

# STAT6018 Research Frontiers in Data Science

## Topic II: Introduction to empirical process theory

Yu Gu, PhD  
Assistant Professor

Department of Statistics & Actuarial Science  
The University of Hong Kong

# Table of Contents

## 1 Chapter 2: Glivenko-Cantelli and Donsker Theorems

- Glivenko-Cantelli theorems
- Donsker theorems
- Preservation results

# Table of Contents

- 1 Chapter 2: Glivenko-Cantelli and Donsker Theorems
  - Glivenko-Cantelli theorems
  - Donsker theorems
  - Preservation results

# Glivenko-Cantelli (GC) class

## Definition 1 (GC class)

A function class  $\mathcal{F}$  is called  $P$ -GC if

$$\|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

under the probability measure  $P$ .

- $\|Q\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |Qf|$
- **uniform** almost sure convergence across  $\mathcal{F}$

# GC theorem with bracketing

**Bracket number**  $N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|)$ :

- minimum number of brackets  $[\ell, u]$  with  $\|\ell - u\| < \epsilon$  needed to cover  $\mathcal{F}$
- entropy with bracketing:  $\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|)$

## Theorem 2 (GC with bracketing)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of  $P$ -measurable functions such that

$$N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_1(P)) < \infty, \quad \text{for every } \epsilon > 0.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $P$ -GC.

## GC theorem with bracketing (cont.)

### Proof.

For every  $f \in [\ell_i, u_i]$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} (\mathbb{P}_n - P)f \leq \mathbb{P}_n u_i - P \ell_i \leq (\mathbb{P}_n - P)u_i + \|u_i - \ell_i\|_{L_1(P)} \\ (\mathbb{P}_n - P)f \geq \mathbb{P}_n \ell_i - P u_i \geq (\mathbb{P}_n - P)\ell_i - \|u_i - \ell_i\|_{L_1(P)} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (\mathbb{P}_n - P)f \leq \max_i (\mathbb{P}_n - P)u_i + \epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \epsilon \\ \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (\mathbb{P}_n - P)f \geq \min_i (\mathbb{P}_n - P)\ell_i - \epsilon \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} -\epsilon \end{cases} \quad (\text{by SLLN})$$
$$\Rightarrow \limsup_n \|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \epsilon \text{ almost surely.}$$

Letting  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  yields the desired result. □

# GC theorem without bracketing

**Covering number**  $N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|)$ :

- minimum number of balls  $B(f; \epsilon) := \{g : \|g - f\| \leq \epsilon\}$  needed to cover  $\mathcal{F}$
- entropy without bracketing:  $\log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|)$

**Envelope function**  $F$ :  $|f(x)| \leq F(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$

## Theorem 3 (GC without bracketing)

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of  $P$ -measurable functions with envelope  $F$  such that  $PF < \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_M$  be the class of functions  $f \mathbb{1}\{F \leq M\}$  when  $f$  ranges over  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $P$ -GC if and only if*

$$n^{-1} \log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_M, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n)) \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad \forall \epsilon, M > 0.$$

## GC theorem without bracketing (cont.)

**Symmetrization** (Theorem 1.26):

$$E \|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq 2E \|\mathbb{P}_n^o\|_{\mathcal{F}}$$

Proof of sufficiency.

$$\begin{aligned} E \|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} &\leq 2E_X E_\varepsilon \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i f(X_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}} && \text{(symmetrization)} \\ &\leq 2E_X E_\varepsilon \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i f(X_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_M} + 2P[F\mathbb{1}\{F > M\}] && \text{(triangle inequality)} \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large  $M$ ,  $P[F\mathbb{1}\{F > M\}]$  is arbitrarily small.



## GC theorem without bracketing (cont.)

**Maximal inequality for Rademacher linear combinations** (Corollary 1.25):

$$E \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\xi_i| \leq C \sqrt{\log N} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \|a^{(i)}\|$$

### Proof of sufficiency (cont.)

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the  $\epsilon$ -cover associated with  $N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_M, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n))$ . For any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i f(X_i) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i g(X_i) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i [f(X_i) - g(X_i)] \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i g(X_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{G}} + \epsilon \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\frac{\log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_M, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n))}{n}} \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}_n g^2} + \epsilon \quad (\text{maximal inequality}) \\ &\xrightarrow{P} \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

## GC theorem without bracketing (cont.)

### Proof of sufficiency (cont.)

Letting  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  yields  $\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i)\|_{\mathcal{F}_M} \xrightarrow{P} 0$ . Since  $\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i)\|_{\mathcal{F}_M} \leq M$ , it follows by the dominated convergence theorem that  $E_X E_\epsilon \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_M} \rightarrow 0$ .

Thus, we conclude that  $E \|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow 0$ .

By Lemma 2.4.5 of VW,  $\|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a reverse sub-martingale, thus converges almost surely to a constant, which must be 0 by the convergence in mean.  $\square$

# GC theorem with uniform covering

## Corollary 4

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of  $P$ -measurable functions with envelope  $F$  such that  $PF < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $P$ -GC if

$$\sup_Q N(\epsilon \|F\|_{L_1(Q)}, \mathcal{F}, L_1(Q)) < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

where the supremum is over all probability measures  $Q$  with  $0 < QF < \infty$ .

## Proof.

Assume that  $PF > 0$  (otherwise the result is trivial). There exists an  $\eta \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $1/\eta < \mathbb{P}_n F < \eta$  for all  $n$  large enough. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $K_\epsilon$  such that with probability 1,

$$\log N(\epsilon \eta, \mathcal{F}, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n)) \leq \log N(\epsilon \mathbb{P}_n F, \mathcal{F}, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n)) \leq K_\epsilon$$

for all  $n$  large enough. Thus, for any  $\epsilon, M > 0$ ,

$$\log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_M, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n)) \leq \log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_1(\mathbb{P}_n)) = O_p(1).$$

The desired result follows by Theorem 3. □

# Table of Contents

## 1 Chapter 2: Glivenko-Cantelli and Donsker Theorems

- Glivenko-Cantelli theorems
- Donsker theorems
- Preservation results

# Donsker class

## Definition 5

A function class  $\mathcal{F}$  is called *P-Donsker* if

$$\mathbb{G}_n = \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{P}_n - P) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{G},$$

where  $\mathbb{G}$  is a *tight<sup>a</sup>* random element in  $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{F})$ .

---

<sup>a</sup>  $\Leftrightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists$  a compact set  $V_\epsilon \in \ell^\infty(\mathcal{F})$  s.t.  $P(\mathbb{G}f \in V_\epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon$ , for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- The multivariate CLT ensures marginal convergence of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ :

$$(\mathbb{G}_n f_1, \dots, \mathbb{G}_n f_k) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma), \quad \forall (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \mathcal{F}$$

- It follows that  $\{\mathbb{G}f : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  must be a mean-zero Gaussian process with covariance function  $E\{\mathbb{G}f_1 \mathbb{G}f_2\} = \Sigma(f_1, f_2)$ .
- This and tightness determine  $\mathbb{G}$  to be a *P-Brownian bridge* in  $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{F})$ <sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> By Lemma 1.5.3 of VW.

# Donsker with asymptotic equi-continuity

To prove the Donsker property by definition, we usually need to check:

- Marginal convergence (guaranteed by multivariate CLT)
- Tightness of the limiting process  $\mathbb{G}$ , which is equivalent to both of the following:
  - ▶ *Total boundedness* of  $(\mathcal{F}, d)$ , i.e.,  $N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, d) < \infty$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$
  - ▶ *Asymptotic equicontinuity* of  $(\mathcal{F}, d)$ , i.e., for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^* \left( \sup_{d(f,g) \leq \delta; f,g \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathbb{G}_n(f - g)| > \epsilon \right) = 0$$

The semi-metric  $d$  is usually chosen as the  $L_2(P)$  distance, and  $\mathbb{P}^*$  is outer probability<sup>2</sup>, which behaves like usual probabilities in most cases.

---

<sup>2</sup> the infimum of the probabilities of all measurable sets that contain the event.

## Donsker with asymptotic equi-continuity (cont.)

This is formally stated in the following theorem, which follows immediately from the result of weak convergence of stochastic processes.

### Theorem 6 (Donsker with asymptotic equi-continuity)

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of measurable, square-integrable functions from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |f(x) - Pf| < \infty$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then  $\{\mathbb{G}_n f : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  converges weakly to a tight random element if and only if there exists a semi-metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}, d)$  is totally bounded and for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^* \left( \sup_{d(f,g) \leq \delta; f,g \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathbb{G}_n(f - g)| > \epsilon \right) = 0.$$

# Bracketing entropy integral

- In many cases, bracketing numbers grow to infinity as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ .
- Sufficient condition for Donsker class: bracketing numbers do not grow too fast with  $1/\epsilon$
- **Bracketing entropy integral** measures the speed of growth:

$$J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, L_r(P)) := \int_0^\delta \sqrt{\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_r(P))} d\epsilon$$

- The above integral converges when the bracketing entropy grows with order slower than  $1/\epsilon^2$ .



# Donsker theorem with bracketing

## Theorem 7 (Donsker with bracketing)

Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a class of measurable functions satisfying

$$J_{[]} (1, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P)) < \infty.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $P$ -Donsker.

## Donsker theorem with bracketing (cont.)

The proof of Theorem 7 uses the following maximal inequality:

### Lemma 8 (Maximal inequality)

For any class  $\mathcal{F}$  of measurable functions  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $Pf^2 < \delta^2$ ,

$$E^* \|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P)) + \sqrt{n} P^*[F \mathbb{1}\{F > \sqrt{na}(\delta)\}],$$

where  $x \lesssim y$  means  $x \leq cy$  for some constant  $c > 0$ ,  $F$  is an envelope function of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $a(\delta) = \delta / \sqrt{\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P))}$ .

See Lemma 19.34 of van der Vaart (1998)<sup>3</sup> for the proof.

---

<sup>3</sup> van der Vaart, A. W. (1998). *Asymptotic statistics, volume 3 of Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

# Donsker theorem with bracketing (cont.)

## Proof of Theorem 7.

$\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P))$  is finite, so  $(\mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{L_2(P)})$  is totally bounded.

Define  $\mathcal{G} = \{f - g : f, g \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . It is easy to see that  $G = 2F$  is an envelope for  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, L_2(P)) \leq N_{[]}^2(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}_\delta = \{f - g : f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \|f - g\|_{L_2(P)} \leq \delta\}$ . By Lemma 8, there exists a finite number  $a(\delta) = \delta / \sqrt{\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}_\delta, L_2(P))}$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^* \|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{G}_\delta} &\lesssim J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{G}_\delta, L_2(P)) + \sqrt{n} P[G \mathbb{1}\{G > a(\delta)\sqrt{n}\}] \\ &\leq J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{G}, L_2(P)) + \sqrt{n} P[G \mathbb{1}\{G > a(\delta)\sqrt{n}\}]. \end{aligned}$$

The second term on RHS is bounded by  $a(\delta)^{-1} P[G^2 \mathbb{1}\{G > a(\delta)\sqrt{n}\}]$  and hence converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $\delta$ .

By assumption,  $J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{G}, L_2(P)) \lesssim J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

Thus, by Markov's inequality, the asymptotic equi-continuity condition holds.

The desired result then follows by Theorem 6. □

# Uniform entropy integral

Like GC theorems, an alternative sufficient condition for Donsker property is based on the **uniform entropy integral**:

$$J(\delta, \mathcal{F}, F) = \int_0^\delta \sup_Q \sqrt{\log N(\epsilon \|F\|_{L_2(Q)}, \mathcal{F}, L_2(Q))} d\epsilon,$$

where  $F$  is an envelope of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and the supremum is taken over all finitely discrete probability measures  $Q$  with  $QF^2 > 0$ .

# Donsker theorem without bracketing

## Theorem 9 (Donsker without bracketing)

*Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a pointwise-measurable class of measurable functions satisfying  $PF^2 < \infty$  and*

$$J(1, \mathcal{F}, F) < \infty.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $P$ -Donsker.*

The pointwise-measurable condition suffices that there exists a countable collection  $\mathcal{G}$  of functions such that each  $f$  is the pointwise limit of a sequence  $g_m$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  (see Example 2.3.4 of VW for details).

# Donsker theorem without bracketing (cont.)

The proof of Theorem 9 uses the following maximal inequality:

## Lemma 10 (Maximal inequality)

Suppose  $0 < \|F\|_{L_2(P)} < \infty$ , let  $\sigma^2$  be any positive constant s.t.  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} Pf^2 \leq \sigma^2 \leq \|F\|_{L_2(P)}^2$ . Let  $\delta = \sigma / \|F\|_{L_2(P)}^2$  and  $B = \sqrt{E \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} F^2(X_i)}$ . Then,

$$E\|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{F}} \lesssim J(\delta, \mathcal{F}, F)\|F\|_{L_2(P)} + \frac{BJ^2(\delta, \mathcal{F}, F)}{\delta^2 \sqrt{n}}.$$

See Chernozhukov et al. (2014)<sup>4</sup> for the proof.

<sup>4</sup>Chernozhukov, V., Chetverikov, D., and Kato, K. (2014). Gaussian approximation of suprema of empirical processes. *Ann. Statist.*, 42(4):1564–1597.

# Donsker theorem without bracketing (cont.)

## Proof of Theorem 9.

We first show that  $(\mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_{L_2(P)})$  is totally bounded. For any fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_N \in \mathcal{F}$  s.t.  $P(f_i - f_j)^2 > \epsilon^2 PF^2$ , for every  $i \neq j$ . By LLN,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_n(f_i - f_j)^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} P(f_i - f_j)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}_n F^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} PF^2 \\ \Rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_n(f_i - f_j)^2 > \epsilon^2 PF^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \mathbb{P}_n F^2 < 2PF^2, \quad \text{for some large } n \\ \Rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_n(f_i - f_j)^2 > \epsilon^2 \mathbb{P}_n F^2 / 2 \\ \Rightarrow & N \leq D(\epsilon \|F\|_{L_2(P_n)} / \sqrt{2}, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P_n)) < \infty. \quad (\text{by assumption}) \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $N = D(\epsilon \|F\|_{L_2(P)}, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P))$  yields the total boundedness.

# Donsker theorem without bracketing (cont.)

## Proof of Theorem 9 (cont.)

To verify the asymptotic equi-continuity condition, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{G}_\delta} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_\delta = \{f - g : f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \|f - g\|_{L_2(P)} \leq \delta\}$ .

We observe that  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$  has envelope  $2F$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_Q N(\epsilon \|2F\|_{L_2(Q)}, \mathcal{G}_\delta, L_2(Q)) &\leq \sup_Q N(\epsilon \|2F\|_{L_2(Q)}, \mathcal{G}_\infty, L_2(Q)) \\ &\leq \sup_Q N^2(\epsilon \|F\|_{L_2(Q)}, \mathcal{F}, L_2(Q)), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to  $J(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}_\delta, 2F) \lesssim J(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, F)$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .



# Donsker theorem without bracketing (cont.)

## Proof of Theorem 9 (cont.)

Hence by Lemma 10 with  $\sigma = \delta$  and envelope  $2F$ , we have

$$E\|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{G}_\delta} \leq C \left\{ J(\delta', \mathcal{F}, F) \|F\|_{L_2(P)} + \frac{B_n J^2(\delta', \mathcal{F}, F)}{\delta'^2 \sqrt{n}} \right\},$$

where  $\delta' = \sigma / (2\|F\|_{L_2(P)})$  and  $B_n = 2\sqrt{E \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} F^2(X_i)}$ .

Since  $PF^2 < \infty$ ,  $B_n = o(\sqrt{n})$ . Thus,  $\forall \eta > 0$ , we can choose  $\delta$  small s.t.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\|\mathbb{G}_n\|_{\mathcal{G}_\delta} \leq C(\|F\|_{L_2(P)} + 1)\eta.$$

Hence, the asymptotic equi-continuity condition in (1) is satisfied and we complete the proof. □

# Discussion

- Theorems 7 and 9 are based on finite bracketing entropy integral and uniform entropy integral, respectively.
- Although bracketing entropy integral involves only the true probability measure  $P$ , this gain is offset by the fact that bracketing numbers are usually larger than covering numbers.
- Thus, these two sufficient conditions for Donsker classes are not comparable.

# A general Donsker theorem

Define  $L_{2,\infty}(P)$ -norm as  $\|f\|_{L_{2,\infty}(P)} = \sup_{t>0} \{t^2 P(|f| > t)\}^{1/2}$ . Note that  $\|f\|_{L_{2,\infty}(P)} \leq \|f\|_{L_2(P)}$ . The following general Donsker theorem combines the two entropy integrals:

## Theorem 11 (General Donsker theorem)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of measurable functions such that

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_{2,\infty}(P))} d\epsilon + \int_0^1 \sqrt{\log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P))} d\epsilon < \infty.$$

Moreover, assume that the envelope  $F$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies a weak second moment, i.e.,  $t^2 P^*\{F(X) > t\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $P$ -Donsker.

See Theorem 2.5.6 of VW for the proof.

# Table of Contents

## 1 Chapter 2: Glivenko-Cantelli and Donsker Theorems

- Glivenko-Cantelli theorems
- Donsker theorems
- Preservation results

# GC preservation

## Theorem 12 (GC preservation)

*Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k$  are  $P$ -GC with  $\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|P\|_{\mathcal{F}_j} < \infty$ . Then for any continuous transformation  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , the class  $\mathcal{H} = \phi(\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k)$  is also  $P$ -GC provided it has an integrable envelope.*

See Theorem 3 of van der Vaart and Wellner (2000)<sup>5</sup> for the proof.

<sup>5</sup> van der Vaart, A., & Wellner, J. A. (2000). Preservation theorems for Glivenko-Cantelli and uniform Glivenko-Cantelli classes. In *High dimensional probability II* (pp. 115-133). Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston.

## GC preservation (cont.)

### Corollary 13

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be  $P$ -GC with respective integrable envelopes  $F$  and  $G$ . Then,

- (i)  $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G}$  is  $P$ -GC.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{G}$  is  $P$ -GC if  $P(FG) < \infty$ .
- (iii) Any continuous transformation  $\phi(\mathcal{F})$  is  $P$ -GC provided it has an integrable envelope.

See Corollary 9.27 of Kosorok for the proof.

# Closures and convex hulls

For a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of measurable functions, define the following operations.

**Closure:**

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \left\{ f : \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathbb{R} \mid \exists \{f_m\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } f_m \rightarrow f \text{ both pointwise and in } L_2(P) \right\}$$

**Symmetric convex hull:**

$$\text{sconv}\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i \mid \{f_i\} \in \mathcal{F}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i| \leq 1 \right\}$$

# Donsker preservation

## Theorem 14 (Donsker preservation)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be  $P$ -Donsker. Then,

- (i) For any  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $P$ -Donsker.
- (ii)  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is  $P$ -Donsker.
- (iii)  $sconv\mathcal{F}$  is  $P$ -Donsker.

See Theorems 2.10.1 – 2.10.3 of VW for the proofs.



## Donsker preservation (cont.)

The following theorem establishes Donsker preservation under **Lipschitz transformations** and is one of the most useful preservation results:

### Theorem 15 (Donsker preservation under Lipschitz transformations)

*Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k$  are Donsker classes with  $\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|P\|_{\mathcal{F}_j} < \infty$ . Consider any Lipschitz transformation  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  satisfying*

$$|\phi \circ f(x) - \phi \circ g(x)|^2 \leq c^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \{f_j(x) - g_j(x)\}^2,$$

*for every  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_k$ , every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , and some constant  $c < \infty$ . Then the class  $\phi \circ (\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k)$  is Donsker provided  $\phi \circ f$  is square integrable for at least one  $f \in \mathcal{F}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_k$ .*

See Theorem 2.10.6 and pages 196 – 198 of VW for the proof.

# Donsker preservation (cont.)

## Corollary 16

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be Donsker classes. Then,*

- (i)  $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G}$  are Donsker.*
- (ii) If  $\|P\|_{\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}} < \infty$ , then the pairwise infima  $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{G}$  and the pairwise suprema  $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$  are Donsker.*
- (iii) If  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are uniformly bounded, then  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{G}$  is Donsker.*
- (iv) Any Lipschitz continuous transformation  $\phi(\mathcal{F})$  is Donsker, provided  $\|\phi(f)\|_{L_2(P)} < \infty$  for at least one  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .*
- (v) If  $\|P\|_{\mathcal{F}} < \infty$  and  $g$  is a uniformly bounded, measurable function, then  $\mathcal{F} \cdot g$  is Donsker.*

See Corollary 9.32 of Kosorok for the proof.