

# A new Approximate Bayesian Inference algorithm for Bayesian Lasso: A local approximation correction approach

Yuhao Li

Supervisor: A/Prof. John Ormerod

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Bachelor of Science(Honour)(Data Science)

Mathematics and Statistics



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
**SYDNEY**

June 2023

## **Statement of originality**

This is to certify that to the best of my knowledge, the content of this thesis is my own work. This thesis has not been submitted for any degree or other purposes.

I certify that the intellectual content of this thesis is the product of my own work and that all the assistance received in preparing this thesis and sources have been acknowledged.

Yuhao Li

## Abstract

Variational Approximation: as a deterministic approximation algorithm for Approximate Bayesian Inference(ABI) of intractable posterior distribution, has been applied prevalently for fast Approximate Bayesian Inference(ABI) among the Bayesian Statistical community, while it is also a faster alternative to Monte Carlo methods such as Markov Chain and Monte Carlo(MCMC). The main idea behind Variational Approximation is: given an assumed distribution set, it will search for an optimal posterior distribution by continuing minimizing the gap between true posterior and estimated posterior such as using Kullback–Leibler divergence(KL-divergence) as a distance metric.

Nevertheless, elegant property in MCMC such as obtaining exact posterior if infinite burn-in time period is assigned, doesn't occur in Variational Inference, which means the approximation accuracy will be a pivotal concern as unsatisfied distribution such as under-estimating the variance when the correlation of variables becomes large.

In this thesis, we will firstly introduce lasso distribution, which could be matched for facilitating local parameter estimate, followed by the introduction of two fast and more accurate Variational Approximation algorithms and apply them in the Bayesian Lasso regression problem. The main idea behind these methods involves using the information of local parameter estimates by accommodating the univariate and multivariate lasso distribution, which results in more accurate global parameter approximation through Gaussian Variational Approximation. The first method involves matching with marginal univariate lasso distribution by updating global parameter for each variable per iteration. Additionally, we propose another algorithm for matching a local bivariate lasso distribution for updating global parameter for each pair of variables per iteration, successfully addressing the issue when initial diagonal covariance occurs.

To verify the efficiency and accuracy of our algorithm, numerous experiments would be conducted under real-world datasets such as Hitter Dataset using several evaluation metric such as  $l_1$  accuracy and matrix norm. Our result suggest their high Variational Approximation accuracy with a descent time efficiency, compared with the traditional Monte Carlo

methods and Mean-Field Variational Bayes(MFVB).

## **Acknowledgements**

Thanks to Supervisor and family

Finally, I would like to thank my friends my family: my mother, father, brother, grandparents, and cousins. The last couple years has been full of adversity and I could not have overcome it without your support behind the scenes.

# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Contribution . . . . .	1
1.3 Thesis Organization . . . . .	1
<b>2 Definition and Literature Review</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator(LASSO) penalized regression	3
2.1.1 Lasso penalty formulation . . . . .	3
2.1.2 Bayesian Lasso regression . . . . .	3
2.2 Bayesian Paradigm . . . . .	3
2.3 Variational Inference . . . . .	3
2.3.1 Mean Field Variational Bayes . . . . .	3
2.4 Expectation Maximization . . . . .	3
2.4.1 Bayesian Expectation Maximization . . . . .	3
2.5 Markov Chain Monte Carlo(MCMC) . . . . .	3
2.5.1 Metropolis-Hastings (MH) Algorithm . . . . .	3
2.5.2 Gibbs Sampler . . . . .	3
<b>3 Methodology</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1 Lasso distribution . . . . .	4
3.1.1 Univariate Lasso Distribution . . . . .	4
3.1.2 Multivariate Lasso Distribution . . . . .	4
3.2 Local-Global Algorithm . . . . .	4
<b>4 Experiment Result and Analysis</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1 Experimental Setting . . . . .	5
4.1.1 Parameter selection . . . . .	5

4.1.2	Evaluation metric . . . . .	5
4.1.3	Experimental datasets . . . . .	5
4.2	Experimental Result . . . . .	5
<b>5</b>	<b>Discussion and Conclusion</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>7</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

Bayesian and Frequentist Frequentist Bayesian

Bayesian Inference

Variational Inference

Stochastic Sampling based Approach Deterministic Approach

Variational Approximation: an optimization based technique for approximate bayesian inference, obtain interval estimate and error variance. A class of techniques which try to approximate the intractable posterior distribution with a tractable distribution. Generally the parameters of tractable approximation are chosen to minimize some measure of its distance from the true posterior(KL-divergence)

Bayesian Lasso Problem

### 1.2 Contribution

Our main contribution could be concluded as the following part:

- Design of a new posterior parameter correction approach based on the posterior estimate of Mean-Field Variational Bayes parameter

### 1.3 Thesis Organization

This paper will be divided up into 6 chapters. Chapter 1 will briefly illustrate the motivation and application of variational approximation. Section 2 will briefly introduce basic definition and methodology in previous work such as MCMC(Monte Carlo Method) and Mean-Field Variational Bayes(MFVB). We will present our main methodology of variational



correction algorithm in Chapter 3, followed by a comprehensive experiment for testing the effectiveness of algorithm in Chapter 4. In Chapter 5 and Chapter 6, we will briefly discuss and explain our result and potential improvement in the future.

# Chapter 2

## Definition and Literature Review

### 2.1 Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator(LASSO) penalized regression

#### 2.1.1 Lasso penalty formulation

#### 2.1.2 Bayesian Lasso regression

Univariate Lasso Distribution

Multivariate Lasso Distribution

### 2.2 Bayesian Paradigm

### 2.3 Variational Inference

#### 2.3.1 Mean Field Variational Bayes

### 2.4 Expectation Maximization

#### 2.4.1 Bayesian Expectation Maximization

### 2.5 Markov Chain Monte Carlo(MCMC)

#### 2.5.1 Metropolis–Hastings (MH) Algorithm

#### 2.5.2 Gibbs Sampler

# Chapter 3

## Methodology

### 3.1 Lasso distribution

#### 3.1.1 Univariate Lasso Distribution

Basic Property

Derivation

#### 3.1.2 Multivariate Lasso Distribution

Basic Property

Derivation

### 3.2 Local-Global Algorithm

# Chapter 4

## Experiment Result and Analysis

### 4.1 Experimental Setting

#### 4.1.1 Parameter selection

#### 4.1.2 Evaluation metric

L1 accuracy

(MORE) Matrix norm Posterior cov and estimated Cov

Objective: Use math to find posterior mode of lasso distribution: Given  $a, b, c$  Task: Check if posterior estimate reach Metric: Use Posterior TP/FP Rate(Soft thresholding operator) Check if a local parameter mode is close to 0, compared with true parameter and use this for Variable Selection

Expectation: posterior mode sparse, posterior mean not sparse

#### 4.1.3 Experimental datasets

toy dataset 3-4 datasets

### 4.2 Experimental Result

# Chapter 5

## Discussion and Conclusion

# Bibliography