

TUMOR TYPE Liver hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)

COUNTRY CODE TW

REPORT DATE 08 Apr 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1328300-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Liver hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) NAME Su, Tung-Fa DATE OF BIRTH 29 May 1962 SEX Male MEDICAL RECORD # 47952034

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872 PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN SITE Soft Tissue **SPECIMEN ID** S110-39510 A (PF22041) SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck DATE OF COLLECTION 14 December 2021 SPECIMEN RECEIVED 25 March 2022

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

ARID1A G931* CTNNB1 D32Y KEAP1 G477S - subclonal[†] TERT promoter -124C>T

† See About the Test in appendix for details.

Report Highlights

• Evidence-matched clinical trial options based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 7)

BIOMARKER FINDINGS
Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb
GENOMIC FINDINGS
ARID1A - G931*
8 Trials see p. 7
CTNNB1 - D32Y
7 Trials see p. 9

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section				
No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section				
THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)			
none	none			
none	none			
none	none			

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

KEAP1 - G477S - subclonal TERT - promoter -124C>T p. 5 p. 6

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT

MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated

with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MSI-H was not detected in a study of 122 hepatocellular (HCC) samples⁶, although smaller studies have reported MSI in 0-18% of tumors⁷⁻¹¹, and MSI at some level has been detected in a subset of HCC tumors⁶. The prognostic significance of MSI in HCC has not been determined (PubMed, Jun 2021).

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of

genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹². Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2¹²⁻¹⁴. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers¹⁵⁻¹⁷. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{12,14,16-17}.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 3 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1¹⁸⁻²⁰, anti-PD-1 therapies¹⁸⁻²¹, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²²⁻²⁷. In multiple pan-tumor studies, higher TMB has been reported to be associated with increased ORR and OS from treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors^{18-21,28}. Higher TMB was found to be significantly associated with improved OS upon immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment for patients with 9 types of advanced tumors¹⁸. Analyses across several solid tumor types reported that patients with higher TMB (defined as \geq 16-20

Muts/Mb) achieved greater clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting monotherapy, compared with patients with higher TMB treated with chemotherapy²⁹ or those with lower TMB treated with PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting agents¹⁹. However, the KEYNOTE 158 trial of pembrolizumab monotherapy for patients with solid tumors found significant improvement in ORR for patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) compared to those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb, in a large cohort that included multiple tumor types; similar findings were observed in the KEYNOTE 028 and 012 trials^{21,28}. Together, these studies suggest that patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb may derive clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) harbors a median TMB of 3.6 mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 1% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb)³⁰. In an analysis of the TCGA Liver HCC dataset, high TMB was associated with reduced PFS and OS³¹. A retrospective study of 128 patients with HCC who underwent curative resection reported decreased recurrence-free survival for patients

with high TMB (>4.8 Muts/Mb) compared to those with low TMB (\leq 4.8 Muts/Mb) measured in tissue samples³².

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma³³⁻³⁴ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer³⁵⁻³⁶, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma³⁷⁻³⁸, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes³⁹⁻⁴³, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{39,42-43}. This sample harbors a TMB level associated with lower rates of clinical benefit from treatment with PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors compared with patients with tumors harboring higher TMB levels, based on several studies in multiple solid tumor types^{19-20,28}.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

ARID1A

ALTERATION

G931*
TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_006015

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT 2791G>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

30.3%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no therapies approved to address the mutation or loss of ARID1A in cancer. However, on the basis of limited clinical and preclinical evidence, ARID1A inactivating mutations may lead to sensitivity to ATR inhibitors such as M6620 and ceralasertib⁴⁴. In a Phase 2 study of ceralasertib in solid tumors, 2 patients with endometrial carcinoma in the cohort with loss of ARID1A expression achieved CRs on ceralasertib monotherapy; at least 1 of these 2 patients carried an inactivating ARID1A mutation. In contrast, no responses were observed for patients with normal ARID1A expression treated with ceralasertib combined with olaparib⁴⁵. One patient with small cell lung cancer harboring an ARID1A mutation

experienced a PR when treated with M6620 combined with topotecan⁴⁶. In a Phase 1 trial, a patient with metastatic colorectal cancer harboring both an ARID1A mutation and ATM loss treated with single-agent M6620 achieved a CR that was ongoing at 29 months 47 . On the basis of limited preclinical evidence from studies in ovarian cancer, ARID1A inactivation may predict sensitivity to EZH2 inhibitors⁴⁸⁻⁴⁹, which are under investigation in clinical trials. Other studies have reported that the loss of ARID1A may activate the PI₃K-AKT pathway and be linked with sensitivity to inhibitors of this pathway⁵⁰⁻⁵². Patients with ARID1A alterations in advanced or metastatic solid tumors may derive benefit from treatment with anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy⁵³. Loss of ARID₁A expression has been associated with chemoresistance to platinum-based therapy for patients with ovarian clear cell carcinoma⁵⁴⁻⁵⁵ and to 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer cell lines⁵⁶.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

ARID1A alterations are particularly prevalent in ovarian clear cell carcinoma (46-50%), ovarian and uterine endometrioid carcinomas (24-44%), and cholangiocarcinoma (27%); they are also reported in up to 27% of gastric carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia, pediatric Burkitt lymphoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, colorectal carcinoma,

and urothelial carcinoma samples analyzed (COSMIC, cBioPortal, Jan 2022)57-65. ARID1A loss is associated with microsatellite instability in ovarian and endometrial endometrioid adenocarcinomas^{53,66-69}, CRC^{53,70-72}, and gastric cancer^{53,73-77}. ARID₁A protein loss is associated with tumors of poor histological grade for many tumor types, including colorectal cancer (CRC)⁷⁰⁻⁷², cervical cancer⁷⁸⁻⁷⁹, gastric cancer⁷³⁻⁷⁷, urothelial carcinoma⁸⁰⁻⁸², ovarian and endometrial cancers55,66-69,83-87, breast carcinoma88-90, and clear cell renal cell carcinoma⁹¹; ARID₁A mutation has been associated with poor outcomes for patients with cholangiocarcinoma⁹²⁻⁹⁵. However, prognostic data regarding patient survival are often mixed and conflicting.

FINDING SUMMARY

ARID1A encodes the AT-rich interactive domain-containing protein 1A, also known as Baf250a, a member of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex. Mutation, loss, or inactivation of ARID1A has been reported in many cancers, and the gene is considered a tumor suppressor^{61,76,89,96-101}. ARID1A mutations, which are mostly truncating, have been identified along the entire gene and often correlate with ARID1A protein loss^{61,74,97-98,102}, whereas ARID1A missense mutations are mostly uncharacterized.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

CTNNB1

ALTERATION

D32Y

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_001904

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

94G>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

30.6%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Mutation or activation of CTNNB1 signaling has been shown to increase mTOR signaling, promote tumorigenesis, and respond to mTOR inhibition in preclinical studies¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵. Small studies have reported clinical benefit following treatment of everolimus combined with other targeted agents for patients with CTNNB1-mutated hepatocellular carcinoma¹⁰⁶⁻¹⁰⁷ or endometrial carcinoma¹⁰⁸. In preclinical studies, CTNNB1 activating mutations have been shown to increase expression of WNT pathway member DKK1, which may promote tumor cell proliferation and immune evasion¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹. A Phase 1 trial of DKK1-targeting antibody DKN-01 in combination with paclitaxel in esophageal cancer reported a PR rate in 2 out of 4 patients and SD rate of in 1 out of 4 patients with CTNNB1 activating mutations, compared with 24% (10/41) PR and 37% (15/41) SD in unselected patients¹¹². Multiple preclinical studies in cancer

models harboring CTNNB1 mutation or betacatenin pathway activation have reported activation of the NOTCH pathway and sensitivity to pharmacologic inhibition of NOTCH signaling by gamma-secretase inhibitors of Notch signaling by gamma-secretase inhibitors patients of gamma-secretase inhibitor PF-03084014 have shown high response rates in patients with desmoid tumors, which are driven by activating CTNNB1 mutations in the majority of cases patients of gamma-secretase inhibitors. Although WNT pathway inhibitors have been explored preclinically in CTNNB1-mutated cells, clinical data supporting this therapeutic approach are lacking 104,119-121.

- Potential Resistance -

A preclinical study reported that expression of activated mutated CTNNB1 led to resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy in a mouse model of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)¹²². However, clinical studies have reported conflicting results. In one study of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICPI) as treatment for HCC, 7/7 patients with CTNNB1 alterations experienced PD; additionally, patients with tumors with WNT pathway activation (including alterations in CTNNB1, AXIN1, AXIN2, or APC) experienced significantly shorter median PFS (2.0 vs. 7.4 months, HR=9.2) and numerically shorter median OS (9.1 vs. 15.2 months, HR=2.6, p=0.11) compared to those with non-WNT-pathway-altered tumors¹²³. In contrast, another clinical study treating HCC with ICPI reported similar PD rates in patients with or without CTNNB1 alterations (2/4 vs. 13/24), as

well as no significant differences in median PFS or OS between patients with or without mutations in WNT pathway genes (PFS 2.5 vs 3.1 months, p=0.32; OS 11.5 vs 16.5 months, p=0.57)¹²⁴. Whether CTNNB1 alterations promote innate resistance to ICPI therefore remains unclear.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

CTNNB1 mutations have been reported in 10-18% of hepatocellular carcinomas (HCC) in general, with one study identifying CTNNB1 as the most frequently mutated oncogene in HCC¹²⁵⁻¹²⁷. Some studies have reported that CTNNB1 mutation correlates with favorable prognosis in patients with HCC¹²⁸⁻¹²⁹, but another study suggested that beta-catenin nuclear accumulation, which was correlated with CTNNB1 exon 3 mutations, is associated with poor outcome in patients with this tumor type¹³⁰. Solid tumors with WNT/beta-catenin pathway alterations, as seen here, were observed to have significantly less T-cell inflammation in one study¹³¹.

FINDING SUMMARY

CTNNB1 encodes beta-catenin, a key downstream component of the WNT signaling pathway. Beta-catenin interacts with cadherin to regulate cell-cell adhesion; as a component of the WNT pathway, it also plays a role in development, cell proliferation, and cell differentiation ¹³². CTNNB1 exon 3 mutations, such as observed here, lead to increased beta-catenin protein stability and activation of the WNT pathway, and are considered to be activating ¹³³⁻¹⁵¹.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

KEAP1

ALTERATION G477S - subclonal

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_012289

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

1429G>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 0.84%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

A study of patients with localized non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) identified pathogenic KEAP1 and NFE2L2 mutations as predictors of local recurrence following radiotherapy but not surgery; limited preclinical data also showed that treatment with a glutaminase inhibitor sensitized KEAP1-mutated NSCLC cells to radiation 152. In other preclinical studies, treatment with AKT inhibitors sensitized lung cancer cells harboring KEAP1 or NFE2L2 mutations to both chemotherapy and radiation therapy $^{153-154}$. Mixed clinical data have been reported for the association between KEAP1 mutations and the response to immunotherapy. A pan-cancer study of immunotherapy showed that patients with KEAP1 mutations had shorter OS (10 vs. 20 months) than those without 155. However, another study across solid tumors showed that KEAP1 mutations were associated with higher tumor mutational burden

(TMB) and PD-L1 expression, as well as improved survival outcomes with immunotherapy compared with other treatments (20.0 vs. 11.5 months)¹⁵⁶. For patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), a study of PD-L1 inhibitors showed that patients with concurrent mutations of STK11 and KEAP1 (n=39) experienced significantly shorter PFS (1.6 vs. 2.5 months, HR=1.5) and OS (4 vs. 11 months, HR=1.9) compared with patients with STK11- and KEAP1-wildtype tumors (n=210) despite significantly higher TMB in the group harboring STK11 and KEAP1 mutations (median 9.4 vs. 6.1 Muts/Mb)¹⁵⁷. Retrospective analyses of patients with NSCLC who received immunotherapy reported reduced OS (p=0.040) for patients harboring KEAP1- or NFE2L2-mutated tumors¹⁵⁸ or STK11- or KEAP1-mutated tumors (p < 0.001)159 compared with those without. Studies of immune checkpoint inhibitors for patients with lung adenocarcinoma showed that coexisting mutations between KEAP1, PBRM1, SMARCA4, STK11, and KRAS were associated with worse OS160. An exploratory analysis of a subset of patients with PD-L1-positive NSCLC treated in the first-line setting with pembrolizumab showed similar ORR, PFS, and OS when comparing patients with STK11 or KEAP1 mutations and those without 161. In addition, preclinical data suggest that KEAP1 inactivation increases tumor demand for glutamine and increases tumor sensitivity to glutaminase inhibitors like telaglenastat¹⁶²⁻¹⁶⁴. Limited clinical data suggest that KEAP1 mutations may predict improved clinical benefit from combinations of glutaminase inhibitors and anti-PD-1 inhibitors¹⁶⁵; a Phase 1/2 study of the

glutaminase inhibitor telaglenastat (CB-839) plus nivolumab to treat advanced NSCLC reported better clinical benefit rates and median PFS for patients with KEAP1 mutations (75% [3/4] vs. 15% [2/13], 6.4 vs. 3.7 months), KRAS mutations (38% [3/8] vs. 20% [2/10], 4.5 vs. 3.7 months), or KEAP1 and KRAS concurrent mutations (100% [2/2] vs. 13% [1/8], 7.2 vs. 3.7 months) compared with patients without these mutations 165. The KEAP1 mutation has also been identified as a potential biomarker for sensitivity to combined AKT and TXNRD1 inhibition in lung cancer 166.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Somatic mutation of KEAP1 occurs in a range of solid tumors, including gastric, hepatocellular, colorectal, and lung cancers¹⁶⁷. KEAP1 mutations are rare in hematological malignancies, occurring in fewer than 1% of samples analyzed (COSMIC, 2022)⁵⁷. In a retrospective analysis of the pan-solid MSKCC dataset, KEAP1 mutation correlated with reduced OS (13,28 vs. 26.53 months)¹⁵⁶.

FINDING SUMMARY

KEAP1 encodes a substrate adaptor protein that regulates the cellular response to oxidative stress by providing substrate specificity for a CUL3-dependent ubiquitin ligase¹⁶⁸. KEAP1 exerts anti-tumor effects through negative regulation of NRF2, a transcription factor encoded by NFE2L2¹⁶⁹⁻¹⁷¹; KEAP1 inactivation promotes cancer progression through NRF2-mediated chemoresistance and cell growth¹⁷⁰⁻¹⁷¹.

TUMOR TYPE
Liver hepatocellular carcinoma
(HCC)

REPORT DATE 08 Apr 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1328300-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

TERT

ALTERATION promoter -124C>T

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_198253

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

-124C>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

34.2%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Therapeutic options for targeting tumors with TERT mutations are limited, although a variety of approaches have been investigated, including immunotherapies using TERT as a tumor-associated antigen and antisense oligonucleotide-or peptide-based therapies. TERT peptide vaccines

showed limited anticancer efficacy in clinical trials¹⁷²; however, in one preclinical study, the combination of a TERT peptide vaccine and anti-CTLA-4 therapy suppressed tumor growth¹⁷³. A Phase 2 study of the TERT inhibitor imetelstat for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer reported no improvement in PFS or OS¹⁷⁴.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TERT is one of the most commonly mutated genes in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), with mutations, predominately in the promoter region, reported in 25-59% of cases 175-177. TERT promoter mutation has been reported to be the earliest genetic event in HCC development, identified in pre-neoplastic cirrhotic lesions 175,177. The frequency of these mutations increases from low-grade pre-malignant tumors such as adenomas and dysplastic nodules to high-grade pre-malignant tumors to carcinoma, suggesting a role for TERT promoter mutation in HCC progression 175,177-178. A large-scale study reported

that TERT promoter alterations are associated with the presence of hepatitis C infection but the absence of hepatitis B infection, and are not associated with prognostic factors such as tumor size, grade, stage, or recurrence in patients with HCC^{176} .

FINDING SUMMARY

Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT, or hTERT) is a catalytic subunit of the telomerase complex, which is required to maintain appropriate chromosomal length¹⁷⁹. Activation of TERT is a hallmark of cancer, being detected in up to 80-90% of malignancies and absent in quiescent cells¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸². Mutations within the promoter region of TERT that confer enhanced TERT promoter activity have been reported in two hotspots, located at -124 bp and -146 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site (also termed C228T and C250T, respectively)¹⁸³⁻¹⁸⁵, as well as tandem mutations at positions -124/-125 bp and -138/-139 bp¹⁸³.



Su, Tung-Fa

TUMOR TYPE
Liver hepatocellular carcinoma
(HCC)

REPORT DATE 08 Apr 2022

CLINICAL TRIALS

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1328300-01

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial \Rightarrow Geographical proximity \Rightarrow Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

ARID1A

RATIONALE
ARID1A loss or inactivation may predict

sensitivity to ATR inhibitors.

ALTERATION G931*

NCT04768296

Berzosertib + Topotecan in Relapsed Platinum-Resistant Small-Cell Lung Cancer (DDRiver SCLC 250)

TARGETS
TOP1, ATR

LOCATIONS: Hangzhou (China), Nanjing (China), Wuhan (China), Xi'an (China), Osaka (Japan), Beijing (China), Hirakata-shi (Japan), Takatsuki-shi (Japan), Chengdu (China), Chuo-ku (Japan)

NCT02264678 PHASE 1/2

Ascending Doses of AZD6738 in Combination With Chemotherapy and/or Novel Anti Cancer Agents

ATR, PARP, PD-L1

LOCATIONS: Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang-si (Korea, Republic of), Cambridge (United Kingdom), Withington (United Kingdom), Manchester (United Kingdom), Coventry (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom), Oxford (United Kingdom), Villejuif (France)

NCTO4657068

A Study of ART0380 for the Treatment of Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors

TARGETS
ATR

LOCATIONS: London (United Kingdom), Colorado, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Florida

NCT04802174

Lurbinectedin With Berzosertib, an ATR Kinase Inhibitor in Small Cell Cancers and High-Grade Neuroendocrine Cancers

TARGETS
ATR

LOCATIONS: Maryland

ATR Kinase Inhibitor VX-970 and Irinotecan Hydrochloride in Treating Patients With Solid Tumors That Are Metastatic or Cannot Be Removed by Surgery

LOCATIONS: California, Missouri, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Tennessee



TUMOR TYPE
Liver hepatocellular carcinoma
(HCC)

REPORT DATE 08 Apr 2022

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1328300-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT04514497	PHASE 1
Testing the Addition of an Anti-cancer Drug, BAY 1895344, to Usual Chemotherapy for Advanced Stage Solid Tumors, With a Specific Focus on Patients With Small Cell Lung Cancer, Poorly Differentiated Neuroendocrine Cancer, and Pancreatic Cancer	TARGETS ATR, TOP1
LOCATIONS: Arizona, Minnesota, Oklahoma, Connecticut, Tennessee, Florida	
NCT04266912	PHASE 1/2
Avelumab and M6620 for the Treatment of DDR Deficient Metastatic or Unresectable Solid Tumors	TARGETS ATR, PD-L1
LOCATIONS: Texas	
NCT03669601	PHASE 1
AZD6738 & Gemcitabine as Combination Therapy	TARGETS ATR
LOCATIONS: Cambridge (United Kingdom)	



TUMOR TYPE
Liver hepatocellular carcinoma
(HCC)

REPORT DATE 08 Apr 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1328300-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

GE	NE				
C	T	N	N	В	1

RATIONALE

Based on clinical and preclinical evidence, tumors with activating CTNNB1 alterations may be

sensitive to mTOR inhibitors.

ALTERATION D32Y

NCT03591965	PHASE 2
Dual TORC1/TORC2 Inhibitor ATG-008 (CC-223) in HBV Positive Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) Subjects	TARGETS mTORC1, mTORC2

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Taoyuan (Taiwan), Taichung (Taiwan), Fuzhou (China), Hangzhou (China), Shanghai (China), Nanjing (China), Hefei (China), Guangzhou (China), Changsha (China)

NCT04337463	PHASE NULL
ATG-008 Combined With Toripalimab in Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTORC1, mTORC2, PD-1
LOCATIONS: Chongqing (China), Chengdu (China)	

NCT04803318	PHASE 2
Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTOR, FGFRS, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRS, KIT, MEK

LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)

NCT03065062	PHASE 1
Study of the CDK4/6 Inhibitor Palbociclib (PD-0332991) in Combination With the PI3K/mTOR Inhibitor Gedatolisib (PF-05212384) for Patients With Advanced Squamous Cell Lung, Pancreatic, Head & Neck and Other Solid Tumors	TARGETS PI3K-alpha, PI3K-gamma, mTORC1, mTORC2, CDK4, CDK6
LOCATIONS: Massachusetts	

NCT01582191

A Phase 1 Trial of Vandetanib (a Multi-kinase Inhibitor of EGFR, VEGFR and RET Inhibitor) in Combination With Everolimus (an mTOR Inhibitor) in Advanced Cancer

TARGETS
mTOR, EGFR, SRC, RET, VEGFRS

LOCATIONS: Texas

NCT02321501	PHASE 1
Phase I/Ib Dose Escalation & Biomarker Study of Ceritinib (LDK378) + Everolimus for Locally Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors With an Expansion in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) Characterized by Abnormalities in Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase (ALK) Expression	TARGETS ROS1, ALK, mTOR
LOCATIONS: Texas	



TUMOR TYPE
Liver hepatocellular carcinoma
(HCC)

REPORT DATE 08 Apr 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1328300-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT03203525	PHASE 1
Combination Chemotherapy and Bevacizumab With the NovoTTF-100L(P) System in Treating Participants With Advanced, Recurrent, or Refractory Hepatic Metastatic Cancer	TARGETS VEGFA, mTOR
LOCATIONS: Texas	

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 08 April 2022



TUMOR TYPE Liver hepatocellular carcinoma REPORT DATE 08 Apr 2022

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1328300-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

ATR

MAP2K1 (MEK1)

SNCAIP

STK11

A344T

T55fs*12

rearrangement

F354L

PATIENT

Su, Tung-Fa



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1328300-01

APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

AND COPT NOM	BER ALIERATION	13						
ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTK	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	ЕРНА3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOCS1
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1	WT1	XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703	02/11/1	VE0171	VIIL	Wilser	****	λι Ο Ι
ARCCZ	2111217	2111703						
DNA GENE LIST:	FOR THE DETEC	TION OF SELECT	REARRANGEME	NTS				
ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV1
ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT
KMT2A (MLL)	MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA
RAF1	RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**
TMDDCC2								

TMPRSS2
*TERC is an NCRNA

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

^{**}Promoter region of TERT is interrogated



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

- Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- 5. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine

whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an ERBB2 amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of ERBB2 copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/ disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be

approximately 2%. **REPORT HIGHLIGHTS**

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS Repeatability	%CV*

^{*}Interquartile Range = 1^{st} Quartile to 3^{rd} Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 6.1.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 1,044x

APPENDIX

References

- Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- 2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 6. Goumard C. et al. Cancer Genomics Proteomics ()
- 7. Gross-Goupil M, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2003) pmid: 12640682
- 8. Zhang SH, et al. World J. Gastroenterol. (2005) pmid: 15918185
- Chiappini F, et al. Carcinogenesis (2004) pmid: 14656944
- 10. Salvucci M, et al. Oncogene (1999) pmid: 9926933
- 11. Wang L, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2001) pmid: 11494037
- 12. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 13. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- 14. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 15. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 16. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 17. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 18. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- 20. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 21. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 22. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- 23. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 24. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 25. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 26. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721 27. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 28. Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid:
- 32919526
- 29. Legrand et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 12000
- 30. Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 28420421
- 31. Shrestha R, et al. Front Oncol (2018) pmid: 30057891
- 32. Cai H, et al. J Surg Oncol (2020) pmid: 31995247
- 33. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- 34. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- **35.** Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- **36.** Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 37. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- **38.** Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 40. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- 42. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- Williamson CT, et al. Nat Commun (2016) pmid: 27958275
- 45. Aggarwal et al., 2021; ESMO Abstract 5120
- 46. Thomas A, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29252124
- 47. Yap TA, et al. J Clin Oncol (2020) pmid: 32568634
- 48. Bitler BG, et al. Nat. Med. (2015) pmid: 25686104

- 49. Kim KH, et al. Nat. Med. (2015) pmid: 26552009
- 50. Wiegand KC, et al. BMC Cancer (2014) pmid: 24559118
- 51. Huang HN, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2014) pmid: 24336158 52. Samartzis EP, et al. Oncotarget (2014) pmid: 24979463
- 53. Okamura R, et al. J Immunother Cancer (2020) pmid: 32111729
- 54. Yokoyama Y, et al. J Gynecol Oncol (2014) pmid: 24459582
- 55. Katagiri A, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2012) pmid: 22101352
- **56.** Xie C, et al. Tumour Biol. (2014) pmid: 24833095
- 57. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878
- 58. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588877
- 59. Gao J, et al. Sci Signal (2013) pmid: 23550210
- 60. Wu RC, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2014) pmid: 24618703 61. Jones S. et al. Hum. Mutat. (2012) pmid: 22009941
- 62. Dulak AM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 23525077
- 63. Streppel MM, et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 23318448
- 64. Jiao Y. et al. J. Pathol. (2014) pmid: 24293293
- 65. Ross JS, et al. Oncologist (2014) pmid: 24563076
- 66. Huang HN, et al. Histopathology (2015) pmid: 25195947
- 67. Hussein YR, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2015) pmid: 25394778
- 68. Bosse T. et al. Mod. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23702729 69. Allo G, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2014) pmid: 23887303
- 70. Chou A, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2014) pmid: 24925223
- 71. Ye J, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2014) pmid: 25311944
- 72. Wei XL, et al. World J. Gastroenterol. (2014) pmid: 25561809
- 73. Chen K, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2015) pmid: 25583476
- 74. Wang K, et al. Nat. Genet. (2011) pmid: 22037554
- 75. Abe H, et al. Virchows Arch. (2012) pmid: 22915242
- 76. Wang DD, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 22808142
- 77. Wiegand KC, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2014) pmid: 24767857
- 78. Katagiri A, et al. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer (2012) pmid: 22274316
- 79. Cho H. et al. Hum. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23427874
- 80. Gui Y, et al. Nat. Genet. (2011) pmid: 21822268
- Balbás-Martínez C, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23650517
- 82. Faraj SF, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2014) pmid: 25175170
- 83. Rahman M, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 22939958
- 84. Maeda D, et al. Int J Mol Sci (2010) pmid: 21614196
- 85. Lowery WJ, et al. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer (2012) pmid: 22193641
- 86. Fadare O. et al. Mod. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23524907
- 87. Mao TL, et al. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 24076775
- 88. Zhang X, et al. Cancer Epidemiol (2012) pmid:
- 89. Mamo A, et al. Oncogene (2012) pmid: 21892209
- 90. Zhao J, et al. Tumour Biol. (2014) pmid: 24430365 91. Lichner Z, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23416164
- 92. Feng F, et al. Int J Clin Oncol (2021) pmid: 33387086
- 93. Conci S, et al. Updates Surg (2020) pmid: 32020551
- 94. Simbolo M, et al. Sci Rep (2018) pmid: 29740198
- 95. Ruzzenente A, et al. Ann. Surg. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 26717940
- Guan B, et al. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21900401
- 97. Wiegand KC, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2010) pmid: 20942669
- 98. Jones S, et al. Science (2010) pmid: 20826764 99. Yan HB, et al. Carcinogenesis (2014) pmid: 24293408
- 100. Huang J, et al. Nat. Genet. (2012) pmid: 22922871
- 101. Chan-On W, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 24185513
- 102. Zang ZJ, et al. Nat. Genet. (2012) pmid: 22484628

- 103. Tanwar PS, et al. Biol. Reprod. (2009) pmid: 19403928
- 104. Tanwar PS, et al. PLoS ONE (2011) pmid: 21695255
- Fujishita T, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2008) pmid: 18768809
- Bhoori S, et al. J. Hepatol. (2010) pmid: 20347502 106.
- 107. Janku F, et al. Oncotarget (2014) pmid: 24931142
- Slomovitz BM, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25624430
- Niida A, et al. Oncogene (2004) pmid: 15378020
- 110. Chamorro MN, et al. EMBO J. (2005) pmid: 15592430
- 111. Kagev MH, et al. Br. J. Pharmacol. (2017) pmid: 28574171
- 112. Kagey et al., 2017; AACR Abstract 369
- 113. Kwon C, et al. Nat. Cell Biol. (2011) pmid: 21841793
- 114. Arcaroli JJ, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23868008
- 115. Shang H, et al. Cancer (2015) pmid: 26349011
- 116. Kode A, et al. Nature (2014) pmid: 24429522
- 117. Kummar et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 10563
- 118. Messersmith WA, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid:
- 119. Zhu J. et al. Carcinogenesis (2012) pmid: 22964660
- 120. Kogan Y, et al. Biochem. J. (2012) pmid: 22356261
- 121. Lachenmayer A, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22811581
- 122. Ruiz de Galarreta M, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 31186238
- Harding JJ, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 30373752 123.
- 124. von Felden J, et al. Oncogene (2021) pmid: 33097857
- 125. Chu HH, et al. Clin Mol Hepatol (2013) pmid: 23837144
- 126. Kan Z, et al. Genome Res. (2013) pmid: 23788652
- 127. Nault JC, et al. Int J Hepatol (2013) pmid: 23401783
- 128. Yuan RH, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23785431 129. Hsu HC, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2000) pmid: 10980116
- 130. Nhieu JT, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (1999) pmid: 10487827
- 131. Luke JJ. et al. Clin Cancer Res (2019) pmid: 30635339
- Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2000) pmid: 10679188
- 133. Anastas JN, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23258168
- 134. Fukuchi T, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9721853
- 135. Cancer Sci. (2003) pmid: 12824913 Takahashi Y, et al. Virchows Arch. (2006) pmid: 16523258
- 137. Tanaka Y, et al. Cancer Res. (2001) pmid: 11731417
- Abraham SC, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2002) pmid: 11943721 139. Austinat M, et al. Mol. Cancer (2008) pmid: 18282277
- 140. Wu G, et al. Mol. Cell (2003) pmid: 12820959
- 141. Provost E, et al. Oncogene (2005) pmid: 15829978
- 142. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (1999) pmid: 10072352 143. Segditsas S. et al. Oncogene (2006) pmid: 17143297
- 144. Barth AI, et al. J. Cell Biol. (1997) pmid: 9024698
- 145. Harada N, et al. EMBO J. (1999) pmid: 10545105
- 146. Hsu SC, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (1998) pmid: 9671490 147. Breuhahn K, et al. J. Pathol. (2008) pmid: 18491352
- 148. Soon PS, et al. Oncologist (2008) pmid: 18515740
- Tacon LJ, et al. Oncologist (2011) pmid: 21212436 Simon DP, et al. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. (2012) pmid: 150.
- 151. Hirotsu Y, et al. Hepatol. Res. (2016) pmid: 26850916
- 152. Binkley MS, et al. Cancer Discov (2020) pmid: 33071215 Chowdhry S, et al. Oncogene (2013) pmid: 22964642
- 154. Abazeed ME, et al. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23980093 Chen X. et al. Ann Transl Med (2020) pmid: 32175433
- 156. Xu X, et al. Oncologist (2020) pmid: 32272498 157. Arbour et al., 2018; IASLC WCLC Abstract MA19.09

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

© 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.



TUMOR TYPE
Liver hepatocellular carcinoma
(HCC)

REPORT DATE 08 Apr 2022

APPENDIX

References

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1328300-01

- 158. Zhang C, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2020) pmid: 32471565
- 159. Shang et al., 2020; WCLC Abstract P75.02
- 160. Marinelli D, et al. Ann Oncol (2020) pmid: 32866624
- 161. Cho et al., 2020; AACR Abstract CT084
- 162. Gwinn DM, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29316436
- 163. Sayin VI, et al. Elife (2017) pmid: 28967864
- 164. Romero R, et al. Nat. Med. (2017) pmid: 28967920
- **165.** Skoulidis et al., 2021; ASCO Abstract TPS9627 **166.** Dai B. et al. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23824739
- 167. Yoo NJ, et al. Histopathology (2012) pmid: 22348534
- **168.** Lo SC, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2006) pmid: 17046835
- **169.** Wakabayashi N, et al. Nat. Genet. (2003) pmid: 14517554
- 170. Kansanen E, et al. Redox Biol (2013) pmid: 24024136
- 171. Hast BE, et al. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23382044
- 172. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2017) pmid: 27245281
- **173.** Duperret EK, et al. Mol Ther (2018) pmid: 29249395
- 174. Chiappori AA, et al. Ann Oncol (2015) pmid: 25467017
- **175.** Nault JC, et al. Nat Commun (2013) pmid: 23887712 **176.** Chen YL, et al. Int J Surg (2014) pmid: 24866078
- 177. Nault JC, et al. Hepatology (2014) pmid: 25123086178. Pilati C, et al. Cancer Cell (2014) pmid: 24735922
- 179. Shay JW, et al. Semin. Cancer Biol. (2011) pmid:
- 22015685 180. Shay JW, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (1997) pmid: 9282118
- 181. Kim NW, et al. Science (1994) pmid: 7605428
- 182. Hanahan D, et al. Cell (2000) pmid: 10647931
- **183.** Horn S, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348503
- 184. Huang FW, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348506
- 185. Vinagre J, et al. Nat Commun (2013) pmid: 23887589