REPORT DATE 06 Dec 2021 ORDERED TEST # ORD-1243577-01



ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Brain glioblastoma (GBM) **NAME** Wen, Sheng-Ting **DATE OF BIRTH** 13 December 1956

SEX Male

MEDICAL RECORD # 47793715 PHYSICIAN

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen
MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872

PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN

SPECIMEN SITE Brain
SPECIMEN ID S110-32117H (PF21049)
SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck

DATE OF COLLECTION 26 October 2021 **SPECIMEN RECEIVED** 24 November 2021

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

CDK4 amplification
MDM2 amplification
RB1 inversion exons 18-24
TERT promoter -146C>T

3 Disease relevant genes with no reportable alterations: EGFR, IDH1, PDGFRA

O Therapies with Clinical Benefit

15 Clinical Trials

O Therapies with Resistance

| BIOMARKER FINDINGS |
|-------------------------------------|
| Microsatellite status - MS-Stable |
| Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb |
| GENOMIC FINDINGS |
| CDK4 - amplification |
| 10 Trials see p. 5 |
| MDM2 - amplification |
| 6 Trials see n 7 |

| No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section | | | | | |
| THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE) none | THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE) none | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| none | none | | | | |
| | | | | | |

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

RB1 - inversion exons 18-24

p. 4 TERT - promoter -146C>T

p. 4

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and

experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Low-level MSI has been reported in 5-9% of glioblastoma (GBM) samples⁶⁻⁸. A large-scale study did not find high-level microsatellite instability (MSI-H) in any of 129 GBM samples⁶, although a small-scale study reported MSI-H in 4 of 15 pediatric GBMs and 1 of 12 adult GBMs⁹. The frequency of MSI has been reported to be increased in relapsed compared to primary GBM⁶, in GBMs with a previous lower grade astrocytoma⁷, and in giant cell GBM compared to classic GBM⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹⁰. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH₂, MSH₆, or PMS₂¹⁰⁻¹². This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers13-15. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{10,12,14-15}.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 3 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1¹⁶⁻¹⁸, anti-PD-1 therapies¹⁶⁻¹⁹, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²⁰⁻²⁵. In glioma, a lack of association between TMB and clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors has been reported^{16,26-27}. However, multiple case studies have reported that patients with ultramutated gliomas driven by POLE

mutations have benefited from treatment with anti-PD-1²⁸⁻²⁹ or anti-PD-L1³⁰ therapies. Therefore, although increased TMB alone may not be a strong biomarker for PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors in this cancer type, these agents may have efficacy for patients with glioma harboring both high TMB and POLE mutation.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Glioblastoma (GBM) harbors a median TMB of 2.7 mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 4.2% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb)³¹. For pediatric patients, high TMB has been reported in a subset of high-grade gliomas, frequently in association with mutations in mismatch repair or proofreading genes and in TP53, whereas BRAF alterations or other oncogene fusions were observed more frequently in brain tumors harboring low TMB³²⁻³³. Increased TMB has been reported to correlate with higher tumor grade in glioma³⁴ and glioblastoma (GBM) tissue samples with biallelic mismatch repair deficiency

 $(bMMRD)^{28}$, as well as with shorter OS of patients with diffuse glioma³⁵.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma $^{36-37}$ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer³⁸⁻³⁹, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁴⁰⁻⁴¹, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁴²⁻⁴⁶, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{42,45-46}. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents $^{16,26-30}$.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

CDK4

ALTERATION amplification

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

CDK4 amplification or activation may predict sensitivity to CDK4/6 inhibitors such as abemaciclib, palbociclib, and ribociclib⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰. Clinical benefit has been reported for limited tumor types including patients with CDK4-amplified liposarcoma and sarcoma in response to treatment with abemaciclib⁵¹,

palbociclib^{47,52}, and ribociclib⁵³.

Potential Resistance –

Rb inactivation may predict resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors such as palbociclib, abemaciclib, and ribociclib, which act upstream of Rb^{49,54-62}.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Across TCGA and MKSCC studies, CDK4 amplification has been reported in 4.0-9.4% of glioma cases and 14% of glioblastoma multiforme cases (cBioPortal, Sep 2021)⁶³⁻⁶⁷. A study has reported amplification of the 12q14-15 region, where CDK4 and MDM2 reside, in 5% (2/42) of glioblastomas⁶⁸. Amplification of CDK4 and corresponding increased CDK4 protein expression

has been reported to be associated with a poorer patient outcome in anaplastic astrocytoma and glioblastoma⁶⁹⁻⁷².

FINDING SUMMARY

CDK4 encodes the cyclin-dependent kinase 4, which regulates the cell cycle, senescence, and apoptosis⁷³. CDK4 and its functional homolog CDK6 are activated by D-type cyclins and promote cell cycle progression by inactivating the tumor suppressor Rb⁷⁴⁻⁷⁵. Amplification of the chromosomal region that includes CDK4 has been reported in multiple cancer types, including lung cancer, glioblastoma, and liposarcoma, and has been associated with overexpression of CDK4 protein^{47,76-82}.

GENE

MDM2

ALTERATION amplification

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

MDM2 antagonists disrupt the MDM2-p53 interaction, thereby stabilizing p5383. Preclinical studies have suggested that the amplification of MDM₂, in the absence of concurrent TP₅₃ mutations, may increase sensitivity to these agents⁸⁴⁻⁸⁵. Preliminary Phase 1 studies of the MDM2-p53 antagonist alrizomadlin (APG-115) reported a PR in a patient with liposarcoma harboring an MDM2 amplification and wildtype for TP53 and SD in 21%-38% (6/28 and 5/13, respectively) of patients in genomically unselected solid tumors86-87. A Phase 2 trial of alrizomadlin in combination with pembrolizumab reported a PR in 1 of 3 patients with malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor that had failed standard therapy, as well as PRs in patients with multiple

types of solid tumors that had failed immunotherapy, including 1 out of 14 patients with non-small cell lung cancer; 1 out of 5 patients with urothelial carcinoma; and 2 out of 5, 1 out of 5, and 1 out of 11 patients with mucosal, uveal, and cutaneous melanoma, respectively88. Phase 1b studies of the MDM2 inhibitor idasanutlin for refractory AML in combination with cytarabine or venetoclax reported anti-leukemic response rates of 33% (25/75) and 37% (11/30), respectively⁸⁹⁻⁹⁰; clinical benefit (58% ORR, 7/12) with idasanutlin monotherapy has been reported for patients with polycythemia vera⁹¹. The dual MDM2/MDM4 inhibitor ALRN-6924 led to an ORR of 27% (4/15) for patients with TP53 wildtype peripheral T-cell lymphoma in a Phase 2 study92; responses have also been observed in TP53 wildtype AML, MDS, Merkel cell carcinoma, colorectal cancer, and liposarcoma93-94.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the Glioblastoma Multiforme (GBM) TCGA dataset, amplification of MDM2 has been found in 8% of cases⁶⁵. A study has reported amplification of the 12q14–15 region, where MDM2 and CDK4 reside, in 5% (2/42) of GBMs⁶⁸. Amplification of

MDM2 has been associated with poor survival in patients with glioblastoma^{68,95}.

FINDING SUMMARY

MDM2 encodes an E3 ubiquitin protein ligase, which mediates the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of p53, Rb1, and other proteins⁹⁶⁻⁹⁸. MDM2 acts to prevent the activity of the tumor suppressor p53; therefore, overexpression or amplification of MDM2 may be oncogenic⁹⁹⁻¹⁰⁰. Overexpression or amplification of MDM2 is frequent in cancer¹⁰¹. Although two retrospective clinical studies suggest that MDM2 amplification may predict a short time-to-treatment failure on anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitors, with 4/5 patients with MDM2 amplification¹⁰² and 2/3 patients with MDM2 or MDM4 amplification¹⁰³ experiencing tumor hyperprogression, amplification of MDM2 or MDM4 was not associated with shorter progression-free survival (PFS) in a retrospective analysis of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) outcomes with immune checkpoint inhibitors (hazard ratio of 1.4, p=0.44)¹⁰⁴. The latter study reported PFS of >2 months for 5/8 patients with MDM₂/MDM₄ amplification¹⁰⁴.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

RB1

ALTERATION inversion exons 18-24

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

On the basis of limited clinical data¹⁰⁵ and strong preclinical data¹⁰⁶⁻¹⁰⁸, RB1 inactivation may be associated with sensitivity to inhibitors of Aurora kinase A, particularly in small cell lung cancer. It should be noted that a trial of the Aurora kinase A inhibitor alisertib in advanced prostate cancer did not find an association between RB1 deletion and clinical benefit¹⁰⁹. Other approaches to target RB1 inactivation under investigation in preclinical studies include inhibitors of BCL-2 family members¹¹⁰ and activation of the NOTCH pathway¹¹¹.

Potential Resistance —

Rb inactivation may predict resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors such as palbociclib, abemaciclib, and ribociclib, which act upstream of Rb49,54-62.

Nontargeted Approaches —

Loss of Rb function has been associated with increased sensitivity to cytotoxic agents and chemotherapeutics in both preclinical studies and in patients with bladder or breast cancer 112-113.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the TCGA datasets, RB1 mutation or homozygous deletion was observed in 9% of glioblastomas⁶⁵ and 2.5% of lower grade glioma cases114. In one study, loss of RB1 transcript expression was observed in 10.6% of glioblastomas and occurred more frequently in the proneural subtype¹¹⁵. One study reports that mutation of RB1 is correlated with shorter survival in glioblastoma patients116. Several studies suggest that RB1, PTEN, and/or TP53 mutations

are early events in the development of glioblastoma117-119.

FINDING SUMMARY

RB1 encodes the retinoblastoma protein (Rb), a tumor suppressor and negative regulator of the cell cycle^{113,120}. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt RB1 function or expression 121-127.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Mutations in RB1 underlie the development of retinoblastoma (RB), a rare tumor that arises at a rate of approximately 1:20,000 live births, with nearly 5,000 new cases worldwide per year¹²⁸. Germline mutations in RB1 account for approximately 40% of RB tumors¹²⁹ and are associated with an increased risk of developing secondary malignancies that include soft tissue and bone sarcoma and malignant melanoma¹³⁰⁻¹³¹. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of RB1 is recommended.

TERT

ALTERATION promoter -146C>T

TRANSCRIPT ID NM_198253

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT -146C>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 43.0%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

- Targeted Therapies -

Therapeutic options for targeting tumors with TERT mutations are limited, although a variety of approaches have been investigated, including immunotherapies using TERT as a tumorassociated antigen and antisense oligonucleotideor peptide-based therapies. TERT peptide vaccines showed limited anticancer efficacy in clinical trials¹³²; however, in one preclinical study, the combination of a TERT peptide vaccine and anti-CTLA-4 therapy suppressed tumor growth 133. A Phase 2 study of the TERT inhibitor imetelstat for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer reported no improvement in PFS or OS134.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TERT promoter mutations have been reported in 51-59% of gliomas¹³⁵⁻¹³⁶, most frequently in glioblastoma (GBM, 54-84%), gliosarcoma (81%), oligodendroglioma (78%), and historically in oligoastrocytomas (25-31%) but less frequently in lower grade astrocytomas (10-18%) and in only 1% of ependymomas¹³⁵⁻¹³⁹. In patients with glioblastoma (GBM), the prevalence of TERT promoter mutation is lower in pediatric primary GBM (11%) and adult secondary GBM (28%) compared with a dult primary GBM (58-83%) $^{135,137}.$ One study detected TERT promoter mutations in 78% (181/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed140. The significance of the TERT promoter mutation as an independent prognostic indicator in patients with glioma is not clear. While TERT promoter mutations significantly associate with poor prognosis in patients with GBM, this correlation may be due to the association with primary GBM as opposed to IDHpositive secondary GBM135,137,141-142. In the context of IDH-wildtype glioma, TERT mutations are associated with reduced OS, whereas in IDHmutated, 1p/19q co-deleted oligodendroglioma, TERT mutations are associated with improved OS (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v5.2020). TERT promoter mutation has been shown to be significantly associated with increased TERT gene expression in astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma,

and GBM142.

FINDING SUMMARY

Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT, or hTERT) is a catalytic subunit of the telomerase complex, which is required to maintain appropriate chromosomal length¹⁴³. Activation of TERT is a hallmark of cancer, being detected in up to 80-90% of malignancies and absent in quiescent cells144-146. Mutations within the promoter region of TERT that confer enhanced TERT promoter activity have been reported in two hotspots, located at -124 bp and -146 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site (also termed C228T and C250T, respectively)147-149, as well as tandem mutations at positions -124/-125 bp and -138/-139 bp¹⁴⁷.

POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

TERT mutations are associated with 1p/19q codeletion in oligodendrogliomas, and are highly recurrent in IDH/ATRX-wildtype glioblastoma (GBM) (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v5.2020). Co-occurring TERT mutation, IDH mutation, and 1p/19q co-deletion is indicative of oligodendroglioma, whereas IDH mutation in the absence of TERT mutation is suggestive of astrocytoma (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v5.2020).

TARGETS CDK4, CDK6



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1243577-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial \Rightarrow Geographical proximity \Rightarrow Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

GENE CDK4

ALTERATION amplification

RATIONALE

CDK4 amplification may predict sensitivity to CDK4/6 inhibitors. As clinical and preclinical studies have suggested that loss of RB may predict

resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors, it is not known whether these therapeutic approaches would be effective.

| NCT03239015 | PHASE 2 |
|---|--|
| Efficacy and Safety of Targeted Precision Therapy in Refractory Tumor With Druggable Molecular Event LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China) | TARGETS EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, PARP, mTOR, MET, RET, ROS1, VEGFRS, BRAF, CDK4, CDK6 |
| NCT04594005 | PHASE 1/2 |

LOCATIONS: Seoul (Korea, Republic of)

CDK4/6 Tumor, Abemaciclib, Paclitaxel

| NCT03099174 | PHASE 1 |
|--|---|
| This Study in Patients With Different Types of Cancer (Solid Tumours) Aims to Find a Safe Dose of Xentuzumab in Combination With Abemaciclib With or Without Hormonal Therapies. The Study Also Tests How Effective These Medicines Are in Patients With Lung and Breast Cancer. | TARGETS CDK4, CDK6, IGF-1, IGF-2, Aromatase, ER |

LOCATIONS: Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang (Korea, Republic of), Aichi, Nagoya (Japan), Kanagawa, Isehara (Japan), Tokyo, Chuo-ku (Japan), Tokyo, Koto-ku (Japan), Chiba, Kashiwa (Japan), Helsinki (Finland), Tampere (Finland), Turku (Finland)

| NCT04801966 | PHASE NULL |
|---|--|
| Safety and Oversight of the Individually Tailored Treatment Approach: A Novel Pilot Study | TARGETS CDK4, CDK6, PI3K-alpha, PD-L1, MEK, PARP, PD-1, BRAF |
| LOCATIONS: Melbourne (Australia) | |



CLINICAL TRIALS

| NCT03994796 | PHASE 2 |
|--|---|
| Genetic Testing in Guiding Treatment for Patients With Brain Metastases | TARGETS ALK, ROS1, TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, CDK4, CDK6, PI3K, mTOR |
| LOCATIONS: Alaska, Washington | |
| NCT03158389 | PHASE 1/2 |
| NCT Neuro Master Match - N ² M ² (NOA-20) | TARGETS ALK, RET, CDK4, CDK6, mTOR, MDM2, PD-L1, SMO |
| LOCATIONS: Berlin (Germany), Dresden (Germany), Regensburg (Germany), Bochum (Germany), Fran (Germany), Heidelberg (Germany), Cologne (Germany), Mannheim (Germany) | kfurt am Main (Germany), Essen (Germany), Mainz |
| NCT04116541 | PHASE 2 |
| A Study Evaluating the Activity of Anti-cancer Treatments Targeting Tumor Molecular Alterations/Characteristics in Advanced / Metastatic Tumors. | TARGETS CDK6, CDK4, MDM2, MET, RET, ROS1, VEGFRs |
| LOCATIONS: Nice (France), Lyon (France), Marseille (France), Toulouse (France), Bordeaux (France) | |
| NCT02981940 | PHASE 2 |
| A Study of Abemaciclib in Recurrent Glioblastoma | TARGETS CDK4, CDK6 |
| LOCATIONS: Utah, California, Massachusetts | |
| NCT02896335 | PHASE 2 |
| Palbociclib In Progressive Brain Metastases | TARGETS CDK4, CDK6 |
| LOCATIONS: Massachusetts | |
| NCT03310879 | PHASE 2 |
| Study of the CDK4/6 Inhibitor Abemaciclib in Solid Tumors Harboring Genetic Alterations in Genes Encoding D-type Cyclins or Amplification of CDK4 or CDK6 | TARGETS CDK4, CDK6 |

LOCATIONS: Massachusetts



CLINICAL TRIALS

MDM2

RATIONALE

Inhibitors of the MDM2-p53 interaction are being tested in clinical trials. Overexpression or

amplification of MDM2 may increase sensitivity to these agents, but more data are required.

ALTERATION amplification

| NCT04589845 | PHASE 2 | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Tumor-Agnostic Precision Immuno-Oncology and Somatic Targeting Rational for You (TAPISTRY) Platform Study | TARGETS ALK, ROS1, TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, RET, PD-L1, AKTs, ERBB2, MDM2, PI3K- alpha | | |
| | | | |

LOCATIONS: Zhongzheng Dist. (Taiwan), Taipei City (Taiwan), Tainan (Taiwan), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Beijing (China), Woolloongabba (Australia), Darlinghurst (Australia), Randwick (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), Haifa (Israel)

| NCT03449381 | PHASE 1 | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| This Study Aims to Find the Best Dose of BI 907828 in Patients With Different Types of Advanced Cancer (Solid Tumors) | TARGETS MDM2 | | | |
| LOCATIONS: Tokyo, Chuo-ku (Japan), Ottawa (Canada), Connecticut, New York, Tennessee, Florida | | | | |
| NCT03611868 | PHASE 1/2 | | | |
| A Study of APG-115 in Combination With Pembrolizumab in Patients With Metastatic Melanomas or Advanced Solid Tumors | TARGETS MDM2, PD-1 | | | |
| LOCATIONS: Brisbane (Australia), California, Arizona, Missouri, Arkansas, Pennsylvania, New York, Tennessee, Texas | | | | |

| NCT03158389 | PHASE 1/2 |
|---|--|
| NCT Neuro Master Match - N ² M ² (NOA-20) | TARGETS ALK, RET, CDK4, CDK6, mTOR, MDM2, PD-L1, SMO |

LOCATIONS: Berlin (Germany), Dresden (Germany), Regensburg (Germany), Bochum (Germany), Frankfurt am Main (Germany), Essen (Germany), Mainz (Germany), Heidelberg (Germany), Cologne (Germany), Mannheim (Germany)

| NCT03107780 | PHASE 1 | | |
|---|-----------------|--|--|
| MDM2 Inhibitor AMG-232 in Treating Patients With Recurrent or Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma | TARGETS MDM2 | | |
| LOCATIONS: California, Michigan, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, New York, Maryland, North Carolin | a, Alabama | | |
| NCT03725436 | PHASE 1 | | |
| ALRN-6924 and Paclitaxel in Treating Patients With Advanced, Metastatic, or Unresectable Solid | TARGETS | | |

LOCATIONS: Texas

Tumors

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

MDM2, MDM4



TUMOR TYPE
Brain glioblastoma (GBM)

REPORT DATE 06 Dec 2021



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1243577-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

| ATR | BRCA2 | CBL | ERCC4 |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| M1617V | D974N | L857F | S334L |
| MSH3 | SF3B1 | SPEN | TBX3 |
| A62_P63insSAA | R524C | S2306del | A192T |

APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

| ABL1 | ACVR1B | AKT1 | AKT2 | AKT3 | ALK | ALOX12B | AMER1 (FAM123B) | APC |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| AR | ARAF | ARFRP1 | ARID1A | ASXL1 | ATM | ATR | ATRX | AURKA |
| AURKB | AXIN1 | AXL | BAP1 | BARD1 | BCL2 | BCL2L1 | BCL2L2 | BCL6 |
| BCOR | BCORL1 | BRAF | BRCA1 | BRCA2 | BRD4 | BRIP1 | BTG1 | BTG2 |
| BTK | C11orf30 (EMSY) | C17orf39 (GID4) | CALR | CARD11 | CASP8 | CBFB | CBL | CCND1 |
| CCND2 | CCND3 | CCNE1 | CD22 | CD274 (PD-L1) | CD70 | CD79A | CD79B | CDC73 |
| CDH1 | CDK12 | CDK4 | CDK6 | CDK8 | CDKN1A | CDKN1B | CDKN2A | CDKN2B |
| CDKN2C | CEBPA | CHEK1 | CHEK2 | CIC | CREBBP | CRKL | CSF1R | CSF3R |
| CTCF | CTNNA1 | CTNNB1 | CUL3 | CUL4A | CXCR4 | CYP17A1 | DAXX | DDR1 |
| DDR2 | DIS3 | DNMT3A | DOT1L | EED | EGFR | EP300 | EPHA3 | EPHB1 |
| EPHB4 | ERBB2 | ERBB3 | ERBB4 | ERCC4 | ERG | ERRFI1 | ESR1 | EZH2 |
| FAM46C | FANCA | FANCC | FANCG | FANCL | FAS | FBXW7 | FGF10 | FGF12 |
| FGF14 | FGF19 | FGF23 | FGF3 | FGF4 | FGF6 | FGFR1 | FGFR2 | FGFR3 |
| FGFR4 | FH | FLCN | FLT1 | FLT3 | FOXL2 | FUBP1 | GABRA6 | GATA3 |
| GATA4 | GATA6 | GNA11 | GNA13 | GNAQ | GNAS | GRM3 | GSK3B | H3F3A |
| HDAC1 | HGF | HNF1A | HRAS | HSD3B1 | ID3 | IDH1 | IDH2 | IGF1R |
| IKBKE | IKZF1 | INPP4B | IRF2 | IRF4 | IRS2 | JAK1 | JAK2 | JAK3 |
| JUN | KDM5A | KDM5C | KDM6A | KDR | KEAP1 | KEL | KIT | KLHL6 |
| KMT2A (MLL) | KMT2D (MLL2) | KRAS | LTK | LYN | MAF | MAP2K1 (MEK1) | MAP2K2 (MEK2) | MAP2K4 |
| MAP3K1 | MAP3K13 | MAPK1 | MCL1 | MDM2 | MDM4 | MED12 | MEF2B | MEN1 |
| MERTK | MET | MITF | MKNK1 | MLH1 | MPL | MRE11A | MSH2 | MSH3 |
| MSH6 | MST1R | MTAP | MTOR | MUTYH | MYC | MYCL (MYCL1) | MYCN | MYD88 |
| NBN | NF1 | NF2 | NFE2L2 | NFKBIA | NKX2-1 | NOTCH1 | NOTCH2 | NOTCH3 |
| NPM1 | NRAS | NSD3 (WHSC1L1) | NT5C2 | NTRK1 | NTRK2 | NTRK3 | P2RY8 | PALB2 |
| PARK2 | PARP1 | PARP2 | PARP3 | PAX5 | PBRM1 | PDCD1 (PD-1) | PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2) | PDGFRA |
| PDGFRB | PDK1 | PIK3C2B | PIK3C2G | PIK3CA | PIK3CB | PIK3R1 | PIM1 | PMS2 |
| POLD1 | POLE | PPARG | PPP2R1A | PPP2R2A | PRDM1 | PRKAR1A | PRKCI | PTCH1 |
| PTEN | PTPN11 | PTPRO | QKI | RAC1 | RAD21 | RAD51 | RAD51B | RAD51C |
| RAD51D | RAD52 | RAD54L | RAF1 | RARA | RB1 | RBM10 | REL | RET |
| RICTOR | RNF43 | ROS1 | RPTOR | SDHA | SDHB | SDHC | SDHD | SETD2 |
| SF3B1 | SGK1 | SMAD2 | SMAD4 | SMARCA4 | SMARCB1 | SMO | SNCAIP | SOCS1 |
| SOX2 | SOX9 | SPEN | SPOP | SRC | STAG2 | STAT3 | STK11 | SUFU |
| SYK | TBX3 | TEK | TET2 | TGFBR2 | TIPARP | TNFAIP3 | TNFRSF14 | TP53 |
| TSC1 | TSC2 | TYRO3 | U2AF1 | VEGFA | VHL | WHSC1 | WT1 | XPO1 |
| XRCC2 | ZNF217 | ZNF703 | | | | | | |
| DNA GENE LIST: | FOR THE DETEC | TION OF SELECT | REARRANGEME | NTS | | | | |
| ALK | BCL2 | BCR | BRAF | BRCA1 | BRCA2 | CD74 | EGFR | ETV4 |
| CT1 / C | ET1 / / | ELLICO. | E 7 0 | ECED4 | FOFDO | FOFDO | 1/17 | 1/1 470 4 /5 *** |

| ALK | BCL2 | BCR | BRAF | BRCA1 | BRCA2 | CD74 | EGFR | ETV4 |
|------|------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|--------|-------------|
| ETV5 | ETV6 | EWSR1 | EZR | FGFR1 | FGFR2 | FGFR3 | KIT | KMT2A (MLL) |
| MSH2 | MYB | MYC | NOTCH2 | NTRK1 | NTRK2 | NUTM1 | PDGFRA | RAF1 |
| RARA | RET | ROS1 | RSPO2 | SDC4 | SLC34A2 | TERC* | TERT** | TMPRSS2 |

^{*}TERC is an NCRNA

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

^{**}Promoter region of TERT is interrogated

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials

Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK* (NCCN*) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2021. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. In the fractional-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

- Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine

6. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an ERBB2 amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of ERBB2 copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/ disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy.

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

| BASE SUBSTITUTIONS | %CV* | | |
|----------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Repeatability | 5.11 - 10.40 | | |
| Reproducibility | 5.95 - 12.31 | | |
| | | | |
| INDELS | %CV* | | |
| INDELS Repeatability | %CV* | | |

^{*}Interquartile Range = 1st Quartile to 3rd Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert

panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

| ABBREVIATION | DEFINITION |
|--------------|-----------------------------|
| CR | Complete response |
| DCR | Disease control rate |
| DNMT | DNA methyltransferase |
| HR | Hazard ratio |
| ITD | Internal tandem duplication |
| MMR | Mismatch repair |
| muts/Mb | Mutations per megabase |
| NOS | Not otherwise specified |
| ORR | Objective response rate |
| os | Overall survival |
| PD | Progressive disease |
| PFS | Progression-free survival |
| PR | Partial response |
| SD | Stable disease |
| ткі | Tyrosine kinase inhibitor |

MR Suite Version 5.1.1

The median exon coverage for this sample is 906x

APPENDIX

References

- 1. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- 2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- Martinez R, et al. Oncology (2004) pmid: 15331927
- 7. Martinez R, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. (2005) pmid: 15672285
- 8. Martinez R, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (2007) pmid: 17498554
- 9. Szybka M. et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 12908754
- 10. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 11. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- 12. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 13. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 14. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 15. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 16. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- 17. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid:
- 18. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 19. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 20. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- 21. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 22. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 23. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 24. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721
- 25. Sharma P. et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 26. Zhao J, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 30742119 27. Touat M, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32322066
- 28. Bouffet E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27001570
- 29. Johanns TM, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid:
- 30. Lukas RV, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2018) pmid: 30073642
- 31. Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 28420421
- 32. Patel RR, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer (2020) pmid:
- 33. Johnson A. et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28912153
- 34. Draaisma K, et al. Acta Neuropathol Commun (2015) pmid: 26699864
- 35. Wang L, et al. BMC Cancer (2020) pmid: 32164609
- 36. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- 37. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 38. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- 39. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 40. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- 41. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- 42. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 43. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401 44. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- 45. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- 46. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid:
- 47. Dickson MA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23569312
- 48. Flaherty KT, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22090362

- 49. Patnaik A. et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27217383
- 50. Infante JR, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 27542767
- 51. Dickson et al., 2019: ASCO Abstract 11004
- 52. Dickson MA, et al. JAMA Oncol (2016) pmid: 27124835
- 53. Peguero et al., 2016: ASCO Abstract 2528
- **54.** Condorelli R, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29236940
- 55. Fry DW, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2004) pmid: 15542782
- **56.** Dean JL, et al. Oncogene (2010) pmid: 20473330
- 57. Dean JL, et al. Cell Cycle (2012) pmid: 22767154
- 58. Garnett MJ, et al. Nature (2012) pmid: 22460902
- 59. Roberts PJ, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2012) pmid:
- 60. O'Leary B, et al. Cancer Discov (2018) pmid: 30206110
- 61. Costa C, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 31594766
- 62. Chen SH, et al. Oncogene (2018) pmid: 29059158
- 63. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588877
- 64. Gao J, et al. Sci Signal (2013) pmid: 23550210 65. Brennan CW, et al. Cell (2013) pmid: 24120142
- 66. Jonsson P, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31263031
- 67. Ceccarelli M, et al. Cell (2016) pmid: 26824661
- 68. Zheng S, et al. Genes Dev. (2013) pmid: 23796897
- 69. Kim H, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2010) pmid: 20080666
- 70. Ruano Y, et al. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. (2009) pmid: 19141386
- 71. Fischer U. et al. Mol. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18403636
- 72. Bäcklund LM, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2005) pmid: 15970925
- 73. Choi YJ, et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 23644662
- 74. Cell (1995) pmid: 7736585
- 75. Musgrove EA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2011) pmid: 21734724
- 76. Wikman H, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2005) pmid: 15543620
- 77. Rao SK, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2010) pmid: 19609742
- 78. Chung L, et al. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. (2009) pmid: 19574885
- 79. Ragazzini P, et al. Histol. Histopathol. (2004) pmid: 15024701
- 80. Dujardin F, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2011) pmid: 21336260
- 81. Zhang K, et al. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23393200
- 82. Horvai AE, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2009) pmid: 19734852
- 83. Cheok CF, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2011) pmid: 20975744
- 84. Ohnstad HO, et al. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23165797
- 85. Gamble LD, et al. Oncogene (2012) pmid: 21725357
- 86. Zhang et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 3124
- 87. Rasco et al., 2019: ASCO Abstract 3126
- 88. Tolcher et al., 2021; ASCO Abstract 2506
- 89. Martinelli et al., 2016: EHA21 Abstract S504
- 90. Daver et al., 2018; ASH Abstract 767
- 91. Mascarenhas et al., 2019: ASH Abstract 134
- 92. Shustov et al., 2018; ASH Abstract 1623
- 93. Sallman et al., 2018: ASH Abstract 4066
- 94. Meric-Bernstam et al., 2017; ASCO Abstract 2505 95. Fischer U. et al. Int. I. Cancer (2010) pmid: 19839052
- 96. Sdek P, et al. Mol. Cell (2005) pmid: 16337594
- 97. Brady M, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2005) pmid: 15632057
- 98. Li M, et al. Mol. Cell (2004) pmid: 15053880
- 99. Brown CJ, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) pmid: 19935675
- 100. Cordon-Cardo C, et al. Cancer Res. (1994) pmid: 8306343
- 101. Beroukhim R, et al. Nature (2010) pmid: 20164920
- 102. Kato S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2017) pmid: 28351930

- 103. Singavi et al., 2017; ESMO Abstract 1140PD
- 104. Rizvi H, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29337640
- 105. Owonikoko et al., 2016; ESMO Abstract 14230
- 106. Hook KE, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) pmid: 22222631
- 107. Gong X, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 30373917
- 108. Oser MG, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 30373918 109. Beltran H, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid:
- 30232224
- 110. Allaman-Pillet N, et al. Ophthalmic Genet. () pmid: 21955141
- 111. Viatour P, et al. J. Exp. Med. (2011) pmid: 21875955
- 112. Derenzini M, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid:
- 113. Knudsen ES, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2008) pmid: 19143056
- 114. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26061751
- 115. Goldhoff P, et al. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. (2012) pmid: 22157621
- 116. Bäcklund LM, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2003) pmid: 14519639
- 117. Chow LM, et al. Cancer Cell (2011) pmid: 21397855
- 118. Nobusawa S, et al. Brain Pathol. (2010) pmid: 20406234 119. Deighton RF, et al. Brain Pathol. (2010) pmid: 20175778
- 120. Burkhart DL, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2008) pmid: 18650841
- 121. Berge EO, et al. Mol. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20594292
- 122. Giacinti C. et al. Oncogene (2006) pmid: 16936740
- 123. Otterson GA, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1997) pmid: 9342358
- 124. Otterson GA, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (1999) pmid: 10486322
- 125. Oin XO, et al. Genes Dev. (1992) pmid: 1534305
- 126. Rubin SM, et al. Cell (2005) pmid: 16360038
- 127. Sun H. et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2006) pmid: 16449662
- 128. Chen Z, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2014) pmid: 24282159
- 129. Yun J, et al. Int J Ophthalmol (2011) pmid: 22553621 130. Houston SK, et al. Int Ophthalmol Clin (2011) pmid:
- 21139478 131. Ng AK, et al. Semin Radiat Oncol (2010) pmid: 19959033
- 132. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2017) pmid: 27245281
- 133. Duperret EK, et al. Mol Ther (2018) pmid: 29249395
- 134. Chiappori AA, et al. Ann Oncol (2015) pmid: 25467017 135. Killela PJ, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2013) pmid:
- 23530248
- 136. Killela PJ, et al. Oncotarget (2014) pmid: 24722048 137. Nonoguchi N, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 23955565
- 138. Liu X, et al. Cell Cycle (2013) pmid: 23603989

140. Yan et al. 2020; DOI:10.1200/PO.19.00385

- 139. Koelsche C, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 24154961
- 141. Reitman ZJ, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 24217890
- 142. Arita H, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 23764841 143. Shay JW, et al. Semin. Cancer Biol. (2011) pmid:
- 22015685
- 144. Shay JW, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (1997) pmid: 9282118 145. Kim NW, et al. Science (1994) pmid: 7605428
- 146. Hanahan D, et al. Cell (2000) pmid: 10647931
- 147. Horn S, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348503 148. Huang FW, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348506 149. Vinagre J, et al. Nat Commun (2013) pmid: 23887589