ACTOnco® + Report

REPORT SUMMARY

REPORT DETAILS

APPENDIX

PATIENT AND SAMPLE INFORMATION

PATIENT	SPECIMEN	ORDERING PHYSICIAN
Name: 林虔宏	Type: FFPE tissue	Name: 周德盈醫師
Gender: Male	Date received: Nov 09, 2021	Facility: 臺北榮總
Date of Birth: Nov 29, 1965	Collection site: Stomach	Tel: 886-228712121
Patient ID: 47541593	Specimen ID: S11024684	Address: 臺北市北投區石牌路二段 201 號
Diagnosis: Neuroendocrine carcinoma(NEC),G3	Lab ID: AA-21-05283	
	D/ID: NA	

VARIANT(S) WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

Only variant(s) with clinical significance are listed. See the "DETAILED TEST RESULTS" section for full details.

SINGLE NUCLEOTIDE AND SMALL INDEL VARIANTS

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coverage	Allele Frequency	COSMIC ID
TP53	R213*	944	60.9%	COSM10654

COPY NUMBER VARIANTS (CNVS)

Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) information was used to infer tumor cellularity. Copy number alteration in the tumor was determined based on **54%** tumor purity.

Amplification (Copy number ≥ 8)

Chr	Gene	Copy Number
chr8	*MYC*	38
chr19	*CCNE1*	89

Homozygous deletion (Copy number=0)

Chr	Gene
ND	ND

Heterozygous deletion (Copy number=1)

Chr	Gene
chr4	*FBXW7*
chr7	*KMT2C*
chr9	*TSC1*
chr19	*ERCC1*

ND, Not Detected

TUMOR MUTATIONAL BURDEN (TMB)

1.2 muts/Mb

Muts/Mb, mutations per megabase

MICROSATELLITE INSTABILITY (MSI)

Microsatellite stable (MSS)

Note:
TMB was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco®+ to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The threshold for high mutation load is set at ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase. TMB, microsatellite status and gene copy number deletion cannot be determined if calculated tumor purity is < 30%.

Variant Analysis:
醫藥資訊研究員
楊杭哲 博士
Hang-Che Yang Ph.D.

Sign Off
解剖病理專科醫師王業翰
Yeh-Han Wang M.D.
病解字第 000545 號

林虔宏
Project ID: C21-M001-01156
Report No.: AA-21-05283_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 22, 2021

THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS

TARGETED THERAPIES

Genomic Alterations	Therapies	Effect
Level 4		
ERCC1 Heterozygous deletion	Olaparib, Rucaparib	**sensitive**
KMT2C Heterozygous deletion	Olaparib	**sensitive**
TSC1 Heterozygous deletion	Everolimus, Temsirolimus	**sensitive**
FBXW7 Heterozygous deletion	Everolimus, Temsirolimus	**sensitive**
CCNE1 Amplification	Palbociclib, Trastuzumab	**resistant**
FBXW7 Heterozygous deletion	Gefitinib, Regorafenib	**resistant**

‡ Refer to "ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS" section for detailed trial information.

Note: Therapies associated with benefit or lack of benefit are based on biomarkers detected in this tumor and published evidence.

Level		Description
1		FDA-recognized biomarker predictive of response to an FDA approved drug in this indication
2		Standard care biomarker (recommended as standard care by the NCCN or other expert panels) predictive of response to an FDA approved drug in this indication
3	A	Biomarkers that predict response or resistance to therapies approved by the FDA or professional societies for a different type of tumor
	B	Biomarkers that serve as inclusion criteria for clinical trials
4		Biomarkers that show plausible therapeutic significance based on small studies, few case reports or preclinical studies

IMMUNE CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS (ICI) THERAPIES

Genomic markers and alterations that are associated with response to ICI therapies

Positive Biomarker	Negative Biomarker
TMB-H: ND	EGFR aberration: ND
MSI-H: ND	MDM2/MDM4 amplification: ND
MMR biallelic inactivation: ND	STK11 biallelic inactivation: ND
PBRM1 biallelic inactivation: ND	PTEN biallelic inactivation: ND
SERPINB3/SERPINB4 mutation: ND	B2M biallelic inactivation: ND
	JAK1/2 biallelic inactivation: ND

MMR, mismatch repair; ND, not detected

Note: Tumor non-genomic factors, such as patient germline genetics, PDL1 expression, tumor microenvironment, epigenetic alterations or other factors not provided by this test may affect ICI response.

CHEMOTHERAPIES

Therapies	Genomic Alterations	Effect	Gene / Variant Level Evidence	Cancer Type
FAC, CMF, and P-FEC regimens	***MYC*** Amplification	sensitive	Clinical	Breast cancer
Platinum-based regimens	***MYC*** Amplification	sensitive	Clinical	Ovarian cancer

HORMONAL THERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to hormonal therapies.

OTHERS

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to other therapies.

Note:
Therapeutic implications provided in the test are based solely on the panel of 440 genes sequenced. Therefore, alterations in genes not covered in this panel, epigenetic and post-transcriptional and post-translational factors may also determine a patient's response to therapies. In addition, several other patient-associated clinical factors, including but not limited to, prior lines of therapies received, dosage and combinations with other therapeutic agents, patient's cancer types, sub-types, and/or stages, may also determine the patient's clinical response to therapies.

VARIANT INTERPRETATION

TP53 R213*

Biological Impact

TP53 encodes the p53 protein, a crucial tumor suppressor that orchestrates essential cellular processes including cell cycle arrest, senescence and apoptosis[1]. TP53 is a proto-typical haploinsufficient gene, such that loss of a single copy of TP53 can result in tumor formation[2].

R213* mutation results in a premature truncation of the p53 protein at amino acid 213 (UniProtKB). This mutation is predicted to lead to a loss of p53 function, despite not having characterized in the literature.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Despite having a high mutation rate in cancers, there are currently no approved targeted therapies for TP53 mutations. A phase II trial demonstrated that Wee1 inhibitor (AZD1775) in combination with carboplatin was well tolerated and showed promising anti-tumor activity in TP53-mutated ovarian cancer refractory or resistant (< 3 months) to standard first-line therapy (NCT01164995)[3].

In a retrospective study (n=19), advanced sarcoma patients with TP53 loss-of-function mutations displayed improved progression-free survival (208 days versus 136 days) relative to patients with wild-type TP53 when treated with pazopanib[4]. Results from another Phase I trial of advanced solid tumors (n=78) demonstrated that TP53 hotspot mutations are associated with better clinical response to the combination of pazopanib and vorinostat[5].

Advanced solid tumor and colorectal cancer patients harboring a TP53 mutation have been shown to be more sensitive to bevacizumab when compared with patients harboring wild-type TP53[6][7][8]. In a pilot trial (n=21), TP53-negative breast cancer patients demonstrated increased survival following treatment with bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy agents, Adriamycin (doxorubicin) and Taxotere (docetaxel)[9]. TP53 mutations were correlated with poor survival of advanced breast cancer patients receiving tamoxifen or primary chemotherapy[10][11]. In a retrospective study of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), TP53 mutations were associated with high expression of VEGF-A, the primary target of bevacizumab, offering a mechanistic explanation for why patients exhibit improved outcomes after bevacizumab treatment when their tumors harbor mutant TP53 versus wild-type TP53[12].

CCNE1 Amplification

Biological Impact

The CCNE1 gene encodes the cyclin E1 protein, a regulator of the cell cycle that activates the cyclin-dependent protein kinase 2 (CDK2) and plays a role in regulating cells' transition from G1 to S phase and the maintenance of genomic stability[13]. Increasing in cyclin E1 level, either by gene amplification or overexpression, is found in a

diverse range of cancers and can be indicative of poor prognosis[14].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

There are no FDA-approved therapies targeting cyclin E1 currently available[15]. Dinaciclib, a CDK1/2 specific inhibitor, is currently under clinical evaluation[16]. A combination of dinaciclib, a small molecule CDK2 inhibitor, and AKT inhibitors that may selectively target patients with CCNE1-amplified high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSC) in preclinical setting[17]. A preclinical study in breast cancer cell lines showed that amplification of CCNE1 is associated with acquired resistance to CDK4/6 inhibition by palbociclib[18]. A study of HER2-amplified breast cancer patients indicated that amplification of CCNE1 was associated with trastuzumab resistance and shorter progression-free survival[19].

There are retrospective study and meta-analysis demonstrated that amplification and overexpression of CCNE1 are associated with poor survival in cancer patients[20][21]. From the result of PALOMA-3 phase III trial, pre-treated hormone receptor-positive/HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer patients were resistant to palbociclib treatment when CCNE1 was highly expressed (median PFS: CCNE1 high, 7.6 months; CCNE1 low, 14.1 months)[22]. CCNE1 amplification has been selected as an inclusion criteria for the trial examining palbociclib in malignant solid tumor (NCT02896335, NCT03155620, NCT01037790, NCT03526250).

ERCC1 **Heterozygous deletion**

Biological Impact

The Excision Repair Cross-Complementation Group 1 (ERCC1) gene encodes a non-catalytic component of a structure-specific DNA repair endonuclease that is responsible for 5' incision. This endonuclease is a heterodimer containing ERCC1 and ERCC4 and is involves in recombinational DNA repair and in the repair of inter-strand crosslinks (ICL). In addition, ERCC1 participates in the processing of anaphase bridge-generating DNA structures. Other genes associated with the nucleotide excision repair pathway includes ERCC1-5, CDK7, DDB1–2, XPA, and XPC[23]. ERCC1 haploinsufficiency is associated with tumorigenesis in the mouse model[24].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Loss of expression of ERCC1 has long been implicated in increased sensitivity towards cisplatin in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)[25]and ovarian carcinoma[26][27][28]. PARP inhibitors demonstrated anti-tumor activity against ERCC1-deficient non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell line[29][30][31]. Preclinical studies also showed that inhibiting topoisomerase I and PARP1 in combination, as was demonstrated with the combination of ABT-888 and CPT-11, may result in the synergistic decrease in tumor regression for women with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC)[32].

FBXW7 **Heterozygous deletion**

Biological Impact

The F-box/WD repeat-containing protein 7 (FBXW7) gene encodes a protein that belongs to the SCF (SKP1-CUL1-F-box protein) E3 ligase complex. FBXW7 is recognized as a tumor suppressor which is involved in the negative

regulation of oncogenes such as c-Myc[33][34], c-Jun[35], cyclin E[36], Notch family members[37][38], Aurora-A[39], mTOR[40], KLF5[41], and MCL-1[42]. Inactivating FBXW7 mutation or copy number loss may result in the accumulation of oncoproteins and therefore lead to malignant transformation[43]. FBXW7 is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[41][42][44].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Clinical efficacy of mTOR inhibitors was seen in patients harboring aberrations in the FBXW7 gene (one patient with refractory fibrolamellar hepatocellular carcinoma, and one patient with lung adenocarcinoma)[45][46]. Moreover, in vitro assay also suggested that loss or inactivation of FBXW7 may confer sensitivity to mTOR inhibitor[40].

Preclinical studies suggested that mutations or loss of FBXW7 were associated with regorafenib and oxaliplatin resistance in CRC cell lines[47][48]and gefitinib resistance in lung cancer cells[49][50].

Retrospective studies have indicated that a relatively low expression level of FBXW7 is an independent prognostic marker of poor survival for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma[51][49].

***KMT2C* Heterozygous deletion**

Biological Impact

Lysine methyltransferase 2C (KMT2C) gene encodes the histone methyltransferase MLL3, which methylates lysine residue four on the tail of histone H3 (H3K4)[52]and regulates the gene expression during development and hematopoiesis[53][54][55]. KMT2C is ubiquitously expressed, and its function is essential for normal embryonal development and cell proliferation[56]. Genetic deletion of the region containing KMT2C is the most common chromosomal abnormality in acute myeloid leukemia[57][58], and KMT2C mutation has been reported in breast cancer, cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, and leukemia[59][60][61][62][63]. KMT2C was implicated as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[64]. Animal studies revealed that MLL3 haploinsufficiency enhances hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) self-renewal capacity and induces extensive division of HSCs (AACR; Cancer Res 2018;78(13 Suppl): Abstract nr 4996).

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Preclinical studies of cell lines and xenograft models demonstrated that cells with reduced KMT2C expression and activity are deficient in homologous recombination-mediated double-strand break DNA repair and therefore, are more sensitive to olaparib, a PARP1/2 inhibitor[65].

A meta-analysis indicated that low levels of KMT2C expression was associated with better overall survival in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patients[66]. However, another study of ER-positive breast cancer

patients (n = 401) demonstrated that low KMT2C expression was associated with worse overall survival[67].

MYC Amplification

Biological Impact

The v-myc avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog, also known as c-myc (MYC) gene encodes a transcription factor involved in cellular proliferation, inhibiting exit from the cell cycle, stimulating vascularization and enhancing genomic instability[68][69][70]. Dysregulated MYC expression is implicated in a wide range of human cancers[71].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

MYC amplification was associated with better clinical outcome in breast cancer patients treated with FAC (5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide), CMF (cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil) and P-FEC (paclitaxel followed by 5-fluorouracil, epirubicin and cyclophosphamide) and higher expression of MYC was also associated with a better response rate in platinum-treated ovarian cancer patients[72][73][74].

CDK inhibition using the dinaciclib, a CDK1, 2, 5 and 9 inhibitors, exerted antitumor activity in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) tumor xenograft and cell lines with increased activity of the MYC pathway[75][76].

Overexpression of MYC has been reported as a favorable prognostic biomarker in colorectal carcinoma (CRC)[77][78]. However, the favorable prognostic value of MYC in CRC is abrogated by the TP53 mutation[78].

MYC amplification with the loss of tumor suppressor pathways such as p53 and RB has been shown to be associated with poor outcomes and was correlated with shortened disease-free survival in breast cancer with BRCA1 deficiency in TNBC[75][79].

TSC1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The tuberous sclerosis complex 1 (TSC1) gene encodes a tumor suppressor, hamartin, a key negative regulator of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway[80][81]. Mutations in TSC1/TSC2 tumor suppressor genes that result in inactivation of the complex are commonly found in patients with tuberous sclerosis[82][83][84], while LOH in TSC1/TSC2 has been identified in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)[85]and endometrial cancer[86]. Loss of single TSC1 allele (haploinsufficiency) may provide a growth advantage to bladder epithelial cells, contributing to bladder cancer development[87]. Both TSC1 and TSC2 mutations cause the autosomal dominant genetic disorder tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), in which individuals develop a variety of benign but often progressive neoplasms[88].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Genomic alterations with activating effects of the mTOR signaling pathway (including deletion/inactivation of TSC1/TSC2) have been shown to confer sensitivity to everolimus across multiple neoplasms, such as bladder tumors[89], gastric, sarcoma, thyroid cancer, and HNSCC[90]. There were case reports demonstrated the efficacy of sirolimus in malignant uterine perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PEComa) patients harboring mutations/deletions in TSC1 and TSC2 genes, and temsirolimus in PEComa patients with hyperactivated mTOR pathway. Genomic profiling analysis of GOG248, a Phase II study of temsirolimus or temsirolimus and alternating megestrol acetate and tamoxifen for advanced endometrial cancer showed that mutations in AKT1, TSC1 and TSC2 may predict clinical benefit from temsirolimus[91]. Recent studies indicate that there are mTORC1-independent signaling pathways downstream of hamartin-tuberin, which may represent new therapeutic targets[92].

林虔宏
Project ID: C21-M001-01156
Report No.: AA-21-05283_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 22, 2021

US FDA-APPROVED DRUG(S)

Everolimus (AFINITOR)

Everolimus, a derivative of sirolimus, works as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) and blocks mTORC1-mediated downstream signals for cell growth, proliferation, and survival. Everolimus is developed and marketed by Novartis under the trade name AFINITOR.

FDA Approval Summary of Everolimus (AFINITOR)

RADIANT-4[93] NCT01524783	**Lung or gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumor** (Approved on 2016/02/26)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 3.9]
BOLERO-2[94] NCT00863655	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2012/07/20)
	ER+/HER2-
	Everolimus + exemestane vs. Placebo + exemestane [PFS(M): 7.8 vs. 3.2]
RADIANT-3[95] NCT00510068	**Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor** (Approved on 2011/05/05)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 4.6]
EXIST-1[96] NCT00789828	**Subependymal giant cell astrocytoma** (Approved on 2010/10/29)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 35.0]
RECORD-1[97] NCT00410124	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2009/05/30)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 4.9 vs. 1.9]

林虔宏
Project ID: C21-M001-01156
Report No.: AA-21-05283_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 22, 2021

Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

Olaparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2, and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Olaparib is developed by KuDOS Pharmaceuticals and marketed by AstraZeneca under the trade name LYNPARZA.

FDA Approval Summary of Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

PROfound[98] NCT02987543	**Prostate cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/19)
	ATMm, BRCA1m, BRCA2m, BARD1m, BRIP1m, CDK12m, CHEK1m, CHEK2m, FANCLm, PALB2m, RAD51Bm, RAD51Cm, RAD51Dm, RAD54Lm
	Olaparib vs. Enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate [PFS(M): 5.8 vs. 3.5]
PAOLA-1[99] NCT02477644	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/08)
	HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability)
	Olaparib + bevacizumab vs. Placebo + bevacizumab [PFS(M): 37.2 vs. 17.7]
POLO[100] NCT02184195	**Pancreatic adenocarcinoma** (Approved on 2019/12/27)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious)
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 23.0 vs. 12.0, PFS(M): 7.4 vs. 3.8]
SOLO-1[101] NCT01844986	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/12/19)
	Germline or somatic BRCA-mutated (gBRCAm or sBRCAm)
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): NR vs. 13.8]
OlympiAD[102] NCT02000622	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/02/06)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative
	Olaparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 7 vs. 4.2]
SOLO-2/ENGOT-Ov21[103] NCT01874353	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	gBRCA+
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 19.1 vs. 5.5]

Study19[104] NCT00753545	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	-
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 8.4 vs. 4.8]
Study 42[105] NCT01078662	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2014/12/19)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious)
	Olaparib [ORR(%): 34.0, DOR(M): 7.9]

Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

Rucaparib is an inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2 and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Rucaparib is developed and marketed by Clovis Oncology under the trade name RUBRACA.

FDA Approval Summary of Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

TRITON2 NCT02952534	**Prostate cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/15)
	gBRCA+, sBRCA
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 44.0, DOR(M): NE]
ARIEL3[106] NCT01968213	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/04/06)
	All|HRD|tBRCA
	Rucaparib vs. Placebo [PFS (All)(M): 10.8 vs. 5.4, PFS (HRD)(M): 13.6 vs. 5.4, PFS (tBRCA)(M): 16.6 vs. 5.4]
ARIEL2[107] NCT01482715, NCT01891344	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2016/12/19)
	Germline and/or somatic BRCA mutation
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 54.0]

林虔宏
Project ID: C21-M001-01156
Report No.: AA-21-05283_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 22, 2021

Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

Temsirolimus is a soluble ester of sirolimus (rapamycin, brand-name drug Rapamune) and functions as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex (mTORC). The inhibitory molecular mechanism is similar to Everolimus. Temsirolimus is developed by Wyeth Pharmaceuticals and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TORISEL.

FDA Approval Summary of Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

[108] NCT00065468	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2007/05/30)
	-
	Temsirolimus vs. Ifn-α [OS(M): 10.9 vs. 7.3]

d=day; w=week; m=month

林虔宏
Project ID: C21-M001-01156
Report No.: AA-21-05283_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 22, 2021

ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS

Clinical trials shown below were selected by applying filters: study status, patient's diagnosis, intervention, location and/or biomarker(s). Please visit https://clinicaltrials.gov to search and view for a complete list of open available and updated matched trials.

No trial has been found.

DETAILED TEST RESULTS

SINGLE NUCLEOTIDE AND SMALL INDEL VARIANTS

Gene	Chr	Exon	Accession Number	cDNA Change	Amino Acid Change	Coverage	Allele Frequency	COSMIC ID
CYP2C8	10	3	NM_000770	c.449del	H150fs	187	29.9%	-
FOXP1	3	20	NM_032682	c.1822G>A	G608R	1293	25.3%	-
HR	8	3	NM_005144	c.1226G>A	R409Q	491	45.6%	-
KDM5A	12	23	NM_001042603	c.3436G>A	A1146T	617	47.2%	-
MDM2	12	-	NM_002392	c.99+7_99+14del	Splice region	499	63.3%	-
MUC16	19	3	NM_024690	c.23468C>G	T7823R	1241	21.8%	-
MUC16	19	68	NM_024690	c.41806C>T	R13936C	646	13.8%	-
POLD1	19	21	NM_001256849	c.2581G>A	V861M	505	24.6%	COSM8514504
SYNE1	6	101	NM_182961	c.18881A>T	Q6294L	1085	81.4%	-
SYNE1	6	54	NM_182961	c.8268A>G	I2756M	1038	19.1%	-
TET2	4	3	NM_001127208	c.2440C>T	R814C	1268	25.9%	COSM1716789
TP53	**17**	**6**	**NM_000546**	**c.637C>T**	**R213***	**944**	**60.9%**	**COSM10654**
XRCC2	7	3	NM_005431	c.356A>C	Y119S	938	22.7%	-

Mutations with clinical relevance are highlighted in red.

COPY NUMBER VARIANTS (CNVS)

Observed copy number (CN) for each evaluated position is shown on the y-axis. Regions referred to as amplification or deletion are shown in color. Regions without significant changes are represented in gray.



林虔宏
Project ID: C21-M001-01156
Report No.: AA-21-05283_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 22, 2021

HOTSPOT GENOTYPES

Listed variants are biomarkers or hotspots that are recommended as standard care by the NCCN or other expert panels and not necessarily FDA-recognized for a particular indication. The genotypes have been manually checked to ensure sufficient coverage for each hotspot of the target gene.

Gene	Variant	Genotype Detected
BRAF	V600X	Not detected
EGFR	A763_Y764insFQEA, E709K, E709_T710delinsD, Exon 19 deletion, Exon 19 insertion, Exon 20 insertion, G719A/C/D/S, L747P, L833V, L858R, L861Q/R, S768I, T790M	Not detected
IDH2	R140Q, R172G/K/M/S	Not detected
KIT	A502_Y503dup, D419del, D579del, D816F/V/Y, D820A/E/G/Y, E554_I571del, E554_K558del, E554_V559del, Exon 11 mutation, F522C, H697Y, I563_L576del, I653T, K550_W557del, K558N, K558_E562del, K558_V559del, K558delinsNP, K642E, M552_W557del, N505I, N564_Y578del, N822H/I/K/Y, P551_M552del, P573_D579del, P577_D579del, P577_W582delinsPYD, P838L, Q556_K558del, T417_D419delinsI, T417_D419delinsRG, T574_Q575insTQLPYD, V530I, V555_L576del, V555_V559del, V559A/C/D/G, V559_V560del, V559del, V560D/G, V560del, V569_L576del, V654A, W557G/R, W557_K558del, Y553N, Y553_K558del, Y570H, Y578C	Not detected
KRAS	A146T/V/P, G12X, G13X, Q61X	Not detected
MET	D1028H/N/Y	Not detected
NRAS	G12X, G13X, Q61X	Not detected
PDGFRA	A633T, C450_K451insMIEWMI, C456_N468del, C456_R481del, D568N, D842I/V, D842_H845del, D842_M844del, D846Y, E311_K312del, G853D, H650Q, H845Y, H845_N848delinsP, I843del, N659K/R/S, N848K, P577S, Q579R, R560_V561insER, R748G, R841K, S566_E571delinsR, S584L, V469A, V536E, V544_L545insAVLVLLVIVIISLI, V561A/D, V561_I562insER, V658A, W559_R560del, Y375_K455del, Y555C, Y849C/S	Not detected
PIK3CA	C420R, E542K/V, E545A/D/G/K, H1047X, Q546E/R	Not detected

V600X= any mutation in the valine (V) at amino acid 600 being replaced by a different amino acid.
G12X = any mutation in the glycine (G) at amino acid 12 being replaced by a different amino acid.
G13X= any mutation in the glycine (G) at amino acid 13 being replaced by a different amino acid.
Q61X = any mutation in the glutamine (Q) at amino acid 61 being replaced by a different amino acid.
H1047X = any mutation in the histidine (H) at amino acid 1047 being replaced by a different amino acid.

Gene	Copy Number Detected
CDK4	2
EGFR	2
ERBB2	2
MET	1

Copy number ≥ 8 is considered amplification

林虔宏
Project ID: C21-M001-01156
Report No.: AA-21-05283_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 22, 2021

Other known alterations that are associated with sensitivity, resistance, and toxicity to therapies.

Gene	Variant	Genotype Detected
AKT1	E17K	Not detected
ALK	C1156Y, D1203N, G1202R, L1152R, S1206Y, T1151_L1152insT	Not detected
BRAF	K601E, L597V/Q/R/S	Not detected
DPYD	D949V, I560S, splice-site mutation	Not detected
EGFR	A750P, C797S/Y, S492R	Not detected
ERBB2	V659E	Not detected
ESR1	D538G, E380Q, L469V, L536H/P/Q/R, S432L, S463P, V422del, V534E, Y537C/N/S	Not detected
FGFR3	G370C, G380R, K650E/N/R/M/T/Q, R248C, S249C, S371C, Y373C	Not detected
IDH1	R132C/G/H/L/Q/S	Not detected
MAP2K1	D67N, E203K, F53L, K57E/N, P124S, Q56P, Q56_V60del, R47Q, R49L, S222D	Not detected
PTEN	R130*/fs/G/L/P/Q	Not detected
TPMT	A154T, Y240C	Not detected

Gene	Copy Number Detected
FGFR1	2
MDM2	2
MDM4	2

Copy number ≥ 8 is considered amplification

TEST DETAILS

ABOUT ACTOnco®+

The test is a next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based assay developed for efficient and comprehensive genomic profiling of cancers. This test interrogates coding regions of 440 genes associated with cancer treatment, prognosis and diagnosis. Genetic mutations detected by this test include small-scale mutations like single nucleotide variants (SNVs), small insertions and deletions (INDELs) (≤ 15 nucleotides) and large-scale genomic alterations like copy number variations (CNVs).

See ACTOnco®+ Gene List' Section for details of gene sequenced.

DATABASE USED

- Reference genome: human genome sequence hg19
- COSMIC v.92
- Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1
- ClinVar (version 20210208)
- ACT Genomics in-house database

NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS) METHODS

Extracted genomic DNA was amplified using four pools of primer pairs targeting coding exons of analyzed genes. Amplicons were ligated with barcoded adaptors. Quality and quantity of amplified library were determined using the fragment analyzer (AATI) and Qubit (Invitrogen). Barcoded libraries were subsequently conjugated with sequencing beads by emulsion PCR and enriched using Ion Chef system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the Ion PI Hi-Q Chef Kit protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Ion 540 Kit-Chef protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequencing was performed on the Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Raw reads generated by the sequencer were mapped to the hg19 reference genome using the Ion Torrent Suite (version 5.10). Coverage depth was calculated using Torrent Coverage Analysis plug-in. Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and short insertions/deletions (INDELs) were identified using the Torrent Variant Caller plug-in (version 5.10). The coverage was down-sampled to 4000. VEP (Variant Effect Predictor) (version 100) was used to annotate every variant using databases from Clinvar (version 20210208), COSMIC v.92 and Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1. Variants with coverage ≥ 25, allele frequency ≥ 5% and actionable variants with allele frequency ≥ 2% were retained.

This test provides uniform coverage of the targeted regions, enabling target base coverage at 100x ≥ 85% with a mean coverage ≥ 500x.

Variants reported in Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1 with > 1% minor allele frequency (MAF) were

considered as polymorphisms. ACT Genomics in-house database was used to determine technical errors. Clinically actionable and biologically significant variants were determined based on the published medical literature.

The copy number variations (CNVs) were predicted as described below:
Amplicons with read counts in the lowest 5th percentile of all detectable amplicons and amplicons with a coefficient of variation ≥ 0.3 were removed. The remaining amplicons were normalized to correct the pool design bias. ONCOCNV (an established method for calculating copy number aberrations in amplicon sequencing data by Boeva et al., 2014) was applied for the normalization of total amplicon number, amplicon GC content, amplicon length, and technology-related biases, followed by segmenting the sample with a gene-aware model. The method was used as well for establishing the baseline of copy number variations from samples in ACT Genomics in-house database.

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco®+ to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The TMB calculation predicted somatic variants and applied a machine learning model with a cancer hotspot correction. TMB may be reported as “TMB-High”, “TMB-Low” or “Cannot Be Determined”. TMB-High corresponds to ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase (Muts/Mb); TMB-Low corresponds to < 7.5 Muts/Mb. TMB is reported as “Cannot Be Determined” if the tumor purity of the sample is < 30%.

Classification of microsatellite instability (MSI) status is determined by a machine learning prediction algorithm. The change of a number of repeats of different lengths from a pooled microsatellite stable (MSS) baseline in > 400 genomic loci are used as the features for the algorithm. The final output of the results is either microsatellite Stable (MSS) or microsatellite instability high (MSI-H).

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOPS)

Standard operating procedures (SOPs) are shown below:

- AG2-QP-15 Specimen Management Procedure
- AG3-QP16-03 SOP of Cancer Cell DNA and RNA Extraction
- AG3-QP16-07 SOP of Nucleic Acid Extraction with QIAsymphony SP
- AG3-QP16-08 SOP of FFPE Nucleic Acid Extraction
- AG3-QP16-10 SOP of HE Staining
- AG3-QP16-13 SOP of Library Construction and Preparation
- AG3-QP16-17 SOP of DNA Quantification with Qubit Fluorometer
- AG3-QP16-20 SOP of CE-Fragment Analysis
- AG3-QP16-22 SOP of Variant Calling
- AG3-QP16-24 SOP of Ion Torrent System Sequencing Reaction
- AG3-QP16-26 SOP of Ion Chef Preparation

- AG3-QP16-35 SOP of Variant Annotation
- AG3-QP16-96 SOP of Manual Inspection for SNVIndel Variant
- AG3-QP16-95 SOP of Manual Inspection for Copy Number Variant
- AG3-QP40-08 (02) Standard protocol for variant interpretation, curation and classification
- AG3-QP16-41 SOP of The user manual for clinical report system (CRS)

LIMITATIONS

This test does not provide information of variant causality and does not detect variants in non-coding regions that could affect gene expression. This report does not report polymorphisms and we do not classify whether a mutation is germline or somatic. Variants identified by this assay were not subject to validation by Sanger or other technologies.

NOTES

We do not exclude the possibility that pathogenic variants may not be reported by one or more of the tools and the parameters used.

PATHOLOGY EVALUATION

- H&E-stained section No.: S11024684
- Collection site: Stomach
- Examined by: Dr. Yeh-Han Wang
- Estimated neoplastic nuclei (whole sample): The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the whole slide (%): 40%
  The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 50%
  The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the whole slide (%): 0%
  The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 0%
  Additional comment: NA



- Manual macrodissection: Performed on the highlighted region

The outline highlights the area of malignant neoplasm annotated by a pathologist.

SPECIMEN PHOTO(S)



- Collection date: Aug 2021
- Facility retrieved: 臺北榮總

RUN QC

- Panel: ACTOnco®+
- Mean Depth: 848x
- Target Base Coverage at 100x: 93%

林虔宏
Project ID: C21-M001-01156
Report No.: AA-21-05283_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 22, 2021

ACTOnco®+ GENE LIST

ABCB1*	AURKB	CBL	CDKN2B	E2F3	FAT1	GRIN2A	JAK2	MED12	NOTCH4	PMS1	RAD51D	SLCO1B3*	TNFRSF14
ABCC2*	AXIN1	CCNA1	CDKN2C	EGFR	FBXW7	GSK3B	JAK3	MEF2B	NPM1	PMS2	RAD52	SMAD2	TNFSF11
ABCG2*	AXIN2	CCNA2	CEBPA*	EP300	FCGR2B	GSTP1*	JUN*	MEN1	NQO1*	POLB	RAD54L	SMAD3	TOP1
ABL1	AXL	CCNB1	CHEK1	EPCAM	FGF1*	GSTT1*	KAT6A	MET	NRAS	POLD1	RAF1	SMAD4	TP53
ABL2	B2M	CCNB2	CHEK2	EPHA2	FGF10	HGF	KDM5A	MITF	NSD1	POLE	RARA	SMARCA4	TPMT*
ADAMTS1	BAP1	CCNB3	CIC	EPHA3	FGF14	HIF1A	KDM5C	MLH1	NTRK1	PPARG	RB1	SMARCB1	TSC1
ADAMTS13	BARD1	CCND1	CREBBP	EPHA5	FGF19*	HIST1H1C*	KDM6A	MPL	NTRK2	PPP2R1A	RBM10	SMO	TSC2
ADAMTS15	BCL10	CCND2	CRKL	EPHA7	FGF23	HIST1H1E*	KDR	MRE11	NTRK3	PRDM1	RECQL4	SOCS1*	TSHR
ADAMTS16	BCL2*	CCND3	CRLF2	EPHB1	FGF3	HNF1A	KEAP1	MSH2	PAK3	PRKAR1A	REL	SOX2*	TYMS
ADAMTS18	BCL2L1	CCNE1	CSF1R	ERBB2	FGF4*	HR	KIT	MSH6	PALB2	PRKCA	RET	SOX9	U2AF1
ADAMTS6	BCL2L2*	CCNE2	CTCF	ERBB3	FGF6	HRAS*	KMT2A	MTHFR*	PARP1	PRKCB	RHOA	SPEN	UBE2A*
ADAMTS9	BCL6	CCNH	CTLA4	ERBB4	FGFR1	HSP90AA1	KMT2C	MTOR	PAX5	PRKCG	RICTOR	SPOP	UBE2K
ADAMTSL1	BCL9	CD19	CTNNA1	ERCC1	FGFR2	HSP90AB1	KMT2D	MUC16	PAX8	PRKCI	RNF43	SRC	UBR5
ADGRA2	BCOR	CD274	CTNNB1	ERCC2	FGFR3	HSPA4	KRAS	MUC4	PBRM1	PRKCQ	ROS1	STAG2	UGT1A1*
ADH1C*	BIRC2	CD58	CUL3	ERCC3	FGFR4	HSPA5	LCK	MUC6	PDCD1	PRKDC	RPPH1	STAT3	USH2A
AKT1	BIRC3	CD70*	CYLD	ERCC4	FH	IDH1	LIG1	MUTYH	PDCD1LG2	PRKN	RPTOR	STK11	VDR*
AKT2	BLM	CD79A	CYP1A1*	ERCC5	FLCN	IDH2	LIG3	MYC	PDGFRA	PSMB8	RUNX1	SUFU	VEGFA
AKT3	BMPR1A	CD79B	CYP2B6*	ERG	FLT1	IFNL3*	LMO1	MYCL	PDGFRB	PSMB9	RUNX1T1	SYK	VEGFB
ALDH1A1*	BRAF	CDC73	CYP2C19*	ESR1	FLT3	IGF1	LRP1B	MYCN	PDIA3	PSME1	RXRA	SYNE1	VHL
ALK	BRCA1	CDH1	CYP2C8*	ESR2	FLT4	IGF1R	LYN	MYD88	PGF	PSME2	SDHA	TAF1	WT1
AMER1	BRCA2	CDK1	CYP2D6	ETV1	FOXL2*	IGF2	MALT1	NAT2*	PHOX2B*	PSME3	SDHB	TAP1	XIAP
APC	BRD4	CDK12	CYP2E1*	ETV4	FOXP1	IKBKB	MAP2K1	NBN	PIK3C2B	PTCH1	SDHC	TAP2	XPO1
AR	BRIP1	CDK2	CYP3A4*	EZH2	FRG1	IKBKE	MAP2K2	NEFH	PIK3C2G	PTEN	SDHD	TAPBP	XRCC2
ARAF	BTG1*	CDK4	CYP3A5*	FAM46C	FUBP1	IKZF1	MAP2K4	NF1	PIK3C3	PTGS2	SERPINB3	TBX3	ZNF217
ARID1A	BTG2*	CDK5	DAXX	FANCA	GATA1	IL6	MAP3K1	NF2	PIK3CA	PTPN11	SERPINB4	TEK	
ARID1B	BTK	CDK6	DCUN1D1	FANCC	GATA2	IL7R	MAP3K7	NFE2L2	PIK3CB	PTPRD	SETD2	TERT	
ARID2	BUB1B	CDK7	DDR2	FANCD2	GATA3	INPP4B	MAPK1	NFKB1	PIK3CD	PTPRT	SF3B1	TET1	
ASXL1	CALR	CDK8	DICER1	FANCE	GNA11	INSR	MAPK3	NFKBIA	PIK3CG	RAC1	SGK1	TET2	
ATM	CANX	CDK9	DNMT3A	FANCF	GNA13	IRF4	MAX	NKX2-1*	PIK3R1	RAD50	SH2D1A*	TGFBR2	
ATR	CARD11	CDKN1A	DOT1L	FANCG	GNAQ	IRS1	MCL1	NOTCH1	PIK3R2	RAD51	SLC19A1*	TMSB4X*	
ATRX	CASP8	CDKN1B	DPYD	FANCL	GNAS	IRS2*	MDM2	NOTCH2	PIK3R3	RAD51B	SLC22A2*	TNF	
AURKA	CBFB	CDKN2A	DTX1	FAS	GREM1	JAK1	MDM4	NOTCH3	PIM1	RAD51C	SLCO1B1*	TNFAIP3	

*Analysis of copy number alteration not available.

DISCLAIMER

Legal Statement

This test was developed by ACT Genomics and its performing characteristics were determined by ACT Genomics. This test result is to be used for clinical consultative purposes only and is not intended as a substitute for a clinical guidance of your doctor or another qualified medical practitioner. It should not be regarded as investigational or used for research.

The detection of genomic alterations does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; the detection of no genomic alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Treatment Decisions are the Responsibility of the Physician

Decisions on clinical care and treatment should be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, including physical examinations, information from other diagnostics tests and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this test, or the information contained in this report.

In terms of consulting a different treating physician, the patient must file an application and fulfill the listed criteria for ACT Genomics to provide the patient's report to the assigned physician. The report may not be copied or reproduced except in its totality.

Genetic Alterations and Drugs Not Presented in Ranked Order

In this report, neither any biomarker alteration nor any drug associated with a potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy.

Level of Evidence Provided

Drugs with a potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are evaluated for level of published evidence with at least one clinical efficacy case report or preclinical study. We endeavor to keep the information in the report up to date. However, customers must be aware that scientific understanding and technologies change over time, and we make no warranty as to the accuracy, suitability or currency of information provided in this report at any time.

No Guarantee of Clinical Benefit

This report makes no promises or guarantees about the effectiveness of a particular drug or any treatment procedure in any disease or in any patient. This report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug without an association of reportable genomic alteration will, in fact, provide no clinical benefit.

Liability

ACT Genomics is not affiliated with any medical facility or medical practitioner. We provide information for informational purposes only, therefore, ACT Genomics and their employees cannot be held responsible for any direct, indirect, special, incidental or consequential damages that may arise from the use of information provided in the report.

免責聲明

法律聲明

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，結果需經專業醫師解釋及判讀。基因突變資訊非必具備藥物或治療有效性指標，反之亦然。本檢驗報告提供之用藥指引不聲明或保證其臨床有效性，反之亦然。本基因檢測方法係由本公司研究開發，已經過有效性測試。

本檢驗報告非經本公司許可，不得私自變造、塗改，或以任何方式作為廣告及其他宣傳之用途。
本公司於提供檢驗報告後，即已完成本次契約義務，後續之報告解釋、判讀及用藥、治療，應自行尋求相關專業醫師協助，若需將報告移件其他醫師，本人應取得該醫師同意並填寫移件申請書，主動告知行動基因，行動基因僅能配合該醫師意願與時間提供醫師解說。

醫療決策需由醫師決定

任何治療與用藥需經由醫師在考慮病患所有健康狀況相關資訊包含健檢、其他檢測報告和病患意願後，依照該地區醫療照護標準由醫師獨立判斷。醫師不應僅依據單一報告結果(例如本檢測或本報告書內容)做決策。

基因突變與用藥資訊並非依照有效性排序

本報告中列出之生物標記變異與藥物資訊並非依照潛在治療有效性排序。

證據等級

藥物潛在臨床效益(或缺乏潛在臨床效益)的實證證據是依據至少一篇臨床療效個案報告或臨床前試驗做為評估。本公司盡力提供適時及準確之資料，但由於醫學科技之發展日新月異，本公司不就本報告提供的資料是否為準確、適宜或最新作保證。

責任

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，本公司及其員工不對任何由使用本報告之內容引起的直接、間接、特殊、連帶或衍生的損失或損害承擔責任。

SIGNALING PATHWAYS AND MOLECULAR-TARGETED AGENTS



1: Everolimus, Temsirolimus



1: Olaparib, Rucaparib

REFERENCES

1. PMID: 24739573; 2014, Nat Rev Cancer;14(5):359-70
Unravelling mechanisms of p53-mediated tumour suppression.

2. PMID: 21125671; 2011, J Pathol;223(2):137-46
Haplo-insufficiency: a driving force in cancer.

3. PMID: 27998224; 2016, J Clin Oncol;34(36):4354-4361
Phase II Study of WEE1 Inhibitor AZD1775 Plus Carboplatin in Patients With TP53-Mutated Ovarian Cancer Refractory or Resistant to First-Line Therapy Within 3 Months.

4. PMID: 26646755; 2016, Ann Oncol;27(3):539-43
TP53 mutational status is predictive of pazopanib response in advanced sarcomas.

5. PMID: 25669829; 2015, Ann Oncol;26(5):1012-8
Phase I study of pazopanib and vorinostat: a therapeutic approach for inhibiting mutant p53-mediated angiogenesis and facilitating mutant p53 degradation.

6. PMID: 27466356; 2016, Mol Cancer Ther;15(10):2475-2485
TP53 Alterations Correlate with Response to VEGF/VEGFR Inhibitors: Implications for Targeted Therapeutics.

7. PMID: 23670029; 2013, Oncotarget;4(5):705-14
P53 mutations in advanced cancers: clinical characteristics, outcomes, and correlation between progression-free survival and bevacizumab-containing therapy.

8. PMID: 17145525; 2006, Semin Oncol;33(5 Suppl 10):S8-14
Bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy: first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer.

9. PMID: 21399868; 2011, Int J Oncol;38(5):1445-52
p53, HER2 and tumor cell apoptosis correlate with clinical outcome after neoadjuvant bevacizumab plus chemotherapy in breast cancer.

10. PMID: 20549698; 2011, Int J Cancer;128(8):1813-21
p53 status influences response to tamoxifen but not to fulvestrant in breast cancer cell lines.

11. PMID: 10786679; 2000, Cancer Res;60(8):2155-62
Complete sequencing of TP53 predicts poor response to systemic therapy of advanced breast cancer.

12. PMID: 25672981; 2015, Cancer Res;75(7):1187-90
VEGF-A Expression Correlates with TP53 Mutations in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Implications for Antiangiogenesis Therapy.

13. PMID: 1833068; 1991, Cell;66(6):1217-28
Human cyclin E, a new cyclin that interacts with two members of the CDC2 gene family.

14. PMID: 22186781; 2012, Cell Cycle;11(1):57-64
An integrated view of cyclin E function and regulation.

15. PMID: 20336084; 2010, J Invest Dermatol;130(7):1914-21
UVR-induced regulatory T cells switch antigen-presenting cells from a stimulatory to a regulatory phenotype.

16. PMID: 25395429; 2015, Blood;125(3):443-8
Dinaciclib, a novel CDK inhibitor, demonstrates encouraging single-agent activity in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma.

17. PMID: 27663592; 2017, Clin Cancer Res;23(7):1862-1874
Selective Targeting of Cyclin E1-Amplified High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer by Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 2 and AKT Inhibition.

18. PMID: 27020857; 2016, Cancer Res;76(8):2301-13
Early Adaptation and Acquired Resistance to CDK4/6 Inhibition in Estrogen Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer.

19. PMID: 21321214; 2011, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A;108(9):3761-6
Cyclin E amplification/overexpression is a mechanism of trastuzumab resistance in HER2+ breast cancer patients.

20. PMID: 20336784; 2010, Cancer;116(11):2621-34
Gene amplification CCNE1 is related to poor survival and potential therapeutic target in ovarian cancer.

21. PMID: 30026836; 2018, J Cancer;9(13):2397-2407
Prognostic Values of CCNE1 Amplification and Overexpression in Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis.

22. PMID: 30807234; 2019, J Clin Oncol;37(14):1169-1178
Cyclin E1 Expression and Palbociclib Efficacy in Previously Treated Hormone Receptor-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer.

23. PMID: 19023283; 2008, Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol;9(12):958-70
Transcription-coupled DNA repair: two decades of progress and surprises.

24. PMID: 21952828; 2012, Cell Mol Life Sci;69(5):727-40
Haploinsufficiency in mouse models of DNA repair deficiency: modifiers of penetrance.

25. PMID: 12114432; 2002, Clin Cancer Res;8(7):2286-91
Low ERCC1 expression correlates with prolonged survival after cisplatin plus gemcitabine chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer.

26. PMID: 18024864; 2007, J Clin Oncol;25(33):5172-9
ERCC1 genotype and phenotype in epithelial ovarian cancer identify patients likely to benefit from paclitaxel treatment in addition to platinum-based therapy.

27. PMID: 1433335; 1992, J Natl Cancer Inst;84(19):1512-7
ERCC1 and ERCC2 expression in malignant tissues from ovarian cancer patients.

28. PMID: 8040325; 1994, J Clin Invest;94(2):703-8
Messenger RNA levels of XPAC and ERCC1 in ovarian cancer tissue correlate with response to platinum-based chemotherapy.

29. PMID: 23275151; 2013, Carcinogenesis;34(4):739-49
PARP inhibition selectively increases sensitivity to cisplatin in ERCC1-low non-small cell lung cancer cells.

30. PMID: 23934192; 2013, Oncogene;32(47):5377-87
A high-throughput screen identifies PARP1/2 inhibitors as a potential therapy for ERCC1-deficient non-small cell lung cancer.

31. PMID: 30589644; 2019, J Clin Invest;129(3):1211-1228
PARP inhibition enhances tumor cell-intrinsic immunity in ERCC1-deficient non-small cell lung cancer.

32. PMID: 25774912; 2015, PLoS One;10(3):e0119614
Protein expression of DNA damage repair proteins dictates response to topoisomerase and PARP inhibitors in triple-negative breast cancer.

33. PMID: 15498494; 2004, Curr Biol;14(20):1852-7
A nucleolar isoform of the Fbw7 ubiquitin ligase regulates c-Myc and cell size.

34. PMID: 15103331; 2004, EMBO J;23(10):2116-25
Phosphorylation-dependent degradation of c-Myc is mediated by the F-box protein Fbw7.

35. PMID: 16023596; 2005, Cancer Cell;8(1):25-33
The v-Jun point mutation allows c-Jun to escape GSK3-dependent recognition and destruction by the Fbw7 ubiquitin ligase.

36. PMID: 11533444; 2001, Science;294(5540):173-7
Phosphorylation-dependent ubiquitination of cyclin E by the SCFFbw7 ubiquitin ligase.

37. PMID: 11461910; 2001, J Biol Chem;276(38):35847-53
The Notch intracellular domain is ubiquitinated and negatively regulated by the mammalian Sel-10 homolog.

38. PMID: 11425854; 2001, J Biol Chem;276(37):34371-8
Functional interaction between SEL-10, an F-box protein, and the nuclear form of activated Notch1 receptor.

39. PMID: 16863506; 2006, Cancer Sci;97(8):729-36
Fbxw7 contributes to tumor suppression by targeting multiple proteins for ubiquitin-dependent degradation.

40. PMID: 18787170; 2008, Science;321(5895):1499-502
FBXW7 targets mTOR for degradation and cooperates with PTEN in tumor suppression.

41. PMID: 20484041; 2010, Cancer Res;70(11):4728-38
The Fbw7 tumor suppressor targets KLF5 for ubiquitin-mediated degradation and suppresses breast cell proliferation.

42. PMID: 21368833; 2011, Nature;471(7336):104-9
SCF(FBW7) regulates cellular apoptosis by targeting MCL1 for ubiquitylation and destruction.

43. PMID: 18094723; 2008, Nat Rev Cancer;8(2):83-93
FBW7 ubiquitin ligase: a tumour suppressor at the crossroads of cell division, growth and differentiation.

44. PMID: 23032637; 2012, Cancer Inform;11():157-71
Haploinsufficiency of Tumor Suppressor Genes is Driven by the Cumulative Effect of microRNAs, microRNA Binding Site Polymorphisms and microRNA Polymorphisms: An In silico Approach.

45. PMID: 24586741; 2014, PLoS One;9(2):e89388
FBXW7 mutations in patients with advanced cancers: clinical and molecular characteristics and outcomes with mTOR inhibitors.

46. PMID: 24360397; 2014, Lung Cancer;83(2):300-1
Temsirolimus therapy in a patient with lung adenocarcinoma harboring an FBXW7 mutation.

47. PMID: 27399335; 2017, Oncogene;36(6):787-796
FBW7 mutations mediate resistance of colorectal cancer to targeted therapies by blocking Mcl-1 degradation.

48. PMID: 25860929; 2015, Oncotarget;6(11):9240-56
FBXW7-mutated colorectal cancer cells exhibit aberrant expression of phosphorylated-p53 at Serine-15.

49. PMID: 29633504; 2018, Mol Oncol;12(6):883-895
FBXW7 deletion contributes to lung tumor development and confers resistance to gefitinib therapy.

50. PMID: 28522751; 2017, Cancer Res;77(13):3527-3539
Targeting FBW7 as a Strategy to Overcome Resistance to Targeted Therapy in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer.

51. PMID: 24884509; 2014, Mol Cancer;13():110
Fbxw7 is an independent prognostic marker and induces apoptosis and growth arrest by regulating YAP abundance in hepatocellular carcinoma.

52. PMID: 25998713; 2015, Nat Rev Cancer;15(6):334-46
Hijacked in cancer: the KMT2 (MLL) family of methyltransferases.

53. PMID: 24081332; 2013, Mol Cell Biol;33(23):4745-54
The MLL3/MLL4 branches of the COMPASS family function as major histone H3K4 monomethylases at enhancers.

54. PMID: 23166019; 2012, Genes Dev;26(23):2604-20
Enhancer-associated H3K4 monomethylation by Trithorax-related, the Drosophila homolog of mammalian Mll3/Mll4.

55. PMID: 27926873; 2016, Cell Rep;17(10):2715-2723
FOXA1 Directs H3K4 Monomethylation at Enhancers via Recruitment of the Methyltransferase MLL3.

56. PMID: 17021013; 2006, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A;103(42):15392-7
Coactivator as a target gene specificity determinant for histone H3 lysine 4 methyltransferases.

57. PMID: 11891048; 2002, Gene;284(1-2):73-81
MLL3, a new human member of the TRX/MLL gene family, maps to 7q36, a chromosome region frequently deleted in myeloid leukaemia.

58. PMID: 22234698; 2012, Blood;119(10):e67-75
High-resolution genomic profiling of adult and pediatric core-binding factor acute myeloid leukemia reveals new recurrent genomic alterations.

59. PMID: 25537518; 2015, Oncotarget;6(4):2466-82
Genetic alterations of histone lysine methyltransferases and their significance in breast cancer.

60. PMID: 25303977; 2014, Clin Cancer Res;20(24):6582-92
Mutational landscape of aggressive cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma.

61. PMID: 25151357; 2014, Nat Genet;46(10):1097-102
Genetic landscape of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.

62. PMID: 28801450; 2017, Blood;130(14):1644-1648
Genomic analysis of hairy cell leukemia identifies novel recurrent genetic alterations.

63. PMID: 25794446; 2015, Cancer Genet;208(5):178-91
The cancer COMPASS: navigating the functions of MLL complexes in cancer.

64. PMID: 24794707; 2014, Cancer Cell;25(5):652-65
MLL3 is a haploinsufficient 7q tumor suppressor in acute myeloid leukemia.

65. PMID: 30665945; 2019, EMBO Rep;20(3):
The lysine-specific methyltransferase KMT2C/MLL3 regulates DNA repair components in cancer.

66. PMID: 27280393; 2016, Cancer Res;76(16):4861-71
Reduced Expression of Histone Methyltransferases KMT2C and KMT2D Correlates with Improved Outcome in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma.

67. PMID: 27986439; 2017, Clin Breast Cancer;17(3):e135-e142
Expression Levels of KMT2C and SLC20A1 Identified by Information-theoretical Analysis Are Powerful Prognostic Biomarkers in Estrogen Receptor-positive Breast Cancer.

68. PMID: 19029958; 2008, Nat Rev Cancer;8(12):976-90
Reflecting on 25 years with MYC.

69. PMID: 22464321; 2012, Cell;149(1):22-35
MYC on the path to cancer.

70. PMID: 10378696; 1999, Oncogene;18(19):3004-16
MYC oncogenes and human neoplastic disease.

71. PMID: 16934487; 2006, Semin Cancer Biol;16(4):318-30
The Myc oncoprotein as a therapeutic target for human cancer.

72. PMID: 22113465; 2012, Clin Exp Med;12(4):217-23
C-myc as a predictive marker for chemotherapy in metastatic breast cancer.

73. PMID: 21741827; 2011, Eur J Cancer;47(12):1779-88
Association between c-myc amplification and pathological complete response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer.

74. PMID: 15132769; 2004, Cancer Sci;95(5):418-23
Expression of the c-myc gene as a predictor of chemotherapy response and a prognostic factor in patients with ovarian cancer.

75. PMID: 22430491; 2012, J Exp Med;209(4):679-96
MYC pathway activation in triple-negative breast cancer is synthetic lethal with CDK inhibition.

76. PMID: 27486754; 2016, Oncotarget;7(35):56864-56875
Inhibition of cyclin dependent kinase 9 by dinaciclib suppresses cyclin B1 expression and tumor growth in triple negative breast cancer.

77. PMID: 24503701; 2014, PLoS One;9(2):e87456
Immunohistochemistry for myc predicts survival in colorectal cancer.

78. PMID: 9816266; 1996, Clin Cancer Res;2(6):1049-53
Overexpression of the c-myc proto-oncogene in colorectal carcinoma is associated with a reduced mortality that is abrogated by point mutation of the p53 tumor suppressor gene.

79. PMID: 23860775; 2013, Tumour Biol;34(6):3945-58
MYC overexpression and poor prognosis in sporadic breast cancer with BRCA1 deficiency.

80. PMID: 21157483; 2011, Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol;12(1):21-35
mTOR: from growth signal integration to cancer, diabetes and ageing.

81. PMID: 12271141; 2002, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A;99(21):13571-6
Tuberous sclerosis complex-1 and -2 gene products function together to inhibit mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)-mediated downstream signaling.

82. PMID: 9242607; 1997, Science;277(5327):805-8
Identification of the tuberous sclerosis gene TSC1 on chromosome 9q34.

83. PMID: 8269512; 1993, Cell;75(7):1305-15
Identification and characterization of the tuberous sclerosis gene on chromosome 16.

84. PMID: 1303246; 1992, Nat Genet;2(1):37-41
Linkage of an important gene locus for tuberous sclerosis to a chromosome 16 marker for polycystic kidney disease.

85. PMID: 18538015; 2008, BMC Cancer;8():163
Involvement of TSC genes and differential expression of other members of the mTOR signaling pathway in oral squamous cell carcinoma.

86. PMID: 28339086; 2017, Int J Oncol;50(5):1778-1784
Identification of novel mutations in endometrial cancer patients by whole-exome sequencing.

87. PMID: 20610279; 2010, Urol Oncol;28(4):409-28
Bladder cancer or bladder cancers? Genetically distinct malignant conditions of the urothelium.

88. PMID: 17005952; 2006, N Engl J Med;355(13):1345-56
The tuberous sclerosis complex.

89. PMID: 22923433; 2012, Science;338(6104):221
Genome sequencing identifies a basis for everolimus sensitivity.

90. PMID: 26859683; 2016, Oncotarget;7(9):10547-56
Next-generation sequencing reveals somatic mutations that confer exceptional response to everolimus.

91. PMID: 27016228; 2016, Gynecol Oncol;141(1):43-8
Tumor mutational analysis of GOG248, a phase II study of temsirolimus or temsirolimus and alternating megestrol acetate and tamoxifen for advanced endometrial cancer (EC): An NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group study.

92. PMID: 26412398; 2015, Sci Rep;5():14534
PAK2 is an effector of TSC1/2 signaling independent of mTOR and a potential therapeutic target for Tuberous Sclerosis Complex.

93. PMID: 26703889; 2016, Lancet;387(10022):968-977
Everolimus for the treatment of advanced, non-functional neuroendocrine tumours of the lung or gastrointestinal tract (RADIANT-4): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study.

94. PMID: 22149876; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(6):520-9
Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer.

95. PMID: 21306238; 2011, N Engl J Med;364(6):514-23
Everolimus for advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

96. PMID: 23158522; 2013, Lancet;381(9861):125-32
Efficacy and safety of everolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytomas associated with tuberous sclerosis complex (EXIST-1): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial.

97. PMID: 18653228; 2008, Lancet;372(9637):449-56
Efficacy of everolimus in advanced renal cell carcinoma: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase III trial.

98. PMID: 32343890; 2020, N Engl J Med;382(22):2091-2102
Olaparib for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer.

99. PMID: 31851799; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(25):2416-2428
Olaparib plus Bevacizumab as First-Line Maintenance in Ovarian Cancer.

100. PMID: 31157963; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(4):317-327
Maintenance Olaparib for Germline BRCA-Mutated Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer.

101. PMID: 30345884; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(26):2495-2505
Maintenance Olaparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer.

102. PMID: 28578601; 2017, N Engl J Med;377(6):523-533
Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer in Patients with a Germline BRCA Mutation.

103. PMID: 28754483; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(9):1274-1284
Olaparib tablets as maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed ovarian cancer and a BRCA1/2 mutation (SOLO2/ENGOT-Ov21): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

104. PMID: 27617661; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(11):1579-1589
Overall survival in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent serous ovarian cancer receiving olaparib maintenance monotherapy: an updated analysis from a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, phase 2 trial.

105. PMID: 25366685; 2015, J Clin Oncol;33(3):244-50
Olaparib monotherapy in patients with advanced cancer and a germline BRCA1/2 mutation.

106. PMID: 28916367; 2017, Lancet;390(10106):1949-1961
Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

107. PMID: 27908594; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(1):75-87
Rucaparib in relapsed, platinum-sensitive high-grade ovarian carcinoma (ARIEL2 Part 1): an international, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial.

108. PMID: 17538086; 2007, N Engl J Med;356(22):2271-81
Temsirolimus, interferon alfa, or both for advanced renal-cell carcinoma.