FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT Yen Chen, Su-Yueh	**TUMOR TYPE** Brain meningioma	**REPORT DATE** 08 Feb 2022
	COUNTRY CODE TW	**ORDERED TEST #** ORD-1286666-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT
DISEASE Brain meningioma
NAME Yen Chen, Su-Yueh
DATE OF BIRTH 06 October 1956
SEX Female
MEDICAL RECORD # 46934058

PHYSICIAN
ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen
MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN
SPECIMEN SITE Brain
SPECIMEN ID S110-31912A (PF22009)
SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck
DATE OF COLLECTION 25 October 2021
SPECIMEN RECEIVED 27 January 2022

Biomarker Findings
Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 0 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings
For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

NF2 K149*
MCL1 amplification - equivocal[†]

---

† See About the Test in appendix for details.

Report Highlights

- Evidence-matched **clinical trial options** based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 6)

PATHOLOGIST COMMENTS
Erik Williams, M.D. 9-Feb-2022
Although this assay is not validated to identify arm-level cytogenetic aberrations, manual review of the copy-number profile suggests losses involving portions of the short (p) arm of chromosomes 1, 3, and 19, as well as loss of the long (q) arm of chromosome 22. Ancillary orthogonal cytogenetics testing could be pursued to confirm these findings, if clinically indicated (PMID: 34618539).

BIOMARKER FINDINGS	THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS	
Microsatellite status - MS-Stable	No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section	
Tumor Mutational Burden - 0 Muts/Mb	No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section	
GENOMIC FINDINGS	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)
NF2 **-** K149*	none	none
10 Trials see p. 6		

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

MCL1 - amplification - equivocal .... p. 5

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022
ORDERED TEST #
ORD-1286666-01

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1286666-01

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER
Microsatellite status

RESULT
MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —
On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors[1-3], including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab[4]. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)[5].

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS
The reported incidence of MSI in meningioma has varied significantly between studies[6-12]. The prognostic significance of MSI in meningioma is unknown (PubMed, Aug 2021).

FINDING SUMMARY
Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor[13]. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2[13-15]. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers[16-18]. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins[13,15,17-18].

BIOMARKER
Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT
0 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —
On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1[19-21], anti-PD-1 therapies[19-22], and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab[23-28]. In multiple pan-tumor studies, higher TMB has been reported to be associated with increased ORR and OS from treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors[19-22,29]. Higher TMB was found to be significantly associated with improved OS upon immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment for patients with 9 types of advanced tumors[19]. Analyses across several solid tumor types reported that patients with higher TMB (defined as ≥16-20 Muts/Mb) achieved greater clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting monotherapy, compared with patients with higher TMB treated with chemotherapy[30] or those with lower TMB treated with PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting agents[20]. However, the KEYNOTE 158 trial of pembrolizumab monotherapy for patients with solid tumors found significant improvement in ORR for patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) compared to those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb, in a large cohort that included multiple tumor types; similar findings were observed in the KEYNOTE 028 and 012 trials[22,29]. Together, these studies suggest that patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb may derive clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS
Meningioma harbors a median TMB of 1.8 mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 0.9% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb)[31]. Grade 2 or 3 meningiomas have been associated with a higher mutation rate than Grade 1 meningiomas; meningiomas were reported to have a smaller number of somatic alterations relative to other tumor types[32].

FINDING SUMMARY
Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma[33-34] and cigarette smoke in lung cancer[35-36], treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma[37-38], mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes[39-43], and microsatellite instability (MSI)[39,42-43]. This sample harbors a TMB level associated with lower rates of clinical benefit from treatment with PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors compared with patients with tumors harboring higher TMB levels, based on several studies in multiple solid tumor types[20-21,29].

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022

GENOMIC FINDINGS

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1286666-01

GENE

NF2

ALTERATION
K149*

TRANSCRIPT ID
NM_000268

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT
445A>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)
30.0%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

NF2 inactivating alterations may indicate sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors[44-47]. Two case studies reported clinical benefit for patients with NF2-mutated cancers, including urothelial carcinoma[48] and metaplastic breast cancer[49-50] treated with everolimus and temsirolimus, respectively. Loss or inactivation of NF2 may also predict sensitivity to FAK inhibitors based on clinical data in mesothelioma[51] and meningioma[52] and strong preclinical data[53-55]. Limited preclinical and clinical evidence in vestibular schwannoma suggests possible sensitivity of NF2-deficient tumors to the pan-ERBB inhibitor lapatinib[56-57]. Similarly, on the basis of limited clinical[58] and preclinical[59-61] evidence, NF2 inactivation may predict sensitivity to MEK inhibitors, such as approved agents trametinib and cobimetinib. These and other relevant compounds are being investigated in clinical trials. Whereas frequent adverse events precluded a recommended Phase 2 dose and schedule for the combination of trametinib and everolimus in a Phase 1b trial for solid tumors[62], a retrospective study for heavily pretreated patients with solid tumors reported tolerable regimens of the combination for 23/31 patients, with 16 patients treated >3 months and evaluable patients achieving a median PFS of 6.5 months[63]. In a Phase 2 trial of the investigational FAK inhibitor GSK2256098 for patients with meningiomas harboring NF2 mutations, 10/12 (83%) patients with Grade 1 meningioma and 8/24 (33%) patients with Grade 2 or Grade 3 disease experienced PFS lasting ≥6 months; in the Grade 1 cohort, no objective responses were observed, but 10/12 (83%) patients achieved SD, while in the Grade 2 and Grade 3 cohort, 1/24 (4%) patients achieved a PR and 14/24 (58%) patients achieved SD[52].

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

NF2 is the most commonly altered gene in meningioma, and patients with germline NF2 mutations are at increased risk for meningiomas, with the lifetime risk of meningioma estimated at up to 75%[64-65]. Loss of NF2 function via mutation or loss of expression has been reported in 40-60% of sporadic meningiomas[66-67]. NF2 mutation has been reported in 39% of meningioma samples analyzed in COSMIC (Sep 2021)[68]. One study reported that NF2 or chromosome 22 alterations occurred more frequently in high-grade (80%) vs low-grade (43%) meningioma samples analyzed[69]. NF2 mutation has been associated with monosomy 22 in sporadic meningioma, specifically in menopausal women[70-71].

FINDING SUMMARY

Merlin, encoded by NF2, coordinates cell contact with growth signals; the inactivation of Merlin disrupts this mechanism and can lead to unrestrained growth despite cell contact[72]. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt NF2 function or expression[73-79].

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Heterozygous germline NF2 loss or inactivation is associated with neurofibromatosis type 2, which results in the development of vestibular schwannomas, meningiomas, ependymomas, and ocular disturbances[80-82]. Prevalence for this disorder in the general population is estimated to be 1:25,000[82]. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of NF2 is recommended.

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1286666-01

GENE
MCL1

ALTERATION
amplification - equivocal

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

There are no approved therapies to target MCL1 amplification, but MCL1 inhibitors including AMG 176, AMG 397, AZD5991, and S64315 (MIK665) are in early clinical development[83-86]. Limited preclinical data suggest that MCL1 expression alone may not be predictive of sensitivity to MCL1 inhibitors, but BH3 profiling may be a better predictor of MCL1 dependence[84,86-88]. Clinical and preclinical data indicate that increased MCL1 expression may be associated with resistance to BCL2-targeted agents such as venetoclax, navitoclax, or ABT-737[89-96]. In one study, amplification of the genomic locus containing MCL1 was acquired upon disease progression in patients with multiple myeloma treated with venetoclax[97]. Combined inhibition of MCL1 and BCL2 may be more effective[84-86,98-99]. Indirect approaches using therapeutic agents that reduce MCL1 expression are also being investigated[100]. Preclinical studies demonstrate that investigational cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors targeting CDK9, such as dinaciclib, alvocidib, and voruciclib, suppress gene transcription, reduce MCL1 expression levels, and synergize with BCL2 inhibitors to induce apoptosis[101-108]. Preclinical studies in multiple types of cancer cells have also shown that the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib indirectly downregulates MCL1 and cooperates with BCL2-targeting agents[109-112], and a heavily pretreated patient with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) and MCL1 gene amplification responded to sorafenib in combination with several other therapies[113]. Preclinical studies of patient-derived tumor cells suggest that increased MCL1 levels may confer resistance to antitubulin therapies such as paclitaxel[114], and MCL1 amplification was reported to be more frequent in patients with TNBC and primary resistance to neoadjuvant chemotherapy[115].

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MCL1 amplification has been reported at the highest incidence in lung adenocarcinoma (16%)[116], breast invasive carcinoma (15%)[117], hepatocellular carcinoma (15%), and bladder urothelial carcinoma (13%)[118] and at lower frequencies in other solid tumor types (cBioPortal, 2022)[119-120]. MCL1 mutations have been reported in fewer than 1% of solid and hematologic cancers (COSMIC, 2022)[68]. In patients with NSCLC, MCL1 amplification was significantly associated with shorter overall survival (hazard ratio 1.39)[121]; high MCL1 protein expression alone was not prognostic in NSCLC[122-124], whereas overexpression of both MCL1 and MYC was linked with poor survival[125]. High MCL1 expression has also been associated with poor prognosis in ovarian[126-127] and colorectal[128] cancers. The prognostic significance of MCL1 expression in breast cancer is not clear[129-130].

FINDING SUMMARY

MCL1 (myeloid cell leukemia 1) encodes a member of the BCL2 family that regulates apoptosis[131]. Focal amplification of MCL1 has been reported in lung, breast, and other cancer types, and the survival of cells with MCL1 amplification is dependent on MCL1 expression[132]. In non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), MCL1 amplification was significantly associated with increased MCL1 mRNA expression[121]. Although several MCL1 phosphorylation site mutations have been characterized[133], cancer-associated MCL1 mutations have not been reported (PubMed, Jan 2022).

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022

CLINICAL TRIALS

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1286666-01

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-testing#support-services.

GENE
NF2

ALTERATION
K149*

RATIONALE
Inactivation or loss of NF2 results in the dysregulation of mTOR and FAK pathway signaling. Therefore, mTOR and/or FAK inhibitors may be relevant for patients with NF2 inactivating mutations.

NCT03239015 — PHASE 2

Efficacy and Safety of Targeted Precision Therapy in Refractory Tumor With Druggable Molecular Event

TARGETS
EGFR, ERBB4, ERBB2, PARP, mTOR, MET, ROS1, RET, VEGFRs, BRAF, CDK4, CDK6

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China)

NCT04337463 — PHASE NULL

ATG-008 Combined With Toripalimab in Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
mTORC1, mTORC2, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Chongqing (China), Chengdu (China)

NCT04803318 — PHASE 2

Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
mTOR, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRs, KIT, MEK

LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)

NCT02758587 — PHASE 1/2

Study of FAK (Defactinib) and PD-1 (Pembrolizumab) Inhibition in Advanced Solid Malignancies (FAK-PD1)

TARGETS
FAK, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Edinburgh (United Kingdom), Glasgow (United Kingdom), Leicester (United Kingdom), Belfast (United Kingdom), Southampton (United Kingdom)

NCT03217669 — PHASE 1

Epacadostat (INCB24360) in Combination With Sirolimus in Advanced Malignancy

TARGETS
IDO1, mTOR

LOCATIONS: Kansas

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1286666-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT03065062

PHASE 1

Study of the CDK4/6 Inhibitor Palbociclib (PD-0332991) in Combination With the PI3K/mTOR Inhibitor Gedatolisib (PF-05212384) for Patients With Advanced Squamous Cell Lung, Pancreatic, Head & Neck and Other Solid Tumors

TARGETS
PI3K-alpha, PI3K-gamma, mTORC1, mTORC2, CDK4, CDK6

LOCATIONS: Massachusetts

NCT01582191

PHASE 1

A Phase 1 Trial of Vandetanib (a Multi-kinase Inhibitor of EGFR, VEGFR and RET Inhibitor) in Combination With Everolimus (an mTOR Inhibitor) in Advanced Cancer

TARGETS
mTOR, EGFR, SRC, RET, VEGFRs

LOCATIONS: Texas

NCT02159989

PHASE 1

Sapanisertib and Ziv-Aflibercept in Treating Patients With Recurrent Solid Tumors That Are Metastatic or Cannot Be Removed by Surgery

TARGETS
VEGFA, VEGFB, PlGF, mTORC1, mTORC2

LOCATIONS: Texas

NCT02321501

PHASE 1

Phase I/Ib Dose Escalation & Biomarker Study of Ceritinib (LDK378) + Everolimus for Locally Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors With an Expansion in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) Characterized by Abnormalities in Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase (ALK) Expression

TARGETS
ROS1, ALK, mTOR

LOCATIONS: Texas

NCT03017833

PHASE 1

Sapanisertib and Metformin in Treating Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Relapsed or Refractory Cancers

TARGETS
mTORC1, mTORC2

LOCATIONS: Texas

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1286666-01

APPENDIX   Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

APC	*BRAF*	*DDR2*	*DIS3*
Q2600E	V413M	rearrangement	N254S

LTK	*MSH3*	*PARP3*	*SDHC*
G720D	R796Q	A235_E237del	amplification

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1286666-01

APPENDIX Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTK	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOCS1
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1	WT1	XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703						

DNA GENE LIST: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT REARRANGEMENTS

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TMPRSS2

*TERC is an NCRNA

**Promoter region of TERT is interrogated

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score
Microsatellite (MS) status
Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1286666-01

APPENDIX   About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

CE

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance
FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)
An alteration denoted as "amplification – equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss – equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials
Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION
Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations
1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-

Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.

2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.

3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding arm- and chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.

4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.

5. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy.

6. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an *ERBB2* amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of *ERBB2* copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating physician.

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
Repeatability	6.29 - 10.00
Reproducibility	7.33 - 11.71

Interquartile Range = 1st Quartile to 3rd Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of follow-up germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are *ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2*, and *VHL*, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are *ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2*, and *U2AF1* and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 08 February 2022
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor. Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

APPENDIX - PAGE 11 of 13

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1286666-01

APPENDIX About FoundationOne®CDx

cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED
Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT
This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT
Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN
Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
OS	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 6.0.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 993x

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

PATIENT
Yen Chen, Su-Yueh

TUMOR TYPE
Brain meningioma

REPORT DATE
08 Feb 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1286666-01

APPENDIX | References

1. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
6. Pykett MJ, et al. Cancer Res. (1994) pmid: 7987826
7. Alvino E, et al. Neurol. Res. (2000) pmid: 11045018
8. Simon M, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (1996) pmid: 8875241
9. Zhu J, et al. Oncogene (1996) pmid: 8622857
10. Pećina-Šlaus N, et al. Anticancer Res. (2016) pmid: 27630299
11. Chen MN, et al. Genet. Mol. Res. (2012) pmid: 22930430
12. Pećina-Šlaus N, et al. Tumour Biol. (2017) pmid: 28705114
13. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
14. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
15. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
16. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
17. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
18. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
19. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
20. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
21. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
22. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
23. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
24. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
25. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
26. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
27. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721
28. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
29. Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32919526
30. Legrand et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 12000
31. Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 28420421
32. Brastianos PK, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 23334667
33. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
34. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
35. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
36. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
37. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
38. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
39. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
40. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
41. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
42. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696

43. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
44. López-Lago MA, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2009) pmid: 19451229
45. James MF, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2009) pmid: 19451225
46. Pachow D, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23406776
47. Iyer G, et al. Science (2012) pmid: 22923433
48. Ali SM, et al. Eur. Urol. (2015) pmid: 25630452
49. Ganesan P, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2014) pmid: 25253784
50. Moulder S, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25878190
51. Soria JC, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27733373
52. Brastianos et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 2502
53. Poulikakos PI, et al. Oncogene (2006) pmid: 16652148
54. Shapiro IM, et al. Sci Transl Med (2014) pmid: 24848258
55. Shah NR, et al. Gynecol. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24786638
56. Ammoun S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2010) pmid: 20511180
57. Karajannis MA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) pmid: 22844108
58. Subbiah et al., 2011; ASCO Abstract 2100
59. Garcia-Rendueles ME, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) pmid: 26359368
60. Ammoun S, et al. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18593924
61. Yi C, et al. Cancer Cell (2011) pmid: 21481793
62. Tolcher AW, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25344362
63. Patterson et al., 2018; AACR Abstract 3891
64. Alahmadi H, et al. Semin Diagn Pathol (2011) pmid: 22195409
65. Genet. Med. (2009) pmid: 19652604
66. Clark VE, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348505
67. Riemenschneider MJ, et al. Lancet Neurol (2006) pmid: 17110285
68. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878
69. Bi WL, et al. NPJ Genom Med (2017) pmid: 28713588
70. Tabernero M, et al. BMC Med. Genet. (2013) pmid: 24171707
71. Tabernero MD, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2012) pmid: 22371336
72. Curto M, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2008) pmid: 17971776
73. Laulajainen M, et al. J. Cell. Mol. Med. (2012) pmid: 22325036
74. Lallemand D, et al. J. Cell. Sci. (2009) pmid: 19910496
75. Sherman L, et al. Oncogene (1997) pmid: 9395247
76. Li W, et al. EMBO Rep. (2012) pmid: 22482125
77. Manetti ME, et al. Biol Open (2012) pmid: 23213372
78. Stokowski RP, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2000) pmid: 10712203
79. Mani T, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2011) pmid: 21402777
80. Evans GR, et al. Adv. Otorhinolaryngol. (2011) pmid: 21358190
81. Lu-Emerson C, et al. Rev Neurol Dis (2009) pmid: 19898272
82. Asthagiri AR, et al. Lancet (2009) pmid: 19476995
83. Hird AW, et al. Pharmacol. Ther. (2019) pmid: 30790641
84. Caenepeel S, et al. Cancer Discov (2018) pmid: 30254093

85. Tron AE, et al. Nat Commun (2018) pmid: 30559424
86. Ramsey HE, et al. Cancer Discov (2018) pmid: 30185627
87. Kotschy A, et al. Nature (2016) pmid: 27760111
88. Koch R, et al. Blood (2019) pmid: 30498064
89. Bose P, et al. Leuk. Lymphoma (2017) pmid: 28140720
90. Stabell B, et al. Scand J Psychol (1990) pmid: 2218437
91. Konopleva M, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27520294
92. Kumar S, et al. Blood (2017) pmid: 29018077
93. Lin KH, et al. Sci Rep (2016) pmid: 27283158
94. Suryani S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid: 25013123
95. van Delft MF, et al. Cancer Cell (2006) pmid: 17097561
96. Konopleva M, et al. Cancer Cell (2006) pmid: 17097560
97. Neri et al., 2019; ASH Abstract 572
98. Moujalled DM, et al. Leukemia (2019) pmid: 30214012
99. Leverson JD, et al. Cell Death Dis (2015) pmid: 25590800
100. Xiang W, et al. Onco Targets Ther (2018) pmid: 30425521
101. Chen Y, et al. Br. J. Haematol. (2016) pmid: 27469405
102. Jane EP, et al. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. (2016) pmid: 26585571
103. Li L, et al. Leukemia (2015) pmid: 25882699
104. Booher RN, et al. PLoS ONE (2014) pmid: 25289887
105. Zhou L, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2018) pmid: 29241222
106. Bogenberger J, et al. Oncotarget (2017) pmid: 29291023
107. Chen S, et al. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22693249
108. Dey J, et al. Sci Rep (2017) pmid: 29269870
109. Arai S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 30021909
110. Kiprianova I, et al. Neoplasia (2015) pmid: 26297434
111. Ricci MS, et al. Cancer Cell (2007) pmid: 17613437
112. Meng XW, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2007) pmid: 17698840
113. Ali SM, et al. Case Rep Oncol () pmid: 27293397
114. Wertz IE, et al. Nature (2011) pmid: 21368834
115. Balko JM, et al. Cancer Discov (2014) pmid: 24356096
116. Nature (2014) pmid: 25079552
117. Nature (2012) pmid: 23000897
118. Nature (2014) pmid: 24476821
119. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588877
120. Gao J, et al. Sci Signal (2013) pmid: 23550210
121. Yin J, et al. Cancer Med (2016) pmid: 27264345
122. Schmidt LH, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2014) pmid: 25036876
123. Borner MM, et al. Br. J. Cancer (1999) pmid: 10070896
124. Wesarg E, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2007) pmid: 17688235
125. Allen TD, et al. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21406400
126. Shigemasa K, et al. Jpn. J. Cancer Res. (2002) pmid: 12036450
127. Baekelandt M, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2000) pmid: 11078490
128. Lee WS, et al. Am J Cancer Res (2015) pmid: 25628923
129. Ding Q, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid: 17495324
130. O'Driscoll L, et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 15152946
131. Quinn BA, et al. Expert Opin Investig Drugs (2011) pmid: 21851287
132. Beroukhim R, et al. Nature (2010) pmid: 20164920
133. Thomas LW, et al. FEBS Lett. (2010) pmid: 20540941

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 08 February 2022
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531