

PATIENT Huang, Hsuan-Wen TUMOR TYPE
Brain anaplastic astrocytoma
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE
19 Apr 2022
ORDERED TEST #
ORD-1341410-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Brain anaplastic astrocytoma
NAME Huang, Hsuan-Wen
DATE OF BIRTH 30 October 1993
SEX Female
MEDICAL RECORD # 41115246

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen
MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN SITE Brain
SPECIMEN ID S111-12810 A (PF22049)
SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck
DATE OF COLLECTION 28 March 2022
SPECIMEN RECEIVED 12 April 2022

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

FGFR1 N546K H3F3A K28M ATRX H1363fs*12 ERBB4 E542K MCL1 amplification - equivocal[†] PTPN11 T507K TET2 E1151*

† See About the Test in appendix for details.

Report Highlights

- Variants with diagnostic implications that may indicate a specific cancer type: H3F3A K28M (p. 5)
- Targeted therapies with potential clinical benefit approved in another tumor type: Infigratinib (p. 10)
- Evidence-matched clinical trial options based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 11)
- Variants with prognostic implications for this tumor type that may impact treatment decisions: H3F3A K28M (p. 5)
- Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis and may originate from non-tumor sources: TET2 E1151* (p. 9)

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb GENOMIC FINDINGS FGFR1 - N546K 10 Trials see p. 11 H3F3A - K28M 1 Trial see p. 13

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section				
No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section				
THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE) none	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE) Infigratinib			
none	none			

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS (CH)

Genomic findings below may include nontumor somatic alterations, such as CH. The efficacy of targeting such nontumor somatic alterations is unknown. This content should be interpreted based on clinical context. Refer to appendix for additional information on CH.



PATIENT Huang, Hsuan-Wen

TUMOR TYPE
Brain anaplastic astrocytoma
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE
19 Apr 2022
ORDERED TEST #
ORD-1341410-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

ATRX - H1363fs*12	p. 6	<i>PTPN11</i> - T507K	p. 9	9
ERBB4 - E542K	p. 7	TET2 - E1151*	p. 9	9
MCL1 - amplification - equivocal	p. 8			

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patients, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and

experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MSI-High has been reported in 3-8% of adult or pediatric astrocytomas and was generally not associated with Lynch syndrome⁶⁻⁸. Low-level MSI has been reported in 5-9% of glioblastoma (GBM) samples⁹⁻¹¹. A large-scale study did not find high-level microsatellite instability (MSI-H) in any of 129 GBM samples⁹, although a small-scale study reported MSI-H in 4 of 15 pediatric GBMs and 1 of 12 adult GBMs¹². The frequency of MSI has been reported to be increased in relapsed compared to primary GBM⁹, in GBMs with a previous lower grade astrocytoma¹⁰, and in giant cell GBM compared to classic GBM¹¹.

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹³. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH₂, MSH₆, or PMS₂¹³⁻¹⁵. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers¹⁶⁻¹⁸. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{13,15,17-18}.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 3 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1¹⁹⁻²¹, anti-PD-1 therapies¹⁹⁻²², and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²³⁻²⁸. In glioma, a lack of association between TMB and clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors has been reported^{19,29-30}. However, multiple case studies have reported that patients with ultramutated gliomas driven by POLE

mutations have benefited from treatment with anti-PD-1³¹⁻³² or anti-PD-L1³³ therapies. Therefore, although increased TMB alone may not be a strong biomarker for PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors in this cancer type, these agents may have efficacy for patients with glioma harboring both high TMB and POLE mutation.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Anaplastic astrocytoma harbors a median TMB of 1.8 mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 2% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb)³⁴. For pediatric patients, high TMB has been reported in a subset of high-grade gliomas, frequently in association with mutations in mismatch repair or proofreading genes and in TP53, whereas BRAF alterations or other oncogene fusions were observed more frequently in brain tumors harboring low TMB³⁵⁻³⁶. Increased TMB has been reported to correlate with higher tumor grade in glioma³⁷ and glioblastoma (GBM) tissue samples with biallelic mismatch repair deficiency

(bMMRD)³¹, as well as with shorter OS of patients with diffuse glioma³⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma³⁹⁻⁴⁰ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer⁴¹⁻⁴², treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁴³⁻⁴⁴, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁴⁵⁻⁴⁹, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{45,48-49}. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents $^{19,29-33}$.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

FGFR1

ALTERATION N546K

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_023110

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

1638C>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 29.8%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Alterations that activate FGFR1 may predict sensitivity to selective FGFR inhibitors including erdafitinib⁵⁰⁻⁵², pemigatinib⁵³, infigratinib⁵⁴⁻⁵⁵, rogaratinib⁵⁶, Debio 1347⁵⁷⁻⁵⁸, futibatinib⁵⁹, and derazantinib⁶⁰, or multikinase inhibitors such as pazopanib⁶¹ and ponatinib⁶²⁻⁶⁴. In the context of FGFR1 mutation, clinical responses have been reported in patients with primary brain tumors^{57,59} and lung squamous cell carcinoma⁶⁵ treated with FGFR inhibitors. In a phase 1 study of futibatinib, 2 patients with FGFR1-mutated

primary brain tumors exhibited PRs⁵⁹. A patient with FGFR1-mutated glioblastoma exhibited a PR when treated with infigratinib⁶⁶. For pediatric patients with FGFR1-mutated gliomas, a case series reported 1 sustained PR for a patient with high grade glioma, and a sustained SD and 1 PD for patients with low grade gliomas following treatment with Debio 1347⁵⁷.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the Brain Lower Grade Glioma TCGA dataset and the Glioblastoma Multiforme TCGA dataset. mutation of FGFR1 has been found in less than 1% of cases $^{67-68}$. In pediatric patients, FGFR1 alterations have been identified in 18% of lowgrade gliomas³⁶, including 5/9 pilomyxoid astrocytomas, 8% of high-grade gliomas36, and in 6% (4/64) of thalamic gliomas⁶⁹. FGFR₁ mutation has also been reported in 5% (5/96) of pilocytic astrocytomas⁷⁰. Mutations in the FGFR₁ kinase domain have been reported in both lower-grade gliomas and glioblastomas; one of these mutations has been described as an oncogenic mutation that disrupted autophosphorylation⁷¹⁻⁷³. FGFR fusions were identified in 3/85 IDH1 and IDH2 wild-type gliomas, but were not found in any of 126 IDH1or IDH2-mutant gliomas74. Patients with

FGFR1-altered pilocytic astrocytomas have been associated with poor prognosis⁷⁵⁻⁷⁶, although published data investigating the prognostic implications of FGFR1 alterations independent to co-occurring alterations in gliomas are limited (PubMed, Mar 2022)^{69,77}.

FINDING SUMMARY

FGFR1 encodes the protein fibroblast growth factor receptor 1, which plays key roles in regulation of the cell cycle and angiogenesis and is an upstream regulator of the RAS, MAPK, and AKT signaling pathways⁷⁸. The FGFR1 alteration observed here has been characterized as activating and is predicted to be oncogenic^{72,79-81}.

POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

In pediatric gliomas lacking typical BRAF and NF1 driver alterations, RAS/MAPK hyperactivation arising from non-KIAA1549 fusions with BRAF, non-V600 BRAF mutations, FGFR1/2 fusions, FGFR1 mutations, RAF1 fusions, KRAS mutations, or MYB or MYBL1 rearrangements are typical of the WHO entity diffuse low-grade glioma, MAPK pathway-altered^{73,82-84}.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

H3F3A

ALTERATION

K28M

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_002107

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

83A>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

40.2%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Prospective data from pooled clinical studies and preclinical evidence suggest that H₃F₃A K₂8M mutation predicts benefit from the investigational selective dopamine receptor D₂ (DRD₂) antagonist ONC₂₀₁⁸⁵⁻⁸⁶, which is supported by increased expression of the ONC₂₀₁ target DRD₂ in H₃F₃A K₂8M-mutant versus wild-type gliomas⁸⁶. Among adult patients with recurrent H₃F₃A K₂8M-mutant gliomas, ONC₂₀₁ achieved a DCR of 64% (7/11) and a 6-month PFS rate of 36% (5/14), with 3 patients experiencing complete and durable regressions of thalamic lesions⁸⁷. Data from pooled ONC₂₀₁ monotherapy trials showed that 31% (9/29) of patients with recurrent H₃F₃A K₂8M-mutated glioma remain progression free at

6.5 months median follow-up⁸⁸. Although other H₃F₃A mutations have been reported³⁶, it is unclear whether these therapeutic strategies would be relevant in gliomas with H₃F₃A mutations other than K₂8M.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Recurrent mutations in the histone tail of H₃F₃A, at sites involved in critical post-translational modifications, have been reported at high frequency in pediatric and young adult brain tumors, including diffuse midline gliomas89, diffuse hemispheric glioma⁹⁰, glioblastomas⁹¹⁻⁹³, aggressive pediatric gliomas⁹⁴, pilocytic astrocytomas95, gangliogliomas96, glial and glioneuronal tumors97, as well as in low-grade gliomas undergoing transformation and secondary high-grade gliomas98. These mutations were commonly found concurrently with mutations in TP53 or in ATRX and DAXX, which form a complex required for H_{3.3} recruitment to DNA, and were mutually exclusive with IDH1 mutations, which indirectly affect methylation of critical H_{3.3} residues⁹². H₃F₃A K₂8M (also known as K27M) is a poor prognostic marker in glioma (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2021). H3F3A G35 mutations are associated with disease onset during adolescence, whereas K28 mutations affect younger children and predict poorer IOS93,99. H₃F₃A K₂8M mutation has also been identified in 58% of adult midline gliomas, and is associated

with shorter OS for patients with brainstem gliomas but not for patients with thalamic gliomas lost not for patients with thalamic gliomas lost Mutations of H3F3A or H3F3B, the other gene encoding histone H3.3, have also been detected in giant cell tumor of bone and chondroblastoma, with low mutation frequencies in other tumors of cartilage and bone lost H3F3B K37M (commonly known as K36M) has been identified in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, specifically in tumors of the oral cavity lost. Overexpression of H3F3A is associated with poor survival in lung adenocarcinomas, and is thought to promote cancer cell invasion lost.

FINDING SUMMARY

H₃F₃A encodes the histone 3 variant H₃.3. Histones form part of the nucleosome complex around which DNA is coiled in the cell. H₃F₃A mutations affecting different hotspot residues, such as G₃5 (commonly referred to as G₃4 in the literature) and K₂8 (commonly known as K₂7), form different subgroups based on methylation and gene expression differences, the region of the brain affected, and clinical parameters⁹⁹.

POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

H₃F₃A K₂TM mutation is characteristic of diffuse midline glioma, H₃ K₂TM-altered (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2021)^{82,106}.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

ATRX

ALTERATION H1363fs*12

H1363fS^12

TRANSCRIPT ID

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT 4089delT

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 43.6%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

No targeted therapies are available to directly address ATRX inactivation. Based on preclinical 107-108 and limited clinical data 109, ATRX alterations may confer sensitivity to combination strategies involving WEE1 inhibition. In a Phase 2 study evaluating the WEE1 inhibitor adavosertib plus irinotecan for the treatment of pediatric patients with neuroblastoma, prolonged SD was reported for 44% (4/9) of patients with ATRX-deficient tumors and responses were seen in two tumors that had evidence of ALT¹⁰⁹. Preclinical evidence also suggests that ATRX deficiency may impart sensitivity to synthetic lethal approaches

involving PARP inhibition and irinotecan¹¹⁰, combined PARP and ATR inhibition¹⁰⁸, or double-strand break-induction with agents such as doxorubicin, irinotecan, and topotecan¹¹¹; however, these approaches have not been demonstrated clinically.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Somatic mutation of ATRX has been reported in a number of solid tumor types, often associated with ALT112. ATRX mutation correlating with ALT has been reported in 10-20% of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs)112-114, 12.6% of pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas 115, and 48% of adolescent and young adult (AYA) patients with glioblastoma (GBM) or neuroblastoma 116-120. ATRX loss in PNET113,121 and melanoma122 and mutation in other neuroendocrine tumors¹¹⁵ is associated with poor prognosis. Pediatric patients with high-grade glioma and ATRX mutation were shown to have more aggressive disease but are more responsive to treatment with double-strand break therapy¹¹¹. ATRX mutation or loss of expression is more frequent in Grade 2/3 astrocytoma and secondary GBM than primary GBM, oligodendroglioma, and oligoastrocytoma123-126 and has been proposed as a distinguishing biomarker¹²⁴⁻¹²⁶. ATRX mutation has not been detected in concurrence with MYCN

amplification in glioma and neuroblastoma \$^{17-120}\$. Low-grade gliomas with both IDH1/2 mutation and ATRX mutation are associated with worse prognosis than those with IDH1/2 mutation but no ATRX mutation 124 . Loss of ATRX protein expression has been reported in 33-39% of incidences of leiomyosarcoma (LMS) associating with ALT, a poor prognostic factor across all LMS subtypes, and with poor prognosis in extrauterine LMS but not in uterine LMS $^{127-128}$.

FINDING SUMMARY

ATRX encodes a SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling protein implicated in histone variant H_{3·3} deposition, transcriptional regulation, and telomere maintenance¹²⁹⁻¹³⁰. ATRX inactivation or loss of expression is associated with alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT)^{112,128,131-132}. Alterations that disrupt the ADD domain (aa 167-270) or helicase domain (aa 2010-2280) of ATRX are predicted to result in loss of ATRX function is not sufficient to induce ALT, which requires other undetermined factors^{129,136}. Germline mutations in ATRX give rise to alpha-thalassemia X-linked intellectual disability syndrome (ATR-X syndrome)¹³⁷.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

ERBB4

ALTERATION

E542K

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_005235

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

1624G>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

50.6%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

The ERBB family kinase inhibitors afatinib and lapatinib, the EGFR inhibitors erlotinib and gefitinib, and the Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor ibrutinib have been shown to inhibit ERBB4 at clinically achievable concentrations ¹³⁸⁻¹⁴³. However, whether these inhibitors would be clinically effective for patients with ERBB4 mutation is unclear. Retrospective analyses of the Phase 3 LUX-Lung 8 trial reported that patients with squamous non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring mutations in ERBB family members exhibited long-term benefit following afatinib treatment compared with patients with

ERBB-wildtype tumors; there was no difference for patients treated with erlotinib¹⁴⁴⁻¹⁴⁵. A meta-analysis showed that patients with ERBB4-mutated NSCLC treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors exhibited longer PFS and OS compared with patients who had ERBB4-wildtype tumors (p=0.036 and p=0.0378)¹⁴⁶.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

ERBB4 mutations have been identified in various solid tumors, including stomach (13%), salivary gland (11%, 2/18), esophageal (9%), lung (8-9%), endometrioid (6%), colorectal (5%), head and neck (5%), and gallbladder carcinomas (3.9%, 2/51), and melanoma (1.8%)¹⁴⁶⁻¹⁵¹. In hematological malignancies, ERBB4 mutations are rare, and have been reported at low frequency in diffuse large Bcell lymphoma (DLBCL)(2.2%-5.7%), chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)(0.6-1.1%), and multiple myeloma (0.5%)¹⁵²⁻¹⁵⁸. ERBB4 amplification has been predominantly detected in gastric tumors (67%)¹⁵⁹. ERBB4 fusions have been identified infrequently in solid tumors and peripheral T-cell lymphoma, although evidence for ERBB4 fusions as driver alterations is generally limited160-163. Expression of N-terminally truncated oncogenic ERBB4 variants has been reported in ALK fusion-negative anaplastic large

cell lymphomas¹⁶⁴. ERBB4 mutation correlates with poorer survival for patients with colorectal cancer (CRC)¹⁶⁵. Increased ERBB4 expression has been associated with worse clinical outcomes for patients with CRC¹⁶⁶⁻¹⁶⁷, bone sarcoma¹⁶⁸, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)¹⁶⁹, oral SCC¹⁷⁰, metastatic Ewing sarcoma¹⁷¹, gastric cancer¹⁷², osteosarcoma¹⁷³, or triple-negative breast cancer¹⁷⁴. In contrast, high ERBB4 expression has been described as a positive prognostic factor in breast cancer¹⁷⁵⁻¹⁷⁷, ovarian cancer¹⁷⁸, cervical carcinomas¹⁷⁹, hormone-sensitive and castrateresistant prostate cancer¹⁸⁰, and EGFR-negative intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma¹⁸¹.

FINDING SUMMARY

ERBB4 (also known as HER4) encodes a member of the ErbB receptor tyrosine kinase family that plays a role in cell proliferation and apoptosis¹⁸². Activating alterations are predicted to be oncogenic, and gain-of-function mutations have been identified throughout the gene^{147,182-184}. The variants N181S, V348L, P854Q, and T926M have demonstrated similar activity as wildtype ERBB4 in limited preclinical studies^{183,185}. A single-nucleotide polymorphism in ERBB4 has been associated with increased risk of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in the Chinese Han population¹⁸⁶.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE MCL1

ALTERATION

amplification - equivocal

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no approved therapies to target MCL1 amplification, but MCL1 inhibitors including AMG 176, AMG 397, AZD5991, and S64315 (MIK665) are in early clinical development¹⁸⁷⁻¹⁹⁰. Limited preclinical data suggest that MCL1 expression alone may not be predictive of sensitivity to MCL1 inhibitors, but BH3 profiling may be a better predictor of MCL1 dependence^{188,190-192}. Clinical and preclinical data indicate that increased MCL1 expression may be associated with resistance to BCL2-targeted agents such as venetoclax, navitoclax, or ABT-737¹⁹³⁻²⁰⁰. In one study, amplification of the genomic locus containing MCL1 was acquired upon disease progression in patients with multiple myeloma treated with venetoclax²⁰¹. Combined inhibition of MCL1 and BCL2 may be more effective^{188-190,202-203}. Indirect approaches using therapeutic agents that reduce MCL1

expression are also being investigated²⁰⁴. Preclinical studies demonstrate that investigational cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors targeting CDK9, such as dinaciclib, alvocidib, and voruciclib, suppress gene transcription, reduce MCL1 expression levels, and synergize with BCL2 inhibitors to induce apoptosis²⁰⁵⁻²¹². Preclinical studies in multiple types of cancer cells have also shown that the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib indirectly downregulates MCL1 and cooperates with BCL2-targeting agents²¹³⁻²¹⁶, and a heavily pretreated patient with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) and MCL1 gene amplification responded to sorafenib in combination with several other therapies²¹⁷. Preclinical studies of patient-derived tumor cells suggest that increased MCL1 levels may confer resistance to antitubulin therapies such as paclitaxel²¹⁸, and MCL1 amplification was reported to be more frequent in patients with TNBC and primary resistance to neoadjuvant $chemotherapy ^{219}.\\$

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MCL1 amplification has been reported at the highest incidence in lung adenocarcinoma $(16\%)^{220}$, breast invasive carcinoma $(15\%)^{221}$, hepatocellular carcinoma (15%), and bladder urothelial carcinoma $(13\%)^{222}$ and at lower

frequencies in other solid tumor types (cBioPortal, 2022)²²³⁻²²⁴. MCL1 mutations have been reported in fewer than 1% of solid and hematologic cancers (COSMIC, 2022)²²⁵. In patients with NSCLC, MCL1 amplification was significantly associated with shorter overall survival (hazard ratio 1.39)²²⁶; high MCL1 protein expression alone was not prognostic in NSCLC²²⁷⁻²²⁹, whereas overexpression of both MCL1 and MYC was linked with poor survival²³⁰. High MCL1 expression has also been associated with poor prognosis in ovarian²³¹⁻²³² and colorectal²³³ cancers. The prognostic significance of MCL1 expression in breast cancer is not clear²³⁴⁻²³⁵.

FINDING SUMMARY

MCL1 (myeloid cell leukemia 1) encodes a member of the BCL2 family that regulates apoptosis²³⁶. Focal amplification of MCL1 has been reported in lung, breast, and other cancer types, and the survival of cells with MCL1 amplification is dependent on MCL1 expression²³⁷. In non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), MCL1 amplification was significantly associated with increased MCL1 mRNA expression²²⁶. Although several MCL1 phosphorylation site mutations have been characterized²³⁸, cancer-associated MCL1 mutations have not been reported (PubMed, Jan 2022).



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

PTPN11

ALTERATION

T507K

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_002834

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

1520C>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

23.2%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

SHP-2 has been reported to activate the RAS-MEK-ERK, PI₃K-AKT-mTOR, and SRC kinase pathways²³⁹⁻²⁴². Based on a case study of a patient with histiocytic sarcoma harboring an activating PTPN11 mutation who experienced a PR to trametinib²⁴³, as well as preclinical data²⁴⁴⁻²⁴⁶, PTPN11 activation may predict sensitivity to MEK

inhibitors in histiocytic neoplasms.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the Brain Lower Grade Glioma and Glioblastoma Multiforme TCGA datasets PTPN11 mutations have been reported in fewer than 1% of cases (cBioPortal, Jan 2022)²²³⁻²²⁴. PTPN11 mutations in glioma subtypes have also been reported in the scientific literature, and recurrent activating PTPN11 mutations have been detected in pilocytic astrocytomas^{70,247-248}. PTPN11 mutations in glioblastoma have been associated with young patient age²⁴⁹, but their prognostic significance in gliomas in general have not been extensively studied (PubMed, Aug 2021). While both oncogenic and tumor suppressor roles for PTPN11 has been described, its role in glioblastoma tumorigenesis is likely to be oncogenic^{70,247,250-251}.

FINDING SUMMARY

PTPN11 encodes the protein tyrosine-protein phosphatase non-receptor type 11, also known as

SHP-2. PTPN11 plays a critical role in both embryonic development and cancer²⁵². PTPN11 is also known to be somatically mutated in a variety of cancers, where both oncogenic and tumor suppressor roles for PTPN11 have been described^{247,250-251}. The N-terminal SRC homology 2 (SH2) domain (aa 6-102) negatively regulates SHP-2 activity by binding to the active site of the SHP-2 protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) domain (aa 247-521)²⁵³. Alterations that disrupt this interaction or affect the specificity and structure of the SH2 and PTP domains, such as seen here, have been characterized as activating^{241,250,254-266} and are predicted to be oncogenic^{241,250,255-258,267-270}.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline mutations in PTPN11 have been found in the developmental disorder Noonan syndrome, which predisposes individuals to various cancers, including embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma, neuroblastoma, and juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia^{256,271-275}.

GENE

TET2

ALTERATION

E1151*

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_017628

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

3451G>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

53.6%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Targeted Therapies —

There are no targeted therapies available to address genomic alterations in TET2 in solid tumors.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TET2 alterations have been reported at relatively low frequencies in solid tumors and are more prevalent in hematological malignancies (cBioPortal, Jan 2022)²²³⁻²²⁴. Published data investigating the prognostic implications of TET2 alterations in solid tumors are limited (PubMed, Jan 2022).

FINDING SUMMARY

TET2 encodes a tumor suppressor involved in reversing DNA methylation marks, a process critical for proper gene regulation²⁷⁶⁻²⁷⁷. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt TET2 function or expression²⁷⁸⁻²⁸².

POTENTIAL CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS IMPLICATIONS

Variants seen in this gene have been reported to

occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion²⁸³⁻²⁸⁸. CH in this gene has been associated with increased mortality, risk of coronary heart disease, risk of ischemic stroke, and risk of secondary hematologic malignancy²⁸³⁻²⁸⁴. Clinical management of patients with CH in this gene may include monitoring for hematologic changes and reduction of controllable risk factors for cardiovascular disease²⁸⁹. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH^{287,290-291}. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH.



TUMOR TYPE
Brain anaplastic astrocytoma

REPORT DATE 19 Apr 2022



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1341410-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Infigratinib

Assay findings association

FGFR1 N546K

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Infigratinib is a TKI that inhibits FGFR1, FGFR2, and FGFR3. It is FDA approved for the treatment of patients with unresectable locally advanced or metastatic cholangiocarcinoma who have FGFR2 rearrangements or fusions and have progressed after prior therapy. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Based on individual responses in patients with FGFR1-mutated glioblastoma⁶⁶ and lung squamous cell carcinoma⁶⁵, FGFR1 mutation may predict sensitivity to

infigratinib.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 2 study of infigratinib for patients with recurrent high-grade gliomas harboring FGFR alterations, reported a 9.5% (2/21) ORR, 1.7 month median PFS, and 6.7 month median OS 66 . Disease control greater than one year was observed in 4 patients, including a PR in a patient with FGFR1-mutated glioma, and SD in patients with glioma harboring FGFR1 mutation, FGFR3 mutation, or FGFR3-TACC3 fusion 66 .

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.



PATIENT Huang, Hsuan-Wen

TUMOR TYPE
Brain anaplastic astrocytoma

REPORT DATE 19 Apr 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1341410-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial \Rightarrow Geographical proximity \Rightarrow Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-testing#support-services.

FGFR1

RATIONALE

FGFR inhibitors may be relevant in tumors with

alterations that activate FGFR1.

ALTERATION N546K

NCTO4169672

Study of Surufatinib Combined With Toripalimab in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
FGFR1, CSF1R, VEGFRS, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China), Beijing (China)

NCTO4977453

GI-101 as a Single Agent or in Combination With Pembrolizumab, Lenvatinib or Local Radiotherapy in Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
FGFRS, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRS, KIT, PD-1, CTLA-4

LOCATIONS: Suwon-si (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of)

NCTO4803318

Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
mTOR, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRS, KIT, MEK

LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)

NCTO4962867

NCCH2006/MK010 Trial (FORTUNE Trial)

TARGETS
FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3

LOCATIONS: Higashi-Ku, Fukuoka (Japan), Sakyo-ku, Kyoto (Japan), Chuo-ku, Tokyo (Japan), Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi (Japan), Kita-Ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido (Japan)

NCT03564691

Study of MK-4830 as Monotherapy and in Combination With Pembrolizumab (MK-3475) in Participants With Advanced Solid Tumors (MK-4830-001)

TARGETS
ITL4, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRs, KIT, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Petah Tikva (Israel), Ramat Gan (Israel), Tel Aviv (Israel), Haifa (Israel), Warszawa (Poland), Gdansk (Poland), Heraklion (Greece), Washington, Hospitalet de Llobregat (Spain)



CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT03547037	PHASE 1	
A Study to Evaluate the Safety, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics, and Immunogenicity of JNJ-63723283, an Anti-Programmed Cell Death (PD)-1 Monoclonal Antibody, as Monotherapy or in Combination With Erdafitinib in Japanese Participants With Advanced Solid Cancers	TARGETS PD-1, FGFRs	
LOCATIONS: Chuo-Ku (Japan), Kashiwa (Japan)		
NCT04008797	PHASE 1	
A Study of E7386 in Combination With Other Anticancer Drug in Participants With Solid Tumor	TARGETS CBP, Beta-catenin, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRs, KIT	
LOCATIONS: Osakasayama (Japan), Chuo-Ku (Japan), Kashiwa (Japan)		
NCT04424966	PHASE NULL	
Infigratinib in Recurrent Glioblastoma Patients	TARGETS FGFR3, FGFR1, FGFR2	
LOCATIONS: Arizona		
NCT04565275	PHASE 1/2	
A Study of ICP-192 in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS FGFR2, FGFR1, FGFR3, FGFR4	
LOCATIONS: Macquarie Park (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), Clayton (Australia), Frankston (Aust	ralia), Colorado, Minnesota, Arizona, Ohio, Florida	
NCT02549937	PHASE 1/2	
A Multi-Center, Open-Label Study of Sulfatinib(HMPL-012) in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS FGFR1, CSF1R, VEGFRs	
LOCATIONS: Milano (Italy), California, Colorado, Texas, New York, Tennessee, Virginia, Florida		



PATIENT Huang, Hsuan-Wen

TUMOR TYPE Brain anaplastic astrocytoma REPORT DATE 19 Apr 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1341410-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

GENE

H3F3A

RATIONALE

On the basis of clinical and preclinical data, H₃F₃A K₂8M mutation may predict response to antagonists of dopamine receptors D2.

ALTERATION K28M

NCT03295396	PHASE 2
ONC201 in Adults With Recurrent H3 K27M-mutant Glioma	TARGETS DRD2, CLLP, DRD3
LOCATIONS: California, Minnesota, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas, North Carolina	



PATIENT Huang, Hsuan-Wen

TUMOR TYPE
Brain anaplastic astrocytoma

REPORT DATE 19 Apr 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1341410-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

 FGFR3
 MLH1
 MTOR
 NOTCH3

 D580N
 R217C
 H1744Y
 E739K

 NTRK1
 PDGFRA
 SPEN

 R220Q
 L112F
 T796S



APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

AND COPT NOM	BER ALIERATION	13						
ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTK	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL		KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)		MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12		MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A		MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)		MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOCS1
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1		XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703	02/11/	720//	****	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<i>7</i> 0 1
7.II.C.C.Z	2.11.2.7	2111703						
DNA GENE LIST:	FOR THE DETEC	TION OF SELECT	REARRANGEME	NTS				
ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV1
ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT
KMT2A (MLL)	MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA
RAF1	RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**
TMDDCC2								

TMPRSS2
*TERC is an NCRNA

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

^{**}Promoter region of TERT is interrogated

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/ficdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1341410-01

- Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- 5. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine

whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an ERBB2 amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of ERBB2 copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/ disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
Repeatability	6.29 - 10.00
Reproducibility	7.33 - 11.71

^{*}Interquartile Range = 1^{st} Quartile to 3^{rd} Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 6.1.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 759x

APPENDIX

References

- Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- 2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 6. Alonso M, et al. Cancer Res. (2001) pmid: 11280776
- 7. Rodríguez-Hernández I, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 24073290
- Vladimirova V, et al. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. (2008) pmid: 18053027
- 9. Martinez R, et al. Oncology (2004) pmid: 15331927
- Martinez R, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. (2005) pmid: 15672285
- 11. Martinez R, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (2007) pmid: 17498554
- 12. Szybka M, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 12908754
- Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 14. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 16. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 17. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 18. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 19. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- 20. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- 21. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 22. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 23. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- **24.** Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 25. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 26. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 27. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721
- 28. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 29. Zhao J, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 30742119
- **30.** Touat M, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32322066
- 31. Bouffet E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 2700157032. Johanns TM, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid:

27683556

- 33. Lukas RV, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2018) pmid: 30073642
- **34.** Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 28420421
- Patel RR, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer (2020) pmid: 32386112
- **36.** Johnson A, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28912153
- 37. Draaisma K, et al. Acta Neuropathol Commun (2015) pmid: 26699864
- 38. Wang L, et al. BMC Cancer (2020) pmid: 32164609
- **39.** Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- 40. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- **41.** Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
 Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- **44.** Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- 45. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- **46.** Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- 47. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- **48.** Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696

- **49.** Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- 50. Loriot Y, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2019) pmid: 31340094
- Tabernero J, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 26324363
 Karkera JD, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid:
- 53. Necchi et al., 2018; ESMO Abstract 900P
- 54. Pal SK, et al. Cancer Discov (2018) pmid: 29848605
- 55. Pal SK, et al. Cancer (2020) pmid: 32208524
- 56. Schuler M, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31405822
- **57.** Farouk Sait S, et al. JCO Precis Oncol (2021) pmid: 34250399
- 58. Voss MH, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 30745300
- 59. Bahleda R, et al. Ann Oncol (2020) pmid: 32622884
- **60.** Papadopoulos KP, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2017) pmid: 28972963
- Cheng FT, et al. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2017) pmid: 29223982
- **62.** Khodadoust MS, et al. Leukemia (2016) pmid: 26055304
- **63.** Tanasi I, et al. Blood (2019) pmid: 31434701
- 64. Strati P. et al. Leuk. Lymphoma (2018) pmid: 29119847
- 65. Slosberg ED, et al. Oncotarget (2018) pmid: 29765547
- 66. Lassman et al., 2019; SNO Abstract ACTR-33
- **67.** Brennan CW. et al. Cell (2013) pmid: 24120142
- 68. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26061751
- 69. Ryall S, et al. Acta Neuropathol Commun (2016) pmid: 27577993
- 70. Jones DT, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 23817572
- 71. Rand V, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2005) pmid:
- 72. Lew ED, et al. Sci Signal (2009) pmid: 19224897
- **73.** Zhang J, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 23583981
- **74.** Di Stefano AL, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 25609060
- 75. Becker AP, et al. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. (2015) pmid: 26083571
 76. Ahrendsen JT, et al. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol (2021)
- 76. Ahrendsen JT, et al. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol (2021) pmid: 34580728
 77. Schüller U. et al. Acta Neuropathol (2021) pmid:
- 33433639 78. Turner N, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2010) pmid:
- 20094046
- 79. Liu A, et al. Development (2003) pmid: 14602678
- 80. Petiot A, et al. Dev. Dyn. (2002) pmid: 1211247381. Hart KC, et al. Oncogene (2000) pmid: 10918587
- 82. Pfister SM, et al. Cancer Discov (2022) pmid: 34921008
- 83. Ryall S, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32289278
- 84. Qaddoumi I, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2016) pmid: 26810070
- Arrillaga-Romany I, et al. Oncotarget (2017) pmid: 29108308
- Prabhu VV, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 30559168
- 87. Chi et al., 2018; SNO abstract ACTR-34
- Arrillaga et al., 2019; ASCO abstract 3005
 Solomon DA, et al. Brain Pathol. (2016) pmid: 26517431
- 90. Fontebasso AM, et al. Acta Neuropathol (2013) pmid: 23417712
- 91. Pandit et al., 2016; ISPNO Abstract HG-58
- **92.** Schwartzentruber J, et al. Nature (2012) pmid: 22286061
- 93. Venneti S, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2014) pmid: 25200322
- 94. Wu G, et al. Nat. Genet. (2012) pmid: 22286216
- 95. Hochart A, et al. Ann Clin Transl Neurol (2015) pmid:

- 25909089
- Joyon N, et al. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. (2017) pmid: 27219822
- 97. Nguyen AT, et al. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. (2015) pmid: 25389051
- 98. Mistry M, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25667294
- 99. Sturm D, et al. Cancer Cell (2012) pmid: 23079654
- 100. Feng J. et al. Hum. Pathol. (2015) pmid: 26297251
- 101. Behjati S, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 24162739
- 102. Kervarrec T, et al. Mod Pathol (2017) pmid: 28059095
- 103. Righi A, et al. Hum Pathol (2017) pmid: 28899740
- **104.** Papillon-Cavanagh S, et al. Nat. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28067913
- 105. Park SM, et al. Nat Commun (2016) pmid: 27694942
- 106. Louis DN, et al. Neuro Oncol (2021) pmid: 34185076
- 107. Liang J, et al. Cancer Res (2020) pmid: 31551363
- 108. Garbarino J. et al. Transl Oncol (2021) pmid: 34118569
- 109. Cole et al., 2021; AACR Abstract CT059
- 110. George SL, et al. EBioMedicine (2020) pmid: 32846370
- 111. Koschmann C, et al. Sci Transl Med (2016) pmid: 26936505
- 112. Heaphy CM, et al. Science (2011) pmid: 21719641
- 113. Singhi et al., 2015: USCAP Abstract 1797
- 114. Jiao Y, et al. Science (2011) pmid: 21252315
- 115. Fishbein L, et al. Nat Commun (2015) pmid: 25608029
- 115. Fishbein L, et al. Nat Commun (2015) pmid:
- 116. Morosini et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 11008117. Cheung NK, et al. JAMA (2012) pmid: 22416102
- 118. Molenaar JJ, et al. Nature (2012) pmid: 22367537
- 119. Pugh TJ, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 23334666
- **120.** Cheung NK, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23702928
- 121. Marinoni I, et al. Gastroenterology (2014) pmid: 24148618
- 122. Qadeer ZA, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2014) pmid: 24468746
- **123.** Kannan K, et al. Oncotarget (2012) pmid: 23104868
- 124. Haberler C, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 24559763 125. Reuss DE, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2015) pmid:
- 25427834 126. Sahm F, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2014) pmid: 25143301
- 126. Sahm F, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (20)
- 127. Singhi et al., 2015; USCAP Abstract 93128. Liau JY, et al. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. (2015) pmid:
- 25229770 129. Clynes D, et al. Trends Biochem. Sci. (2013) pmid: 23916100
- 130. Ratnakumar K, et al. Epigenetics (2013) pmid:
- 131. Lovejoy CA, et al. PLoS Genet. (2012) pmid: 22829774
- 132. Bower K, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 23185534
- Nan X, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2007) pmid: 17296936
- 134. Garrick D, et al. Gene (2004) pmid: 14729260
- 135. Eustermann S, et al. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. (2011) pmid: 21666677
- 136. Flynn RL, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25593184
- 137. Gibbons RJ, et al. Cell (1995) pmid: 7697714
- **138.** Solca F, et al. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. (2012) pmid: 22888144
- 139. Nam HJ, et al. Cancer Lett. (2011) pmid: 21306821140. Davis MI, et al. Nat. Biotechnol. (2011) pmid: 22037378
- 141. Qiu C, et al. Structure (2008) pmid: 18334220142. Karaman MW, et al. Nat. Biotechnol. (2008) pmid:
- 18183025
- 143. Rauf F, et al. Oncogene (2018) pmid: 29398709144. Goss GD, et al. JAMA Oncol (2018) pmid: 29902295
- 145. Goss GD, et al. EClinicalMedicine (2021) pmid:

APPENDIX

References

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1341410-01

- 146. Hu X, et al. Mol Med (2021) pmid: 34620079
- 147. Prickett TD, et al. Nat. Genet. (2009) pmid: 19718025
- 148. Kang H, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2017) pmid: 27340278
- 149. Milewska M, et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol (2018) pmid: 29383036
- 150. Li M, et al. Nat. Genet. (2014) pmid: 24997986
- 151. Dutton-Regester K, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) pmid: 22383533
- 152. Chapuy B, et al. Nat. Med. (2018) pmid: 29713087
- 153. Lohr JG, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2012) pmid:
- 154. Morin RD, et al. Blood (2013) pmid: 23699601
- 155. Puente XS, et al. Nature (2015) pmid: 26200345
- 156. Quesada V, et al. Nat. Genet. (2011) pmid: 22158541
- 157. Landau DA, et al. Nature (2015) pmid: 26466571 158. Lohr JG, et al. Cancer Cell (2014) pmid: 24434212
- 159. Shi J, et al. Int J Mol Sci (2012) pmid: 22606006 160. Guenzi E, et al. ERJ Open Res (2021) pmid: 33816604
- Nakaoku T. et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid: 161. 24727320
- 162. Guo T, et al. Int J Cancer (2016) pmid: 26949921
- 163. Boddicker RL, et al. Blood (2016) pmid: 27297792
- 164. Scarfò I, et al. Blood (2016) pmid: 26463425
- Williams CS, et al. Carcinogenesis (2015) pmid: 25916654
- Baiocchi G, et al. Int J Colorectal Dis (2009) pmid: 166. 19390858
- Ljuslinder I, et al. Anticancer Res. (2009) pmid: 19443355 167.
- 168. Merimsky O. et al. Oncol. Rep. () pmid: 12883746
- 169. Yu W, et al. Pathol. Res. Pract. (2018) pmid: 29729836
- Silva SD, et al. Clin. Exp. Metastasis (2014) pmid: 24338375
- Mendoza-Naranjo A, et al. EMBO Mol Med (2013) pmid: 23681745
- 172. Xu J. et al. Oncol Rep (2018) pmid: 29620274
- Huang Z, et al. Scand J Clin Lab Invest (2019) pmid: 31663373
- 174. Kim et al. 2016: 26907936
- 175. Witton CJ, et al. J. Pathol. (2003) pmid: 12845624
- 176. Fuchs IB, et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 17201160
- 177. Wang J, et al. Oncotarget (2016) pmid: 27736797
- 178. Gilmour LM, et al. Cancer Res. (2001) pmid: 11280782
- 179. Lee CM, et al. Gynecol. Oncol. (2005) pmid: 16157365
- 180. Edwards J. et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2006) pmid: 16397033
- 181. Yang X, et al. Oncol. Rep. (2014) pmid: 24927194
- 182. Rudloff U. et al. Cell Cycle (2010) pmid: 20404484
- 183. Kurppa KJ, et al. Oncogene (2016) pmid: 26050618
- 184. Nakamura Y, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2016) pmid: 27207775
- Tvorogov D, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2009) pmid: 19098003 185.
- Wang WP, et al. Medicine (Baltimore) (2021) pmid: 186. 34106605
- 187. Hird AW, et al. Pharmacol. Ther. (2019) pmid: 30790641
- Caenepeel S, et al. Cancer Discov (2018) pmid: 188. 30254093
- Tron AE, et al. Nat Commun (2018) pmid: 30559424
- 190. Ramsey HE, et al. Cancer Discov (2018) pmid: 30185627
- 191. Kotschy A, et al. Nature (2016) pmid: 27760111
- 192. Koch R, et al. Blood (2019) pmid: 30498064
- 193. Bose P, et al. Leuk. Lymphoma (2017) pmid: 28140720

- 194. Stabell B, et al. Scand J Psychol (1990) pmid: 2218437
- 195. Konopleva M, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid:
- 196. Kumar S, et al. Blood (2017) pmid: 29018077
- 197. Lin KH, et al. Sci Rep (2016) pmid: 27283158
- 198. Suryani S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid: 25013123
- 199. van Delft MF, et al. Cancer Cell (2006) pmid: 17097561
- 200. Konopleva M, et al. Cancer Cell (2006) pmid: 17097560
- 201. Neri et al., 2019; ASH Abstract 572
- 202. Moujalled DM, et al. Leukemia (2019) pmid: 30214012
- 203. Leverson JD, et al. Cell Death Dis (2015) pmid: 25590800
- **204.** Xiang W, et al. Onco Targets Ther (2018) pmid: 30425521
- 205. Chen Y, et al. Br. J. Haematol. (2016) pmid: 27469405
- 206. Jane EP, et al. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. (2016) pmid: 26585571
- 207. Li L, et al. Leukemia (2015) pmid: 25882699
- 208. Booher RN, et al. PLoS ONE (2014) pmid: 25289887
- 209. Zhou L, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2018) pmid: 29241222
- 210. Bogenberger J, et al. Oncotarget (2017) pmid: 29291023
- 211. Chen S, et al. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22693249
- 212. Dev J. et al. Sci Rep (2017) pmid: 29269870
- 213. Arai S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 30021909
- 214. Kiprianova I, et al. Neoplasia (2015) pmid: 26297434
- 215. Ricci MS, et al. Cancer Cell (2007) pmid: 17613437
- 216. Meng XW, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2007) pmid: 17698840
- 217. Ali SM, et al. Case Rep Oncol () pmid: 27293397
- 218. Wertz IE, et al. Nature (2011) pmid: 21368834
- 219. Balko JM, et al. Cancer Discov (2014) pmid: 24356096
- 220. Nature (2014) pmid: 25079552
- 221. Nature (2012) pmid: 23000897
- 222. Nature (2014) pmid: 24476821
- 223. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588877
- 224. Gao J, et al. Sci Signal (2013) pmid: 23550210
- 225. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878
- 226. Yin J. et al. Cancer Med (2016) pmid: 27264345
- 227. Schmidt LH, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2014) pmid: 25036876
- 228. Borner MM, et al. Br. J. Cancer (1999) pmid: 10070896
- 229. Wesarg E, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2007) pmid: 17688235
- 230. Allen TD, et al. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21406400
- 231. Shigemasa K, et al. Jpn. J. Cancer Res. (2002) pmid:
- 232. Baekelandt M, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2000) pmid: 11078490
- 233. Lee WS, et al. Am J Cancer Res (2015) pmid: 25628923
- 234. Ding Q, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid: 17495324
- 235. O'Driscoll L, et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 15152946
- Quinn BA, et al. Expert Opin Investig Drugs (2011) pmid: 21851287
- 237. Beroukhim R, et al. Nature (2010) pmid: 20164920
- 238. Thomas LW, et al. FEBS Lett. (2010) pmid: 20540941
- 239. Liu KW, et al. J. Clin. Invest. (2011) pmid: 21393858
- **240.** Feng H, et al. Oncogene (2012) pmid: 21996738
- 241. Wang S, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2009) pmid: 19008228 242. Zhou XD, et al. Cell Death Differ. (2008) pmid: 18421299
- 243. Voruz S, et al. Haematologica (2018) pmid: 29097496
- 244. Tasian SK, et al. Leukemia (2019) pmid: 29884903
- 245. Krenz M. et al. Circ. Res. (2005) pmid: 16166557
- 246. Nakamura T, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009)

- pmid: 19706403
- 247. Sturla LM. et al. Br. J. Cancer (2011) pmid: 21934682
- Schuettpelz LG, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer (2009) pmid: 19621452
- 249. Ferguson SD, et al. Oncotarget (2016) pmid: 27579614
- 250. Tartaglia M, et al. Nat. Genet. (2003) pmid: 12717436
- 251. Bard-Chapeau EA, et al. Cancer Cell (2011) pmid: 21575863
- Grossmann KS, et al. Adv. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20399956
- 253. Sarkisian KA, et al. Vopr. Virusol. () pmid: 9791886
- 254. Chan RJ, et al. Blood (2007) pmid: 17053061
- 255. Chan RJ, et al. Blood (2005) pmid: 15644411 Tartaglia M, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2006) pmid: 16358218
- Niihori T, et al. J. Hum. Genet. (2005) pmid: 15834506 257.
- 258. Bentires-Alj M, et al. Cancer Res. (2004) pmid:
- O'Reilly AM, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2000) pmid: 10594032
- 260. Eminaga S, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2008) pmid: 18378677
- 261. Martinelli S, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2012) pmid: 22711529
- Edwards JJ, et al. Am. J. Med. Genet. A (2014) pmid: 24891296
- 263. Yu ZH, et al. Biochemistry (2014) pmid: 24935154
- Martinelli S, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2008) pmid: 18372317
- LaRochelle JR, et al. Biochemistry (2016) pmid: 27030275
- LaRochelle JR, et al. Nat Commun (2018) pmid: 266.
- 30375388 267. Mohi MG, et al. Cancer Cell (2005) pmid: 15710330
- 268. Schubbert S, et al. Blood (2005) pmid: 15761018
- 269. Chan G, et al. Blood (2009) pmid: 19179468
- Xu D, et al. Blood (2010) pmid: 20651068
- Brasil AS, et al. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers (2010) pmid: 20578946
- 272. Horm. Res. (2009) pmid: 20029231
- Chen Y, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2006)
- Pierpont El, et al. Genes Brain Behav. (2009) pmid: 274.
- 19077116 Mathur D, et al. Fetal Pediatr Pathol (2014) pmid:
- 24754368
- Ito S, et al. Nature (2010) pmid: 20639862
- 277. Guo JU, et al. Cell (2011) pmid: 21496894 278. Iyer LM, et al. Cell Cycle (2009) pmid: 19411852
- Ko M, et al. Nature (2010) pmid: 21057493
- 280. Yang H, et al. Oncogene (2013) pmid: 22391558
- 281. Hu L, et al. Cell (2013) pmid: 24315485
- 282. Wang Y, et al. Mol. Cell (2015) pmid: 25601757
- 283. Jaiswal S, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426837 284. Genovese G, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426838
- 285. Xie M, et al. Nat. Med. (2014) pmid: 25326804
- 286. Acuna-Hidalgo R, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2017) pmid:
- 287. Severson EA, et al. Blood (2018) pmid: 29678827
- 288. Fuster JJ. et al. Circ. Res. (2018) pmid: 29420212 Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program (2018) pmid: 30504320
- 290. Chabon JJ, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32269342 291. Razavi P, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 31768066

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

© 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.