

ACT Onco[®] + Report

PATIENT		
Name: 王富惠		Patient ID: 48118838
Date of Birth: Sep 26, 1962		Gender: Female
Diagnosis: Pancreatic cancer		
ORDERING PHYSICIAN		
Name: 姜乃榕醫師		Tel: 886-228712121
Facility: 臺北榮總		
Address: 臺北市北投區石牌路二段 201 號		
SPECIMEN		
Specimen ID: S11179256	Collection site: Pancreas	Type: FFPE tissue
Date received: Jul 18, 2022	Lab ID: AA-22-04115	D/ID: NA

ABOUT ACT Onco[®]+

The test is a next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based assay developed for efficient and comprehensive genomic profiling of cancers. This test interrogates coding regions of 440 genes associated with cancer treatment, prognosis and diagnosis. Genetic mutations detected by this test include small-scale mutations like single nucleotide variants (SNVs), small insertions and deletions (InDels) (≤ 15 nucleotides) and large-scale genomic alterations like copy number alterations (CNAs). The test also includes an RNA test, detecting fusion transcripts of 13 genes.

SUMMARY FOR ACTIONABLE VARIANTS

VARIANTS/BIOMARKERS WITH EVIDENCE OF CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Genomic Alterations/Biomarkers	Probable Effects in Patient's Cancer Type		Probable Sensitive in Other Cancer Types
	Sensitive	Resistant	
Not detected			

VARIANTS/BIOMARKERS WITH POTENTIAL CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Genomic Alterations/Biomarkers	Possibly Sensitive	Possibly Resistant
KRAS Q61H	-	Afatinib, Dacomitinib, Erlotinib, Gefitinib, Osimertinib, Cetuximab, Panitumumab
MRE11 Splice donor	Olaparib, Talazoparib	-
STK11 Y60*	Everolimus, Trametinib	-
STK11 Heterozygous deletion	Everolimus, Trametinib	-

Note:

- The above summary tables present genomic variants and biomarkers based on the three-tiered approach proposed by US FDA for reporting tumor profiling NGS testing. "Variants/biomarkers with evidence of clinical significance" refers to mutations that are widely recognized as standard-of-care biomarkers (FDA level 2/AMP tier 1). "Variants/biomarkers with potential clinical significance" refers to mutations that are not included in the standard of care but are informational for clinicians, which are commonly biomarkers used as inclusion criteria for clinical trials (FDA level 3/AMP tier 2).
- The therapeutic agents and possible effects to a given drug are based on mapping the variants/biomarkers with ACT Genomics clinical knowledge database. The mapping results only provide information for reference, but not medical recommendation.
- Please refer to corresponding sections for more detailed information about genomic alteration and clinical relevance listed above.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

TESTING RESULTS

VARIANT(S) WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Single Nucleotide and Small InDel Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Allele Frequency
<i>KRAS</i>	Q61H	34.7%
<i>MRE11</i>	Splice donor	48.7%
<i>STK11</i>	Y60*	50.6%

- Copy Number Alterations

Chromosome	Gene	Variation	Copy Number
Chr17	<i>FLCN</i>	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr18	<i>SMAD4</i>	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr19	<i>STK11</i>	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr22	<i>CHEK2</i>	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr5	<i>RAD50</i>	Heterozygous deletion	1
Chr9	<i>CDKN2A, PTCH1, TSC1</i>	Heterozygous deletion	1

- Fusions

Fusion Gene & Exon	Transcript ID
No fusion gene detected in this sample	

- Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor (ICI) Related Biomarkers

Biomarker	Results
Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)	< 1 muts/Mb
Microsatellite Instability (MSI)	Microsatellite stable (MSS)

Note:

- Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) information was used to infer tumor cellularity. Copy number alteration in the tumor was determined based on 64% tumor purity.
- For more therapeutic agents which are possibly respond to heterozygous deletion of genes listed above, please refer to APPENDIX for more information.
- TMB was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco[®] to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The threshold for high mutation load is set at ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase. TMB, microsatellite status and gene copy number deletion cannot be determined if calculated tumor purity is < 30%.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS TARGETED THERAPIES

Genomic Alterations	Therapies	Effect
Level 3A		
KRAS Q61H	Afatinib, Dacomitinib, Erlotinib, Gefitinib, Osimertinib, Cetuximab, Panitumumab	resistant
Level 3B		
MRE11 Splice donor	Olaparib, Talazoparib	sensitive
Level 4		
STK11 Y60*	Everolimus, Trametinib	sensitive
STK11 Heterozygous deletion	Everolimus, Trametinib	sensitive

Therapies associated with benefit or lack of benefit are based on biomarkers detected in this tumor and published evidence in professional guidelines or peer-reviewed journals.

Level	Description
1	FDA-recognized biomarkers predictive of response or resistance to FDA approved drugs in this indication
2	Standard care biomarkers (recommended by the NCCN guideline) predictive of response or resistance to FDA approved drugs in this indication
3A	Biomarkers predictive of response or resistance to therapies approved by the FDA or NCCN guideline in a different cancer type
3B	Biomarkers that serve as inclusion criteria for clinical trials (minimal supportive data required)
4	Biomarkers that show plausible therapeutic significance based on small studies, few case reports, or preclinical studies

ACT Onco[®] + Report

IMMUNE CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS (ICIs)

No genomic alterations detected to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to immune checkpoint therapies.

- Other Biomarkers with Potential Clinical Effects for ICIs

Genomic Alterations	Potential Clinical Effects
Not detected	

Note: Tumor non-genomic factors, such as patient germline genetics, PDL1 expression, tumor microenvironment, epigenetic alterations or other factors not provided by this test may affect ICI response.

CHEMOTHERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to chemotherapies.

HORMONAL THERAPIES

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to hormonal therapies.

OTHERS

No genomic alterations detected in this tumor predicted to confer sensitivity or lack of benefit to other therapies.

Note:

Therapeutic implications provided in the test are based solely on the panel of 440 genes sequenced. Therefore, alterations in genes not covered in this panel, epigenetic and post-transcriptional and post-translational factors may also determine a patient's response to therapies. In addition, several other patient-associated clinical factors, including but not limited to, prior lines of therapies received, dosage and combinations with other therapeutic agents, patient's cancer types, sub-types, and/or stages, may also determine the patient's clinical response to therapies.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

VARIANT INTERPRETATION

KRAS Q61H

Biological Impact

The V-Ki-Ras2 Kirsten Rat Sarcoma 2 Viral Oncogene Homolog (KRAS) gene encodes a small GTPase protein, a member of the RAS family of small GTPases, which catalyze the hydrolysis of GTP to GDP. RAS proteins cycle between an active (GTP-bound) and an inactive (GDP-bound) state, to activate the downstream oncogenic pathways, including the PI3K/AKT/mTOR and MAPK pathways^[1]. KRAS mutations occur primarily in three hotspots G12, G13 and Q61, and less frequently in codon A146^{[1][2]}. These are activating mutations that lead to constitutive activation and persistent stimulation of the downstream signaling pathways^{[3][4]}. Mutations in KRAS have been reported in a diverse spectrum of human malignancies, including pancreatic carcinomas (>80%)^{[1][5]}, colon carcinomas (40-50%)^{[6][7]}, and lung carcinomas (30-50%)^{[8][9]}, but are also present in biliary tract malignancies, endometrial cancer, cervical cancer, bladder cancer, liver cancer, myeloid leukemia and breast cancer^[2].

KRAS Q61H is a missense mutation, which interferes with Ras GTPase activity and results in downstream pathway activation^{[10][11][12]}.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Except for KRAS G12C, other KRAS mutants are not currently targetable, but the downstream MEK serves as a potential target^[13]. MEK inhibitors trametinib, cobimetinib, and binimetinib were approved by the U.S. FDA for patients with advanced metastatic melanoma whose tumors harbor BRAF V600 mutations^{[14][15][16][17]}.

There are case reports indicated that patients harboring a KRAS mutation may benefit from MEK inhibitor treatment. A patient with small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (SCNEC) of the cervix harboring a KRAS G12D mutation showed significant response with trametinib^[18]. Another low-grade serous carcinoma case with KRAS G12D also has sustained response to trametinib (Am J Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol 2015;2(3):140-143). In addition, a low-grade serous ovarian cancer patient harboring KRAS G12V mutation showed stable disease after 8 weeks of binimetinib treatment, and demonstrated a partial response after another 26 weeks of treatment^[19]. However, trametinib did not demonstrate superiority to docetaxel in KRAS-mutant non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients, based on results from a randomized Phase II study^[20].

Both clinical and preclinical studies demonstrated a limited response to monotherapy using MEK inhibitors^[21]. Moreover, several clinical trials are in progress to evaluate the combination of MEK and mTOR inhibition as a new potential therapeutic strategy in CRC^[22], and in patient-derived xenografts of RAS-mutant CRC, inhibition of MEK and mTOR suppressed tumor growth, but not tumor regression^[23]. A study using the CRC patient-derived xenograft (PDX) model showed that the combination of trametinib, a MEK inhibitor, and palbociclib, a CDK4/6 inhibitor, was well tolerated and resulted in objective responses in all KRAS mutant models^[24].

KRAS mutation has been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trials evaluating MEK inhibitors efficacies in various types of solid tumors (NCT03704688, NCT02399943, NCT02285439, NCT03637491, NCT04214418).

Cetuximab and panitumumab are two EGFR-specific antibodies approved by the U.S. FDA for patients with KRAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer (NCT00154102, NCT00079066, NCT01412957, NCT00364013). Results from the PRIME and FIRE-3 trials indicated that panitumumab and cetuximab did not benefit patients with KRAS or NRAS mutations and may even have a detrimental effect in these patients^[25]. Taken together, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommended that, cetuximab and panitumumab should only be used if both KRAS and NRAS genes are normal (NCCN guidelines)^{[26][27]}. Numerous studies have demonstrated the presence of KRAS or NRAS mutations at exon 2, 3 or 4 as a predictor of resistance to anti-EGFR therapies^{[28][29][30][31][32][33][34]}.

Sorafenib, a multi-kinase inhibitor, has been shown to be beneficial in KRAS-mutant CRC^[35], KRAS-mutant NSCLC^[36],

ACT Onco[®] + Report

and KRAS-amplified melanoma^[37].

There has been conflicting data on the effect of KRAS mutation on the efficacy of bevacizumab in metastatic CRC patients (J Clin Oncol 34, 2016 (suppl; abstr 3525))^{[38][39]}.

In NCCN guidelines for NSCLC, KRAS mutations have been suggested as an emerging biomarker for EGFR TKIs in NSCLC patients. KRAS mutations are associated with a lack of efficacy of EGFR TKIs, including erlotinib, gefitinib, afatinib, and osimertinib, in NSCLC patients^{[40][41][42]}.

Studies have shown that KRAS mutation, especially those occurs in exon 2 (codon 12 or 13) and codon 61 indicated a poor prognosis for patients with CRC^[43].

In low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum, patients with KRAS or BRAF mutations (n=21) had a significantly better OS than those with wild-type KRAS or BRAF (n=58) (106.7 months vs 66.8 months), respectively^[44]. In ovarian serous borderline tumor with recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma, patient harboring KRAS G12V mutation appeared to have shorter survival time^[45].

MRE11 Splice donor

Biological Impact

The MRE11 gene encodes a protein that forms the MRE11-RAD50-NBS (MRN) complex involved in sensing and repairing DNA double-strand breaks via homologous recombination and non-homologous end joining^{[46][47]}. MRE11 has been implicated as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological function^[46]. The carrier of MRE11 mutation may confer elevated risks for numerous types of cancers including breast cancer, ovarian cancer, endometrial cancer, colorectal cancer, and lymphoid cancer^{[46][47][48][49][50][51][52]}.

MRE11 c.402+1G>A is a variant located at the splice donor region, which may result in the exon skipping.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In a Phase II clinical trial (n=50), one castration-resistant prostate cancer patient harboring an MRE11 inactivating mutation responded to olaparib^[53]. Preclinically, loss of MRE11 also predicted sensitivity to PARP inhibitor talazoparib and ABT-888 in endometrial cancer^[54] and microsatellite unstable colorectal cancer (CRC) cell lines^[55].

CRC patients with tumor deficient of MRE11 showed initially reduced disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) but improved long-term DFS and OS compared with patients with an intact MRE11^[56].

STK11 Y60*, Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The serine/threonine kinase 11 (STK11, also known as LKB1) gene encodes the multifunctional serine/threonine kinase, a tumor suppressor that functions as an inhibitor for the mTOR signaling pathway^{[57][58]}. STK11 is a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions^{[59][60]}. In the mouse model, loss of STK11 promotes aggressive endometrial and squamous cell carcinomas^{[61][62]}. Mutations in STK11 have been found in lung, breast, cervical, testicular, and liver cancers, as well as malignant melanoma, pancreatic and biliary carcinoma^[63]. Germline mutations in STK11 are found in 30-70% of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome^[64].

ACT Onco[®] + Report

Y60* mutation results in a premature truncation of the STK11 protein at amino acid 60 (UniProtKB). This mutation is predicted to lead to a loss of STK11 function, despite not having characterized in the literature. Loss of the second wild-type allele resulted in the biallelic inactivation of the gene.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

A clinical study in a pancreatic cancer patient with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome whose tumor harboring an STK11 D194E mutation coupled with the loss of heterozygosity of the other STK11 allele displayed partial response to the everolimus treatment^[65]. In another clinical case study, an adrenocorticotrophic pituitary carcinoma patient whose tumor bearing an STK11 inactivating mutation responded to a combination of everolimus and radiotherapy^[66].

Preclinical data suggested that lung cancer cell lines with STK11 inactivating mutations may confer increased sensitivity to the MEK-1 and MEK-2 inhibitor, trametinib^[67].

Inactivating mutations of STK11 was shown to be associated with resistance to immune checkpoint blockade in KRAS-mutant lung adenocarcinoma (LUAC) and NSCLC (DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.9016)^{[68][69][70]}. It was proposed that loss of STK11 negatively impacts the number and function of tumor-infiltrating T cells (TILs) and PD-L1 expression on tumor cells and therefore results in an ineffective response to PD-1-targeting antibodies^[71].

CDKN2A Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) gene encodes the p16 (p16INK4a) and p14 (ARF) proteins. p16INK4a binds to CDK4 and CDK6, inhibiting these CDKs from binding D-type cyclins and phosphorylating the retinoblastoma (RB) protein whereas p14 (ARF) blocks the oncogenic activity of MDM2 by inhibiting MDM2-induced degradation of p53^{[72][73][74]}. CDKN2A has been reported as a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor with one copy loss that may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions^[75]. Loss of CDKN2A has been frequently found in human tumors that result in uncontrolled cell proliferation^{[76][77]}.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Intact p16-Cdk4-Rb axis is known to be associated with sensitivity to cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors^{[78][79]}. Several case reports also revealed that patients with CDKN2A-deleted tumors respond to the CDK4/6-specific inhibitor treatments^{[80][81][82]}. However, there are clinical studies that demonstrated CDKN2A nuclear expression, CDKN2A/CDKN2B co-deletion, or CDKN2A inactivating mutation was not associated with clinical benefit from CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as palbociclib and ribociclib, in RB-positive patients^{[83][84][85]}. However, CDKN2A loss or mutation has been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trial evaluating CDK4/6 inhibitors efficacy in different types of solid tumors (NCT02693535, NCT02187783).

Notably, the addition of several CDK4/6 inhibitors to hormone therapies, including palbociclib in combination with letrozole, ribociclib plus letrozole, and abemaciclib combines with fulvestrant, have been approved by the U.S. FDA for the treatment of ER+ and HER2- breast cancer^{[79][86][87]}.

In a Phase I trial, a KRAS wild-type squamous non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patient with CDKN2A loss had a partial response when treated with CDK4/6 inhibitor abemaciclib^[81]. Administration of combined palbociclib and MEK inhibitor PD-0325901 yield promising progression-free survival among patients with KRAS mutant non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (AACR 2017, Abstract CT046). Moreover, MEK inhibitor in combination with CDK4/6 inhibitor demonstrates significant anti-KRAS-mutant NSCLC activity and radiosensitizing effect in preclinical models^[88].

A retrospective analysis demonstrated that concurrent deletion of CDKN2A with EGFR mutation in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), predicts worse overall survival after EGFR-TKI treatment^[89].

ACT Onco[®] + Report

CHEK2 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The checkpoint kinase 2 (CHEK2 or CHK2) gene encodes a serine/threonine protein kinase involved in transducing DNA damage signals that are required for both the intra-S phase and G2/M checkpoints^[90]. CHEK2 heterozygosity has been shown to cause haploinsufficient phenotypes that can contribute to tumorigenesis through inappropriate S phase entry, accumulation of DNA damage during replication, and failure to restrain mitotic entry^{[91][92]}. CHEK2 aberrations are associated with glioblastoma, breast, ovarian, prostate, colorectal, gastric, thyroid, and lung cancers^{[93][94][95][96][97]}.

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In May 2020, the U.S. FDA approved olaparib for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who carry mutations in homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes, including BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD54L, and progressed following prior treatment with enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate^[98].

In addition, CHEK2 has been determined as an inclusion criterion for the trials evaluating rucaparib efficacy in ovarian cancer or prostate cancer (NCT03533946)^{[99][100]}, niraparib efficacy in melanoma (NCT03925350), pancreatic cancer (NCT03553004), prostate cancer (NCT02854436), and any malignancy, except prostate (NCT03207347), and talazoparib efficacy in HER2-negative breast cancer (NCT02401347), prostate cancer (NCT03148795), and lung cancer (NCT03377556), respectively. In a phase 2 trial, two prostate cancer patients harboring CHEK2 homozygous deletion was enrolled. One of the two patients had a response to olaparib^[53].

FLCN Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The FLCN gene encodes the tumor suppressor, Folliculin, a GTPase activating protein (GAP) for RagC/D GTPase proteins involved in amino acid sensing and signaling to mTORC1^[101]. FLCN has been implicated as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions^{[102][103]}. Inactivation of the FLCN gene by mutation or deletion results in the activation of the mTOR pathway and AKT signaling^{[104][105]}. Germline mutation of the FLCN gene causes the Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome, a rare disorder that is characterized by benign hamartomatous skin lesions and an increased risk of pneumothorax and renal tumors^[106].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In a prospective Phase 2 study, four anaplastic thyroid cancer (ATC)/ poorly differentiated thyroid cancer (PDTC) patients who had PI3K/mTOR/AKT alterations, including TSC2, FLCN or NF1, showed impressive progression-free survival (PFS) of 15.2 months after receiving everolimus^[107]. mTOR inhibition via rapamycin also demonstrated potential in inhibiting the growth of renal cells deficient in FLCN in the preclinical setting^[108].

PTCH1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The PTCH1 (protein patched homolog 1) gene encodes a multi-pass transmembrane receptor for sonic hedgehog (shh), a tumor suppressor that acts to repress shh signaling in the absence of ligand^[109]. Inactivation of PTCH1 results in hedgehog ligand-independent activation of SMO, causing a downstream activation of the pathway and lead to the neoplastic growth^{[110][111]}. Recurrent PTCH1 mutations have been reported in sporadic basal cell carcinoma (BCCs) and medulloblastoma^{[112][113][114][115]}. Germline PTCH1 mutations are associated with the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (NBCCS, Gorlin syndrome), predisposing patients to basal cell carcinoma and medulloblastoma^[113]. PTCH1 is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor gene with one copy loss may be sufficient to promote tumor development in mice^{[110][116]}.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Vismodegib and sonidegib are small molecule inhibitors of SMO approved by the U.S. FDA for the treatment of patients with basal cell carcinoma^{[117][118][119][120]}. A heavily pretreated patient with metastatic medulloblastoma harboring loss-of-heterozygosity and somatic mutation of PTCH1 showed rapid regression of the tumor after treated with vismodegib^[121]. Furthermore, a phase II study demonstrated that vismodegib treatment results in extended progression-free survival (PFS) in patients with loss-of-heterozygosity, SHH-driven medulloblastoma^[122]. In the phase II MyPathway trial, three advanced solid tumors patients harboring PTCH1 loss-of-function mutations had partial responses to vismodegib treatment^[123].

RAD50 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The RAD50 gene encodes a highly-conserved DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair factor. It forms MRN complex with NBS1 and MRE11 protein and is involved in sensing and early processing of DSB, cell cycle checkpoints, DNA recombination and maintenance of telomeres^{[124][125]}. Mutations in the components of the MRN complex could increase susceptibility to familial breast cancer^{[126][48]}, gastric cancer^[127], colorectal cancer^[128], and urothelial cancer^[129]. RAD50 has been implicated as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological functions^[130]. Besides, RAD50 deletion was also suggested as a marker of BRCAness, a phenotype shared between non-BRCA1/2-mutated ovarian cancers and BRCA1/2-mutated ovarian cancers^[131].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Preclinical data showed that knockdown of the RAD50 gene in ovarian cancer cell lines was significantly associated with better responses to two PARP inhibitors, olaparib and rucaparib^[131]. RAD50 has been selected as an inclusion criterion for the trials examining talazoparib efficacy in HER2-negative breast cancer, olaparib efficacy in breast cancer, rucaparib efficacy in metastatic prostate cancer and niraparib efficacy in any malignancy (except prostate) (NCT02401347, NCT03207347, NCT03344965, NCT03413995).

SMAD4 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The SMAD family member 4 (SMAD4) gene encodes a transcription factor that acts as a downstream effector in the TGF- β signaling pathway. Upon phosphorylated and activated by serine-threonine receptor kinase, Smad4 is the Co-Smad which recruits other activated R-Smad proteins to the Smad transcriptional complex and regulate TGF- β -targeted genes^[132]. Smad4 has been identified as a haploinsufficient gene with one copy loss may lead to a weak protein expression and is insufficient to execute its original physiological function^[133]. SMAD4 germline mutations are associated with juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS)^{[134][135][136][137]}. Somatic mutations of SMAD4 are commonly observed in pancreatic cancer^[138], colorectal cancer (CRC)^{[136][139][140]}, and less frequently seen in other cancers such as lung adenocarcinoma^[141], head and neck cancer^{[142][143]}, and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma^[144].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

In Chinese patients with metastatic colorectal cancer, SMAD4 or NF1 mutations are suggested as a potential biomarker for poor prognosis to cetuximab-based therapy^[145]. Preclinical data demonstrated that depletion of SMAD4 by shRNA knockdown increased clonogenic survival and cetuximab resistance in HPV-negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells^[146].

SMAD4 is also suggested as a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in colorectal cancer (CRC)^{[147][148]}. CRC patients with normal SMAD4 diploidy exhibited three-fold higher benefit of 5-FU/mitomycin-based adjuvant therapy when compared with those with SMAD4 deletion^[149].

ACT Onco[®] + Report

Results from clinical and meta-analyses showed that loss of SMAD4 in CRC, pancreatic cancer was correlated with poor prognosis^{[150][151][152][153][154][155][156][157]}. In cervical cancer patients, weak cytoplasmic SMAD4 expression and absent nuclear SMAD4 expression were shown to be significantly associated with poor disease-free and overall 5-year survival^[158].

TSC1 Heterozygous deletion

Biological Impact

The tuberous sclerosis complex 1 (TSC1) gene encodes a tumor suppressor, hamartin, a key negative regulator of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway^{[159][160]}. Mutations in TSC1/TSC2 tumor suppressor genes that result in inactivation of the complex are commonly found in patients with tuberous sclerosis^{[161][162][163]}, while LOH in TSC1/TSC2 has been identified in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)^[164] and endometrial cancer^[165]. Loss of single TSC1 allele (haploinsufficiency) may provide a growth advantage to bladder epithelial cells, contributing to bladder cancer development^[166]. Both TSC1 and TSC2 mutations cause the autosomal dominant genetic disorder tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), in which individuals develop a variety of benign but often progressive neoplasms^[167].

Therapeutic and prognostic relevance

Genomic alterations with activating effects of the mTOR signaling pathway (including deletion/inactivation of TSC1/TSC2) have been shown to confer sensitivity to everolimus across multiple neoplasms, such as bladder tumors^[168], gastric, sarcoma, thyroid cancer, and HNSCC^[169]. There were case reports demonstrated the efficacy of sirolimus in malignant uterine perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PEComa) patients harboring mutations/deletions in TSC1 and TSC2 genes, and temsirolimus in PEComa patients with hyperactivated mTOR pathway. Genomic profiling analysis of GOG248, a Phase II study of temsirolimus or temsirolimus and alternating megestrol acetate and tamoxifen for advanced endometrial cancer showed that mutations in AKT1, TSC1 and TSC2 may predict clinical benefit from temsirolimus^[170]. Recent studies indicate that there are mTORC1-independent signaling pathways downstream of hamartin-tuberin, which may represent new therapeutic targets^[171].

Everolimus has been approved by the U.S. FDA for Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC)-associated renal angiomyolipoma and Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC)-associated subependymal giant cell astrocytoma (SEGA). This approval is based on the results from EXIST-1, EXIST-2, and Study 2485 trials (NCT00789828, NCT00790400, and NCT00411619).

ACT Onco® + Report

US FDA-APPROVED DRUG(S)

Abemaciclib (VERZENIO)

Abemaciclib is a cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) inhibitor. Abemaciclib is developed and marketed by Eli Lilly under the trade name VERZENIO.

- FDA Approval Summary of Abemaciclib (VERZENIO)

monarchE NCT03155997	Breast cancer (Approved on 2021/10/12)
	HR-positive, HER2-negative Abemaciclib + tamoxifen/aromatase inhibitor vs. Tamoxifen/aromatase inhibitor [IDFS at 36 months(%): 86.1 vs. 79.0]
MONARCH 3 ^[172] NCT02246621	Breast cancer (Approved on 2018/02/26)
	HR-positive, HER2-negative Abemaciclib + anastrozole/letrozole vs. Placebo + anastrozole/letrozole [PFS(M): 28.2 vs. 14.8]
MONARCH 2 ^[87] NCT02107703	Breast cancer (Approved on 2017/09/28)
	HR-positive, HER2-negative Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs. Placebo + fulvestrant [PFS(M): 16.4 vs. 9.3]
MONARCH 1 ^[173] NCT02102490	Breast cancer (Approved on 2017/09/28)
	HR-positive, HER2-negative Abemaciclib [ORR(%): 19.7 vs. 17.4]

Binimetinib (MEKTOVI)

Binimetinib is an oral kinase inhibitor that targets MEK. Binimetinib is developed and marketed by Array BioPharma under the trade name MEKTOVI.

- FDA Approval Summary of Binimetinib (MEKTOVI)

MEKTOVI ^[17] NCT01909453	Melanoma (Approved on 2018/06/27)
	BRAF V600E/K Encorafenib + binimetinib vs. Vemurafenib [PFS(M): 14.9 vs. 7.3]

Cobimetinib (COTELLIC)

Cobimetinib is a reversible inhibitor which targets MEK1 and MEK2. Cobimetinib is developed by Exelixis and Genentech, and marketed by Genentech under the trade name COTELLIC.

- FDA Approval Summary of Cobimetinib (COTELLIC)

coBRIM ^[174] NCT01689519	Melanoma (Approved on 2015/11/10)
	BRAF V600E/K Cobimetinib + vemurafenib vs. Placebo + vemurafenib [PFS(M): 12.3 vs. 7.2]

ACT Onco[®] + Report

Everolimus (AFINITOR)

Everolimus, a derivative of sirolimus, works as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) and blocks mTORC1-mediated downstream signals for cell growth, proliferation, and survival. Everolimus is developed and marketed by Novartis under the trade name AFINITOR.

- FDA Approval Summary of Everolimus (AFINITOR)

RADIANT-4 ^[175] NCT01524783	Lung or gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumor (Approved on 2016/02/26)
	- Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 3.9]
BOLERO-2 ^[176] NCT00863655	Breast cancer (Approved on 2012/07/20)
	ER+/HER2- Everolimus + exemestane vs. Placebo + exemestane [PFS(M): 7.8 vs. 3.2]
EXIST-2 NCT00790400	Tuberous sclerosis complex (tsc)-associated renal angiomyolipoma (Approved on 2012/04/26)
	- Everolimus vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 41.8 vs. 0]
RADIANT-3 ^[177] NCT00510068	Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor (Approved on 2011/05/05)
	- Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 11 vs. 4.6]
EXIST-1 ^[178] NCT00789828	Subependymal giant cell astrocytoma (Approved on 2010/10/29)
	- Everolimus vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 35.0]
RECORD-1 ^[179] NCT00410124	Renal cell carcinoma (Approved on 2009/05/30)
	- Everolimus vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 4.9 vs. 1.9]

Niraparib (ZEJULA)

Niraparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 and -2 (PARP-1, -2). Niraparib is developed and marketed by Tesaro under the trade name ZEJULA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Niraparib (ZEJULA)

PRIMA NCT02655016	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2020/04/29)
	- Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS (overall population)(M): 13.8 vs. 8.2]
QUADRA ^[180] NCT02354586	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2019/10/23)
	HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability) Niraparib [ORR(%): 24.0, DOR(M): 8.3]
NOVA ^[181] NCT01847274	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2017/03/27)
	- Niraparib vs. Placebo [PFS (overall population)(M): 11.3 vs. 4.7]

ACT Onco[®] + Report

Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

Olaparib is an oral, small molecule inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2, and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Olaparib is developed by KuDOS Pharmaceuticals and marketed by AstraZeneca under the trade name LYNPARZA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Olaparib (LYNPARZA)

Olympia NCT02032823	Her2-negative high-risk early breast cancer (Approved on 2022/03/11)
	gBRCA Olaparib vs. Placebo [invasive disease-free survival (IDFS)(M):]
PROfound ^[98] NCT02987543	Prostate cancer (Approved on 2020/05/19)
	ATMm, BRCA1m, BRCA2m, BARD1m, BRIP1m, CDK12m, CHEK1m, CHEK2m, FANCLm, PALB2m, RAD51Bm, RAD51Cm, RAD51Dm, RAD54Lm Olaparib vs. Enzalutamide or abiraterone acetate [PFS(M): 5.8 vs. 3.5]
PAOLA-1 ^[182] NCT02477644	Ovarian cancer (Approved on 2020/05/08)
	HRD-positive (defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation, and/or genomic instability) Olaparib + bevacizumab vs. Placebo + bevacizumab [PFS(M): 37.2 vs. 17.7]
POLO ^[183] NCT02184195	Pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Approved on 2019/12/27)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) Olaparib vs. Placebo [ORR(%): 23.0 vs. 12.0, PFS(M): 7.4 vs. 3.8]
SOLO-1 ^[184] NCT01844986	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2018/12/19)
	Germline or somatic BRCA-mutated (gBRCAm or sBRCAm) Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): NR vs. 13.8]
OlympiAD ^[185] NCT02000622	Breast cancer (Approved on 2018/02/06)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative Olaparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 7 vs. 4.2]
SOLO-2/ENGOT-Ov21 ^[186] NCT01874353	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	gBRCA+ Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 19.1 vs. 5.5]
Study19 ^[187] NCT00753545	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2017/08/17)
	- Olaparib vs. Placebo [PFS(M): 8.4 vs. 4.8]
Study 42 ^[188] NCT01078662	Ovarian cancer (Approved on 2014/12/19)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) Olaparib [ORR(%): 34.0, DOR(M): 7.9]

Palbociclib (IBRANCE)

Palbociclib is an oral, cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor specifically targeting CDK4 and CDK6, thereby inhibiting retinoblastoma (Rb) protein phosphorylation. Palbociclib is developed and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name IBRANCE.

- FDA Approval Summary of Palbociclib (IBRANCE)

PALOMA-2 ^[189] NCT01740427	Breast cancer (Approved on 2017/03/31)
	ER+, HER2- Palbociclib + letrozole vs. Placebo + letrozole [PFS(M): 24.8 vs. 14.5]

ACT Onco[®] + Report

PALOMA-3 ^[190] NCT01942135	Breast cancer (Approved on 2016/02/19)
	ER+, HER2-
	Palbociclib + fulvestrant vs. Placebo + fulvestrant [PFS(M): 9.5 vs. 4.6]

Ribociclib (KISQALI)

Ribociclib is a cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor specifically targeting cyclin D1/CDK4 and cyclin D3/CDK6, thereby inhibiting retinoblastoma (Rb) protein phosphorylation. Ribociclib is developed by Novartis and Astex Pharmaceuticals and marketed by Novartis under the trade name KISQALI.

- FDA Approval Summary of Ribociclib (KISQALI)

MONALEESA-2 ^[86] NCT01958021	Breast cancer (Approved on 2017/03/13)
	HR+, HER2-
	Ribociclib vs. Letrozole [PFS(M): NR vs. 14.7]

Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

Rucaparib is an inhibitor of the DNA repair enzyme poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1, -2 and -3 (PARP-1, -2, -3). Rucaparib is developed and marketed by Clovis Oncology under the trade name RUBRACA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Rucaparib (RUBRACA)

TRITON2 NCT02952534	Prostate cancer (Approved on 2020/05/15)
	gBRCA+, sBRCA
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 44.0, DOR(M): NE]
ARIEL3 ^[89] NCT01968213	Ovarian cancer, Fallopian tube cancer, Peritoneal carcinoma (Approved on 2018/04/06)
	All HRD tBRCA
	Rucaparib vs. Placebo [PFS (All)(M): 10.8 vs. 5.4, PFS (HRD)(M): 13.6 vs. 5.4, PFS (tBRCA)(M): 16.6 vs. 5.4]
ARIEL2 ^[191] NCT01482715, NCT01891344	Ovarian cancer (Approved on 2016/12/19)
	Germline and/or somatic BRCA mutation
	Rucaparib [ORR(%): 54.0]

Sonidegib (ODOMZO)

Sonidegib is a Hedgehog signaling pathway inhibitor by blocking its key component, smoothened (smo). Sonidegib is developed and marketed by Novartis under the trade name ODOMZO.

- FDA Approval Summary of Sonidegib (ODOMZO)

BOLT ^[119] NCT01327053	Basal cell carcinoma (Approved on 2015/07/24)
	-
	Sonidegib [ORR(%): 58.0]

ACT Onco[®] + Report

Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

Talazoparib is an inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) enzymes, including PARP1 and PARP2. Talazoparib is developed and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TALZENNA.

- FDA Approval Summary of Talazoparib (TALZENNA)

EMBRACA ^[192] NCT01945775	Breast cancer (Approved on 2018/10/16)
	Germline BRCA mutation (deleterious/suspected deleterious) HER2-negative
	Talazoparib vs. Chemotherapy [PFS(M): 8.6 vs. 5.6]

Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

Temsirolimus is a soluble ester of sirolimus (rapamycin, brand-name drug Rapamune) and functions as an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin complex (mTORC). The inhibitory molecular mechanism is similar to Everolimus. Temsirolimus is developed by Wyeth Pharmaceuticals and marketed by Pfizer under the trade name TORISEL.

- FDA Approval Summary of Temsirolimus (TORISEL)

[193] NCT00065468	Renal cell carcinoma (Approved on 2007/05/30)
	-
	Temsirolimus vs. Ifn-α [OS(M): 10.9 vs. 7.3]

Trametinib (MEKINIST)

Trametinib is an anti-cancer inhibitor which targets MEK1 and MEK2. Trametinib is developed and marketed by GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) under the trade name MEKINIST.

- FDA Approval Summary of Trametinib (MEKINIST)

BRF117019, NCI-MATCH, CTMT212X2101 NCT02034110, NCT02465060, NCT02124772	Cancer (Approved on 2022/06/22)
	BRAF V600E
	Dabrafenib + trametinib [ORR(adult patients)(%): 41.0, ORR(pediatric patients)(%): 25.0]
BRF117019 ^[194] NCT02034110	Anaplastic thyroid cancer (Approved on 2018/05/04)
	BRAF V600E
	Dabrafenib + trametinib [ORR(%): 61.0]
BRF113928 ^[195] NCT01336634	Non-small cell lung cancer (Approved on 2017/06/22)
	BRAF V600E
	Trametinib + dabrafenib vs. Dabrafenib [ORR(%): 63.0 vs. 27.0, DOR(M): 12.6 vs. 9.9]
COMBI-d ^[14] NCT01584648	Melanoma (Approved on 2014/01/10)
	BRAF V600E/K
	Trametinib + dabrafenib vs. Dabrafenib + placebo [PFS(M): 9.3 vs. 8.8]
METRIC ^[15] NCT01245062	Melanoma (Approved on 2013/05/29)
	BRAF V600E/K
	Trametinib vs. Dacarbazine or paclitaxel [PFS(M): 4.8 vs. 1.5]

ACT Onco[®] + Report

Vismodegib (ERIVEDGE)

Vismodegib is a cyclopamine-competitive antagonist and acts as a first-in-class Hedgehog signaling pathway inhibitor by blocking its key component smoothened (smo). Vismodegib is developed by Genentech and marketed by Roche under the trade name ERIVEDGE.

- FDA Approval Summary of Vismodegib (ERIVEDGE)

ERIVANCE BCC ^[117] NCT00833417	Basal cell carcinoma (Approved on 2012/01/30)
	-
	Vismodegib [ORR (mBCC)(%): 30.3, ORR (laBCC)(%): 42.9]

D=day; W=week; M=month

ACT Onco[®] + Report

ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS

Trials were searched by applying filters: study status, patient's diagnosis, intervention, location and/or biomarker(s). Please visit <https://clinicaltrials.gov> to search and view for a complete list of open available and updated matched trials.

No trial has been found.

ACT Onco[®] + Report


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION OF TESTING RESULTS DETAILED INFORMATION OF VARIANTS WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- Single Nucleotide and Small InDel Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Exon	cDNA Change	Accession Number	COSMIC ID	Allele Frequency	Coverage
KRAS	Q61H	3	c.183A>C	NM_004985	COSM554	34.7%	1183
MRE11	Splice donor	-	c.402+1G>A	NM_005591	-	48.7%	1481
STK11	Y60*	1	c.180C>A	NM_000455	COSM48900	50.6%	872

- Copy Number Alterations

Observed copy number (CN) for each evaluated position is shown on the y-axis. Regions referred to as amplification or deletion are shown in color. Regions without significant changes are represented in gray.



ACT Onco[®] + Report

OTHER DETECTED VARIANTS

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Exon	cDNA Change	Accession Number	COSMIC ID	Allele Frequency	Coverage
EGFR	G665S	17	c.1993G>A	NM_005228	COSM5986345	50.1%	945
FANCG	G294E	7	c.881G>A	NM_004629	-	40.1%	1932
GRIN2A	I876T	14	c.2627T>C	NM_000833	-	50.7%	1251
LIG1	R307Q	12	c.920G>A	NM_000234	COSM998879	46.1%	876
MAP3K1	Splice region	-	c.3667-7T>C	NM_005921	-	73.7%	651
MRE11	F101Y	4	c.302T>A	NM_005591	-	30.9%	408
MUC6	Splice region	-	c.3225+8C>A	NM_005961	-	43.1%	513
TGFBR2	T206M	4	c.617C>T	NM_003242	COSM6932577	73.3%	180
USH2A	Splice region	-	c.6958-5C>T	NM_206933	-	19.2%	266
USH2A	V2228E	35	c.6683T>A	NM_206933	-	35.8%	590


Note:

- This table enlists variants detected by the panel other than those with clinical relevance (reported in Testing Result section). The clinical impact of a genetic variant is determined according to ACT Genomics in-house clinical knowledge database. A negative result does not necessarily indicate absence of biological effect on the tumor. Some variants listed here may possibly have preclinical data or may show potential clinical relevance in the future.

ACTOnco® + Report

TEST DETAILS

SPECIMEN RECEIVED AND PATHOLOGY REVIEW



- Collection date: Jun 2022
- Facility retrieved: 馬偕醫院總院
- H&E-stained section No.: S11179256
- Collection site: Pancreas
- Examined by: Dr. Yeh-Han Wang
 1. The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the whole slide (%): 70%
 2. The percentage of viable tumor cells in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 70%
 3. The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the whole slide (%): 0%
 4. The percentage of necrotic cells (including necrotic tumor cells) in total cells in the encircled areas in the whole slide (%): 0%
 5. Additional comment: NA
- Manual macrodissection: Not performed
- The outline highlights the area of malignant neoplasm annotated by a pathologist.

RUN QC

- Panel: ACTOnco®+

DNA test

- Mean Depth: 872x
- Target Base Coverage at 100x: 94%

RNA test

- Average unique RNA Start Sites per control GSP2: 110

ACTOnco[®] + Report

LIMITATIONS

1. This test does not provide information of variant causality and does not detect variants in non-coding regions that could affect gene expression. This report does not report polymorphisms and we do not classify whether a mutation is germline or somatic. Variants identified by this assay were not subject to validation by Sanger or other technologies.
2. The possibility cannot be excluded that certain pathogenic variants detected by other sequencing tools may not be reported in the test because of technical limitation of bioinformatics algorithm or the NGS sequencing platform, e.g. low coverage.
3. This test has been designed to detect fusions in 13 genes sequenced. Therefore, fusion in genes not covered by this test would not be reported. For novel fusions detected in this test, Sanger sequencing confirmation is recommended if residue specimen is available.

NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS) METHODS

DNA test

Extracted genomic DNA was amplified using primers targeting coding exons of analyzed genes and subjected to library construction. Barcoded libraries were subsequently conjugated with sequencing beads by emulsion PCR and enriched using Ion Chef system. Sequencing was performed according to Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Raw reads generated by the sequencer were mapped to the hg19 reference genome using the Ion Torrent Suite. Coverage depth was calculated using Torrent Coverage Analysis plug-in. Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and short insertions/deletions (InDels) were identified using the Torrent Variant Caller plug-in. VEP (Variant Effect Predictor) was used to annotate every variant using databases from Clinvar, COSMIC and Genome Aggregation database. Variants with coverage ≥ 20 , allele frequency $\geq 5\%$ and actionable variants with allele frequency $\geq 2\%$ were retained. This test provides uniform coverage of the targeted regions, enabling target base coverage at $100\times \geq 85\%$ with a mean coverage $\geq 500\times$.

Variants reported in Genome Aggregation database with $> 1\%$ minor allele frequency (MAF) were considered as polymorphisms. ACT Genomics in-house database was used to determine technical errors. Clinically actionable and biologically significant variants were determined based on the published medical literature.

The copy number alterations (CNAs) were predicted as described below:

Amplicons with read counts in the lowest 5th percentile of all detectable amplicons and amplicons with a coefficient of variation ≥ 0.3 were removed. The remaining amplicons were normalized to correct the pool design bias. ONCOCNV (an established method for calculating copy number aberrations in amplicon sequencing data by Boeva et al., 2014) was applied for the normalization of total amplicon number, amplicon GC content, amplicon length, and technology-related biases, followed by segmenting the sample with a gene-aware model. The method was used as well for establishing the baseline of copy number variations.

Tumor mutational burden (TMB) was calculated by using the sequenced regions of ACTOnco[®] to estimate the number of somatic nonsynonymous mutations per megabase of all protein-coding genes (whole exome). The TMB calculation predicted somatic variants and applied a machine learning model with a cancer hotspot correction. TMB may be reported as "TMB-High", "TMB-Low" or "Cannot Be Determined". TMB-High corresponds to ≥ 7.5 mutations per megabase (Muts/Mb); TMB-Low corresponds to < 7.5 Muts/Mb. TMB is reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the tumor purity of the sample is $< 30\%$.

Classification of microsatellite instability (MSI) status is determined by a machine learning prediction algorithm. The change of a number of repeats of different lengths from a pooled microsatellite stable (MSS) baseline in > 400 genomic loci are used as the features for the algorithm. The final output of the results is either microsatellite Stable (MSS) or microsatellite instability high (MSI-H).

RNA test

Extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed and subjected to library construction. Sequencing was performed according to Ion Proton or Ion S5 sequencer protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To ensure sequencing quality for fusion variant analysis, the average unique RNA Start Sites (SS) per control Gene Specific Primer 2 (GSP 2) should be ≥ 10 .

ACT Onco[®] + Report

The fusion analysis pipeline aligned sequenced reads to the human reference genome, identified regions that map to noncontiguous regions of the genome, applied filters to exclude probable false-positive events and, annotated previously characterized fusion events according to Quiver Gene Fusion Database, a curated database owned and maintained by ArcherDX. In general, samples with detectable fusions need to meet the following criteria: (1) Number of unique start sites (SS) for the GSP2 ≥ 3 ; (2) Number of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction ≥ 5 ; (3) Percentage of supporting reads spanning the fusion junction $\geq 10\%$; (4) Fusions annotated in Quiver Gene Fusion Database.

DATABASE USED

- Reference genome: Human genome sequence hg19
- COSMIC v.92
- Genome Aggregation database r2.1.1
- ClinVar (version 20210404)
- ACT Genomics in-house database
- Quiver Gene Fusion Database version 5.1.18

Variant Analysis:

醫檢師陳韻仔 博士
Yun-Yu Chen Ph.D.
檢字第 015647 號

Yun Yu Chen

Sign Off

醫檢師陳韻仔 博士
Yun-Yu Chen Ph.D.
檢字第 015647 號

Yun Yu Chen

ACT Onco[®] + Report

GENE LIST SNV & CNV

ABCB1*	ABCC2*	ABCG2*	ABL1	ABL2	ADAMTS1	ADAMTS13	ADAMTS15	ADAMTS16	ADAMTS18	ADAMTS6	ADAMTS9
ADAMTSL1	ADGRA2	ADH1C*	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALDH1A1*	ALK	AMER1	APC	AR	ARAF
ARID1A	ARID1B	ARID2	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1	AXIN2	AXL
B2M	BAP1	BARD1	BCL10	BCL2*	BCL2L1	BCL2L2*	BCL6	BCL9	BCOR	BIRC2	BIRC3
BLM	BMPR1A	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2*	BTB	BUB1B	CALR
CANX	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCNA1	CCNA	CCNB1	CCNB2	CCNB3	CCND1	CCND2
CCND3	CCNE1	CCNE2	CCNH	CD19	CD274	CD58	CD70*	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1
CDK1	CDK12	CDK2	CDK4	CDK5	CDK6	CDK7	CDK8	CDK9	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A
CDKN2B	CDKN2C	CEBPA*	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CRLF2	CSF1R	CTCF	CTLA4
CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CYLD	CYP1A1*	CYP2B6*	CYP2C19*	CYP2C8*	CYP2D6	CYP2E1*	CYP3A4*	CYP3A5*
DAXX	DCUN1D1	DDR2	DICER1	DNMT3A	DOT1L	DPYD	DTX1	E2F3	EGFR	EP300	EPCAM
EPHA2	EPHA3	EPHA5	EPHA7	EPHB1	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC1	ERCC2	ERCC3	ERCC4
ERCC5	ERG	ESR1	ESR2	ETV1	ETV4	EZH2	FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCD2	FANCE
FANCF	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FAT1	FBXW7	FCGR2B	FGF1*	FGF10	FGF14	FGF19*	FGF23
FGF3	FGF4*	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FLT4
FOXL2*	FOXP1	FRG1	FUBP1	GATA1	GATA2	GATA3	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GREM1
GRIN2A	GSK3B	GSTP1*	GSTT1*	HGF	HIF1A	HIST1H1C*	HIST1H1E*	HNF1A	HR	HRA5*	HSP90AA1
HSP90AB1	HSPA4	HSPA5	IDH1	IDH2	IFNL3*	IGF1	IGF1R	IGF2	IKBKB	IKBKE	IKZF1
IL6	IL7R	INPP4B	INSR	IRF4	IRS1	IRS2*	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3	JUN*	KAT6A
KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KIT	KMT2A	KMT2C	KMT2D	KRAS	LCK	LIG1
LIG3	LMO1	LRP1B	LYN	MALT1	MAP2K1	MAP2K2	MAP2K4	MAP3K1	MAP3K7	MAPK1	MAPK3
MAX	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1	MET	MITF	MLH1	MPL	MRE11
MSH2	MSH6	MTHFR*	MTOR	MUC16	MUC4	MUC6	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL	MYCN	MYD88
NAT2*	NBN	NEFH	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKB1	NFKBIA	NKX2-1*	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NOTCH4	NPM1	NQO1*	NRAS	NSD1	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	PAK3	PALB2	PARP1	PAX5
PAX8	PBRM1	PDCD1	PDCD1LG2	PDGFRA	PDGFRB	PDIA3	PGF	PHOX2B*	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3C3
PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3CD	PIK3CG	PIK3R1	PIK3R2	PIK3R3	PIM1	PMS1	PMS2	POLB	POLD1
POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCA	PRKCB	PRKCG	PRKCI	PRKCQ	PRKDC	PRKN
PSMB8	PSMB9	PSME1	PSME2	PSME3	PTCH1	PTEN	PTGS2	PTPN11	PTPRD	PTPRT	RAC1
RAD50	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C	RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	RECQL4
REL	RET	RHOA	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPPH1	RPTOR	RUNX1	RUNX1T1	RXRA	SDHA
SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SERPINB3	SERPINB4	SETD2	SF3B1	SGK1	SH2D1A*	SLC19A1*	SLC22A2*	SLC1B1*
SLC1B3*	SMAD2	SMAD3	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SOC1*	SOX2*	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP
SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU	SYK	SYNE1	TAF1	TAP1	TAP2	TAPBP	TBX3
TEK	TERT	TET1	TET2	TGFBR2	TMSB4X*	TNF	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TNFSF11	TOP1	TP53
TPMT*	TSC1	TSC2	TSHR	TYMS	U2AF1	UBE2A*	UBE2K	UBR5	UGT1A1*	USH2A	VDR*
VEGFA	VEGFB	VHL	WT1	XIAP	XPO1	XRCC2	ZNF217				

*Analysis of copy number alterations NOT available.

FUSION

ALK	BRAF	EGFR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	MET	NRG1	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	RET	ROS1
-----	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-----	------	-------	-------	-------	-----	------

ACT Onco[®] + Report


APPENDIX

POSSIBLE THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS FOR HETEROZYGOUS DELETION

Gene	Therapies	Possible effect
CDKN2A	Abemaciclib, Palbociclib, Ribociclib	sensitive
FLCN	Everolimus, Temsirolimus	sensitive
TSC1	Everolimus, Temsirolimus	sensitive
CHEK2	Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	sensitive
RAD50	Niraparib, Olaparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib	sensitive
PTCH1	Sonidegib, Vismodegib	sensitive
SMAD4	Cetuximab	resistant


SIGNALING PATHWAYS AND MOLECULAR-TARGETED AGENTS

Hedgehog Signalling




1: Sonidegib, Vismodegib

ACT Onco[®] + Report




1: Palbociclib, Ribociclib, Abemaciclib



1: Olaparib, Niraparib, Rucaparib, Talazoparib

ACT Onco[®] + Report



1: Everolimus, Temsirolimus; 2: Trametinib, Cobimetinib, Binimetinib

ACT Onco[®] + Report

DISCLAIMER

法律聲明

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，結果需經專業醫師解釋及判讀。基因突變資訊非必具備藥物或治療有效性指標，反之亦然。本檢驗報告提供之用藥指引不聲明或保證其臨床有效性，反之亦然。本基因檢測方法係由本公司研究開發，已經過有效性測試。

本檢驗報告非經本公司許可，不得私自變造、塗改，或以任何方式作為廣告及其他宣傳之用途。

本公司於提供檢驗報告後，即已完成本次契約義務，後續之報告解釋、判讀及用藥、治療，應自行尋求相關專業醫師協助，若需將報告移件其他醫師，本人應取得該醫師同意並填寫移件申請書，主動告知行動基因，行動基因僅能配合該醫師意願與時間提供醫師解說。

醫療決策需由醫師決定

任何治療與用藥需經由醫師在考慮病患所有健康狀況相關資訊包含健檢、其他檢測報告和病患意願後，依照該地區醫療照護標準由醫師獨立判斷。醫師不應僅依據單一報告結果(例如本檢測或本報告書內容)做決策。

基因突變與用藥資訊並非依照有效性排序

本報告中列出之生物標記變異與藥物資訊並非依照潛在治療有效性排序。

證據等級

藥物潛在臨床效益(或缺乏潛在臨床效益)的實證證據是依據至少一篇臨床療效個案報告或臨床前試驗做為評估。本公司盡力提供適時及準確之資料，但由於醫學科技之發展日新月異，本公司不就本報告提供的資料是否為準確、適宜或最新作保證。

責任

本檢驗報告僅提供專業醫療參考，本公司及其員工不對任何由使用本報告之內容引起的直接、間接、特殊、連帶或衍生的損失或損害承擔責任。

ACT Onco[®] + Report

REFERENCE

1. PMID: 2453289; 1988, Cell;53(4):549-54
Most human carcinomas of the exocrine pancreas contain mutant c-K-ras genes.
2. PMID: 2114981; 1990, Eur J Clin Invest;20(3):225-35
ras oncogenes: their role in neoplasia.
3. PMID: 20617134; 2010, J Biomed Biotechnol;2010():150960
Clinical relevance of KRAS in human cancers.
4. PMID: 21993244; 2011, Nat Rev Cancer;11(11):761-74
RAS oncogenes: weaving a tumorigenic web.
5. PMID: 3047672; 1988, Nucleic Acids Res;16(16):7773-82
KRAS codon 12 mutations occur very frequently in pancreatic adenocarcinomas.
6. PMID: 3587348; 1987, Nature;327(6120):293-7
Prevalence of ras gene mutations in human colorectal cancers.
7. PMID: 1942608; 1991, Nihon Shokakibyō Gakkai Zasshi;88(8):1539-44
[Prevalence of K-ras gene mutations in human colorectal cancers].
8. PMID: 2252272; 1990, Am Rev Respir Dis;142(6 Pt 2):S27-30
The ras oncogenes in human lung cancer.
9. PMID: 1486840; 1992, Environ Health Perspect;98():13-24
Role of proto-oncogene activation in carcinogenesis.
10. PMID: 28666118; 2017, Cell;170(1):17-33
RAS Proteins and Their Regulators in Human Disease.
11. PMID: 22589270; 2012, Cancer Res;72(10):2457-67
A comprehensive survey of Ras mutations in cancer.
12. PMID: 26902995; 2016, Sci Rep;6():21949
The Structural Basis of Oncogenic Mutations G12, G13 and Q61 in Small GTPase K-Ras4B.
13. PMID: 25414119; 2014, Drugs;74(18):2111-28
The biology and clinical development of MEK inhibitors for cancer.
14. PMID: 25265492; 2014, N Engl J Med;371(20):1877-88
Combined BRAF and MEK inhibition versus BRAF inhibition alone in melanoma.
15. PMID: 22663011; 2012, N Engl J Med;367(2):107-14
Improved survival with MEK inhibition in BRAF-mutated melanoma.
16. PMID: 25265494; 2014, N Engl J Med;371(20):1867-76
Combined vemurafenib and cobimetinib in BRAF-mutated melanoma.
17. PMID: 29573941; 2018, Lancet Oncol;19(5):603-615
Encorafenib plus binimetinib versus vemurafenib or encorafenib in patients with BRAF-mutant melanoma (COLUMBUS): a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial.
18. PMID: 26075998; 2014, Gynecol Oncol Rep;10():28-9
Response to MEK inhibitor in small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the cervix with a KRAS mutation.
19. PMID: 29946554; 2018, Gynecol Oncol Rep;25():41-44
Binimetinib (MEK162) in recurrent low-grade serous ovarian cancer resistant to chemotherapy and hormonal treatment.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

20. PMID: 25722381; 2015, Ann Oncol;26(5):894-901
A randomized phase II study of the MEK1/MEK2 inhibitor trametinib (GSK1120212) compared with docetaxel in KRAS-mutant advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC)†.
21. PMID: 24947927; 2014, Clin Cancer Res;20(16):4251-61
Phase I expansion and pharmacodynamic study of the oral MEK inhibitor RO4987655 (CH4987655) in selected patients with advanced cancer with RAS-RAF mutations.
22. PMID: 27340376; 2016, Curr Colorectal Cancer Rep;12():141-150
Molecular Subtypes and Personalized Therapy in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer.
23. PMID: 22392911; 2012, Clin Cancer Res;18(9):2515-25
Inhibition of MEK and PI3K/mTOR suppresses tumor growth but does not cause tumor regression in patient-derived xenografts of RAS-mutant colorectal carcinomas.
24. PMID: 26369631; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(2):405-14
Sensitivity of KRAS-Mutant Colorectal Cancers to Combination Therapy That Cotargets MEK and CDK4/6.
25. PMID: 25937522; 2015, Eur J Cancer;51(10):1243-52
FOLFOX4 plus cetuximab treatment and RAS mutations in colorectal cancer.
26. PMID: 19188670; 2009, J Clin Oncol;27(12):2091-6
American Society of Clinical Oncology provisional clinical opinion: testing for KRAS gene mutations in patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma to predict response to anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody therapy.
27. PMID: 18802721; 2008, Virchows Arch;453(5):417-31
KRAS mutation testing for predicting response to anti-EGFR therapy for colorectal carcinoma: proposal for an European quality assurance program.
28. PMID: 25605843; 2015, J Clin Oncol;33(7):692-700
Fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan plus cetuximab treatment and RAS mutations in colorectal cancer.
29. PMID: 27422777; 2016, Tumour Biol;37(9):11645-11655
Potential biomarkers for anti-EGFR therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer.
30. PMID: 24024839; 2013, N Engl J Med;369(11):1023-34
Panitumumab-FOLFOX4 treatment and RAS mutations in colorectal cancer.
31. PMID: 24666267; 2014, Acta Oncol;53(7):852-64
The predictive value of KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, PIK3CA and PTEN for anti-EGFR treatment in metastatic colorectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
32. PMID: 27722750; 2017, JAMA Oncol;3(2):194-201
Prognostic and Predictive Relevance of Primary Tumor Location in Patients With RAS Wild-Type Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: Retrospective Analyses of the CRYSTAL and FIRE-3 Trials.
33. PMID: 27736842; 2016, Br J Cancer;115(10):1206-1214
A phase 3 trial evaluating panitumumab plus best supportive care vs best supportive care in chemorefractory wild-type KRAS or RAS metastatic colorectal cancer.
34. PMID: 20921465; 2010, J Clin Oncol;28(31):4697-705
Randomized, phase III trial of panitumumab with infusional fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin (FOLFOX4) versus FOLFOX4 alone as first-line treatment in patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer: the PRIME study.
35. PMID: 24407191; 2014, Br J Cancer;110(5):1148-54
Sorafenib and irinotecan (NEXIRI) as second- or later-line treatment for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer and KRAS-mutated tumours: a multicentre Phase I/II trial.
36. PMID: 23224737; 2013, Clin Cancer Res;19(3):743-51

ACT Onco[®] + Report

A phase II study of sorafenib in patients with platinum-pretreated, advanced (Stage IIIB or IV) non-small cell lung cancer with a KRAS mutation.

37. PMID: 26307133; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(2):374-82
Copy Number Changes Are Associated with Response to Treatment with Carboplatin, Paclitaxel, and Sorafenib in Melanoma.
38. PMID: 23828442; 2013, Med Oncol;30(3):650
KRAS as prognostic biomarker in metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with bevacizumab: a pooled analysis of 12 published trials.
39. PMID: 28632865; 2017, JAMA;317(23):2392-2401
Effect of First-Line Chemotherapy Combined With Cetuximab or Bevacizumab on Overall Survival in Patients With KRAS Wild-Type Advanced or Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Randomized Clinical Trial.
40. PMID: 18349398; 2008, J Clin Oncol;26(9):1472-8
Molecular characteristics of bronchioloalveolar carcinoma and adenocarcinoma, bronchioloalveolar carcinoma subtype, predict response to erlotinib.
41. PMID: 23401440; 2013, J Clin Oncol;31(8):1112-21
KRAS mutation: should we test for it, and does it matter?
42. PMID: 18024870; 2007, J Clin Oncol;25(33):5240-7
Prognostic and predictive importance of p53 and RAS for adjuvant chemotherapy in non small-cell lung cancer.
43. PMID: 15923428; 2005, Ann Oncol;16 Suppl 4():iv44-49
Prognostic and predictive factors in colorectal cancer: Kirsten Ras in CRC (RASCAL) and TP53CRC collaborative studies.
44. PMID: 26484411; 2015, Br J Cancer;113(9):1254-8
Impact of mutational status on survival in low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum.
45. PMID: 24549645; 2013, J Pathol;231(4):449-56
KRAS (but not BRAF) mutations in ovarian serous borderline tumour are associated with recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma.
46. PMID: 19910469; 2010, J Biol Chem;285(2):1097-104
MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 complex dictates DNA repair independent of H2AX.
47. PMID: 20655309; 2010, FEBS Lett;584(17):3682-95
The MRN complex in double-strand break repair and telomere maintenance.
48. PMID: 24894818; 2014, Breast Cancer Res;16(3):R58
Rare key functional domain missense substitutions in MRE11A, RAD50, and NBN contribute to breast cancer susceptibility: results from a Breast Cancer Family Registry case-control mutation-screening study.
49. PMID: 23755103; 2014, PLoS One;9(6):e63313
Sequencing of candidate chromosome instability genes in endometrial cancers reveals somatic mutations in ESCO1, CHTF18, and MRE11A.
50. PMID: 11196167; 2001, Cancer Res;61(1):23-6
Alterations of the double-strand break repair gene MRE11 in cancer.
51. PMID: 11850399; 2002, EMBO Rep;3(3):248-54
Human MRE11 is inactivated in mismatch repair-deficient cancers.
52. PMID: 16959974; 2006, Science;314(5797):268-74
The consensus coding sequences of human breast and colorectal cancers.
53. PMID: 26510020; 2015, N Engl J Med;373(18):1697-708
DNA-Repair Defects and Olaparib in Metastatic Prostate Cancer.
54. PMID: 24927325; 2014, PLoS One;9(6):e100041
Effect of MRE11 loss on PARP-inhibitor sensitivity in endometrial cancer in vitro.
55. PMID: 21300766; 2011, Cancer Res;71(7):2632-42

ACT Onco[®] + Report

MRE11 deficiency increases sensitivity to poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibition in microsatellite unstable colorectal cancers.

56. PMID: 25310185; 2014, PLoS One;9(10):e108483
MRE11-deficiency associated with improved long-term disease free survival and overall survival in a subset of stage III colon cancer patients in randomized CALGB 89803 trial.
57. PMID: 19029933; 2008, Oncogene;27(55):6908-19
LKB1; linking cell structure and tumor suppression.
58. PMID: 19584313; 2009, Physiol Rev;89(3):777-98
LKB1 and AMPK family signaling: the intimate link between cell polarity and energy metabolism.
59. PMID: 20142330; 2010, Dis Model Mech;3(3-4):181-93
Lkb1 inactivation is sufficient to drive endometrial cancers that are aggressive yet highly responsive to mTOR inhibitor monotherapy.
60. PMID: 17676035; 2007, Nature;448(7155):807-10
LKB1 modulates lung cancer differentiation and metastasis.
61. PMID: 18245476; 2008, Cancer Res;68(3):759-66
Loss of Lkb1 provokes highly invasive endometrial adenocarcinomas.
62. PMID: 18172296; 2008, Cancer Res;68(1):55-63
LKB1 deficiency sensitizes mice to carcinogen-induced tumorigenesis.
63. PMID: 25244018; 2014, Int J Mol Sci;15(9):16698-718
Recent progress on liver kinase B1 (LKB1): expression, regulation, downstream signaling and cancer suppressive function.
64. PMID: 9425897; 1998, Nat Genet;18(1):38-43
Peutz-Jeghers syndrome is caused by mutations in a novel serine threonine kinase.
65. PMID: 21189378; 2011, J Clin Oncol;29(6):e150-3
mTOR inhibitor treatment of pancreatic cancer in a patient With Peutz-Jeghers syndrome.
66. PMID: 27615706; 2016, CNS Oncol;5(4):203-9
Widely metastatic atypical pituitary adenoma with mTOR pathway STK11(F298L) mutation treated with everolimus therapy.
67. PMID: 27821489; 2017, Cancer Res;77(1):153-163
A Transcriptional Signature Identifies LKB1 Functional Status as a Novel Determinant of MEK Sensitivity in Lung Adenocarcinoma.
68. PMID: 29764856; 2018, Clin Cancer Res;24(22):5710-5723
TP53, STK11, and EGFR Mutations Predict Tumor Immune Profile and the Response to Anti-PD-1 in Lung Adenocarcinoma.
69. PMID: 29773717; 2018, Cancer Discov;8(7):822-835
STK11/LKB1 Mutations and PD-1 Inhibitor Resistance in KRAS-Mutant Lung Adenocarcinoma.
70. PMID: 29337640; 2018, J Clin Oncol;36(7):633-641
Molecular Determinants of Response to Anti-Programmed Cell Death (PD)-1 and Anti-Programmed Death-Ligand 1 (PD-L1) Blockade in Patients With Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Profiled With Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing.
71. PMID: 26833127; 2016, Cancer Res;76(5):999-1008
STK11/LKB1 Deficiency Promotes Neutrophil Recruitment and Proinflammatory Cytokine Production to Suppress T-cell Activity in the Lung Tumor Microenvironment.
72. PMID: 17055429; 2006, Cell;127(2):265-75
The regulation of INK4/ARF in cancer and aging.
73. PMID: 8521522; 1995, Cell;83(6):993-1000
Alternative reading frames of the INK4a tumor suppressor gene encode two unrelated proteins capable of inducing cell cycle arrest.
74. PMID: 9529249; 1998, Cell;92(6):725-34

ACT Onco[®] + Report

ARF promotes MDM2 degradation and stabilizes p53: ARF-INK4a locus deletion impairs both the Rb and p53 tumor suppression pathways.

75. PMID: 16115911; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(16):5740-7
Comprehensive analysis of CDKN2A status in microdissected urothelial cell carcinoma reveals potential haploinsufficiency, a high frequency of homozygous co-deletion and associations with clinical phenotype.
76. PMID: 7550353; 1995, Nat Genet;11(2):210-2
Frequency of homozygous deletion at p16/CDKN2 in primary human tumours.
77. PMID: 24089445; 2013, Clin Cancer Res;19(19):5320-8
The cell-cycle regulator CDK4: an emerging therapeutic target in melanoma.
78. PMID: 27849562; 2017, Gut;66(7):1286-1296
Palbociclib (PD-0332991), a selective CDK4/6 inhibitor, restricts tumour growth in preclinical models of hepatocellular carcinoma.
79. PMID: 25524798; 2015, Lancet Oncol;16(1):25-35
The cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib in combination with letrozole versus letrozole alone as first-line treatment of oestrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative, advanced breast cancer (PALOMA-1/TRIO-18): a randomised phase 2 study.
80. PMID: 28283584; 2017, Oncologist;22(4):416-421
Clinical Benefit in Response to Palbociclib Treatment in Refractory Uterine Leiomyosarcomas with a Common CDKN2A Alteration.
81. PMID: 27217383; 2016, Cancer Discov;6(7):740-53
Efficacy and Safety of Abemaciclib, an Inhibitor of CDK4 and CDK6, for Patients with Breast Cancer, Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, and Other Solid Tumors.
82. PMID: 26715889; 2015, Curr Oncol;22(6):e498-501
Does CDKN2A loss predict palbociclib benefit?
83. PMID: 25501126; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(5):995-1001
CDK 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib (PD0332991) in Rb+ advanced breast cancer: phase II activity, safety, and predictive biomarker assessment.
84. PMID: 27542767; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(23):5696-5705
A Phase I Study of the Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 4/6 Inhibitor Ribociclib (LEE011) in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors and Lymphomas.
85. PMID: 24797823; 2014, Oncologist;19(6):616-22
Enabling a genetically informed approach to cancer medicine: a retrospective evaluation of the impact of comprehensive tumor profiling using a targeted next-generation sequencing panel.
86. PMID: 27717303; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(18):1738-1748
Ribociclib as First-Line Therapy for HR-Positive, Advanced Breast Cancer.
87. PMID: 28580882; 2017, J Clin Oncol;35(25):2875-2884
MONARCH 2: Abemaciclib in Combination With Fulvestrant in Women With HR+/HER2- Advanced Breast Cancer Who Had Progressed While Receiving Endocrine Therapy.
88. PMID: 26728409; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(1):122-33
Coadministration of Trametinib and Palbociclib Radiosensitizes KRAS-Mutant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancers In Vitro and In Vivo.
89. PMID: 31401335; 2019, Transl Oncol;12(11):1425-1431
Concomitant Genetic Alterations are Associated with Worse Clinical Outcome in EGFR Mutant NSCLC Patients Treated with Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors.
90. PMID: 21088254; 2011, Clin Cancer Res;17(3):401-5
Tumor suppressor CHK2: regulator of DNA damage response and mediator of chromosomal stability.
91. PMID: 15261141; 2004, Cancer Cell;6(1):45-59
Chk1 is haploinsufficient for multiple functions critical to tumor suppression.
92. PMID: 15539958; 2005, Cell Cycle;4(1):131-9

ACT Onco[®] + Report

Chk1 is essential for tumor cell viability following activation of the replication checkpoint.

93. PMID: 23296741; 2013, Fam Cancer;12(3):473-8
The risk of gastric cancer in carriers of CHEK2 mutations.
94. PMID: 24713400; 2014, Hered Cancer Clin Pract;12(1):10
A risk of breast cancer in women - carriers of constitutional CHEK2 gene mutations, originating from the North - Central Poland.
95. PMID: 25583358; 2015, Int J Cancer;137(3):548-52
CHEK2 mutations and the risk of papillary thyroid cancer.
96. PMID: 12052256; 2002, Breast Cancer Res;4(3):R4
Mutation analysis of the CHK2 gene in breast carcinoma and other cancers.
97. PMID: 15125777; 2004, Mol Cancer;3():14
CHK2 kinase expression is down-regulated due to promoter methylation in non-small cell lung cancer.
98. PMID: 32343890; 2020, N Engl J Med;382(22):2091-2102
Olaparib for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer.
99. PMID: 28916367; 2017, Lancet;390(10106):1949-1961
Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.
100. PMID: 32086346; 2020, Clin Cancer Res;26(11):2487-2496
Non-BRCA DNA Damage Repair Gene Alterations and Response to the PARP Inhibitor Rucaparib in Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Analysis From the Phase II TRITON2 Study.
101. PMID: 24095279; 2013, Mol Cell;52(4):495-505
The folliculin tumor suppressor is a GAP for the RagC/D GTPases that signal amino acid levels to mTORC1.
102. PMID: 26342594; 2016, Fam Cancer;15(1):127-32
Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome: novel FLCN frameshift deletion in daughter and father with renal cell carcinomas.
103. PMID: 23223565; 2013, J Clin Pathol;66(3):178-86
Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome: clinicopathological features of the lung.
104. PMID: 19850877; 2009, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A;106(44):18722-7
Homozygous loss of BHD causes early embryonic lethality and kidney tumor development with activation of mTORC1 and mTORC2.
105. PMID: 24908670; 2014, Hum Mol Genet;23(21):5706-19
Folliculin (Flcn) inactivation leads to murine cardiac hypertrophy through mTORC1 deregulation.
106. PMID: 15956655; 2005, J Natl Cancer Inst;97(12):931-5
High frequency of somatic frameshift BHD gene mutations in Birt-Hogg-Dubé-associated renal tumors.
107. PMID: 29301825; 2018, Clin Cancer Res;24(7):1546-1553
Genomic Correlates of Response to Everolimus in Aggressive Radioiodine-refractory Thyroid Cancer: A Phase II Study.
108. PMID: 26418749; 2015, Oncotarget;6(32):32761-73
Flcn-deficient renal cells are tumorigenic and sensitive to mTOR suppression.
109. PMID: 8906794; 1996, Nature;384(6605):176-9
Biochemical evidence that patched is the Hedgehog receptor.
110. PMID: 12016144; 2002, Carcinogenesis;23(5):727-33
Unbalanced overexpression of the mutant allele in murine Patched mutants.
111. PMID: 11130178; 2000, Cell Mol Life Sci;57(12):1720-31
Hedgehog signalling in cancer.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

112. PMID: 8782823; 1996, Nat Genet;14(1):78-81
The role of the human homologue of Drosophila patched in sporadic basal cell carcinomas.
113. PMID: 8658145; 1996, Science;272(5268):1668-71
Human homolog of patched, a candidate gene for the basal cell nevus syndrome.
114. PMID: 9422511; 1998, Nature;391(6662):90-2
Activating Smoothened mutations in sporadic basal-cell carcinoma.
115. PMID: 22832583; 2012, Nature;488(7409):100-5
Dissecting the genomic complexity underlying medulloblastoma.
116. PMID: 10738305; 2000, Genes Chromosomes Cancer;28(1):77-81
Evidence that haploinsufficiency of Ptch leads to medulloblastoma in mice.
117. PMID: 22670903; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(23):2171-9
Efficacy and safety of vismodegib in advanced basal-cell carcinoma.
118. PMID: 28511673; 2017, BMC Cancer;17(1):332
Long-term safety and efficacy of vismodegib in patients with advanced basal cell carcinoma: final update of the pivotal ERIVANCE BCC study.
119. PMID: 25981810; 2015, Lancet Oncol;16(6):716-28
Treatment with two different doses of sonidegib in patients with locally advanced or metastatic basal cell carcinoma (BOLT): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind phase 2 trial.
120. PMID: 31545507; 2020, Br J Dermatol;182(6):1369-1378
Long-term efficacy and safety of sonidegib in patients with advanced basal cell carcinoma: 42-month analysis of the phase II randomized, double-blind BOLT study.
121. PMID: 19726761; 2009, N Engl J Med;361(12):1173-8
Treatment of medulloblastoma with hedgehog pathway inhibitor GDC-0449.
122. PMID: 26169613; 2015, J Clin Oncol;33(24):2646-54
Vismodegib Exerts Targeted Efficacy Against Recurrent Sonic Hedgehog-Subgroup Medulloblastoma: Results From Phase II Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium Studies PBTC-025B and PBTC-032.
123. PMID: 29320312; 2018, J Clin Oncol;36(6):536-542
Targeted Therapy for Advanced Solid Tumors on the Basis of Molecular Profiles: Results From MyPathway, an Open-Label, Phase IIa Multiple Basket Study.
124. PMID: 9315668; 1997, Mol Cell Biol;17(10):6087-96
hMre11 and hRad50 nuclear foci are induced during the normal cellular response to DNA double-strand breaks.
125. PMID: 16467875; 2006, Cell Res;16(1):45-54
The role of NBS1 in DNA double strand break repair, telomere stability, and cell cycle checkpoint control.
126. PMID: 16385572; 2006, Int J Cancer;118(11):2911-6
Evaluation of RAD50 in familial breast cancer predisposition.
127. PMID: 18440592; 2008, Hum Pathol;39(6):925-32
Gastric cancer with high-level microsatellite instability: target gene mutations, clinicopathologic features, and long-term survival.
128. PMID: 11196187; 2001, Cancer Res;61(1):36-8
Frameshift mutations at coding mononucleotide repeats of the hRAD50 gene in gastrointestinal carcinomas with microsatellite instability.
129. PMID: 24934408; 2014, Cancer Discov;4(9):1014-21
Synthetic lethality in ATM-deficient RAD50-mutant tumors underlies outlier response to cancer therapy.
130. PMID: 16474176; 2006, Carcinogenesis;27(8):1593-9

ACT Onco[®] + Report

RAD50 and NBS1 are breast cancer susceptibility genes associated with genomic instability.

131. PMID: 27016230; 2016, Gynecol Oncol;141(1):57-64
Copy number deletion of RAD50 as predictive marker of BRCAness and PARP inhibitor response in BRCA wild type ovarian cancer.
132. PMID: 25935112; 2015, Trends Biochem Sci;40(6):296-308
Structural determinants of Smad function in TGF- β signaling.
133. PMID: 19014666; 2008, Pathogenetics;1(1):2
Smad4 haploinsufficiency: a matter of dosage.
134. PMID: 9545410; 1998, Am J Hum Genet;62(5):1129-36
A gene for familial juvenile polyposis maps to chromosome 18q21.1.
135. PMID: 8553070; 1996, Science;271(5247):350-3
DPC4, a candidate tumor suppressor gene at human chromosome 18q21.1.
136. PMID: 8673134; 1996, Nat Genet;13(3):343-6
Evaluation of candidate tumour suppressor genes on chromosome 18 in colorectal cancers.
137. PMID: 18662538; 2008, Cell;134(2):215-30
TGFbeta in Cancer.
138. PMID: 9135016; 1997, Cancer Res;57(9):1731-4
Tumor-suppressive pathways in pancreatic carcinoma.
139. PMID: 23139211; 2013, Cancer Res;73(2):725-35
SMAD2, SMAD3 and SMAD4 mutations in colorectal cancer.
140. PMID: 22810696; 2012, Nature;487(7407):330-7
Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer.
141. PMID: 25890228; 2015, World J Surg Oncol;13():128
Clinical outcome and expression of mutant P53, P16, and Smad4 in lung adenocarcinoma: a prospective study.
142. PMID: 19841540; 2009, J Clin Invest;119(11):3208-11
Smad4: gatekeeper gene in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.
143. PMID: 15867212; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(9):3191-7
Differences in Smad4 expression in human papillomavirus type 16-positive and human papillomavirus type 16-negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.
144. PMID: 25589618; 2015, Clin Cancer Res;21(6):1447-56
Genomic analysis of metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma.
145. PMID: 29703253; 2018, BMC Cancer;18(1):479
SMAD4 and NF1 mutations as potential biomarkers for poor prognosis to cetuximab-based therapy in Chinese metastatic colorectal cancer patients.
146. PMID: 28522603; 2017, Clin Cancer Res;23(17):5162-5175
SMAD4 Loss Is Associated with Cetuximab Resistance and Induction of MAPK/JNK Activation in Head and Neck Cancer Cells.
147. PMID: 16144935; 2005, Clin Cancer Res;11(17):6311-6
SMAD4 levels and response to 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer.
148. PMID: 24384683; 2014, Br J Cancer;110(4):946-57
Loss of Smad4 in colorectal cancer induces resistance to 5-fluorouracil through activating Akt pathway.
149. PMID: 12237773; 2002, Br J Cancer;87(6):630-4
SMAD4 is a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in patients with colorectal cancer.

ACT Onco[®] + Report

150. PMID: 25749173; 2015, Transl Oncol;8(1):18-24
A Meta-Analysis of SMAD4 Immunohistochemistry as a Prognostic Marker in Colorectal Cancer.
151. PMID: 19478385; 2009, Cell Oncol;31(3):169-78
Presence of a high amount of stroma and downregulation of SMAD4 predict for worse survival for stage I-II colon cancer patients.
152. PMID: 25681512; 2015, J Clin Pathol;68(5):341-5
Smad4 inactivation predicts for worse prognosis and response to fluorouracil-based treatment in colorectal cancer.
153. PMID: 26861460; 2016, Clin Cancer Res;22(12):3037-47
Reduced Expression of SMAD4 Is Associated with Poor Survival in Colon Cancer.
154. PMID: 26947875; 2016, Transl Oncol;9(1):1-7
Prognostic Value of SMAD4 in Pancreatic Cancer: A Meta-Analysis.
155. PMID: 25760429; 2015, Pancreas;44(4):660-4
SMAD4 expression predicts local spread and treatment failure in resected pancreatic cancer.
156. PMID: 22504380; 2012, Pancreas;41(4):541-6
SMAD4 genetic alterations predict a worse prognosis in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.
157. PMID: 19584151; 2009, Clin Cancer Res;15(14):4674-9
SMAD4 gene mutations are associated with poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer.
158. PMID: 18425078; 2008, Mod Pathol;21(7):866-75
Expression of Smad2 and Smad4 in cervical cancer: absent nuclear Smad4 expression correlates with poor survival.
159. PMID: 21157483; 2011, Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol;12(1):21-35
mTOR: from growth signal integration to cancer, diabetes and ageing.
160. PMID: 12271141; 2002, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A;99(21):13571-6
Tuberous sclerosis complex-1 and -2 gene products function together to inhibit mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)-mediated downstream signaling.
161. PMID: 9242607; 1997, Science;277(5327):805-8
Identification of the tuberous sclerosis gene TSC1 on chromosome 9q34.
162. PMID: 8269512; 1993, Cell;75(7):1305-15
Identification and characterization of the tuberous sclerosis gene on chromosome 16.
163. PMID: 1303246; 1992, Nat Genet;2(1):37-41
Linkage of an important gene locus for tuberous sclerosis to a chromosome 16 marker for polycystic kidney disease.
164. PMID: 18538015; 2008, BMC Cancer;8():163
Involvement of TSC genes and differential expression of other members of the mTOR signaling pathway in oral squamous cell carcinoma.
165. PMID: 28339086; 2017, Int J Oncol;50(5):1778-1784
Identification of novel mutations in endometrial cancer patients by whole-exome sequencing.
166. PMID: 20610279; 2010, Urol Oncol;28(4):409-28
Bladder cancer or bladder cancers? Genetically distinct malignant conditions of the urothelium.
167. PMID: 17005952; 2006, N Engl J Med;355(13):1345-56
The tuberous sclerosis complex.
168. PMID: 22923433; 2012, Science;338(6104):221
Genome sequencing identifies a basis for everolimus sensitivity.
169. PMID: 26859683; 2016, Oncotarget;7(9):10547-56

ACT Onco[®] + Report

Next-generation sequencing reveals somatic mutations that confer exceptional response to everolimus.

170. PMID: 27016228; 2016, Gynecol Oncol;141(1):43-8
Tumor mutational analysis of GOG248, a phase II study of temsirolimus or temsirolimus and alternating megestrol acetate and tamoxifen for advanced endometrial cancer (EC): An NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group study.
171. PMID: 26412398; 2015, Sci Rep;5():14534
PAK2 is an effector of TSC1/2 signaling independent of mTOR and a potential therapeutic target for Tuberous Sclerosis Complex.
172. PMID: 28968163; 2017, J Clin Oncol;35(32):3638-3646
MONARCH 3: Abemaciclib As Initial Therapy for Advanced Breast Cancer.
173. PMID: 28533223; 2017, Clin Cancer Res;23(17):5218-5224
MONARCH 1, A Phase II Study of Abemaciclib, a CDK4 and CDK6 Inhibitor, as a Single Agent, in Patients with Refractory HR+/HER2-Metastatic Breast Cancer.
174. PMID: 27480103; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(9):1248-60
Cobimetinib combined with vemurafenib in advanced BRAF(V600)-mutant melanoma (coBRIM): updated efficacy results from a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial.
175. PMID: 26703889; 2016, Lancet;387(10022):968-977
Everolimus for the treatment of advanced, non-functional neuroendocrine tumours of the lung or gastrointestinal tract (RADIANT-4): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study.
176. PMID: 22149876; 2012, N Engl J Med;366(6):520-9
Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer.
177. PMID: 21306238; 2011, N Engl J Med;364(6):514-23
Everolimus for advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.
178. PMID: 23158522; 2013, Lancet;381(9861):125-32
Efficacy and safety of everolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytomas associated with tuberous sclerosis complex (EXIST-1): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial.
179. PMID: 18653228; 2008, Lancet;372(9637):449-56
Efficacy of everolimus in advanced renal cell carcinoma: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase III trial.
180. PMID: 30948273; 2019, Lancet Oncol;20(5):636-648
Niraparib monotherapy for late-line treatment of ovarian cancer (QUADRA): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 trial.
181. PMID: 27717299; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(22):2154-2164
Niraparib Maintenance Therapy in Platinum-Sensitive, Recurrent Ovarian Cancer.
182. PMID: 31851799; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(25):2416-2428
Olaparib plus Bevacizumab as First-Line Maintenance in Ovarian Cancer.
183. PMID: 31157963; 2019, N Engl J Med;381(4):317-327
Maintenance Olaparib for Germline BRCA-Mutated Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer.
184. PMID: 30345884; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(26):2495-2505
Maintenance Olaparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer.
185. PMID: 28578601; 2017, N Engl J Med;377(6):523-533
Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer in Patients with a Germline BRCA Mutation.
186. PMID: 28754483; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(9):1274-1284
Olaparib tablets as maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed ovarian cancer and a BRCA1/2 mutation (SOLO2/ENGOT-Ov21): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial.
187. PMID: 27617661; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(11):1579-1589

ACT Onco[®] + Report

Overall survival in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent serous ovarian cancer receiving olaparib maintenance monotherapy: an updated analysis from a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, phase 2 trial.

188. PMID: 25366685; 2015, J Clin Oncol;33(3):244-50
Olaparib monotherapy in patients with advanced cancer and a germline BRCA1/2 mutation.
189. PMID: 27959613; 2016, N Engl J Med;375(20):1925-1936
Palbociclib and Letrozole in Advanced Breast Cancer.
190. PMID: 26030518; 2015, N Engl J Med;373(3):209-19
Palbociclib in Hormone-Receptor-Positive Advanced Breast Cancer.
191. PMID: 27908594; 2017, Lancet Oncol;18(1):75-87
Rucaparib in relapsed, platinum-sensitive high-grade ovarian carcinoma (ARIEL2 Part 1): an international, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial.
192. PMID: 30110579; 2018, N Engl J Med;379(8):753-763
Talazoparib in Patients with Advanced Breast Cancer and a Germline BRCA Mutation.
193. PMID: 17538086; 2007, N Engl J Med;356(22):2271-81
Temsilolimus, interferon alfa, or both for advanced renal-cell carcinoma.
194. PMID: 29072975; 2018, J Clin Oncol;36(1):7-13
Dabrafenib and Trametinib Treatment in Patients With Locally Advanced or Metastatic BRAF V600-Mutant Anaplastic Thyroid Cancer.
195. PMID: 27080216; 2016, Lancet Oncol;17(5):642-50
Dabrafenib in patients with BRAF(V600E)-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a single-arm, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial.