

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

| | | | | | |
|----------------|---|------------------|--|-----------------|---|
| PATIENT | DISEASE Brain glioblastoma (GBM) | PHYSICIAN | ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen | SPECIMEN | SPECIMEN SITE Brain |
| | NAME Kao Liu, Chin Yun | | MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital | | SPECIMEN ID S112-21816 A (PF23070) |
| | DATE OF BIRTH 15 January 1961 | | ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None | | SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck |
| | SEX Female | | MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872 | | DATE OF COLLECTION 15 May 2023 |
| | MEDICAL RECORD # 49496051 | | PATHOLOGIST Not Provided | | SPECIMEN RECEIVED 30 May 2023 |

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 2 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

EGFR G598V - subclonal, amplification, EGFRvIII[†]
MTAP loss

PTEN splice site 634+1G>A
CDKN2A/B CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss
TERT promoter -146C>T

2 Disease relevant genes with no reportable alterations: **IDH1**, **PDGFRA**

[†] See About the Test in appendix for details.

Report Highlights

- Variants with **diagnostic implications** that may indicate a specific cancer type: **EGFR** amplification (p. 4), **TERT** promoter -146C>T (p. 8)
- Targeted therapies with potential clinical benefit **approved in another tumor type**: Cetuximab (p. 9), Erlotinib (p. 9), Gefitinib (p. 10), Osimertinib (p. 11), Panitumumab (p. 12)
- Evidence-matched **clinical trial options** based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 13)
- Variants with **prognostic implications** for this tumor type that may impact treatment decisions: **TERT** promoter -146C>T (p. 8)

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable

Tumor Mutational Burden - 2 Muts/Mb

GENOMIC FINDINGS

EGFR - G598V - subclonal, amplification, EGFRvIII

7 Trials see p. 13

MTAP - loss

1 Trial see p. 15

PTEN - splice site 634+1G>A

10 Trials see p. 16

THERAPY AND CLINICAL TRIAL IMPLICATIONS

No therapies or clinical trials. See Biomarker Findings section

No therapies or clinical trials. See Biomarker Findings section

| THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE) | THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE) |
|--|--|
| none | Cetuximab |
| | Erlotinib |
| | Gefitinib |
| | Osimertinib |
| | Panitumumab |
| none | none |
| none | none |

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
 Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

CDKN2A/B - CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss p. [7](#) **TERT - promoter -146C>T** p. [8](#)

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT

MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and

experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, $p=0.001$)⁵.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Low-level MSI has been reported in 5-9% of glioblastoma (GBM) samples⁶⁻⁸. A large-scale study did not find high-level microsatellite instability (MSI-H) in any of 129 GBM samples⁶, although a small-scale study reported MSI-H in 4 of 15 pediatric GBMs and 1 of 12 adult GBMs⁹. The frequency of MSI has been reported to be increased in relapsed compared to primary GBM⁶, in GBMs with a previous lower grade astrocytoma⁷, and in giant cell GBM compared to classic GBM⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹⁰. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2¹⁰⁻¹². This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers¹³⁻¹⁵. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{10,12,14-15}.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT

2 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1¹⁶⁻¹⁸, anti-PD-1 therapies¹⁶⁻¹⁹, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²⁰⁻²⁵. In glioma, a lack of association between TMB and clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors has been reported^{16,26-27}. However, multiple case studies have reported that patients with ultramutated gliomas driven by POLE mutations

have benefited from treatment with anti-PD-1²⁸⁻²⁹ or anti-PD-L1³⁰ therapies. Therefore, although increased TMB alone may not be a strong biomarker for PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors in this cancer type, these agents may have efficacy for patients with glioma harboring both high TMB and POLE mutation.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Glioblastoma (GBM) harbors a median TMB of 2.7 mutations per megabase (mut/Mb), and 4.2% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb)³¹. For pediatric patients, high TMB has been reported in a subset of high-grade gliomas, frequently in association with mutations in mismatch repair or proofreading genes and in TP53, whereas BRAF alterations or other oncogene fusions were observed more frequently in brain tumors harboring low TMB³²⁻³³. Increased TMB has been reported to correlate with higher tumor grade in glioma³⁴ and glioblastoma (GBM) tissue samples with biallelic mismatch repair deficiency

(bMMRD)²⁸, as well as with shorter OS of patients with diffuse glioma³⁵.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma³⁶⁻³⁷ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer³⁸⁻³⁹, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁴⁰⁻⁴¹, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁴²⁻⁴⁶, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{42,45-46}. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents^{16,26-30}.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

EGFR

ALTERATION

G598V - subclonal, amplification, EGFRvIII

HGVS VARIANT

NM_005228.3:c.1793G>T (p.G598V)

VARIANT CHROMOSOMAL POSITION

chr7:55233043

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

1.6%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

In multiple glioblastoma (GBM) studies, the presence of EGFRvIII has not predicted clinical benefit from first-generation EGFR TKIs such as erlotinib⁴⁷⁻⁵² or gefitinib^{50,53}. However, case reports have described patients with EGFRvIII-positive GBM responding to erlotinib⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷. In a retrospective study of patients with GBM treated with erlotinib or gefitinib, co-expression of EGFRvIII with PTEN protein was the strongest predictor of response ($P < 0.001$)⁵⁸, suggesting that activity in this setting is dependent on PTEN status⁵⁹⁻⁶⁰. However, a prospective Phase 2 trial testing erlotinib monotherapy for patients with EGFRvIII and PTEN-negative recurrent glioblastoma reported minimal efficacy and was terminated⁵². The second-generation EGFR TKIs afatinib and dacomitinib have shown minimal efficacy for patients with EGFRvIII glioblastoma (GBM)⁶¹⁻⁶⁴. A Phase 1/2 study of afatinib, temozolomide, or the combination for patients with GBM reported clinical benefit, including for patients with EGFRvIII; however, temozolomide alone and in combination exhibited better responses than afatinib monotherapy⁶¹⁻⁶². A Phase 2 trial of dacomitinib for patients with EGFR-amplified GBM reported a DCR of 26% (5/19) among patients with EGFR amplification and EGFRvIII; however, the trial failed to meet its primary endpoint of 6-month PFS⁶³. A retrospective biomarker analysis of another Phase 2 study of dacomitinib for patients with GBM found no association between EGFRvIII and clinical benefit⁶⁴. Patients with glioma and co-occurring EGFR amplification and EGFRvIII have reported responses to osimertinib⁶⁵. However, a patient with multiple glioblastoma (GBM) tumors, one of which harbored EGFRvIII, experienced progression of the EGFRvIII-positive tumor during treatment with osimertinib⁶⁶. On the

basis of preclinical data, osimertinib inhibits EGFRvIII-driven tumor growth in vitro⁶⁷⁻⁶⁸. A single-center Phase 2 pilot study for EGFR-amplified solid tumors ($n=13$) reported 1 PR and 2 SDs, including 1 with a 9-month duration, for the 8 evaluable patients with glioblastoma⁶⁹. Novel approaches that specifically target EGFRvIII in glioblastoma (GBM), such as the vaccine rindopepimut, are under investigation in both clinical and preclinical studies. A Phase 2 trial reported significant improvement in OS for patients with EGFRvIII-positive GBM with rindopepimut in combination with bevacizumab compared to bevacizumab alone ($HR=0.53$, $p=0.01$)⁷⁰. However, a Phase 3 study of rindopepimut combined with temozolomide compared to temozolomide alone in newly diagnosed EGFRvIII-positive GBM patients was terminated after the interim analysis, due to a lack of clinical benefit as measured by OS (20 vs. 20 months)⁷¹. For patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), EGFR activating mutations may predict sensitivity to EGFR-TKIs, including erlotinib⁷², gefitinib⁷³⁻⁷⁶, afatinib⁷⁷⁻⁸⁰, dacomitinib⁸¹, and osimertinib^{78,82}; however, the data for patients with other tumor types are limited^{64,83-87}. Patients with EGFR-mutated bithalamic glioma have reported responses to osimertinib^{66,88}. In a case series of 11 patients with bithalamic gliomas with EGFR mutations, EGFR inhibitors, including osimertinib, showed improved survival; however, it showed a lack of significant clinical responses⁸⁶. On the basis of preclinical data, EGFR mutations confer sensitivity to EGFR inhibitors, including osimertinib⁸⁶. Clinical studies of the second-generation EGFR TKIs afatinib and dacomitinib for patients with EGFR-amplified gliomas have shown limited efficacy^{61,63-64,89-90}; however, a small subset of patients has experienced clinical benefit^{63-64,89}. Multiple studies have failed to find a positive association between increased EGFR expression and clinical benefit from erlotinib or gefitinib for patients with glioblastoma^{58,91-93}. There are conflicting data on the efficacy of anti-EGFR antibodies for the treatment of EGFR-amplified tumors. A meta-analysis of colorectal cancer patients treated with second-line or higher cetuximab or panitumumab observed an association between EGFR copy number gain and increased OS and PFS⁹⁴. However, studies of patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma or gastric cancer found either no association or a negative association between EGFR copy number gain and survival after treatment with first-line cetuximab or

panitumumab in combination with chemotherapy⁹⁵⁻⁹⁶. The Phase 3 INTELLANCE trial of depatuxizumab mafodotin (ABT-414), an EGFR-targeted antibody-drug conjugate with a toxic payload, in patients with EGFR-amplified glioblastoma (GBM) was stopped for futility. Interim analysis demonstrated improved median PFS (mPFS) of ABT-414 monotherapy compared with placebo ($HR=0.84$); however, no OS benefit was observed ($HR=1.01$). Improved mPFS was also observed in patients harboring EGFRvIII ($HR=0.73$) but without an OS improvement ($HR=0.95$)⁹⁷. The Phase 2 INTELLANCE trial demonstrated clinical benefit for EGFR-amplified GBM for the combination of ABT-414, temozolomide, and radiotherapy ($HR=0.66$, $p=0.017$), but there was no evidence of efficacy for ABT-414 monotherapy ($HR=1.04$, $p=0.83$)⁹⁸.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Across several genomic studies of CNS tumors, EGFR amplification has been reported in 16.9% of anaplastic astrocytomas, and 39.7% of glioblastoma multiformes (GBMs)⁹⁹⁻¹⁰². EGFR alterations have been reported in 13.2% of anaplastic astrocytomas, 5.3-15.9% of glioblastoma multiformes (GBMs), and 0% of pilocytic astrocytomas in several genomic studies of CNS tumors⁹⁹⁻¹⁰². In GBMs, Missense mutations in the EGFR extracellular domain have been found in 10-15% of cases and approximately half have a low-level amplification of the mutated allele¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁴. In a study of IDH-wildtype GBM samples, EGFR alterations were detected in 50% (117/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed, including 41% (95/232) with a co-occurring EGFR amplification and mutation, 26% (61/232) with an EGFR domain truncation event, such as EGFRvIII, and 2.2% (5/232) with an EGFR fusion event¹⁰⁵. The EGFRvIII mutation has been variously reported in 6-46% of GBM samples^{58,106-113}. No definitive correlation has been identified between EGFR amplification and length of survival in patients with GBM¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁵; however, EGFR amplification has been associated with prolonged survival in patients over the age of 60 with GBM¹¹⁶. The link between EGFRvIII status and prognosis is unclear, although some studies suggest that it may be linked to improved survival and response to chemotherapy¹¹⁷.

FINDING SUMMARY

EGFR encodes the epidermal growth factor receptor, which belongs to a class of proteins called receptor tyrosine kinases. In response to signals

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

from the environment, EGFR passes biochemical messages to the cell that stimulate it to grow and divide¹¹⁸. Amplification of EGFR has been associated with increased expression of EGFR mRNA and protein in several cancer types¹¹⁹⁻¹²¹. A mutation of the EGFR gene, referred to as EGFRvIII, results from a gene rearrangement that deletes exons 2-7. This alteration causes an in-frame deletion of 801 base pairs encoding part of the

extracellular ligand-binding domain¹⁰⁶. This deletion has shown to result in ligand-independent (constitutive) phosphorylation and activation of EGFR, as well as consequent tumorigenesis^{106,122}. EGFR mutations that have been characterized in biochemical assays to be activating, as observed here, are predicted to confer sensitivity to EGFR-targeted therapies^{103,123-139}.

POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS
The presence of EGFR gene amplification or TERT promoter mutations are indicative of diffuse astrocytic glioma with molecular features of glioblastoma, WHO grade 4 in IDH1/2-wildtype tumors (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2022)¹⁴⁰.

GENE
MTAP

ALTERATION
loss

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

MTAP inactivation produces specific metabolic vulnerabilities that may be sensitive to MAT2A¹⁴¹⁻¹⁴² or PRMT5 inhibition¹⁴²⁻¹⁴⁴. A Phase 1 trial of MAT2A inhibitor AG-270 reported 1 PR and 2 SDs lasting longer than 6 months for patients with advanced solid tumors displaying MTAP loss¹⁴⁵. Preclinical data suggest that MTAP loss sensitizes cells to S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM)-competitive PRMT5 inhibitors¹⁴⁶, dual PRMT1 and PRMT5 inhibitors¹⁴⁷⁻¹⁴⁹, and PRMT5 inhibitors that selectively bind the PRMT5 when complexed with S-methyl-5'-thioadenosine (MTA), such as MRTX1719, TNG908, and AMG193¹⁵⁰. In preclinical models, MTAP inactivation showed

increased sensitivity to inhibitors of purine synthesis or purine analogs, especially upon addition of exogenous MTA¹⁵¹⁻¹⁶¹. A Phase 2 study of L-alanosine, an inhibitor of adenine synthesis, as a monotherapy for 65 patients with MTAP-deficient cancers reported no responses and SD for 24% (13/55) of patients¹⁶². Preclinical and limited clinical evidence suggest MTAP deficiency may confer sensitivity to pemetrexed¹⁶³.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MTAP loss/homozygous deletion as well as loss of expression has been reported in a wide variety of solid tumors and hematologic cancers¹⁶⁴⁻¹⁶⁵; such events have been correlated with poor prognosis in a variety of cancer types, including hepatocellular carcinoma¹⁶⁶, gastrointestinal stromal tumors¹⁶⁷, mantle cell lymphoma (MCL)¹⁶⁸, melanoma¹⁶⁹⁻¹⁷⁰, gastric cancer¹⁷¹, myxofibrosarcoma¹⁷², nasopharyngeal carcinoma¹⁷³, ovarian carcinoma¹⁶⁴ and non-small cell lung cancer¹⁷⁴. MTAP loss was not prognostic in pediatric B-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia¹⁷⁵ or in astrocytoma¹⁷⁶. However, MTAP has also been reported to be

overexpressed in colorectal cancer (CRC) samples¹⁷⁷, and MTAP retention is thought to be important for prostate cancer growth due to continuous supply of SAM¹⁷⁸. Germline SNPs in MTAP have been correlated with the development of cutaneous melanoma¹⁷⁹⁻¹⁸⁰, esophageal cancer¹⁸¹⁻¹⁸², osteosarcoma¹⁸³, and CRC¹⁸⁴.

FINDING SUMMARY

MTAP encodes S-methyl-5'-thioadenosine (MTA) phosphorylase, a tumor suppressor involved in polyamine metabolism and methionine synthesis, although its enzymatic function is dispensable for its tumor suppressor activity¹⁸⁵⁻¹⁸⁶. Decreased expression of MTAP leads to MTA accumulation within tumor cells and their microenvironment^{166,187-188}, thereby reducing intracellular arginine methylation¹⁴²⁻¹⁴⁴ and altering cell signaling¹⁸⁸⁻¹⁸⁹. MTAP is located at 9p21, adjacent to CDKN2A and CDKN2B, with which it is frequently co-deleted in various cancers. Other alterations in MTAP are rare and have not been extensively characterized.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

PTEN

ALTERATION

splice site 634+1G>A

HGVS VARIANT

NM_000314.4:c.634+1G>A (p.?)

VARIANT CHROMOSOMAL POSITION

chr10:89712017

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

59.6%

lack of association between PTEN mutation and PARP inhibitor sensitivity²⁰⁵⁻²⁰⁶.

seen here may disrupt PTEN function or expression^{212,217-257}.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Studies in the literature have indicated that PTEN alterations (mutation or homozygous deletion) occur most frequently in glioblastoma (GBM), less frequently in anaplastic astrocytoma, and rarely in lower grade glioma subtypes including low grade astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, oligoastrocytoma, and ependymoma^{116,207-213}. One study detected PTEN mutation in 42% (97/232) and loss in 10% (24/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed¹⁰⁵. In the TCGA dataset, PTEN mutation was observed in 23% of GBM cases and PTEN deletion was reported in 7% of cases¹⁰⁰, while in the Lower Grade Glioma TCGA dataset, PTEN mutation was observed in 4% of cases and homozygous deletion observed in 1.2% of cases²¹⁴. Decreased PTEN expression is associated with the higher grade GBM tumors²¹⁵. Loss of PTEN correlated with significantly worse prognosis in all grades of gliomas^{210,216}.

FINDING SUMMARY

PTEN encodes an inositol phosphatase that functions as a tumor suppressor by negatively regulating the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway; loss of PTEN can lead to uncontrolled cell growth and suppression of apoptosis¹⁹¹. Alterations such as

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

One or more of the PTEN variants observed here has been described in the ClinVar database as a likely pathogenic or pathogenic germline mutation (by an expert panel or multiple submitters) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing syndrome (ClinVar, Apr 2023)²⁵⁸. Follow-up germline testing would be needed to distinguish whether the finding in this patient is somatic or germline. PTEN mutations underlie several inherited disorders, collectively termed PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome (PHTS), which include Cowden syndrome (CS) and its variant Lhermitte-Duclos disease (LD), Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome (BRRS), PTEN-related Proteus syndrome (PS), and Proteus-like syndrome²⁵⁹⁻²⁶⁰. The mutation rate for PTEN in these disorders ranges from 20 to 85% of patients^{259,261}. The estimated incidence of Cowden syndrome is 1/200,000, which may be an underestimate due to the high variability of this disorder²⁵⁹. Given the association between PTEN and these inherited syndromes, in the appropriate clinical context, germline testing for mutations affecting PTEN is recommended.

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

PTEN loss or mutation leads to activation of the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway and may predict sensitivity to inhibitors of this pathway¹⁹⁰⁻¹⁹³. Clinical studies in glioblastoma have not observed an association between PTEN deficiency and response to everolimus or temsirolimus¹⁹⁴⁻¹⁹⁶. Preclinical data indicate that PTEN loss or inactivation may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors¹⁹⁷⁻²⁰¹, and clinical benefit has been observed for patients with PTEN-altered breast cancer including triple negative breast cancer²⁰², ovarian cancer²⁰³, uterine leiomyosarcoma²⁰⁴, and endometrial cancer²⁰¹ treated with PARP inhibitors. However, some studies have reported a

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

CDKN2A/B

ALTERATION

CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

Preclinical data suggest that tumors with loss of p16INK4a function may be sensitive to CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as abemaciclib, ribociclib, and palbociclib²⁶²⁻²⁶⁵. Clinical data in mesothelioma, breast cancer, and uterine leiomyosarcoma indicate that CDKN2A loss may predict sensitivity to abemaciclib²⁶⁶ and palbociclib treatment²⁶⁷⁻²⁶⁸. However, multiple other clinical studies have shown no significant correlation between p16INK4a loss or inactivation and therapeutic benefit of these agents²⁶⁹⁻²⁷⁵; it is not known whether CDK4/6 inhibitors would be beneficial in this case. The p15INK4b protein encoded by CDKN2B is known to inhibit CDK4, and although concomitant loss of CDKN2A and CDKN2B may predict sensitivity to CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as ribociclib, abemaciclib, and palbociclib^{272-273,276-277}, direct supporting data for CDKN2B alteration as a predictive biomarker for these therapies are limited²⁷⁸⁻²⁷⁹. Although preclinical studies have suggested that loss of p14ARF function may be associated with reduced sensitivity to MDM2 inhibitors²⁸⁰⁻²⁸¹, the clinical relevance of p14ARF as a predictive biomarker is not clear.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Concurrent putative homozygous deletion of CDKN2A and CDKN2B has been reported in 35% of patients with gliomas¹⁰¹ and detected more frequently in patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM; 58%)¹⁰⁰ than in those with lower grade gliomas (6%)⁹⁹. In other studies, loss of CDKN2A/B by deletion has been reported in up to 78% of astrocytomas (including anaplastic astrocytomas and GBM)^{112,282-283}. A study found homozygous deletion of both p16INK4a and p14ARF in 26% (13/50) of glioblastomas (GBMs); 18% (9/50) of cases showed homozygous deletion of the p14ARF-encoding locus alone²⁸⁴. One study detected CDKN2A/B loss in 69% (161/232) and mutation in 2.6% (6/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed¹⁰⁵. Decreased p14ARF and p16INK4a expression levels were found to be tightly associated in a study of glioma samples²⁸⁵. Homozygous deletion of the genomic region including CDKN2A and CDKN2B has been found to be associated with poor prognosis in glioblastoma (GBM) and likely serves as an early event in GBM progression^{282,286}. In addition, expression of p16INK4a has been found to be lower in patients with high grade malignant gliomas compared with patients with low grade gliomas, and loss of p16INK4a expression has been associated with shorter OS in pilocytic astrocytomas²⁸⁷⁻²⁸⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

CDKN2A encodes two different, unrelated tumor suppressor proteins, p16INK4a and p14ARF, whereas CDKN2B encodes the tumor suppressor

p15INK4b²⁸⁹⁻²⁹⁰. Both p15INK4b and p16INK4a bind to and inhibit CDK4 and CDK6, thereby maintaining the growth-suppressive activity of the Rb tumor suppressor; loss or inactivation of either p15INK4b or p16INK4a contributes to dysregulation of the CDK4/6-cyclin-Rb pathway and loss of cell cycle control²⁹¹⁻²⁹². The tumor suppressive functions of p14ARF involve stabilization and activation of p53, via a mechanism of MDM2 inhibition²⁹³⁻²⁹⁴. One or more alterations observed here are predicted to result in p16INK4a loss of function²⁹⁵⁻³¹⁶. One or more alterations seen here are predicted to result in p14ARF loss of function^{299,316-319}. CDKN2B alterations such as seen here are predicted to inactivate p15INK4b³²⁰.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline CDKN2A mutation is associated with melanoma-pancreatic cancer syndrome, a condition marked by increased risk of developing malignant melanoma and/or pancreatic cancer³²¹. Mutation carriers within families may develop either or both types of cancer, and melanoma cases may be referred to as familial or hereditary melanoma³²²⁻³²³. CDKN2A is the most implicated gene in familial melanoma, with germline mutations present in 16% to 20% of familial melanoma cases³²⁴⁻³²⁶. CDKN2A alteration has also been implicated in familial melanoma-astrocytoma syndrome, an extremely rare tumor association characterized by dual predisposition to melanoma and nervous system tumors³²⁷⁻³²⁹. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of CDKN2A is recommended.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

TERT

ALTERATION

promoter -146C>T

HGVS VARIANT

NM_198253.2:c.-146C>T

VARIANT CHROMOSOMAL POSITION

chr5:1295250

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

38.4%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

— Targeted Therapies —

Therapeutic options for targeting tumors with TERT mutations are limited, although a variety of approaches have been investigated, including immunotherapies using TERT as a tumor-associated antigen and antisense oligonucleotide- or peptide-based therapies. TERT peptide vaccines showed limited anticancer efficacy in clinical trials³³⁰; however, in one preclinical study, the combination of a TERT peptide vaccine and anti-CTLA-4 therapy suppressed tumor growth³³¹. A Phase 2 study of the TERT inhibitor imetelstat for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer

reported no improvement in PFS or OS³³².

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

TERT promoter mutations have been reported in 51-59% of gliomas³³³⁻³³⁴, most frequently in glioblastoma (GBM, 54-84%), gliosarcoma (81%), oligodendroglioma (78%), and historically in oligoastrocytomas (25-31%) but less frequently in lower grade astrocytomas (10-18%) and in only 1% of ependymomas³³³⁻³³⁷. In patients with glioblastoma (GBM), the prevalence of TERT promoter mutation is lower in pediatric primary GBM (11%) and adult secondary GBM (28%) compared with adult primary GBM (58-83%)^{333,335}. One study detected TERT promoter mutations in 78% (181/232) of IDH-wildtype GBM samples analyzed¹⁰⁵. TERT promoter mutation has been shown to be significantly associated with increased TERT gene expression in astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, and GBM³³⁸. TERT promoter mutations significantly associate with poor prognosis in patients with GBM, although this correlation may be due to the association with primary GBM as opposed to IDH-positive secondary GBM^{333,335,338-339}. In the context of IDH-wildtype glioma, TERT mutations are associated with reduced OS (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2022).

FINDING SUMMARY

Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT, or hTERT) is a catalytic subunit of the telomerase complex, which is required to maintain appropriate chromosomal length³⁴⁰. Activation of TERT is a hallmark of cancer, being detected in up to 80-90% of malignancies and absent in quiescent cells³⁴¹⁻³⁴³. Mutations within the promoter region of TERT that confer enhanced TERT promoter activity have been reported in two hotspots, located at -124 bp and -146 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site (also termed C228T and C250T, respectively)³⁴⁴⁻³⁴⁶, as well as tandem mutations at positions -124/-125 bp and -138/-139 bp³⁴⁴.

POTENTIAL DIAGNOSTIC IMPLICATIONS

TERT mutations are associated with 1p/19q co-deletion in oligodendrogliomas, and are highly recurrent in IDH/ATRX-wildtype glioblastoma (GBM) (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2022)³⁴⁷. The presence of EGFR gene amplification or TERT promoter mutations are indicative of diffuse astrocytic glioma with molecular features of glioblastoma, WHO grade 4 in IDH1/2-wildtype tumors (NCCN CNS Cancers Guidelines, v2.2022)¹⁴⁰.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 • CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT
IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Cetuximab

Assay findings association

EGFR

G598V - subclonal, amplification, EGFRvIII

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Cetuximab is a monoclonal antibody that targets EGFR. It is FDA approved for the treatment of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) and KRAS-wild-type, EGFR-expressing metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

For patients with metastatic CRC receiving cetuximab or panitumumab as mono- or combination therapy, increased EGFR copy number associated with improved OS (HR=0.62) in a meta-analysis, although increased survival was not seen in populations that received first-line treatment with EGFR antibodies⁹⁴.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 2 trial of cetuximab with the anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody bevacizumab for patients with glioblastoma (GBM) did not show improved efficacy compared with bevacizumab alone³⁴⁸. However, another Phase 2 study demonstrated that for patients with GBM harboring EGFR amplification but lacking expression of the EGFRvIII variant, treatment with cetuximab resulted in significantly longer PFS and numerical (although not statistically significant) improvement in OS¹¹³. In addition, a case report for an EGFR-amplified patient with GBM treated with cetuximab using intraarterial cerebral infusion (SIACI) in combination with chemotherapy reported a stable response without recurrence at 6 months³⁴⁹.

Erlotinib

Assay findings association

EGFR

G598V - subclonal, amplification, EGFRvIII

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Erlotinib is a small-molecule inhibitor of EGFR. It is FDA approved as a monotherapy or in combination with ramucirumab for patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring EGFR exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) mutations. Erlotinib is also FDA approved in combination with gemcitabine as a first-line treatment for advanced pancreatic cancer. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Amplification or activation of EGFR may predict sensitivity to therapies such as erlotinib. For patients with activating mutations in EGFR, treatment with erlotinib has been associated with improved response and lengthened time to progression^{72,350-352}. For patients with esophageal or biliary cancer treated with erlotinib or gefitinib, elevated EGFR copy number or amplification is associated with clinical responses and longer survival³⁵³⁻³⁵⁷. Responses to erlotinib have been reported for patients with EGFR rearrangements³⁵⁸⁻³⁶².

SUPPORTING DATA

In the MyPathway Phase 2a basket study for advanced

solid tumors, 1 of 9 patients with EGFR activating mutations responded to erlotinib monotherapy; the responding patient had urethral adenocarcinoma³⁶³. A patient with EGFR-mutated metastatic lacrimal gland adenoid cystic carcinoma experienced clinical benefit from erlotinib treatment that was ongoing at 14 months³⁶⁴. A clinical study of patients with glioblastoma (GBM) treated with gefitinib or erlotinib found that 9/49 (18%) had tumor shrinkage of 25% or more; in this study, the extracellular domain EGFRvIII mutation was correlated with response⁵⁸. In a Phase 2 study of 65 patients with GBM or gliosarcoma, treatment with erlotinib, temozolomide, and radiotherapy resulted in longer PFS relative to a historical control study utilizing a regimen of temozolomide and radiotherapy alone (19.3 months vs. 14.1 months)³⁶⁵. However, in a Phase 1/2 trial of erlotinib monotherapy in 11 patients with relapsed or refractory GBM or anaplastic astrocytoma, all patients showed disease progression and the drug showed significant toxicity³⁶⁶. In addition, a Phase 2 trial of patients with recurrent or progressive GBM treated with erlotinib and sorafenib did not meet its objective of a 30% increase in OS compared with historical controls; sorafenib was found to increase erlotinib clearance³⁶⁷.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Gefitinib

Assay findings association

EGFR

G598V - subclonal, amplification, EGFRvIII

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Gefitinib targets the tyrosine kinase EGFR and is FDA approved to treat non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) substitution mutations in EGFR. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Activation of EGFR may predict sensitivity to therapies such as gefitinib. Clinical studies have consistently shown significant improvement in response rates and PFS for patients with EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with gefitinib compared with chemotherapy^{352,368-373}, and responses have been reported for patients with EGFR-rearranged NSCLC³⁶⁰⁻³⁶¹. For patients with esophageal or biliary cancer treated with erlotinib or gefitinib, elevated EGFR copy number or amplification is associated with clinical responses and longer survival³⁵³⁻³⁵⁷. Patients with refractory advanced esophageal carcinoma and EGFR amplification derived significant OS benefit from gefitinib compared with placebo (HR=0.21)^{353,374}.

SUPPORTING DATA

In a clinical study for patients with glioblastoma (GBM) treated with gefitinib or erlotinib, 24% (4/17) of patients treated with gefitinib experienced tumor shrinkage of 44-87%; furthermore, the extracellular domain EGFRvIII mutation was correlated with response⁵⁸. Phase 2 studies of gefitinib for patients with high-grade glioma (HGG; including GBM, anaplastic astrocytoma, and oligodendroglioma)⁹¹ or solely GBM³⁷⁵ reported disease stabilization for 18% (5/28) and 42% (22/52) of patients, respectively; however, these and other Phase 1/2 studies reported that efficacy did not correlate with EGFR expression^{53,91,195,375}. Similarly, a Phase 1/2 study of gefitinib plus radiotherapy for 178 patients with GBM reported no OS benefit of added gefitinib, and EGFR expression was found to be of no prognostic value⁹². However, Phase 1 and Phase 2 trials for HGG have reported clinical benefit from combining gefitinib with other modalities, including the mTOR inhibitors sirolimus (n=34; 2 PRs [5.9%], 13 SDs [38%])³⁷⁶ and everolimus (n=22; 3 PRs [14%], 8 SDs [36%])¹⁹⁵ and the VEGF inhibitor cediranib (n=19; 8 PRs [42%])³⁷⁷.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Osimertinib

Assay findings association

EGFR

G598V - subclonal, amplification, EGFRvIII

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Osimertinib is an irreversible EGFR TKI that is selective for EGFR TKI-sensitizing mutations and the EGFR T790M mutation. It is FDA approved in various treatment settings for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) whose tumors have EGFR exon 19 deletions, exon 21 L858R mutations, or T790M mutations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

EGFR TKI-sensitizing mutations or rearrangements and/or the EGFR T790M mutation may predict sensitivity to osimertinib in non-small cell lung cancer^{82,360,378-380}.

EGFR mutations may confer sensitivity to osimertinib on the basis of clinical responses to the third-generation TKI osimertinib for patients with EGFR-mutated glioma^{66,88} and additional clinical studies suggesting clinical benefit for these patients^{86,381}. On the basis of clinical responses to the third-generation TKI osimertinib for patients with EGFR-rearranged glioma, EGFRvIII and activating rearrangements may confer sensitivity to osimertinib^{65,381}. However, a case study of a patient with multiple glioblastoma tumors reported that the tumor harboring EGFRvIII and EGFR amplification did not respond to osimertinib⁶⁶.

SUPPORTING DATA

Clinical benefit from osimertinib has been observed for cases of pediatric and adult patients with EGFR-altered glioma^{65-66,86,88,381}. Osimertinib has been studied primarily for the treatment of EGFR-mutated NSCLC. A Phase 2 study of osimertinib for EGFR-TKI-naïve patients with metastatic or recurrent NSCLC and uncommon EGFR mutations reported a 50% (18/36) ORR and an 89% (32/36) DCR with a median PFS of 8.2 months and a median duration of response of 11.2 months; patients harboring L861Q, G719X, or S768I mutations had ORRs of 78% (7/9), 53% (10/19), and 38% (3/8), respectively³⁸². The Phase 3 FLAURA study reported that, relative to

erlotinib or gefitinib, first-line osimertinib significantly increased both median PFS (mPFS; 18.9 vs. 10.2 months, HR=0.46) and median OS (38.6 vs. 31.8 months; HR=0.80) for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and activating, sensitizing EGFR mutations (specifically, exon 19 deletion or L858R)^{378,383}. In the Phase 3 ADAURA study, patients with early-stage (IB/II/IIIA) EGFR-mutated NSCLC experienced longer disease-free survival on osimertinib compared with placebo in the adjuvant setting (65.8 vs. 28.1 months, HR=0.27)³⁸⁴. A Phase 1 study reported that T790M-negative patients with acquired EGFR TKI resistance experienced an ORR of 21% and a median PFS of 2.8 months⁸². A Phase 1b/2 study evaluating osimertinib in combination with the CD73 inhibitor oleclumab for patients with advanced EGFR-mutated, T790M-negative NSCLC reported an ORR of 19% (4/21), a DCR of 81%, and mPFS of 11 months (Kim et al., 2021 AACR Abstract CT163). A Phase 2 trial of osimertinib in combination with bevacizumab versus osimertinib monotherapy for patients with untreated advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring EGFR del19 or L858R reported no difference in ORR (82% vs 86%) and median PFS (22.1 vs 20.2 months, HR 0.862 p=0.213)³⁸⁵. The Phase 2 BOOSTER study of osimertinib in combination with bevacizumab versus osimertinib monotherapy for patients with advanced NSCLC with EGFR-sensitizing mutations (exon 19 del or L858R) and L790M at progression on prior EGFR TKI reported no difference in ORR (55% vs 55%), median OS (24.0 vs 24.3 months, HR 1.03 p=0.91), or median PFS (15.4 vs 12.3 months, HR 0.96 p=0.83), although improved PFS was observed for the combination in the subgroup of current or former smokers (16.5 vs 8.4, HR 0.52) while nonsmokers had no benefit (HR 1.47)³⁸⁶. The Phase 1b TATTON study of osimertinib in combination with selumetinib, savolitinib, or durvalumab for patients with previously treated EGFR-mutated NSCLC reported ORRs of 42% (15/36), 44% (8/18), and 44% (10/23), respectively³⁸⁷.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT
IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Panitumumab

Assay findings association

EGFR

G598V - subclonal, amplification, EGFRvIII

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Panitumumab is a monoclonal antibody that targets EGFR. It is FDA approved to treat KRAS wild-type and NRAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) combined with chemotherapy or as monotherapy for patients who have progressed on prior chemotherapy. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

For patients with metastatic CRC receiving cetuximab or panitumumab as mono- or combination therapy, increased EGFR copy number associated with improved OS (HR=0.62) in a meta-analysis, although increased survival was not seen in populations that received first-line treatment with EGFR antibodies⁹⁴.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 1 trial of EnGeneIC delivery vehicle (EDV) targeting EGFR with panitumumab in combination with

doxorubicin for 14 patients with glioblastoma (GBM) reported no responses and 28% (4/14) SDs³⁸⁸.

Panitumumab has shown efficacy as monotherapy or in combination with chemotherapy for patients with KRAS-wildtype colorectal cancer³⁸⁹⁻³⁹¹ and has been investigated in a variety of other tumor types. For patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), data are conflicting; some trials of panitumumab in various lines and with different chemotherapy combinations have shown modest benefit³⁹²⁻³⁹⁴ and others have reported no benefit³⁹⁵⁻³⁹⁷. A Phase 3 study of chemotherapy with or without panitumumab for patients with advanced gastroesophageal cancer was terminated for futility³⁹⁸. Trials in a variety of tumor types have failed to show significant benefit for patients, including non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)³⁹⁹⁻⁴⁰⁰; biliary tract cancers, including cholangiocarcinoma⁴⁰¹⁻⁴⁰²; and renal cell carcinoma (RCC)⁴⁰³.

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
 Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually

updated and should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that

may require medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or, visit <https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-testing#support-services>.

GENE
EGFR
ALTERATION

G598V - subclonal, amplification, EGFRvIII

RATIONALE

EGFR activating mutations, rearrangements, or amplification may predict sensitivity to EGFR-targeted therapies. Strategies to overcome

resistance to current agents include next-generation EGFR inhibitors and combination therapies.

NCT03239015
PHASE 2

Efficacy and Safety of Targeted Precision Therapy in Refractory Tumor With Druggable Molecular Event

TARGETS

EGFR, ERBB4, ERBB2, PARP, mTOR, MET, ROS1, RET, VEGFRs, BRAF, CDK4, CDK6

LOCATIONS: Shanghai (China)

NCT04946968
PHASE 2

Phase-2 Dacomitinib Study on Patients With EGFR-Driven Advanced Solid Tumours With Low EGFR-AS1 lncRNA Expr or Other Novel Emerging Biomarkers

TARGETS

ERBB4, EGFR, ERBB2

LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)

NCT04720976
PHASE 1/2

JAB-3312 Activity in Adult Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS

MEK, SHP2, PD-1, EGFR, KRAS

LOCATIONS: Utah, California, Arizona, Minnesota, Illinois, Michigan, Oklahoma, Missouri, Indiana, Connecticut

NCT04670679
PHASE 1

A Dose Escalation/Expansion Study of ERAS-601 in Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors

TARGETS

SHP2, EGFR

LOCATIONS: Perth (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), Nevada, California, Missouri, Texas, Massachusetts, New York, Pennsylvania, Tennessee

NCT02800486
PHASE 2

Super Selective Intra-arterial Repeated Infusion of Cetuximab (Erbix) With Reirradiation for Treatment of Relapsed/Refractory GBM, AA, and AOA

TARGETS

EGFR

LOCATIONS: New York

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

CLINICAL TRIALS
NCT02861898
PHASE 1/2

Super-selective Intra-arterial Repeated Infusion of Cetuximab for the Treatment of Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma

TARGETS
 EGFR

LOCATIONS: New York

NCT04547777
PHASE 1

Phase 1 Trial of D2C7-IT in Combination With 2141-V11 for Recurrent Malignant Glioma

TARGETS
 EGFRvIII, CD40

LOCATIONS: North Carolina

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
 Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

GENE

MTAP

ALTERATION

loss

RATIONALE

MTAP loss may predict sensitivity to MAT2A inhibitors, or to inhibitors that target PRMT5 when in complex with MTA.

NCT05245500

PHASE 1/2

Phase 1/2 Study of MRTX1719 in Solid Tumors With MTAP Deletion

TARGETS

PRMT5-MTA

LOCATIONS: Colorado, Arizona, Minnesota, Massachusetts, New York, Tennessee, Texas, Florida

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

CLINICAL TRIALS
GENE
PTEN
ALTERATION

splice site 634+1G>A

RATIONALE

PTEN loss or inactivating mutations may lead to increased activation of the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway and may indicate sensitivity to inhibitors

of this pathway. PTEN loss or inactivation may also predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors.

NCT02264678
PHASE 1/2

Ascending Doses of AZD6738 in Combination With Chemotherapy and/or Novel Anti Cancer Agents

TARGETS

ATR, PARP, PD-L1

LOCATIONS: Seongnam-si (Korea, Republic of), Seoul (Korea, Republic of), Goyang-si (Korea, Republic of), Cambridge (United Kingdom), Withington (United Kingdom), Manchester (United Kingdom), London (United Kingdom), Coventry (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom), Oxford (United Kingdom)

NCT04740190
PHASE 2

Talazoparib - Carboplatin for Recurrent High-grade Glioma With DDRd

TARGETS

PARP

LOCATIONS: Hong Kong (Hong Kong)

NCT05021367
PHASE 1

A Clinical Study of TQB3823 in Patients With Advanced Malignant Tumor

TARGETS

PARP

LOCATIONS: Guangzhou (China)

NCT05035745
PHASE 1/2

Selinexor & Talazoparib in Advanced Refractory Solid Tumors; Advanced/Metastatic Triple Negative Breast Cancer (START)

TARGETS

XPO1, PARP

LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)

NCT03772561
PHASE 1

Phase I Study of AZD5363 + Olaparib + Durvalumab in Patients With Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumor Malignancies

TARGETS

PARP, AKTs, PD-L1

LOCATIONS: Singapore (Singapore)

NCT04614909
PHASE NULL

Phase 0/2 Study of Pamiparib in Newly Diagnosed and rGBM

TARGETS

PARP

LOCATIONS: Arizona

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

CLINICAL TRIALS
NCT05076513
PHASE NULL

Trial of Niraparib in Participants With Newly-diagnosed Glioblastoma and Recurrent Glioma

TARGETS
 PARP

LOCATIONS: Arizona

NCT05327010
PHASE 2

Testing the Combination of the Anti-cancer Drugs ZEN003694 (ZEN-3694) and Talazoparib in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors, The ComBET Trial

TARGETS
 PARP, BRD4, BRDT, BRD2, BRD3

LOCATIONS: Colorado, Illinois, Texas, North Carolina, Georgia

NCT04317105
PHASE 1/2

Testing the Addition of an Anti-cancer Drug, Copanlisib, to the Usual Immunotherapy (Nivolumab With or Without Ipilimumab) in Patients With Advanced Solid Cancers That Have Changes in the Following Genes: PIK3CA and PTEN

TARGETS
 PD-1, CTLA-4, PI3K

LOCATIONS: Toronto (Canada), Texas, Virginia

NCT02769962
PHASE 1/2

Trial of CRLX101, a Nanoparticle Camptothecin With Olaparib in People With Relapsed/Refractory Small Cell Lung Cancer

TARGETS
 PARP, TOP1

LOCATIONS: Maryland

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
 Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

APPENDIX
Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

ABL1

 NM_005157.4: c.2701C>G
(p.P901A)
chr9:133760378

APC

 NM_000038.4: c.385G>C
(p.E129Q)
chr5:112103050

BRCA2

 NM_000059.3: c.6325G>A
(p.V2109I)
chr13:32914817

BRD4

 NM_014299.2: c.682G>A
(p.V228I)
chr19:15376332

DAXX

 NM_001350.4:
c.1370_1372del (p.E457del)
chr6:33287880-33287883

GATA6

 NM_005257.3: c.661G>A
(p.A221T)
chr18:19751766

JAK3

 NM_000215.3: c.2234C>T
(p.P745L)
chr19:17945496

KMT2D (MLL2)

 NM_003482.4: c.16391C>T
(p.T5464M)
chr12:49416084

MEF2B

 NM_001145785.1: c.814C>A
(p.P272T)
chr19:19257149

MYCN

 NM_005378.4: c.550G>T
(p.A184S)
chr2:16082736

NTRK1

 NM_002529.3: c.482G>A
(p.R161H)
chr1:156837949

TEK

 NM_000459.3: c.3071A>T
(p.Y1024F)
chr9:27218783

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

 Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
 Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

 Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

APPENDIX
Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------|
| ABL1 | ACVR1B | AKT1 | AKT2 | AKT3 | ALK | ALOX12B | AMER1 (FAM123B or WTX) | |
| APC | AR | ARAF | ARFRP1 | ARID1A | ASXL1 | ATM | ATR | ATRX |
| AURKA | AURKB | AXIN1 | AXL | BAP1 | BARD1 | BCL2 | BCL2L1 | BCL2L2 |
| BCL6 | BCOR | BCORL1 | BRAF | BRCA1 | BRCA2 | BRD4 | BRIP1 | BTG1 |
| BTG2 | BTK | CALR | CARD11 | CASP8 | CBFB | CBL | CCND1 | CCND2 |
| CCND3 | CCNE1 | CD22 | CD274 (PD-L1) | CD70 | CD79A | CD79B | CDC73 | CDH1 |
| CDK12 | CDK4 | CDK6 | CDK8 | CDKN1A | CDKN1B | CDKN2A | CDKN2B | CDKN2C |
| CEBPA | CHEK1 | CHEK2 | CIC | CREBBP | CRKL | CSF1R | CSF3R | CTCF |
| CTNNA1 | CTNNB1 | CUL3 | CUL4A | CXCR4 | CYP17A1 | DAXX | DDR1 | DDR2 |
| DIS3 | DNMT3A | DOT1L | EED | EGFR | EMSY (C11orf30) | EP300 | EPHA3 | EPHB1 |
| EPHB4 | ERBB2 | ERBB3 | ERBB4 | ERCC4 | ERG | ERRF1 | ESR1 | EZH2 |
| FANCA | FANCC | FANCG | FANCL | FAS | FBXW7 | FGF10 | FGF12 | FGF14 |
| FGF19 | FGF23 | FGF3 | FGF4 | FGF6 | FGFR1 | FGFR2 | FGFR3 | FGFR4 |
| FH | FLCN | FLT1 | FLT3 | FOXL2 | FUBP1 | GABRA6 | GATA3 | GATA4 |
| GATA6 | GID4 (C17orf39) | GNA11 | GNA13 | GNAQ | GNAS | GRM3 | GSK3B | H3-3A (H3F3A) |
| HDAC1 | HGF | HNFA1 | HRAS | HSD3B1 | ID3 | IDH1 | IDH2 | IGF1R |
| IKBKE | IKZF1 | INPP4B | IRF2 | IRF4 | IRS2 | JAK1 | JAK2 | JAK3 |
| JUN | KDM5A | KDM5C | KDM6A | KDR | KEAP1 | KEL | KIT | KLHL6 |
| KMT2A (MLL) | KMT2D (MLL2) | KRAS | LTK | LYN | MAF | MAP2K1 (MEK1) | MAP2K2 (MEK2) | MAP2K4 |
| MAP3K1 | MAP3K13 | MAPK1 | MCL1 | MDM2 | MDM4 | MED12 | MEF2B | MEN1 |
| MERTK | MET | MITF | MKNK1 | MLH1 | MPL | MRE11 (MRE11A) | MSH2 | MSH3 |
| MSH6 | MST1R | MTAP | MTOR | MUTYH | MYC | MYCL (MYCL1) | MYCN | MYD88 |
| NBN | NF1 | NF2 | NFE2L2 | NFKBIA | NKX2-1 | NOTCH1 | NOTCH2 | NOTCH3 |
| NPM1 | NRAS | NSD2 (WHSC1 or MMSET) | NSD3 (WHSC1L1) | NT5C2 | NTRK1 | NTRK2 | NTRK3 | NTRK3 |
| P2RY8 | PALB2 | PARP1 | PARP2 | PARP3 | PAX5 | PBRM1 | PDCC1 (PD-1) | PDCC1LG2 (PD-L2) |
| PDGFRA | PDGFRB | PDK1 | PIK3C2B | PIK3C2G | PIK3CA | PIK3CB | PIK3R1 | PIM1 |
| PMS2 | POLD1 | POLE | PPARG | PPP2R1A | PPP2R2A | PRDM1 | PRKAR1A | PRKCI |
| PRKN (PARK2) | PTCH1 | PTEN | PTPN11 | PTPRO | QKI | RAC1 | RAD21 | RAD51 |
| RAD51B | RAD51C | RAD51D | RAD52 | RAD54L | RAF1 | RARA | RB1 | RBM10 |
| REL | RET | RICTOR | RNF43 | ROS1 | RPTOR | SDHA | SDHB | SDHC |
| SDHD | SETD2 | SF3B1 | SGK1 | SMAD2 | SMAD4 | SMARCA4 | SMARCB1 | SMO |
| SNCAIP | SOC1 | SOX2 | SOX9 | SPEN | SPOP | SRC | STAG2 | STAT3 |
| STK11 | SUFU | SYK | TBX3 | TEK | TENT5C (FAM46C) | TET2 | TET2 | TGFB2 |
| TIPARP | TNFAIP3 | TNFRSF14 | TP53 | TSC1 | TSC2 | TYRO3 | U2AF1 | VEGFA |
| VHL | WT1 | XPO1 | XRCC2 | ZNF217 | ZNF703 | | | |

DNA GENE LIST: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT REARRANGEMENTS

| | | | | | | | | |
|------|------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|--------|-------------|
| ALK | BCL2 | BCR | BRAF | BRCA1 | BRCA2 | CD74 | EGFR | ETV4 |
| ETV5 | ETV6 | EWSR1 | EZR | FGFR1 | FGFR2 | FGFR3 | KIT | KMT2A (MLL) |
| MSH2 | MYB | MYC | NOTCH2 | NTRK1 | NTRK2 | NUTM1 | PDGFRA | RAF1 |
| RARA | RET | ROS1 | RSP02 | SDC4 | SLC34A2 | TERC* | TERT** | TPRSS2 |

*TERC is an NCRNA

**Promoter region of TERT is interrogated

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS


Homologous Recombination status
Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score
Microsatellite (MS) status
Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Ciplstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium. 

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDx

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details:
www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLE

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes

detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture-selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g. gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification – equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for *ERBB2* and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss – equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical

methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials

Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table

Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked alphabetically within each evidence category), followed by therapies associated with resistance (when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials

Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK® (NCCN®) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2023. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

1. In the fraction-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

- analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
 - Homologous Recombination status may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas (Coleman et al., 2017; 28916367). Samples with deleterious *BRCA1/2* alteration and/or Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score ≥ 16 will be reported as "HRD Positive" and samples with absence of these findings will be reported as "HRD Not Detected," agnostic of potential secondary *BRCA1/2* reversion alterations. Certain potentially deleterious missense or small in-frame deletions in *BRCA1/2* may not be classified as deleterious and, in the absence of an elevated LOH profile, samples with such mutations may be classified as "HRD Not Detected." A result of "HRD Not Detected" does not rule out the presence of a *BRCA1/2* alteration or an elevated LOH profile outside the assay performance characteristic limitations.
 - The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and

extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding arm- and chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.

- Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy.
- Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an *ERBB2* amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of *ERBB2* copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in <https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/> report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic, nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal

hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating physician.

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

| BASE SUBSTITUTIONS | %CV* |
|--------------------|--------------|
| Repeatability | 5.11 - 10.40 |
| Reproducibility | 5.95 - 12.31 |
| INDELS | %CV* |
| Repeatability | 6.29 - 10.00 |
| Reproducibility | 7.33 - 11.71 |

*Interquartile Range = 1st Quartile to 3rd Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of follow-up germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are *ATM*, *BAP1*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *BRIP1*, *CHEK2*, *FH*, *FLCN*, *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *MUTYH*, *PALB2*, *PMS2*, *POLE*, *RAD51C*, *RAD51D*, *RET*, *SDHA*, *SDHB*, *SDHC*, *SDHD*, *TSC2*, and *VHL*, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1640275-01

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

tumor sequencing is germline or somatic.
Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are *ASXL1*, *CBL*, *DNMT3A*, *IDH2*, *JAK2*, *KMT2D (MLL2)*, *MPL*, *MYD88*, *SF3B1*, *TET2*, and *U2AF1* and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking

into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

| ABBREVIATION | DEFINITION |
|--------------|-----------------------------|
| CR | Complete response |
| DCR | Disease control rate |
| DNMT | DNA methyltransferase |
| HR | Hazard ratio |
| ITD | Internal tandem duplication |
| MMR | Mismatch repair |
| mut/Mb | Mutations per megabase |
| NOS | Not otherwise specified |
| ORR | Objective response rate |
| OS | Overall survival |
| PD | Progressive disease |
| PFS | Progression-free survival |
| PR | Partial response |
| SD | Stable disease |
| TKI | Tyrosine kinase inhibitor |

REFERENCE SEQUENCE INFORMATION

Sequence data is mapped to the human genome, Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37 (GRCh37), also known as hg19.

MR Suite Version (RG) 7.9.0

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of the data. The median exon coverage for this sample is 816x.

ORDERED TEST # **ORD-1640275-01**
APPENDIX References

1. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) PMID: 25392179
2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) PMID: 26140250
3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) PMID: 25949894
4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) PMID: 26028255
5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
6. Martinez R, et al. Oncology (2004) PMID: 15331927
7. Martinez R, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. (2005) PMID: 15672285
8. Martinez R, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (2007) PMID: 17498554
9. Szybka M, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. (2010) PMID: 12908754
10. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) PMID: 26337942
11. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) PMID: 21081928
12. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) PMID: 24623249
13. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) PMID: 9823339
14. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) PMID: 15528785
15. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) PMID: 20420947
16. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) PMID: 30643254
17. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) PMID: 28835386
18. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) PMID: 31405947
19. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) PMID: 30309915
20. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) PMID: 30785829
21. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) PMID: 29658845
22. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) PMID: 29657128
23. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) PMID: 29731394
24. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) PMID: 33558721
25. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) PMID: 32916128
26. Zhao J, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) PMID: 30742119
27. Touat M, et al. Nature (2020) PMID: 32322066
28. Bouffett E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) PMID: 27001570
29. Johanns TM, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) PMID: 27683556
30. Lukas RV, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2018) PMID: 30073642
31. Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) PMID: 28420421
32. Patel RR, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer (2020) PMID: 32386112
33. Johnson A, et al. Oncologist (2017) PMID: 28912153
34. Draaisma K, et al. Acta Neuropathol Commun (2015) PMID: 26699864
35. Wang L, et al. BMC Cancer (2020) PMID: 32164609
36. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) PMID: 15748635
37. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) PMID: 23875803
38. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) PMID: 12379884
39. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) PMID: 25765070
40. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) PMID: 24336570
41. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) PMID: 29452419
42. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) PMID: 23636398
43. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) PMID: 23447401
44. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) PMID: 24583393
45. Nature (2012) PMID: 22810696
46. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) PMID: 25568919
47. van den Bent MJ, et al. J Clin Oncol (2009) PMID: 19204207
48. Haas-Kogan DA, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst (2005) PMID: 15956649
49. Brown PD, et al. J Clin Oncol (2008) PMID: 18955445
50. Preusser M, et al. J Neurooncol (2008) PMID: 18458820
51. Wen PY, et al. Neuro-oncology (2014) PMID: 24470557
52. Gallego O, et al. J Neurooncol (2014) PMID: 24352766
53. Uhm JH, et al. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys (2011) PMID: 20510539
54. Doyle SP, et al. Oxf Med Case Reports (2018) PMID: 30410775
55. D'Alessandris QG, et al. Acta Neurochir (Wien) (2013) PMID: 23132371
56. Custodio A, et al. Clin Transl Oncol (2010) PMID: 20462843
57. D'Alessandris QG, et al. Acta Neurochir (Wien) (2018) PMID: 30306271
58. Mellingerhoff IK, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2005) PMID: 16282176
59. Mellingerhoff IK, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2007) PMID: 17255257
60. Arif SH, et al. Asian J Neurosurg (2019) PMID: 29492119
61. Reardon DA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2015) PMID: 25140039
62. Alshami J, et al. Oncotarget (2015) PMID: 26423602
63. Sepúlveda-Sánchez JM, et al. Neuro-oncology (2017) PMID: 28575464
64. Chi AS, et al. JCO Precis Oncol (2020) PMID: 32923886
65. Cardona AF, et al. J Neurooncol (2021) PMID: 34498213
66. Makhlin I, et al. CNS Oncol (2019) PMID: 31769726
67. Chagoya G, et al. Oncotarget (2020) PMID: 32547705
68. Gao M, et al. Cancer Res (2021) PMID: 33910930
69. Byeon S, et al. Target Oncol (2020) PMID: 32107712
70. Reardon DA, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2020) PMID: 32034072
71. Weller M, et al. Lancet Oncol (2017) PMID: 28844499
72. Rosell R, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2012) PMID: 22285168
73. Douillard JY, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2014) PMID: 24263064
74. Chagoshi T, et al. Hum Pathol (2020) PMID: 32673682
75. Cao L, et al. Onco Targets Ther (2018) PMID: 29780256
76. Yang TY, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2011) PMID: 21422421
77. Sequist LV, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) PMID: 23816960
78. Qin BD, et al. Onco Targets Ther (2018) PMID: 30127622
79. Frega S, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2016) PMID: 27131295
80. Long X, et al. Onco Targets Ther (2020) PMID: 33116645
81. Mok TS, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) PMID: 29864379
82. Jänne PA, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) PMID: 25923549
83. Hong MH, et al. Cancer (2020) PMID: 32749686
84. Kim HS, et al. Oncotarget (2015) PMID: 26462025
85. Kim HS, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) PMID: 25424851
86. Mondal G, et al. Acta Neuropathol (2020) PMID: 32303840
87. Cavalieri S, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2018) PMID: 29734047
88. Goyal A, et al. World Neurosurg (2021) PMID: 33940677
89. Tanaka S, et al. Sci Rep (2019) PMID: 30644426
90. Blumenthal DT, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2016) PMID: 27531351
91. Franceschi E, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2007) PMID: 17353924
92. Chakravarti A, et al. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. (2013) PMID: 23182702
93. Hegi ME, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) PMID: 21471286
94. Jiang Z, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) PMID: 23441167
95. Licitra L, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2011) PMID: 21048039
96. Smyth EC, et al. Gut (2021) PMID: 33199443
97. Lassman et al., 2019; Neuro-Oncology Abstract ACTR-21
98. Van Den Bent M, et al. Neuro Oncol (2020) PMID: 31747009
99. Jonsson P, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) PMID: 31263031
100. Brennan CW, et al. Cell (2013) PMID: 24120142
101. Ceccarelli M, et al. Cell (2016) PMID: 26824661
102. Thomas AA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2017) PMID: 28472509
103. Lee JC, et al. PLoS Med. (2006) PMID: 17177598
104. Vivanco I, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) PMID: 22588883
105. Yan et al. 2020; DOI:10.1200/PO.19.00385
106. Nishikawa R, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1994) PMID: 8052651
107. Shinjima N, et al. Cancer Res. (2003) PMID: 14583498
108. Nishikawa R, et al. Brain Tumor Pathol (2004) PMID: 15700833
109. Viana-Pereira M, et al. Anticancer Res. (2010) PMID: 18507036
110. Yoshimoto K, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2008) PMID: 18223223
111. Larysz D, et al. Folia Neuropathol (2011) PMID: 21455841
112. Verhaak RG, et al. Cancer Cell (2010) PMID: 20129251
113. Lv S, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2012) PMID: 22752145
114. Srividya MR, et al. J. Clin. Pathol. (2010) PMID: 20702468
115. Das P, et al. J Clin Neurosci (2011) PMID: 20888234
116. Smith JS, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2001) PMID: 11504770
117. Montano N, et al. Neoplasia (2011) PMID: 22241957
118. Ciardiello F, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2008) PMID: 18337605
119. Liang Z, et al. BMC Cancer (2010) PMID: 20637128
120. Bhargava R, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2005) PMID: 15920544
121. Yang YL, et al. Chin. Med. J. (2012) PMID: 22490401
122. Nedergaard MK, et al. BioDrugs (2012) PMID: 22385404
123. Foster JM, et al. World J Surg Oncol (2010) PMID: 20942962
124. Cai CQ, et al. Oncogene (2008) PMID: 18193092
125. Stabile LP, et al. Cancer Res. (2005) PMID: 15735034
126. Zhang W, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2006) PMID: 17409930
127. Siegfried JM, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2012) PMID: 22258476
128. U M, et al. PLoS Comput. Biol. (2014) PMID: 24743239
129. Cho J, et al. Mol. Cancer (2014) PMID: 24894453
130. Hama T, et al. Oncologist (2009) PMID: 19726454
131. Tam IY, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2009) PMID: 19671738
132. Kancha RK, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2009) PMID: 19147750
133. Chen YR, et al. Oncogene (2006) PMID: 16205628
134. Ymer SI, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2011) PMID: 24212795
135. Razis E, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2009) PMID: 19789313
136. Wang H, et al. Neoplasia (2011) PMID: 21532887
137. Kim N, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2019) PMID: 31290142
138. Sueaengoen N, et al. Cell Biosci (2020) PMID: 32190291
139. Lundby A, et al. Cell (2019) PMID: 31585087
140. Louis DN, et al. Neuro Oncol (2021) PMID: 34185076
141. Kalev P, et al. Cancer Cell (2021) PMID: 33450196
142. Marjon K, et al. Cell Rep (2016) PMID: 27068473
143. Mavrikis KJ, et al. Science (2016) PMID: 26912361
144. Kryukov GV, et al. Science (2016) PMID: 26912360
145. Heist et al., 2019; AACR-NCI-EORTC Abstract B116
146. Guccione E, et al. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. (2019) PMID: 31350521
147. Fedorow A, et al. Cancer Cell (2019) PMID: 31257072
148. Srour N, et al. Cancer Cell (2019) PMID: 31287990
149. Gao G, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) PMID: 30916320
150. Smith CR, et al. J Med Chem (2022) PMID: 35041419
151. Hansen LJ, et al. Cancer Res. (2019) PMID: 31040154
152. Tang B, et al. Cancer Res. (2018) PMID: 29844120

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

ORDERED TEST # **ORD-1640275-01**
APPENDIX References

153. Munshi PN, et al. *Oncologist* (2014) PMID: 24928612
154. de Oliveira SF, et al. *PLoS ONE* (2016) PMID: 26751376
155. Lubin M, et al. *PLoS ONE* (2009) PMID: 19478948
156. Tang B, et al. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* (2012) PMID: 22825330
157. Collins CC, et al. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* (2012) PMID: 2252602
158. Bertino JR, et al. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* (2011) PMID: 21301207
159. Coulthard SA, et al. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* (2011) PMID: 21282358
160. Miyazaki S, et al. *Int. J. Oncol.* (2007) PMID: 17912432
161. Efferth T, et al. *Blood Cells Mol. Dis.* (2011) PMID: 11987241
162. Kindler HL, et al. *Invest New Drugs* (2009) PMID: 18618081
163. Alhalabi O, et al. *Nat Commun* (2022) PMID: 35379845
164. Wei R, et al. *Sci Rep* (2016) PMID: 27929028
165. Zhao M, et al. *BMC Genomics* (2016) PMID: 27556634
166. Kirovski G, et al. *Am. J. Pathol.* (2011) PMID: 21356366
167. Huang HY, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2009) PMID: 19887491
168. Marcé S, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2006) PMID: 16778103
169. Meyer S, et al. *Exp. Dermatol.* (2010) PMID: 20500769
170. Wild PJ, et al. *Arch Dermatol* (2006) PMID: 16618867
171. Kim J, et al. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* (2011) PMID: 21412930
172. Li CF, et al. *Oncotarget* (2014) PMID: 25426549
173. He HL, et al. *Medicine (Baltimore)* (2015) PMID: 26656376
174. Su CY, et al. *Eur J Surg Oncol* (2014) PMID: 24969958
175. Mirebeau D, et al. *Haematologica* (2006) PMID: 16818274
176. Becker AP, et al. *Pathobiology* (2015) PMID: 26088413
177. Snezhkina AV, et al. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* (2016) PMID: 27433286
178. Bistulfi G, et al. *Oncotarget* (2016) PMID: 26910893
179. Antonopoulou K, et al. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* (2015) PMID: 25407435
180. Maccioni L, et al. *BMC Cancer* (2013) PMID: 23816148
181. Hyland PL, et al. *Int J Epidemiol* (2016) PMID: 26635288
182. Lin X, et al. *Cancer Sci.* (2017) PMID: 27960044
183. Zhi L, et al. *J Cancer* (2016) PMID: 27994653
184. Gu F, et al. *Br. J. Cancer* (2013) PMID: 23361049
185. Limm K, et al. *PLoS ONE* (2016) PMID: 27479139
186. Tang B, et al. *G3 (Bethesda)* (2014) PMID: 25387827
187. Limm K, et al. *Eur. J. Cancer* (2013) PMID: 23265702
188. Stevens AP, et al. *J. Cell. Biochem.* (2009) PMID: 19097084
189. Limm K, et al. *Eur. J. Cancer* (2014) PMID: 25087184
190. Courtney KD, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2010) PMID: 20085938
191. Simpson L, et al. *Exp. Cell Res.* (2001) PMID: 11237521
192. Patnaik A, et al. *Ann. Oncol.* (2016) PMID: 27672108
193. Milella M, et al. *Sci Rep* (2017) PMID: 28220839
194. Galanis E, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2005) PMID: 15998902
195. Kreisl TN, et al. *J. Neurooncol.* (2009) PMID: 19018475
196. Mason WP, et al. *Invest New Drugs* (2012) PMID: 22160854
197. Mendes-Pereira AM, et al. *EMBO Mol Med* (2009) PMID: 20049735
198. Shen Y, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2013) PMID: 23881923
199. Chatterjee P, et al. *PLoS ONE* (2013) PMID: 23565244
200. McCormick A, et al. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer* (2016) PMID: 26905328
201. Forster MD, et al. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2011) PMID: 21468130
202. Eikesdal HP, et al. *Ann Oncol* (2021) PMID: 33242536
203. Dougherty et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 5536
204. Pan M, et al. *Perm J* (2021) PMID: 33970096
205. Sandhu SK, et al. *Lancet Oncol.* (2013) PMID: 23810788
206. Romero I, et al. *Gynecol Oncol* (2020) PMID: 32988624
207. Zhou XP, et al. *Int. J. Cancer* (1999) PMID: 10096247
208. Rasheed BK, et al. *Cancer Res.* (1997) PMID: 9331072
209. Davies MP, et al. *Br. J. Cancer* (1999) PMID: 10188904
210. Lin H, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (1998) PMID: 9796977
211. Schmidt EE, et al. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* (1999) PMID: 10560660
212. Kato H, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2000) PMID: 11051241
213. Furnari FB, et al. *Genes Dev.* (2007) PMID: 17974913
214. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. *N. Engl. J. Med.* (2015) PMID: 26061751
215. Sano T, et al. *Cancer Res.* (1999) PMID: 10213484
216. Srividya MR, et al. *Neuropathology* (2011) PMID: 21134002
217. Campbell RB, et al. *J. Biol. Chem.* (2003) PMID: 12857747
218. Rodríguez-Escudero I, et al. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* (2011) PMID: 21828076
219. He X, et al. *Cancer Res.* (2013) PMID: 23475934
220. Han SY, et al. *Cancer Res.* (2000) PMID: 10866302
221. Myers MP, et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1998) PMID: 9811831
222. Pradella LM, et al. *BMC Cancer* (2014) PMID: 24498881
223. Kim JS, et al. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* (2011) PMID: 21536651
224. Denning G, et al. *Oncogene* (2007) PMID: 17213812
225. Hlobilkova A, et al. *Anticancer Res.* (2016) PMID: 16619501
226. Redfern RE, et al. *Protein Sci.* (2010) PMID: 20718038
227. Shenoy S, et al. *PLoS ONE* (2012) PMID: 22505997
228. Wang Y, et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (2009) PMID: 19329485
229. Okumura K, et al. *J. Biol. Chem.* (2006) PMID: 16829519
230. Lee JO, et al. *Cell* (1999) PMID: 10555148
231. Maxwell GL, et al. *Cancer Res.* (1998) PMID: 9635567
232. Risinger JI, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (1998) PMID: 9865913
233. Fenton TR, et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (2012) PMID: 22891331
234. Ngeow J, et al. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* (2012) PMID: 23066114
235. Lobo GP, et al. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* (2009) PMID: 19457929
236. Liu J, et al. *Oncogene* (2014) PMID: 23995781
237. Maehama T, et al. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* (2001) PMID: 11395408
238. De Vivo I, et al. *J. Med. Genet.* (2000) PMID: 10807691
239. Ramaswamy S, et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1999) PMID: 10051603
240. Liu JL, et al. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* (2005) PMID: 15988030
241. Karoui M, et al. *Br. J. Cancer* (2004) PMID: 15026806
242. Gil A, et al. *PLoS ONE* (2015) PMID: 25875300
243. Furnari FB, et al. *Cancer Res.* (1998) PMID: 9823298
244. Spinelli L, et al. *J. Med. Genet.* (2015) PMID: 25527629
245. Mingo J, et al. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* (2018) PMID: 29706633
246. Wang Q, et al. *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* (2010) PMID: 20538496
247. Andrés-Pons A, et al. *Cancer Res.* (2007) PMID: 17942903
248. Butler MG, et al. *J. Med. Genet.* (2005) PMID: 15805158
249. Georgescu MM, et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (1999) PMID: 10468583
250. Staal FJ, et al. *Br. J. Cancer* (2002) PMID: 12085208
251. Nguyen HN, et al. *Oncogene* (2014) PMID: 24292679
252. Rahdar M, et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (2009) PMID: 19114656
253. Das S, et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (2003) PMID: 12808147
254. Wang X, et al. *Biochem. J.* (2008) PMID: 18498243
255. Valiente M, et al. *J. Biol. Chem.* (2005) PMID: 15951562
256. Nguyen HN, et al. *Oncogene* (2015) PMID: 25263454
257. Shan L, et al. *Cell Discov* (2020) PMID: 32704382
258. Landrum MJ, et al. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2018) PMID: 29165669
259. Blumenthal GM, et al. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* (2008) PMID: 18781191
260. Orloff MS, et al. *Oncogene* (2008) PMID: 18794875
261. Zbuk KM, et al. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* (2007) PMID: 17167516
262. Konecny GE, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2011) PMID: 21278246
263. Katsumi Y, et al. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* (2011) PMID: 21871868
264. Cen L, et al. *Neuro-oncology* (2012) PMID: 22711607
265. Logan JE, et al. *Anticancer Res.* (2013) PMID: 23898052
266. Fennell DA, et al. *Lancet Oncol* (2022) PMID: 35157829
267. Elvin JA, et al. *Oncologist* (2017) PMID: 28283584
268. Gao J, et al. *Curr Oncol* (2015) PMID: 26715889
269. Gopalani et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 8077
270. Peguero et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 2528
271. Konecny et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5557
272. DeMichele A, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2015) PMID: 25501126
273. Finn RS, et al. *Lancet Oncol.* (2015) PMID: 25524798
274. Infante JR, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2016) PMID: 27542767
275. Johnson DB, et al. *Oncologist* (2014) PMID: 24797823
276. Flaherty KT, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2012) PMID: 22090362
277. Dickson MA, et al. *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2013) PMID: 23569312
278. Su D, et al. *Nat Commun* (2019) PMID: 31700061
279. Tramontana TF, et al. *JCO Precis Oncol* (2020) PMID: 32923894
280. Van Maerken T, et al. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* (2011) PMID: 21460101
281. Gamble LD, et al. *Oncogene* (2012) PMID: 21725357
282. Sottoriva A, et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* (2013) PMID: 23412337
283. Weber RG, et al. *Oncogene* (2007) PMID: 16909113
284. Nakamura M, et al. *Brain Pathol.* (2001) PMID: 11303791
285. Chakravarti A, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2001) PMID: 11489817
286. Feng J, et al. *Cancer* (2012) PMID: 21713760
287. Raabe EH, et al. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2011) PMID: 21636552
288. Liu W, et al. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* (2011) PMID: 21843312
289. Quelle DE, et al. *Cell* (1995) PMID: 8521522
290. Mutat. Res. (2005) PMID: 15878778
291. Gazzeri S, et al. *Oncogene* (1998) PMID: 9484839
292. *Oncogene* (1999) PMID: 10498883
293. Sherr CJ, et al. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* (2005) PMID: 16869746
294. Ozenne P, et al. *Int. J. Cancer* (2010) PMID: 20549699
295. Ruas M, et al. *Oncogene* (1999) PMID: 10498896
296. Jones R, et al. *Cancer Res.* (2007) PMID: 17909018
297. Haferkamp S, et al. *Aging Cell* (2008) PMID: 18843795
298. Huot TJ, et al. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* (2002) PMID: 12417717
299. Rizzo H, et al. *J. Biol. Chem.* (2001) PMID: 11518711
300. Gombart AF, et al. *Leukemia* (1997) PMID: 9324288
301. Yang R, et al. *Cancer Res.* (1995) PMID: 7780957
302. Parry D, et al. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* (1996) PMID: 8668202
303. Greenblatt MS, et al. *Oncogene* (2003) PMID: 12606942

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

ORDERED TEST # **ORD-1640275-01**
APPENDIX References

304. Yarbrough WG, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (1999) pmid: 10491434
305. Poi MJ, et al. Mol. Carcinog. (2001) pmid: 11255261
306. Byeon IJ, et al. Mol. Cell (1998) pmid: 9660926
307. Kannengiesser C, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2009) pmid: 19260062
308. Lal G, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2000) pmid: 10719365
309. Koh J, et al. Nature (1995) pmid: 7777061
310. McKenzie HA, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2010) pmid: 20340136
311. Miller PJ, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2011) pmid: 21462282
312. Kutscher CL, et al. Physiol. Behav. (1977) pmid: 905385
313. Scaini MC, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2014) pmid: 24659262
314. Jenkins NC, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2013) pmid: 23190892
315. Walker GJ, et al. Int. J. Cancer (1999) pmid: 10389768
316. Rutter JL, et al. Oncogene (2003) pmid: 12853981
317. Itahana K, et al. Cancer Cell (2008) pmid: 18538737
318. Zhang Y, et al. Mol. Cell (1999) pmid: 10360174
319. Zhang Y, et al. Cell (1998) pmid: 9529249
320. Jafri M, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) pmid: 25873077
321. Whelan AJ, et al. N Engl J Med (1995) pmid: 7666917
322. Adv Exp Med Biol (2010) pmid: 20687502
323. Hogg D, et al. J Cutan Med Surg (1998) pmid: 9479083
324. De Unamuno B, et al. Melanoma Res (2018) pmid: 29543703
325. Soura E, et al. J Am Acad Dermatol (2016) pmid: 26892650
326. Huerta C, et al. Acta Derm Venereol (2018) pmid: 29405243
327. Kaufman DK, et al. Neurology (1993) pmid: 8414022
328. Bahuau M, et al. Cancer Res (1998) pmid: 9622062
329. Chan AK, et al. Clin Neuropathol () pmid: 28699883
330. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2017) pmid: 27245281
331. Duperret EK, et al. Mol Ther (2018) pmid: 29249395
332. Chiappori AA, et al. Ann Oncol (2015) pmid: 25467017
333. Killela PJ, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2013) pmid: 23530248
334. Killela PJ, et al. Oncotarget (2014) pmid: 24722048
335. Nonoguchi N, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 23955565
336. Liu X, et al. Cell Cycle (2013) pmid: 23603989
337. Koelsche C, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 24154961
338. Arita H, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 23764841
339. Reitman ZJ, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2013) pmid: 24217890
340. Shay JW, et al. Semin. Cancer Biol. (2011) pmid: 22015685
341. Shay JW, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (1997) pmid: 9282118
342. Kim NW, et al. Science (1994) pmid: 7605428
343. Hanahan D, et al. Cell (2000) pmid: 10647931
344. Horn S, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348503
345. Huang FW, et al. Science (2013) pmid: 23348506
346. Vinagre J, et al. Nat Commun (2013) pmid: 23887589
347. Weller M, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2021) pmid: 33293629
348. Hasselbalch B, et al. Neuro-oncology (2010) pmid: 20406901
349. Kulason KO, et al. J Exp Ther Oncol (2018) pmid: 29790314
350. Cappuzzo F, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20493771
351. Zhong WZ, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31194613
352. Petrelli F, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2012) pmid: 22056888
353. Petty RD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2017) pmid: 28537764
354. Philip PA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2006) pmid: 16809731
355. Xie C, et al. Br J Cancer (2020) pmid: 32958820
356. Luo H, et al. JAMA Netw Open (2020) pmid: 33026449
357. Lee J, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22192731
358. Konduri K, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27102076
359. Zhu YC, et al. Lung Cancer (2018) pmid: 29290255
360. Wang J, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2019) pmid: 30255937
361. Baik CS, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 26398831
362. Xu et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract e13538
363. Hainsworth JD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29320312
364. Nie KK, et al. Chin Med J (Engl) (2018) pmid: 29998897
365. Prados MD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19075262
366. Kesavabhotla K, et al. J. Exp. Ther. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22946346
367. Peereboom DM, et al. Neuro-oncology (2013) pmid: 23328813
368. Han JY, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22370314
369. Maemondo M, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2010) pmid: 20573926
370. Mitsudomi T, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20022809
371. Mok TS, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2009) pmid: 19692680
372. Qi WX, et al. Curr Med Res Opin (2015) pmid: 25329826
373. Zhao H, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 25546556
374. Dutton SJ, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24950987
375. Rich JN, et al. J Clin Oncol (2004) pmid: 14638850
376. Reardon DA, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2006) pmid: 16467100
377. Brown N, et al. PLoS One (2016) pmid: 27232884
378. Soria JC, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29151359
379. Alanazi A, et al. Lung Cancer Manag (2020) pmid: 33318755
380. Kim et al., 2021; DOI: 10.1200/PQ.20.00296
381. Abousand et al., 2021; DOI: 10.26502/jcsct.5079114
382. Cho JH, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31825714
383. Ramalingam SS, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2019) pmid: 31751012
384. Tsuboi et al., 2022; ESMO Abstract LBA47
385. Kenmotsu H, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2022) pmid: 35636696
386. Soo et al., 2021; ESMO Abstract VP3-2021
387. Oxnard GR, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32139298
388. Whittle JR, et al. J Clin Neurosci (2015) pmid: 26279503
389. Douillard JY, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24718886
390. Price TJ, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24739896
391. Van Cutsem E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2007) pmid: 17470858
392. Vermorken JB, et al. Lancet Oncol (2013) pmid: 23746666
393. Wirth LJ, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2010) pmid: 19892746
394. Siano M, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28592616
395. Mesia R, et al. Lancet Oncol (2015) pmid: 25596660
396. Giral J, et al. Lancet Oncol (2015) pmid: 25596659
397. Siu LL, et al. JAMA Oncol (2016) pmid: 27930762
398. Waddell T, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23594787
399. Crawford J, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2013) pmid: 24389433
400. Schuette W, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2015) pmid: 26094080
401. Leone F, et al. Cancer (2016) pmid: 26540314
402. Vogel A, et al. Eur J Cancer (2018) pmid: 29413685
403. Rowinsky EK, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2004) pmid: 15210739

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

© 2023 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

Electronically signed by Erik Williams, M.D. | 06 June 2023
 Julia Elvin, M.D., Ph.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 22D2027531
 Nimesh R. Patel, M.D., Laboratory Director CLIA: 34D2044309
 Foundation Medicine, Inc. | www.rochefoundationmedicine.com

Sample Preparation: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Sample Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531
 Post-Sequencing Analysis: 150 Second St., 1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531