

1. Explanation of poles

We have proven that for $A(x) \in \mathcal{S}$:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow w, \text{Im}z \neq 0} \int_R \frac{A(x)}{z - x} dx = P.V. \int_R \frac{A(x)}{w - x} dx - i\pi \text{sgn}(\eta) A(w)$$

And therefore every point w s.t. $A(w) > 0$ is a singularity on \mathbb{R} .

Pole is a kind of isolated singularity. So there is no poles on \mathbb{R} .

And we can prove that with a good $A(x)$ we can analytic extend $G(z)$, $\text{Im}z > 0$ to the whole complex plane.

Refer to [Discussion About Poles Of Green functions](#) for details.

2. How discrete spectral change to continuous gradually

Please refer to above pdf as well.

3. How aaa algorithm work

It's a interesting algorithm because it's most important idea is not barycentric but deviding a set of points into 2 parts. One of them is insert points set and the second is checking points set.

The reason is you can't decide the weight just with all ponits as insert points.

Denote chosen points as A , and set of waiting points as B . Assume that

$$A = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}, B = \{z_{n+1}, \dots, z_m\}$$

Now consider:

$$L = \left(\frac{G(z_j) - G(z_k)}{z_j - z_k} \right)_{jk}$$

Then we get a sub matrix L_n from it by get the first n columns and $n + 1, \dots, m$ rows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 m-n \\
 \left[\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & \\
 & \ddots & \\
 & \frac{G(iw_j) - G(iw_k)}{z_j - z_k} & \dots \\
 & & \ddots & \\
 & & & 0
 \end{array} \right]
 \end{array}$$

n

Now for

$$G(z) \simeq \frac{N_n(z)}{D_n(z)}$$

$$N_n(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j G(z_j)}{z - z_j}, \quad D_n(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{z - z_j}$$

We have

$$(GD_n - N_n)(B) = L_n w$$

$$\implies \min_w \|(GD_n - L_n)(B)\|_{L^2} = \min_w \|L_n w\| = \min \sigma(L_n)$$

Then we can use svd to find such $\min \sigma(L_n)$ and related w .

Then we chose

$$z_{new} = \operatorname{argmax}_{z \in B} \left| G(z) - \frac{N_n(z)}{D_n(z)} \right|$$

Add z_{new} into A and delete it from B and continue iteration.

Now we see the code.

(Refer to ACFlowSensitivity)

4. Discrete G with pole

It's easy to check that by adjusting w , $\frac{N}{D}$ can be a function with some poles.

Why I don't test ACFlow: It claims that its barycentric method is not stable and in fact it does. For a Discrete example, its barycentric method can't find any poles and give a error. But infact even if we just ues the aaa written by myself, it can reconstruct atleast one pole.

5. Improvement of aaa

(1) How to proportionally adjust the weights in the Barycentric algorithm ?

(2) The idea of aaa can be transmitted to descrete situation by replacing Lowner mateix with Cauchy matrix.