

Single index model - short sales not allowed
Risk free asset exists
Kuhn-Tucker conditions

If we assume short sales then we can simply maximize the slope and find the tangent to the efficient frontier subject to the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^N x_i = 1$

$$\max \theta = \frac{\bar{R}_G - R_f}{\sigma_G}$$

To find the x'_i s we take derivatives w.r.t. each x_i set them equal to zero and solve ...

$$\frac{d\theta}{dx_i} = z_i \sigma_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i}^N z_j \sigma_{ij} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

or

$$\bar{R}_i - R_f = z_i \sigma_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i}^N z_j \sigma_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

If short sales are not allowed we have an extra set of constraints $x_i \geq 0$. We still take the derivative w.r.t. each x_i but now if the maximum occurs at $x_i < 0$ then it is not feasible for our problem. Then $\frac{d\theta}{dx_i} < 0$. But if the maximum occurs at a positive x_i then $\frac{d\theta}{dx_i} = 0$ (see figure below). To summarize

$$\frac{d\theta}{dx_i} \leq 0$$

which can me written as equality

$$\frac{d\theta}{dx_i} + u_i = 0, \quad \text{this is the first Kuhn-Tucker condition.}$$

About u_i : If the maximum occurs at a positive x_i then $u_i = 0$. If the maximum occurs at $x_i = 0$ then $\frac{d\theta}{dx_i} < 0$ and therefore $u_i > 0$. This is the second Kuhn-Tucker condition and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x_i u_i &= 0 \\ x_i &\geq 0 \\ u_i &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now the system of equations with the Kuhn-Tucker conditions will be:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_i - R_f &= z_i \sigma_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i}^N z_j \sigma_{ij} - u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \\ z_i u_i &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \\ z_i &\geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \\ u_i &\geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

If the single index model is assumed then

$$\sigma_{ij} = \beta_i \beta_j \sigma_m^2, \quad \text{and } \sigma_i^2 = \beta_i^2 \sigma_m^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2$$

If we substitute this into the first Kuhn-Tucker condition we get

$$\bar{R}_i - R_f = z_i(\beta_i^2 \sigma_m^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2) + \sum_{j \neq i}^N z_j \beta_i \beta_j \sigma_m^2 - u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

If we combine the terms on the right side we get

$$\bar{R}_i - R_f = z_i \sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N z_j \beta_i \beta_j \sigma_m^2 - u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

Suppose now that k out of N securities will be included in the optimum portfolio. For those that are not included $z_i = 0$ and the summation in the previous expression will concern only the securities in the set of k securities

$$\bar{R}_i - R_f = z_i \sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2 + \sum_{j \in k} z_j \beta_i \beta_j \sigma_m^2 - u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

But then for those securities that have positive z_i the corresponding $u_i = 0$. We can write now

$$\bar{R}_i - R_f = z_i \sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2 + \sum_{j \in k} z_j \beta_i \beta_j \sigma_m^2, \text{ for } j \in k$$

Solve for z_i

$$z_i = \frac{\beta_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2} \left[\frac{\bar{R}_i - R_f}{\beta_i} - \sigma_m^2 \sum_{j \in k} z_j \beta_j \right], \text{ for } i \in k \quad (1)$$

We need an expression of $\sum z_j \beta_j$. If we multiply (1) by β_i and some over the set of k securities we get

$$\sum_{i \in k} z_i \beta_i = \sum_{i \in k} \frac{(\bar{R}_i - R_f) \beta_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2} - \sigma_m^2 \sum_{i \in k} \frac{\beta_i^2}{\sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2} \sum_{j \in k} z_j \beta_j$$

Solve for $\sum z_j \beta_j$ to get:

$$\sum_{j \in k} z_j \beta_j = \frac{\sum_{j \in k} \frac{(\bar{R}_i - R_f) \beta_j}{\sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2}}{1 + \sigma_m^2 \sum_{j \in k} \frac{\beta_j^2}{\sigma_{\epsilon_j}^2}}$$

Expression (1) can be written as:

$$z_i = \frac{\beta_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2} \left[\frac{\bar{R}_i - R_f}{\beta_i} - C^* \right]$$

where

$$C^* = \sigma_m^2 \sum_{j \in k} z_j \beta_j = \sigma_m^2 \frac{\sum_{j \in k} \frac{(\bar{R}_i - R_f) \beta_j}{\sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2}}{1 + \sigma_m^2 \sum_{j \in k} \frac{\beta_j^2}{\sigma_{\epsilon_j}^2}}$$