ACTOnco®+ Report

REPORT SUMMARY

REPORT DETAILS

APPENDIX

PATIENT AND SAMPLE INFORMATION

PATIENT	SPECIMEN	ORDERING PHYSICIAN
Name: 林后鍵	Type: FFPE tissue	Name: 陳三奇醫師
Gender: Male	Date received: Nov 01, 2021	Facility: 臺北榮總
Date of Birth: May 11, 1973	Collection site: Pancreas	Tel: 886-228712121
Patient ID: 34598066	Specimen ID: S11092402	Address: 臺北市北投區石牌路二段 201 號
Diagnosis: Pancreatic cancer	Lab ID: AA-21-04916	
	D/ID: NA	

VARIANT(S) WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

Only variant(s) with clinical significance are listed. See the "DETAILED TEST RESULTS" section for full details.

SINGLE NUCLEOTIDE AND SMALL INDEL VARIANTS

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coverage	Allele Frequency	COSMIC ID
APC	R1450*	483	84.5%	COSM13127
BRCA2	L429*	570	85.1%	-

COPY NUMBER VARIANTS (CNVS)

Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) information was used to infer tumor cellularity. Copy number alteration in the tumor was determined based on **83%** tumor purity.

Amplification (Copy number ≥ 8)

Chr	Gene	Copy Number
ND	ND	ND

ND, Not Detected

Homozygous deletion (Copy number=0)

Chr	Gene
ND	ND

Heterozygous deletion (Copy number=1)

Chr	Gene
chr4	*FBXW7*
chr5	*APC*
chr9	*CDKN2A*
chr13	*BRCA2, RB1*
chr15	*RAD51*
chr16	*TSC2*
chr18	*SMAD4*

TUMOR MUTATIONAL BURDEN (TMB)

3.2 muts/Mb

Muts/Mb, mutations per megabase

MICROSATELLITE INSTABILITY (MSI)

Microsatellite stable (MSS)

Note:
TMB was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco®+ to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The threshold for high mutation load is set at ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase. TMB, microsatellite status and gene copy number deletion cannot be determined if calculated tumor purity is < 30%.

Variant Analysis:
醫檢師張筑芃 博士
Chu-Yuan Chang Ph.D.
檢字第 020115 號

Sign Off
醫檢師張筑芃 博士
Chu-Yuan Chang Ph.D.
檢字第 020115 號

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS

TARGETED THERAPIES

Genomic Alterations	Therapies	Effect
Level 1		
BRCA2 L429*	Olaparib	**sensitive**
Level 3A		
BRCA2 L429*	Niraparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	**sensitive**
Level 3B		
CDKN2A Heterozygous deletion	Abemaciclib, Palbociclib, Ribociclib	**sensitive**
RAD51 Heterozygous deletion	Niraparib, Rucaparib	**sensitive**
Level 4		
BRCA2 Heterozygous deletion	Olaparib, Rucaparib	**sensitive**
RAD51 Heterozygous deletion	Olaparib	**sensitive**
TSC2 Heterozygous deletion	Everolimus, Temsirolimus	**sensitive**
FBXW7 Heterozygous deletion	Everolimus, Temsirolimus	**sensitive**
RB1 Heterozygous deletion	Abemaciclib, Palbociclib, Ribociclib	**resistant**
SMAD4 Heterozygous deletion	Cetuximab	**resistant**
FBXW7 Heterozygous deletion	Gefitinib, Regorafenib	**resistant**

‡ Refer to "ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS" section for detailed trial information.

Note: Therapies associated with benefit or lack of benefit are based on biomarkers detected in this tumor and published evidence.

Level		Description
1		FDA-recognized biomarker predictive of response to an FDA approved drug in this indication
2		Standard care biomarker (recommended as standard care by the NCCN or other expert panels) predictive of response to an FDA approved drug in this indication
3	A	Biomarkers that predict response or resistance to therapies approved by the FDA or professional societies for a different type of tumor
	B	Biomarkers that serve as inclusion criteria for clinical trials
4		Biomarkers that show plausible therapeutic significance based on small studies, few case reports or preclinical studies

IMMUNE CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS (ICI) THERAPIES

Genomic markers and alterations that are associated with response to ICI therapies

Positive Biomarker	Negative Biomarker
TMB-H: ND	EGFR aberration: ND
MSI-H: ND	MDM2/MDM4 amplification: ND
MMR biallelic inactivation: ND	STK11 biallelic inactivation: ND
PBRM1 biallelic inactivation: ND	PTEN biallelic inactivation: ND
SERPINB3/SERPINB4 mutation: ND	B2M biallelic inactivation: ND
	JAK1/2 biallelic inactivation: ND

MMR, mismatch repair; ND, not detected

Note: Tumor non-genomic factors, such as patient germline genetics, PDL1 expression, tumor microenvironment, epigenetic alterations or other factors not provided by this test may affect ICI response.

CHEMOTHERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to chemotherapies.

HORMONAL THERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to hormonal therapies.

OTHERS

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to other therapies.

Note:
Therapeutic implications provided in the test are based solely on the panel of 440 genes sequenced. Therefore, alterations in genes not covered in this panel, epigenetic and post-transcriptional and post-translational factors may also determine a patient's response to therapies. In addition, several other patient-associated clinical factors, including but not limited to, prior lines of therapies received, dosage and combinations with other therapeutic agents, patient's cancer types, sub-types, and/or stages, may also determine the patient's clinical response to therapies.

VARIANT INTERPRETATION

APC R1450*, Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

APC (adenomatous polyposis coli) gene encodes a negative regulator of the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway. It binds to β-catenin, leading to its degradation and subsequently inhibits transcriptional activation[1]. APC is also associated with cell migration and adhesion, apoptosis, and DNA repair[2][3]. APC mutations are commonly observed in colorectal cancer and are also reported in lung, breast, prostate, uterine, skin, bladder, stomach and head and neck cancers (cBioPortal, MSKCC, April 2015).

R1450* mutation results in a premature truncation of the APC protein at amino acid 1450 (UniProtKB). This mutation is predicted to lead to a loss of APC function, despite not having characterized in the literature. Loss of the second wild-type allele resulted in the biallelic inactivation of the gene.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

A study of colorectal cancer patients (n= 468) indicated that MSS tumors without any APC mutation carry a worse prognosis than single APC mutation tumors. However, tumors with two APC, KRAS, and TP53 mutations confer the poorest survival among all the subgroups examined[4].

BRCA2 L429*, Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The BRCA2 gene encodes a tumor suppressor involved in the homologous recombination pathway for double-strand DNA repair[5]. BRCA2 has been implicated as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[6]. BRCA2 germline mutations confer an increased lifetime risk of developing breast, ovarian, prostate and pancreatic cancer, limited reports of related gastric cancer, and Fanconi anemia subtype D1-associated risk of brain cancer, medulloblastoma, pharyngeal cancer, chronic lymphocytic leukemia and acute myeloid leukemia[7]. Somatic mutations in BRCA2 are highest in colorectal, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and ovarian cancers[8].

L429* mutation results in a premature truncation of the BRCA2 protein at amino acid 429 (UniProtKB). This mutation is predicted to lead to a loss of BRCA2 function, despite not having characterized in the literature. Loss of the second wild-type allele resulted in the biallelic inactivation of the gene.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

The U.S. FDA has approved olaparib in advanced ovarian cancer under several settings including (1) first-line maintenance treatment for patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline or somatic BRCA mutation who are in complete or partial response to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy[9]; (2) in combination with bevacizumab as first-line maintenance treatment for patients with homologous recombination deficiency (HRD)-

positive status[10]; (3) maintenance treatment for patients with germline BRCA-mutated recurrent ovarian cancer who are in complete or partial response to platinum-based chemotherapy[11][12]; (4) treatment for patients with germline BRCA-mutated advanced ovarian cancer who have been treated with three or more prior lines of chemotherapy[13]. In addition, olaparib has also been approved in patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline BRCA-mutated, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer who have been treated with chemotherapy in either neoadjuvant, adjuvant, or metastatic setting[14]and germline BRCA-mutated metastatic pancreatic cancer[15]. Of note, in May 2020, the U.S. FDA approved olaparib for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who carry mutations in homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes, including BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD54L, and progressed following prior treatment with enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate[16].

Rucaparib has been approved for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in a complete or partial response to platinum-based chemotherapy[17]and patients with BRCA-mutated epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer, who have been treated with two or more chemotherapies[18]. In May 2020, the U.S. FDA also approved rucaparib to treat adult patients with a deleterious BRCA mutation-associated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who have been treated with androgen receptor-directed therapy and a taxane-based chemotherapy (TRITON2, NCT02952534).

The U.S. FDA also approved niraparib for the maintenance treatment of patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response to platinum-based chemotherapy[19][20]and patients who have been treated with three or more prior lines of chemotherapy and associated with HRD positive status[21]. In addition, talazoparib for patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline BRCA-mutated, HER2 negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer[22].

***CDKN2A* Heterozygous deletion**

Biological Impact

The Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) gene encodes the p16 (p16INK4a) and p14 (ARF) proteins. p16INK4a binds to CDK4 and CDK6, inhibiting these CDKs from binding D-type cyclins and phosphorylating the retinoblastoma (RB) protein[23][24]whereas p14 (ARF) blocks the oncogenic activity of MDM2 by inhibiting MDM2-induced degradation of p53[25]. CDKN2A has been reported as a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor with one copy loss that may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[26]. Loss of CDKN2A has been frequently found in human tumors that result in uncontrolled cell proliferation[27][28].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Intact p16-Cdk4-Rb axis is known to be associated with sensitivity to cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors[29][30]. Several case reports also revealed that patients with CDKN2A-deleted tumors respond to the CDK4/6-specific inhibitor treatments[31][32][33]. However, there are clinical studies that demonstrated CDKN2A nuclear expression,

CDKN2A/CDKN2B co-deletion, or CDKN2A inactivating mutation was not associated with clinical benefit from CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as palbociclib and ribociclib, in RB-positive patients[34][35][36]. However, CDKN2A loss or mutation has been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trial evaluating CDK4/6 inhibitors efficacy in different types of solid tumors (NCT02693535, NCT02187783).

Notably, the addition of several CDK4/6 inhibitors to hormone therapies, including palbociclib in combination with letrozole, ribociclib plus letrozole, and abemaciclib combines with fulvestrant, have been approved by the U.S. FDA for the treatment of ER+ and HER2- breast cancer[30][37][38].

In a Phase I trial, a KRAS wild-type squamous non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patient with CDKN2A loss had a partial response when treated with CDK4/6 inhibitor abemaciclib[32]. Administration of combined palbociclib and MEK inhibitor PD-0325901 yield promising progression-free survival among patients with KRAS mutant non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (AACR 2017, Abstract CT046). Moreover, MEK inhibitor in combination with CDK4/6 inhibitor demonstrates significant anti-KRAS-mutant NSCLC activity and radiosensitizing effect in preclinical models[39].

A retrospective analysis demonstrated that concurrent deletion of CDKN2A with EGFR mutation in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), predicts worse overall survival after EGFR-TKI treatment[40].

***FBXW7* Heterozygous deletion**

Biological Impact

The F-box/WD repeat-containing protein 7 (FBXW7) gene encodes a protein that belongs to the SCF (SKP1-CUL1-F-box protein) E3 ligase complex. FBXW7 is recognized as a tumor suppressor which is involved in the negative regulation of oncogenes such as c-Myc[41][42], c-Jun[43], cyclin E[44], Notch family members[45][46], Aurora-A[47], mTOR[48], KLF5[49], and MCL-1[50]. Inactivating FBXW7 mutation or copy number loss may result in the accumulation of oncoproteins and therefore lead to malignant transformation[51]. FBXW7 is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[49][50][52].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Clinical efficacy of mTOR inhibitors was seen in patients harboring aberrations in the FBXW7 gene (one patient with refractory fibrolamellar hepatocellular carcinoma, and one patient with lung adenocarcinoma)[53][54]. Moreover, in vitro assay also suggested that loss or inactivation of FBXW7 may confer sensitivity to mTOR inhibitor[48].

Preclinical studies suggested that mutations or loss of FBXW7 were associated with regorafenib and oxaliplatin resistance in CRC cell lines[55][56]and gefitinib resistance in lung cancer cells[57][58].

Retrospective studies have indicated that a relatively low expression level of FBXW7 is an independent prognostic marker of poor survival for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma[59][57].

RAD51 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The RAD51 gene encodes a recombinase that is crucial for homologous recombination (HR)-mediated repair of double-strand DNA breaks (DSBs) by forming complexes with known tumor suppressors including BRCA1, BRCA2, and PALB2[60][61][62]. RAD51 has been characterized as a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[63]. Overexpression of RAD51 has been observed in many cancer cells, including pancreatic cancer and breast cancer and its hyperexpression is implicated in drug resistance[64][65][66][67][68][69][70]. Germline mutations in RAD51 are associated with increased susceptibility to breast cancer[71][72][73][74].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

RAD51 loss of function mutation has been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trial evaluating olaparib efficacy in ovarian cancer[75]; rucaparib efficacy in solid tumor (NCT04171700); talazoparib efficacy in lung cancer (NCT03377556); niraparib efficacy in pancreatic cancer (NCT03553004) or any malignancy (except prostate cancer) (NCT03207347).

Preclinical studies showed that decreased RAD51 expression could sensitize cells to olaparib-induced tumor cell cytotoxicity[76][77].

RB1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The Retinoblastoma (RB1) gene encodes a tumor suppressor that negatively regulates the cell cycle, cell division, and DNA replication[78]. Loss-of-function RB1 could lead to unregulated cell division and growth, abrogation of multiple mechanisms that safeguard against cellular transformation, and tumorigenesis[79]. RB1 has also been implicated as a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[80][81][82]. Deletion or inactivating mutation of RB1 is found in a number of tumors, including lung, prostate, bladder, breast cancers and sarcomas. RB1 mutations are found in approximately half of all retinoblastoma cases[83].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

A deleterious mutation in one or more of the three DNA repair genes ATM, RB1, and FANCC predicted pathologic response and better overall survival to cisplatin-based chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer patients[84]. High RB loss was found to be associated with improved pathologic clinical response in breast cancer patients treated with 5-fluorouracil/adriamycin/cytoxan (FAC), T/FAC, and Taxane/Adriamycin neoadjuvant therapy[85].

Clinical and experimental data suggested that a non-functional retinoblastoma pathway is associated with resistance to tamoxifen in breast cancer[86][87].

Acquired RB1 mutations were found in hormone receptor positive breast cancer patients who developed resistance to palbociclib or ribociclib treatment[88]. Preclinical data also showed that knockdown of RB1 would impair antitumor activity of CDK4/6 inhibitor, abemaciclib[89].

Two large-scale genome-sequencing projects have identified a high prevalence of mutations in TP53 and RB1 in small cell lung cancer (SCLC)[90][91]. Analyses of repeat biopsy samples from patients with EGFR-mutant adenocarcinoma that had transformed to the SCLC subtype have revealed that 100% of these patients have loss of RB1 and may be the alteration that induces this non-small-cell to small-cell transformation[87][92].

***SMAD4* Heterozygous deletion**

Biological Impact

The SMAD family member 4 (SMAD4) gene encodes a transcription factor that acts as a downstream effector in the TGF-β signaling pathway. Upon phosphorylated and activated by serine-threonine receptor kinase, Smad4 is the Co-Smad which recruits other activated R-Smad proteins to the Smad transcriptional complex and regulate TGF-β-targeted genes[93]. Smad4 has been identified as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to a weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological function[94]. SMAD4 germline mutations are associated with juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS)[95][96][97][98]. Somatic mutations of SMAD4 are commonly observed in pancreatic cancer[99], colorectal cancer (CRC)[97][100][101]and less frequently seen in other cancers such as lung adenocarcinoma[102], head and neck cancer[103][104]and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma[105].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In Chinese patients with metastatic colorectal cancer, SMAD4 or NF1 mutations are suggested as a potential biomarker for poor prognosis to cetuximab-based therapy[106]. Preclinical data demonstrated that depletion of SMAD4 by shRNA knockdown increased clonogenic survival and cetuximab resistance in HPV-negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells[107].

SMAD4 is also suggested as a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in colorectal cancer (CRC)[108][109]. CRC patients with normal SMAD4 diploidy exhibited three-fold higher benefit of 5-FU/mitomycin-based adjuvant therapy when compared with those with SMAD4 deletion[110].

Results from clinical and meta-analyses showed that loss of SMAD4 in CRC, pancreatic cancer was correlated with poor prognosis[111][112][113][114][115][116][117][118]. In cervical cancer patients, weak cytoplasmic SMAD4 expression and absent nuclear SMAD4 expression were shown to be significantly associated with poor disease-free and overall 5-year survival[119]

***TSC2* Heterozygous deletion**

Biological Impact

The tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2) gene encodes a protein called tuberin, which interact with a protein called hamartin (encoded by the TSC1 gene). This hamartin-tuberin tumor suppressor complex plays a critical role in growth control as a negative regulator of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway[120][121]. Mutations in TSC1/TSC2 tumor suppressor genes that result in inactivation of the complex are commonly found in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex[122][123][124], while the loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in TSC1/TSC2 has been identified in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)[125]and endometrial cancer[126]. TSC2 deletion, splicing-mutant, and inactivating mutations such as A1141T, G305V, S1514X, and R1032X, has been identified in TSC2-null hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell lines, patient-derived xenograft, and primary tumors. Mutations in the TSC1 and TSC2 genes cause the autosomal dominant genetic disorder tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC)[127].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Genomic alterations with activating effects of the mTOR signaling pathway (including deletion/inactivation of TSC1/TSC2) have been shown to confer sensitivity to everolimus across multiple cancer types, such as bladder cancer, gastric cancer, sarcoma, thyroid cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) as well as head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)[128][129][130]. Results from one Phase II study of advanced endometrial cancer showed that mutations in AKT1, TSC1, and TSC2 might predict sensitivity to temsirolimus[131]. Recent studies indicated that there are mTORC1-independent signaling pathways downstream of hamartin-tuberin, which may represent new therapeutic targets[132].

US FDA-APPROVED DRUG(S)

Abemaciclib (VERZENIO)

Abemaciclib is a cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) inhibitor. Abemaciclib is developed and marketed by Eli Lilly under the trade name VERZENIO.

FDA Approval Summary of Abemaciclib (VERZENIO)

monarchE NCT03155997	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2021/10/12) **HR-positive, HER2-negative** Abemaciclib+tamoxifen/aromatase inhibitor vs. Tamoxifen/aromatase inhibitor [IDFS at 36 months(%): 86.1 vs. 79.0]
MONARCH 3[133] NCT00246621	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/02/26) **HR-positive, HER2-negative** Abemaciclib + anastrozole/letrozole vs. Placebo + anastrozole/letrozole [PFS(M): 28.2 vs. 14.8]
MONARCH 1[134] NCT02102490	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2017/09/28) **HR-positive, HER2-negative** Abemaciclib [ORR(%): 19.7 vs. 17.4]
MONARCH 2[38] NCT02107703	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2017/09/28) **HR-positive, HER2-negative** Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs. Placebo + fulvestrant [PFS(M): 16.4 vs. 9.3]

Everolimus (AFINITOR)

Everolimus, a derivative of sirolimus, works as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) and blocks mTORC1-mediated downstream signals for cell growth, proliferation, and survival. Everolimus is developed and marketed by Novartis under the trade name AFINITOR.

FDA Approval Summary of Everolimus (AFINITOR)

RADIANT-4[135] NCT01524783	**Lung or gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumor** (Approved on 2016/02/26) - Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 3.9]
BOLERO-2[136] NCT00863655	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2012/07/20) **ER+/HER2-** Everolimus + exemestane vs. Placebo + exemestane [PFS(M): 7.8 vs. 3.2]

RADIANT-3[137] NCT00510068	**Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor** (Approved on 2011/05/05)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 4.6]
EXIST-1[138] NCT00789828	**Subependymal giant cell astrocytoma** (Approved on 2010/10/29)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 35.0]
RECORD-1[139] NCT00410124	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2009/05/30)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 4.9 vs. 1.9]

Niraparib (ZEJULA)

Niraparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 and -2 (PARP-1, -2). Niraparib is developed and marketed by Tesaro under the trade name ZEJULA.

FDA Approval Summary of Niraparib (ZEJULA)

QUADRA[21] NCT02354586	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2019/10/23)
	HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability)
	Niraparib [ORR(%): 24.0, DOR(M): 8.3]
NOVA[20] NCT01847274	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/03/27)
	gBRCA+ CR/PR to platinum-based chemotherapy
	Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 21 vs. 5.5]
NOVA[20] NCT01847274	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/03/27)
	gBRCA- CR/PR to platinum-based chemotherapy
	Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 9.3 vs. 3.9]

Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

Olaparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2, and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Olaparib is developed by KuDOS Pharmaceuticals and marketed by AstraZeneca under the trade name LYNPARZA.

FDA Approval Summary of Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

PROfound[16] NCT02987543	**Prostate cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/19)
	ATMm, BRCA1m, BRCA2m, BARD1m, BRIP1m, CDK12m, CHEK1m, CHEK2m, FANCLm, PALB2m, RAD51Bm, RAD51Cm, RAD51Dm, RAD54Lm
	Olaparib vs. Enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate [PFS(M): 5.8 vs. 3.5]
PAOLA-1[10] NCT02477644	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/08)
	HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability)
	Olaparib + bevacizumab vs. Placebo + bevacizumab [PFS(M): 37.2 vs. 17.7]
POLO[15] NCT02184195	**Pancreatic adenocarcinoma** (Approved on 2019/12/27)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious)
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 23.0 vs. 12.0, PFS(M): 7.4 vs. 3.8]
SOLO-1[9] NCT01844986	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/12/19)
	Germline or somatic BRCA-mutated (gBRCAm or sBRCAm)
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): NR vs. 13.8]
OlympiAD[14] NCT02000622	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/02/06)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative
	Olaparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 7 vs. 4.2]
SOLO-2/ENGOT-Ov21[140] NCT01874353	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	gBRCA+
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 19.1 vs. 5.5]

Study19[141] NCT00753545	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	-
	Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 8.4 vs. 4.8]
Study 42[142] NCT01078662	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2014/12/19)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious)
	Olaparib [ORR(%): 34.0, DOR(M): 7.9]

Palbociclib (IBRANCE)

Palbociclib is an oral, cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor specifically targeting CDK4 and CDK6, thereby inhibiting retinoblastoma (Rb) protein phosphorylation. Palbociclib is developed and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name IBRANCE.

FDA Approval Summary of Palbociclib (IBRANCE)

PALOMA-2[143] NCT01740427	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2017/03/31)
	ER+, HER2-
	Palbociclib + letrozole vs. Placebo + letrozole [PFS(M): 24.8 vs. 14.5]
PALOMA-3[144] NCT01942135	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2016/02/19)
	ER+, HER2-
	Palbociclib + fulvestrant vs. Placebo + fulvestrant [PFS(M): 9.5 vs. 4.6]

Ribociclib (KISQALI)

Ribociclib is a cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor specifically targeting cyclin D1/CDK4 and cyclin D3/CDK6, thereby inhibiting retinoblastoma (Rb) protein phosphorylation. Ribociclib is developed by Novartis and Astex Pharmaceuticals and marketed by Novartis under the trade name KISQALI.

FDA Approval Summary of Ribociclib (KISQALI)

MONALEESA-2[37] NCT01958021	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2017/03/13)
	HR+, HER2-
	Ribociclib vs. Letrozole [PFS(M): NR vs. 14.7]

Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

Rucaparib is an inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2 and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Rucaparib is developed and marketed by Clovis Oncology under the trade name RUBRACA.

FDA Approval Summary of Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

TRITON2 NCT02952534	**Prostate cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/15)
	gBRCA+, sBRCA
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 44.0, DOR(M): NE]
ARIEL3[17] NCT01968213	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/04/06)
	All|HRD|tBRCA
	Rucaparib vs. Placebo [PFS (All)(M): 10.8 vs. 5.4, PFS (HRD)(M): 13.6 vs. 5.4, PFS (tBRCA)(M): 16.6 vs. 5.4]
ARIEL2[145] NCT01482715, NCT01891344	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2016/12/19)
	Germline and/or somatic BRCA mutation
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 54.0]

Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

Talazoparib is an inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) enzymes, including PARP1 and PARP2. Talazoparib is developed and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TALZENNA.

FDA Approval Summary of Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

EMBRACA[22] NCT01945775	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/10/16)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative
	Talazoparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 8.6 vs. 5.6]

Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

Temsirolimus is a soluble ester of sirolimus (rapamycin, brand-name drug Rapamune) and functions as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex (mTORC). The inhibitory molecular mechanism is similar to Everolimus. Temsirolimus is developed by Wyeth Pharmaceuticals and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TORISEL.

FDA Approval Summary of Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

[146] NCT00065468	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2007/05/30)
	-
	Temsirolimus vs. IFN-α [OS(M): 10.9 vs. 7.3]

d=day; w=week; m=month

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS

Clinical trials shown below were selected by applying filters: study status, patient's diagnosis, intervention, location and/or biomarker(s). Please visit https://clinicaltrials.gov to search and view for a complete list of open available and updated matched trials.

No trial has been found.

DETAILED TEST RESULTS

SINGLE NUCLEOTIDE AND SMALL INDEL VARIANTS

Gene	Chr	Exon	Accession Number	cDNA Change	Amino Acid Change	Coverage	Allele Frequency	COSMIC ID
ADGRA2	8	-	NM_032777	c.719-3C>T	Splice region	810	50.4%	-
APC	**5**	**16**	**NM_000038**	**c.4348C>T**	**R1450***	**483**	**84.5%**	**COSM13127**
AXL	19	3	NM_021913	c.335C>T	T112M	1210	41.0%	-
BLM	15	12	NM_000057	c.2434A>C	K812Q	2101	42.0%	-
BRCA1	17	10	NM_007294	c.3662A>C	E1221A	1393	47.6%	COSM9110865
BRCA2	**13**	**10**	**NM_000059**	**c.1286T>A**	**L429***	**570**	**85.1%**	**-**
ETV1	7	14	NM_004956	c.1391del	G464fs	1839	36.2%	-
FAT1	4	25	NM_005245	c.12438C>A	H4146Q	1477	87.7%	-
IRF4	6	5	NM_002460	c.537G>T	R179S	1109	34.9%	-
KDM5C	X	16	NM_004187	c.2332C>T	R778*	578	68.9%	COSM4969950
MUC16	19	1	NM_024690	c.2075A>C	E692A	1830	50.4%	-
MUC16	19	3	NM_024690	c.20365T>C	S6789P	1058	48.9%	-
MUC16	19	3	NM_024690	c.17794C>A	P5932T	1610	48.6%	-
MUC16	19	3	NM_024690	c.26300C>T	T8767M	473	42.9%	COSM5006985
NEFH	22	3	NM_021076	c.1138G>A	A380T	1048	49.3%	COSM2936486
PRKN	6	12	NM_004562	c.1372A>C	M458L	2605	48.3%	-
RUNX1	21	6	NM_001001890	c.1068_1070del	P357del	554	32.3%	-
SYK	9	8	NM_001174167	c.997del	D333fs	1510	31.0%	-

Mutations with clinical relevance are highlighted in red.

林后鍵

Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

COPY NUMBER VARIANTS (CNVS)

Observed copy number (CN) for each evaluated position is shown on the y-axis. Regions referred to as amplification or deletion are shown in color. Regions without significant changes are represented in gray.



Observed CN ≥ 3 but < 4
Observed CN ≥ 4
Observed CN < 2

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

HOTSPOT GENOTYPES

Listed variants are biomarkers or hotspots that are recommended as standard care by the NCCN or other expert panels and not necessarily FDA-recognized for a particular indication. The genotypes have been manually checked to ensure sufficient coverage for each hotspot of the target gene.

Gene	Variant	Genotype Detected
BRAF	V600X	Not detected
EGFR	A763_Y764insFQEA, E709K, E709_T710delinsD, Exon 19 deletion, Exon 19 insertion, Exon 20 insertion, G719A/C/D/S, L747P, L833V, L858R, L861Q/R, S768I, T790M	Not detected
IDH2	R140Q, R172G/K/M/S	Not detected
KIT	A502_Y503dup, D419del, D579del, D816F/V/Y, D820A/E/G/Y, E554_I571del, E554_K558del, E554_V559del, Exon 11 mutation, F522C, H697Y, I563_L576del, I653T, K550_W557del, K558N, K558_E562del, K558_V559del, K558delinsNP, K642E, M552_W557del, N505I, N564_Y578del, N822H/I/K/Y, P551_M552del, P573_D579del, P577_D579del, P577_W582delinsPYD, P838L, Q556_K558del, T417_D419delinsI, T417_D419delinsRG, T574_Q575insTQLPYD, V530I, V555_L576del, V555_V559del, V559A/C/D/G, V559_V560del, V559del, V560D/G, V560del, V569_L576del, V654A, W557G/R, W557_K558del, Y553N, Y553_K558del, Y570H, Y578C	Not detected
KRAS	A146T/V/P, G12X, G13X, Q61X	Not detected
MET	D1028H/N/Y	Not detected
NRAS	G12X, G13X, Q61X	Not detected
PDGFRA	A633T, C450_K451insMIEWMI, C456_N468del, C456_R481del, D568N, D842I/V, D842_H845del, D842_M844del, D846Y, E311_K312del, G853D, H650Q, H845Y, H845_N848delinsP, I843del, N659K/R/S, N848K, P577S, Q579R, R560_V561insER, R748G, R841K, S566_E571delinsR, S584L, V469A, V536E, V544_L545insAVLVLLVIVIISLI, V561A/D, V561_I562insER, V658A, W559_R560del, Y375_K455del, Y555C, Y849C/S	Not detected
PIK3CA	C420R, E542K/V, E545A/D/G/K, H1047X, Q546E/R	Not detected

V600X= any mutation in the valine (V) at amino acid 600 being replaced by a different amino acid.
G12X = any mutation in the glycine (G) at amino acid 12 being replaced by a different amino acid.
G13X= any mutation in the glycine (G) at amino acid 13 being replaced by a different amino acid.
Q61X = any mutation in the glutamine (Q) at amino acid 61 being replaced by a different amino acid.
H1047X = any mutation in the histidine (H) at amino acid 1047 being replaced by a different amino acid.

Gene	Copy Number Detected
CDK4	2
EGFR	2
ERBB2	2
MET	2

Copy number ≥ 8 is considered amplification

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

Other known alterations that are associated with sensitivity, resistance, and toxicity to therapies.

Gene	Variant	Genotype Detected
AKT1	E17K	Not detected
ALK	C1156Y, D1203N, G1202R, L1152R, S1206Y, T1151_L1152insT	Not detected
BRAF	K601E, L597V/Q/R/S	Not detected
DPYD	D949V, I560S, splice-site mutation	Not detected
EGFR	A750P, C797S/Y, S492R	Not detected
ERBB2	V659E	Not detected
ESR1	D538G, E380Q, L469V, L536H/P/Q/R, S432L, S463P, V422del, V534E, Y537C/N/S	Not detected
FGFR3	G370C, G380R, K650E/N/R/M/T/Q, R248C, S249C, S371C, Y373C	Not detected
IDH1	R132C/G/H/L/Q/S	Not detected
MAP2K1	D67N, E203K, F53L, K57E/N, P124S, Q56P, Q56_V60del, R47Q, R49L, S222D	Not detected
PTEN	R130*/fs/G/L/P/Q	Not detected
TPMT	A154T, Y240C	Not detected

Gene	Copy Number Detected
FGFR1	2
MDM2	2
MDM4	4

Copy number ≥ 8 is considered amplification

TEST DETAILS

ABOUT ACTOnco®+

The test is a next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based assay developed for efficient and comprehensive genomic profiling of cancers. This test interrogates coding regions of 440 genes associated with cancer treatment, prognosis and diagnosis. Genetic mutations detected by this test include small-scale mutations like single nucleotide variants (SNVs), small insertions and deletions (INDELs) (≤ 15 nucleotides) and large-scale genomic alterations like copy number variations (CNVs).

See ACTOnco®+ Gene List' Section for details of gene sequenced.

DATABASE USED

- Reference genome: human genome sequence hg19
- COSMIC v.92
- Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1
- ClinVar (version 20210208)
- ACT Genomics in-house database

NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS) METHODS

Extracted genomic DNA was amplified using four pools of primer pairs targeting coding exons of analyzed genes. Amplicons were ligated with barcoded adaptors. Quality and quantity of amplified library were determined using the fragment analyzer (AATI) and Qubit (Invitrogen). Barcoded libraries were subsequently conjugated with sequencing beads by emulsion PCR and enriched using Ion Chef system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the Ion PI Hi-Q Chef Kit protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Ion 540 Kit-Chef protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequencing was performed on the Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Raw reads generated by the sequencer were mapped to the hg19 reference genome using the Ion Torrent Suite (version 5.10). Coverage depth was calculated using Torrent Coverage Analysis plug-in. Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and short insertions/deletions (INDELs) were identified using the Torrent Variant Caller plug-in (version 5.10). The coverage was down-sampled to 4000. VEP (Variant Effect Predictor) (version 100) was used to annotate every variant using databases from Clinvar (version 20210208), COSMIC v.92 and Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1. Variants with coverage ≥ 25, allele frequency ≥ 5% and actionable variants with allele frequency ≥ 2% were retained.

This test provides uniform coverage of the targeted regions, enabling target base coverage at 100x ≥ 85% with a mean coverage ≥ 500x.

Variants reported in Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1 with > 1% minor allele frequency (MAF) were

considered as polymorphisms. ACT Genomics in-house database was used to determine technical errors. Clinically actionable and biologically significant variants were determined based on the published medical literature.

The copy number variations (CNVs) were predicted as described below:
Amplicons with read counts in the lowest 5th percentile of all detectable amplicons and amplicons with a coefficient of variation ≥ 0.3 were removed. The remaining amplicons were normalized to correct the pool design bias. ONCOCNV (an established method for calculating copy number aberrations in amplicon sequencing data by Boeva et al., 2014) was applied for the normalization of total amplicon number, amplicon GC content, amplicon length, and technology-related biases, followed by segmenting the sample with a gene-aware model. The method was used as well for establishing the baseline of copy number variations from samples in ACT Genomics in-house database.

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco®+ to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The TMB calculation predicted somatic variants and applied a machine learning model with a cancer hotspot correction. TMB may be reported as “TMB-High”, “TMB-Low” or “Cannot Be Determined”. TMB-High corresponds to ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase (Muts/Mb); TMB-Low corresponds to < 7.5 Muts/Mb. TMB is reported as “Cannot Be Determined” if the tumor purity of the sample is < 30%.

Classification of microsatellite instability (MSI) status is determined by a machine learning prediction algorithm. The change of a number of repeats of different lengths from a pooled microsatellite stable (MSS) baseline in > 400 genomic loci are used as the features for the algorithm. The final output of the results is either microsatellite Stable (MSS) or microsatellite instability high (MSI-H).

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOPS)

Standard operating procedures (SOPs) are shown below:

- AG2-QP-15 Specimen Management Procedure
- AG3-QP16-03 SOP of Cancer Cell DNA and RNA Extraction
- AG3-QP16-07 SOP of Nucleic Acid Extraction with QIAsymphony SP
- AG3-QP16-08 SOP of FFPE Nucleic Acid Extraction
- AG3-QP16-10 SOP of HE Staining
- AG3-QP16-13 SOP of Library Construction and Preparation
- AG3-QP16-17 SOP of DNA Quantification with Qubit Fluorometer
- AG3-QP16-20 SOP of CE-Fragment Analysis
- AG3-QP16-22 SOP of Variant Calling
- AG3-QP16-24 SOP of Ion Torrent System Sequencing Reaction
- AG3-QP16-26 SOP of Ion Chef Preparation

- AG3-QP16-35 SOP of Variant Annotation
- AG3-QP16-96 SOP of Manual Inspection for SNVIndel Variant
- AG3-QP16-95 SOP of Manual Inspection for Copy Number Variant
- AG3-QP40-08 (02) Standard protocol for variant interpretation, curation and classification
- AG3-QP16-41 SOP of The user manual for clinical report system (CRS)

LIMITATIONS

This test does not provide information of variant causality and does not detect variants in non-coding regions that could affect gene expression. This report does not report polymorphisms and we do not classify whether a mutation is germline or somatic. Variants identified by this assay were not subject to validation by Sanger or other technologies.

NOTES

We do not exclude the possibility that pathogenic variants may not be reported by one or more of the tools and the parameters used.

PATHOLOGY EVALUATION

- H&E-stained section No.: S11092402
- Collection site: Pancreas
- Examined by: Dr. Yeh-Han Wang
- Estimated neoplastic nuclei (whole sample): The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the whole slide (%): 60%
  The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 60%
  The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the whole slide (%): 0%
  The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 0%
  Additional comment: NA
- Manual macrodissection: Not performed



The outline highlights the area of malignant neoplasm annotated by a pathologist.

SPECIMEN PHOTO(S)

- Collection date: Oct 2021
- Facility retrieved: 臺北榮總

RUN QC

- Panel: ACTOnco®+
- Mean Depth: 1069x
- Target Base Coverage at 100x: 95%

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

ACTOnco®+ GENE LIST

ABCB1*	AURKB	CBL	CDKN2B	E2F3	FAT1	GRIN2A	JAK2	MED12	NOTCH4	PMS1	RAD51D	SLCO1B3*	TNFRSF14
ABCC2*	AXIN1	CCNA1	CDKN2C	EGFR	FBXW7	GSK3B	JAK3	MEF2B	NPM1	PMS2	RAD52	SMAD2	TNFSF11
ABCG2*	AXIN2	CCNA2	CEBPA*	EP300	FCGR2B	GSTP1*	JUN*	MEN1	NQO1*	POLB	RAD54L	SMAD3	TOP1
ABL1	AXL	CCNB1	CHEK1	EPCAM	FGF1*	GSTT1*	KAT6A	MET	NRAS	POLD1	RAF1	SMAD4	TP53
ABL2	B2M	CCNB2	CHEK2	EPHA2	FGF10	HGF	KDM5A	MITF	NSD1	POLE	RARA	SMARCA4	TPMT*
ADAMTS1	BAP1	CCNB3	CIC	EPHA3	FGF14	HIF1A	KDM5C	MLH1	NTRK1	PPARG	RB1	SMARCB1	TSC1
ADAMTS13	BARD1	CCND1	CREBBP	EPHA5	FGF19*	HIST1H1C*	KDM6A	MPL	NTRK2	PPP2R1A	RBM10	SMO	TSC2
ADAMTS15	BCL10	CCND2	CRKL	EPHA7	FGF23	HIST1H1E*	KDR	MRE11	NTRK3	PRDM1	RECQL4	SOCS1*	TSHR
ADAMTS16	BCL2*	CCND3	CRLF2	EPHB1	FGF3	HNF1A	KEAP1	MSH2	PAK3	PRKAR1A	REL	SOX2*	TYMS
ADAMTS18	BCL2L1	CCNE1	CSF1R	ERBB2	FGF4*	HR	KIT	MSH6	PALB2	PRKCA	RET	SOX9	U2AF1
ADAMTS6	BCL2L2*	CCNE2	CTCF	ERBB3	FGF6	HRAS*	KMT2A	MTHFR*	PARP1	PRKCB	RHOA	SPEN	UBE2A*
ADAMTS9	BCL6	CCNH	CTLA4	ERBB4	FGFR1	HSP90AA1	KMT2C	MTOR	PAX5	PRKCG	RICTOR	SPOP	UBE2K
ADAMTSL1	BCL9	CD19	CTNNA1	ERCC1	FGFR2	HSP90AB1	KMT2D	MUC16	PAX8	PRKCI	RNF43	SRC	UBR5
ADGRA2	BCOR	CD274	CTNNB1	ERCC2	FGFR3	HSPA4	KRAS	MUC4	PBRM1	PRKCQ	ROS1	STAG2	UGT1A1*
ADH1C*	BIRC2	CD58	CUL3	ERCC3	FGFR4	HSPA5	LCK	MUC6	PDCD1	PRKDC	RPPH1	STAT3	USH2A
AKT1	BIRC3	CD70*	CYLD	ERCC4	FH	IDH1	LIG1	MUTYH	PDCD1LG2	PRKN	RPTOR	STK11	VDR*
AKT2	BLM	CD79A	CYP1A1*	ERCC5	FLCN	IDH2	LIG3	MYC	PDGFRA	PSMB8	RUNX1	SUFU	VEGFA
AKT3	BMPR1A	CD79B	CYP2B6*	ERG	FLT1	IFNL3*	LMO1	MYCL	PDGFRB	PSMB9	RUNX1T1	SYK	VEGFB
ALDH1A1*	BRAF	CDC73	CYP2C19*	ESR1	FLT3	IGF1	LRP1B	MYCN	PDIA3	PSME1	RXRA	SYNE1	VHL
ALK	BRCA1	CDH1	CYP2C8*	ESR2	FLT4	IGF1R	LYN	MYD88	PGF	PSME2	SDHA	TAF1	WT1
AMER1	BRCA2	CDK1	CYP2D6	ETV1	FOXL2*	IGF2	MALT1	NAT2*	PHOX2B*	PSME3	SDHB	TAP1	XIAP
APC	BRD4	CDK12	CYP2E1*	ETV4	FOXP1	IKBKB	MAP2K1	NBN	PIK3C2B	PTCH1	SDHC	TAP2	XPO1
AR	BRIP1	CDK2	CYP3A4*	EZH2	FRG1	IKBKE	MAP2K2	NEFH	PIK3C2G	PTEN	SDHD	TAPBP	XRCC2
ARAF	BTG1*	CDK4	CYP3A5*	FAM46C	FUBP1	IKZF1	MAP2K4	NF1	PIK3C3	PTGS2	SERPINB3	TBX3	ZNF217
ARID1A	BTG2*	CDK5	DAXX	FANCA	GATA1	IL6	MAP3K1	NF2	PIK3CA	PTPN11	SERPINB4	TEK	
ARID1B	BTK	CDK6	DCUN1D1	FANCC	GATA2	IL7R	MAP3K7	NFE2L2	PIK3CB	PTPRD	SETD2	TERT	
ARID2	BUB1B	CDK7	DDR2	FANCD2	GATA3	INPP4B	MAPK1	NFKB1	PIK3CD	PTPRT	SF3B1	TET1	
ASXL1	CALR	CDK8	DICER1	FANCE	GNA11	INSR	MAPK3	NFKBIA	PIK3CG	RAC1	SGK1	TET2	
ATM	CANX	CDK9	DNMT3A	FANCF	GNA13	IRF4	MAX	NKX2-1*	PIK3R1	RAD50	SH2D1A*	TGFBR2	
ATR	CARD11	CDKN1A	DOT1L	FANCG	GNAQ	IRS1	MCL1	NOTCH1	PIK3R2	RAD51	SLC19A1*	TMSB4X*	
ATRX	CASP8	CDKN1B	DPYD	FANCL	GNAS	IRS2*	MDM2	NOTCH2	PIK3R3	RAD51B	SLC22A2*	TNF	
AURKA	CBFB	CDKN2A	DTX1	FAS	GREM1	JAK1	MDM4	NOTCH3	PIM1	RAD51C	SLCO1B1*	TNFAIP3	

*Analysis of copy number alteration not available.

DISCLAIMER

Legal Statement

This test was developed by ACT Genomics and its performing characteristics were determined by ACT Genomics. This test result is to be used for clinical consultative purposes only and is not intended as a substitute for a clinical guidance of your doctor or another qualified medical practitioner. It should not be regarded as investigational or used for research.

The detection of genomic alterations does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; the detection of no genomic alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Treatment Decisions are the Responsibility of the Physician

Decisions on clinical care and treatment should be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, including physical examinations, information from other diagnostics tests and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this test, or the information contained in this report.

In terms of consulting a different treating physician, the patient must file an application and fulfill the listed criteria for ACT Genomics to provide the patient's report to the assigned physician. The report may not be copied or reproduced except in its totality.

Genetic Alterations and Drugs Not Presented in Ranked Order

In this report, neither any biomarker alteration nor any drug associated with a potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy.

Level of Evidence Provided

Drugs with a potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are evaluated for level of published evidence with at least one clinical efficacy case report or preclinical study. We endeavor to keep the information in the report up to date. However, customers must be aware that scientific understanding and technologies change over time, and we make no warranty as to the accuracy, suitability or currency of information provided in this report at any time.

No Guarantee of Clinical Benefit

This report makes no promises or guarantees about the effectiveness of a particular drug or any treatment procedure in any disease or in any patient. This report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug without an association of reportable genomic alteration will, in fact, provide no clinical benefit.

Liability

ACT Genomics is not affiliated with any medical facility or medical practitioner. We provide information for informational purposes only, therefore, ACT Genomics and their employees cannot be held responsible for any direct, indirect, special, incidental or consequential damages that may arise from the use of information provided in the report.

免責聲明

法律聲明

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，結果需經專業醫師解釋及判讀。基因突變資訊非必具備藥物或治療有效性指標，反之亦然。本檢驗報告提供之用藥指引不聲明或保證其臨床有效性，反之亦然。本基因檢測方法係由本公司研究開發，已經過有效性測試。

本檢驗報告非經本公司許可，不得私自變造、塗改，或以任何方式作為廣告及其他宣傳之用途。
本公司於提供檢驗報告後，即已完成本次契約義務，後續之報告解釋、判讀及用藥、治療，應自行尋求相關專業醫師協助，若需將報告移件其他醫師，本人應取得該醫師同意並填寫移件申請書，主動告知行動基因，行動基因僅能配合該醫師意願與時間提供醫師解說。

醫療決策需由醫師決定

任何治療與用藥需經由醫師在考慮病患所有健康狀況相關資訊包含健檢、其他檢測報告和病患意願後，依照該地區醫療照護標準由醫師獨立判斷。醫師不應僅依據單一報告結果(例如本檢測或本報告書內容)做決策。

基因突變與用藥資訊並非依照有效性排序

本報告中列出之生物標記變異與藥物資訊並非依照潛在治療有效性排序。

證據等級

藥物潛在臨床效益(或缺乏潛在臨床效益)的實證證據是依據至少一篇臨床療效個案報告或臨床前試驗做為評估。本公司盡力提供適時及準確之資料，但由於醫學科技之發展日新月異，本公司不就本報告提供的資料是否為準確、適宜或最新作保證。

責任

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，本公司及其員工不對任何由使用本報告之內容引起的直接、間接、特殊、連帶或衍生的損失或損害承擔責任。

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_ONC
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

SIGNALING PATHWAYS AND MOLECULAR-TARGETED AGENTS



1: Abemaciclib, Palbociclib, Ribociclib



1: Olaparib, Niraparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib



1: Everolimus, Temsirolimus

REFERENCES

1. PMID: 24200292; 2014, Curr Drug Targets;15(1):90-102
Exploiting APC function as a novel cancer therapy.

2. PMID: 18848448; 2008, Trends Cell Biol;18(12):587-96
APC shuttling to the membrane, nucleus and beyond.

3. PMID: 18662849; 2008, Cancer Lett;271(2):272-80
A novel function of adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) in regulating DNA repair.

4. PMID: 27302369; 2016, Nat Commun;7():11743
A multigene mutation classification of 468 colorectal cancers reveals a prognostic role for APC.

5. PMID: 11239455; 2001, Mol Cell;7(2):263-72
BRCA2 is required for homology-directed repair of chromosomal breaks.

6. PMID: 17597348; 2007, Ann Surg Oncol;14(9):2510-8
Heterogenic loss of the wild-type BRCA allele in human breast tumorigenesis.

7. PMID: 22193408; 2011, Nat Rev Cancer;12(1):68-78
BRCA1 and BRCA2: different roles in a common pathway of genome protection.

8. PMID: 27283171; 2016, J Natl Compr Canc Netw;14(6):795-806
The Relevance of Hereditary Cancer Risks to Precision Oncology: What Should Providers Consider When Conducting Tumor Genomic Profiling?

9. PMID: 30345884; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(26):2495-2505
Maintenance Olaparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer.

10. PMID: 31851799; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(25):2416-2428
Olaparib plus Bevacizumab as First-Line Maintenance in Ovarian Cancer.

11. PMID: 28884698; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(9):e510
Correction to Lancet Oncol 2017; 18: 1274-84.

12. PMID: 22452356; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(15):1382-92
Olaparib maintenance therapy in platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian cancer.

13. PMID: 26187614; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(19):4257-61
FDA Approval Summary: Olaparib Monotherapy in Patients with Deleterious Germline BRCA-Mutated Advanced Ovarian Cancer Treated with Three or More Lines of Chemotherapy.

14. PMID: 28578601; 2017, N Engl J Med;377(6):523-533
Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer in Patients with a Germline BRCA Mutation.

15. PMID: 31157963; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(4):317-327
Maintenance Olaparib for Germline BRCA-Mutated Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer.

16. PMID: 32343890; 2020, N Engl J Med;382(22):2091-2102
Olaparib for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer.

17. PMID: 28916367; 2017, Lancet;390(10106):1949-1961
Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

18. PMID: 28882436; 2017, Gynecol Oncol;147(2):267-275
Antitumor activity and safety of the PARP inhibitor rucaparib in patients with high-grade ovarian carcinoma and a germline or somatic BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation: Integrated analysis of data from Study 10 and ARIEL2.

19. PMID: 31562799; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(25):2391-2402
Niraparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer.

20. PMID: 27717299; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(22):2154-2164
Niraparib Maintenance Therapy in Platinum-Sensitive, Recurrent Ovarian Cancer.

21. PMID: 30948273; 2019, Lancet Oncol;20(5):636-648
Niraparib monotherapy for late-line treatment of ovarian cancer (QUADRA): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 trial.

22. PMID: 30110579; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(8):753-763
Talazoparib in Patients with Advanced Breast Cancer and a Germline BRCA Mutation.

23. PMID: 17055429; 2006, Cell;127(2):265-75
The regulation of INK4/ARF in cancer and aging.

24. PMID: 8521522; 1995, Cell;83(6):993-1000
Alternative reading frames of the INK4a tumor suppressor gene encode two unrelated proteins capable of inducing cell cycle arrest.

25. PMID: 9529249; 1998, Cell;92(6):725-34
ARF promotes MDM2 degradation and stabilizes p53: ARF-INK4a locus deletion impairs both the Rb and p53 tumor suppression pathways.

26. PMID: 16115911; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(16):5740-7
Comprehensive analysis of CDKN2A status in microdissected urothelial cell carcinoma reveals potential haploinsufficiency, a high frequency of homozygous co-deletion and associations with clinical phenotype.

27. PMID: 7550353; 1995, Nat Genet;11(2):210-2
Frequency of homozygous deletion at p16/CDKN2 in primary human tumours.

28. PMID: 24089445; 2013, Clin Cancer Res;19(19):5320-8
The cell-cycle regulator CDK4: an emerging therapeutic target in melanoma.

29. PMID: 27849562; 2017, Gut;66(7):1286-1296
Palbociclib (PD-0332991), a selective CDK4/6 inhibitor, restricts tumour growth in preclinical models of hepatocellular carcinoma.

30. PMID: 25524798; 2015, Lancet Oncol;16(1):25-35
The cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib in combination with letrozole versus letrozole alone as first-line treatment of oestrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative, advanced breast cancer (PALOMA-1/TRIO-18): a randomised phase 2 study.

31. PMID: 28283584; 2017, Oncologist;22(4):416-421
Clinical Benefit in Response to Palbociclib Treatment in Refractory Uterine Leiomyosarcomas with a Common CDKN2A Alteration.

32. PMID: 27217383; 2016, Cancer Discov;6(7):740-53
Efficacy and Safety of Abemaciclib, an Inhibitor of CDK4 and CDK6, for Patients with Breast Cancer, Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, and Other Solid Tumors.

33. PMID: 26715889; 2015, Curr Oncol;22(6):e498-501
Does CDKN2A loss predict palbociclib benefit?

34. PMID: 25501126; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(5):995-1001
CDK 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib (PD0332991) in Rb+ advanced breast cancer: phase II activity, safety, and predictive biomarker assessment.

35. PMID: 27542767; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(23):5696-5705
A Phase I Study of the Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 4/6 Inhibitor Ribociclib (LEE011) in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors and Lymphomas.

36. PMID: 24797823; 2014, Oncologist;19(6):616-22
Enabling a genetically informed approach to cancer medicine: a retrospective evaluation of the impact of comprehensive tumor profiling using a targeted next-generation sequencing panel.

37. PMID: 27717303; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(18):1738-1748
Ribociclib as First-Line Therapy for HR-Positive, Advanced Breast Cancer.

38. PMID: 28580882; 2017, J Clin Oncol;35(25):2875-2884
MONARCH 2: Abemaciclib in Combination With Fulvestrant in Women With HR+/HER2- Advanced Breast Cancer Who Had Progressed While Receiving Endocrine Therapy.

39. PMID: 26728409; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(1):122-33
Coadministration of Trametinib and Palbociclib Radiosensitizes KRAS-Mutant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancers In Vitro and In Vivo.

40. PMID: 31401335; 2019, Transl Oncol;12(11):1425-1431
Concomitant Genetic Alterations are Associated with Worse Clinical Outcome in EGFR Mutant NSCLC Patients Treated with Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors.

41. PMID: 15498494; 2004, Curr Biol;14(20):1852-7
A nucleolar isoform of the Fbw7 ubiquitin ligase regulates c-Myc and cell size.

42. PMID: 15103331; 2004, EMBO J;23(10):2116-25
Phosphorylation-dependent degradation of c-Myc is mediated by the F-box protein Fbw7.

43. PMID: 16023596; 2005, Cancer Cell;8(1):25-33
The v-Jun point mutation allows c-Jun to escape GSK3-dependent recognition and destruction by the Fbw7 ubiquitin ligase.

44. PMID: 11533444; 2001, Science;294(5540):173-7
Phosphorylation-dependent ubiquitination of cyclin E by the SCFFbw7 ubiquitin ligase.

45. PMID: 11461910; 2001, J Biol Chem;276(38):35847-53
The Notch intracellular domain is ubiquitinated and negatively regulated by the mammalian Sel-10 homolog.

46. PMID: 11425854; 2001, J Biol Chem;276(37):34371-8
Functional interaction between SEL-10, an F-box protein, and the nuclear form of activated Notch1 receptor.

47. PMID: 16863506; 2006, Cancer Sci;97(8):729-36
Fbxw7 contributes to tumor suppression by targeting multiple proteins for ubiquitin-dependent degradation.

48. PMID: 18787170; 2008, Science;321(5895):1499-502
FBXW7 targets mTOR for degradation and cooperates with PTEN in tumor suppression.

49. PMID: 20484041; 2010, Cancer Res;70(11):4728-38
The Fbw7 tumor suppressor targets KLF5 for ubiquitin-mediated degradation and suppresses breast cell proliferation.

50. PMID: 21368833; 2011, Nature;471(7336):104-9
SCF(FBW7) regulates cellular apoptosis by targeting MCL1 for ubiquitylation and destruction.

51. PMID: 18094723; 2008, Nat Rev Cancer;8(2):83-93
FBW7 ubiquitin ligase: a tumour suppressor at the crossroads of cell division, growth and differentiation.

52. PMID: 23032637; 2012, Cancer Inform;11():157-71
Haploinsufficiency of Tumor Suppressor Genes is Driven by the Cumulative Effect of microRNAs, microRNA Binding Site Polymorphisms and microRNA Polymorphisms: An In silico Approach.

53. PMID: 24586741; 2014, PLoS One;9(2):e89388
FBXW7 mutations in patients with advanced cancers: clinical and molecular characteristics and outcomes with mTOR inhibitors.

54. PMID: 24360397; 2014, Lung Cancer;83(2):300-1
Temsirolimus therapy in a patient with lung adenocarcinoma harboring an FBXW7 mutation.

55. PMID: 27399335; 2017, Oncogene;36(6):787-796
FBW7 mutations mediate resistance of colorectal cancer to targeted therapies by blocking Mcl-1 degradation.

56. PMID: 25860929; 2015, Oncotarget;6(11):9240-56
FBXW7-mutated colorectal cancer cells exhibit aberrant expression of phosphorylated-p53 at Serine-15.

57. PMID: 29633504; 2018, Mol Oncol;12(6):883-895
FBXW7 deletion contributes to lung tumor development and confers resistance to gefitinib therapy.

58. PMID: 28522751; 2017, Cancer Res;77(13):3527-3539
Targeting FBW7 as a Strategy to Overcome Resistance to Targeted Therapy in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer.

59. PMID: 24884509; 2014, Mol Cancer;13():110
Fbxw7 is an independent prognostic marker and induces apoptosis and growth arrest by regulating YAP abundance in hepatocellular carcinoma.

60. PMID: 20930833; 2010, Nature;467(7316):667-8
DNA repair: A protein giant in its entirety.

61. PMID: 20729858; 2010, Nat Struct Mol Biol;17(10):1263-5
The breast cancer tumor suppressor BRCA2 promotes the specific targeting of RAD51 to single-stranded DNA.

62. PMID: 20729832; 2010, Nature;467(7316):678-83
Purified human BRCA2 stimulates RAD51-mediated recombination.

63. PMID: 22305526; 2012, Am J Hum Genet;90(2):301-7
RAD51 haploinsufficiency causes congenital mirror movements in humans.

64. PMID: 18243065; 2008, DNA Repair (Amst);7(5):686-93
The consequences of Rad51 overexpression for normal and tumor cells.

65. PMID: 24811120; 2014, Oncotarget;5(10):3261-72
Rad51 supports triple negative breast cancer metastasis.

66. PMID: 26317153; 2015, Cell Cycle;14(19):3190-202
High levels of RAD51 perturb DNA replication elongation and cause unscheduled origin firing due to impaired CHK1

activation.

67. PMID: 21807066; 2011, Biochim Biophys Acta;1816(2):209-18
RAD51 as a potential biomarker and therapeutic target for pancreatic cancer.

68. PMID: 10851081; 2000, Oncogene;19(23):2791-5
DNA repair and recombination factor Rad51 is over-expressed in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

69. PMID: 24741789; 2014, Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi;118(1):133-40
Rad51 overexpression and resistance to genotoxic agents. A study in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe.

70. PMID: 18618591; 2009, Mol Carcinog;48(2):105-9
Rad51 overexpression rescues radiation resistance in BRCA2-defective cancer cells.

71. PMID: 10807537; 2000, J Hum Genet;45(3):133-7
Identification of Rad51 alteration in patients with bilateral breast cancer.

72. PMID: 26108708; 2015, Sci Rep;5():11588
RAD51 135G>C substitution increases breast cancer risk in an ethnic-specific manner: a meta-analysis on 21,236 cases and 19,407 controls.

73. PMID: 11248061; 2001, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A;98(6):3232-6
A single nucleotide polymorphism in the RAD51 gene modifies cancer risk in BRCA2 but not BRCA1 carriers.

74. PMID: 17999359; 2007, Am J Hum Genet;81(6):1186-200
RAD51 135G-->C modifies breast cancer risk among BRCA2 mutation carriers: results from a combined analysis of 19 studies.

75. PMID: 30353044; 2018, Br J Cancer;119(11):1401-1409
Candidate biomarkers of PARP inhibitor sensitivity in ovarian cancer beyond the BRCA genes.

76. PMID: 24577941; 2014, Mol Cancer Ther;13(5):1170-80
The use of Olaparib (AZD2281) potentiates SN-38 cytotoxicity in colon cancer cells by indirect inhibition of Rad51-mediated repair of DNA double-strand breaks.

77. PMID: 28759753; 2017, Biomed Pharmacother;94():165-168
Inhibition of Rad51 sensitizes breast cancer cells with wild-type PTEN to olaparib.

78. PMID: 22293180; 2012, J Clin Invest;122(2):425-34
Understanding pRb: toward the necessary development of targeted treatments for retinoblastoma.

79. PMID: 6320372; 1984, Science;223(4640):1028-33
Retinoblastoma: clues to human oncogenesis.

80. PMID: 27308386; 2015, Mol Cell Oncol;2(1):e968069
Conditional haploinsufficiency of the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor gene.

81. PMID: 23687339; 2013, Cancer Res;73(14):4247-55
Rb1 haploinsufficiency promotes telomere attrition and radiation-induced genomic instability.

82. PMID: 28169375; 2017, Sci Rep;7():42056
The Rb1 tumour suppressor gene modifies telomeric chromatin architecture by regulating TERRA expression.

83. PMID: 15884040; 2005, Hum Mutat;25(6):566-74
Sensitive multistep clinical molecular screening of 180 unrelated individuals with retinoblastoma detects 36 novel mutations in the RB1 gene.

84. PMID: 26238431; 2015, Eur Urol;68(6):959-67
Defects in DNA Repair Genes Predict Response to Neoadjuvant Cisplatin-based Chemotherapy in Muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer.

85. PMID: 22811582; 2012, Clin Cancer Res;18(18):5110-22
RB-pathway disruption is associated with improved response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer.

86. PMID: 21358261; 2011, Cell Cycle;10(6):956-62
A non-functional retinoblastoma tumor suppressor (RB) pathway in premenopausal breast cancer is associated with resistance to tamoxifen.

87. PMID: 17160137; 2007, J Clin Invest;117(1):218-28
The retinoblastoma tumor suppressor modifies the therapeutic response of breast cancer.

88. PMID: 29236940; 2018, Ann Oncol;29(3):640-645
Polyclonal RB1 mutations and acquired resistance to CDK 4/6 inhibitors in patients with metastatic breast cancer.

89. PMID: 29483214; 2018, Mol Cancer Ther;17(5):897-907
Preclinical Activity of Abemaciclib Alone or in Combination with Antimitotic and Targeted Therapies in Breast Cancer.

90. PMID: 22941188; 2012, Nat Genet;44(10):1104-10
Integrative genome analyses identify key somatic driver mutations of small-cell lung cancer.

91. PMID: 22941189; 2012, Nat Genet;44(10):1111-6
Comprehensive genomic analysis identifies SOX2 as a frequently amplified gene in small-cell lung cancer.

92. PMID: 25846096; 2015, Lancet Oncol;16(4):e165-72
Transformation from non-small-cell lung cancer to small-cell lung cancer: molecular drivers and cells of origin.

93. PMID: 25935112; 2015, Trends Biochem Sci;40(6):296-308
Structural determinants of Smad function in TGF-β signaling.

94. PMID: 19014666; 2008, Pathogenetics;1(1):2
Smad4 haploinsufficiency: a matter of dosage.

95. PMID: 9545410; 1998, Am J Hum Genet;62(5):1129-36
A gene for familial juvenile polyposis maps to chromosome 18q21.1.

96. PMID: 8553070; 1996, Science;271(5247):350-3
DPC4, a candidate tumor suppressor gene at human chromosome 18q21.1.

97. PMID: 8673134; 1996, Nat Genet;13(3):343-6
Evaluation of candidate tumour suppressor genes on chromosome 18 in colorectal cancers.

98. PMID: 18662538; 2008, Cell;134(2):215-30
TGFbeta in Cancer.

99. PMID: 9135016; 1997, Cancer Res;57(9):1731-4
Tumor-suppressive pathways in pancreatic carcinoma.

100. PMID: 23139211; 2013, Cancer Res;73(2):725-35
SMAD2, SMAD3 and SMAD4 mutations in colorectal cancer.

101. PMID: 22810696; 2012, Nature;487(7407):330-7
Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer.

102. PMID: 25890228; 2015, World J Surg Oncol;13():128
Clinical outcome and expression of mutant P53, P16, and Smad4 in lung adenocarcinoma: a prospective study.

103. PMID: 19841540; 2009, J Clin Invest;119(11):3208-11
Smad4: gatekeeper gene in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

104. PMID: 15867212; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(9):3191-7
Differences in Smad4 expression in human papillomavirus type 16-positive and human papillomavirus type 16-negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

105. PMID: 25589618; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(6):1447-56
Genomic analysis of metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma.

106. PMID: 29703253; 2018, BMC Cancer;18(1):479
SMAD4 and NF1 mutations as potential biomarkers for poor prognosis to cetuximab-based therapy in Chinese metastatic colorectal cancer patients.

107. PMID: 28522603; 2017, Clin Cancer Res;23(17):5162-5175
SMAD4 Loss Is Associated with Cetuximab Resistance and Induction of MAPK/JNK Activation in Head and Neck Cancer Cells.

108. PMID: 16144935; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(17):6311-6
SMAD4 levels and response to 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer.

109. PMID: 24384683; 2014, Br J Cancer;110(4):946-57
Loss of Smad4 in colorectal cancer induces resistance to 5-fluorouracil through activating Akt pathway.

110. PMID: 12237773; 2002, Br J Cancer;87(6):630-4
SMAD4 is a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in patients with colorectal cancer.

111. PMID: 25749173; 2015, Transl Oncol;8(1):18-24
A Meta-Analysis of SMAD4 Immunohistochemistry as a Prognostic Marker in Colorectal Cancer.

112. PMID: 19478385; 2009, Cell Oncol;31(3):169-78
Presence of a high amount of stroma and downregulation of SMAD4 predict for worse survival for stage I-II colon cancer patients.

113. PMID: 25681512; 2015, J Clin Pathol;68(5):341-5
Smad4 inactivation predicts for worse prognosis and response to fluorouracil-based treatment in colorectal cancer.

114. PMID: 26861460; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(12):3037-47
Reduced Expression of SMAD4 Is Associated with Poor Survival in Colon Cancer.

115. PMID: 26947875; 2016, Transl Oncol;9(1):1-7
Prognostic Value of SMAD4 in Pancreatic Cancer: A Meta-Analysis.

116. PMID: 25760429; 2015, Pancreas;44(4):660-4
SMAD4 expression predicts local spread and treatment failure in resected pancreatic cancer.

117. PMID: 22504380; 2012, Pancreas;41(4):541-6
SMAD4 genetic alterations predict a worse prognosis in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

118. PMID: 19584151; 2009, Clin Cancer Res;15(14):4674-9
SMAD4 gene mutations are associated with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer.

119. PMID: 18425078; 2008, Mod Pathol;21(7):866-75
Expression of Smad2 and Smad4 in cervical cancer: absent nuclear Smad4 expression correlates with poor survival.

120. PMID: 21157483; 2011, Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol;12(1):21-35
mTOR: from growth signal integration to cancer, diabetes and ageing.

121. PMID: 12271141; 2002, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A;99(21):13571-6
Tuberous sclerosis complex-1 and -2 gene products function together to inhibit mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)-mediated downstream signaling.

122. PMID: 9242607; 1997, Science;277(5327):805-8
Identification of the tuberous sclerosis gene TSC1 on chromosome 9q34.

123. PMID: 8269512; 1993, Cell;75(7):1305-15
Identification and characterization of the tuberous sclerosis gene on chromosome 16.

124. PMID: 1303246; 1992, Nat Genet;2(1):37-41
Linkage of an important gene locus for tuberous sclerosis to a chromosome 16 marker for polycystic kidney disease.

125. PMID: 18538015; 2008, BMC Cancer;8():163
Involvement of TSC genes and differential expression of other members of the mTOR signaling pathway in oral squamous cell carcinoma.

126. PMID: 28339086; 2017, Int J Oncol;50(5):1778-1784
Identification of novel mutations in endometrial cancer patients by whole-exome sequencing.

127. PMID: 17005952; 2006, N Engl J Med;355(13):1345-56
The tuberous sclerosis complex.

128. PMID: 22923433; 2012, Science;338(6104):221
Genome sequencing identifies a basis for everolimus sensitivity.

129. PMID: 26859683; 2016, Oncotarget;7(9):10547-56
Next-generation sequencing reveals somatic mutations that confer exceptional response to everolimus.

130. PMID: 25724664; 2015, Mol Cancer Ther;14(5):1224-35
Loss of Tuberous Sclerosis Complex 2 (TSC2) Is Frequent in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Predicts Response to mTORC1 Inhibitor Everolimus.

131. PMID: 27016228; 2016, Gynecol Oncol;141(1):43-8
Tumor mutational analysis of GOG248, a phase II study of temsirolimus or temsirolimus and alternating megestrol acetate and tamoxifen for advanced endometrial cancer (EC): An NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group study.

132. PMID: 26412398; 2015, Sci Rep;5():14534
PAK2 is an effector of TSC1/2 signaling independent of mTOR and a potential therapeutic target for Tuberous Sclerosis Complex.

133. PMID: 28968163; 2017, J Clin Oncol;35(32):3638-3646
MONARCH 3: Abemaciclib As Initial Therapy for Advanced Breast Cancer.

134. PMID: 28533223; 2017, Clin Cancer Res;23(17):5218-5224
MONARCH 1, A Phase II Study of Abemaciclib, a CDK4 and CDK6 Inhibitor, as a Single Agent, in Patients with Refractory HR+/HER2- Metastatic Breast Cancer.

135. PMID: 26703889; 2016, Lancet;387(10022):968-977
Everolimus for the treatment of advanced, non-functional neuroendocrine tumours of the lung or gastrointestinal

tract (RADIANT-4): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study.

136. PMID: 22149876; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(6):520-9
Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer.

137. PMID: 21306238; 2011, N Engl J Med;364(6):514-23
Everolimus for advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

138. PMID: 23158522; 2013, Lancet;381(9861):125-32
Efficacy and safety of everolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytomas associated with tuberous sclerosis complex (EXIST-1): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial.

139. PMID: 18653228; 2008, Lancet;372(9637):449-56
Efficacy of everolimus in advanced renal cell carcinoma: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase III trial.

140. PMID: 28754483; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(9):1274-1284
Olaparib tablets as maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed ovarian cancer and a BRCA1/2 mutation (SOLO2/ENGOT-Ov21): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

141. PMID: 27617661; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(11):1579-1589
Overall survival in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent serous ovarian cancer receiving olaparib maintenance monotherapy: an updated analysis from a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, phase 2 trial.

142. PMID: 25366685; 2015, J Clin Oncol;33(3):244-50
Olaparib monotherapy in patients with advanced cancer and a germline BRCA1/2 mutation.

143. PMID: 27959613; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(20):1925-1936
Palbociclib and Letrozole in Advanced Breast Cancer.

144. PMID: 26030518; 2015, N Engl J Med;373(3):209-19
Palbociclib in Hormone-Receptor-Positive Advanced Breast Cancer.

145. PMID: 27908594; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(1):75-87
Rucaparib in relapsed, platinum-sensitive high-grade ovarian carcinoma (ARIEL2 Part 1): an international, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial.

146. PMID: 17538086; 2007, N Engl J Med;356(22):2271-81
Temsirolimus, interferon alfa, or both for advanced renal-cell carcinoma.

ACTFusion™ Report

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_FUS
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

PATIENT	SPECIMEN	ORDERING PHYSICIAN
Name: 林后鍵	Type: FFPE tissue	Name: 陳三奇醫師
Gender: Male	Date received: Nov 01, 2021	Facility: 臺北榮總
Date of Birth: May 11, 1973	Collection site: Pancreas	Tel: 886-228712121
Patient ID: 34598066	Specimen ID: S11092402	Address: 臺北市北投區石牌路二段 201 號
Diagnosis: Pancreatic cancer	Lab ID: AA-21-04916	
	D/ID: NA	

ABOUT ACTFusion™

The test is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based in vitro diagnostic assay to detect fusion transcripts of 13 genes, including *ALK, BRAF, EGFR, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, MET, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, RET,* and *ROS1.*

VARIANT(S) WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

FUSION RESULTS

No fusion gene detected in this sample.

Variant Analysis:
醫檢師張筑芃 博士
Chu-Yuan Chang Ph.D.
檢字第 020115 號

Sign Off
醫檢師張筑芃 博士
Chu-Yuan Chang Ph.D.
檢字第 020115 號

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_FUS
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS

TARGETED THERAPIES

Not Applicable.

VARIANT INTERPRETATION

Not Applicable.

US FDA-APPROVED DRUG(S)

Not Applicable.

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_FUS
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

ONGOING CLINICAL TRIAL(S)

Clinical trials shown below were selected by applying filters: study status, patient's diagnosis, intervention, location and/or biomarker(s). Please visit https://clinicaltrials.gov to search and view for a complete list of open available and updated matched trials.

No trial has been found.

林后鍵

Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_FUS
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

ACTFusion™ GENE LIST

ALK	***BRAF***	***EGFR***	***FGFR1***	***FGFR2***	***FGFR3***	***MET***	***NRG1***
NTRK1	***NTRK2***	***NTRK3***	***RET***	***ROS1***	-	-	-

TEST DETAILS

SPECIMEN RECEIVED



- H&E-stained section No.: S11092402
- Collection date: Oct 2021
- Collection site: Pancreas
- Facility retrieved: 臺北榮總
- Examined by: Dr. Yeh-Han Wang
- Estimated neoplastic nuclei (whole sample): The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the whole slide (%): 60%
  The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 60%
  The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the whole slide (%): 0%
  The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 0%
  Additional comment: NA
- Manual macrodissection: Not performed

The outline highlights the area of malignant neoplasm annotated by a pathologist.

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_FUS
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS) METHODS

The extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed and subjected to library construction. The quality and quantity of the amplified library was determined using the fragment analyzer (AATI) and Qubit (Invitrogen). Sequencing was performed on the Ion 540™ Chip/ Ion 550™ Chip / Ion P1™ Chip and Ion GeneStudio™ S5 Prime System / Ion Proton™ System (Life Technologies). All assays were performed in accordance with ACT Genomics testing SOPs.

Data processing and statistical analysis for the identification of relevant fusions was performed using in-house fusion calling pipeline with default parameter setting. The four internal controls for the purpose of monitoring the overall sequencing quality of the sample were built into the assay, including CHMP2A, RABA7A, GPI, and VCP. Amplification of these genes using gene specific primers was performed, and the sequencing results were applied to the analysis pipeline to assess RNA quality. The inability of the software to detect these genes was considered a run failure. To ensure optimal sequencing quality for variant analysis, all samples had to meet the following sample quality control (QC) criteria: 1) Average unique RNA Start Sites (SS) per control Gene Specific Primer 2 (GSP 2) ≥ 10 (default), and 2) Total reads after sequencing ≥ 500,000 (recommended).

Samples passed the sample QC would be subjected to the fusion analysis pipeline for fusion transcript calling. Briefly, the analysis pipeline aligned sequenced reads to a reference genome, identified regions that map to noncontiguous regions of the genome, and applied filters to exclude probable false-positive events and annotate previously characterized fusion events. A minimum of 5 reads with 3 unique sequencing start sites that cross the breakpoints was set as the cutoff value to indicate strong evidence of fusions. RNA fusions would need to be in frame in order to generate productive transcripts. In addition, databases with details for documented fusions were used to authenticate the fusion sequence identified. Known fusions were queried using Quiver Gene Fusion Database, a curated database owned and maintained by ArcherDX. In summary, samples with detectable fusions had to meet the following criteria: 1) Number of unique start sites (SS) for the GSP2 ≥ 3. 2) Number of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction ≥ 5. 3) Percentage of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction ≥ 10%. 4) Fusions annotated in Quiver Gene Fusion Database.

DATABASE USED

Quiver Gene Fusion Database version 5.1.18

LIMITATIONS

This test has been designed to detect fusions in 13 genes sequenced. Therefore, fusion in genes not covered by this test would not be reported. For novel fusions detected in this test, Sanger sequencing confirmation is recommended if residue specimen is available.

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOPs)

Standard operating procedures (SOPs) are shown below:

- AG2-QP-15 Specimen Management Procedure
- AG3-QP16-08 SOP of FFPE Nucleic Acid Extraction
- AG3-QP16-10 SOP of HE Staining
- AG3-QP16-17 SOP of DNA Quantification with Qubit Fluorometer
- AG3-QP16-20 SOP of CE-Fragment Analysis
- AG3-QP16-24 SOP of Ion Torrent System Sequencing Reaction
- AG3-QP16-26 SOP of Ion Chef Preparation
- AG3-QP40-08 (02) Standard protocol for variant interpretation, curation and classification
- AG3-QP16-94 (01) SOP of ACTFusion v3 Library Construction and Preparation
- AG3-QP16-36(02) SOP of Fusion Gene Detection
- AG3-QP16-41 SOP of The user manual for clinical report system (CRS)

RUN QC

- Panel: ACTFusion™
- Total reads: 727188
- Average unique RNA Start Sites per control GSP2: 94

DISCLAIMER

Legal Statement

This test was developed by ACT Genomics and its performing characteristics were determined by ACT Genomics. This test result is to be used for clinical consultative purposes only and is not intended as a substitute for a clinical guidance of your doctor or another qualified medical practitioner. It should not be regarded as investigational or used for research.

The detection of genomic alterations does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; the detection of no genomic alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Treatment Decisions are the Responsibility of the Physician

Decisions on clinical care and treatment should be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, including physical examinations, information from other diagnostics tests and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this test, or the information contained in this report.

In terms of consulting a different treating physician, the patient must file an application and fulfill the listed criteria for ACT Genomics to provide the patient's report to the assigned physician. The report may not be copied or reproduced except in its totality.

Genetic Alterations and Drugs Not Presented in Ranked Order

In this report, neither any biomarker alteration nor any drug associated with a potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy.

Level of Evidence Provided

Drugs with a potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are evaluated for level of published evidence with at least one clinical efficacy case report or preclinical study. We endeavor to keep the information in the report up to date. However, customers must be aware that scientific understanding and technologies change over time, and we make no warranty as to the accuracy, suitability or currency of information provided in this report at any time.

No Guarantee of Clinical Benefit

This report makes no promises or guarantees about the effectiveness of a particular drug or any treatment procedure in any disease or in any patient. This report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug without an association of reportable genomic alteration will, in fact, provide no clinical benefit.

Liability

ACT Genomics is not affiliated with any medical facility or medical practitioner. We provide information for informational purposes only, therefore, ACT Genomics and their employees cannot be held responsible for any direct, indirect, special, incidental or consequential damages that may arise from the use of information provided in the report.

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_FUS
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

免責聲明

法律聲明

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，結果需經專業醫師解釋及判讀。基因突變資訊非必具備藥物或治療有效性指標，反之亦然。本檢驗報告提供之用藥指引不聲明或保證其臨床有效性，反之亦然。本基因檢測方法係由本公司研究開發，已經過有效性測試。

本檢驗報告非經本公司許可，不得私自變造、塗改，或以任何方式作為廣告及其他宣傳之用途。
本公司於提供檢驗報告後，即已完成本次契約義務，後續之報告解釋、判讀及用藥、治療，應自行尋求相關專業醫師協助，若需將報告移件其他醫師，本人應取得該醫師同意並填寫移件申請書，主動告知行動基因，行動基因僅能配合該醫師意願與時間提供醫師解說。

醫療決策需由醫師決定

任何治療與用藥需經由醫師在考慮病患所有健康狀況相關資訊包含健檢、其他檢測報告和病患意願後，依照該地區醫療照護標準由醫師獨立判斷。醫師不應僅依據單一報告結果(例如本檢測或本報告書內容)做決策。

基因突變與用藥資訊並非依照有效性排序

本報告中列出之生物標記變異與藥物資訊並非依照潛在治療有效性排序。

證據等級

藥物潛在臨床效益(或缺乏潛在臨床效益)的實證證據是依據至少一篇臨床療效個案報告或臨床前試驗做為評估。本公司盡力提供適時及準確之資料，但由於醫學科技之發展日新月異，本公司不就本報告提供的資料是否為準確、適宜或最新作保證。

責任

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，本公司及其員工不對任何由使用本報告之內容引起的直接、間接、特殊、連帶或衍生的損失或損害承擔責任。

林后鍵
Project ID: C21-M001-01049
Report No.: AA-21-04916_FUS
Date Reported: Nov 12, 2021

REFERENCES

Not Applicable.

林后鍵先生 您好:

感謝您選用行動基因所提供的檢測服務。行動基因經您的同意，於西元 2021 年 11 月 01 日取得您的檢體，進行 ACTOnco®+癌安克™癌症基因檢測與 ACTFusion™癌融克™癌症融合基因檢測。行動基因實驗室為通過美國病理學會 (The College of American Pathologists，CAP) (CAP#：9028096) 與臺灣衛生福利部食品藥物管理署「精準醫療分子檢測列冊登錄實驗室」(Laboratory Developed Tests and Services, LDTS) (列冊登錄編號：LDTS0001) 的認證機構。

ACTOnco®+癌安克™癌症基因檢測平台利用次世代定序分析技術，同時檢測 440 個與腫瘤相關的基因變異，並計算腫瘤突變負荷量。

ACTFusion™癌融克™癌症融合基因檢測能檢測 13 個融合基因轉錄片段。

行動基因的專業生物與醫藥資訊團隊根據您的基因檢測結果與參考文獻，評估您對藥物的反應，輔助醫師進行治療與預後分析，以體現癌症精準醫療。

本次檢測於腫瘤檢體偵測到的 BRCA1 及 BRCA2 致病性基因變異如下：

基因	cDNA 變異	胺基酸變異	突變頻率	變異分類
BRCA1	無			
BRCA2	c.1286T>A	L429*	85.1%	Strong clinical significance (致病性)

詳細變異基因描述與用藥建議，請參閱以下完整基因檢測報告內容。

基因檢測報告所提供的資訊僅作為診療參考依據之一，您必須藉由醫師綜合評估過去的治療紀錄及專業判斷，選擇最適合您的治療策略。

若您對本檢測報告有任何疑問，請隨時與我們聯繫。

行動基因 敬上