

PATIENT Liu, Keng-Yu TUMOR TYPE

Mediastinum germ cell tumor
COUNTRY CODE
TW

REPORT DATE
29 Mar 2022
ORDERED TEST #
ORD-1326257-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Mediastinum germ cell tumor NAME Liu, Keng-Yu DATE OF BIRTH 10 December 1997 SEX Male MEDICAL RECORD # 43405294 ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen

MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital

ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None

MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872

PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN

SPECIMEN SITE Mediastinum

SPECIMEN ID 21-3597 A6 (N125933495 PF040)

SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck

DATE OF COLLECTION 21 January 2021

SPECIMEN RECEIVED 21 March 2022

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 0 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

KRAS A146V PTEN P213fs*8 SDHB Q164* TP53 G245C

Report Highlights

 Evidence-matched clinical trial options based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 7)

BIOMARKER FINDINGS	THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS	
Microsatellite status - MS-Stable	No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section	
Tumor Mutational Burden - 0 Muts/Mb	No therapies or clinical trials. see B	iomarker Findings section
GENOMIC FINDINGS	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)	THERAPIES WITH CLINICA RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)
KRAS - A146V	none	none
10 Trials see p. 7		
PTEN - P213fs*8	none	none
10 Trials see <i>p.</i> 9		
PTEN - P213fs*8	none	none

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

SDHB - Q164* p. 5 TP53 - G245C p. 6

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MSI positivity at any level has been reported in 0-31% (n = 17-188) of testicular germ cell tumors (GCTs)6-14 and in 33% (12/36) of ovarian GCTs9. Within testicular GCTs, 12-31% of cases (n = 17-51) have been classified as having low-level MSI (MSI-L) and 69-100% (n = 17-133) have been classified as MSS^{10,12,14}, with MSI-high (MSI-H) rarely reported; one study identified MSI-L in 6% (6/100) and MSI-H in 0% (0/100) of unselected testicular GCTs, and MSI-L in 6% (2/35) and MSI-H in 26% (9/35) of chemotherapy-resistant cases¹⁵⁻¹⁶. The significance of MSI in the context of GCTs is not well established (PubMed, Nov 2021). One study reported MSI-H to be significantly more frequent in chemotherapy-resistant than unselected testicular GCT cases¹⁵⁻¹⁶, and some studies have associated MSI positivity with reduced PFS and/ or increased risk of recurrence^{11,15-17}; however, another study found no correlation between MSI status and clinicopathologic features, including

chemotherapy sensitivity and outcome¹².

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹⁸. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2¹⁸⁻²⁰. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers21-23, MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins $^{18,20,22-23}$.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 0 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1²⁴⁻²⁶, anti-PD-1 therapies²⁴⁻²⁷, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²⁸⁻³³. In multiple pan-tumor studies, higher TMB has been reported to be associated with increased ORR and OS from treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors^{24-27,34}. Higher TMB was found to be significantly associated with improved OS upon immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment for patients with 9 types of advanced tumors²⁴. Analyses across several solid tumor types reported

that patients with higher TMB (defined as ≥16-20 Muts/Mb) achieved greater clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting monotherapy, compared with patients with higher TMB treated with chemotherapy³⁵ or those with lower TMB treated with PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting agents²⁵. However, the KEYNOTE 158 trial of pembrolizumab monotherapy for patients with solid tumors found significant improvement in ORR for patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others) compared to those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb, in a large cohort that included multiple tumor types; similar findings were observed in the KEYNOTE 028 and 012 trials^{27,34}. Together, these studies suggest that patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb may derive clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Compared to other tumor types, germ cell tumors (GCTs) have been reported to have a low TMB (mean 0.51 mutations per megabase [muts/Mb] as measured in tissue), with a similar mutation rate reported for seminoma (0.50 muts/Mb) and non-seminoma (0.49 muts/Mb) tumors³⁶. Published

data investigating the prognostic implications of TMB in germ cell tumors are limited (PubMed, Sep 2021).

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma³⁷⁻³⁸ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer³⁹⁻⁴⁰, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁴¹⁻⁴², mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁴³⁻⁴⁷, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{43,46-47}. This sample harbors a TMB level associated with lower rates of clinical benefit from treatment with PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors compared with patients with tumors harboring higher TMB levels, based on several studies in multiple solid tumor types^{25-26,34}.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

KRAS

ALTERATION

A146V

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_004985

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

43/C>

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

58.9%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Preclinical evidence suggests that KRAS activation may predict sensitivity to MEK inhibitors, such as trametinib, binimetinib, cobimetinib, and selumetinib $^{48-53}$. In a Phase 1 study evaluating the MEK-pan-RAF dual inhibitor CH5126766, 6 patients harboring KRAS mutations experienced PRs, including 3 with non-small cell lung cancer (NCSLC), 1 with low-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (LGSOC), 1 with endometrial adenocarcinoma, and 1 with multiple myeloma⁵⁴. Combination of CH5126766 with the FAK inhibitor defactinib elicited PR rates of 50% (4/8) for patients with KRAS-mutated low-grade serous ovarian cancer and 12% (2/17) for patients with KRAS-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in a Phase 1 study⁵⁵⁻⁵⁶. Preclinical and

clinical data suggest that KRAS mutations may predict clinical benefit from SHP2 inhibitors⁵⁷⁻⁵⁸. A Phase 1 study of RMC-4630 for relapsed/ refractory solid tumors reported a DCR of 58% (23/40) for patients with NSCLC and KRAS mutations and a DCR of 75% (12/16) for patients with NSCLC and KRAS G12C mutations⁵⁹. Interim results from a Phase 1/2 study of RMC-4630 plus cobimetinib reported tumor reduction in 3 of 8 patients with KRAS-mutated colorectal cancer⁶⁰. Preclinical data suggest that KRAS mutation may confer sensitivity to SOS1 inhibitors⁶¹⁻⁶². Phase 1 studies of the SOS1 inhibitor BI 1701963 alone or in combination with MEK inhibitors, KRAS G12C inhibitors, or irinotecan are recruiting for patients with solid tumors harboring KRAS mutations $^{63-64}$. While clinical responses have been reported for patients with KRAS-mutated ovarian⁶⁵⁻⁶⁸, cervical small cell neuroendocrine⁶⁹, or uterine cancer⁶⁷ treated with MEK inhibitor monotherapy, multiple clinical trials have not demonstrated increased response rates for patients with KRAS-altered tumors including KRAS-mutated CRC70-73, pancreatic cancer⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶, and NSCLC^{71,77-78}. A Phase 2 study of trametinib and uprosertib for patients with recurrent cervical cancer reported no responses for patients with KRAS-mutated (2/2 SDs) or KRAS-amplified (1/1 SD) cancer 79 . Clinical responses have been reported for combination treatment strategies including MEK inhibitors with PI₃K or AKT inhibitors for patients with KRAS-mutated ovarian cancer80-82 and KRAS-

mutated endometrioid adenocarcinoma⁸³.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

KRAS in germ cell neoplasms has been most extensively studied in the context of testicular germ cell tumors. KRAS amplification and mutation have been observed in 6.3-30% and 0.0-40% of testicular germ cell tumors, respectively (cBioPortal, Feb 2022)⁸⁴⁻⁸⁹. KRAS mutations have been infrequently reported in ovarian germ cell tumors, but have been identified in malignant tumors arising from teratomas ⁹⁰⁻⁹². KRAS amplification and mutation have been linked to invasive growth of testicular germ cell tumors but were found not to provide predictive information regarding response to therapy and patient survival^{16,93-94}.

FINDING SUMMARY

KRAS encodes a member of the RAS family of small GTPases. Activating mutations in RAS genes can cause uncontrolled cell proliferation and tumor formation^{49,95}. KRAS alterations affecting amino acids G12, G13, Q22, P34, A59, Q61, and A146, as well as mutations G10_A11insG, G10_A11insAG (also reported as G10_A11dup and G12_G13insAG), A18D, L19F, D33E, G60_A66dup/E62_A66dup, E62K, E63K, R68S, and K117N have been characterized as activating and oncogenic^{49,96-118}.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

PTEN

ALTERATION P213fs*8

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_000314

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT 638delC

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 72.0%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

PTEN loss or mutation leads to activation of the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway and may predict sensitivity to inhibitors of this pathway¹¹⁹⁻¹²². Across various tissues, most clinical studies have not observed an association between PTEN deficiency and response to inhibitors of the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway. However, limited studies in prostate cancer¹²³⁻¹²⁶, renal cell carcinoma¹²⁷, breast cancer¹²⁸⁻¹²⁹, and colorectal cancer¹³⁰ have reported an association between PTEN deficiency and response to inhibitors targeting the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway. Preclinical data indicate that PTEN loss or inactivation may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors¹³¹⁻¹³⁵, and clinical benefit has been observed for patients with PTEN-altered

breast cancer including triple negative breast cancer¹³⁶, ovarian cancer¹³⁷, uterine leiomyosarcoma¹³⁸, and endometrial cancer¹³⁵ treated with PARP inhibitors. However, some studies have reported a lack of association between PTEN mutation and PARP inhibitor sensitivity¹³⁹⁻¹⁴⁰.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

PTEN mutations have been reported in <1% of 459 testicular and 1.6% of 63 ovarian germ cell tumors analyzed in the COSMIC database (Dec 2021)141. Mediastinum germ cell tumors commonly manifest in adult male patients as testicular germ cell tumors (TGCT). PTEN loss and subsequent activation of the AKT pathway has been observed to be common in TGCT, through PTEN mutation or loss of heterozygosity¹⁴²⁻¹⁴⁴. Loss of PTEN has been associated with the development of germ cell tumors in numerous studies145-147. One study examining PTEN expression in 60 germ cell tumors and adjacent precancerous lesions found that PTEN expression was present in all normal cells and precancerous lesions, but absent from 56-100% of germ cell tumors. The authors suggest that PTEN loss is therefore a late event in the development of germ cell tumors143. A case study reported somatic mutation of PTEN and TP53 in a patient with concurrent extragonadal germ cell tumor and acute megakaryoblastic leukemia148. Published data investigating the prognostic

implications of PTEN alteration in germ cell tumors are limited (PubMed, Mar 2022).

FINDING SUMMARY

PTEN encodes an inositol phosphatase that functions as a tumor suppressor by negatively regulating the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway; loss of PTEN can lead to uncontrolled cell growth and suppression of apoptosis¹²⁰. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt PTEN function or expression¹⁴⁹⁻¹⁹⁰.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

PTEN mutations underlie several inherited disorders, collectively termed PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome (PHTS), which include Cowden syndrome (CS) and its variant Lhermitte-Duclos disease (LD), Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome (BRRS), PTEN-related Proteus $syndrome\ (PS), and\ Proteus-like\ syndrome^{191-192}.$ The mutation rate for PTEN in these disorders ranges from 20 to 85% of patients 191,193 . The estimated incidence of Cowden syndrome is 1/ 200,000, which may be an underestimate due to the high variability of this disorder¹⁹¹. Given the association between PTEN and these inherited syndromes, in the appropriate clinical context, germline testing for mutations affecting PTEN is recommended.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

SDHB

ALTERATION Q164*

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_003000

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

490C>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

45.6%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no therapies available to directly target the loss or inactivation of SDH genes. Preclinical studies have shown that succinate, which can accumulate as a result of SDH inactivation, promotes angiogenesis via VEGF upregulation 194-195. Case studies have reported

objective responses in patients with renal cell carcinoma harboring either SDHA or SDHC alterations treated with multikinase inhibitors that target VEGFR, including sunitinib and pazopanib¹⁹⁶⁻¹⁹⁷; however, these clinical data are limited. In a Phase 2 trial of vandetanib for children and adults with gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) with decreased SDH expression that were wild-type for KIT and PDGFRA, no partial or complete responses were observed and 2/9 patients experienced prolonged stable disease¹⁹⁸.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Somatic mutations in SDHB are rare and have been observed in fewer than 2% of tumors across all cancer types (COSMIC, 2022)¹⁴¹. SDH deficiency has been associated with an aggressive subset of renal cell carcinoma with distinctive clinical and morphological features, affecting mostly younger patients¹⁹⁹⁻²⁰².

FINDING SUMMARY

SDHB encodes the succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit B, flavoprotein. This protein is involved in the mitochondrial respiratory chain. SDH deficiency due to germline inactivating mutations in SDH genes is associated with paraganglioma-pheochromocytoma syndrome (PGL/PCC), Leigh syndrome, and gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST), which typically do not harbor mutations in KIT or PDGFRA and are not sensitive to treatment with imatinib²⁰³⁻²⁰⁶.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

In the context of hereditary paragangliomapheochromocytoma, SDHB mutations are autosomal dominant²⁰⁷ and associated with an increased risk of developing malignant and metastatic disease²⁰⁸⁻²⁰⁹. SDHB has an increased malignancy risk compared with that of SDHC or SDHD mutation²¹⁰. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of SDHB is recommended.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

TP53

ALTERATION

G245C

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_000546

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

733G>1

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

76.0%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

There are no approved therapies to address TP53 mutation or loss. However, tumors with TP53 loss of function alterations may be sensitive to the WEE1 inhibitor adavosertib²¹¹⁻²¹⁴, or p53 gene therapy and immunotherapeutics such as SGT-53²¹⁵⁻²¹⁹ and ALT-801²²⁰. In a Phase 1 study, adayosertib in combination with gemcitabine. cisplatin, or carboplatin elicited PRs in 9.7% and SDs in 53% of patients with solid tumors; the response rate was 21% (4/19) for patients with TP53 mutations versus 12% (4/33) for patients who were TP53 wildtype²²¹. A Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with chemotherapy (gemcitabine, carboplatin, paclitaxel, or doxorubicin) reported a 32% (30/94, 3 CR) ORR and a 73% (69/94) DCR for patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian, Fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer²²². A smaller Phase 2 trial of adayosertib in combination with carboplatin achieved a 43% (9/21, 1 CR) ORR and a 76% (16/21) DCR for patients with platinumrefractory TP53-mutated ovarian cancer²²³. The combination of adavosertib with paclitaxel and carboplatin for patients with TP53-mutated ovarian cancer also significantly increased PFS compared with paclitaxel and carboplatin alone80. In the Phase 2 VIKTORY trial, patients with TP53-mutated metastatic and/or recurrent gastric cancer experienced a 24% (6/25) ORR with adavosertib combined with paclitaxel²²⁴. A Phase 1 trial of neoadjuvant adayosertib in combination with cisplatin and docetaxel for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) elicited a 71%

(5/7) response rate for patients with TP53 alterations²²⁵. The Phase 2 FOCUS₄-C trial for patients with TP53- and RAS-mutated colorectal cancer reported improvement in PFS (3.61 vs. 1.87 months, HR=0.35, p=0.0022), but not OS (14.0 vs 12.8 months, p=0.93), following adavosertib treatment compared with active monitoring²²⁶. In a Phase 1b clinical trial of SGT-53 in combination with docetaxel for patients with solid tumors, 75% (9/12) of evaluable patients experienced clinical benefit, including 2 confirmed and 1 unconfirmed PRs and 2 instances of SD with significant tumor shrinkage²¹⁹. Missense mutations leading to TP₅₃ inactivation may also be sensitive to therapies that reactivate mutated p53 such as APR-246 $^{227\text{-}229}$. In a Phase 1b trial for patients with p53-positive highgrade serous ovarian cancer, APR-246 combined with carboplatin and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin achieved a 52% (11/21) response rate and 100% DCR²³⁰. ATR inhibitor treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cells with biallelic inactivation of TP53 suppressed cell viability, promoted DNA damage, and attenuated xenograft growth in preclinical studies²³¹⁻²³²; however, ATR inhibitors as monotherapy had little effect on these parameters in solid tumor models in other preclinical studies²³³⁻²³⁴. Therefore, it is unclear whether TP53 inactivation predicts sensitivity to ATR inhibition.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TP53 mutations have been reported in 34% (27/79) of ovarian germ cell tumors (GCTs) and in 3.8% of testicular GCTs analyzed in COSMIC (Nov 2021). One study identified TP53 mutations in 18.0% (9/ $\,$ 50) of patients with metastatic GCTs, all cisplatin resistant²³⁵. Expression of p₅₃ has been detected in 62% (33/53) of ovarian and testicular GCTs in one study, while low expression of p53 has been reported in 14% (3/22) of ovarian GCTs in a separate study²³⁶⁻²³⁷. In addition, expression of p53 has been frequently observed in testicular GCTs, with an incidence of 51% (56/109) to 94% (142/ 151) and a higher incidence in nonseminomatous GCTs compared with seminomas²³⁸⁻²⁴⁰. Elevated p53 protein expression, which is indicative of TP53 dysregulation, did not significantly correlate with metastasis of seminomas238 or nonseminomas²⁴¹⁻²⁴³.

FINDING SUMMARY

Functional loss of the tumor suppressor p53, which is encoded by the TP53 gene, is common in aggressive advanced cancers²⁴⁴. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt TP53 function or expression²⁴⁵⁻²⁴⁹.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

One or more of the TP53 variants observed here has been described in the ClinVar database as a likely pathogenic or pathogenic germline mutation (by an expert panel or multiple submitters) associated with Li-Fraumeni syndrome (ClinVar, Sep 2021)²⁵⁰. Follow-up germline testing would be needed to distinguish whether the finding in this patient is somatic or germline. Germline mutations in TP53 are associated with the very rare autosomal dominant disorder Li-Fraumeni syndrome and the early onset of many cancers²⁵¹⁻²⁵³, including sarcomas²⁵⁴⁻²⁵⁵. Estimates for the prevalence of germline TP53 mutations in the general population range from 1:5,000 256 to 1:20,000²⁵⁵. For pathogenic TP53 mutations identified during tumor sequencing, the rate of germline mutations was 1% in the overall population and 6% in tumors arising before age 30²⁵⁷. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of TP53 is recommended.

POTENTIAL CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS IMPLICATIONS

Variants seen in this gene have been reported to occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion²⁵⁸⁻²⁶³. CH in this gene has been associated with increased mortality, risk of coronary heart disease, risk of ischemic stroke, and risk of secondary hematologic malignancy²⁵⁸⁻²⁵⁹. Clinical management of patients with CH in this gene may include monitoring for hematologic changes and reduction of controllable risk factors for cardiovascular disease²⁶⁴. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH^{262,265-266}. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH.



LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)

LOCATIONS: Melbourne (Australia)

CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial \Rightarrow Geographical proximity \Rightarrow Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

GENE KRAS

ALTERATION A146V

RATIONALE

KRAS activating mutations or amplification may predict sensitivity to inhibitors of MAPK pathway components, including MEK inhibitors. Limited

clinical and preclinical studies indicate KRAS mutations may predict sensitivity to MEK-pan-RAF dual inhibitors.

NCT04803318	PHASE 2
Trametinib Combined With Everolimus and Lenvatinib for Recurrent/Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTOR, FGFRs, RET, PDGFRA, VEGFRs, KIT, MEK

NCT03284502	PHASE 1
Cobimetinib and HM95573 in Patients With Locally Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors	TARGETS MEK, RAFs

LOCATIONS: Hwasun (Korea, Republic of), Pusan (Korea, Republic of), Seongnam (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang-si (Korea, Republic of)

NCT04801966	PHASE NULL
	TARGETS CDK4, CDK6, PI3K-alpha, PD-L1, MEK, PARP, PD-1, BRAF

NCT03905148	PHASE 1/2
Study of the Safety and Pharmacokinetics of BGB-283 and PD-0325901 in Patients With Advanced or Refractory Solid Tumors	TARGETS RAFs, EGFR, MEK

LOCATIONS: Nedlands (Australia), Blacktown (Australia), Randwick (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), California, Texas

NCT02079740	PHASE 1/2
Trametinib and Navitoclax in Treating Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors	TARGETS BCL2, BCL-XL, BCL-W, MEK
LOCATIONS: Massachusetts	



CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT04720976	PHASE 1/2
JAB-3312 Activity in Adult Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS MEK, SHP2, PD-1, EGFR, KRAS
LOCATIONS: Utah	
NCT04965818	PHASE 1/2
Phase 1b/2 Study of Futibatinib in Combination With Binimetinib in Patients With Advanced KRAS Mutant Cancer	TARGETS MEK, FGFRs
LOCATIONS: California, Indiana, Texas	
NCT04800822	PHASE 1
PF-07284892 in Participants With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS SHP2, ROS1, ALK, MEK, BRAF, EGFR
LOCATIONS: California, Michigan, New York, Tennessee, Texas	
NCT02407509	PHASE 1
Phase I Trial of RO5126766	TARGETS RAFs, MEK, mTOR
LOCATIONS: London (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom)	
NCT04683354	PHASE 1
Study of HL-085 in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumor Tumors	TARGETS MEK
LOCATIONS: Nevada, California, Ohio, Tennessee, Texas	



CLINICAL TRIALS

GENE PTEN

ALTERATION P213fs*8

RATIONALE

PTEN loss or inactivating mutations may lead to increased activation of the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway and may indicate sensitivity to inhibitors

of this pathway. PTEN loss or inactivation may also predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors.

NCT04337463	PHASE NULL
ATG-008 Combined With Toripalimab in Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS mTORC1, mTORC2, PD-1

NCT02264678	PHASE 1/2
Ascending Doses of AZD6738 in Combination With Chemotherapy and/or Novel Anti Cancer Agents	TARGETS ATR, PARP, PD-L1

LOCATIONS: Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang-si (Korea, Republic of), Cambridge (United Kingdom), Withington (United Kingdom), Manchester (United Kingdom), Coventry (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom), Oxford (United Kingdom), Villejuif (France)

NCT04001569	PHASE 1/2
AZD8186 and Paclitaxel in Advanced Gastric Cancer	TARGETS PI3K-beta

LOCATIONS: Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of)

LOCATIONS: Chongqing (China), Chengdu (China)

NCT05035745	PHASE 1/2
Selinexor & Talazoparib in Advanced Refractory Solid Tumors; Advanced/Metastatic Triple Negative Breast Cancer (START)	TARGETS XPO1, PARP

LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)

NC1U3//2561	PHASE 1
Phase I Study of AZD5363 + Olaparib + Durvalumab in Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumor Malignancies	TARGETS PARP, AKTs, PD-L1
LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)	
NCTO 40010CC	

NCT04801966	PHASE NULL
Safety and Oversight of the Individually Tailored Treatment Approach: A Novel Pilot Study	TARGETS CDK4, CDK6, PI3K-alpha, PD-L1, MEK, PARP, PD-1, BRAF
LOCATIONS: Melbourne (Australia)	



TUMOR TYPE

Mediastinum germ cell tumor

REPORT DATE 29 Mar 2022



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1326257-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

PHASE 2
TARGETS TRKB, ALK, TRKC, ROS1, TRKA, PD-L1, ERBB2, PI3K-alpha, RET, AKTs
PHASE 2
TARGETS AKT2, AKT1, AKT3
ania, Texas
PHASE 2
TARGETS TRKB, ALK, TRKC, ROS1, TRKA, CDK4, CDK6, PI3K, mTOR
PHASE 1/2
TARGETS PARP



TUMOR TYPE

Mediastinum germ cell tumor

REPORT DATE 29 Mar 2022



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1326257-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

CTNNB1 DIS3 FGFR3 MLH1 amplification 1619V amplification **G44S** MST1R MYD88 NOTCH1 **PPARG** A1448S amplification amplification amplification PTCH1 RAF1 SETD2 TGFBR2 R1342H amplification amplification amplification

TSC1 VHL Q654E amplification



APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

AND COPT NOM	BER ALIERATION	13						
ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTK	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL		KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)		MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12		MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A		MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)		MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOCS1
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1		XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703	02/11/	720//	****	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7.11 O 1
7.II.C.C.Z	2.11.2.7	2111703						
DNA GENE LIST:	FOR THE DETEC	TION OF SELECT	REARRANGEME	NTS				
ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV1
ETV4	ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT
KMT2A (MLL)	MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA
RAF1	RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**
TMDDCC2								

TMPRSS2

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

^{*}TERC is an NCRNA
**Promoter region of TERT is interrogated



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2022. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

- Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- 5. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine

whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an ERBB2 amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of ERBB2 copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/ disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS Repeatability	%CV*

^{*}Interquartile Range = 1^{st} Quartile to 3^{rd} Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE **RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN**

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 6.1.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 491x

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accurac © 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Vamsi Parimi, M.D., M.P.H. | 29 March 2022 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531 Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

APPENDIX

References

- 1. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- 2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 6. Lothe RA, et al. Cancer Res. (1993) pmid: 8261392
- 7. Murty VV, et al. Cancer Res. (1994) pmid: 8033127
- 8. Huddart RA, et al. Br. J. Cancer (1995) pmid: 7669575
- 9. Faulkner SW, et al. Gynecol. Oncol. (2000) pmid:
- 10. Devouassoux-Shisheboran M, et al. Mol. Hum. Reprod. (2001) pmid: 11719586
- 11. Velasco A, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2004) pmid: 15492498
- 12. Olasz J. et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 16309235
- 13. Vladušić T, et al. Anticancer Res. (2014) pmid: 25075023
- 14. Cárcano FM, et al. Andrology (2016) pmid: 27153176
- 15. Mayer F, et al. Cancer Res. (2002) pmid: 12019150
- 16. Honecker F, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19289622
- 17. Velasco A, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2008) pmid: 18076065
- 18. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 19. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- 20. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 21. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 22. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 23. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid:
- 24. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 26.
- 27. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 28. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- 29. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 30. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 31. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 32. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721
- 33. Sharma P. et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 34. Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid:
- 35. Legrand et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 12000
- 36. Litchfield K, et al. Nat Commun (2015) pmid: 25609015
- 37. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- 38. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 39. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- 40. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 41. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- 42. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419 43. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 44. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- 45. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid:
- 46. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- 47. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid:
- Nakano H, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1984) 48.
- 49. Pylayeva-Gupta Y, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2011) pmid:

Electronically signed by Vamsi Parimi, M.D., M.P.H. | 29 March 2022

Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531

Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309

- 50. Yamaguchi T, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2011) pmid: 21523318
- 51. Watanabe M, et al. Cancer Sci. (2013) pmid: 23438367
- 52. Gilmartin AG, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21245089
- 53. Yeh JJ, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2009) pmid: 19372556
- 54. Guo C, et al. Lancet Oncol (2020) pmid: 33128873
- 55. Krebs et al., 2021; AACR Abstract CT019
- 56. Shinde et al., 2020; AACR Abstract CT143
- 57. Lu H, et al. Mol Cancer Ther (2019) pmid: 31068384
- 58. Mainardi S, et al. Nat Med (2018) pmid: 29808006
- 59. Koczywas et al., 2021; AACR Abstract LB001
- 60. Bendell et al., 2020; EORTC-NCI-AACR Abstract 5
- 61. Hillig RC, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (2019) pmid: 30683722
- Hofmann MH, et al. Cancer Discov (2021) pmid: 32816843
- 63. Hofmann et al., 2021; AACR Abstract CT210
- 64. Gort et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract TPS3651
- 65. Monk BJ. et al. J Clin Oncol (2020) pmid: 32822286
- 66. Farley J, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23261356
- 67. Slosberg ED, et al. Oncotarget (2018) pmid: 29765547
- 68. Han C, et al. Gynecol Oncol Rep (2018) pmid: 29946554
- 69. Lyons YA, et al. Gynecol Oncol Rep (2014) pmid:
- 70. Infante JR, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22805291
- 71. Zimmer L, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid:
- 72. Bennouna J, et al. Invest New Drugs (2011) pmid: 20127139
- 73. Weekes CD, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23434733
- Van Laethem JL, et al. Target Oncol (2017) pmid: 27975152
- 75. Infante JR, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2014) pmid: 24915778
- 76. Van Cutsem E, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2018) pmid: 29756206
- 77. Blumenschein GR, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid:
- 78. Leijen S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22767668
- 79. Liu JF, et al. Gynecol. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31118140
- 80. Spreafico et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 5506
- 81. Juric et al., 2014: ASCO Abstract 9051 82. Banerji et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract e13559
- 83. Shapiro GI, et al. Invest New Drugs (2019) pmid:
- 31020608
- 84. Bagrodia A, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27646943
- 85. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588877
- 86. Gao J. et al. Sci Signal (2013) pmid: 23550210
- 87. Goddard NC, et al. Int. J. Androl. (2007) pmid: 17573850 88. McIntyre A, et al. Neoplasia (2005) pmid: 16354586
- 89. Moul JW, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (1992) pmid: 1381946
- 90. Li Y, et al. J Ovarian Res (2014) pmid: 25297496
- 91. Hershkovitz D. et al. Pathol. Int. (2013) pmid: 24422958
- 92. Stanojevic B, et al. BMC Cancer (2012) pmid: 22682753
- 93. Mayer F, et al. BJU Int. (2011) pmid: 20955261
- 94. Roelofs H, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2000) pmid: 11021820 95. Kahn S, et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 3310850
- Akagi K, et al. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2007) pmid: 17150185
- 97. Bollag G, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (1996) pmid: 8955068
- Buhrman G, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2010) pmid: 20194776
- 99. Sci. STKE (2004) pmid: 15367757
- 100. Edkins S, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2006) pmid:

- 101. Feig LA, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (1988) pmid: 3043178
- 102. Gremer L, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2011) pmid: 20949621
- Janakiraman M, et al. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20570890
- Kim E, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27147599
- Lukman S, et al. PLoS Comput. Biol. (2010) pmid: 20838576
- 107. Prior IA, et al. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22589270
- Privé GG, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1992) pmid: 108.
- 109. Scheffzek K, et al. Science (1997) pmid: 9219684

- 112. Tyner JW, et al. Blood (2009) pmid: 19075190
- 114. White Y, et al. Nat Commun (2016) pmid: 26854029
- 116. Angeles AKJ, et al. Oncol Lett (2019) pmid: 31289513

- Courtney KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2010) pmid:
- 120. Simpson L, et al. Exp. Cell Res. (2001) pmid: 11237521
- 121. Patnaik A, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27672108
- Templeton AJ, et al. Eur. Urol. (2013) pmid: 23582881
- de Bono JS, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 125.
- Saura C, et al. Cancer Discov (2017) pmid: 27872130 126.
- 127. Voss MH, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 30327302
- 128. André F, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27091708
- Weldon Gilcrease G, et al. Invest New Drugs (2019) 130.
- 131. Mendes-Pereira AM, et al. EMBO Mol Med (2009)
- 132. Shen Y, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23881923
- McCormick A, et al. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer (2016) pmid:
- Forster MD, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2011) pmid: 135. 21468130
- 136. Eikesdal HP, et al. Ann Oncol (2021) pmid: 33242536
- 137. Dougherty et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 5536
- 139. Sandhu SK, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23810788
- 141. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878 Oosterhuis JW, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2005) pmid:
- 143. Di Vizio D, et al. Oncogene (2005) pmid: 15674339 144. Teng DH, et al. Cancer Res. (1997) pmid: 9393738
- Hennenlotter J, et al. Anticancer Res. (2011) pmid: 145. 22110200
- 146. Kimura T, et al. Development (2003) pmid: 12620992
- 147. Di Cristofano A, et al. Nat. Genet. (1998) pmid: 9697695
- 12857747 Rodríguez-Escudero I, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2011)

© 2022 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

151. He X, et al. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23475934

106. Naguib A, et al. J Mol Signal (2011) pmid: 21371307

- 110. Scholl C. et al. Cell (2009) pmid: 19490892
- 111. Smith G, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20147967
- 113. Valencia A. et al. Biochemistry (1991) pmid: 2029511
- 115. Wiest JS, et al. Oncogene (1994) pmid: 8058307
- 117. Tong JH, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2014) pmid: 24642870
- 118. Loree JM, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2021) pmid: 34117033
- 20085938
- 122. Milella M, et al. Sci Rep (2017) pmid: 28220839
- 124. Sweeney C, et al. Lancet (2021) pmid: 34246347
- 30037818
- 129. Schmid P, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31841354
- pmid: 30302599
- pmid: 20049735
- 133. Chatterjee P, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23565244

- 138. Pan M, et al. Perm J (2021) pmid: 33970096
- Romero I, et al. Gynecol Oncol (2020) pmid: 32988624 140.
- 15738984

- 148. Oshrine BR, et al. Cancer Genet (2014) pmid: 24831771 Campbell RB, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2003) pmid:
- pmid: 21828076

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

APPENDIX

References

- ORDERED TEST # ORD-1326257-01
- 152. Han SY, et al. Cancer Res. (2000) pmid: 10866302153. Myers MP, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1998) pmid: 9811831
- 154. Pradella LM, et al. BMC Cancer (2014) pmid: 24498881
- 155. Kim JS, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2011) pmid: 21536651
- **156.** Denning G, et al. Oncogene (2007) pmid: 17213812
- 157. Hlobilkova A, et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 16619501
- 158. Redfern RE, et al. Protein Sci. (2010) pmid: 20718038
- **159.** Shenoy S, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 22505997
- 160. Wang Y, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009) pmid: 19329485
- 161. Okumura K, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2006) pmid: 16829519
- 162. Lee JO, et al. Cell (1999) pmid: 10555148
- 163. Maxwell GL, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9635567
- 164. Risinger JI, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9865913
- 165. Kato H, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2000) pmid: 11051241
- Fenton TR, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2012) pmid: 22891331
- 167. Ngeow J, et al. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. (2012) pmid: 23066114
- 168. Lobo GP, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2009) pmid: 19457929
- 169. Liu J, et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 23995781
- Maehama T, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2001) pmid: 11395408
- 171. De Vivo I, et al. J. Med. Genet. (2000) pmid: 10807691
- 172. Ramaswamy S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1999) pmid: 10051603
- **173.** Liu JL, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2005) pmid: 15988030
- 174. Karoui M, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2004) pmid: 15026806
- **175.** Gil A, et al. PLoS ONE (2015) pmid: 25875300
- 176. Furnari FB, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823298
- 177. Spinelli L, et al. J. Med. Genet. (2015) pmid: 25527629
- Mingo J, et al. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. (2018) pmid: 29706633
- 179. Wang Q, et al. J. Mol. Graph. Model. (2010) pmid: 20538496
- 180. Andrés-Pons A, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid: 17942903
- **181.** Butler MG, et al. J. Med. Genet. (2005) pmid: 15805158
- **182.** Georgescu MM, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1999) pmid: 10468583
- **183.** Staal FJ, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2002) pmid: 12085208
- 184. Nguyen HN, et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 24292679
- Rahdar M, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009) pmid: 19114656
- **186.** Das S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) pmid: 12808147
- 187. Wang X, et al. Biochem. J. (2008) pmid: 18498243
- 188. Valiente M, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2005) pmid: 15951562
- **189.** Nguyen HN, et al. Oncogene (2015) pmid: 25263454
- **190.** Shan L, et al. Cell Discov (2020) pmid: 32704382

- 191. Blumenthal GM, et al. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. (2008) pmid: 18781191
- **192.** Orloff MS, et al. Oncogene (2008) pmid: 18794875
- 193. Zbuk KM, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2007) pmid: 17167516 194. Mu X. et al. Oncotarget (2017) pmid: 28061458
- 195. Selak MA, et al. Cancer Cell (2005) pmid: 15652751
- 196. McEvoy et al., 2018; doi:10.1038/s41698-018-0053-2
- 197. Shuch B, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 25024072
- 198. Glod J, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31439578
- 199. Paik JY, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24395865
- 200. Williamson SR, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2015) pmid:
- 201. Ricketts CJ, et al. J. Urol. (2012) pmid: 23083876

25034258

- 202. van Nederveen FH, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19576851
- **203.** Tirumani SH, et al. Br J Radiol (2014) pmid: 25189191
- 204. Hoekstra AS, et al. Biochim. Biophys. Acta (2013) pmid: 23174333
- 205. Belinsky MG, et al. Front Oncol (2013) pmid: 23730622
- 206. Renkema GH, et al. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. (2015) pmid:
- **207.** Hensen EF, et al. Oncogene (2004) pmid: 15064708
- 208. van Hulsteijn LT, et al. J. Med. Genet. (2012) pmid:
- 209. Lenders JWM, et al. Endocrinol Metab (Seoul) (2017) pmid: 28685506
- 210. Benn DE, et al. Endocr. Relat. Cancer (2015) pmid: 26273102
- 211. Hirai H, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2010) pmid: 20107315
- **212.** Bridges KA, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21799033
- 213. Rajeshkumar NV, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 213.89100
- **214.** Osman AA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2015) pmid: 25504633
- 215. Xu L, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2002) pmid: 12489850
- 216. Xu L, et al. Mol. Med. (2001) pmid: 11713371
- **217.** Camp ER, et al. Cancer Gene Ther. (2013) pmid: 23470564
- 218. Kim SS, et al. Nanomedicine (2015) pmid: 25240597
- 219. Pirollo KF, et al. Mol. Ther. (2016) pmid: 27357628
- 220. Hajdenberg et al., 2012; ASCO Abstract e15010
- 221. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27601554
- 222. Moore et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 5513
- 223. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27998224
- 224. Lee J, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 31315834
- **225.** Méndez E, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 29535125
- **226.** Seligmann JF, et al. J Clin Oncol (2021) pmid: 34538072
- 227. Lehmann S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22965953
- 228. Mohell N, et al. Cell Death Dis (2015) pmid: 26086967 229. Fransson Å, et al. J Ovarian Res (2016) pmid: 27179933

- 230. Gourley et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5571
- 231. Kwok M, et al. Blood (2016) pmid: 26563132
- 232. Boudny M, et al. Haematologica (2019) pmid: 30975914
- 233. Dillon MT, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28062704
- 234. Middleton FK, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2018) pmid: 30127241
- 235. Cheng ML, et al. JCO Precis Oncol (2020) pmid:
- 236. Soini Y. et al. Hum. Pathol. (1996) pmid: 8912834
- 237. Liu FS, et al. Cancer (1995) pmid: 8625105
- 238. Gallegos I, et al. Appl. Immunohistochem. Mol. Morphol. (2011) pmid: 20881837
- 239. García-Velasco A, et al. Clin Transl Oncol (2012) pmid: 22634534
- **240.** Heidenreich A, et al. APMIS (1998) pmid: 9524567
- 241. Albers P, et al. Mod. Pathol. (1995) pmid: 7545814
- 242. Lewis DJ, et al. J. Urol. (1994) pmid: 8015085
- 243. Ulbright TM, et al. Mod. Pathol. (1994) pmid: 7909155
- 244. Brown CJ, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) pmid: 19935675
- 245. Joerger AC, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2008) pmid: 18410249
- **246.** Kato S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) pmid: 12826609
- 247. Kamada R, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2011) pmid: 20978130
- 248. Zerdoumi Y, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2017) pmid:
- 249. Yamada H, et al. Carcinogenesis (2007) pmid: 17690113
- 250. Landrum MJ, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2018) pmid: 29165669
- **251.** Bougeard G, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 26014290
- 252. Sorrell AD, et al. Mol Diagn Ther (2013) pmid: 23355100
- 253. Nichols KE, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2001) pmid: 11219776
- 254. Kleihues P, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (1997) pmid: 9006316
- **255.** Gonzalez KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19204208
- 256. Lalloo F, et al. Lancet (2003) pmid: 12672316
- **257.** Mandelker D, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31050713
- **258.** Jaiswal S, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426837
- **259.** Genovese G, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426838
- 260. Xie M, et al. Nat. Med. (2014) pmid: 25326804
- 261. Acuna-Hidalgo R, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28669404
- **262.** Severson EA, et al. Blood (2018) pmid: 29678827
- 263. Fuster JJ, et al. Circ. Res. (2018) pmid: 29420212
- 264. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program (2018) pmid: 30504320
- **265.** Chabon JJ. et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32269342

266. Razavi P, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 31768066