

ACT Onco[®] + Report

PATIENT		
Name: 沈棋陽		Patient ID: 46701999
Date of Birth: Nov 20, 1963		Gender: Male
Diagnosis: Gastric small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma		
ORDERING PHYSICIAN		
Name: 黃國宏醫師/陳明晃醫師		Tel: 886-228712121
Facility: 臺北榮總		
Address: 臺北市北投區石牌路二段 201 號		
SPECIMEN		
Specimen ID: S11191672A		Type: FFPE tissue
Collection site: Stomach		
Date received: Jul 08, 2022	Lab ID: AA-22-03989	D/ID: NA

ABOUT ACTOnco[®]+

The test is a next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based assay developed for efficient and comprehensive genomic profiling of cancers. This test interrogates coding regions of 440 genes associated with cancer treatment, prognosis and diagnosis. Genetic mutations detected by this test include small-scale mutations like single nucleotide variants (SNVs), small insertions and deletions (InDels) (≤ 15 nucleotides) and large-scale genomic alterations like copy number alterations (CNAs). The test also includes an RNA test, detecting fusion transcripts of 13 genes.

SUMMARY FOR ACTIONABLE VARIANTS

VARIANTS/BIOMARKERS WITH EVIDENCE OF CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Genomic Alterations/Biomarkers	Probable Effects in Patient's Cancer Type		Probable Sensitive in Other Cancer Types
	Sensitive	Resistant	
Not detected			

VARIANTS/BIOMARKERS WITH POTENTIAL CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Genomic Alterations/Biomarkers	Possibly Sensitive	Possibly Resistant
Not detected		

Note:

- The above summary tables present genomic variants and biomarkers based on the three-tiered approach proposed by US FDA for reporting tumor profiling NGS testing. "Variants/biomarkers with evidence of clinical significance" refers to mutations that are widely recognized as standard-of-care biomarkers (FDA level 2/AMP tier 1). "Variants/biomarkers with potential clinical significance" refers to mutations that are not included in the standard of care but are informational for clinicians, which are commonly biomarkers used as inclusion criteria for clinical trials (FDA level 3/AMP tier 2).
- The therapeutic agents and possible effects to a given drug are based on mapping the variants/biomarkers with ACT Genomics clinical knowledge database. The mapping results only provide information for reference, but not medical recommendation.
- Please refer to corresponding sections for more detailed information about genomic alteration and clinical relevance listed above.

ACTOnco[®] + Report

TESTING RESULTS

VARIANT(S) WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Single Nucleotide and Small InDel Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Allele Frequency
SERPINB3	K146N	51.6%
TP53	C277F	78.7%

- Copy Number Alterations

Chromosome	Gene	Variation	Copy Number
Chr13	BRCA2	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr6	E2F3	Amplification	12

- Fusions

Fusion Gene & Exon	Transcript ID
No fusion gene detected in this sample	

- Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor (ICI) Related Biomarkers

Biomarker	Results
Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)	3.2 muts/Mb
Microsatellite Instability (MSI)	Microsatellite stable (MSS)

Note:

- Variant(s) enlisted in the SNV table may currently exhibit no relevance to treatment response prediction. Please refer to INTERPRETATION for more biological information and/or potential clinical impacts of the variants.
- Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) information was used to infer tumor cellularity. Copy number alteration in the tumor was determined based on 63% tumor purity.
- For more therapeutic agents which are possibly respond to heterozygous deletion of genes listed above, please refer to APPENDIX for more information.
- TMB was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco[®] to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The threshold for high mutation load is set at ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase. TMB, microsatellite status and gene copy number deletion cannot be determined if calculated tumor purity is $< 30\%$.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS

TARGETED THERAPIES

Not Applicable.

IMMUNE CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS (ICIs)

No genomic alterations detected to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to immune checkpoint therapies.

- Other Biomarkers with Potential Clinical Effects for ICIs

Genomic Alterations	Potential Clinical Effects
Not detected	

Note: Tumor non-genomic factors, such as patient germline genetics, PDL1 expression, tumor microenvironment, epigenetic alterations or other factors not provided by this test may affect ICI response.

CHEMOTHERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to chemotherapies.

HORMONAL THERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to hormonal therapies.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

OTHERS

Pharmacogenomic implication

Gene	Detection Site	Genotype	Drug Impact	Level of Evidence*
UGT1A1	rs4148323	AA	Irinotecan-based regimens	Level 1B

Clinical Interpretation:

Patients with the AA genotype and cancer who are treated with irinotecan-based regimens may have an increased risk of diarrhea and neutropenia as compared to patients with the AG or GG genotype. Other genetic and clinical factors may also influence a patient's risk of diarrhea and neutropenia.

* Level of evidence was defined by PharmGKB (<https://www.pharmgkb.org/page/clinAnnLevels>)

Level 1A: Clinical annotations describe variant-drug combinations that have variant-specific prescribing guidance available in a current clinical guideline annotation or an FDA-approved drug label annotation.

Level 1B: Clinical annotations describe variant-drug combinations with a high level of evidence supporting the association but no variant-specific prescribing guidance in an annotated clinical guideline or FDA drug label.

Level 2A: Variants in Level 2A clinical annotations are found in PharmGKB's Tier 1 Very Important Pharmacogenes (VIPs). These variants are in known pharmacogenes, implying causation of drug phenotype is more likely.

Note:

Therapeutic implications provided in the test are based solely on the panel of 440 genes sequenced. Therefore, alterations in genes not covered in this panel, epigenetic and post-transcriptional and post-translational factors may also determine a patient's response to therapies. In addition, several other patient-associated clinical factors, including but not limited to, prior lines of therapies received, dosage and combinations with other therapeutic agents, patient's cancer types, sub-types, and/or stages, may also determine the patient's clinical response to therapies.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

VARIANT INTERPRETATION

SERPINB3 K146N

Biological Impact

SERPINB3 encodes a protein of the serpin family of serine protease inhibitors. SERPINB3 is a close human homolog of SERPINB4 with which shares 92% protein sequence identity. SERPINB3 and SERPINB4 proteins have overlapping functions and are involved in both oncogenesis and immunity^{[1][2]}.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Results from a clinical study showed that somatic mutations in SERPINB3 and SERPINB4 predicted improved survival from treatment with anti-CTLA4 therapy in two independent cohorts of patients with melanoma (n=174)^[3].

TP53 C277F

Biological Impact

TP53 encodes the p53 protein, a crucial tumor suppressor that orchestrates essential cellular processes including cell cycle arrest, senescence and apoptosis^[4]. TP53 is a proto-typical haploinsufficient gene, such that loss of a single copy of TP53 can result in tumor formation^[5].

C277F mutation is located in the DNA-binding domain of the p53 protein.(UniProtKB). This mutation results in a loss of p53 DNA binding and reduced growth suppression activity in vitro^{[6][7][8]}.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Despite having a high mutation rate in cancers, there are currently no approved targeted therapies for TP53 mutations. A phase II trial demonstrated that Wee1 inhibitor (AZD1775) in combination with carboplatin was well tolerated and showed promising anti-tumor activity in TP53-mutated ovarian cancer refractory or resistant (< 3 months) to standard first-line therapy (NCT01164995)^[9].

In a retrospective study (n=19), advanced sarcoma patients with TP53 loss-of-function mutations displayed improved progression-free survival (208 days versus 136 days) relative to patients with wild-type TP53 when treated with pazopanib^[10]. Results from another Phase I trial of advanced solid tumors (n=78) demonstrated that TP53 hotspot mutations are associated with better clinical response to the combination of pazopanib and vorinostat^[11].

Advanced solid tumor and colorectal cancer patients harboring a TP53 mutation have been shown to be more sensitive to bevacizumab when compared with patients harboring wild-type TP53^{[12][13][14]}. In a pilot trial (n=21), TP53-negative breast cancer patients demonstrated increased survival following treatment with bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy agents, Adriamycin (doxorubicin) and Taxotere (docetaxel)^[15]. TP53 mutations were correlated with poor survival of advanced breast cancer patients receiving tamoxifen or primary chemotherapy^{[16][17]}. In a retrospective study of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), TP53 mutations were associated with high expression of VEGF-A, the primary target of bevacizumab, offering a mechanistic explanation for why patients exhibit improved outcomes after bevacizumab treatment when their tumors harbor mutant TP53 versus wild-type TP53^[18].

ACT Onco[®] + Report

BRCA2 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The BRCA2 gene encodes a tumor suppressor involved in the homologous recombination pathway for double-strand DNA repair^[19]. BRCA2 has been implicated as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions^[20]. BRCA2 germline mutations confer an increased lifetime risk of developing breast, ovarian, prostate and pancreatic cancer, limited reports of related gastric cancer, and Fanconi anemia subtype D1-associated risk of brain cancer, medulloblastoma, pharyngeal cancer, chronic lymphocytic leukemia and acute myeloid leukemia^[21]. Somatic mutations in BRCA2 are highest in colorectal, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and ovarian cancers^[22].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

The U.S. FDA has approved olaparib in advanced ovarian cancer under several settings including (1) first-line maintenance treatment for patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline or somatic BRCA mutation who are in complete or partial response to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy^[23]; (2) in combination with bevacizumab as first-line maintenance treatment for patients with homologous recombination deficiency (HRD)-positive status^[24]; (3) maintenance treatment for patients with germline BRCA-mutated recurrent ovarian cancer who are in complete or partial response to platinum-based chemotherapy^{[25][26]}; (4) treatment for patients with germline BRCA-mutated advanced ovarian cancer who have been treated with three or more prior lines of chemotherapy^[27]. In addition, olaparib has also been approved in patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline BRCA-mutated, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer who have been treated with chemotherapy in either neoadjuvant, adjuvant, or metastatic setting^[28] and germline BRCA-mutated metastatic pancreatic cancer^[29]. Of note, in May 2020, the U.S. FDA approved olaparib for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who carry mutations in homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes, including BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD54L, and progressed following prior treatment with enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate^[30].

Rucaparib has been approved for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in a complete or partial response to platinum-based chemotherapy and patients with BRCA-mutated epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer, who have been treated with two or more chemotherapies^{[31][32]}. In May 2020, the U.S. FDA also approved rucaparib to treat adult patients with a deleterious BRCA mutation-associated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who have been treated with androgen receptor-directed therapy and a taxane-based chemotherapy (TRITON2, NCT02952534).

The U.S. FDA also approved niraparib for the maintenance treatment of patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response to platinum-based chemotherapy and patients who have been treated with three or more prior lines of chemotherapy and associated with HRD positive status^{[33][34][35]}. In addition, talazoparib for patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline BRCA-mutated, HER2 negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer^[36].

ACT Onco[®] + Report

E2F3 Amplification

Biological Impact

The E2F3 gene encodes a transcription factor that interacts directly with the retinoblastoma protein (pRB) to regulate the expression of genes involved in the cell cycle and DNA replication^{[37][38][39]}.

Amplification or overexpression of E2F3 has been reported in various types of cancers, including bladder cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, retinoblastomas, and melanoma^{[40][41][42][43][44]}.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

A tissue microarray analysis indicated that amplification of the E2F3 gene is associated with increased E2F3 protein overexpression, accelerated cell proliferation, and poor prognosis in bladder cancer^[41]. Besides, elevated E2F genes and E2F transcriptional targets in tumors have been linked with poor prognosis in the liver and pancreatic cancers^[39].

ACT Onco[®] + Report

US FDA-APPROVED DRUG(S)

Niraparib (ZEJULA)

Niraparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 and -2 (PARP-1, -2). Niraparib is developed and marketed by Tesaro under the trade name ZEJULA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Niraparib (ZEJULA)

PRIMA NCT02655016	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2020/04/29)
	- Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS (overall population)(M): 13.8 vs. 8.2]
QUADRA ^[35] NCT02354586	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2019/10/23)
	HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability) Niraparib [ORR(%): 24.0, DOR(M): 8.3]
NOVA ^[34] NCT01847274	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2017/03/27)
	- Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS (overall population)(M): 11.3 vs. 4.7]

Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

Olaparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2, and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Olaparib is developed by KuDOS Pharmaceuticals and marketed by AstraZeneca under the trade name LYNPARZA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

OlympiA NCT02032823	Her2-negative high-risk early breast cancer (Approved on 2022/03/11)
	gBRCA Olaparib vs. Placebo [invasive disease-free survival (IDFS)(M):]
PROfound ^[30] NCT02987543	Prostate cancer (Approved on 2020/05/19)
	ATMm, BRCA1m, BRCA2m, BARD1m, BRIP1m, CDK12m, CHEK1m, CHEK2m, FANCLm, PALB2m, RAD51Bm, RAD51Cm, RAD51Dm, RAD54Lm Olaparib vs. Enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate [PFS(M): 5.8 vs. 3.5]
PAOLA-1 ^[24] NCT02477644	Ovarian cancer (Approved on 2020/05/08)
	HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability) Olaparib + bevacizumab vs. Placebo + bevacizumab [PFS(M): 37.2 vs. 17.7]
POLO ^[29] NCT02184195	Pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Approved on 2019/12/27)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) Olaparib vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 23.0 vs. 12.0, PFS(M): 7.4 vs. 3.8]
SOLO-1 ^[23] NCT01844986	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2018/12/19)
	Germline or somatic BRCA-mutated (gBRCAm or sBRCAm) Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): NR vs. 13.8]
OlympiAD ^[28] NCT02000622	Breast cancer (Approved on 2018/02/06)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative Olaparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 7 vs. 4.2]
SOLO-2/ENGOT-Ov21 ^[45] NCT01874353	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	gBRCA+ Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 19.1 vs. 5.5]

ACT Onco® + Report

Study19 ^[46] NCT00753545	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	-
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 8.4 vs. 4.8]
Study 42 ^[47] NCT01078662	Ovarian cancer (Approved on 2014/12/19)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious)
	Olaparib [ORR(%): 34.0, DOR(M): 7.9]

Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

Rucaparib is an inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2 and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Rucaparib is developed and marketed by Clovis Oncology under the trade name RUBRACA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

TRITON2 NCT02952534	Prostate cancer (Approved on 2020/05/15)
	gBRCA+, sBRCA
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 44.0, DOR(M): NE]
ARIEL3 ^[31] NCT01968213	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2018/04/06)
	All HRD tBRCA
	Rucaparib vs. Placebo [PFS (All)(M): 10.8 vs. 5.4, PFS (HRD)(M): 13.6 vs. 5.4, PFS (tBRCA)(M): 16.6 vs. 5.4]
ARIEL2 ^[48] NCT01482715, NCT01891344	Ovarian cancer (Approved on 2016/12/19)
	Germline and/or somatic BRCA mutation
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 54.0]

Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

Talazoparib is an inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) enzymes, including PARP1 and PARP2. Talazoparib is developed and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TALZENNA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

EMBRACA ^[36] NCT01945775	Breast cancer (Approved on 2018/10/16)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative
	Talazoparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 8.6 vs. 5.6]

D=day; W=week; M=month

ACT Onco[®] + Report

ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS

Trials were searched by applying filters: study status, patient's diagnosis, intervention, location and/or biomarker(s). Please visit <https://clinicaltrials.gov> to search and view for a complete list of open available and updated matched trials.

No trial has been found.

ACT Onco[®] + Report


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION OF TESTING RESULTS DETAILED INFORMATION OF VARIANTS WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Single Nucleotide and Small InDel Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Exon	cDNA Change	Accession Number	COSMIC ID	Allele Frequency	Coverage
SERPINB3	K146N	5	c.438G>T	NM_006919	-	51.6%	1456
TP53	C277F	8	c.830G>T	NM_000546	COSM10749	78.7%	427

- Copy Number Alterations

Observed copy number (CN) for each evaluated position is shown on the y-axis. Regions referred to as amplification or deletion are shown in color. Regions without significant changes are represented in gray.



ACT Onco[®] + Report

OTHER DETECTED VARIANTS

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Exon	cDNA Change	Accession Number	COSMIC ID	Allele Frequency	Coverage
CARD11	K413del	9	c.1239_1241del	NM_032415	-	11.4%	1111
FANCD2	D1442N	44	c.4324G>A	NM_001018115	-	88.4%	216
FLT4	P91S	3	c.271C>T	NM_182925	COSM3854402	68.7%	67
IRS1	R487Q	1	c.1460G>A	NM_005544	-	45.3%	192
KMT2C	C517Y	11	c.1550G>A	NM_170606	-	43.0%	447
MUC16	P7813L	3	c.23438C>T	NM_024690	COSM715372	74.2%	1188
MUC16	V12813A	31	c.38438T>C	NM_024690	-	72.5%	579
NOTCH1	P972H	18	c.2915C>A	NM_017617	-	22.9%	420
POLE	K2223R	48	c.6668A>G	NM_006231	-	9.3%	494
RB1	Splice region	-	c.862-5T>G	NM_000321	COSM3724517	72.1%	129
SMARCA4	Q201L	5	c.602A>T	NM_001128844	-	71.2%	271
SYNE1	E8228D	137	c.24684G>T	NM_182961	-	14.9%	712
U2AF1	G222_G223del	8	c.648_653del	NM_006758	COSM6943683	29.9%	274



Note:

- This table enlists variants detected by the panel other than those with clinical relevance (reported in Testing Result section). The clinical impact of a genetic variant is determined according to ACT Genomics in-house clinical knowledge database. A negative result does not necessarily indicate absence of biological effect on the tumor. Some variants listed here may possibly have preclinical data or may show potential clinical relevance in the future.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

TEST DETAILS

SPECIMEN RECEIVED AND PATHOLOGY REVIEW



- Collection date: Jun 2022
- Facility retrieved: 臺北榮總
- H&E-stained section No.: S11191672A
- Collection site: Stomach
- Examined by: Dr. Chien-Ta Chiang
 1. The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the whole slide (%): 20%
 2. The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 70%
 3. The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the whole slide (%): 10%
 4. The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 0%
 5. Additional comment: NA
- Manual macrodissection: Performed on the highlighted region
- The outline highlights the area of malignant neoplasm annotated by a pathologist.

RUN QC

- Panel: ACTOnco[®]+

DNA test

- Mean Depth: 752x
- Target Base Coverage at 100x: 92%

RNA test

- Average unique RNA Start Sites per control GSP2: 135

ACT Onco[®] + Report

LIMITATIONS

1. This test does not provide information of variant causality and does not detect variants in non-coding regions that could affect gene expression. This report does not report polymorphisms and we do not classify whether a mutation is germline or somatic. Variants identified by this assay were not subject to validation by Sanger or other technologies.
2. The possibility cannot be excluded that certain pathogenic variants detected by other sequencing tools may not be reported in the test because of technical limitation of bioinformatics algorithm or the NGS sequencing platform, e.g. low coverage.
3. This test has been designed to detect fusions in 13 genes sequenced. Therefore, fusion in genes not covered by this test would not be reported. For novel fusions detected in this test, Sanger sequencing confirmation is recommended if residue specimen is available.

NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS) METHODS

DNA test

Extracted genomic DNA was amplified using primers targeting coding exons of analyzed genes and subjected to library construction. Barcoded libraries were subsequently conjugated with sequencing beads by emulsion PCR and enriched using Ion Chef system. Sequencing was performed according to Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Raw reads generated by the sequencer were mapped to the hg19 reference genome using the Ion Torrent Suite. Coverage depth was calculated using Torrent Coverage Analysis plug-in. Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and short insertions/deletions (InDels) were identified using the Torrent Variant Caller plug-in. VEP (Variant Effect Predictor) was used to annotate every variant using databases from Clinvar, COSMIC and Genome Aggregation database. Variants with coverage ≥ 20 , allele frequency $\geq 5\%$ and actionable variants with allele frequency $\geq 2\%$ were retained. This test provides uniform coverage of the targeted regions, enabling target base coverage at $100\times \geq 85\%$ with a mean coverage $\geq 500\times$.

Variants reported in Genome Aggregation database with $> 1\%$ minor allele frequency (MAF) were considered as polymorphisms. ACT Genomics in-house database was used to determine technical errors. Clinically actionable and biologically significant variants were determined based on the published medical literature.

The copy number alterations (CNAs) were predicted as described below:

Amplicons with read counts in the lowest 5th percentile of all detectable amplicons and amplicons with a coefficient of variation ≥ 0.3 were removed. The remaining amplicons were normalized to correct the pool design bias. ONCOCNV (an established method for calculating copy number aberrations in amplicon sequencing data by Boeva et al., 2014) was applied for the normalization of total amplicon number, amplicon GC content, amplicon length, and technology-related biases, followed by segmenting the sample with a gene-aware model. The method was used as well for establishing the baseline of copy number variations.

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco[®] to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The TMB calculation predicted somatic variants and applied a machine learning model with a cancer hotspot correction. TMB may be reported as "TMB-High", "TMB-Low" or "Cannot Be Determined". TMB-High corresponds to ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase (Muts/Mb); TMB-Low corresponds to < 7.5 Muts/Mb. TMB is reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the tumor purity of the sample is $< 30\%$.

Classification of microsatellite instability (MSI) status is determined by a machine learning prediction algorithm. The change of a number of repeats of different lengths from a pooled microsatellite stable (MSS) baseline in > 400 genomic loci are used as the features for the algorithm. The final output of the results is either microsatellite Stable (MSS) or microsatellite instability high (MSI-H).

ACT Onco[®] + Report

RNA test

Extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed and subjected to library construction. Sequencing was performed according to Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To ensure sequencing quality for fusion variant analysis, the average unique RNA Start Sites (SS) per control Gene Specific Primer 2 (GSP 2) should be ≥ 10 .

The fusion analysis pipeline aligned sequenced reads to the human reference genome, identified regions that map to noncontiguous regions of the genome, applied filters to exclude probable false-positive events and, annotated previously characterized fusion events according to Quiver Gene Fusion Database, a curated database owned and maintained by ArcherDX. In general, samples with detectable fusions need to meet the following criteria: (1) Number of unique start sites (SS) for the GSP2 ≥ 3 ; (2) Number of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction ≥ 5 ; (3) Percentage of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction $\geq 10\%$; (4) Fusions annotated in Quiver Gene Fusion Database.

DATABASE USED

- Reference genome: Human genome sequence hg19
- COSMIC v.92
- Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1
- ClinVar (version 20210404)
- ACT Genomics in-house database
- Quiver Gene Fusion Database version 5.1.18

Variant Analysis:

醫檢師張筑芃 博士
Chu-Yuan Chang Ph.D.
檢字第 020115 號



Sign Off

醫檢師張筑芃 博士
Chu-Yuan Chang Ph.D.
檢字第 020115 號



ACT Onco[®] + Report

GENE LIST SNV & CNV

ABCB1*	ABCC2*	ABCG2*	ABL1	ABL2	ADAMTS1	ADAMTS13	ADAMTS15	ADAMTS16	ADAMTS18	ADAMTS6	ADAMTS9
ADAMTSL1	ADGRA2	ADH1C*	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALDH1A1*	ALK	AMER1	APC	AR	ARAF
ARID1A	ARID1B	ARID2	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1	AXIN2	AXL
B2M	BAP1	BARD1	BCL10	BCL2*	BCL2L1	BCL2L2*	BCL6	BCL9	BCOR	BIRC2	BIRC3
BLM	BMPR1A	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2*	BTX	BUB1B	CALR
CANX	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCNA1	CCNA	CCNB1	CCNB2	CCNB3	CCND1	CCND2
CCND3	CCNE1	CCNE2	CCNH	CD19	CD274	CD58	CD70*	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1
CDK1	CDK12	CDK2	CDK4	CDK5	CDK6	CDK7	CDK8	CDK9	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A
CDKN2B	CDKN2C	CEBPA*	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CRLF2	CSF1R	CTCF	CTLA4
CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CYLD	CYP1A1*	CYP2B6*	CYP2C19*	CYP2C8*	CYP2D6	CYP2E1*	CYP3A4*	CYP3A5*
DAXX	DCUN1D1	DDR2	DICER1	DNMT3A	DOT1L	DPYD	DTX1	E2F3	EGFR	EP300	EPCAM
EPHA2	EPHA3	EPHA5	EPHA7	EPHB1	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC1	ERCC2	ERCC3	ERCC4
ERCC5	ERG	ESR1	ESR2	ETV1	ETV4	EZH2	FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCD2	FANCE
FANCF	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FAT1	FBXW7	FCGR2B	FGF1*	FGF10	FGF14	FGF19*	FGF23
FGF3	FGF4*	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FLT4
FOXL2*	FOXP1	FRG1	FUBP1	GATA1	GATA2	GATA3	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GREM1
GRIN2A	GSK3B	GSTP1*	GSTT1*	HGF	HIF1A	HIST1H1C*	HIST1H1E*	HNF1A	HR	HRAS*	HSP90AA1
HSP90AB1	HSPA4	HSPA5	IDH1	IDH2	IFNL3*	IGF1	IGF1R	IGF2	IKBKB	IKBKE	IKZF1
IL6	IL7R	INPP4B	INSR	IRF4	IRS1	IRS2*	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3	JUN*	KAT6A
KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KIT	KMT2A	KMT2C	KMT2D	KRAS	LCK	LIG1
LIG3	LMO1	LRP1B	LYN	MALT1	MAP2K1	MAP2K2	MAP2K4	MAP3K1	MAP3K7	MAPK1	MAPK3
MAX	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1	MET	MITF	MLH1	MPL	MRE11
MSH2	MSH6	MTHFR*	MTOR	MUC16	MUC4	MUC6	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL	MYCN	MYD88
NAT2*	NBN	NEFH	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKB1	NFKBIA	NKX2-1*	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NOTCH4	NPM1	NQO1*	NRAS	NSD1	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	PAK3	PALB2	PARP1	PAX5
PAX8	PBRM1	PDCD1	PDCD1LG2	PDGFRA	PDGFRB	PDIA3	PGF	PHOX2B*	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3C3
PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3CD	PIK3CG	PIK3R1	PIK3R2	PIK3R3	PIM1	PMS1	PMS2	POLB	POLD1
POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCA	PRKCB	PRKCG	PRKCI	PRKCQ	PRKDC	PRKN
PSMB8	PSMB9	PSME1	PSME2	PSME3	PTCH1	PTEN	PTGS2	PTPN11	PTPRD	PTPRT	RAC1
RAD50	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C	RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	RECQL4
REL	RET	RHOA	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPPH1	RPTOR	RUNX1	RUNX1T1	RXRA	SDHA
SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SERPINB3	SERPINB4	SETD2	SF3B1	SGK1	SH2D1A*	SLC19A1*	SLC22A2*	SLCO1B1*
SLCO1B3*	SMAD2	SMAD3	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SOC1*	SOX2*	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP
SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU	SYK	SYNE1	TAF1	TAP1	TAP2	TAPBP	TBX3
TEK	TERT	TET1	TET2	TGFBR2	TMSB4X*	TNF	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TNFSF11	TOP1	TP53
TPMT*	TSC1	TSC2	TSHR	TYMS	U2AF1	UBE2A*	UBE2K	UBR5	UGT1A1*	USH2A	VDR*
VEGFA	VEGFB	VHL	WT1	XIAP	XPO1	XRCC2	ZNF217				

*Analysis of copy number alterations NOT available.

FUSION

ALK	BRAF	EGFR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	MET	NRG1	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	RET	ROS1
-----	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-----	------	-------	-------	-------	-----	------


ACT Onco[®] + Report

APPENDIX

POSSIBLE THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS FOR HETEROZYGOUS DELETION

Gene	Therapies	Possible effect
BRCA2	Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	sensitive

SIGNALING PATHWAYS AND MOLECULAR-TARGETED AGENTS



1: Olaparib, Niraparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib

ACT Onco[®] + Report

DISCLAIMER

法律聲明

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，結果需經專業醫師解釋及判讀。基因突變資訊非必具備藥物或治療有效性指標，反之亦然。本檢驗報告提供之用藥指引不聲明或保證其臨床有效性，反之亦然。本基因檢測方法係由本公司研究開發，已經過有效性測試。

本檢驗報告非經本公司許可，不得私自變造、塗改，或以任何方式作為廣告及其他宣傳之用途。

本公司於提供檢驗報告後，即已完成本次契約義務，後續之報告解釋、判讀及用藥、治療，應自行尋求相關專業醫師協助，若需將報告移件其他醫師，本人應取得該醫師同意並填寫移件申請書，主動告知行動基因，行動基因僅能配合該醫師意願與時間提供醫師解說。

醫療決策需由醫師決定

任何治療與用藥需經由醫師在考慮病患所有健康狀況相關資訊包含健檢、其他檢測報告和病患意願後，依照該地區醫療照護標準由醫師獨立判斷。醫師不應僅依據單一報告結果(例如本檢測或本報告書內容)做決策。

基因突變與用藥資訊並非依照有效性排序

本報告中列出之生物標記變異與藥物資訊並非依照潛在治療有效性排序。

證據等級

藥物潛在臨床效益(或缺乏潛在臨床效益)的實證證據是依據至少一篇臨床療效個案報告或臨床前試驗做為評估。本公司盡力提供適時及準確之資料，但由於醫學科技之發展日新月異，本公司不就本報告提供的資料是否為準確、適宜或最新作保證。

責任

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，本公司及其員工不對任何由使用本報告之內容引起的直接、間接、特殊、連帶或衍生的損失或損害承擔責任。

ACT Onco[®] + Report

REFERENCE

1. PMID: 25111616; 2015, J Invest Dermatol;135(1):160-169
SERPINB3/B4 contributes to early inflammation and barrier dysfunction in an experimental murine model of atopic dermatitis.
2. PMID: 24759783; 2014, Nat Commun;5():3729
Oncogenic Ras induces inflammatory cytokine production by upregulating the squamous cell carcinoma antigens SerpinB3/B4.
3. PMID: 27668655; 2016, Nat Genet;48(11):1327-1329
Recurrent SERPINB3 and SERPINB4 mutations in patients who respond to anti-CTLA4 immunotherapy.
4. PMID: 24739573; 2014, Nat Rev Cancer;14(5):359-70
Unravelling mechanisms of p53-mediated tumour suppression.
5. PMID: 21125671; 2011, J Pathol;223(2):137-46
Haplo-insufficiency: a driving force in cancer.
6. PMID: 12034820; 2002, Nucleic Acids Res;30(11):2340-8
Redox state of tumor suppressor p53 regulates its sequence-specific DNA binding in DNA-damaged cells by cysteine 277.
7. PMID: 19850740; 2009, Blood;114(26):5307-14
Monoallelic and biallelic inactivation of TP53 gene in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: selection, impact on survival, and response to DNA damage.
8. PMID: 18524770; 2008, J Biol Chem;283(30):21294-304
Oligomerization of BAK by p53 utilizes conserved residues of the p53 DNA binding domain.
9. PMID: 27998224; 2016, J Clin Oncol;34(36):4354-4361
Phase II Study of WEE1 Inhibitor AZD1775 Plus Carboplatin in Patients With TP53-Mutated Ovarian Cancer Refractory or Resistant to First-Line Therapy Within 3 Months.
10. PMID: 26646755; 2016, Ann Oncol;27(3):539-43
TP53 mutational status is predictive of pazopanib response in advanced sarcomas.
11. PMID: 25669829; 2015, Ann Oncol;26(5):1012-8
Phase I study of pazopanib and vorinostat: a therapeutic approach for inhibiting mutant p53-mediated angiogenesis and facilitating mutant p53 degradation.
12. PMID: 27466356; 2016, Mol Cancer Ther;15(10):2475-2485
TP53 Alterations Correlate with Response to VEGF/VEGFR Inhibitors: Implications for Targeted Therapeutics.
13. PMID: 23670029; 2013, Oncotarget;4(5):705-14
P53 mutations in advanced cancers: clinical characteristics, outcomes, and correlation between progression-free survival and bevacizumab-containing therapy.
14. PMID: 17145525; 2006, Semin Oncol;33(5 Suppl 10):S8-14
Bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy: first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer.
15. PMID: 21399868; 2011, Int J Oncol;38(5):1445-52
p53, HER2 and tumor cell apoptosis correlate with clinical outcome after neoadjuvant bevacizumab plus chemotherapy in breast cancer.
16. PMID: 20549698; 2011, Int J Cancer;128(8):1813-21
p53 status influences response to tamoxifen but not to fulvestrant in breast cancer cell lines.
17. PMID: 10786679; 2000, Cancer Res;60(8):2155-62
Complete sequencing of TP53 predicts poor response to systemic therapy of advanced breast cancer.
18. PMID: 25672981; 2015, Cancer Res;75(7):1187-90
VEGF-A Expression Correlates with TP53 Mutations in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Implications for Antiangiogenesis Therapy.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

19. PMID: 11239455; 2001, Mol Cell;7(2):263-72
BRCA2 is required for homology-directed repair of chromosomal breaks.
20. PMID: 17597348; 2007, Ann Surg Oncol;14(9):2510-8
Heterogenic loss of the wild-type BRCA allele in human breast tumorigenesis.
21. PMID: 22193408; 2011, Nat Rev Cancer;12(1):68-78
BRCA1 and BRCA2: different roles in a common pathway of genome protection.
22. PMID: 27283171; 2016, J Natl Compr Canc Netw;14(6):795-806
The Relevance of Hereditary Cancer Risks to Precision Oncology: What Should Providers Consider When Conducting Tumor Genomic Profiling?
23. PMID: 30345884; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(26):2495-2505
Maintenance Olaparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer.
24. PMID: 31851799; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(25):2416-2428
Olaparib plus Bevacizumab as First-Line Maintenance in Ovarian Cancer.
25. PMID: 28884698; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(9):e510
Correction to Lancet Oncol 2017; 18: 1274-84.
26. PMID: 22452356; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(15):1382-92
Olaparib maintenance therapy in platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian cancer.
27. PMID: 26187614; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(19):4257-61
FDA Approval Summary: Olaparib Monotherapy in Patients with Deleterious Germline BRCA-Mutated Advanced Ovarian Cancer Treated with Three or More Lines of Chemotherapy.
28. PMID: 28578601; 2017, N Engl J Med;377(6):523-533
Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer in Patients with a Germline BRCA Mutation.
29. PMID: 31157963; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(4):317-327
Maintenance Olaparib for Germline BRCA-Mutated Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer.
30. PMID: 32343890; 2020, N Engl J Med;382(22):2091-2102
Olaparib for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer.
31. PMID: 28916367; 2017, Lancet;390(10106):1949-1961
Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.
32. PMID: 28882436; 2017, Gynecol Oncol;147(2):267-275
Antitumor activity and safety of the PARP inhibitor rucaparib in patients with high-grade ovarian carcinoma and a germline or somatic BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation: Integrated analysis of data from Study 10 and ARIEL2.
33. PMID: 31562799; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(25):2391-2402
Niraparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer.
34. PMID: 27717299; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(22):2154-2164
Niraparib Maintenance Therapy in Platinum-Sensitive, Recurrent Ovarian Cancer.
35. PMID: 30948273; 2019, Lancet Oncol;20(5):636-648
Niraparib monotherapy for late-line treatment of ovarian cancer (QUADRA): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 trial.
36. PMID: 30110579; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(8):753-763
Talzoparib in Patients with Advanced Breast Cancer and a Germline BRCA Mutation.
37. PMID: 10733529; 2000, Genes Dev;14(6):690-703

ACT Onco[®] + Report

E2f3 is critical for normal cellular proliferation.

38. PMID: 24901045; 2014, Cell Death Dis;5():e1274
Retinoblastoma protein (RB) interacts with E2F3 to control terminal differentiation of Sertoli cells.
39. PMID: 31053804; 2019, Nat Rev Cancer;19(6):326-338
The broken cycle: E2F dysfunction in cancer.
40. PMID: 12782593; 2003, Cancer Res;63(11):2872-80
Array-based comparative genomic hybridization for genome-wide screening of DNA copy number in bladder tumors.
41. PMID: 15122326; 2004, Oncogene;23(33):5616-23
E2F3 amplification and overexpression is associated with invasive tumor growth and rapid tumor cell proliferation in urinary bladder cancer.
42. PMID: 28134624; 2017, J Clin Invest;127(3):830-842
Dosage-dependent copy number gains in E2f1 and E2f3 drive hepatocellular carcinoma.
43. PMID: 16007192; 2005, Oncogene;24(42):6441-9
Gains and overexpression identify DEK and E2F3 as targets of chromosome 6p gains in retinoblastoma.
44. PMID: 30214236; 2018, Onco Targets Ther;11():5303-5313
E2F3 promotes cancer growth and is overexpressed through copy number variation in human melanoma.
45. PMID: 28754483; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(9):1274-1284
Olaparib tablets as maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed ovarian cancer and a BRCA1/2 mutation (SOLO2/ENGOT-Ov21): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.
46. PMID: 27617661; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(11):1579-1589
Overall survival in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent serous ovarian cancer receiving olaparib maintenance monotherapy: an updated analysis from a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, phase 2 trial.
47. PMID: 25366685; 2015, J Clin Oncol;33(3):244-50
Olaparib monotherapy in patients with advanced cancer and a germline BRCA1/2 mutation.
48. PMID: 27908594; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(1):75-87
Rucaparib in relapsed, platinum-sensitive high-grade ovarian carcinoma (ARIEL2 Part 1): an international, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial.