

Dimensionality, Path Dependence, and Consistency

Consistency is especially bad.

Dimensionality is what's lacking from all (count them, all) "quality" metrics in medicine. Removing them completely would be a net improvement for patients and doctors (but not for the administrators, which is why they persist)



Nassim Nicholas Taleb  @nntaleb · 9h

Much of consistency is compliance with bad, simplistic models; most "irrational" inconsistencies go away under more dimensionality. I prefer (Turkish) coffee to wine at 7 AM, wine to coffee at 7 PM, salty over sweet before a meal, etc. [twitter.co...](#)



Miloš Miljković @miljko · 23h

I should stop reading these SV essays, but once you realize that a whole generation of adults has been brought up thinking this was wisdom, other goings on in the world start making more sense



The first principle of being successful is to be lucky
[blog.miljko.org](#)



1



2



11



1.1K



This issue I have with point is luck actually coarse-graining. Of course, take for example the success of Zuckerberg, when came up with Facebook he is not going to coarse grain his achievement and say instead it is deterministic, whereas jealous commentators with goals to soothe their egos are going to coarse-grain it into “luck”.



There is also one issue, but to make things consistent (wink), one can think of it is as rualial sampling/-coarse-graining. We are used to coarse-grain and look at things at different ways.