

PATIENT Liu, Wei-Yu TUMOR TYPE Bone osteosarcoma COUNTRY CODE TW

REPORT DATE 13 Jan 2024 ORDERED TEST # ORD-1792650-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

**DISEASE** Bone osteosarcoma

NAME Liu, Wei-Yu

DATE OF BIRTH 10 February 2006

SEX Male

MEDICAL RECORD # 34505641 PF24001

ORDERING PHYSICIAN Yeh, Yi-Chen

PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

MEDICAL FACILITY Taipei Veterans General Hospital

ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None MEDICAL FACILITY ID 205872

SPECIMEN SITE Lung

**SPECIMEN ID** S112-64474 F (PF24001)

SPECIMEN TYPE Slide Deck

DATE OF COLLECTION 19 December 2023 SPECIMEN RECEIVED 05 January 2024

#### Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable Tumor Mutational Burden - 1 Muts/Mb

#### Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

**CCNE1** amplification GID4 (C17orf39) amplification **TP53** N247I

#### Report Highlights

• Evidence-matched clinical trial options based on this patient's genomic findings: (p. 5)

#### **BIOMARKER FINDINGS**

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable

Tumor Mutational Burden - 1 Muts/Mb

**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

**CCNE1** - amplification

5 Trials see p. 5

THEDADV AN	D CHNICAI	I TDIAI II	МЫГСАТІ	ONI

No therapies or clinical trials. See Biomarker Findings section

No therapies or clinical trials. See Biomarker Findings section

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)

(IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)

none

none

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL RELEVANCE

#### GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIAL OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

GID4 (C17orf39) - amplification

TP53 - N2471 p. 3

p. 4

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, toor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

**BIOMARKER FINDINGS** 

#### BIOMARKER

### Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### Targeted Therapies

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors<sup>1-3</sup>, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab<sup>4-5</sup>. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-

H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)<sup>6</sup>.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

In osteosarcoma, MSI at any level has been reported in 14% (3/21) to 44% (8/18) of cases with high MSI observed in 11% (2/18) of cases<sup>7-8</sup>. However, other studies have reported an absence of MSI in osteosarcoma (o/7 and o/68)<sup>9-10</sup> and bone sarcoma (o/29)<sup>11</sup>. Published data investigating the prognostic implications of MSI in osteosarcoma or other bone mesenchymal tumors are limited (PubMed, Sep 2023).

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA MMR in the tumor<sup>12</sup>. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2<sup>12-14</sup>. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers<sup>15-17</sup>. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins12,14,16-17.

#### **BIOMARKER**

# Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 1 Muts/Mb

#### POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

#### Targeted Therapies —

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L118-21, anti-PD-1 therapies19-23, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab<sup>24-32</sup>. In multiple pan-tumor studies, increased tissue tumor mutational burden (TMB) was associated with sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors  $^{18\text{-}21,23,33\text{-}37}.$  In the KEYNOTE 158 trial of pembrolizumab monotherapy for patients with solid tumors, significant improvement in ORR was observed for patients with TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (as measured by this assay) compared with those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb in a large cohort that included multiple tumor types<sup>33</sup>; similar findings were observed in the KEYNOTE 028 and 012 trials23. At the same TMB cutpoint, retrospective analysis of

patients with solid tumors treated with any checkpoint inhibitor identified that tissue TMB scores ≥ 10 Muts/Mb were associated with prolonged time to treatment failure compared with scores <10 muts/Mb (HR=0.68)<sup>37</sup>. For patients with solid tumors treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab in the CheckMate 848 trial, improved responses were observed in patients with a tissue TMB ≥ 10 Muts/Mb independent of blood TMB at any cutpoint in matched samples<sup>38</sup>. However, support for higher TMB thresholds and efficacy was observed in the prospective Phase 2 MyPathway trial of atezolizumab for patients with pan-solid tumors, where improved ORR and DCR was seen in patients with TMB ≥ 16 Muts/Mb than those with TMB  $\geq$  10 and <16 Muts/Mb<sup>36</sup>. Similarly, analyses across several solid tumor types reported that patients with higher TMB (defined as ≥16-20 Muts/Mb) achieved greater clinical benefit from PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting monotherapy compared with patients with higher TMB treated with chemotherapy<sup>18</sup> or those with lower TMB treated with PD-1 or PD-L1-targeting agents<sup>20</sup>.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

Osteosarcoma harbors a median TMB of 2.5 Muts/Mb, and 0.4% of cases have high TMB (>20 Muts/Mb)<sup>39</sup>. Undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcomas reportedly have an increased mutational burden

compared to Ewing sarcomas or rhabdomyosarcomas<sup>40-42</sup>. Published data investigating the prognostic implications of tumor mutational burden in bone sarcoma are limited (PubMed, Sep 2023); however, one study of 31 patients with high-grade osteosarcoma reported a significant association of high TMB and improved median OS by multivariate analysis (HR=0.05, p=0.03)<sup>43</sup>.

#### FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutational burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitutions and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma44-45 and cigarette smoke in lung cancer<sup>46-47</sup>, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma<sup>48-49</sup>, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes<sup>50-54</sup>, and microsatellite instability<sup>50,53-54</sup>. This sample harbors a TMB level associated with lower rates of clinical benefit from treatment with PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors compared with patients with tumors harboring higher TMB levels, based on several studies in multiple solid tumor types<sup>20-21,33</sup>.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

# CCNE1

**ALTERATION** amplification

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### Targeted Therapies —

There are no approved therapies that directly target CCNE1 alterations. Because amplification or overexpression of CCNE1 leads to increased genomic instability though the ATR-CHK1-WEE1 pathway<sup>55-56</sup> and cyclin E1 promotes cell cycle progression in a complex with CDK2<sup>57</sup>, clinical and preclinical studies have investigated inhibitors of ATR, CDK2, CHK1, HDAC, PKMYT1, and WEE1 as potential therapeutic approaches for tumors with CCNE1 activation. Clinical benefit has been reported for patients with recurrent high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSOC) with CCNE1 amplification or expression in response to treatment with the CHK1 inhibitor prexasertib<sup>58</sup>. Studies of the WEE1 inhibitor adayosertib

observed PRs in patients with CCNE1-amplified HGSOC and ovarian cancer<sup>59-61</sup>. Similarly, in a Phase 2 study of patients with CCNE1-amplified solid tumors, adavosertib elicited an ORR of 27% with PRs reported for patients with ovarian cancer, urothelial carcinoma, or melanoma; median PFS was 4.1 months and median OS was 9.9 months<sup>61-62</sup>. One study has reported a reduction in tumor CCNE1 levels in 4/6 lung and esophageal cancer cases following treatment with the HDAC inhibitor vorinostat<sup>63</sup>. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that cell lines and murine models with CCNE1 amplification or overexpression were sensitive to inhibitors of ATR64-65, CDK266, PKMYT1<sup>67-68</sup>, or WEE1<sup>56,69</sup>. However, other studies have shown that sensitivity of various cell lines to CDK2 inhibitors, including SNS-032, dinaciclib, and seliciclib, at clinically achievable doses, is largely independent of CCNE1 copy number or expression $^{70-73}$ .

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

Putative high-level amplification of CCNE1 was reported in 8.2% of cases in the Sarcoma MSKCC/Broad dataset<sup>74</sup>. Amplification of CCNE1 was

observed in a patient with osteosarcoma<sup>75</sup> and in 27% (6/22) of cases in a larger study of osteosarcoma where it was correlated with increased expression of cyclin  $E1^{76}$ . Expression of cyclin E1 was reported in 54% (38/70) of osteosarcoma samples on a tissue microarray, and also had no correlation with clinical outcome or response to chemotherapy<sup>76</sup>.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

CCNE1 encodes the protein cyclin E1, which plays a role in the regulated transition from the G1 to S phase by binding to and activating cyclin-dependent protein kinase 2 (CDK2). It also has a direct role in initiation of replication and the maintenance of genomic stability<sup>57</sup>. Amplification of chromosomal region 19q12-q13 has been demonstrated in many types of cancer, and CCNE1 is a well-studied gene within this amplicon<sup>77-78</sup>. Increased copy number of CCNE1 is highly associated with overexpression of the cyclin E1 protein<sup>79-80</sup>. Cyclin E1 overexpression can lead to cell transformation as a result of an increase in cyclin E1 activity<sup>57,81</sup>.

GENE

### GID4 (C17orf39)

**ALTERATION** amplification

#### POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

#### Targeted Therapies —

There are no targeted therapies available to address

alterations in C170rf39.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

C170rf39 lies in a region of human chromosome 17p11 that is frequently amplified in osteosarcoma<sup>82-83</sup>, and observed to be amplified occasionally in other tumor types including gliomas, bladder, esophageal, stomach, prostate and uterine carcinomas (cBioPortal, 2023)<sup>84-86</sup>. C170rf39 loss has also been reported, with the highest rates in tumors of the pancreas, prostate, bladder, liver,

lung, and ovary (cBioPortal, 2023)85-86.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

C17orf39, also known as GID4, encodes a regulatory subunit of the Mediator complex, the human homolog of the yeast Gid E3 ubiquitin ligase complex<sup>87</sup>. The yeast Gid complex plays a key role in regulation of carbohydrate metabolism<sup>88</sup>.

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.

**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

#### GENE

### **TP53**

ALTERATION

N247I

**HGVS VARIANT** NM\_000546.4:c.740A>T (p.N247I)

VARIANT CHROMOSOMAL POSITION chr17:7577541

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)
55.7%

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

#### - Targeted Therapies -

There are no approved therapies to address TP53 mutation or loss. However, tumors with TP53 loss of function alterations may be sensitive to the WEE1 inhibitor adavosertib<sup>89-92</sup> or p53 gene therapy such as SGT53<sup>93-98</sup>. In a Phase 1 study, adavosertib in combination with gemcitabine, cisplatin, or carboplatin elicited PRs in 9.7% and SDs in 53% of patients with solid tumors; the response rate was 21% (4/19) for patients with TP53 mutations versus 12% (4/33) for patients who were TP53 wildtype99. Phase 2 studies of adavosertib in combination with chemotherapy reported ORRs of 32% (30/94) and 41% (12/29) for patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian, Fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer<sup>100-101</sup>. For patients with platinum-sensitive TP53-mutated ovarian cancer, the combination of adavosertib with paclitaxel and carboplatin significantly increased PFS compared with paclitaxel and carboplatin alone (9.9 vs.  $8.0 \text{ months})^{102}$ . In the Phase 2 VIKTORY trial, patients with TP53-mutated metastatic and/or recurrent gastric cancer experienced a 24% (6/25) ORR with adavosertib combined with paclitaxel<sup>103</sup>. A Phase 1 trial of neoadjuvant adavosertib in combination

with cisplatin and docetaxel for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) elicited a 71% (5/7) response rate for patients with TP53 alterations<sup>104</sup>. The Phase 2 FOCUS<sub>4</sub>-C trial for patients with TP53- and RAS-mutated colorectal cancer reported improvement in PFS (3.61 vs. 1.87 months, HR=0.35, p=0.0022), but not OS (14.0 vs 12.8 months, p=0.93), following adavosertib treatment compared with active monitoring 105. In a Phase 1b clinical trial of SGT-53 in combination with docetaxel for patients with solid tumors, 75% (9/12) of evaluable patients experienced clinical benefit, including 2 confirmed and 1 unconfirmed PRs and 2 instances of SD with significant tumor shrinkage98. Missense mutations leading to TP53 inactivation may be sensitive to therapies that reactivate mutated p53 such as eprenetapopt. In a Phase 1b trial for patients with p53-positive highgrade serous ovarian cancer, eprenetapopt combined with carboplatin and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin achieved a 52% (11/21) response rate and 100% DCR106. A Phase 1 trial of eprenetapopt with pembrolizumab for patients with solid tumors reported an ORR of 10% (3/ 29)107.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

TP53 mutations have been reported in 16% of bone tumors, including 25-50% of osteosarcomas (COSMIC, Sep 2023)<sup>108-110</sup>. TP53 rearrangements affecting the 5' UTR have been identified as recurrent alterations in 11-55% of osteosarcomas (n = 11-215) and have been reported in the context of Li-Fraumeni syndrome<sup>111-115</sup>. Both TP53 alterations and increased expression of p53 have been reported to correlate with worse survival of patients with osteosarcoma<sup>109,116</sup>.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

Functional loss of the tumor suppressor p53, which is encoded by the TP53 gene, is common in

aggressive advanced cancers  $^{117}$ . Alterations such as seen here may disrupt TP53 function or expression  $^{118-122}$ .

#### POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline mutations in TP53 are associated with the very rare autosomal dominant disorder Li-Fraumeni syndrome and the early onset of many cancers<sup>123-125</sup>, including sarcomas<sup>126-127</sup>. Estimates for the prevalence of germline TP53 mutations in the general population range from 1:5,000<sup>128</sup> to 1:20,000<sup>127</sup>. For pathogenic TP53 mutations identified during tumor sequencing, the rate of germline mutations was 1% in the overall population and 6% in tumors arising before age 30<sup>129</sup>. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of TP53 is recommended.

# POTENTIAL CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS IMPLICATIONS

Variants seen in this gene have been reported to occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion<sup>130-135</sup>. CH in this gene has been associated with increased mortality, risk of coronary heart disease, risk of ischemic stroke, and risk of secondary hematologic malignancy<sup>130-131</sup>. Clinical management of patients with CH in this gene may include monitoring for hematologic changes and reduction of controllable risk factors for cardiovascular disease<sup>136</sup>. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH134,137-138. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



PATIENT

Liu, Wei-Yu

FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

TUMOR TYPE
Bone osteosarcoma

REPORT DATE

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1792650-01

**CLINICAL TRIALS** 

**NOTE** Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually

updated and should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial  $\rightarrow$  Geographical proximity  $\rightarrow$  Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that

may require medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or, visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomic-

CCNE1

**ALTERATION** amplification

#### **RATIONALE**

Strong preclinical and clinical data suggest that CCNE1 amplification may predict sensitivity to WEE1 inhibitors. Strong preclinical data suggest

that CCNE1 amplification may predict sensitivity to PKMYT1 inhibitors.

testing#support-services.

NCTO4855656

Study of RP-6306 Alone or in Combination With RP-3500 in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
PKMYT1, ATR

LOCATIONS: Copenhagen (Denmark), Utah, Toronto (Canada), Missouri, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas

NCTO4768868

PHASE 1

The Safety and Pharmacokinetics Preliminary Efficacy of IMP7068 in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS WEE1

LOCATIONS: Taipei (Taiwan), Taoyuan (Taiwan), Taichung (Taiwan), Tainan (Taiwan), Shanghai (China), Wuhan (China), Beijing (China), Chengdu (China), Kansas, Texas

NCT03968653

Study of Oral Debio 0123 in Combination With Carboplatin in Participants With Advanced Solid
Tumors

TARGETS
WEE1

**LOCATIONS:** Groningen (Netherlands), Nijmegen (Netherlands), Leiden (Netherlands), Barcelona (Spain)

NCT05109975

A Study to Evaluate Safety and Preliminary Anti-tumor Activity of Debio 0123 as Monotherapy in Adult Participants With Advanced Solid Tumors

Participants With Advanced Solid Tumors

PHASE 1

TARGETS
WEE1

LOCATIONS: Bellinzona (Switzerland), Zürich (Switzerland), Michigan, Texas

NCT05147272

Study of RP-6306 With Gemcitabine in Advanced Solid Tumors

TARGETS
PKMYT1

LOCATIONS: London (United Kingdom), California, Arizona, Minnesota, Michigan, Toronto (Canada), New York, Pennsylvania, Florida

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



TUMOR TYPE
Bone osteosarcoma

REPORT DATE 13 Jan 2024



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1792650-01

**APPENDIX** 

Variants of Unknown Significance

**NOTE** One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

FLCN KEAP1 LTK
amplification amplification NM\_002344.5: c.1139A>G

(p.N380S) chr15:41800377

RAD51D SMARCA4 TBX3

amplification

NM\_001142571.1: c.155C>T (p.A52V) chr17:33444046 NM\_016569.3: c.1685C>T (p.A562V) chr12:115112055 NSD3 (WHSC1L1) rearrangement

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



**APPENDIX** 

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

#### DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY **NUMBER ALTERATIONS**

ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B	or WTX)
APC	AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX
AURKA	AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2
BCL6	BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1
BTG2	BTK	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1	CCND2
CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73	CDH1
CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B	CDKN2C
CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R	CTCF
CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1	DDR2
DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EMSY (C11orf30)	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12	FGF14
FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4
FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3	GATA4
GATA6	GID4 (C17orf39)	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3-3A (H3F3A)
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11 (MRE11A)	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	<i>NOTCH3</i>
NPM1	NRAS	NSD2 (WHSC1 or	MMSET)	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3
P2RY8	PALB2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)
PDGFRA	PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1
PMS2	POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI
PRKN (PARK2)	PTCH1	PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51
RAD51B	RAD51C	RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10
REL	RET	RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC
SDHD	SETD2	SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO
SNCAIP	SOCS1	SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3
STK11	SUFU	SYK	TBX3	TEK	TENT5C (FAM46C	")	TET2	TGFBR2
TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53	TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA
VHL	WT1	XPO1	XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703			
DNA GENE L	IST: FOR THE D	ETECTION OF	SELECT REAR	RANGEMENTS				
ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TMPRSS2

<sup>\*</sup>TERC is an NCRNA

#### ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

**Homologous Recombination status** Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy

<sup>\*\*</sup>Promoter region of TERT is interrogated



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a,

Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium. C €

#### **ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX**

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

#### **INTENDED USE**

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

#### **TEST PRINCIPLE**

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes

detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® Sequencing platform (HiSeq 4000 or NovaSeq 6000), hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g., gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI), and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

#### THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

#### **Diagnostic Significance**

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

### **Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)**

An alteration denoted as "amplification – equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for *ERBB2* and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss – equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is

one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

#### **Ranking of Therapies and Clinical Trials**

Ranking of Therapies in Summary Table
Therapies are ranked based on the following
criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit (ranked
alphabetically within each evidence category),
followed by therapies associated with resistance
(when applicable).

Ranking of Clinical Trials
Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical
proximity → Later trial phase.

# NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK\* (NCCN\*) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2023. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

#### Limitations

1. In the fraction-based MSI algorithm, a tumor specimen will be categorized as MSI-H, MSS, or MS-Equivocal according to the fraction of microsatellite loci determined to be altered or unstable (i.e., the fraction unstable loci score). In the F1CDx assay, MSI is evaluated based on a genome-wide analysis across >2000 microsatellite loci. For a given microsatellite locus, non-somatic alleles are discarded, and the microsatellite is categorized as unstable if remaining alleles differ from the reference genome. The final fraction unstable loci score is calculated as the number of unstable microsatellite loci divided by the number of evaluable microsatellite loci. The MSI-H and

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



**APPENDIX** 

About FoundationOne®CDx

- MSS cut-off thresholds were determined by analytical concordance to a PCR comparator assay using a pan-tumor FFPE tissue sample set. Patients with results categorized as "MS-Stable" with median exon coverage <300X, "MS-Equivocal," or "Cannot Be Determined" should receive confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal (alternative) method.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.
- 3. Homologous Recombination status may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas (Coleman et al., 2017; 28916367). Samples with deleterious BRCA1/2 alteration and/or Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score ≥ 16% will be reported as "HRD Positive" and samples with absence of these findings will be reported as "HRD Not Detected," agnostic of potential secondary BRCA1/2 reversion alterations. Certain potentially deleterious missense or small in-frame deletions in BRCA1/2 may not be classified as deleterious and, in the absence of an elevated LOH profile, samples with such mutations may be classified as "HRD Not Detected." A result of "HRD Not Detected" does not rule out the presence of a BRCA1/2 alteration or an elevated LOH profile outside the assay performance characteristic limitations.
- **4.** The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the

- genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 5. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- **6.** Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy.
- 7. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an ERBB2 amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of ERBB2 copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. HER2 overexpression occurs in 18-20% of breast cancers (Owens et al. 2004 [PMID: 15140287]; Salmon et al. 1987 [PMID: 3798106]; Yaziji et al. 2004 [PMID: 15113815]). Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%

#### REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Report Highlights includes select genomic and therapeutic information with potential impact on patient care and treatment that is specific to the genomics and tumor type of the sample analyzed. This section may highlight information including targeted therapies with potential sensitivity or resistance; evidence-matched clinical trials; and variants with potential diagnostic, prognostic,

nontargeted treatment, germline, or clonal hematopoiesis implications. Information included in the Report Highlights is expected to evolve with advances in scientific and clinical research. Findings included in the Report Highlights should be considered in the context of all other information in this report and other relevant patient information. Decisions on patient care and treatment are the responsibility of the treating physician.

#### **VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY**

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS  Repeatability	%CV*

<sup>\*</sup>Interquartile Range = 1st Quartile to 3rd Quartile

#### VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to

Disclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy of this conversion. It is suggested the hospital to verify the outputs and validate the suitability of use.



**APPENDIX** 

About FoundationOne®CDx

distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

# VARIANTS THAT MAY REPRESENT CLONAL HEMATOPOIESIS

Variants that may represent clonal hematopoiesis (CH) are limited to select reportable short variants in defined genes identified in solid tumors only. Variant selection was determined based on gene tumor-suppressor or oncogene status, known role in solid tumors versus hematological malignancies, and literature prevalence. The defined genes are ASXL1, CBL, DNMT3A, IDH2, JAK2, KMT2D (MLL2), MPL, MYD88, SF3B1, TET2, and U2AF1 and are not inclusive of all CH genes. The content in this report should not substitute for dedicated hematological workup. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

#### LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

#### NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

#### **NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT**

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

# TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent

medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

#### **SELECT ABBREVIATIONS**

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
ткі	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

#### REFERENCE SEQUENCE INFORMATION

Sequence data is mapped to the human genome, Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37 (GRCh37), also known as hg19.

#### SOFTWARE VERSION INFORMATION

MR Suite Version (RG) 7.15.0 MR Reporting Config Version Config 49 Analysis Pipeline Version v3.29.0 Computational Biology Suite Version 6.29.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 723x

**APPENDIX** 

References

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1792650-01

- 1. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- 2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Overman et al., 2016: ASCO Abstract 3501
- 5. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 6. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 7. Heinsohn S. et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2007) pmid: 17390023
- 8. Belchis DA, et al. Diagn. Mol. Pathol. (1996) pmid: 8866236
- 9. Suwa K, et al. J Orthop Sci (1999) pmid: 10370164
- 10. Entz-Werlé N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2005) pmid: 15800315
- 11. Tarkkanen M, et al. Br. J. Cancer (1996) pmid: 8695363
- Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 13. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- 14. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 15. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 16. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 17. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 18. Legrand et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 12000
- 19. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- 20. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid:
- 22. Marabelle et al., 2019; ESMO Abstract 11920
- 23. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 24. Rizvi et al., 2017; WCLC Abstract 1106
- 25. Hodi et al., 2019; AACR abstract CT037
- 26. Lee et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 641
- 27. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- 28. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 29. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 30. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 31. Rozeman EA, et al. Nat Med (2021) pmid: 33558721
- 32. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 33. Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32919526
- 34. Ott PA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30557521
- 35. Cristescu R, et al. J Immunother Cancer (2022) pmid: 35101941
- 36. Friedman CF, et al. Cancer Discov (2022) pmid: 34876409
- 37. Sturgill EG, et al. Oncologist (2022) pmid: 35274716
- 38. Schenker at al., 2022; AACR Abstract 7845
- Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 39. 28420421
- 40. Lim J, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 26330427
- 41. Brohl AS, et al. PLoS Genet, (2014) pmid: 25010205
- 42. Chen X, et al. Cancer Cell (2013) pmid: 24332040 43. Xie L, et al. Front Oncol (2020) pmid: 33898301
- 44. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- 45. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 46. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884

- 47. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 48. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- 49. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- 50. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 51. Briggs S. et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- 52. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid:
- 53. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- 54. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- 55. Lin AB, et al. Clin, Cancer Res. (2017) pmid: 28331049
- 56. Chen X, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2018) pmid: 30181387
- 57. Möröy T, et al. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. (2004) pmid: 15147722
- 58. Lee JM, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29361470
- 59. Lheureux S, et al. Lancet (2021) pmid: 33485453
- 60. Oza AM, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2020) pmid: 32611648
- 61. Fu S, et al. J Clin Oncol (2023) pmid: 36469840
- 62. Fu et al., 2021; AACR abstract 974
- 63. Ma T. et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2013) pmid: 23686769
- Toledo LI, et al. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. (2011) pmid:
- 65. Buisson R. et al. Mol. Cell (2015) pmid: 26365377
- 66. Yang L, et al. Oncotarget (2015) pmid: 26204491
- 67. Gallo D, et al. Nature (2022) pmid: 35444283
- 68. Szychowski J, et al. J Med Chem (2022) pmid: 35880755
- 69. Kok YP, et al. Oncogenesis (2020) pmid: 33028815
- Taylor-Harding B, et al. Oncotarget (2015) pmid: 25557169
- 71. Etemadmoghadam D, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 24004674
- 72. Scaltriti M. et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2011)
- pmid: 21321214 73. Nanos-Webb A, et al. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. (2012)
- pmid: 21695458 74. Barretina J, et al. Nat. Genet. (2010) pmid: 20601955
- 75. Subbiah V, et al. Oncotarget (2015) pmid: 26510912
- 76. Lockwood WW, et al. J Mol Diagn (2011) pmid: 21458381
- 77. Leung SY, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2006) pmid: 16575401
- 78. Lin L, et al. Cancer Res. (2000) pmid: 11156406
- 79. Mayr D, et al. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. (2006) pmid:
- 80. Nakayama N, et al. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20336784
- 81. Stamatakos M, et al. World J Surg Oncol (2010) pmid: 21176227
- 82. van Dartel M. et al. Cancer Genet, Cytogenet, (2004) pmid: 15193436
- 83. Both J, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 22292074
- 84. van Dartel M, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (2003)
- 85. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588877
- **86.** Gao J, et al. Sci Signal (2013) pmid: 23550210
- 87. Menssen R, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2012) pmid: 22645139
- 88. Santt O, et al. Mol. Biol. Cell (2008) pmid: 18508925
- 89. Hirai H. et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2010) pmid: 20107315
- 90. Bridges KA, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid:
- 91. Rajeshkumar NV, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid:
- 92. Osman AA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2015) pmid:

25504633

- 93. Leung et al., 2021; ASCO Abstract 4139
- 94. Xu L, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2002) pmid: 12489850
- 95. Xu L, et al. Mol. Med. (2001) pmid: 11713371
- Camp ER, et al. Cancer Gene Ther. (2013) pmid: 23470564
- 97. Kim SS, et al. Nanomedicine (2015) pmid: 25240597
- Pirollo KF, et al. Mol. Ther. (2016) pmid: 27357628
- 99. Leijen S, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27601554
- 100. Moore et al., 2019: ASCO Abstract 5513
- 101. Embaby A, et al. Gynecol Oncol (2023) pmid: 37236033
- 102. Oza et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 5506
- 103. Lee J. et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 31315834 Méndez E, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 29535125
- 105. Seligmann JF, et al. J Clin Oncol (2021) pmid: 34538072
- Gourley et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5571
- 107. Park H, et al. ESMO Open (2022) pmid: 36084396
- 108. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878
- 109 Tsuchiya T, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (2000) pmid: 10942797
- 110. Ito M, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21159888
- 111. Lorenz S, et al. Oncotarget (2016) pmid: 26672768
- 112. Ribi S, et al. Oncotarget (2015) pmid: 25762628
- 113. Miller CW, et al. Cancer Res (1990) pmid: 2253237
- 114. Chen X, et al. Cell Rep (2014) pmid: 24703847
- 115. Chandar N, et al. Br J Cancer (1992) pmid: 1739619
- Wu X, et al. Cancer Epidemiol (2012) pmid: 21890444 117. Brown CJ, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) pmid: 19935675
- Joerger AC, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2008) pmid: 18410249
- Kato S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) pmid:
- 120. Kamada R, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2011) pmid: 20978130
- 121. Zerdoumi Y, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2017) pmid:
- 28472496 **122.** Yamada H, et al. Carcinogenesis (2007) pmid: 17690113
- **123.** Bougeard G, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 26014290
- 124. Sorrell AD, et al. Mol Diagn Ther (2013) pmid: 23355100
- Nichols KE, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2001) pmid: 11219776
- 126. Kleihues P, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (1997) pmid: 9006316
- Gonzalez KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid:
- 128. Lalloo F, et al. Lancet (2003) pmid: 12672316
- 129. Mandelker D, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31050713
- 130. Jaiswal S, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426837 131. Genovese G, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid:
- 25426838
- 132. Xie M, et al. Nat. Med. (2014) pmid: 25326804 133. Acuna-Hidalgo R, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2017) pmid:
- 28669404
- 134. Severson EA, et al. Blood (2018) pmid: 29678827
- 135. Fuster JJ, et al. Circ. Res. (2018) pmid: 29420212 Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program (2018) pmid: 30504320
- 137. Chabon JJ, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32269342
- 138. Razavi P, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 31768066

isclaimer: Foundation Medicine Inc. only provides PDF report as an official issuance of the test result. Any other transformed format is not an "official / formal solution" and not guarantee the accuracy