

MH1300 Final Exam Solutions

AY 21/22

①

Q1(a) Let a, b be arbitrary integers.

Mtd 1: We divide into cases.

Case 1: $a-b$ is odd. Then $a+b = a-b+2b = \text{odd} + \text{even}$ is odd. Therefore, $(a+b)(a-b) = \text{odd} \times \text{odd} = \text{odd}$.

Therefore, $a^2 - b^2 = (a+b)(a-b)$ is odd.

So, $a^2 + b^2 = a^2 - b^2 + 2b^2 = \text{odd} + \text{even} = \text{odd}$.

So, $a-b$ and $a^2 + b^2$ have same parity.

Case 2: $a-b$ is even. Then $a+b = a-b+2b = \text{even} + \text{even} = \text{even}$. Thus, $a^2 - b^2 = (a+b)(a-b) = \text{even} \times \text{even} = \text{even}$.

So, $a^2 + b^2 = a^2 - b^2 + 2b^2 = \text{even} + \text{even} = \text{even}$.

So, $a-b$ and $a^2 + b^2$ have same parity.

Q 1(a)Mtd 2 Divide into four cases:Case 1: a even, b evenCase 2: a even, b oddCase 3: a odd, b evenCase 4: a odd, b odd

In each case, determine the parity of $a-b$ and $a^2 + b^2$, and conclude they have the same parity.

Mtd 3: Since $\text{even}^2 = \text{even}$ and $\text{odd}^2 = \text{odd}$,

See that a and a^2 have same parity,
and $-b$ and b^2 have same parity.

Hence, $a-b$ and $a^2 + b^2$ have the same parity.

Q1(b)

Mtd 1 : Using truth tables

P	q	r	$q \wedge \neg r$	$p \rightarrow (q \wedge \neg r)$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	$[p \rightarrow (q \wedge \neg r)] \rightarrow [\neg q \rightarrow \neg p]$
T	T	T	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	T

↑

All values of the
Out put column is true,
So it is a tautology.

Mtd 2 : Using logical equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [p \rightarrow (q \wedge \neg r)] \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) && [\text{Using } a \rightarrow b \equiv \neg a \vee b] \\
 \equiv & [\neg p \vee (q \wedge \neg r)] \rightarrow [\neg \neg q \vee \neg p] && [\text{Using } a \rightarrow b \equiv \neg a \vee b] \\
 \equiv & \neg [\neg p \vee (q \wedge \neg r)] \vee [\neg \neg q \vee \neg p] && [\text{Distributive law}]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boxed{Q1(b)} &\equiv \neg [(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg r)] \vee [\neg r q \vee \neg p] && \textcircled{4} \text{ [De Morgan's Law]} \\
&\equiv [\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg(\neg p \vee \neg r)] \vee [\neg r q \vee \neg p] && \text{[Associative law]} \\
&\equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee [\neg(\neg p \vee \neg r) \vee (\neg r q \vee \neg p)] && \text{[Commutative law]} \\
&\equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee [(\neg r q \vee \neg p) \vee \neg(\neg p \vee \neg r)] && \text{[Associative law]} \\
&\equiv [\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg r q \vee \neg p)] \vee \neg(\neg p \vee \neg r) && \text{[Double Negation]} \\
&\equiv [\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (q \vee \neg p)] \vee \neg(\neg p \vee \neg r) && \text{[Commutative law]} \\
&\equiv [\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg p \vee q)] \vee \neg(\neg p \vee \neg r) && \text{[Negation law]} \\
&\equiv T \vee \neg(\neg p \vee \neg r) && \text{[Universal Bound law]} \\
&\equiv T
\end{aligned}$$

So, $[p \rightarrow (q \wedge \neg r)] \rightarrow [\neg q \rightarrow \neg p]$ is
a tautology.

(5)

Q1(c)

There are many possible answers:

$$\begin{aligned}
 * \quad S \rightarrow (t \rightarrow t) &\equiv \neg S \vee (t \rightarrow t) && [\text{using } a \rightarrow b \equiv \neg a \vee b] \\
 &\equiv \neg S \vee (\neg t \vee t) && [\text{using } a \rightarrow b \equiv \neg a \vee b] \\
 &\equiv \neg S \vee T && [\text{Negation law}] \\
 &\equiv T && [\text{Universal Bound}]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 * \quad S \rightarrow (t \rightarrow S) &\equiv \neg S \vee (\neg t \vee S) && [a \rightarrow b \equiv \neg a \vee b] \\
 &\equiv \neg S \vee (S \vee \neg t) && [\text{Commutative law}] \\
 &\equiv (\neg S \vee S) \vee \neg t && [\text{Associative law}] \\
 &\equiv T \vee \neg t && [\text{Negation law}] \\
 &\equiv T && [\text{Universal Bound}]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 * \quad (S \rightarrow S) \rightarrow (t \rightarrow t) \\
 * \quad (S \rightarrow t) \rightarrow (S \rightarrow t)
 \end{aligned}
 \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} * \\ * \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{ can also show tautology.}$$

(6)

Q2(a)

False. Take $a=4$, $b=9$. Then a, b are composite, since $a, b > 1$ and $a = 2 \times 2$
 $b = 3 \times 3$

but $a+b = 13$ is prime.

Q2(b)

False. Take $c=d=4$ and $e=8$

Then c, d, e are positive integers and

$c \mid e$ is true (since $4 \cdot 2 = 8$)

$d \mid e$ is true (since $4 \cdot 2 = 8$)

and $c \neq e$ and $d \neq e$ and $c \cdot d = 16$ does not divide $8 = e$.

Q2(c)

True. Let $A \subseteq B$.

Let $(a, b) \in A \times A$. Then, $a \in A$ and $b \in A$.

Since $A \subseteq B$, so, $a \in B$ and $b \in B$.

So, $(a, b) \in B \times B$.

Hence, $A \times A \subseteq B \times B$.

Q2(d)

Take $C = \{0\}$, $D = E = \emptyset$.

Then $(C \cup D) \cap E = (\{0\} \cup \emptyset) \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$

$C \cup (D \cap E) = C \cup \emptyset = C = \{0\}$.

So, $(C \cup D) \cap E \neq C \cup (D \cap E)$

Q3(a)

7

Notice that $F(a,b)$ is defined recursively

in the second input b . So, we prove by induction

$$\forall c \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ if } c \geq 0 \text{ then } \underbrace{F(F(a,b), c)}_{P(c)} = F(a, F(b,c))$$

Where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ are fixed.

$$P(0): F(F(a,b), 0) = F(a,b) = F(a, F(b,0))$$

Fix $k \geq 0$ and assume $P(k)$, i.e. $F(F(a,b), c) = F(a, F(b,c))$

$$F(F(a,b), c+1) = F(F(a,b), c) + 1 \quad (\text{def of } F)$$

$$= F(a, F(b,c)) + 1 \quad (\text{by IH})$$

$$= F(a, F(b,c)+1) \quad (\text{def of } F)$$

$$= F(a, F(b, c+1)) \quad (\text{def of } F)$$

By math induction, $F(F(a,b), c) = F(a, F(b,c))$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$.

(8)

Q3(b)

Let $P(m)$: $4^{m+1} + 5^{2m-1}$ is divisible by 21.

$$a = 1.$$

Basis step : when $m=1$, $4^{1+1} + 5^{2 \cdot 1 - 1} = 4^2 + 5^1$
 $= 16 + 5 = 21$,
 which is divisible by 21.

So, $P(1)$ is true.

Inductive step : Fix $k \geq 1$ and assume $P(k)$ is true,

i.e. $4^{k+1} + 5^{2k-1} = 21x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\text{Now, } 4^{(k+1)+1} + 5^{2(k+1)-1} = 4 \cdot 4^{k+1} + 5^{2k-1+2}$$

$$= 4 \cdot 4^{k+1} + 25 \cdot 5^{2k-1}$$

$$= 4 \cdot 4^{k+1} + 4 \cdot 5^{2k-1} + 21 \cdot 5^{2k-1}$$

$$= 4(4^{k+1} + 5^{2k-1}) + 21 \cdot 5^{2k-1}$$

$$(\text{by IH}) = 4 \cdot 21x + 21 \cdot 5^{2k-1}$$

$$= 21(4x + 5^{2k-1}).$$

By MI, $P(n)$
is true
for all
 $n \geq 0$

Since $k \geq 1$, $5^{2k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $4x + 5^{2k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So, 21 divides $4^{(k+1)+1} + 5^{2(k+1)-1}$, and $P(k+1)$ true

(9)

Q4(a)

Let a, b, c, d be integers such that
 $d|a$ and $d|b$ and $d|c$.

By the definition of divisibility, let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$

Such that $dx = a$, $dy = b$ and $dz = c$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } ab + ac + bc &= (dx)(dy) + (dx)(dz) + (dy)(dz) \\ &= d^2xy + d^2xz + d^2yz \\ &= d^2(xy + xz + yz). \end{aligned}$$

Since $xy + xz + yz \in \mathbb{Z}$, so, we conclude that

$$d^2 \mid ab + ac + bc.$$

Q4(b)

Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $r \neq 0$.

$$\text{let } x = r\sqrt{2} \text{ and } y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then x is irrational as it is the product of
 a non zero rational number with an irrational number.

Also, $y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sqrt{2}$ is also irrational as it is

also the product of a non zero rational number with an irrational.

we can express $r = xy$.

Q5(a)Let A, B be sets and $f: A \rightarrow B$.Let $X \subseteq A, Y \subseteq B$.

$$\underline{f(f^{-1}(Y)) \subseteq Y}: \text{ Let } y \in f(f^{-1}(Y)).$$

Then $y = f(x)$ for some $x \in f^{-1}(Y)$.Since $x \in f^{-1}(Y)$, we know that $f(x) \in Y$.So, $y = f(x) \in Y$.

$$\underline{X \subseteq f^{-1}(f(X))}: \text{ Let } x \in X. \text{ Therefore, } f(x) \in f(X)$$

Since $f(x) \in f(X)$, this means that

$$x \in f^{-1}(f(X)).$$

Note: The relevant definitions used here are:

$$f(X) = \{ f(a) \in B \mid a \in X \}$$

$$f^{-1}(Y) = \{ a \in A \mid f(a) \in Y \}$$

Q 5(b)

(11)

Let $g: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be $g(n) = 2n \bmod 3$

Then for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2n = 3(2n \operatorname{div} 3) + (2n \bmod 3)$

where $0 \leq 2n \bmod 3 < 3$.

Hence $g(n) = 0, 1$ or 2 . So g is not surjective,

for example, $g(n) \neq 3$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So we see that $\operatorname{range}(g) \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Now we show $\{0, 1, 2\} \subseteq \operatorname{range}(g)$.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} g(0) = 0 \bmod 3 = 0 \\ g(1) = 2 \bmod 3 = 2 \\ g(2) = 4 \bmod 3 = 1 \end{array} \right\} \text{ So, } 0, 1, 2 \in \operatorname{range}(g).$$

$\therefore \operatorname{range}(g) = \{0, 1, 2\}$.

g is not injective since $0 \neq 3$ but $g(0) = 0 \bmod 3 = 0$

and $g(3) = 3 \bmod 3 = 0$.

So $g(0) = g(3)$.

(12)

Q6(a)Solve $z^6 = 1 = e^{i0} \rightarrow r=1, \theta=0.$

$$z = r^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{i \frac{\theta + 2k\pi}{n}}, \quad n=6, \theta=0, k=0,1,2,\dots,5$$

$r=1$

↑
general formula
for n^{th} root

$$z = e^{i0}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{6}}, e^{i\frac{4\pi}{6}}, e^{i\frac{6\pi}{6}}, e^{i\frac{8\pi}{6}}, e^{i\frac{10\pi}{6}}$$

$$= 1, e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}, e^{i\pi}, e^{i\frac{4\pi}{3}}, e^{i\frac{5\pi}{3}}$$

Q6(b)

$$\neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg r \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$$

$$\neg r \vee s$$

$$s \rightarrow (t \wedge u)$$

$$\therefore u$$

Argument:

$$\neg p \vee \neg q$$

(Premise #1)

$$\neg (p \wedge q)$$

(De Morgan's Law)

$$\neg r \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$$

(Premise #2)

$$\neg \neg r$$

(Modus Tollens)

$$\neg r \vee s$$

(Premise #3)

$$s$$

(Elimination)

$$s \rightarrow (t \wedge u)$$

(Premise #4)

$$t \wedge u$$

(Modus Ponens)

$$u$$

(Specialisation)

Q7(a)

$(x_1, x_2) R (x_3, x_4)$ iff $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$. (13)

Reflexive: Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then as $x = x$,

$(x, y) R (x, y)$ holds.

Symmetric: Let $(x_1, x_2) R (x_3, x_4)$. Then $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$,
 $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ or 4 .

Then $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$, $i, j = 3, 4, 1$ or 2 .

So, $(x_3, x_4) R (x_1, x_2)$.

Transitive: Not transitive.

Example, $(1, 2) R (1, 3)$ since $1 = 1$

and $(1, 3) R (4, 3)$ since $3 = 3$

but $(1, 2) \not R (4, 3)$ since $1, 2, 4, 3$ are distinct.

Another example, $(0, 0) R (x, y)$ holds for every $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

But we can certainly pick two pairs unrelated, so for instance,

$(1, 2) R (0, 0)$

$(0, 0) R (3, 4)$

but $(1, 2) \not R (3, 4)$.

Q7(b)

14

Suppose T is reflexive on A

$$\text{s.t. } \forall x, y, z \in A, \text{ if } xTy \ \& \ xTz \Rightarrow y=z.$$

To show T is an equiv. relation, we need to show
 T is symmetric and T is transitive.

T Symmetric: let $x, y \in A$ s.t. xTy .

Since T is reflexive, xTx . Hence, $x=y$.

So, yTx is true.

T transitive: let $x, y, z \in A$ s.t. xTy & yTz .

Since T is reflexive, xTx and yTy .

So, $x=y$ and $y=z$. Therefore, xTz .

If $x \in A$ then $[x] = \{x\}$, because if $y \in [x]$
then xTy . But as T is reflexive, xTx and
hence $x=y$. So the equivalence classes of
 T are $\{x\}$ for each $x \in A$.