

PATIENT Chung, Mei-Chuan

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE
31 May 2022
ORDERED TEST #
ORD-1372381-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Brain meningioma

NAME Chung, Mei-Chuan

DATE OF BIRTH 03 February 1961

SEX Female

MEDICAL RECORD # 48506918

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen
MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN SITE Brain
SPECIMEN ID S111-18410 F (PF22063)
SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck
DATE OF COLLECTION 04 May 2022
SPECIMEN RECEIVED 23 May 2022

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 1 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

NF2 loss exons 1-4 SMO L412F

Report Highlights

 Evidence-matched clinical trial options based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 5)

PATHOLOGIST COMMENTS

Erik Williams, M.D. 31-May-2022

This assay is not validated to identify chromosome-level cytogenetic aberrations. However, manual review of the copy-number profile suggests loss on chromosomes 1p, 19, and 22q. These findings could be confirmed by ancillary orthogonal cytogenetics testing, if clinically indicated (PMID: 34618539).

BIOMARKER FINDINGS THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICA			
Microsatellite status - MS-Stable	No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section		
Tumor Mutational Burden - 1 Muts/Mb	No therapies or clinical trials. see B	iomarker Findings section	
GENOMIC FINDINGS	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)	
NF2 - loss exons 1-4	none	none	
7 Trials see <i>p</i> . <u>5</u>			

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT

MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective

analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

The reported incidence of MSI in meningioma has varied significantly between studies⁶⁻¹². The prognostic significance of MSI in meningioma is unknown (PubMed, Aug 2021).

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive

amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹³. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2¹³⁻¹⁵. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers¹⁶⁻¹⁸. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{13,15,17-18}.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 1 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L119-21, anti-PD-1 therapies19-22, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²³⁻²⁸. In multiple pan-tumor studies, increased tissue tumor mutational burden (TMB) was associated with sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors^{19-22,29-33}. In the KEYNOTE 158 trial of pembrolizumab monotherapy for patients with solid tumors, significant improvement in ORR was observed for patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (as measured by this assay) compared with those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb in a large cohort that included multiple tumor types²⁹; similar findings were observed in the KEYNOTE 028 and 012 trials 22 . At

the same TMB cutpoint, retrospective analysis of patients with solid tumors treated with any checkpoint inhibitor identified that tissue TMB scores \geq 10 Muts/Mb were associated with prolonged time to treatment failure compared with scores <10 muts/Mb (HR=0.68)³³. For patients with solid tumors treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab in the CheckMate 848 trial, improved responses were observed in patients with a tissue TMB ≥ 10 Muts/Mb independent of blood TMB at any cutpoint in matched samples³⁴. However, support for higher TMB thresholds and efficacy was observed in the prospective Phase 2 MyPathway trial of atezolizumab for patients with pan-solid tumors, where improved ORR and DCR was seen in patients with TMB ≥ 16 Muts/Mb than those with TMB \geq 10 and <16 Muts/Mb³². Similarly, analyses across several solid tumor types reported that patients with higher TMB (defined as ≥16-20 Muts/Mb) achieved greater clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting monotherapy compared with patients with higher TMB treated with chemotherapy³⁵ or those with lower TMB treated with PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting agents²⁰.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Meningioma harbors a median TMB of 1.8 $\,$

mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 0.9% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb)³⁶. Grade 2 or 3 meningiomas have been associated with a higher mutation rate than Grade 1 meningiomas; meningiomas were reported to have a smaller number of somatic alterations relative to other tumor types³⁷.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma³⁸⁻³⁹ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer⁴⁰⁻⁴¹, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁴²⁻⁴³, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁴⁴⁻⁴⁸, and microsatellite instability (MSI)44,47-48. This sample harbors a TMB level associated with lower rates of clinical benefit from treatment with PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors compared with patients with tumors harboring higher TMB levels, based on several studies in multiple solid tumor types^{20-21,29}.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

NF2

ALTERATION

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

NF2 inactivating alterations may indicate sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors⁴⁹⁻⁵². Two case studies reported clinical benefit for patients with NF2-mutated cancers, including urothelial carcinoma 53 and metaplastic breast cancer $^{54-55}$ treated with everolimus and temsirolimus, respectively. Loss or inactivation of NF2 may also predict sensitivity to FAK inhibitors based on clinical data in mesothelioma⁵⁶ and meningioma⁵⁷ and strong preclinical data⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰. Limited preclinical and clinical evidence in vestibular schwannoma suggests possible sensitivity of NF2-deficient tumors to the pan-ERBB inhibitor lapatinib61-62. Similarly, on the basis of limited clinical⁶³ and preclinical⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶ evidence, NF2 inactivation may predict sensitivity to MEK inhibitors, such as approved agents trametinib and cobimetinib. These and other relevant compounds are being

investigated in clinical trials. Whereas frequent adverse events precluded a recommended Phase 2 dose and schedule for the combination of trametinib and everolimus in a Phase 1b trial for solid tumors⁶⁷, a retrospective study for heavily pretreated patients with solid tumors reported tolerable regimens of the combination for 23/31 patients, with 16 patients treated >3 months and evaluable patients achieving a median PFS of 6.5 months⁶⁸. In a Phase 2 trial of the investigational FAK inhibitor GSK2256098 for patients with meningiomas harboring NF2 mutations, 10/12 (83%) patients with Grade 1 meningioma and 8/24 (33%) patients with Grade 2 or Grade 3 disease experienced PFS lasting ≥6 months; in the Grade 1 cohort, no objective responses were observed, but 10/12 (83%) patients achieved SD, while in the Grade 2 and Grade 3 cohort, 1/24 (4%) patients achieved a PR and 14/24 (58%) patients achieved

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

NF2 is the most commonly altered gene in meningioma, and patients with germline NF2 mutations are at increased risk for meningiomas, with the lifetime risk of meningioma estimated at up to $75\%^{69-70}$. Loss of NF2 function via mutation or loss of expression has been reported in 40-60%

of sporadic meningiomas⁷¹⁻⁷². NF2 mutation has been reported in 39% of meningioma samples analyzed in COSMIC (Sep 2021)⁷³. One study reported that NF2 or chromosome 22 alterations occurred more frequently in high-grade (80%) vs low-grade (43%) meningioma samples analyzed⁷⁴. NF2 mutation has been associated with monosomy 22 in sporadic meningioma, specifically in menopausal women⁷⁵⁻⁷⁶.

FINDING SUMMARY

Merlin, encoded by NF2, coordinates cell contact with growth signals; the inactivation of Merlin disrupts this mechanism and can lead to unrestrained growth despite cell contact⁷⁷. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt NF2 function or expression⁷⁸⁻⁸⁴.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Heterozygous germline NF2 loss or inactivation is associated with neurofibromatosis type 2, which results in the development of vestibular schwannomas, meningiomas, ependymomas, and ocular disturbances⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷. Prevalence for this disorder in the general population is estimated to be 1:25,000⁸⁷. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of NF2 is recommended.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

SMO

ALTERATION

L412F

TRANSCRIPT ID

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

1234C>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

30.1%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Activation of SMO may predict sensitivity to SMO inhibitors such as vismodegib or sonidegib, which have shown significant clinical activity for patients with Hedgehog-pathway-activated basal cell carcinoma (BCC) or medulloblastoma (MB)⁸⁸⁻⁹², including for patients harboring SMO mutations⁹³⁻⁹⁶. Outside of BCC and MB, basket trials with vismodegib and sonidegib have failed to show a benefit for patients with SMO mutations, although the enrolled cohorts were small (n=3 and n=4, respectively)⁹⁷⁻⁹⁸. A case study reported clinical benefit for 2 patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer and germline SMO mutations (1 PR, 1 short-term SD), whereas the

third patient in that study with a somatic SMO mutation experienced progressive disease⁹⁹.

- Potential Resistance -

Although limited clinical and preclinical evidence suggests that L412F may reduce sensitivity to vismodegib^{93,100-102}, two of three patients with this mutation who were treated with the therapy benefited from vismodegib^{93,103}. The SMO L412F alteration has demonstrated sensitivity to GLI-targeted therapies such as PSI and arsenic trioxide in preclinical assays¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰².

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

SMO mutations, primarily L412F and W535L, have been identified in 3.7-4.6% of low-grade meningioma cases, including in 28% (22/79) of olfactory groove meningiomas, but are reported in fewer than 1% of high-grade meningiomas^{37,71,74,104-105}. In meningioma, SMO mutation is generally mutually exclusive with mutations in NF2, AKT1, PIK3CA, and TRAF737,71,104. SMO mutations are enriched in tumors with meningothelial histology arising in the skull base^{37,71,104-106}. One study found that patients with meningioma harboring SMO mutation experienced an increased recurrence rate and a reduced 10-year PFS rate compared with patients harboring AKT1 mutation or patients who were SMO- and AKT1-wildtype; in

multivariate analysis, SMO mutation was associated with a trend towards poorer prognosis among Grade 1 meningiomas¹⁰⁵.

FINDING SUMMARY

SMO encodes the protein smoothened, a G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR)-like 7-transmembrane protein that positively regulates the oncogenic Hedgehog (Hh) pathway¹⁰⁷. Activation of the Hh pathway involves binding of the ligand sonic hedgehog (SHH) to the PTCH1 receptor, resulting in derepression of SMO and consequent activation of the GLI transcription factors¹⁰⁷. This SMO mutation has been shown to result in constitutive Hh pathway activation 93,101-102. Clinical studies regarding the therapeutic sensitivity of SMO L412F are limited; two patients with pre-treatment SMO L412F benefited from vismodegib 93,103 while another patient may have acquired L412F following progression on sequential vismodegib and temozolomide¹⁰⁰. Furthermore, although preclinical studies suggest that SMO L412F may reduce sensitivity to vismodegib^{93,101-102}, the doses used were well below those clinically achievable. Therefore, it is unclear whether this mutation may reduce the clinical efficacy of vismodegib. Preclinical studies targeting SMO L412F demonstrated sensitivity to GLI-targeted therapies such as PSI and arsenic trioxide 101-102.



TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE 31 May 2022



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1372381-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial \Rightarrow Geographical proximity \Rightarrow Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

GENE NF2

ALTERATION loss exons 1-4

RATIONALE

Inactivation or loss of NF2 results in the dysregulation of mTOR and FAK pathway signaling. Therefore, mTOR and/or FAK inhibitors

may be relevant for patients with NF2 inactivating mutations.

NCT03239015	PHASE 2
Efficacy and Safety of Targeted Precision Therapy in Refractory Tumor With Druggable Molecular Event	TARGETS EGFR, ERBB4, ERBB2, PARP, mTOR, MET, ROS1, RET, VEGFRS, BRAF, CDK4, CDK6

NCT04337463	PHASE NULL
ATG-008 Combined With Toripalimab in Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTORC1, mTORC2, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Chongqing (China), Chengdu (China)

Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS mTOR, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRs, KIT, MEK

PHASE 2

LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)

NCT04803318

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China)

NCT05125523	PHASE 1
A Study of Sirolimus for Injection (Albumin Bound) in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTOR

LOCATIONS: Tianjin (China)

NCT03203525	PHASE 1
Combination Chemotherapy and Bevacizumab With the NovoTTF-100L(P) System in Treating Participants With Advanced, Recurrent, or Refractory Hepatic Metastatic Cancer	TARGETS VEGFA, mTOR
LOCATIONS: Texas	



TUMOR TYPE Brain meningioma REPORT DATE

31 May 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1372381-01

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT01582191	PHASE 1
A Phase 1 Trial of Vandetanib (a Multi-kinase Inhibitor of EGFR, VEGFR and RET Inhibitor) in Combination With Everolimus (an mTOR Inhibitor) in Advanced Cancer	TARGETS mTOR, EGFR, SRC, RET, VEGFRs
LOCATIONS: Texas	
NCT02321501	PHASE 1
Phase I/Ib Dose Escalation & Biomarker Study of Ceritinib (LDK378) + Everolimus for Locally Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors With an Expansion in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) Characterized by Abnormalities in Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase (ALK) Expression	TARGETS ROS1, ALK, mTOR



PATIENT Chung, Mei-Chuan

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE 31 May 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1372381-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

ATM	BCOR	CREBBP	CSF1R D230E
V182G	P1283L	Q507R	
KDM5C	NTRK1	PIK3CB	POLE
P1365L	R220Q	R811G	K1497R



APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

AND COPT NOM	BER ALIERATIO	13						
ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B o	r WTX)
APC	AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX
AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2
BCL6	BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1
BTG2	BTK	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1	CCND2
CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1
CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B	CDKN2C
CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R	CTCF
CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1	DDR2
DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EMSY (C11orf30)	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12	FGF14
FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4
FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3	GATA4
GATA6	GID4 (C17orf39)	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3-3A (H3F3A)
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11 (MRE11A)	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD2 (WHSC1 or N	IMSET)	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3
P2RY8	PALB2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)
PDGFRA	PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1
PMS2	POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI
PRKN (PARK2)	PTCH1	PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51
RAD51B	RAD51C	RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10
REL	RET	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC
SDHD	SETD2	SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO
SNCAIP	SOCS1	SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3
STK11	SUFU	SYK	TBX3	TEK	TENT5C (FAM46C)	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP
TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53	TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL
WT1	XPO1	XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703				
DNA GENE LIST:	FOR THE DETEC	TION OF SELECT	REARRANGEME	NTS				
ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV1
ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT
KMT2A (MLL)	MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA
• • • •				-				

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV1
ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT
KMT2A (MLL)	MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA
RAF1	RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**

TMPRSS2

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Homologous Recombination status Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

^{*}TERC is an NCRNA

^{**}Promoter region of TERT is interrogated



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/ficdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

- Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. Homologous Recombination status may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas (Coleman et al., 2017; 28916367). Samples with deleterious BRCA1/2 alteration and/or Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score ≥ 16% will be reported as "HRD Positive" and samples with absence of these findings will be reported as "HRD Not Detected," agnostic of potential secondary BRCA1/2 reversion alterations. Certain potentially deleterious missense or small in-frame deletions in BRCA1/ 2 may not be classified as deleterious and, in the absence of an elevated LOH profile, samples with such mutations may be classified as "HRD Not Detected." A result of "HRD Not Detected" does not rule out the presence of a BRCA1/2 alteration or an elevated LOH profile outside the assay performance characteristic limitations.
- 4. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments.

 Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian,

- peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 5. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- 6. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy.
- 7. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an ERBB2 amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of ERBB2 copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/ disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant

patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating physician.

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS Repeatability	%CV*

*Interquartile Range = 1^{st} Quartile to 3^{rd} Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking

into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

REFERENCE SEQUENCE INFORMATION

Sequence data is mapped to the human genome, Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37 (GRCh37), also known as hg19.

MR Suite Version 6.3.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 1,007x

APPENDIX

References

- ORDERED TEST # ORD-1372381-01
- Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 6. Pykett MJ, et al. Cancer Res. (1994) pmid: 7987826
- 7. Alvino E, et al. Neurol. Res. (2000) pmid: 11045018
- 8. Simon M, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (1996) pmid: 8875241
- 9. Zhu J, et al. Oncogene (1996) pmid: 8622857
- Pećina-Šlaus N, et al. Anticancer Res. (2016) pmid: 27630299
- Chen MN, et al. Genet. Mol. Res. (2012) pmid: 22930430
- Pećina-Šlaus N, et al. Tumour Biol. (2017) pmid: 28705114
- Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 14. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 16. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 17. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 18. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 19. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- 20. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- 21. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 22. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 23. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- **24.** Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 25. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 26. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 27. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721
- 28. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- **29.** Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32919526
- 30. Ott PA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30557521
- 31. Cristescu R, et al. J Immunother Cancer (2022) pmid: 35101941
- **32.** Friedman CF, et al. Cancer Discov (2022) pmid: 34876409
- 33. Sturgill EG, et al. Oncologist (2022) pmid: 35274716
- 34. Schenker at al., 2022; AACR Abstract 7845
- 35. Legrand et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 12000

- **36.** Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 28420421
- 37. Brastianos PK, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 23334667
- 38. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 40. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- 41. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 42. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- 43. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- 44. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 45. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- **46.** Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- 47. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- **48.** Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- **49.** López-Lago MA, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2009) pmid: 19451229
- 50. James MF, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2009) pmid: 19451225
- 51. Pachow D, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid:
- 52. Iyer G, et al. Science (2012) pmid: 22923433
- 53. Ali SM, et al. Eur. Urol. (2015) pmid: 25630452
- **54.** Ganesan P, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2014) pmid: 25253784
- 25253784 55. Moulder S, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25878190
- **56.** Soria JC, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27733373
- 57. Brastianos et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 2502
- 57. Brastianos et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 2502
- **58.** Poulikakos PI, et al. Oncogene (2006) pmid: 16652148
- **59.** Shapiro IM, et al. Sci Transl Med (2014) pmid: 24848258
- 60. Shah NR, et al. Gynecol. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24786638
- **61.** Ammoun S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2010) pmid: 20511180
- 62. Karajannis MA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) pmid: 22844108
- 63. Subbiah et al., 2011; ASCO Abstract 2100
- **64.** Garcia-Rendueles ME, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) pmid: 26359368
- 65. Ammoun S, et al. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18593924
- 66. Yi C, et al. Cancer Cell (2011) pmid: 21481793
- **67.** Tolcher AW, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25344362
- **68.** Patterson et al., 2018; AACR Abstract 3891
- Alahmadi H, et al. Semin Diagn Pathol (2011) pmid: 22195409
- 70. Genet. Med. (2009) pmid: 19652604
- 71. Clark VE, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348505

- 72. Riemenschneider MJ, et al. Lancet Neurol (2006) pmid: 17110285
- 73. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878
- **74.** Bi WL, et al. NPJ Genom Med (2017) pmid: 28713588
- **75.** Tabernero M, et al. BMC Med. Genet. (2013) pmid: 24171707
- Tabernero MD, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2012) pmid: 22371336
- 77. Curto M, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2008) pmid: 17971776
- 78. Laulajainen M, et al. J. Cell. Mol. Med. (2012) pmid: 22325036
- 79. Lallemand D, et al. J. Cell. Sci. (2009) pmid: 19910496
- 80. Sherman L. et al. Oncogene (1997) pmid: 9395247
- 81. Li W, et al. EMBO Rep. (2012) pmid: 22482125
- 82. Manetti ME, et al. Biol Open (2012) pmid: 23213372
- 83. Stokowski RP, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2000) pmid: 10712203
- 84. Mani T, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2011) pmid: 21402777
- 85. Evans GR, et al. Adv. Otorhinolaryngol. (2011) pmid:
- **86.** Lu-Emerson C, et al. Rev Neurol Dis (2009) pmid: 19898272
- 87. Asthagiri AR, et al. Lancet (2009) pmid: 19476995
- 88. Rodon J, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid: 24523439
- 89. Von Hoff DD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2009) pmid: 19726763
- 90. Rudin CM, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2009) pmid: 19726761
- 91. Robinson GW, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2005) pmid. 19.
- 26169613

 92. Migden MR. et al. Lancet Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25981810
- **92.** Migden MR, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2015) pmid: 2598
- Sharpe HJ, et al. Cancer Cell (2015) pmid: 25759019
 Ighilahriz M, et al. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol (2019) pmid: 31187903
- **95.** Danial C, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 26546616
- **96.** Frappaz D, et al. Neuro Oncol (2021) pmid: 33825892
- 97. Hainsworth JD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29320312
- 98. Slosberg ED, et al. Oncotarget (2018) pmid: 29765547
- 99. Tsao et al., 2017; JCO 10.1200/P0.17.00149
- **100.** Petrirena GJ, et al. Oncotarget (2018) pmid: 29515801
- **101.** Sweeney RT, et al. Nat. Genet. (2014) pmid: 24859340
- 102. Atwood SX, et al. Cancer Cell (2015) pmid: 25759020103. Khamaysi Z, et al. Br. J. Dermatol. (2016) pmid:
- 26822128 104. Abedalthagafi M, et al. Neuro-oncology (2016) pmid:
- 105. Boetto J, et al. Neuro Oncol (2017) pmid: 28082415
- 106. Youngblood MW, et al. J Neurosurg (2019) pmid:
- 107. Amakye D, et al. Nat. Med. (2013) pmid: 24202394