Monthly Meeting on July

Yuichiro Honda Morita lab. M1

2016/07/06

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Progress
- 3 Next step

matroid

definition

Let M = (S, I) where S is ground set, and I is a family satisfying $I \subseteq 2^S$. M is called a **matroid** when I satisfies:

$$\emptyset \in \mathcal{I} \tag{1}$$

$$I_1 \subset I_2, I_2 \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow I_1 \in \mathcal{I} \tag{2}$$

$$I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}, |I_1| < |I_2| \Rightarrow \exists i_2 \in I_2 ; \ I_1 \cup \{i_2\} \in \mathcal{I}$$
 (3)

I is called an **independent set family**.

A maximal element in \mathcal{I} with respect to order " \subseteq " is called a base.

Evary base is the same size. This size is called **rank**.

matroid examples

vector matroid

Linearly independent sets construct matroid:

$$a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, a_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, a_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

ground set S is $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$, and \mathcal{I} consists of linearly independent combinations, that:

$$\begin{split} I &= \{\emptyset, \{a_1\}, \{a_2\}, \{a_3\}, \{a_4\},\\ &\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_1, a_4\}, \{a_2, a_3\},\\ &\{a_2, a_4\}, \{a_3, a_4\}, \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}, \{a_1, a_3, a_4\}, \{a_2, a_3, a_4\} \end{split}$$

Then I satisfies (1), (2), (3).

matroid examples

graphic matroid

In graph theory, forests construct matroid:

Let G = (V, E) be a graph where V is a set of verteces and E is a set of edges:



When I is a family of forests, such as:



Then I satisfies (1), (2), (3).

- Introduction
- 2 Progress
- 3 Next step

ON DISJOINT COMMON BASES IN TWO MATROIDS

problem 1

Let $M_1=(S,\mathcal{I}_1)$ and $M_2=(S,\mathcal{I}_2)$ be matroids on the ground set S, where \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 are the respective families of independent sets. A set $B\subseteq S$ that is both a base of M_1 and M_2 is called a common base. The problem is to decide whether S can be partitioned into common bases.



Figure: partitioned into bases

ON DISJOINT COMMON BASES IN TWO MATROIDS

conjecture 2 (Rota's conjecture)

Let M=(T,I) be a matroid of rank n. Let $A_1,...,A_n$ be a partition of T into bases of M. Then there are disjoint bases $B_1,...,B_n$ such that $|A_i \cap B_j| = 1$ for every i=1,...,n and j=1,...,n.

Next conjecture is the generalization of Rota's conjecture:

conjecture 3 (Chow's conjecture)

Let M=(T,I) be a matroid of rank n with the property that T can be partitioned into b bases where $3 \le b \le n$. Let $I_1,...,I_n \in I$ be disjoint independent sets, each size at most b. Then there exists a partition of T into sets $A_1,...,A_n$ such that $I_i \subseteq A_i$ and $|A_i| = b$ for every i=1,...,n, and there exist disjoint bases $B_1,...,B_b$ such that $|A_i \cap B_j| = 1$ for every i=1,...,n and j=1,...,b.

ON DISJOINT COMMON BASES IN TWO MATROIDS

theorem 4

Problem 1 can be solved in polynomial time if and only if this is under the additional assumption that one of the matroids is a direct sum of uniform matroids.

definition

Let $M_1 = (E_1, \mathcal{I}_1), \ M_2 = (E_2, \mathcal{I}_2)$ be matroids where $E_1, E_2 \neq \emptyset, E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$.

M is called a **direct sum** of 2 matroids, M_1 and M_2 when:

$$M = (E_1 \cup E_2, I_1 \oplus I_2)$$

where $I_1 \oplus I_2 = \{X_1 \cup X_2 \mid X_1 \in I_1, X_2 \in I_2\}$

uniform matroid

Let U = (S, I) be a matroid where $I \in 2^S$ satisfies:

$$\mathcal{I} = \{I \mid |I| \leq k\}$$

Then U is a matroid of rank k. This U is called a **uniform matroid**.

claim 5

Conjecture 3 is false for every b such that $2 \le b \le \frac{n}{3}$.

corollary 6

Problem 1 can be solved in polynomial time if and only if this is true under the additional assumption that M_2 is a direct sum of uniform matroids whose blocks are each independent in M_1 .

- Introduction
- Progress
- 3 Next step

next month

Yet these methods show that Chow's conjecture is false when $3 \le b \le \frac{n}{3}$, Rota's conjecture is still alive since it's Chow's conjecture in the case of b=n. There's still room for research about Chow's conjecture. Also, computational complexity of problem 1 is still open. This paper gives neccesary and sufficient condition to solve problem 1 in polynomial time only when problem 1 can be solved. It's yet to be discovered exactly when problem 1 can be solved. What I'm going to do next month is to think about these problems.

Thank you for your attention.