, Pennsylvania

NCT03618667	PHASE 2
GC1118 in Recurrent Glioblastoma Patients With High EGFR Amplification	TARGETS EGFR
LOCATIONS: Seoul (Korea, Republic of)	

NCT02800486	PHASE 2
Super Selective Intra-arterial Repeated Infusion of Cetuximab (Erbitux) With Reirradiation for Treatment of Relapsed/Refractory GBM, AA, and AOA	TARGETS EGFR

LOCATIONS: New York

NCT02861898	PHASE 1/2
Super-selective Intra-arterial Repeated Infusion of Cetuximab for the Treatment of Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma	TARGETS EGFR
LOCATIONS: New York	



Chen, Li-An

TUMOR TYPE
Brain glioblastoma (GBM)

REPORT DATE 14 Mar 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1316134-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

C11ORF30 (EMSY) T1005A	CYP17A1 D487_F489del	DOT1L T1403S	EGFR Y299_V300>W and rearrangement
MLL2 R1312C	NOTCH3 G131R	P2RY8 F359C	SETD2 N102D
TSC2 A678T			



ACVP1R

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1316134-01

ΔRI1

APPENDIX

ALOX12R

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

AMERI (FAMIZZR) APC

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

ΔKT3

ΔΙΚ

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

ΔΚΤ2

ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTK	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOCS1
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1	WT1	XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703						
DNA GENE LIST:	FOR THE DETEC	TION OF SELECT	REARRANGEME	NTS				
ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TMPRSS2

^{*}TERC is an NCRNA

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

^{**}Promoter region of TERT is interrogated

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/ficdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

- Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- 5. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine

whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an ERBB2 amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of ERBB2 copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/ disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be

approximately 2%. **REPORT HIGHLIGHTS**

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS Repeatability	%CV*

^{*}Interquartile Range = 1^{st} Quartile to 3^{rd} Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 6.0.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 822x

APPENDIX

References

- Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 6. Martinez R, et al. Oncology (2004) pmid: 15331927
- Martinez R, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. (2005) pmid: 15672285
- 8. Martinez R, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (2007) pmid: 17498554
- 9. Szybka M, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 12908754
- Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 11. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 13. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 14. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 15. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 16. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- **17.** Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 19. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 20. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- 21. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 22. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 23. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 24. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721
- 25. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 26. Zhao J, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 30742119
- 27. Touat M, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32322066
- 28. Bouffet E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27001570
- 29. Johanns TM, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27683556
- **30.** Lukas RV, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2018) pmid: 30073642
- **31.** Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 28420421
- 32. Patel RR, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer (2020) pmid: 32386112
- **33.** Johnson A, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28912153
- 34. Draaisma K, et al. Acta Neuropathol Commun (2015) pmid: 26699864
- 35. Wang L, et al. BMC Cancer (2020) pmid: 32164609
- 36. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- **38.** Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- **39.** Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 40. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 2433657041. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- **43.** Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- 44. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- 45. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- 47. Sepúlveda-Sánchez JM, et al. Neuro-oncology (2017) pmid: 28575464
- **48.** Tanaka S, et al. Sci Rep (2019) pmid: 30644426

- 49. Chi AS, et al. JCO Precis Oncol (2020) pmid: 32923886
- 50. Reardon DA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2015) pmid: 25140039
- Blumenthal DT, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2016) pmid: 27531351
- 52. Mellinghoff IK, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2005) pmid: 16282176
- 53. Franceschi E, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2007) pmid: 17353924
- 54. Chakravarti A, et al. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. (2013) pmid: 23182702
- 55. Hegi ME, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid: 21471286
- 56. Jiang Z, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23441167
- 57. Licitra L. et al. Ann. Oncol. (2011) pmid: 21048039
- 58. Smyth EC, et al. Gut (2021) pmid: 33199443
- 59. Lassman et al., 2019; Neuro-Oncology Abstract ACTR-21
- 60. Van Den Bent M, et al. Neuro Oncol (2020) pmid: 31747009
- 61. Jonsson P, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31263031
- **62.** Brennan CW, et al. Cell (2013) pmid: 24120142
- 63. Ceccarelli M, et al. Cell (2016) pmid: 26824661
- **64.** Thomas AA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2017) pmid: 28472509
- 65. Lee JC, et al. PLoS Med. (2006) pmid: 17177598
- **66.** Vivanco I, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588883
- 67. Yan et al. 2020; DOI:10.1200/PO.19.00385
- 68. Srividya MR, et al. J. Clin. Pathol. (2010) pmid: 20702468
- 69. Das P. et al. J Clin Neurosci (2011) pmid: 20888234
- 70. Smith JS, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2001) pmid: 11504770
- 71. Ciardiello F, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2008) pmid: 18337605
- **72.** Liang Z, et al. BMC Cancer (2010) pmid: 20637128
- 73. Bhargava R, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2005) pmid: 15920544
- **74.** Yang YL, et al. Chin. Med. J. (2012) pmid: 22490401
- 75. Louis DN, et al. Neuro Oncol (2021) pmid: 34185076
- **76.** Konecny GE, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21278246
- 77. Katsumi Y, et al. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2011) pmid: 21871868
- **78.** Cen L, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) pmid: 22711607 **79.** Logan JE, et al. Anticancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23898052
- 80. Elvin JA, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28283584
- 81. Gao J, et al. Curr Oncol (2015) pmid: 26715889
- **82.** Gopalan et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 8077
- 83. Peguero et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 2528
- 84. Konecny et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5557
- 85. DeMichele A, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 25501126
- 86. Finn RS, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25524798
- Infante JR, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 27542767
- 88. Johnson DB, et al. Oncologist (2014) pmid: 24797823
- 89. Van Maerken T, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid: 21460101
- 90. Gamble LD, et al. Oncogene (2012) pmid: 21725357
- 91. Shapiro et al., 2013; ASCO Abstract 2500
- **92.** Flaherty KT, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22090362
- 93. Dickson MA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23569312
- 94. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588877
- 95. Gao J, et al. Sci Signal (2013) pmid: 23550210
- Verhaak RG, et al. Cancer Cell (2010) pmid: 20129251
 Sottoriva A, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2013) pmid: 23412337
- **98.** Weber RG, et al. Oncogene (2007) pmid: 16909113

- 99. Nakamura M, et al. Brain Pathol. (2001) pmid: 11303791
- 100. Chakravarti A, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2001) pmid: 11489817
- 101. Feng J, et al. Cancer (2012) pmid: 21713760
- 102. Raabe EH, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21636552
- Liu W, et al. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21843312
- 104. Quelle DE, et al. Cell (1995) pmid: 8521522
- 105. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15878778
- 106. Gazzeri S, et al. Oncogene (1998) pmid: 9484839
- 107. Oncogene (1999) pmid: 10498883
- 108. Sherr CJ, et al. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. (2005) pmid: 16869746
- 109. Ozenne P. et al. Int. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20549699
- 110. Ruas M, et al. Oncogene (1999) pmid: 10498896
- 111. Jones R, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid: 17909018
- 112. Haferkamp S, et al. Aging Cell (2008) pmid: 18843795
- **113.** Huot TJ, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2002) pmid: 12417717
- 114. Rizos H, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2001) pmid: 11518711
- 115. Gombart AF, et al. Leukemia (1997) pmid: 9324288
- 116. Yang R, et al. Cancer Res. (1995) pmid: 7780957117. Parry D, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (1996) pmid: 8668202
- 118. Greenblatt MS, et al. Oncogene (2003) pmid: 12606942
- 119. Yarbrough WG, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (1999) pmid:
- **120.** Poi MJ, et al. Mol. Carcinog. (2001) pmid: 11255261
- 121. Byeon IJ, et al. Mol. Cell (1998) pmid: 9660926
- 122. Kannengiesser C, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2009) pmid: 19260062
- 123. Lal G, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2000) pmid: 10719365
- **124.** Koh J, et al. Nature (1995) pmid: 7777061
- 125. McKenzie HA, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2010) pmid:
- 20340136 126. Miller PJ, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2011) pmid: 21462282
- 127. Kutscher CL, et al. Physiol. Behav. (1977) pmid: 905385
- **128.** Scaini MC, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2014) pmid: 24659262 **129.** Jenkins NC, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2013) pmid:
- 23190892
- 130. Walker GJ, et al. Int. J. Cancer (1999) pmid: 10389768
- 131. Rutter JL, et al. Oncogene (2003) pmid: 12853981132. Itahana K, et al. Cancer Cell (2008) pmid: 18538737
- **133.** Zhang Y, et al. Mol. Cell (1999) pmid: 10360174
- **134.** Zhang Y, et al. Cell (1998) pmid: 9529249 **135.** Jafri M, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) pmid: 25873077
- **136.** Whelan AJ, et al. N Engl J Med (1995) pmid: 7666917
- 137. Adv Exp Med Biol (2010) pmid: 20687502
- 138. Hogg D, et al. J Cutan Med Surg (1998) pmid: 9479083139. De Unamuno B, et al. Melanoma Res (2018) pmid:
- 29543703 **140.** Soura E, et al. J Am Acad Dermatol (2016) pmid: 26892650
- 141. Huerta C, et al. Acta Derm Venereol (2018) pmid:
- 142. Kaufman DK, et al. Neurology (1993) pmid: 8414022
- **143.** Bahuau M, et al. Cancer Res (1998) pmid: 9622062
- 144. Chan AK, et al. Clin Neuropathol () pmid: 28699883145. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878
- **146.** Nature (2012) pmid: 22960745
- Chattopadhyay I, et al. Mutat. Res. (2010) pmid: 20083228
- 148. Li H, et al. Oncol. Rep. (2012) pmid: 22552777
- Dmitriev AA, et al. Dis. Markers (2015) pmid: 26491211
 Carvalho RH, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2013) pmid: 23574404

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

© 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

APPENDIX

References

- ORDERED TEST # ORD-1316134-01
- 151. Trimarchi MP, et al. PLoS ONE (2017) pmid: 28278225 152. Gebhard C. et al. Cancer Res. (2006) pmid: 16778185
- 153. Volkomorov V, et al. Exp. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23528308
- 154. Iddawelda et al., 2009; ASCO Abstract 574
- 155. Smallwood PM, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1996) pmid: 8790420
- 156. Marjon K, et al. Cell Rep (2016) pmid: 27068473
- 157. Heist et al., 2019; AACR-NCI-EORTC Abstract B116
- 158. Mavrakis KJ, et al. Science (2016) pmid: 26912361
- 159. Endoscopy (1989) pmid: 2691236
- Guccione E, et al. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. (2019) pmid: 160. 31350521
- 161. Fedoriw A, et al. Cancer Cell (2019) pmid: 31257072
- 162. Srour N, et al. Cancer Cell (2019) pmid: 31287990
- 163. Gao G. et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30916320
- 164. Hansen LJ, et al. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31040154
- 165. Tang B, et al. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 29844120 166. Munshi PN, et al. Oncologist (2014) pmid: 24928612
- 167. de Oliveira SF, et al. PLoS ONE (2016) pmid: 26751376
- 168. Lubin M, et al. PLoS ONE (2009) pmid: 19478948
- 169. Tang B. et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2012) pmid: 22825330
- 170. Collins CC, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) pmid: 22252602
- Bertino JR, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2011) pmid:
- Coulthard SA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid: 21282358
- 173. Miyazaki S, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2007) pmid: 17912432
- 174. Efferth T. et al. Blood Cells Mol. Dis. () pmid: 11987241
- 175. Kindler HL, et al. Invest New Drugs (2009) pmid:
- 176. Wei R, et al. Sci Rep (2016) pmid: 27929028
- 177. Zhao M, et al. BMC Genomics (2016) pmid: 27556634
- 178. Kirovski G, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2011) pmid: 21356366
- 179. Huang HY, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2009) pmid:
- 180. Marcé S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2006) pmid: 16778103
- 181. Meyer S. et al. Exp. Dermatol. (2010) pmid: 20500769
- 182. Wild PJ, et al. Arch Dermatol (2006) pmid: 16618867
- 183. Kim J. et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2011) pmid: 21412930
- 184. Li CF, et al. Oncotarget (2014) pmid: 25426549
- 185. He HL, et al. Medicine (Baltimore) (2015) pmid: 26656376
- Su CY, et al. Eur J Surg Oncol (2014) pmid: 24969958
- 187. Mirebeau D, et al. Haematologica (2006) pmid:
- 188. Becker AP, et al. Pathobiology (2015) pmid: 26088413
- Snezhkina AV, et al. Oxid Med Cell Longev (2016) pmid: 27433286

- 190. Bistulfi G, et al. Oncotarget (2016) pmid: 26910893
- 191. Antonopoulou K, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2015) pmid:
- 192. Maccioni L, et al. BMC Cancer (2013) pmid: 23816148
- 193. Hyland PL, et al. Int J Epidemiol (2016) pmid: 26635288
- 194. Lin X, et al. Cancer Sci. (2017) pmid: 27960044
- 195. Zhi L, et al. J Cancer (2016) pmid: 27994653
- 196. Gu F, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23361049
- 197. Limm K, et al. PLoS ONE (2016) pmid: 27479139
- 198. Tang B, et al. G3 (Bethesda) (2014) pmid: 25387827
- 199. Limm K, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23265702
- 200. Stevens AP, et al. J. Cell. Biochem. (2009) pmid:
- 201. Kryukov GV, et al. Science (2016) pmid: 26912360
- 202. Limm K, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25087184
- 203. Italiano A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29650362
- 204. Jelinic P, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2016) pmid: 26564006
- 205. Karnezis AN, et al. J. Pathol. (2016) pmid: 26356327
- 206. Kim KH, et al. Nat. Med. (2015) pmid: 26552009
- 207. Chan-Penebre E, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28292935
- 208. Januario T, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2017) pmid: 29087303
- 209. Wang Y, et al. J. Pathol. (2017) pmid: 28444909
- 210. Wang Y, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2018) pmid: 30232145
- 211. Xue Y, et al. Nat Commun (2019) pmid: 30718512
- 212. Xue Y, et al. Nat Commun (2019) pmid: 30718506
- 213. Kothandapani A, et al. Exp. Cell Res. (2012) pmid: 22721696
- 214. Otte A, et al. Orphanet J Rare Dis (2014) pmid: 25103190
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26061751
- 216. Bai J, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid:
- 22362300 217. Amankwah EK, et al. Cancer Epidemiol (2013) pmid: 23276717
- **218.** Wilson BG, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2011) pmid: 21654818
- 219. Shain AH, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23355908
- Trotter KW, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2008) pmid: 18086889
- 221. Shen W, et al. Biochemistry (2007) pmid: 17274598
- 222. Dykhuizen EC, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23698369
- 223. Stanton BZ, et al. Nat. Genet. (2017) pmid: 27941795
- 224. Fernando TM, et al. Nat Commun (2020) pmid:
- 225. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2017) pmid: 27245281
- 226. Duperret EK, et al. Mol Ther (2018) pmid: 29249395
- 227. Chiappori AA, et al. Ann Oncol (2015) pmid: 25467017

- 228. Killela PJ, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2013) pmid: 23530248
- 229 Killela PJ, et al. Oncotarget (2014) pmid: 24722048
- 230. Nonoguchi N, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 23955565
- 231. Liu X, et al. Cell Cycle (2013) pmid: 23603989
- Koelsche C, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 232. 24154961
- 233. Arita H, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 23764841
- 234. Reitman ZJ, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid:
- 235. Shay JW, et al. Semin. Cancer Biol. (2011) pmid: 22015685
- 236. Shay JW, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (1997) pmid: 9282118
- 237. Kim NW, et al. Science (1994) pmid: 7605428
- 238. Hanahan D. et al. Cell (2000) pmid: 10647931
- 239. Horn S, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348503
- 240. Huang FW, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348506
- 241. Vinagre J, et al. Nat Commun (2013) pmid: 23887589 242. Weller M, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2021) pmid:
- 33293629 Hasselbalch B, et al. Neuro-oncology (2010) pmid: 243.
- 244. Gajadhar AS, et al. Mol. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid:
- 22232519
- 245. Lv S, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22752145 246. Philip PA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20606093
- Slovin SF, et al. Clin Genitourin Cancer (2009) pmid:
- 248. Falchook GS, et al. Oncotarget (2013) pmid: 23435217
- Whittle JR, et al. J Clin Neurosci (2015) pmid: 26279503
- 250. Douillard JY, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24718886
- 251. Price TJ, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24739896
- Van Cutsem E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2007) pmid: 17470858
- Vermorken JB, et al. Lancet Oncol (2013) pmid: 253.
- 254. Wirth LJ, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2010) pmid: 19892746
- 255. Siano M, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28592616
- 256. Mesía R, et al. Lancet Oncol (2015) pmid: 25596660
- 257. Giralt J, et al. Lancet Oncol (2015) pmid: 25596659
- 258. Siu LL, et al. JAMA Oncol (2016) pmid: 27930762
- 259. Waddell T, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23594787 260. Crawford J, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2013) pmid: 24389433
- 261. Schuette W, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2015) pmid: 26094080
- 262. Leone F, et al. Cancer (2016) pmid: 26540314
- 263. Vogel A, et al. Eur J Cancer (2018) pmid: 29413685 264. Rowinsky EK, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2004) pmid:

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.