

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®Liquid CDx is a next generation sequencing (NGS) assay that identifies clinically relevant genomic alterations in circulating cell-free DNA.

PATIENT

DISEASE Ampullary adenocarcinoma
NAME Chen, Shui-Tung
DATE OF BIRTH 14 December 1950
SEX Male
MEDICAL RECORD # 46231429

PHYSICIAN

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Chen, Ming-Huang
MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN

SPECIMEN ID STC 12/14/1950
SPECIMEN TYPE Blood
DATE OF COLLECTION 01 November 2021
SPECIMEN RECEIVED 08 November 2021

Biomarker Findings

Blood Tumor Mutational Burden - 10 Muts/Mb
Microsatellite status - MSI-High Not Detected
Tumor Fraction - 23%

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

TP53 R273C, R267W

☐ Therapies with Clinical Benefit

10 Clinical Trials

☐ Therapies with Resistance

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

Blood Tumor Mutational Burden - 10 Muts/Mb

10 Trials see p. 6

Microsatellite status - MSI-High Not Detected

Tumor Fraction - 23%

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)

None

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)

None

MSI-High not detected. No evidence of microsatellite instability in this sample (see Appendix section).

Tumor fraction is an estimate of the percentage of circulating-tumor DNA (ctDNA) present in a cell-free DNA (cfDNA) sample based on observed aneuploid instability.

No therapies or clinical trials are associated with the Genomic Findings for this sample.

If you have questions or comments about this result, please contact your Foundation Medicine customer support representative.

Phone: 1-888-988-3639
Online: foundationmedicine.com
Email: client.services@foundationmedicine.com

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS


For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

TP53 - R273C, R267W
p. 5

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the therapies listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and/or exhaustive. Neither the therapies nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies. Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA or other national authorities; however, they might not have been approved in your respective country. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of *APC*, *ATM*, *BAP1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *BRIP1*, *CHEK2*, *FBX*, *FLCN*, *MEN1*, *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *MUTYH*, *NF1*, *NF2*, *PALB2*, *PMS2*, *POLE*, *PTEN*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, *RB1*, *RET*, *SDHA*, *SDHB*, *SDHC*, *SDHD*, *SMAD4*, *STK11*, *TGFBR2*, *TP53*, *TSC1*, *TSC2*, *VHL*, and *WT1* is recommended.

Variant Allele Frequency is not applicable for copy number alterations.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01



HISTORIC PATIENT FINDINGS		ORD-1231060-01 VAF%
Blood Tumor Mutational Burden		10 Muts/Mb
Microsatellite status		MSI-High Not Detected
Tumor Fraction		23%
TP53	● R273C	13.4%
	● R267W	1.9%

NOTE This comparison table refers only to genes and biomarkers assayed by prior FoundationOne®Liquid CDx, FoundationOne®Liquid, FoundationOne®, or FoundationOne®CDx tests. Up to five previous tests may be shown.

For some genes in FoundationOne Liquid CDx, only select exons are assayed. Therefore, an alteration found by a previous test may not have been confirmed despite overlapping gene lists. Please refer to the Appendix for the complete list of genes and exons assayed. The gene and biomarker list will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

As new scientific information becomes available, alterations that had previously been listed as Variants of Unknown Significance (VUS) may become reportable.

Tissue Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB) and blood TMB (bTMB) are estimated from the number of synonymous and non-synonymous single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and insertions and deletions (indels) per area of coding genome sampled, after the removal of known and likely oncogenic driver events and germline SNPs. Tissue TMB is calculated based on variants with an allele frequency of $\geq 5\%$, and bTMB is calculated based on variants with an allele frequency of $\geq 0.5\%$.

Not Tested = not baited, not reported on test, or test preceded addition of biomarker or gene

Not Detected = baited but not detected on test

Detected = present (VAF% is not applicable)

VAF% = variant allele frequency percentage

Cannot Be Determined = Sample is not of sufficient data quality to confidently determine biomarker status

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Blood Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT

10 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence in NSCLC and HSNCC, increased bTMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1¹⁻² and anti-PD-1³ therapies. In NSCLC, multiple clinical trials have shown patients with higher bTMB derive clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors following

single agent or combination treatments with either CTLA4 inhibitors or chemotherapy, with reported high bTMB cutpoints ranging from 6 to 16 Muts/Mb¹. In HNSCC, a Phase 3 trial showed that bTMB ≥ 16 Muts/Mb (approximate equivalency ≥ 8 Muts/Mb as measured by this assay) was associated with improved survival from treatment with a PD-L1 inhibitor alone or in combination with a CTLA-4 inhibitor⁴.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Average bTMB levels in solid tumors other than NSCLC have not been evaluated (cBioPortal, COSMIC, PubMed, Mar 2021)⁵⁻⁷. Hypermutated cases were enriched in a poor prognosis subgroup of patients with biliary tract cancer⁸, but the effects of TMB on prognosis of patients with ampullary cancer have not been extensively investigated (PubMed, Sep 2021).

FINDING SUMMARY

Blood tumor mutational burden (bTMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations from circulating tumor DNA in blood. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma⁹⁻¹⁰ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer¹¹⁻¹², treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma¹³⁻¹⁴, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes¹⁵⁻¹⁹, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{15,18-19}. This sample harbors a bTMB level that may be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents¹⁻³.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Fraction

RESULT

23%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

Specimens with high tumor fraction values have high circulating-tumor DNA (ctDNA) content, and thus higher sensitivity for identifying genomic alterations. Such specimens are at a lower risk of false negative results²⁰. However, if tumor fraction is not detected as high, it does not exclude the presence of disease burden or compromise the confidence of reported alterations. Tumor fraction levels currently have limited implications for diagnosis, surveillance, or therapy and should not

be overinterpreted or compared from one blood draw to another. There are currently no targeted approaches to address specific tumor fraction levels. In the research setting, changes in tumor fraction estimates have been associated with treatment duration and clinical response and may be a useful indicator for future cancer management²¹⁻²⁶.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Detectable ctDNA levels have been reported in a variety of tumor types, with higher tumor fraction levels reported for patients with metastatic (Stage 4) tumors compared with patients with localized disease (Stages 1 to 3)²⁷. Elevated tumor fraction levels have been reported to be associated with worse prognosis in a variety of cancer types, including pancreatic cancer²⁸, Ewing sarcoma and osteosarcoma²⁹, prostate cancer²⁴, breast cancer³⁰, leiomyosarcoma³¹, esophageal cancer³², colorectal

cancer³³, and gastrointestinal cancer³⁴.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor fraction provides an estimate of the percentage of ctDNA present in a cell-free DNA (cfDNA) sample. The tumor fraction estimate for this sample is based on the observed level of aneuploid instability. The tumor fraction algorithm utilized for FoundationOne Liquid CDx uses the allele frequencies of approximately 1,000 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) sites across the genome. Unlike the maximum somatic allele frequency (MSAF) method of estimating ctDNA content³⁵, the tumor fraction metric does not take into account the allele frequency of individual variants but rather produces a more holistic estimate of ctDNA content using data from across the genome. The amount of ctDNA detected may correlate with disease burden and response to therapy³⁶⁻³⁷.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

TP53

ALTERATION

R273C, R267W

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_000546, NM_000546

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

817C>T, 799C>T

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

There are no approved therapies to address TP53 mutation or loss. However, tumors with TP53 loss of function alterations may be sensitive to the WEE1 inhibitor adavosertib³⁸⁻⁴¹, or p53 gene therapy and immunotherapeutics such as SGT-53⁴²⁻⁴⁶ and ALT-801⁴⁷. In a Phase 1 study, adavosertib in combination with gemcitabine, cisplatin, or carboplatin elicited PRs in 9.7% (17/176) and SDs in 53.4% (94/176) of patients with solid tumors; the response rate was 21.1% (4/19) for patients with TP53 mutations versus 12.1% (4/33) for patients who were TP53 wild-type⁴⁸. A Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with chemotherapy (gemcitabine, carboplatin, paclitaxel, or doxorubicin) reported a 31.9% (30/94, 3 CR) ORR and a 73.4% (69/94) DCR for patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian, Fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer⁴⁹. A smaller Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with carboplatin achieved a 42.9% (9/21, 1 CR) ORR and a 76.2% (16/21) DCR for patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian cancer⁵⁰. The combination of adavosertib with paclitaxel and carboplatin for patients with TP53-mutated ovarian cancer also significantly increased PFS compared with paclitaxel and carboplatin alone⁵¹. In the Phase 2 VIKTORY trial, patients with TP53-mutated metastatic and/or recurrent gastric cancer experienced a 24.0% (6/25) ORR with adavosertib combined with paclitaxel⁵². A Phase 1 trial of neoadjuvant adavosertib in combination with cisplatin and

docetaxel for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) elicited a 71.4% (5/7) response rate for patients with TP53 alterations⁵³. In a Phase 1b clinical trial of SGT-53 in combination with docetaxel for patients with solid tumors, 75.0% (9/12) of evaluable patients experienced clinical benefit, including 2 confirmed and 1 unconfirmed PRs and 2 instances of SD with significant tumor shrinkage⁴⁶. Additionally, the combination of a CHK1 inhibitor and irinotecan reportedly reduced tumor growth and prolonged survival in a TP53-mutated, but not TP53-wild-type, breast cancer xenotransplant mouse model⁵⁴. Missense mutations leading to TP53 inactivation may also be sensitive to therapies that reactivate mutated p53 such as APR-246⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷. In a Phase 1b trial for patients with p53-positive high-grade serous ovarian cancer, APR-246 combined with carboplatin and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin achieved a 52% (11/21) response rate and 100% DCR⁵⁸. ATR inhibitor treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cells with biallelic inactivation of TP53 suppressed cell viability, promoted DNA damage, and attenuated xenograft growth in preclinical studies⁵⁹⁻⁶⁰; however, ATR inhibitors as monotherapy had little effect on these parameters in solid tumor models in other preclinical studies⁶¹⁻⁶². Therefore, it is unclear whether TP53 inactivation predicts sensitivity to ATR inhibition.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TP53 mutation has been reported in 72.4% of cases in a study of patients with ampullary and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas, of which 91% and 24% of patients had a co-occurring KRAS or SMAD4 mutation, respectively⁶³. Mutation of TP53 has also been observed in 41% of ampulla of Vater carcinomas and found to be an independent predictor of poor prognosis⁶⁴.

FINDING SUMMARY

Functional loss of the tumor suppressor p53, which is encoded by the TP53 gene, is common in aggressive advanced cancers⁶⁵. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt TP53 function or

expression⁶⁶⁻⁷⁰.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

One or more of the TP53 variants observed here has been described in the ClinVar database as a likely pathogenic or pathogenic germline mutation (by an expert panel or multiple submitters) associated with Li-Fraumeni syndrome (ClinVar, Sep 2021)⁷¹. Follow-up germline testing would be needed to distinguish whether the finding in this patient is somatic or germline. Germline mutations in TP53 are associated with the very rare autosomal dominant disorder Li-Fraumeni syndrome and the early onset of many cancers⁷²⁻⁷⁴, including sarcomas⁷⁵⁻⁷⁶. Estimates for the prevalence of germline TP53 mutations in the general population range from 1:5,000⁷⁷ to 1:20,000⁷⁶. For pathogenic TP53 mutations identified during tumor sequencing, the rate of germline mutations was 1% in the overall population and 6% in tumors arising before age 30⁷⁸. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of TP53 is recommended.

POTENTIAL CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS IMPLICATIONS

Variants seen in this gene have been reported to occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion⁷⁹⁻⁸⁴. CH in this gene has been associated with increased mortality, risk of coronary heart disease, risk of ischemic stroke, and risk of secondary hematologic malignancy⁷⁹⁻⁸⁰. Clinical management of patients with CH in this gene may include monitoring for hematologic changes and reduction of controllable risk factors for cardiovascular disease⁸⁵. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH^{83,86-87}. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

IMPORTANT Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and should be investigated by the physician or

research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. There may also be compassionate use or early access programs available, which are not listed in this report. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase. Clinical trials are not ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient or

in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. However, clinicaltrials.gov does not list all clinical trials that might be available.

BIOMARKER

Blood Tumor Mutational Burden

RATIONALE

Increased tumor mutational burden may predict response to anti-PD-1 (alone or in combination

with anti-CTLA-4) or anti-PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitors.

RESULT

10 Muts/Mb

NCT04237649
PHASE NULL

KAZ954 Alone and With PDR001, NZV930 and NIR178 in Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
 ADORA2A, CD73, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Sunto Gun (Japan), Singapore (Singapore), Milano (Italy), Barcelona (Spain), California, Illinois, Missouri, Connecticut, Texas

NCT04181788
PHASE 1/2

Sasanlimab (PF-06801591, PD-1 Inhibitor) in Participants With Advanced Malignancies

TARGETS
 PD-1

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Kaohsiung (Taiwan), Shanghai (China), Nanjing (China), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Incheon (Korea, Republic of), Chongqing (China), Beijing (China), Chuo-ku (Japan), Kopeysk (Russian Federation)

NCT02829723
PHASE 1/2

Phase I/II Study of BLZ945 Single Agent or BLZ945 in Combination With PDR001 in Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
 PD-1, CSF1R

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Tainan (Taiwan), Nagoya (Japan), Koto ku (Japan), Singapore (Singapore), Tel Aviv (Israel), Zurich (Switzerland), Rozzano (Italy), Barcelona (Spain), Hospitalet de Llobregat (Spain)

NCT03530397
PHASE 1

A Study to Evaluate MEDI5752 in Subjects With Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
 PD-L1, PD-1, CTLA-4

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Cheongju-si (Korea, Republic of), Incheon (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Gyeonggi-do (Korea, Republic of), Amsterdam (Netherlands), Napoli (Italy), Roma (Italy), Villejuif Cedex (France), Barcelona (Spain)

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01

CLINICAL TRIALS
NCT03192345
PHASE 1

A First-in-human Study of the Safety, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics and Anti-tumor Activity of SAR439459 Monotherapy and Combination of SAR439459 and Cemiplimab in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
 PD-1, TGF-beta

LOCATIONS: Taipei 100 (Taiwan), Tainan (Taiwan), Kaohsiung (Taiwan), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Heidelberg West (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), Tallinn (Estonia), Hannover (Germany), Essen (Germany), Utrecht (Netherlands)

NCT04261439
PHASE 1

A Phase I/Ib Study of NIZ985 Alone and in Combination With Spartalizumab

TARGETS
 PD-1

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Chuo ku (Japan), Essen (Germany), Napoli (Italy), Leuven (Belgium), Barcelona (Spain), California, Texas

NCT03565445
PHASE 1

A Study of ASP1948, Targeting an Immune Modulatory Receptor, in Subjects With Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
 PD-1, NRP1

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Tokyo (Japan), Chiba (Japan), Meldola (Italy), Modena (Italy), Newcastle upon Tyne (United Kingdom), Monza (Italy), Milano (Italy), Glasgow (United Kingdom)

NCT03799003
PHASE 1

A Study of ASP1951 in Subjects With Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
 PD-1, TNFRSF18

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Taichung (Taiwan), Daegu (Korea, Republic of), Chungcheongbukdo (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Gyeonggi-do (Korea, Republic of), Washington, California, Nevada

NCT03179436
PHASE 1/2

Safety, Pharmacokinetics (PK), and Efficacy of MK-1308 in Combination With Pembrolizumab in Advanced Solid Tumors (MK-1308-001)

TARGETS
 CTLA-4, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Hangzhou (China), Chongqing (China), Beijing (China), Cairns (Australia), Brisbane (Australia), Kurralta Park (Australia), Waratah (Australia), Blacktown (Australia), Wollstonecraft (Australia), Melbourne (Australia)

NCT04169672
PHASE 2

Study of Surufatinib Combined With Toripalimab in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
 CSF1R, FGFR1, VEGFRs, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China), Beijing (China)

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01

APPENDIX
Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

APC
D739A

ATM
D2720Y

AXL
R236C

C17ORF39
P72S

CHEK2
A294V and G403V

EGFR
Q141H

LTK
C384R

NOTCH1
T1128M

NTRK1
R273W

PTEN
M205V

RET
A416P

SPEN
S2292L

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01

APPENDIX

Genes assayed in FoundationOne®Liquid CDx

FoundationOne Liquid CDx interrogates 324 genes, including 309 genes with complete exonic (coding) coverage and 15 genes with only select non-coding coverage (indicated with an *); 75 genes (indicated in bold) are captured with increased sensitivity and have complete exonic (coding) coverage unless otherwise noted.

ABL1 Exons 4-9	ACVR1B	AKT1 Exon 3	AKT2	AKT3	ALK Exons 20-29, Introns 18, 19	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF Exons 4, 5, 7, 11, 13, 15, 16	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BCR* Introns 8, 13, 14	BRAF Exons 11-18, Introns 7-10	BRCA1 Introns 2, 7, 8, 12, 16, 19, 20	BRCA2 Intron 2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1
BTG2	BTK Exons 2, 15	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL
CCND1	CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD70	CD74* Introns 6-8	CD79A	CD79B
CD274 (PD-L1)	CDC73	CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B
CDKN2A	CDKN2B	CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL
CSF1R	CSF3R	CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1 Exon 3	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1
DAXX	DDR1	DDR2 Exons 5, 17, 18	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR Introns 7, 15, 24-27	EP300
EPHA3	EPHB1	EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3 Exons 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRF1
ESR1 Exons 4-8	ETV4* Intron 8	ETV5* Introns 6, 7	ETV6* Introns 5, 6	EWSR1* Introns 7-13	EZH2 Exons 4, 16, 17, 18	EZR* Introns 9-11	FAM46C	FANCA
FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12	FGF14	FGF19
FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1 Introns 1, 5, Intron 17	FGFR2 Intron 1, Intron 17	FGFR3 Exons 7, 9 (alternative designation exon 10), 14, 18, Intron 17	FGFR4	FH
FLCN	FLT1	FLT3 Exons 14, 15, 20	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3	GATA4	GATA6
GNA11 Exons 4, 5	GNA13	GNAQ Exons 4, 5	GNAS Exons 1, 8	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A	HDAC1	HGF
HNF1A	HRAS Exons 2, 3	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1 Exon 4	IDH2 Exon 4	IGF1R	IKBKE	IKZF1
INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2 Exon 14	JAK3 Exons 5, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16	JUN	KDMSA
KDMSC	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT Exons 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 17, Intron 16	KLHL6	KMT2A (MLL) Introns 6, 8-11, Intron 7	KMT2D (MLL2)

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 15 November 2021
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Shakti Ramkissoon, M.D., Ph.D., M.M. Sc, Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. · 1.888.988.3639

Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01

APPENDIX

Genes assayed in FoundationOne®Liquid CDx

FoundationOne Liquid CDx interrogates 324 genes, including 309 genes with complete exonic (coding) coverage and 15 genes with only select non-coding coverage (indicated with an *); 75 genes (indicated in bold) are captured with increased sensitivity and have complete exonic (coding) coverage unless otherwise noted.

KRAS	<i>LTK</i>	<i>LYN</i>	<i>MAF</i>	MAP2K1 (MEK1) Exons 2, 3	MAP2K2 (MEK2) Exons 2-4, 6, 7	<i>MAP2K4</i>	<i>MAP3K1</i>	<i>MAP3K13</i>
<i>MAPK1</i>	<i>MCL1</i>	MDM2	<i>MDM4</i>	<i>MED12</i>	<i>MEF2B</i>	<i>MEN1</i>	<i>MERTK</i>	MET
<i>MITF</i>	<i>MKNK1</i>	<i>MLH1</i>	MPL Exon 10	<i>MRE11A</i>	<i>MSH2</i> Intron 5	<i>MSH3</i>	<i>MSH6</i>	<i>MST1R</i>
<i>MTAP</i>	MTOR Exons 19, 30, 39, 40, 43-45, 47, 48, 53, 56	<i>MUTYH</i>	<i>MYB*</i> Intron 14	MYC Intron 1	<i>MYCL</i> (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88 Exon 4	<i>NBN</i>
NF1	<i>NF2</i>	<i>NFE2L2</i>	<i>NFKBIA</i>	<i>NKX2-1</i>	<i>NOTCH1</i>	<i>NOTCH2</i> Intron 26	<i>NOTCH3</i>	NPM1 Exons 4-6, 8, 10
NRAS Exons 2, 3	<i>NSD3</i> (WHSC1L1)	<i>NTSC2</i>	NTRK1 Exons 14, 15, Introns 8-11	<i>NTRK2</i> Intron 12	NTRK3 Exons 16, 17	<i>NUTM1*</i> Intron 1	<i>P2RY8</i>	PALB2
<i>PARK2</i>	<i>PARP1</i>	<i>PARP2</i>	<i>PARP3</i>	<i>PAX5</i>	<i>PBRM1</i>	<i>PDCD1</i> (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA Exons 12, 18, Introns 7, 9, 11
PDGFRB Exons 12-21, 23	<i>PDK1</i>	<i>PIK3C2B</i>	<i>PIK3C2G</i>	PIK3CA Exons 2, 3, 5-8, 10, 14, 19, 21 (Coding Exons 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 13, 18, 20) <i>PPP2R2A</i>	<i>PIK3CB</i>	<i>PIK3R1</i>	<i>PIM1</i>	<i>PMS2</i>
<i>POLD1</i>	<i>POLE</i>	<i>PPARG</i>	<i>PPP2R1A</i>		<i>PRDM1</i>	<i>PRKAR1A</i>	<i>PRKCI</i>	<i>PTCH1</i>
PTEN	PTPN11	<i>PTPRO</i>	<i>QKI</i>	<i>RAC1</i>	<i>RAD21</i>	<i>RAD51</i>	<i>RAD51B</i>	<i>RAD51C</i>
<i>RAD51D</i>	<i>RAD52</i>	<i>RAD54L</i>	RAF1 Exons 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 14, 15, 17, Introns 4-8	<i>RARA</i> Intron 2	RB1	<i>RBM10</i>	<i>REL</i>	RET Introns 7, 8, Exons 11, 13-16, Introns 9-11
<i>RICTOR</i>	<i>RNF43</i>	ROS1 Exons 31, 36-38, 40, Introns 31-35	<i>RPTOR</i>	<i>RSPO2*</i> Intron 1	<i>SDC4*</i> Intron 2	<i>SDHA</i>	<i>SDHB</i>	<i>SDHC</i>
<i>SDHD</i>	<i>SETD2</i>	<i>SF3B1</i>	<i>SGK1</i>	<i>SLC34A2*</i> Intron 4	<i>SMAD2</i>	<i>SMAD4</i>	<i>SMARCA4</i>	<i>SMARCB1</i>
SMO	<i>SNCAIP</i>	<i>SOC1</i>	<i>SOX2</i>	<i>SOX9</i>	<i>SPEN</i>	<i>SPOP</i>	<i>SRC</i>	<i>STAG2</i>
<i>STAT3</i>	STK11	<i>SUFU</i>	<i>SYK</i>	<i>TBX3</i>	<i>TEK</i>	<i>TERC*</i> ncRNA	TERT* Promoter	<i>TET2</i>
<i>TGFBR2</i>	<i>TIPARP</i>	<i>TMPRSS2*</i> Introns 1-3	<i>TNFAIP3</i>	<i>TNFRSF14</i>	TP53	<i>TSC1</i>	<i>TSC2</i>	<i>TYRO3</i>
<i>U2AF1</i>	VEGFA	<i>VHL</i>	<i>WHSC1</i>	<i>WT1</i>	<i>XPO1</i>	<i>XRCC2</i>	<i>ZNF217</i>	<i>ZNF703</i>

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Microsatellite (MS) status

Blood Tumor Mutational Burden (bTMB)

Tumor Fraction

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 15 November 2021
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Shakti Ramkissoon, M.D., Ph.D., M.M. Sc, Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. · 1.888.988.3639

Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne® Liquid CDx

FoundationOne Liquid CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium. The CE-IVD regulatory status of FoundationOne Liquid CDx is applicable in countries that accept and/or recognize the CE mark.



ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE LIQUID CDx

FoundationOne Liquid CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne Liquid CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne Liquid CDx is a next generation sequencing based *in vitro* diagnostic device that analyzes 324 genes. Substitutions and insertion and deletion alterations (indels) are reported in 311 genes, copy number alterations (CNAs) are reported in 310 genes, and gene rearrangements are reported in 324 genes. The test also detects the genomic signatures blood tumor mutational burden (bTMB), microsatellite instability (MSI), and tumor fraction. FoundationOne Liquid CDx utilizes circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) isolated from plasma derived from the anti-coagulated peripheral whole blood of cancer patients. The test is intended to be used as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with targeted therapies in accordance with the approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, FoundationOne Liquid CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

The FoundationOne Liquid CDx assay is performed exclusively as a laboratory service using circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) isolated from plasma derived from anti-coagulated peripheral whole blood from patients with solid malignant neoplasms. The assay employs a single DNA extraction method to obtain cfDNA from plasma from whole blood. Extracted

cfDNA undergoes whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of 324 cancer-related genes including coding exons and select introns of 309 genes, as well as only select intronic regions or non-coding regions of 15 genes. Hybrid-capture selected libraries are sequenced with deep coverage using the NovaSeq® 6000 platform. Sequence data are processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, select copy number variants, and select genomic rearrangements. Substitutions and insertion and deletion alterations (indels) are reported in 311 genes, copy number alterations (CNAs) are reported in 310 genes, and gene rearrangements are reported in 324 genes. The assay also reports tumor fraction, and genomic signatures including MSI and bTMB. A subset of targeted regions in 75 genes is baited for increased sensitivity.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. *Note:* A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

QUALIFIED ALTERATION CALLS (EQUIVOCAL)

All equivocal calls, regardless of alteration type, imply that there is adequate evidence to call the alteration with confidence. However, the repeatability of equivocal calls may be lower than non-equivocal calls.

RANKING OF THERAPIES AND CLINICAL TRIALS

Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table

Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials

Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

LIMITATIONS

1. For *in vitro* diagnostic use.
2. For prescription use only. This test must be ordered by a qualified medical professional in accordance with clinical laboratory regulations.
3. A negative result does not rule out the presence of a mutation below the limits of detection of the assay. Patients for whom no companion diagnostic alterations are detected should be considered for confirmation with an appropriately validated tumor tissue test, if available.
4. The FoundationOne Liquid CDx assay does not detect heterozygous deletions.
5. The test is not intended to provide information on cancer predisposition.
6. Performance has not been validated for cfDNA input below the specified minimum input.
7. Tissue TMB and blood TMB (bTMB) are estimated from the number of synonymous and nonsynonymous single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and insertions and deletions (indels) per area of coding genome sampled, after the removal of known and likely oncogenic driver events and germline SNPs. Tissue TMB is calculated based on variants with an allele frequency of $\geq 5\%$, and bTMB is calculated based on variants with an allele frequency of $\geq 0.5\%$.
8. Tumor fraction is the percentage of circulating-tumor DNA (ctDNA) present in a cell-free DNA (cfDNA) sample. The tumor fraction estimate is computationally derived from observed aneuploid instability in the sample.
9. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the tumor genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor. The MSI algorithm is based on genome wide analysis of 1765 microsatellite loci and not based on the 5 or 7 MSI loci described in current clinical practice guidelines for solid tissue testing.
10. Genomic findings from circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) may originate from circulating tumor DNA fragments, germline alterations, or non-tumor somatic alterations, such as clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP). Genes with alterations that may be derived from CHIP include, but are not limited to: *ASXL1*, *ATM*, *CBL*, *CHEK2*, *DNMT3A*, *JAK2*, *KMT2D* (*MLL2*), *MPL*, *MYD88*, *SF3B1*, *TET2*, *TP53*, and *U2AF1*.
11. Alterations reported may include somatic (not

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®Liquid CDx

inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. If a reported alteration is suspected to be germline, confirmatory testing should be considered in the appropriate clinical context.

12. The test is not intended to replace germline testing or to provide information about cancer predisposition.

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of follow-up germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >30%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are *ATM*, *BAP1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *BRIP1*, *CHEK2*, *FH*, *FLCN*, *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *MUTYH*, *PALB2*, *PMS2*, *POLE*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, *RET*, *SDHA*, *SDHB*, *SDHC*, *SDHD*, *TSC2*, and *VHL*, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are *ASXL1*, *ATM*, *CBL*, *CHEK2*, *DNMT3A*, *IDH2*, *JAK2*, *KMT2D* (*MLL2*), *MPL*, *MYD88*, *SF3B1*, *TET2*, and *U2AF1* and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical

context.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2021. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne Liquid CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in

conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this test or the information contained in this report.

Certain sample of variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. These include: low sample quality, deletions and insertions >40bp, or repetitive/high homology sequences. FoundationOne Liquid CDx is performed using cell-free DNA, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
Muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
OS	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 5.1.1

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1231060-01

APPENDIX
References

1. Gandara DR, et al. Nat. Med. (2018) PMID: 30082870
2. Wang Z, et al. JAMA Oncol (2019) PMID: 30816954
3. Aggarwal C, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2020) PMID: 32102950
4. Li et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 6511
5. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) PMID: 22588877
6. Gao J, et al. Sci Signal (2013) PMID: 23550210
7. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) PMID: 30371878
8. Nakamura H, et al. Nat. Genet. (2015) PMID: 26258846
9. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) PMID: 15748635
10. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) PMID: 23875803
11. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) PMID: 12379884
12. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) PMID: 25765070
13. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) PMID: 24336570
14. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) PMID: 29452419
15. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) PMID: 23636398
16. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) PMID: 23447401
17. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) PMID: 24583393
18. Nature (2012) PMID: 22810696
19. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) PMID: 25568919
20. Li et al., 2021; AACR Abstract 2231
21. Bronkhorst AJ, et al. Biomol Detect Quantif (2019) PMID: 30923679
22. Raja R, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) PMID: 30093454
23. Hrebien S, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) PMID: 30860573
24. Choudhury AD, et al. JCI Insight (2018) PMID: 30385733
25. Goodall J, et al. Cancer Discov (2017) PMID: 28450425
26. Goldberg SB, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) PMID: 29330207
27. Bettgeowda C, et al. Sci Transl Med (2014) PMID: 24553385
28. Lapin M, et al. J Transl Med (2018) PMID: 30400802
29. Shulman DS, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2018) PMID: 30131550
30. Stover DG, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) PMID: 29298117
31. Hemming ML, et al. JCO Precis Oncol (2019) PMID: 30793095
32. Egyud M, et al. Ann. Thorac. Surg. (2019) PMID: 31059681
33. Fan G, et al. PLoS ONE (2017) PMID: 28187169
34. Vu et al., 2020; DOI: 10.1200/PO.19.00204
35. Li G, et al. J Gastrointest Oncol (2019) PMID: 31602320
36. Zhang EW, et al. Cancer (2020) PMID: 32757294
37. Butler TM, et al. Cold Spring Harb Mol Case Stud (2019) PMID: 30833418
38. Hirai H, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2010) PMID: 20107315
39. Bridges KA, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) PMID: 21799033
40. Rajeshkumar NV, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) PMID: 21389100
41. Osman AA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2015) PMID: 25504633
42. Xu L, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2002) PMID: 12489850
43. Xu L, et al. Mol. Med. (2001) PMID: 11713371
44. Camp ER, et al. Cancer Gene Ther. (2013) PMID: 23470564
45. Kim SS, et al. Nanomedicine (2015) PMID: 25240597
46. Pirollo KF, et al. Mol. Ther. (2016) PMID: 27357628
47. Hajdenberg et al., 2012; ASCO Abstract e15010
48. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) PMID: 27601554
49. Moore et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 5513
50. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) PMID: 27998224
51. Oza et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 5506
52. Lee J, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) PMID: 31315834
53. Méndez E, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) PMID: 29535125
54. Ma CX, et al. J. Clin. Invest. (2012) PMID: 22446188
55. Lehmann S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) PMID: 22965953
56. Mohell N, et al. Cell Death Dis (2015) PMID: 26086967
57. Fransson Å, et al. J Ovarian Res (2016) PMID: 27179933
58. Gourley et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5571
59. Kwok M, et al. Blood (2016) PMID: 26563132
60. Boudny M, et al. Haematologica (2019) PMID: 30975914
61. Dillon MT, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) PMID: 28062704
62. Middleton FK, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2018) PMID: 30127241
63. Gleeson FC, et al. Oncotarget (2016) PMID: 27203738
64. Maffiini A, et al. Ann. Surg. (2018) PMID: 27611608
65. Brown CJ, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) PMID: 19935675
66. Joerger AC, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2008) PMID: 18410249
67. Kato S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) PMID: 12826609
68. Kamada R, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2011) PMID: 20978130
69. Zerdoumi Y, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2017) PMID: 28472496
70. Yamada H, et al. Carcinogenesis (2007) PMID: 17690113
71. Landrum MJ, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2018) PMID: 29165669
72. Bougeard G, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) PMID: 26014290
73. Sorrell AD, et al. Mol Diagn Ther (2013) PMID: 23355100
74. Nichols KE, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2001) PMID: 11219776
75. Kleihues P, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (1997) PMID: 9006316
76. Gonzalez KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) PMID: 19204208
77. Laloo F, et al. Lancet (2003) PMID: 12672316
78. Mandelker D, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) PMID: 31050713
79. Jaiswal S, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) PMID: 25426837
80. Genovese G, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) PMID: 25426838
81. Xie M, et al. Nat. Med. (2014) PMID: 25326804
82. Acuna-Hidalgo R, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2017) PMID: 28669404
83. Severson EA, et al. Blood (2018) PMID: 29678827
84. Fuster JJ, et al. Circ. Res. (2018) PMID: 29420212
85. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program (2018) PMID: 30504320
86. Chabon JJ, et al. Nature (2020) PMID: 32269342
87. Razavi P, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) PMID: 31768066