7.5 Urban/rural ratings of counties. Refer to the *Professional Geographer* (February 2000) study of urban and rural counties in the western United States, Exercise 4.16 (p.190). Recall that six independent variables—total county population (x_1) , population density (x_2) , population concentration (x_3) , population growth (x_4) , proportion of county land in farms (x_5) , and 5-year change in agricultural land base (x_6) —were used to model the urban/rural rating (y) of a county. Prior to running the multiple regression analysis, the researchers were concerned about possible multicollinearity in the data. The correlation matrix (shown on the next page) is a table of correlations between all pairs of the independent variables.

INDEPENDENT VARIABLE			<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	<i>x</i> ₄	<i>x</i> ₅
x 1	Total population					
\mathbf{x}_2	Population density	.20				
X3	Population concentration	.45	.43			
x_4	Population growth	05	14	01		
X5	Farm land	16	15	07	20	
x 6	Agricultural change	12	12	22	06	06

Source: Berry, K. A., et al. "Interpreting what is rural and urban for western U.S. counties," *Professional Geographer*, Vol. 52, No. 1, Feb. 2000 (Table 2).

(a) Based on the correlation matrix, is there any evidence of extreme multicollinearity?

Ans: No because of no strong correlation observed from the table above (b) Refer to the multiple regression results in the table given in Exercise 4.16 (p. 190). Based on the reported tests, is there any evidence of extreme multicollinearity?

INDEPENDENT VARIABLE	β ESTIMATE	p-VALUE				
x ₁ : Total population	0.110	0.045				
x ₂ : Population density	0.065	0.230				
x3: Population concentration	0.540	0.000				
x4: Population growth	-0.009	0.860				
x ₅ : Farm land	-0.150	0.003				
x ₆ : Agricultural change	-0.027	0.580				
Overall model: $R^2 = .44$ $R_a^2 = .43$ $F = 32.47$ p -value $< .001$						

Source: Berry, K. A., et al. "Interpreting what is rural and urban for western U.S. counties," *Professional Geographer*, Vol. 52, No. 1, Feb. 2000 (Table 2).

Ans: no because we did not observe nonsignificant *t*-tests for all (or nearly all) the individual parameters.

7.10 FDA investigation of a meat-processing plant. A particular meat-processing plant slaughters steers and cuts and wraps the beef for its customers. Suppose a complaint has been filed with the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) against the processing plant. The complaint alleges that the consumer does not get all the beef from the steer he purchases. In particular, one consumer purchased a 300-pound steer but received only 150 pounds of cut and wrapped beef. To settle the complaint, the FDA collected data on the live weights and dressed weights of nine steers processed by a reputable meat-processing plant (not the firm in question). The results are listed in the table.

STEERS

_			
LIVE WEIGHT x, pounds	DRESSED WEIGHT y, pounds		
420 380 480 340 450 460 430 370 390	280 250 310 210 290 p80 270 240 250		

0.62597

(a) Fit the model $E(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ to the data. > x<-c(420,380,480,340,450,460,430,370,390) > y<-c(280,250,310,210,290,280,270,240,250) > fit<-lm(y~x) > fit $lm(formula = y \sim x)$ Coefficients: (Intercept) 0.626 5.711 > summary(fit) Call: $lm(formula = y \sim x)$ Residuals: 1Q Median -13.656 -4.877 2.603 3.824 11.382 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 5.71059 26.31520 0.217 0.834

Ans: E(y) = 5.711 + 0.626x

(b) Construct a 95% prediction interval for the dressed weight y of a 300-pound steer.

0.06331 9.887 2.31e-05 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 8.303 on 7 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9332, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9236 F-statistic: 97.75 on 1 and 7 DF, p-value: 2.306e-05

Ans: 95% PI (166.7388, 220.2637)

(c) Would you recommend that the FDA use the interval obtained in part (b) to determine whether the dressed weight of 150 pounds is a reasonable amount to receive from a 300-pound steer? Explain.

Ans: No, extrapolation (300 is not in the range of x's observed)