

PATIENT Chin, Hsiao-Hui TUMOR TYPE
Prostate acinar adenocarcinoma
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE

14 Mar 2022

ORDERED TEST #

ORD-1316132-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Prostate acinar adenocarcinoma
NAME Chin, Hsiao-Hui
DATE OF BIRTH 17 April 1951
SEX Male
MEDICAL RECORD # 28724121

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen
MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN SITE Prostate

SPECIMEN ID 5111-07587E (PF22028)

SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck

DATE OF COLLECTION 23 February 2022

SPECIMEN RECEIVED 08 March 2022

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 1 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

BCL2L1 amplification MLL2 L3329fs*1 RB1 loss exon 1 TP53 L194R

14 Disease relevant genes with no reportable alterations: ATM, BARD1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD54L

† See About the Test in appendix for details.

Report Highlights

- Variants with prognostic implications for this tumor type that may impact treatment decisions: RB1 loss exon 1 (p. 5), TP53 L194R (p. 6)
- Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis and may originate from non-tumor sources: MLL2 L3329fs*1 (p. 4)

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable

Tumor Mutational Burden - 1 Muts/Mb

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

No therapies or clinical trials are associated with the Genomic Findings for this sample.

If you have questions or comments about this result, please contact your Foundation Medicine customer support representative.

Phone: 1-888-988-3639

Online: foundationmedicine.com

Email: client.services@foundationmedicine.com

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS (CH)

Genomic findings below may include nontumor somatic alterations, such as CH. The efficacy of targeting such nontumor somatic alterations is unknown. This content should be interpreted based on clinical context. Refer to appendix for additional information on CH.



PATIENT Chin, Hsiao-Hui TUMOR TYPE
Prostate acinar adenocarcinoma
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE
14 Mar 2022
ORDERED TEST #
ORD-1316132-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

BCL2L1 - amplificationp. 4	RB1 - loss exon 1	p. !	5
MLL2 - L3329fs*1 p. 4	TP53 - L194R	5. 6	ś

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and

experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MSI has been reported in 3.1-14.6% of prostate cancer samples⁶⁻¹⁰. A study of prostate cancer in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) families reported MSI-H in 4-50% of cases¹¹⁻¹³. For patients with advanced prostate cancer, dMMR/MSI status was associated with shorter median OS compared with patients with proficient MMR (3.8 vs. 7.0 years) by univariate and multivariate analysis (adjusted HR=4.09; P=0.005)¹⁴.

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹⁵. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH₂, MSH₆, or PMS₂¹⁵⁻¹⁷. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers¹⁸⁻²⁰. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{15,17,19-20}.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 1 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1²¹⁻²³, anti-PD-1 therapies²¹⁻²⁴, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²⁵⁻³⁰. In multiple pan-tumor studies, higher TMB has been reported to be associated with increased ORR and OS from treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors^{21-24,31}. Higher TMB was found to be significantly associated with improved OS upon immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment for patients with 9 types of advanced tumors²¹. Analyses across several solid tumor types reported that patients with higher TMB (defined as ≥16-20 Muts/Mb) achieved greater clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting monotherapy, compared with patients with higher TMB treated with

chemotherapy³² or those with lower TMB treated with PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting agents²². However, the KEYNOTE 158 trial of pembrolizumab monotherapy for patients with solid tumors found significant improvement in ORR for patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) compared to those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb, in a large cohort that included multiple tumor types; similar findings were observed in the KEYNOTE 028 and 012 trials^{24,31}. Together, these studies suggest that patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb may derive clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors. The Phase 2 CheckMate 650 trial of nivolumab and ipilimumab treatment for patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer reported that patients harboring above the median study TMB experienced increased ORR and PSA responses 30 .

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Prostate acinar adenocarcinoma harbors a median TMB of 2.7 mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 3.4% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb) 33 . Prostate cancer has been reported to harbor a relatively low TMB among solid tumors $^{34-35}$, with approximately 0.5-1.5 (muts/Mb) in localized tumor samples $^{36-38}$, and a higher but still low TMB of 2-5 muts/Mb in metastatic, castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) samples $^{39-41}$. One study

reported that 4 of 150 (2.7%) mCRPC cases harbored high TMB (nearly 50 muts/Mb), which was due to defects in mismatch repair genes MLH1 and MSH2 in 3 of the 4 cases⁴¹. The effects of hypermutation on prognosis and clinical features in prostate cancer have not been extensively investigated (PubMed, Feb 2022).

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma⁴²⁻⁴³ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer⁴⁴⁻⁴⁵, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁴⁸⁻⁵², and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{48,51-52}. This sample harbors a TMB level associated with lower rates of clinical benefit from treatment with PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors compared with patients with tumors harboring higher TMB levels, based on several studies in multiple solid tumor types^{22-23,31}



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

BCL2L1

ALTERATION amplification

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Targeted Therapies —

There are no approved therapies that target BCL₂L₁ amplification in cancer. Multiple investigational drugs that target BCL-2 family

members including ABT-737, oblimersen sodium, AT-101, ABT-263 (navitoclax), and GX15-070 (obatoclax) are being studied in clinical trials⁵³. Preclinical studies have shown activity of BCL-XL inhibitors in NSCLC cell lines and a xenograft mouse model⁵⁴⁻⁵⁵. Elevated BCL-XL levels protect cancer cells against apoptosis in multiple cancer types and may contribute to chemotherapy resistance56-59

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Gain of the 20q region where BCL2L1 is located has been reported in 34% of lung adenocarcinoma samples and in 75% of lung adenocarcinomas with EGFR mutations⁶⁰⁻⁶¹. Expression of BCL-XL protein has been associated with poor prognosis in patients with ovarian cancer and has been reported to be associated with taxane resistance in colorectal cancer⁶²⁻⁶⁶.

FINDING SUMMARY

BCL2L1 encodes BCL-XL, an anti-apoptotic member of the BCL-2 protein family that is frequently overexpressed in cancer⁶⁷. In colorectal cancer, 20q gain has been associated with BCL-XL protein overexpression68-70.

GENE

MLL2

AITFRATION 13329fs*1

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM 003482

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

9984delA

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

88.8%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no targeted therapies available to address genomic alterations in MLL2.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MLL2 alterations are observed in a number of solid tumor contexts (COSMIC, Jan 2022)71, and are especially prevalent in lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)72 and small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC)73. MLL2 mutation was found to be an independent prognostic factor of poor PFS and OS in non-small cell lung cancer, but not in SCLC74. One study reported that MLL2 truncating mutations were more common in recurrent ovary granulosa cell tumors (GCT) compared with primary GCTs (24% [10/42] vs. 3.0% [1/32])75. In a study of esophageal SCC, high MLL2 expression positively correlated with tumor stage. differentiation, and size, and negatively correlated with OS76.

FINDING SUMMARY

MLL2 encodes an H₃K₄-specific histone methyltransferase that is involved in the transcriptional response to progesterone signaling⁷⁷. Germline de novo mutations of MLL2 are responsible for the majority of cases of Kabuki syndrome, a complex and phenotypically distinctive developmental disorder⁷⁸. A significant number of inactivating MLL2 alterations have been observed in multiple tumor types, suggesting a tumor suppressor role⁷⁹.

POTENTIAL CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS IMPLICATIONS

Variants seen in this gene have been reported to occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion80-85. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors may detect nontumor alterations that are due to CH84,86-87. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

RB1

ALTERATION loss exon 1

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of limited clinical data⁸⁸ and strong preclinical data⁸⁹⁻⁹¹, RB1 inactivation may be associated with sensitivity to inhibitors of Aurora kinase A, particularly in small cell lung cancer. It should be noted that a trial of the Aurora kinase A inhibitor alisertib in advanced prostate cancer did not find an association between RB1 deletion and clinical benefit⁹². Other approaches to target RB1 inactivation under investigation in preclinical studies include inhibitors of BCL-2 family members⁹³ and activation of the NOTCH pathway⁹⁴.

Potential Resistance —

Rb inactivation may predict resistance to CDK $_4/6$ inhibitors such as palbociclib, abemaciclib, and ribociclib, which act upstream of Rb $^{95-104}$.

Nontargeted Approaches —

Loss of Rb function has been associated with

increased sensitivity to cytotoxic agents and chemotherapeutics in both preclinical studies and in patients with bladder or breast cancer¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁶. Post-hoc analysis of a Phase 2 trial in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer suggests that patients with aggressive variant prostate cancer (AVPC), molecularly characterized by harboring alterations in at least 2 genes of TP53, RB1, and PTEN, as seen in this sample, may benefit from cabazitaxel combined with carboplatin compared with cabazitaxel alone (median PFS of 5.1 vs. 2.2 months, p=0.03; estimated median OS of 11.2 vs. 10.5 months, p=0.11), whereas patients who were AVPC-negative did not benefit from the more intense chemotherapy combination in this $study^{107}$.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

RB1 mutation has been reported in 0-10% of prostate carcinoma cases^{36-37,39,41,108}. RB1 deletion and loss of heterozygosity have been reported to occur frequently in the progression from primary to castration-resistant prostate cancer and have been cited in up to 65% of prostate cancer cases in the scientific literature¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹³. Loss of Rb protein expression has also been detected in 22-74% of prostate cancers^{112,114}. RB1 mutation, deletion, or loss has been correlated with increased androgen receptor (AR) signaling and poor prognosis in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁸. Concurrent alterations in at least 2 of

the TP53, RB1, and PTEN genes, as seen in this sample, molecularly define a subtype of prostate cancer with aggressive clinical course and reduced sensitivity to androgen-deprivation therapies (NCCN Prostate Cancer Guidelines, v3.2022)^{107,119-122}. RB1 alterations have been associated with favorable outcomes of time to next treatment and OS for patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with taxanes versus novel hormonal therapies such as abiraterone or enzalutamide¹¹⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

RB1 encodes the retinoblastoma protein (Rb), a tumor suppressor and negative regulator of the cell cycle^{106,123}. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt RB1 function or expression¹²⁴⁻¹³⁰.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Mutations in RB1 underlie the development of retinoblastoma (RB), a rare tumor that arises at a rate of approximately 1:20,000 live births, with nearly 5,000 new cases worldwide per year¹³¹. Germline mutations in RB1 account for approximately 40% of RB tumors¹³² and are associated with an increased risk of developing secondary malignancies that include soft tissue and bone sarcoma and malignant melanoma¹³³⁻¹³⁴. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of RB1 is recommended.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

TP53

ALTERATION

L194R

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_000546

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

581T>G

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

87.9%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no approved therapies to address TP53 mutation or loss. However, tumors with TP53 loss of function alterations may be sensitive to the WEE1 inhibitor adavosertib¹³⁵⁻¹³⁸, or p53 gene therapy and immunotherapeutics such as SGT-53¹³⁹⁻¹⁴³ and ALT-801¹⁴⁴. In a Phase 1 study, adayosertib in combination with gemcitabine. cisplatin, or carboplatin elicited PRs in 9.7% and SDs in 53% of patients with solid tumors; the response rate was 21% (4/19) for patients with TP53 mutations versus 12% (4/33) for patients who were TP53 wildtype145. A Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with chemotherapy (gemcitabine, carboplatin, paclitaxel, or doxorubicin) reported a 32% (30/94, 3 CR) ORR and a 73% (69/94) DCR for patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian, Fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer¹⁴⁶. A smaller Phase 2 trial of adayosertib in combination with carboplatin achieved a 43% (9/21, 1 CR) ORR and a 76% (16/21) DCR for patients with platinumrefractory TP53-mutated ovarian cancer¹⁴⁷. The combination of adavosertib with paclitaxel and carboplatin for patients with TP53-mutated ovarian cancer also significantly increased PFS compared with paclitaxel and carboplatin alone¹⁴⁸. In the Phase 2 VIKTORY trial, patients with TP53-mutated metastatic and/or recurrent gastric cancer experienced a 24% (6/25) ORR with adavosertib combined with paclitaxel¹⁴⁹. A Phase 1 trial of neoadjuvant adavosertib in combination with cisplatin and docetaxel for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) elicited a 71% (5/7) response rate for patients with TP53 alterations¹⁵⁰. The Phase 2 FOCUS₄-C trial for patients with TP53- and RAS-mutated colorectal

cancer reported improvement in PFS (3.61 vs. 1.87 months, HR=0.35, p=0.0022), but not OS (14.0 vs 12.8 months, p=0.93), following adavosertib treatment compared with active monitoring¹⁵¹. In a Phase 1b clinical trial of SGT-53 in combination with docetaxel for patients with solid tumors, 75% (9/12) of evaluable patients experienced clinical benefit, including 2 confirmed and 1 unconfirmed PRs and 2 instances of SD with significant tumor shrinkage¹⁴³. Missense mutations leading to TP₅₃ inactivation may also be sensitive to therapies that reactivate mutated p53 such as APR-246152-154. In a Phase 1b trial for patients with p53-positive highgrade serous ovarian cancer, APR-246 combined with carboplatin and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin achieved a 52% (11/21) response rate and 100% DCR 155 . ATR inhibitor treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cells with biallelic inactivation of TP53 suppressed cell viability, promoted DNA damage, and attenuated xenograft growth in preclinical studies156-157; however, ATR inhibitors as monotherapy had little effect on these parameters in solid tumor models in other preclinical studies¹⁵⁸⁻¹⁵⁹. Therefore, it is unclear whether TP53 inactivation predicts sensitivity to ATR inhibition.

- Nontargeted Approaches -

Post-hoc analysis of a Phase 2 trial in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer suggests that patients with aggressive variant prostate cancer (AVPC), molecularly characterized by harboring alterations in at least 2 genes of TP53, RB1, and PTEN, as seen in this sample, may benefit from cabazitaxel combined with carboplatin compared with cabazitaxel alone (median PFS of 5.1 vs. 2.2 months, p=0.03; estimated median OS of 11.2 vs. 10.5 months, p=0.11), whereas patients who were AVPC-negative did not benefit from the more intense chemotherapy combination in this study¹⁰⁷.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TP53 mutations have been reported in 18-40% of prostate cancers¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶¹. Overexpression of p53, which is indicative of TP53 dysregulation, has been reported to be significantly more common in late-stage and hormone-refractory prostate cancers and has been found to be associated with prostate-specific antigen (PSA) recurrence in lowand intermediate-grade prostate cancer¹⁶². TP53 loss has been found to be associated with prostate

cancer-specific mortality in univariate analysis¹⁶³. Concurrent alterations in at least 2 of the TP53, RB1, and PTEN genes, as seen in this sample, molecularly define a subtype of prostate cancer with aggressive clinical course and reduced sensitivity to androgen-deprivation therapies (NCCN Prostate Cancer Guidelines, v3.2022)^{107,119-122}.

FINDING SUMMARY

Functional loss of the tumor suppressor p53, which is encoded by the TP53 gene, is common in aggressive advanced cancers¹⁶⁴. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt TP53 function or expression¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁶⁹.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline mutations in TP53 are associated with the very rare autosomal dominant disorder Li-Fraumeni syndrome and the early onset of many cancers¹⁷⁰⁻¹⁷², including sarcomas¹⁷³⁻¹⁷⁴. Estimates for the prevalence of germline TP53 mutations in the general population range from 1:5,000¹⁷⁵ to 1:20,000¹⁷⁴. For pathogenic TP53 mutations identified during tumor sequencing, the rate of germline mutations was 1% in the overall population and 6% in tumors arising before age 30¹⁷⁶. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of TP53 is recommended.

POTENTIAL CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS IMPLICATIONS

Variants seen in this gene have been reported to occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion80-85. CH in this gene has been associated with increased mortality, risk of coronary heart disease, risk of ischemic stroke, and risk of secondary hematologic malignancy⁸⁰⁻⁸¹. Clinical management of patients with CH in this gene may include monitoring for hematologic changes and reduction of controllable risk factors for cardiovascular disease¹⁷⁷. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH84,86-87. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH.



PATIENT Chin, Hsiao-Hui TUMOR TYPE
Prostate acinar adenocarcinoma

REPORT DATE 14 Mar 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1316132-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

ARFRP1 A123V **ESR1** G145S

MSH3 P63_P64insAAPPAP **WT1** Q142R

ZNF217

1211V



APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

AND COPT NOM	BER ALIERATION	13						
ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTK	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOCS1
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1	WT1	XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703						
DNA GENE LIST:	FOR THE DETEC	TION OF SELECT	REARRANGEME	NTS				
ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TMPRSS2

^{*}TERC is an NCRNA

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

^{**}Promoter region of TERT is interrogated



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/ficdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

- Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- 5. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine

whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an ERBB2 amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of ERBB2 copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/ disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be

approximately 2%. **REPORT HIGHLIGHTS**

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*		
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40		
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31		
INDELS	%CV*		
INDELS Repeatability	%CV*		

^{*}Interquartile Range = 1^{st} Quartile to 3^{rd} Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 6.0.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 799x

APPENDIX

References

- Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- **6.** Hall et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 1523
- 7. Cheng et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 251
- 8. Pritchard CC, et al. Nat Commun (2014) pmid: 25255306
- Schwarzenbach H, et al. BJU Int. (2008) pmid: 18336598
- 10. Suzuki H, et al. Jpn. J. Cancer Res. (1995) pmid: 7493915
- 11. Dominguez-Valentin M, et al. BMC Urol (2016) pmid: 27013479
- 12. Rosty C, et al. Fam. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25117503
- 13. Ahman AK, et al. BJU Int. (2001) pmid: 11251526
- Rodrigues DN, et al. J. Clin. Invest. (2018) pmid: 30382943
- Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 16. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 18. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 19. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 20. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 21. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- 22. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- 23. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 24. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 25. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- **26.** Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- **27.** Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 28. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 29. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721
- 30. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- **31.** Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32919526
- **32.** Legrand et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 12000
- 33. Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 28420421
- 34. Lawrence MS, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23770567
- 35. Alexandrov LB, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23945592
- 36. Barbieri CE, et al. Nat. Genet. (2012) pmid: 22610119
- 37. Baca SC, et al. Cell (2013) pmid: 23622249
- 38. Cell (2015) pmid: 26544944
- **39.** Grasso CS, et al. Nature (2012) pmid: 22722839
- **40.** Gundem G, et al. Nature (2015) pmid: 25830880
- **41.** Robinson D, et al. Cell (2015) pmid: 26000489
- 42. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- **43.** Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 44. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- **45.** Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 46. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 2433657047. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- 48. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 49. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- **50.** Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid:

- 24E0220
- 51. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- 53. Kang MH, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2009) pmid: 19228717
- 54. Zhou H, et al. J. Med. Chem. (2012) pmid: 22747598
- 55. Zhou H, et al. J. Med. Chem. (2012) pmid: 22448988
- Zhang YL, et al. World J. Gastroenterol. (2008) pmid: 18494061
- 57. Ni Chonghaile T, et al. Science (2011) pmid: 22033517
- 58. Lei X, et al. Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin. (Shanghai) (2007) pmid: 17492131
- 59. Qian J, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2009) pmid: 19139118
- **60.** Shen H, et al. Biomed. Pharmacother. (2009) pmid: 18848758
- 61. Reinmuth N, et al. Lung Cancer (2008) pmid: 18450321
- 62. Elstrand MB, et al. Int. J. Gynecol. Pathol. (2009) pmid:
- 63. Postma C. et al. Cell. Oncol. (2007) pmid: 17429145
- **64.** Biroccio A, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2001) pmid: 11290547
- 65. Williams J, et al. Gynecol. Oncol. (2005) pmid: 15661210
- 66. Wong M, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) pmid: 22302098
- 67. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. (2010) pmid: 20213841
- 68. Annunziata CM, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid:
- 69. Kar R, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2007) pmid: 17611399
- 70. Sillars-Hardebol AH, et al. J. Pathol. (2012) pmid:
- 71. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878
- 72. Nature (2012) pmid: 22960745
- 73. Augert A, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2017) pmid: 28007623
- 74. Ardeshir-Larijani F, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2018) pmid: 29627316
- **75.** Hillman RT, et al. Nat Commun (2018) pmid: 29950560
- 76. Abudureheman A, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.
- (2018) pmid: 29532228 77. Vicent GP, et al. Genes Dev. (2011) pmid: 21447625
- 78. Hannibal MC, et al. Am. J. Med. Genet. A (2011) pmid: 21671394
- **79.** Fagan RJ, et al. Cancer Lett. (2019) pmid: 31128216
- 80. Jaiswal S, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426837
- 81. Genovese G, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426838
- 82. Xie M, et al. Nat. Med. (2014) pmid: 25326804
- 83. Acuna-Hidalgo R, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28669404
- 84. Severson EA, et al. Blood (2018) pmid: 29678827
- 85. Fuster JJ, et al. Circ. Res. (2018) pmid: 29420212
- **86.** Chabon JJ, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32269342
- 87. Razavi P, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 31768066
- 88. Owonikoko et al., 2016; ESMO Abstract 14230
- 89. Hook KE, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) pmid: 22222631
- Gong X, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 30373917
 Oser MG, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 30373918
- 92. Beltran H, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 30232224
- 93. Allaman-Pillet N, et al. Ophthalmic Genet. () pmid: 21955141
- 94. Viatour P, et al. J. Exp. Med. (2011) pmid: 21875955
- 95. Condorelli R, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29236940
- 96. Fry DW, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2004) pmid: 15542782
- **97.** Dean JL, et al. Oncogene (2010) pmid: 20473330
- 98. Dean JL, et al. Cell Cycle (2012) pmid: 2276715499. Garnett MJ, et al. Nature (2012) pmid: 22460902
- 100. Roberts PJ, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2012) pmid:

- 22302033
- 101. Patnaik A, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27217383
- 102. O'Leary B, et al. Cancer Discov (2018) pmid: 30206110
- 103. Costa C, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 31594766
- 104. Chen SH, et al. Oncogene (2018) pmid: 29059158105. Derenzini M, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid:
- 18381962 106. Knudsen ES, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2008) pmid:
- 19143056
- 107. Corn PG, et al. Lancet Oncol (2019) pmid: 31515154
 108. Taylor BS, et al. Cancer Cell (2010) pmid: 20579941
- 109. Friedlander TW, et al. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22158653
- 110. Bettendorf O, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2008) pmid: 18383208
- 111. Latil A, et al. Hum. Pathol. (1999) pmid: 10414500
- 112. Cooney KA. et al. Cancer Res. (1996) pmid: 8640774
- 113. Phillips SM, et al. Br. J. Cancer (1994) pmid: 7526887
- 114. Sharma A, et al. J. Clin. Invest. (2010) pmid: 21099110
- 115. Chen WS, et al. Eur Urol (2019) pmid: 30928160
- 116. Chen WS, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2019) pmid: 31010837
- 117. Kohli M, et al. EBioMedicine (2020) pmid: 32268276 118. Graf RP. et al. Eur Urol (2021) pmid: 34716049
- 119. Tsaur I, et al. Cancer Treat Rev (2019) pmid: 30875581 120. Spetsieris N, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2020) pmid:
- 33339136 121. Aparicio AM, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2013) pmid: 23649003
- 23649003 122. Aparicio AM, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2016) pmid: 26546618
- 26546618 123. Burkhart DL, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2008) pmid:
- 18650841
- **124.** Berge EO, et al. Mol. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20594292 **125.** Giacinti C, et al. Oncogene (2006) pmid: 16936740
- 126. Otterson GA, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1997)
- 127. Otterson GA, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (1999) pmid: 10486322
- 10486322 128. Qin XQ, et al. Genes Dev. (1992) pmid: 1534305
- 129. Rubin SM, et al. Cell (2005) pmid: 16360038
- 130. Sun H, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2006) pmid: 16449662
- 131. Chen Z, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2014) pmid: 24282159
- 132. Yun J, et al. Int J Ophthalmol (2011) pmid: 22553621133. Houston SK, et al. Int Ophthalmol Clin (2011) pmid: 21139478
- 134. Ng AK, et al. Semin Radiat Oncol (2010) pmid: 19959033
- 19959033 135. Hirai H, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2010) pmid: 20107315
- 135. Hirai H, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2010) pmid: 20 136. Bridges KA, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21799033
- 21799033 137. Rajeshkumar NV, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21389100
- 138. Osman AA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2015) pmid: 25504633
- 139. Xu L, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2002) pmid: 12489850
- 140. Xu L, et al. Mol. Med. (2001) pmid: 11713371141. Camp ER, et al. Cancer Gene Ther. (2013) pmid:
- 142. Kim SS. et al. Nanomedicine (2015) pmid: 25240597
- 142. Kim SS, et al. Nanomedicine (2015) pmid: 252405
- 143. Pirollo KF, et al. Mol. Ther. (2016) pmid: 27357628144. Hajdenberg et al., 2012; ASCO Abstract e15010
- **145.** Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27601554
- 146. Moore et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 5513147. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27998224
- 148. Oza et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 5506 149. Lee J. et al. Cancer Discoy (2019) pmid: 31315834



TUMOR TYPE Prostate acinar adenocarcinoma REPORT DATE 14 Mar 2022



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1316132-01

APPENDIX

References

- 150. Méndez E, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 29535125
- 151. Seligmann JF, et al. J Clin Oncol (2021) pmid: 34538072
- 152. Lehmann S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22965953
- 153. Mohell N. et al. Cell Death Dis (2015) pmid: 26086967
- 154. Fransson Å, et al. J Ovarian Res (2016) pmid: 27179933
- 155. Gourley et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5571
- 156. Kwok M, et al. Blood (2016) pmid: 26563132
- **157.** Boudny M, et al. Haematologica (2019) pmid: 30975914
- **158.** Dillon MT, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28062704
- Middleton FK, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2018) pmid: 30127241

- **160.** Kumar A, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2011) pmid: 21949389
- 161. Beltran H, et al. Eur. Urol. (2013) pmid: 22981675
- 162. Schlomm T, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2008) pmid: 18552821
- 163. Liu W. et al. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23609948
- 164. Brown CJ, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) pmid: 19935675
- **165.** Joerger AC, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2008) pmid: 18410249
- 166. Kato S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) pmid: 12826609
- 168. Zerdoumi Y, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2017) pmid:
- 167. Kamada R, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2011) pmid: 20978130
- 169. Yamada H, et al. Carcinogenesis (2007) pmid: 17690113
- 170. Bougeard G, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 26014290
- 171. Sorrell AD, et al. Mol Diagn Ther (2013) pmid: 23355100
- Nichols KE, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2001) pmid: 11219776
- 173. Kleihues P, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (1997) pmid: 9006316
- 174. Gonzalez KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19204208
- 175. Lalloo F, et al. Lancet (2003) pmid: 12672316
- 176. Mandelker D, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31050713
- 177. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program (2018) pmid: 30504320

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.