ACT Onco® + Report

TW10020220128 1439

PATIENT		
Name: 陳苡寧		Patient ID: 45543928
Date of Birth: Aug 12, 1992		Gender: Female
Diagnosis: Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic		
ORDERING PHYSICIAN		
Name: 陳三奇醫師		Tel: 886-228712121
Facility: 臺北榮總		
Address: 臺北市北投區石牌路二段 201 號		
SPECIMEN		
Specimen ID: S11100570A	Collection site: Lung	Type: FFPE tissue
Date received: Jan 18, 2022	Lab ID: AA-22-00326	D/ID: NA

ABOUT ACTOnco®+

The test is a next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based assay developed for efficient and comprehensive genomic profiling of cancers. This test interrogates coding regions of 440 genes associated with cancer treatment, prognosis and diagnosis. Genetic mutations detected by this test include small-scale mutations like single nucleotide variants (SNVs), small insertions and deletions (InDels) (≤ 15 nucleotides) and large-scale genomic alterations like copy number alterations (CNAs). The test also includes an RNA test, detecting fusion transcripts of 13 genes.

SUMMARY FOR ACTIONABLE VARIANTS

VARIANTS/BIOMARKERS WITH EVIDENCE OF CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Genomic Alterations/Biomarkers	Probable Effects in Patient's Cancer Type		Probable Sensitive in Other Cancer Types
	Sensitive	Resistant	
Not detected			

VARIANTS/BIOMARKERS WITH POTENTIAL CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Genomic Alterations/Biomarkers	Possibly Sensitive	Possibly Resistant
Not detected		

Note:

- The above summary tables present genomic variants and biomarkers based on the three-tiered approach proposed by US FDA for reporting tumor profiling NGS testing. "Variants/biomarkers with evidence of clinical significance" refers to mutations that are widely recognized as standard-of-care biomarkers (FDA level 2/AMP tier 1). "Variants/biomarkers with potential clinical significance" refers to mutations that are not included in the standard of care but are informational for clinicians, which are commonly biomarkers used as inclusion criterial for clinical trials (FDA level 3/AMP tier 2).
- The therapeutic agents and possible effects to a given drug are based on mapping the variants/biomarkers with ACT Genomics clinical knowledge database. The mapping results only provide information for reference, but not medical recommendation.
- Please refer to corresponding sections for more detailed information about genomic alteration and clinical relevance listed above.

ACT Onco® + Report

TW2022018439

TESTING RESULTS

VARIANT(S) WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Single Nucleotide and Small InDel Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Allele Frequency
TP53	V218M	72.2%

- Copy Number Alterations

Chromosome	Gene	Variation	Copy Number
Chr1	*ARID1A*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr13	*BRCA2, RB1*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr16	*PALB2, TSC2*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr17	*FLCN, TP53*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr18	*SMAD4*	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr9	*PTCH1, TSC1*	Heterozygous deletion	1

- Fusions

Fusion Gene & Exon	Transcript ID
No fusion gene detected in this sample	

- Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor (ICI) Related Biomarkers

Biomarker	Results
Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)	1.9 muts/Mb
Microsatellite Instability (MSI)	Microsatellite stable (MSS)

Note:
- Variant(s) enlisted in the SNV table may currently exhibit no relevance to treatment response prediction. Please refer to INTERPRETATION for more biological information and/or potential clinical impacts of the variants.
- Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) information was used to infer tumor cellularity. Copy number alteration in the tumor was determined based on 75% tumor purity.
- For more therapeutic agents which are possibly respond to heterozygous deletion of genes listed above, please refer to APPENDIX for more information.
- TMB was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco®+ to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The threshold for high mutation load is set at ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase. TMB, microsatellite status and gene copy number deletion cannot be determined if calculated tumor purity is < 30%.

ACT Onco® + Report

TW21070203202201281439

THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS

TARGETED THERAPIES

Not Applicable.

IMMUNE CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS (ICIs)

No genomic alterations detected to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to immune checkpoint therapies.

- Other Biomarkers with Potential Clinical Effects for ICIs

Genomic Alterations	Potential Clinical Effects
Not detected	

Note: Tumor non-genomic factors, such as patient germline genetics, PDL1 expression, tumor microenvironment, epigenetic alterations or other factors not provided by this test may affect ICI response.

CHEMOTHERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to chemotherapies.

HORMONAL THERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to hormonal therapies.

OTHERS

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to other therapies.

Note:
Therapeutic implications provided in the test are based solely on the panel of 440 genes sequenced. Therefore, alterations in genes not covered in this panel, epigenetic and post-transcriptional and post-translational factors may also determine a patient's response to therapies. In addition, several other patient-associated clinical factors, including but not limited to, prior lines of therapies received, dosage and combinations with other therapeutic agents, patient's cancer types, sub-types, and/or stages, may also determine the patient's clinical response to therapies.

ACT Onco® + Report

VARIANT INTERPRETATION

TP53 V218M, Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

TP53 encodes the p53 protein, a crucial tumor suppressor that orchestrates essential cellular processes including cell cycle arrest, senescence and apoptosis[1]. TP53 is a proto-typical haploinsufficient gene, such that loss of a single copy of TP53 can result in tumor formation[2].

TP53 V218M lies within the DNA-binding domain (DBD) of the p53 protein (UniProtKB). This mutation has not been characterized in scientific literature; therefore, its effect on the p53 protein function remains unknown.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Despite having a high mutation rate in cancers, there are currently no approved targeted therapies for TP53 mutations. A phase II trial demonstrated that Wee1 inhibitor (AZD1775) in combination with carboplatin was well tolerated and showed promising anti-tumor activity in TP53-mutated ovarian cancer refractory or resistant (< 3 months) to standard first-line therapy (NCT01164995)[3].

In a retrospective study (n=19), advanced sarcoma patients with TP53 loss-of-function mutations displayed improved progression-free survival (208 days versus 136 days) relative to patients with wild-type TP53 when treated with pazopanib[4]. Results from another Phase I trial of advanced solid tumors (n=78) demonstrated that TP53 hotspot mutations are associated with better clinical response to the combination of pazopanib and vorinostat[5].

Advanced solid tumor and colorectal cancer patients harboring a TP53 mutation have been shown to be more sensitive to bevacizumab when compared with patients harboring wild-type TP53[6][7][8]. In a pilot trial (n=21), TP53-negative breast cancer patients demonstrated increased survival following treatment with bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy agents, Adriamycin (doxorubicin) and Taxotere (docetaxel)[9]. TP53 mutations were correlated with poor survival of advanced breast cancer patients receiving tamoxifen or primary chemotherapy[10][11]. In a retrospective study of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), TP53 mutations were associated with high expression of VEGF-A, the primary target of bevacizumab, offering a mechanistic explanation for why patients exhibit improved outcomes after bevacizumab treatment when their tumors harbor mutant TP53 versus wild-type TP53[12].

ARID1A Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The AT-rich interactive domain 1A (ARID1A) gene encodes the BAF250A protein, a component of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex that plays a role in various cellular functions, including DNA repair, DNA synthesis, and transcription[13][14]. Haploinsufficiency of ARID1A is associated with tumor formation in some cancers[15]. Inactivation of ARID1A is commonly observed in ovarian, endometrial, uterine, and, gastric cancers[16][17][18][19][20].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

ARID1A is the most frequently mutated genes in ovarian clear cell carcinoma and several synthetic lethality hypothesis-based therapeutic targets in ARID1A mutated cancer are in development. For examples, 1) EZH2 inhibitor[21][22]; 2) AKT-inhibitors MK-2206 and perifosine, as well as PI3K-inhibitor buparlisib[23]; 3) multiple kinase inhibitor, dasatinib[24].

Some preclinical evidences suggested that reduced ARID1A expression confers resistance to several HER2/PI3K/mTOR signaling cascade inhibitors such as AZD8055 and trastuzumab, through activation of annexin A1 expression[25]. Loss or decreased expression of ARID1A has been reported to associate with resistance to platinum-based chemotherapies, shorter overall survival and lower complete response rate in ovarian cancer patients[26][27].

TW21700220128143

Low expression of ARID1A is a significant and independent prognostic factor for poor disease-free and overall survival in breast cancer patients[28][29]. Besides, loss of ARID1A expression was more frequently seen in mismatch repair (MMR)-deficient colorectal cancers, predominantly in tumor with MLH1 promoter hypermethylation[30]. Positive ARID1A expression could independently predict worse overall survival in stage IV CRC patients compared with negative ARID1A expression[31].

ARID1A mutation has been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trials evaluating olaparib efficacy in metastatic biliary tract cancer (NCT04042831), and niraparib efficacy in melanoma (NCT03925350), pancreatic cancer (NCT03553004), or any malignancy, except prostate cancer (NCT03207347).

The preclinical study discovered that ARID1A deficiency sensitized some tumors to PARP inhibitor drugs, such as olaparib, rucaparib, talazoparib, and veliparib, which block DNA damage repair pathways[32].

BRCA2 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The BRCA2 gene encodes a tumor suppressor involved in the homologous recombination pathway for double-strand DNA repair[33]. BRCA2 has been implicated as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[34]. BRCA2 germline mutations confer an increased lifetime risk of developing breast, ovarian, prostate and pancreatic cancer, limited reports of related gastric cancer, and Fanconi anemia subtype D1-associated risk of brain cancer, medulloblastoma, pharyngeal cancer, chronic lymphocytic leukemia and acute myeloid leukemia[35]. Somatic mutations in BRCA2 are highest in colorectal, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and ovarian cancers[36].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

The U.S. FDA has approved olaparib in advanced ovarian cancer under several settings including (1) first-line maintenance treatment for patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline or somatic BRCA mutation who are in complete or partial response to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy[37]; (2) in combination with bevacizumab as first-line maintenance treatment for patients with homologous recombination deficiency (HRD)-positive status[38]; (3) maintenance treatment for patients with germline BRCA-mutated recurrent ovarian cancer who are in complete or partial response to platinum-based chemotherapy[39][40]; (4) treatment for patients with germline BRCA-mutated advanced ovarian cancer who have been treated with three or more prior lines of chemotherapy[41]. In addition, olaparib has also been approved in patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline BRCA-mutated, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer who have been treated with chemotherapy in either neoadjuvant, adjuvant, or metastatic setting[42]and germline BRCA-mutated metastatic pancreatic cancer[43]. Of note, in May 2020, the U.S. FDA approved olaparib for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who carry mutations in homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes, including BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD54L, and progressed following prior treatment with enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate[44].

Rucaparib has been approved for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in a complete or partial response to platinum-based chemotherapy and patients with BRCA-mutated epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer, who have been treated with two or more chemotherapies[45][46]. In May 2020, the U.S. FDA also approved rucaparib to treat adult patients with a deleterious BRCA mutation-associated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who have been treated with androgen receptor-directed therapy and a taxane-based chemotherapy (TRITON2, NCT02952534).

The U.S. FDA also approved niraparib for the maintenance treatment of patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response to platinum-based chemotherapy and patients who have been treated with three or more prior lines of chemotherapy and associated with HRD positive status[47][48][49]. In

TW21090320220128A439

addition, talazoparib for patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline BRCA-mutated, HER2 negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer[50].

FLCN Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The FLCN gene encodes the tumor suppressor, Folliculin, a GTPase activating protein (GAP) for RagC/D GTPase proteins involved in amino acid sensing and signaling to mTORC1[51]. FLCN has been implicated as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[52][53]. Inactivation of the FLCN gene by mutation or deletion results in the activation of the mTOR pathway and AKT signaling[54][55]. Germline mutation of the FLCN gene causes the Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome, a rare disorder that is characterized by benign hamartomatous skin lesions and an increased risk of pneumothorax and renal tumors[56].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In a prospective Phase 2 study, four anaplastic thyroid cancer (ATC)/ poorly differentiated thyroid cancer (PDTC) patients who had PI3K/mTOR/AKT alterations, including TSC2, FLCN or NF1, showed impressive progression-free survival (PFS) of 15.2 months after receiving everolimus[57]. mTOR inhibition via rapamycin also demonstrated potential in inhibiting the growth of renal cells deficient in FLCN in the preclinical setting[58].

PALB2 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The partner and localizer of BRCA2 (PALB2) gene encodes a protein that plays a critical role in homologous recombination repair (HRR) through its ability to interact with BRCA2 in nuclear foci, promoting its localization and stability in key nuclear structures[59]. The Fanconi anemia complementation group (FANC) which includes FANCA, FANCB, FANCC, FANCD1 (also called BRCA2), FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCJ (also called BRIP1), FANCL, FANCM and FANCN (also called PALB2) are involved in the repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) by homologous recombination (HR)[60][61][62]. PALB2 is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological function[63]. Biallelic germline loss-of-function mutations in PALB2 cause Fanconi anemia, whereas monoallelic loss-of-function mutations are associated with an increased risk of breast cancer and pancreatic cancer[64]. Fanconi Anemia is an autosomal recessive disease characterized by hematological abnormalities, bone marrow failure, limb deformities, skin hyperpigmentation, and susceptibility to hematologic and solid malignancies, such as acute myeloid leukemia and head and neck carcinoma[65][66].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In May 2020, the U.S. FDA approved olaparib for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who carry mutations in homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes, including BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD54L, and progressed following prior treatment with enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate[44].

PALB2 loss of function mutation has been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trial evaluating rucaparib efficacy in ovarian cancer[45]or prostate cancer[67]; talazoparib efficacy in HER2-negative breast cancer (NCT02401347), prostate cancer (NCT03148795) or lung cancer (NCT03377556); niraparib efficacy in pancreatic cancer (NCT03553004), prostate cancer (NCT02854436), or any malignancy (except prostate) cancer (NCT03207347).

A case report demonstrated an exceptional response to mitomycin C and cisplatin treatment in a gemcitabine-resistant pancreatic cancer patient with biallelic inactivation of PALB2[68].

TW20220128139

PTCH1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The PTCH1 (protein patched homolog 1) gene encodes a multi-pass transmembrane receptor for sonic hedgehog (shh), a tumor suppressor that acts to repress shh signaling in the absence of ligand[69]. Inactivation of PTCH1 results in hedgehog ligand-independent activation of SMO, causing a downstream activation of the pathway and lead to the neoplastic growth[70][71]. Recurrent PTCH1 mutations have been reported in sporadic basal cell carcinoma (BCCs) and medulloblastoma[72][73][74][75]. Germline PTCH1 mutations are associated with the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (NBCCS, Gorlin syndrome), predisposing patients to basal cell carcinoma and medulloblastoma[73]. PTCH1 is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor gene with one copy loss may be sufficient to promote tumor development in mice[70][76].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Vismodegib is a small molecule inhibitor of SMO approved by the FDA for the treatment of patients with basal cell carcinoma. A heavily-pretreated patient with metastatic medulloblastoma harboring loss of heterozygosity and somatic mutation of PTCH1, showed rapid regression of the tumor after treated with vismodegib[77]. Furthermore, a phase II study demonstrated that vismodegib treatment results in extended progression-free survival (PFS) in patients with loss-of-heterozygosity, SHH-driven medulloblastoma[78][79]. In a phase II trial (MyPathway), 3 advanced solid tumors patients harboring PTCH1 loss-of-function mutations had partial responses to vismodegib treatment[80].

RB1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The Retinoblastoma (RB1) gene encodes a tumor suppressor that negatively regulates the cell cycle, cell division, and DNA replication[81]. Loss-of-function RB1 could lead to unregulated cell division and growth, abrogation of multiple mechanisms that safeguard against cellular transformation, and tumorigenesis[82]. RB1 has also been implicated as a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions[83][84][85]. Deletion or inactivating mutation of RB1 is found in a number of tumors, including lung, prostate, bladder, breast cancers and sarcomas. RB1 mutations are found in approximately half of all retinoblastoma cases[86].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

A deleterious mutation in one or more of the three DNA repair genes ATM, RB1, and FANCC predicted pathologic response and better overall survival to cisplatin-based chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer patients[87]. High RB loss was found to be associated with improved pathologic clinical response in breast cancer patients treated with 5-fluorouracil/adriamycin/cytoxan (FAC), T/FAC, and Taxane/Adriamycin neoadjuvant therapy[88].

Clinical and experimental data suggested that a non-functional retinoblastoma pathway is associated with resistance to tamoxifen in breast cancer[89][90].

Acquired RB1 mutations were found in hormone receptor positive breast cancer patients who developed resistance to palbociclib or ribociclib treatment[91]. Preclinical data also showed that knockdown of RB1 would impair antitumor activity of CDK4/6 inhibitor, abemaciclib[92].

Two large-scale genome-sequencing projects have identified a high prevalence of mutations in TP53 and RB1 in small cell lung cancer (SCLC)[93][94]. Analyses of repeat biopsy samples from patients with EGFR-mutant adenocarcinoma that had transformed to the SCLC subtype have revealed that 100% of these patients have loss of RB1 and may be the alteration that induces this non-small-cell to small-cell transformation[90][95].

ACT Onco® + Report

TW20220128139

SMAD4 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The SMAD family member 4 (SMAD4) gene encodes a transcription factor that acts as a downstream effector in the TGF-β signaling pathway. Upon phosphorylated and activated by serine-threonine receptor kinase, Smad4 is the Co-Smad which recruits other activated R-Smad proteins to the Smad transcriptional complex and regulate TGF-β-targeted genes[96]. Smad4 has been identified as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to a weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological function[97]. SMAD4 germline mutations are associated with juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS)[98][99][100][101]. Somatic mutations of SMAD4 are commonly observed in pancreatic cancer[102], colorectal cancer (CRC)[100][103][104], and less frequently seen in other cancers such as lung adenocarcinoma[105], head and neck cancer[106][107], and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma[108].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In Chinese patients with metastatic colorectal cancer, SMAD4 or NF1 mutations are suggested as a potential biomarker for poor prognosis to cetuximab-based therapy[109]. Preclinical data demonstrated that depletion of SMAD4 by shRNA knockdown increased clonogenic survival and cetuximab resistance in HPV-negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells[110].

SMAD4 is also suggested as a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in colorectal cancer (CRC)[111][112]. CRC patients with normal SMAD4 diploidy exhibited three-fold higher benefit of 5-FU/mitomycin-based adjuvant therapy when compared with those with SMAD4 deletion[113].

Results from clinical and meta-analyses showed that loss of SMAD4 in CRC, pancreatic cancer was correlated with poor prognosis[114][115][116][117][118][119][120][121]. In cervical cancer patients, weak cytoplasmic SMAD4 expression and absent nuclear SMAD4 expression were shown to be significantly associated with poor disease-free and overall 5-year survival[122].

TSC1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The tuberous sclerosis complex 1 (TSC1) gene encodes a tumor suppressor, hamartin, a key negative regulator of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway[123][124]. Mutations in TSC1/TSC2 tumor suppressor genes that result in inactivation of the complex are commonly found in patients with tuberous sclerosis[125][126][127], while LOH in TSC1/TSC2 has been identified in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)[128]and endometrial cancer[129]. Loss of single TSC1 allele (haploinsufficiency) may provide a growth advantage to bladder epithelial cells, contributing to bladder cancer development[130]. Both TSC1 and TSC2 mutations cause the autosomal dominant genetic disorder tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), in which individuals develop a variety of benign but often progressive neoplasms[131].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Genomic alterations with activating effects of the mTOR signaling pathway (including deletion/inactivation of TSC1/TSC2) have been shown to confer sensitivity to everolimus across multiple neoplasms, such as bladder tumors[132], gastric, sarcoma, thyroid cancer, and HNSCC[133]. There were case reports demonstrated the efficacy of sirolimus in malignant uterine perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PEComa) patients harboring mutations/deletions in TSC1 and TSC2 genes, and temsirolimus in PEComa patients with hyperactivated mTOR pathway. Genomic profiling analysis of GOG248, a Phase II study of temsirolimus or temsirolimus and alternating megestrol acetate and tamoxifen for advanced endometrial cancer showed that mutations in AKT1, TSC1 and TSC2 may predict clinical benefit from temsirolimus[134]. Recent studies indicate that there are mTORC1-independent signaling pathways downstream of hamartin-tuberin, which may represent new therapeutic targets[135].

ACT Onco® + Report

TW20220128 1439

TSC2 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2) gene encodes a protein called tuberin, which interact with a protein called hamartin (encoded by the TSC1 gene). This hamartin-tuberin tumor suppressor complex plays a critical role in growth control as a negative regulator of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway[123][124]. Mutations in TSC1/TSC2 tumor suppressor genes that result in inactivation of the complex are commonly found in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex[125][126][127], while the loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in TSC1/TSC2 has been identified in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)[128]and endometrial cancer[129]. TSC2 deletion, splicing-mutant, and inactivating mutations such as A1141T, G305V, S1514X, and R1032X, has been identified in TSC2-null hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell lines, patient-derived xenograft, and primary tumors. Mutations in the TSC1 and TSC2 genes cause the autosomal dominant genetic disorder tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC)[131].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Genomic alterations with activating effects of the mTOR signaling pathway (including deletion/inactivation of TSC1/TSC2) have been shown to confer sensitivity to everolimus across multiple cancer types, such as bladder cancer, gastric cancer, sarcoma, thyroid cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) as well as head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)[132][133][136]. Results from one Phase II study of advanced endometrial cancer showed that mutations in AKT1, TSC1, and TSC2 might predict sensitivity to temsirolimus[134]. Recent studies indicated that there are mTORC1-independent signaling pathways downstream of hamartin-tuberin, which may represent new therapeutic targets[135].

ACT Onco® + Report

TW21020201281439

US FDA-APPROVED DRUG(S)

Dasatinib (SPRYCEL)

Dasatinib is an oral Bcr-Abl tyrosine kinase inhibitor (inhibits the "Philadelphia chromosome") and Src family tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Dasatinib is produced by Bristol-Myers Squibb and sold under the trade name SPRYCEL.

- FDA Approval Summary of Dasatinib (SPRYCEL)

DASISION[137] NCT00481247	**Chronic myeloid leukemia** (Approved on 2010/10/28)
	-
	Dasatinib vs. Imatinib [ORR(%): 76.8 vs. 66.2]
[138] NCT00123474	**Chronic myeloid leukemia** (Approved on 2007/11/08)
	-
	Dasatinib [ORR(%): 63.0]
[139] NCT00123487	**Acute lymphocytic leukemia** (Approved on 2006/06/28)
	-
	Dasatinib [ORR(%): 38.0]

Everolimus (AFINITOR)

Everolimus, a derivative of sirolimus, works as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) and blocks mTORC1-mediated downstream signals for cell growth, proliferation, and survival. Everolimus is developed and marketed by Novartis under the trade name AFINITOR.

- FDA Approval Summary of Everolimus (AFINITOR)

RADIANT-4[140] NCT01524783	**Lung or gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumor** (Approved on 2016/02/26)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 3.9]
BOLERO-2[141] NCT00863655	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2012/07/20)
	ER+/HER2-
	Everolimus + exemestane vs. Placebo + exemestane [PFS(M): 7.8 vs. 3.2]
RADIANT-3[142] NCT00510068	**Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor** (Approved on 2011/05/05)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 4.6]
EXIST-1[143] NCT00789828	**Subependymal giant cell astrocytoma** (Approved on 2010/10/29)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 35.0]
RECORD-1[144] NCT00410124	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2009/05/30)
	-
	Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 4.9 vs. 1.9]

TW2107020303201281439

Niraparib (ZEJULA)

Niraparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 and -2 (PARP-1, -2). Niraparib is developed and marketed by Tesaro under the trade name ZEJULA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Niraparib (ZEJULA)

QUADRA[49] NCT02354586	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2019/10/23) **HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability)** Niraparib [ORR(%): 24.0, DOR(M): 8.3]
NOVA[48] NCT01847274	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/03/27) **gBRCA+ CR/PR to platinum-based chemotherapy** Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 21 vs. 5.5]
NOVA[48] NCT01847274	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/03/27) **gBRCA- CR/PR to platinum-based chemotherapy** Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 9.3 vs. 3.9]

Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

Olaparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2, and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Olaparib is developed by KuDOS Pharmaceuticals and marketed by AstraZeneca under the trade name LYNPARZA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

PROfound[44] NCT02987543	**Prostate cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/19) **ATMm, BRCA1m, BRCA2m, BARD1m, BRIP1m, CDK12m, CHEK1m, CHEK2m, FANCLm, PALB2m, RAD51Bm, RAD51Cm, RAD51Dm, RAD54Lm** Olaparib vs. Enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate [PFS(M): 5.8 vs. 3.5]
PAOLA-1[38] NCT02477644	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/08) **HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability)** Olaparib + bevacizumab vs. Placebo + bevacizumab [PFS(M): 37.2 vs. 17.7]
POLO[43] NCT02184195	**Pancreatic adenocarcinoma** (Approved on 2019/12/27) **Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious)** Olaparib vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 23.0 vs. 12.0, PFS(M): 7.4 vs. 3.8]
SOLO-1[37] NCT01844986	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/12/19) **Germline or somatic BRCA-mutated (gBRCAm or sBRCAm)** Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): NR vs. 13.8]
OlympiAD[42] NCT02000622	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/02/06) **Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative** Olaparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 7 vs. 4.2]
SOLO-2/ENGOT-Ov21[145] NCT01874353	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/08/17) **gBRCA+** Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 19.1 vs. 5.5]
Study19[146] NCT00753545	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2017/08/17) **-** Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 8.4 vs. 4.8]

ACT Onco® + Report

TW20220128001439

Study 42[147] NCT01078662	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2014/12/19)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious)
	Olaparib [ORR(%): 34.0, DOR(M): 7.9]

Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

Rucaparib is an inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2 and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Rucaparib is developed and marketed by Clovis Oncology under the trade name RUBRACA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

TRITON2 NCT02952534	**Prostate cancer** (Approved on 2020/05/15)
	gBRCA+, sBRCA
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 44.0, DOR(M): NE]
ARIEL3[45] NCT01968213	**Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma** (Approved on 2018/04/06)
	All|HRD|tBRCA
	Rucaparib vs. Placebo [PFS (All)(M): 10.8 vs. 5.4, PFS (HRD)(M): 13.6 vs. 5.4, PFS (tBRCA)(M): 16.6 vs. 5.4]
ARIEL2[148] NCT01482715, NCT01891344	**Ovarian cancer** (Approved on 2016/12/19)
	Germline and/or somatic BRCA mutation
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 54.0]

Sonidegib (ODOMZO)

Sonidegib is a Hedgehog signaling pathway inhibitor by blocking its key component, smoothened (smo). Sonidegib is developed and marketed by Novartis under the trade name ODOMZO.

- FDA Approval Summary of Sonidegib (ODOMZO)

BOLT[149] NCT01327053	**Basal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2015/07/24)
	-
	Sonidegib [ORR(%): 58.0]

Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

Talazoparib is an inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) enzymes, including PARP1 and PARP2. Talazoparib is developed and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TALZENNA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

EMBRACA[50] NCT01945775	**Breast cancer** (Approved on 2018/10/16)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative
	Talazoparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 8.6 vs. 5.6]

ACT Onco® + Report

TW2107002820220128 1439

Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

Temsirolimus is a soluble ester of sirolimus (rapamycin, brand-name drug Rapamune) and functions as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex (mTORC). The inhibitory molecular mechanism is similar to Everolimus. Temsirolimus is developed by Wyeth Pharmaceuticals and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TORISEL.

- FDA Approval Summary of Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

[150] NCT00065468	**Renal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2007/05/30)
	-
	Temsirolimus vs. Ifn-α [OS(M): 10.9 vs. 7.3]

Vismodegib (ERIVEDGE)

Vismodegib is a cyclopamine-competitive antagonist and acts as a first-in-class Hedgehog signaling pathway inhibitor by blocking its key component smoothened (smo). Vismodegib is developed by Genentech and marketed by Roche under the trade name ERIVEDGE.

- FDA Approval Summary of Vismodegib (ERIVEDGE)

ERIVANCE BCC[151] NCT00833417	**Basal cell carcinoma** (Approved on 2012/01/30)
	-
	Vismodegib [ORR (mBCC)(%): 30.3, ORR (laBCC)(%): 42.9]

D=day; W=week; M=month

ACT Onco® + Report

TW2107002032022012 8 1439

ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS

Trials were searched by applying filters: study status, patient's diagnosis, intervention, location and/or biomarker(s). Please visit https://clinicaltrials.gov to search and view for a complete list of open available and updated matched trials.

No trial has been found.

ACT Onco® + Report

TW2020128 1439

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION OF TESTING RESULTS

DETAILED INFORMATION OF VARIANTS WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Single Nucleotide and Small InDel Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Exon	cDNA Change	Accession Number	COSMIC ID	Allele Frequency	Coverage
TP53	V218M	6	c.652G>A	NM_000546	COSM44683	72.2%	151

- Copy Number Alterations

Observed copy number (CN) for each evaluated position is shown on the y-axis. Regions referred to as amplification or deletion are shown in color. Regions without significant changes are represented in gray.



ACT Onco® + Report

OTHER DETECTED VARIANTS

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Exon	cDNA Change	Accession Number	COSMIC ID	Allele Frequency	Coverage
CIC	P391S	8	c.1171C>T	NM_015125	COSM9120057	40.4%	1028
EPHA2	E345K	5	c.1033G>A	NM_004431	-	87.9%	572
ERCC5	L999V	15	c.2995T>G	NM_000123	COSM5619887	5.6%	1474
MSH6	E1163V	6	c.3488A>T	NM_000179	COSM4416035	49.1%	1255
NBN	V346M	9	c.1036G>A	NM_002485	COSM1258764	52.6%	833
NOTCH1	E1183D	22	c.3549G>T	NM_017617	-	63.3%	528
PMS1	Splice region	-	c.2343-3C>T	NM_000534	-	20.4%	486
RPTOR	T548M	15	c.1643C>T	NM_020761	-	49.5%	386
SYNE1	V200I	9	c.598G>A	NM_182961	-	81.6%	500

Note:

- This table enlists variants detected by the panel other than those with clinical relevance (reported in Testing Result section). The clinical impact of a genetic variant is determined according to ACT Genomics in-house clinical knowledge database. A negative result does not necessarily indicate absence of biological effect on the tumor. Some variants listed here may possibly have preclinical data or may show potential clinical relevance in the future.

TW20220128 1439

ACT Onco® + Report

TW20220128001439

TEST DETAILS

SPECIMEN RECEIVED AND PATHOLOGY REVIEW

- Collection date: Jan 2022
- Facility retrieved: 臺北榮總
- H&E-stained section No.: S11100570A
- Collection site: Lung
- Examined by: Dr. Pei-Yi Chu
  1. The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the whole slide (%): 85%
  2. The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 85%
  3. The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the whole slide (%): 0%
  4. The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 0%
  5. Additional comment: NA
- Manual macrodissection: Not performed
- The outline highlights the area of malignant neoplasm annotated by a pathologist.

RUN QC

- Panel: ACTOnco®+

DNA test

- Mean Depth: 826x
- Target Base Coverage at 100x: 94%

RNA test

- Total reads: 295728
- Average unique RNA Start Sites per control GSP2: 142

ACT Onco® + Report

TWP1070220220128174139

LIMITATIONS

1. This test does not provide information of variant causality and does not detect variants in non-coding regions that could affect gene expression. This report does not report polymorphisms and we do not classify whether a mutation is germline or somatic. Variants identified by this assay were not subject to validation by Sanger or other technologies.
2. The possibility cannot be excluded that certain pathogenic variants detected by other sequencing tools may not be reported in the test because of technical limitation of bioinformatics algorithm or the NGS sequencing platform, e.g. low coverage.
3. This test has been designed to detect fusions in 13 genes sequenced. Therefore, fusion in genes not covered by this test would not be reported. For novel fusions detected in this test, Sanger sequencing confirmation is recommended if residue specimen is available.

NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS) METHODS

DNA test

Extracted genomic DNA was amplified using primers targeting coding exons of analyzed genes and subjected to library construction. Barcoded libraries were subsequently conjugated with sequencing beads by emulsion PCR and enriched using Ion Chef system. Sequencing was performed according to Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Raw reads generated by the sequencer were mapped to the hg19 reference genome using the Ion Torrent Suite. Coverage depth was calculated using Torrent Coverage Analysis plug-in. Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and short insertions/deletions (InDels) were identified using the Torrent Variant Caller plug-in. VEP (Variant Effect Predictor) was used to annotate every variant using databases from Clinvar, COSMIC and Genome Aggregation database. Variants with coverage ≥ 25, allele frequency ≥ 5% and actionable variants with allele frequency ≥ 2% were retained. This test provides uniform coverage of the targeted regions, enabling target base coverage at 100x ≥ 85% with a mean coverage ≥ 500x.

Variants reported in Genome Aggregation database with > 1% minor allele frequency (MAF) were considered as polymorphisms. ACT Genomics in-house database was used to determine technical errors. Clinically actionable and biologically significant variants were determined based on the published medical literature.

The copy number alterations (CNAs) were predicted as described below:
Amplicons with read counts in the lowest 5th percentile of all detectable amplicons and amplicons with a coefficient of variation ≥ 0.3 were removed. The remaining amplicons were normalized to correct the pool design bias. ONCOCNV (an established method for calculating copy number aberrations in amplicon sequencing data by Boeva et al., 2014) was applied for the normalization of total amplicon number, amplicon GC content, amplicon length, and technology-related biases, followed by segmenting the sample with a gene-aware model. The method was used as well for establishing the baseline of copy number variations.

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco®+ to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The TMB calculation predicted somatic variants and applied a machine learning model with a cancer hotspot correction. TMB may be reported as “TMB-High”, “TMB-Low” or “Cannot Be Determined”. TMB-High corresponds to ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase (Muts/Mb); TMB-Low corresponds to < 7.5 Muts/Mb. TMB is reported as “Cannot Be Determined” if the tumor purity of the sample is < 30%.

Classification of microsatellite instability (MSI) status is determined by a machine learning prediction algorithm. The change of a number of repeats of different lengths from a pooled microsatellite stable (MSS) baseline in > 400 genomic loci are used as the features for the algorithm. The final output of the results is either microsatellite Stable (MSS) or microsatellite instability high (MSI-H).

RNA test

Extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed and subjected to library construction. Sequencing was performed according to Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To ensure sequencing quality for fusion variant analysis, the average unique RNA Start Sites (SS) per control Gene Specific Primer 2 (GSP 2) should be ≥ 10.

ACT Onco® + Report

TW2107002032022012811:39

The fusion analysis pipeline aligned sequenced reads to the human reference genome, identified regions that map to noncontiguous regions of the genome, applied filters to exclude probable false-positive events and, annotated previously characterized fusion events according to Quiver Gene Fusion Database, a curated database owned and maintained by ArcherDX. In general, samples with detectable fusions need to meet the following criteria: (1) Number of unique start sites (SS) for the GSP2 ≥ 3; (2) Number of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction ≥ 5; (3) Percentage of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction ≥ 10%; (4) Fusions annotated in Quiver Gene Fusion Database.

DATABASE USED

- Reference genome: Human genome sequence hg19
- COSMIC v.92
- Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1
- ClinVar (version 20210404)
- ACT Genomics in-house database
- Quiver Gene Fusion Database version 5.1.18

Variant Analysis:
醫檢師陳韻仔 博士
Yun-Yu Chen Ph.D.
檢字第 015647 號

Yun Yu Chen

Sign Off
醫檢師陳韻仔 博士
Yun-Yu Chen Ph.D.
檢字第 015647 號

Yun Yu Chen

ACT Onco® + Report

TW2021000149

GENE LIST

SNV & CNV

ABCB1*	ABCC2*	ABCG2*	ABL1	ABL2	ADAMTS1	ADAMTS13	ADAMTS15	ADAMTS16	ADAMTS18	ADAMTS6	ADAMTS9
ADAMTSL1	ADGRA2	ADH1C*	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALDH1A1*	ALK	AMER1	APC	AR	ARAF
ARID1A	ARID1B	ARID2	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1	AXIN2	AXL
B2M	BAP1	BARD1	BCL10	BCL2*	BCL2L1	BCL2L2*	BCL6	BCL9	BCOR	BIRC2	BIRC3
BLM	BMPR1A	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2*	BTK	BUB1B	CALR
CANX	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCNA1	CCNA	CCNB1	CCNB2	CCNB3	CCND1	CCND2
CCND3	CCNE1	CCNE2	CCNH	CD19	CD274	CD58	CD70*	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1
CDK1	CDK12	CDK2	CDK4	CDK5	CDK6	CDK7	CDK8	CDK9	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A
CDKN2B	CDKN2C	CEBPA*	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CRLF2	CSF1R	CTCF	CTLA4
CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CYLD	CYP1A1*	CYP2B6*	CYP2C19*	CYP2C8*	CYP2D6	CYP2E1*	CYP3A4*	CYP3A5*
DAXX	DCUN1D1	DDR2	DICER1	DNMT3A	DOT1L	DPYD	DTX1	E2F3	EGFR	EP300	EPCAM
EPHA2	EPHA3	EPHA5	EPHA7	EPHB1	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC1	ERCC2	ERCC3	ERCC4
ERCC5	ERG	ESR1	ESR2	ETV1	ETV4	EZH2	FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCD2	FANCE
FANCF	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FAT1	FBXW7	FCGR2B	FGF1*	FGF10	FGF14	FGF19*	FGF23
FGF3	FGF4*	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FLT4
FOXL2*	FOXP1	FRG1	FUBP1	GATA1	GATA2	GATA3	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GREM1
GRIN2A	GSK3B	GSTP1*	GSTT1*	HGF	HIF1A	HIST1H1C*	HIST1H1E*	HNF1A	HR	HRAS*	HSP90AA1
HSP90AB1	HSPA4	HSPA5	IDH1	IDH2	IFNL3*	IGF1	IGF1R	IGF2	IKBKB	IKBKE	IKZF1
IL6	IL7R	INPP4B	INSR	IRF4	IRS1	IRS2*	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3	JUN*	KAT6A
KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KIT	KMT2A	KMT2C	KMT2D	KRAS	LCK	LIG1
LIG3	LMO1	LRP1B	LYN	MALT1	MAP2K1	MAP2K2	MAP2K4	MAP3K1	MAP3K7	MAPK1	MAPK3
MAX	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1	MET	MITF	MLH1	MPL	MRE11
MSH2	MSH6	MTHFR*	MTOR	MUC16	MUC4	MUC6	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL	MYCN	MYD88
NAT2*	NBN	NEFH	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKB1	NFKBIA	NKX2-1*	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NOTCH4	NPM1	NQO1*	NRAS	NSD1	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	PAK3	PALB2	PARP1	PAX5
PAX8	PBRM1	PDCD1	PDCD1LG2	PDGFRA	PDGFRB	PDIA3	PGF	PHOX2B*	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3C3
PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3CD	PIK3CG	PIK3R1	PIK3R2	PIK3R3	PIM1	PMS1	PMS2	POLB	POLD1
POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCA	PRKCB	PRKCG	PRKCI	PRKCQ	PRKDC	PRKN
PSMB8	PSMB9	PSME1	PSME2	PSME3	PTCH1	PTEN	PTGS2	PTPN11	PTPRD	PTPRT	RAC1
RAD50	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C	RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	RECQL4
REL	RET	RHOA	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPPH1	RPTOR	RUNX1	RUNX1T1	RXRA	SDHA
SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SERPINB3	SERPINB4	SETD2	SF3B1	SGK1	SH2D1A*	SLC19A1*	SLC22A2*	SLCO1B1*
SLCO1B3*	SMAD2	SMAD3	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SOCS1*	SOX2*	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP
SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU	SYK	SYNE1	TAF1	TAP1	TAP2	TAPBP	TBX3
TEK	TERT	TET1	TET2	TGFBR2	TMSB4X*	TNF	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TNFSF11	TOP1	TP53
TPMT*	TSC1	TSC2	TSHR	TYMS	U2AF1	UBE2A*	UBE2K	UBR5	UGT1A1*	USH2A	VDR*
VEGFA	VEGFB	VHL	WT1	XIAP	XPO1	XRCC2	ZNF217				

*Analysis of copy number alterations NOT available.

FUSION

ALK	BRAF	EGFR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	MET	NRG1	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	RET	ROS1

ACT Onco® + Report

TMC 2022 28 1439

APPENDIX

POSSIBLE THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS FOR HETEROZYGOUS DELETION

Gene	Therapies	Possible effect
ARID1A	Dasatinib, Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	**sensitive**
FLCN	Everolimus, Temsirolimus	**sensitive**
TSC1	Everolimus, Temsirolimus	**sensitive**
TSC2	Everolimus, Temsirolimus	**sensitive**
BRCA2	Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	**sensitive**
PALB2	Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	**sensitive**
PTCH1	Sonidegib, Vismodegib	**sensitive**
RB1	Abemaciclib, Palbociclib, Ribociclib	**resistant**
SMAD4	Cetuximab	**resistant**

SIGNALING PATHWAYS AND MOLECULAR-TARGETED AGENTS



1: Olaparib, Niraparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib

ACT Onco® + Report



1: Sonidegib, Vismodegib



1: Everolimus, Temsirolimus

ACT Onco® + Report

TW107020320220128 1439

DISCLAIMER

法律聲明

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，結果需經專業醫師解釋及判讀。基因突變資訊非必具備藥物或治療有效性指標，反之亦然。本檢驗報告提供之用藥指引不聲明或保證其臨床有效性，反之亦然。本基因檢測方法係由本公司研究開發，已經過有效性測試。
本檢驗報告非經本公司許可，不得私自變造、塗改，或以任何方式作為廣告及其他宣傳之用途。
本公司於提供檢驗報告後，即已完成本次契約義務，後續之報告解釋、判讀及用藥、治療，應自行尋求相關專業醫師協助，若需將報告移件其他醫師，本人應取得該醫師同意並填寫移件申請書，主動告知行動基因，行動基因僅能配合該醫師意願與時間提供醫師解說。

醫療決策需由醫師決定

任何治療與用藥需經由醫師在考慮病患所有健康狀況相關資訊包含健檢、其他檢測報告和病患意願後，依照該地區醫療照護標準由醫師獨立判斷。醫師不應僅依據單一報告結果(例如本檢測或本報告書內容)做決策。

基因突變與用藥資訊並非依照有效性排序

本報告中列出之生物標記變異與藥物資訊並非依照潛在治療有效性排序。

證據等級

藥物潛在臨床效益(或缺乏潛在臨床效益)的實證證據是依據至少一篇臨床療效個案報告或臨床前試驗做為評估。本公司盡力提供適時及準確之資料，但由於醫學科技之發展日新月異，本公司不就本報告提供的資料是否為準確、適宜或最新作保證。

責任

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，本公司及其員工不對任何由使用本報告之內容引起的直接、間接、特殊、連帶或衍生的損失或損害承擔責任。

ACT Onco® + Report

TW21070320220128 1439

REFERENCE

1. PMID: 24739573; 2014, Nat Rev Cancer;14(5):359-70
Unravelling mechanisms of p53-mediated tumour suppression.

2. PMID: 21125671; 2011, J Pathol;223(2):137-46
Haplo-insufficiency: a driving force in cancer.

3. PMID: 27998224; 2016, J Clin Oncol;34(36):4354-4361
Phase II Study of WEE1 Inhibitor AZD1775 Plus Carboplatin in Patients With TP53-Mutated Ovarian Cancer Refractory or Resistant to First-Line Therapy Within 3 Months.

4. PMID: 26646755; 2016, Ann Oncol;27(3):539-43
TP53 mutational status is predictive of pazopanib response in advanced sarcomas.

5. PMID: 25669829; 2015, Ann Oncol;26(5):1012-8
Phase I study of pazopanib and vorinostat: a therapeutic approach for inhibiting mutant p53-mediated angiogenesis and facilitating mutant p53 degradation.

6. PMID: 27466356; 2016, Mol Cancer Ther;15(10):2475-2485
TP53 Alterations Correlate with Response to VEGF/VEGFR Inhibitors: Implications for Targeted Therapeutics.

7. PMID: 23670029; 2013, Oncotarget;4(5):705-14
P53 mutations in advanced cancers: clinical characteristics, outcomes, and correlation between progression-free survival and bevacizumab-containing therapy.

8. PMID: 17145525; 2006, Semin Oncol;33(5 Suppl 10):S8-14
Bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy: first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer.

9. PMID: 21399868; 2011, Int J Oncol;38(5):1445-52
p53, HER2 and tumor cell apoptosis correlate with clinical outcome after neoadjuvant bevacizumab plus chemotherapy in breast cancer.

10. PMID: 20549698; 2011, Int J Cancer;128(8):1813-21
p53 status influences response to tamoxifen but not to fulvestrant in breast cancer cell lines.

11. PMID: 10786679; 2000, Cancer Res;60(8):2155-62
Complete sequencing of TP53 predicts poor response to systemic therapy of advanced breast cancer.

12. PMID: 25672981; 2015, Cancer Res;75(7):1187-90
VEGF-A Expression Correlates with TP53 Mutations in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Implications for Antiangiogenesis Therapy.

13. PMID: 10757798; 2000, Mol Cell Biol;20(9):3137-46
The human SWI-SNF complex protein p270 is an ARID family member with non-sequence-specific DNA binding activity.

14. PMID: 25387058; 2015, Annu Rev Pathol;10():145-71
SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling and human malignancies.

15. PMID: 23208470; 2013, Cancer Discov;3(1):35-43
ARID1A mutations in cancer: another epigenetic tumor suppressor?

16. PMID: 20826764; 2010, Science;330(6001):228-31
Frequent mutations of chromatin remodeling gene ARID1A in ovarian clear cell carcinoma.

17. PMID: 20942669; 2010, N Engl J Med;363(16):1532-43
ARID1A mutations in endometriosis-associated ovarian carcinomas.

18. PMID: 21590771; 2011, J Pathol;224(3):328-33
Loss of BAF250a (ARID1A) is frequent in high-grade endometrial carcinomas.

TW2107002032022012801439

19. PMID: 21412130; 2011, Am J Surg Pathol;35(5):625-32
Mutation and loss of expression of ARID1A in uterine low-grade endometrioid carcinoma.

20. PMID: 22037554; 2011, Nat Genet;43(12):1219-23
Exome sequencing identifies frequent mutation of ARID1A in molecular subtypes of gastric cancer.

21. PMID: 26125128; 2015, Expert Opin Ther Targets;19(11):1419-22
Potential therapeutic targets in ARID1A-mutated cancers.

22. PMID: 29093822; 2017, Gynecol Oncol Res Pract;4():17
EZH2 inhibition in ARID1A mutated clear cell and endometrioid ovarian and endometrioid endometrial cancers.

23. PMID: 24979463; 2014, Oncotarget;5(14):5295-303
Loss of ARID1A expression sensitizes cancer cells to PI3K- and AKT-inhibition.

24. PMID: 27364904; 2016, Mol Cancer Ther;15(7):1472-84
Synthetic Lethal Targeting of ARID1A-Mutant Ovarian Clear Cell Tumors with Dasatinib.

25. PMID: 27172896; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(21):5238-5248
Loss of ARID1A Activates ANXA1, which Serves as a Predictive Biomarker for Trastuzumab Resistance.

26. PMID: 22101352; 2012, Mod Pathol;25(2):282-8
Loss of ARID1A expression is related to shorter progression-free survival and chemoresistance in ovarian clear cell carcinoma.

27. PMID: 24459582; 2014, J Gynecol Oncol;25(1):58-63
Decreased ARID1A expression is correlated with chemoresistance in epithelial ovarian cancer.

28. PMID: 26770240; 2015, J Breast Cancer;18(4):339-46
Loss of Tumor Suppressor ARID1A Protein Expression Correlates with Poor Prognosis in Patients with Primary Breast Cancer.

29. PMID: 21889920; 2012, Cancer Epidemiol;36(3):288-93
Frequent low expression of chromatin remodeling gene ARID1A in breast cancer and its clinical significance.

30. PMID: 25311944; 2014, Hum Pathol;45(12):2430-6
Immunohistochemical detection of ARID1A in colorectal carcinoma: loss of staining is associated with sporadic microsatellite unstable tumors with medullary histology and high TNM stage.

31. PMID: 25561809; 2014, World J Gastroenterol;20(48):18404-12
Clinicopathologic and prognostic relevance of ARID1A protein loss in colorectal cancer.

32. PMID: 26069190; 2015, Cancer Discov;5(7):752-67
ARID1A Deficiency Impairs the DNA Damage Checkpoint and Sensitizes Cells to PARP Inhibitors.

33. PMID: 11239455; 2001, Mol Cell;7(2):263-72
BRCA2 is required for homology-directed repair of chromosomal breaks.

34. PMID: 17597348; 2007, Ann Surg Oncol;14(9):2510-8
Heterogenic loss of the wild-type BRCA allele in human breast tumorigenesis.

35. PMID: 22193408; 2011, Nat Rev Cancer;12(1):68-78
BRCA1 and BRCA2: different roles in a common pathway of genome protection.

36. PMID: 27283171; 2016, J Natl Compr Canc Netw;14(6):795-806
The Relevance of Hereditary Cancer Risks to Precision Oncology: What Should Providers Consider When Conducting Tumor Genomic Profiling?

37. PMID: 30345884; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(26):2495-2505
Maintenance Olaparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer.

38. PMID: 31851799; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(25):2416-2428

ACT Onco® + Report

TW21702032022012801439

Olaparib plus Bevacizumab as First-Line Maintenance in Ovarian Cancer.

39. PMID: 28884698; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(9):e510
Correction to Lancet Oncol 2017; 18: 1274-84.

40. PMID: 22452356; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(15):1382-92
Olaparib maintenance therapy in platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian cancer.

41. PMID: 26187614; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(19):4257-61
FDA Approval Summary: Olaparib Monotherapy in Patients with Deleterious Germline BRCA-Mutated Advanced Ovarian Cancer Treated with Three or More Lines of Chemotherapy.

42. PMID: 28578601; 2017, N Engl J Med;377(6):523-533
Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer in Patients with a Germline BRCA Mutation.

43. PMID: 31157963; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(4):317-327
Maintenance Olaparib for Germline BRCA-Mutated Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer.

44. PMID: 32343890; 2020, N Engl J Med;382(22):2091-2102
Olaparib for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer.

45. PMID: 28916367; 2017, Lancet;390(10106):1949-1961
Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

46. PMID: 28882436; 2017, Gynecol Oncol;147(2):267-275
Antitumor activity and safety of the PARP inhibitor rucaparib in patients with high-grade ovarian carcinoma and a germline or somatic BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation: Integrated analysis of data from Study 10 and ARIEL2.

47. PMID: 31562799; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(25):2391-2402
Niraparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer.

48. PMID: 27717299; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(22):2154-2164
Niraparib Maintenance Therapy in Platinum-Sensitive, Recurrent Ovarian Cancer.

49. PMID: 30948273; 2019, Lancet Oncol;20(5):636-648
Niraparib monotherapy for late-line treatment of ovarian cancer (QUADRA): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 trial.

50. PMID: 30110579; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(8):753-763
Talazoparib in Patients with Advanced Breast Cancer and a Germline BRCA Mutation.

51. PMID: 24095279; 2013, Mol Cell;52(4):495-505
The folliculin tumor suppressor is a GAP for the RagC/D GTPases that signal amino acid levels to mTORC1.

52. PMID: 26342594; 2016, Fam Cancer;15(1):127-32
Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome: novel FLCN frameshift deletion in daughter and father with renal cell carcinomas.

53. PMID: 23223565; 2013, J Clin Pathol;66(3):178-86
Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome: clinicopathological features of the lung.

54. PMID: 19850877; 2009, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A;106(44):18722-7
Homozygous loss of BHD causes early embryonic lethality and kidney tumor development with activation of mTORC1 and mTORC2.

55. PMID: 24908670; 2014, Hum Mol Genet;23(21):5706-19
Folliculin (Flcn) inactivation leads to murine cardiac hypertrophy through mTORC1 deregulation.

56. PMID: 15956655; 2005, J Natl Cancer Inst;97(12):931-5
High frequency of somatic frameshift BHD gene mutations in Birt-Hogg-Dubé-associated renal tumors.

57. PMID: 29301825; 2018, Clin Cancer Res;24(7):1546-1553

ACT Onco® + Report

TW21070032022012814 39

Genomic Correlates of Response to Everolimus in Aggressive Radioiodine-refractory Thyroid Cancer: A Phase II Study.

58. PMID: 26418749; 2015, Oncotarget;6(32):32761-73
Flcn-deficient renal cells are tumorigenic and sensitive to mTOR suppression.

59. PMID: 16793542; 2006, Mol Cell;22(6):719-29
Control of BRCA2 cellular and clinical functions by a nuclear partner, PALB2.

60. PMID: 23325218; 2013, Nature;493(7432):356-63
Fanconi anaemia and the repair of Watson and Crick DNA crosslinks.

61. PMID: 15905196; 2005, Carcinogenesis;26(10):1731-40
The Fanconi anemia group A protein modulates homologous repair of DNA double-strand breaks in mammalian cells.

62. PMID: 27037238; 2016, EMBO J;35(9):909-23
Interplay between Fanconi anemia and homologous recombination pathways in genome integrity.

63. PMID: 24153426; 2013, Nat Commun;4():2578
Heterozygous mutations in PALB2 cause DNA replication and damage response defects.

64. PMID: 20858716; 2010, Cancer Res;70(19):7353-9
PALB2/FANCN: recombining cancer and Fanconi anemia.

65. PMID: 25754594; 2015, Hum Mutat;36(5):562-8
Loss-of-Function FANCL Mutations Associate with Severe Fanconi Anemia Overlapping the VACTERL Association.

66. PMID: 28678401; 2017, Cancer;123(20):3943-3954
Assessing the spectrum of germline variation in Fanconi anemia genes among patients with head and neck carcinoma before age 50.

67. PMID: 32086346; 2020, Clin Cancer Res;26(11):2487-2496
Non-BRCA DNA Damage Repair Gene Alterations and Response to the PARP Inhibitor Rucaparib in Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Analysis From the Phase II TRITON2 Study.

68. PMID: 21135251; 2011, Mol Cancer Ther;10(1):3-8
Personalizing cancer treatment in the age of global genomic analyses: PALB2 gene mutations and the response to DNA damaging agents in pancreatic cancer.

69. PMID: 8906794; 1996, Nature;384(6605):176-9
Biochemical evidence that patched is the Hedgehog receptor.

70. PMID: 12016144; 2002, Carcinogenesis;23(5):727-33
Unbalanced overexpression of the mutant allele in murine Patched mutants.

71. PMID: 11130178; 2000, Cell Mol Life Sci;57(12):1720-31
Hedgehog signalling in cancer.

72. PMID: 8782823; 1996, Nat Genet;14(1):78-81
The role of the human homologue of Drosophila patched in sporadic basal cell carcinomas.

73. PMID: 8658145; 1996, Science;272(5268):1668-71
Human homolog of patched, a candidate gene for the basal cell nevus syndrome.

74. PMID: 9422511; 1998, Nature;391(6662):90-2
Activating Smoothened mutations in sporadic basal-cell carcinoma.

75. PMID: 22832583; 2012, Nature;488(7409):100-5
Dissecting the genomic complexity underlying medulloblastoma.

76. PMID: 10738305; 2000, Genes Chromosomes Cancer;28(1):77-81
Evidence that haploinsufficiency of Ptch leads to medulloblastoma in mice.

TW2170020320220128 1439

77. PMID: 19726761; 2009, N Engl J Med;361(12):1173-8
Treatment of medulloblastoma with hedgehog pathway inhibitor GDC-0449.

78. PMID: 26169613; 2015, J Clin Oncol;33(24):2646-54
Vismodegib Exerts Targeted Efficacy Against Recurrent Sonic Hedgehog-Subgroup Medulloblastoma: Results From Phase II Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium Studies PBTC-025B and PBTC-032.

79. PMID: 25595896; 2015, Oncotarget;6(2):1190-201
Temozolomide resistance in glioblastoma occurs by miRNA-9-targeted PTCH1, independent of sonic hedgehog level.

80. PMID: 29320312; 2018, J Clin Oncol;36(6):536-542
Targeted Therapy for Advanced Solid Tumors on the Basis of Molecular Profiles: Results From MyPathway, an Open-Label, Phase IIa Multiple Basket Study.

81. PMID: 22293180; 2012, J Clin Invest;122(2):425-34
Understanding pRb: toward the necessary development of targeted treatments for retinoblastoma.

82. PMID: 6320372; 1984, Science;223(4640):1028-33
Retinoblastoma: clues to human oncogenesis.

83. PMID: 27308386; 2015, Mol Cell Oncol;2(1):e968069
Conditional haploinsufficiency of the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor gene.

84. PMID: 23687339; 2013, Cancer Res;73(14):4247-55
Rb1 haploinsufficiency promotes telomere attrition and radiation-induced genomic instability.

85. PMID: 28169375; 2017, Sci Rep;7():42056
The Rb1 tumour suppressor gene modifies telomeric chromatin architecture by regulating TERRA expression.

86. PMID: 15884040; 2005, Hum Mutat;25(6):566-74
Sensitive multistep clinical molecular screening of 180 unrelated individuals with retinoblastoma detects 36 novel mutations in the RB1 gene.

87. PMID: 26238431; 2015, Eur Urol;68(6):959-67
Defects in DNA Repair Genes Predict Response to Neoadjuvant Cisplatin-based Chemotherapy in Muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer.

88. PMID: 22811582; 2012, Clin Cancer Res;18(18):5110-22
RB-pathway disruption is associated with improved response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer.

89. PMID: 21358261; 2011, Cell Cycle;10(6):956-62
A non-functional retinoblastoma tumor suppressor (RB) pathway in premenopausal breast cancer is associated with resistance to tamoxifen.

90. PMID: 17160137; 2007, J Clin Invest;117(1):218-28
The retinoblastoma tumor suppressor modifies the therapeutic response of breast cancer.

91. PMID: 29236940; 2018, Ann Oncol;29(3):640-645
Polyclonal RB1 mutations and acquired resistance to CDK 4/6 inhibitors in patients with metastatic breast cancer.

92. PMID: 29483214; 2018, Mol Cancer Ther;17(5):897-907
Preclinical Activity of Abemaciclib Alone or in Combination with Antimitotic and Targeted Therapies in Breast Cancer.

93. PMID: 22941188; 2012, Nat Genet;44(10):1104-10
Integrative genome analyses identify key somatic driver mutations of small-cell lung cancer.

94. PMID: 22941189; 2012, Nat Genet;44(10):1111-6
Comprehensive genomic analysis identifies SOX2 as a frequently amplified gene in small-cell lung cancer.

95. PMID: 25846096; 2015, Lancet Oncol;16(4):e165-72
Transformation from non-small-cell lung cancer to small-cell lung cancer: molecular drivers and cells of origin.

ACT Onco® + Report

TW107003202201281439

96. PMID: 25935112; 2015, Trends Biochem Sci;40(6):296-308
Structural determinants of Smad function in TGF-β signaling.

97. PMID: 19014666; 2008, Pathogenetics;1(1):2
Smad4 haploinsufficiency: a matter of dosage.

98. PMID: 9545410; 1998, Am J Hum Genet;62(5):1129-36
A gene for familial juvenile polyposis maps to chromosome 18q21.1.

99. PMID: 8553070; 1996, Science;271(5247):350-3
DPC4, a candidate tumor suppressor gene at human chromosome 18q21.1.

100. PMID: 8673134; 1996, Nat Genet;13(3):343-6
Evaluation of candidate tumour suppressor genes on chromosome 18 in colorectal cancers.

101. PMID: 18662538; 2008, Cell;134(2):215-30
TGFbeta in Cancer.

102. PMID: 9135016; 1997, Cancer Res;57(9):1731-4
Tumor-suppressive pathways in pancreatic carcinoma.

103. PMID: 23139211; 2013, Cancer Res;73(2):725-35
SMAD2, SMAD3 and SMAD4 mutations in colorectal cancer.

104. PMID: 22810696; 2012, Nature;487(7407):330-7
Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer.

105. PMID: 25890228; 2015, World J Surg Oncol;13():128
Clinical outcome and expression of mutant P53, P16, and Smad4 in lung adenocarcinoma: a prospective study.

106. PMID: 19841540; 2009, J Clin Invest;119(11):3208-11
Smad4: gatekeeper gene in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

107. PMID: 15867212; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(9):3191-7
Differences in Smad4 expression in human papillomavirus type 16-positive and human papillomavirus type 16-negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

108. PMID: 25589618; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(6):1447-56
Genomic analysis of metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma.

109. PMID: 29703253; 2018, BMC Cancer;18(1):479
SMAD4 and NF1 mutations as potential biomarkers for poor prognosis to cetuximab-based therapy in Chinese metastatic colorectal cancer patients.

110. PMID: 28522603; 2017, Clin Cancer Res;23(17):5162-5175
SMAD4 Loss Is Associated with Cetuximab Resistance and Induction of MAPK/JNK Activation in Head and Neck Cancer Cells.

111. PMID: 16144935; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(17):6311-6
SMAD4 levels and response to 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer.

112. PMID: 24384683; 2014, Br J Cancer;110(4):946-57
Loss of Smad4 in colorectal cancer induces resistance to 5-fluorouracil through activating Akt pathway.

113. PMID: 12237773; 2002, Br J Cancer;87(6):630-4
SMAD4 is a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in patients with colorectal cancer.

114. PMID: 25749173; 2015, Transl Oncol;8(1):18-24
A Meta-Analysis of SMAD4 Immunohistochemistry as a Prognostic Marker in Colorectal Cancer.

115. PMID: 19478385; 2009, Cell Oncol;31(3):169-78

ACT Onco® + Report

TW21070020220128 1439

Presence of a high amount of stroma and downregulation of SMAD4 predict for worse survival for stage I-II colon cancer patients.

116. PMID: 25681512; 2015, J Clin Pathol;68(5):341-5
Smad4 inactivation predicts for worse prognosis and response to fluorouracil-based treatment in colorectal cancer.

117. PMID: 26861460; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(12):3037-47
Reduced Expression of SMAD4 Is Associated with Poor Survival in Colon Cancer.

118. PMID: 26947875; 2016, Transl Oncol;9(1):1-7
Prognostic Value of SMAD4 in Pancreatic Cancer: A Meta-Analysis.

119. PMID: 25760429; 2015, Pancreas;44(4):660-4
SMAD4 expression predicts local spread and treatment failure in resected pancreatic cancer.

120. PMID: 22504380; 2012, Pancreas;41(4):541-6
SMAD4 genetic alterations predict a worse prognosis in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

121. PMID: 19584151; 2009, Clin Cancer Res;15(14):4674-9
SMAD4 gene mutations are associated with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer.

122. PMID: 18425078; 2008, Mod Pathol;21(7):866-75
Expression of Smad2 and Smad4 in cervical cancer: absent nuclear Smad4 expression correlates with poor survival.

123. PMID: 21157483; 2011, Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol;12(1):21-35
mTOR: from growth signal integration to cancer, diabetes and ageing.

124. PMID: 12271141; 2002, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A;99(21):13571-6
Tuberous sclerosis complex-1 and -2 gene products function together to inhibit mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)-mediated downstream signaling.

125. PMID: 9242607; 1997, Science;277(5327):805-8
Identification of the tuberous sclerosis gene TSC1 on chromosome 9q34.

126. PMID: 8269512; 1993, Cell;75(7):1305-15
Identification and characterization of the tuberous sclerosis gene on chromosome 16.

127. PMID: 1303246; 1992, Nat Genet;2(1):37-41
Linkage of an important gene locus for tuberous sclerosis to a chromosome 16 marker for polycystic kidney disease.

128. PMID: 18538015; 2008, BMC Cancer;8():163
Involvement of TSC genes and differential expression of other members of the mTOR signaling pathway in oral squamous cell carcinoma.

129. PMID: 28339086; 2017, Int J Oncol;50(5):1778-1784
Identification of novel mutations in endometrial cancer patients by whole-exome sequencing.

130. PMID: 20610279; 2010, Urol Oncol;28(4):409-28
Bladder cancer or bladder cancers? Genetically distinct malignant conditions of the urothelium.

131. PMID: 17005952; 2006, N Engl J Med;355(13):1345-56
The tuberous sclerosis complex.

132. PMID: 22923433; 2012, Science;338(6104):221
Genome sequencing identifies a basis for everolimus sensitivity.

133. PMID: 26859683; 2016, Oncotarget;7(9):10547-56
Next-generation sequencing reveals somatic mutations that confer exceptional response to everolimus.

134. PMID: 27016228; 2016, Gynecol Oncol;141(1):43-8
Tumor mutational analysis of GOG248, a phase II study of temsirolimus or temsirolimus and alternating megestrol acetate and tamoxifen for advanced endometrial cancer (EC): An NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group study.

TW20220128001439

135. PMID: 26412398; 2015, Sci Rep;5():14534
PAK2 is an effector of TSC1/2 signaling independent of mTOR and a potential therapeutic target for Tuberous Sclerosis Complex.

136. PMID: 25724664; 2015, Mol Cancer Ther;14(5):1224-35
Loss of Tuberous Sclerosis Complex 2 (TSC2) Is Frequent in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Predicts Response to mTORC1 Inhibitor Everolimus.

137. PMID: 20525995; 2010, N Engl J Med;362(24):2260-70
Dasatinib versus imatinib in newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia.

138. PMID: 18541900; 2008, J Clin Oncol;26(19):3204-12
Intermittent target inhibition with dasatinib 100 mg once daily preserves efficacy and improves tolerability in imatinib-resistant and -intolerant chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia.

139. PMID: 17496201; 2007, Blood;110(7):2309-15
Dasatinib induces rapid hematologic and cytogenetic responses in adult patients with Philadelphia chromosome positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia with resistance or intolerance to imatinib: interim results of a phase 2 study.

140. PMID: 26703889; 2016, Lancet;387(10022):968-977
Everolimus for the treatment of advanced, non-functional neuroendocrine tumours of the lung or gastrointestinal tract (RADIANT-4): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study.

141. PMID: 22149876; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(6):520-9
Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer.

142. PMID: 21306238; 2011, N Engl J Med;364(6):514-23
Everolimus for advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

143. PMID: 23158522; 2013, Lancet;381(9861):125-32
Efficacy and safety of everolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytomas associated with tuberous sclerosis complex (EXIST-1): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial.

144. PMID: 18653228; 2008, Lancet;372(9637):449-56
Efficacy of everolimus in advanced renal cell carcinoma: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase III trial.

145. PMID: 28754483; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(9):1274-1284
Olaparib tablets as maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed ovarian cancer and a BRCA1/2 mutation (SOLO2/ENGOT-Ov21): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.

146. PMID: 27617661; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(11):1579-1589
Overall survival in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent serous ovarian cancer receiving olaparib maintenance monotherapy: an updated analysis from a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, phase 2 trial.

147. PMID: 25366685; 2015, J Clin Oncol;33(3):244-50
Olaparib monotherapy in patients with advanced cancer and a germline BRCA1/2 mutation.

148. PMID: 27908594; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(1):75-87
Rucaparib in relapsed, platinum-sensitive high-grade ovarian carcinoma (ARIEL2 Part 1): an international, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial.

149. PMID: 25981810; 2015, Lancet Oncol;16(6):716-28
Treatment with two different doses of sonidegib in patients with locally advanced or metastatic basal cell carcinoma (BOLT): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind phase 2 trial.

150. PMID: 17538086; 2007, N Engl J Med;356(22):2271-81
Temsirolimus, interferon alfa, or both for advanced renal-cell carcinoma.

151. PMID: 22670903; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(23):2171-9
Efficacy and safety of vismodegib in advanced basal-cell carcinoma.