## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

MARK S. HOLDEN, RICHARD ANDISIO, EDWARD MARSHALL, ANN MARIE MARSHALL, ARTHUR CHRISTIANI, JOHNIELLE DWYER, PAWEL KRZYKOWSKI, MARIOLA KRZYNOWEK, JAMES HOWE, and CINDY A. PEREIRA, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

GUARDIAN ANALYTICS, INC., ACTIMIZE INC., and WEBSTER BANK, N.A.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-2115 (WJM)

ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENTS, AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES, AND CASE CONTRIBUTION AWARDS

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Settlement Agreement (the "Motion") requesting that the Court enter an Order granting final approval of the class action settlement involving Plaintiffs Mark S. Holden, Richard Andisio, Edward Marshall, Ann Marie Marshall, Arthur Christiani, Johnielle Dwyer, Pawel Krzykowski, Mariola Krzynowek, James Howe, and Cindy A. Pereira, individually and on behalf of the proposed Settlement Class, and Defendants Guardian Analytics, Inc. ("Guardian"), Actimize Inc. ("Actimize"), Webster Bank, N.A. ("Webster Bank") (together, "Defendants") as fair, reasonable, and adequate.

Having reviewed and considered the Settlement Agreement and Plaintiffs' Motion, and having conducted a Final Approval Hearing on June 4, 2024, the Court makes the findings and grants the relief set forth below approving the settlement upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Final Approval Order.

THE COURT not being required to conduct a trial on the merits of the case or determine with certainty the factual and legal issues in dispute when determining whether to approve a proposed class action settlement; and

THE COURT being required under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e) to make the findings and conclusions hereinafter set forth for the limited purpose of determining whether the settlement should be approved as being fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members;

## IT IS ON THIS 5th day of June, 2024, ORDERED

that:

- The settlement involves allegations in Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Class Action
   Complaint that Defendants failed to safeguard and protect the sensitive and personal data of Plaintiffs
   and the Settlement Class Members.
- 2. The settlement does not constitute an admission of liability by Defendants, and the Court expressly does not make any finding of liability or wrongdoing by Defendants.
- 3. Unless otherwise noted, words spelled in this Final Approval Order with initial capital letters have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 4. On January 3, 2024, the Court entered an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Preliminary Approval Order"), which, among other things: (a) approved the Class Notice to the Settlement Class, including approval of the form and manner of notice set forth in the Settlement Agreement; (b) provisionally certified a class in this matter, including defining the Settlement Class; (c) appointed Plaintiffs as the Class Representatives and Barnow and Associates, P.C. and Charles E. Schaffer of Levin Sedran & Berman, LLC as Class Counsel; (d) preliminarily approved the settlement; (e) set deadlines for opt-outs and objections;

(f) approved and appointed the Claims Administrator; and (g) set the date for the Final Approval Hearing.

5. In the Preliminary Approval Order, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) and 23(e) the Court defined the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only. The Court defined the Settlement Class as follows:

The Settlement Class: All persons who were notified that their personally identifiable information may have been impacted as a result of the data incident that occurred on Guardian's systems between November 27, 2022 and January 22, 2023.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are Guardian's, Actimize's, and Webster Bank's officers and directors, as well as (i) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class; (ii) the judges assigned to the Litigation and to evaluate the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of this settlement; and (iii) any other Person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of perpetrating, aiding or abetting the criminal activity occurrence of the Data Incident or who pleads *nolo contendere* to any such charge. The Court, having reviewed the terms of the Settlement Agreement submitted by the Parties, grants final approval of the Settlement Agreement and certifies the Settlement Class as defined herein and in the Preliminary Approval Order, and finds that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and meets the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e). The Settlement Agreement provides, in part, and subject to a more detailed description of the settlement terms in the Settlement Agreement, for:

a. A process by which Settlement Class Members who submit valid and timely Settlement Class Claim Forms to the Settlement Administrator may elect to receive 24 months of credit monitoring services free of charge.

- b. A process by which Settlement Class Members who submit valid and timely Settlement Class Claim Forms to the Settlement Administrator are eligible to receive compensation for up to 4 hours of lost time at \$25.00 per hour.
- c. A process by which Settlement Class Members who submit valid and timely Settlement Class Claim Forms with supporting documentation to the Settlement Administrator are eligible to receive compensation for ordinary unreimbursed losses up to a total of \$250.00.
- d. A process by which Settlement Class Members who submit valid and timely Settlement Class Claim Forms with supporting documentation to the Settlement Administrator are eligible to receive compensation for extraordinary unreimbursed losses up to a total of \$5,000.00.
- above, elect to receive a Tier 1 or Tier 2 Alternative Cash Payment. Whether a Settlement Class Member is eligible for Tier 1 or Tier 2 depends on if their Social Security number was accessed in the Data Incident. SSN Settlement Class Members may elect a Tier 1 Alternative Cash Payment which will be two times the amount of a Tier 2 Settlement Cash Payments. All other Settlement Class Members may elect to receive Tier 2 Settlement Cash Payments The amount of the Alternative Cash Payments will be based on the amount remaining in the Settlement Fund following the distribution of funds from the Settlement Fund in accordance with the manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- f. All costs of class notice and claims administration will be paid from the Settlement Fund as in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- g. Service Awards in the amount of \$1,000 to each of the Class Representatives, and any benefits provided to Settlement Class Members and the costs of notice and settlement administration and separate from any payment for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses. This payment will be distributed from the Settlement Fund in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- h. Defendants to pay the Court-approved attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses separate from any Service Awards to the Class Representatives. This payment will be distributed from the Settlement Fund in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. The Court has considered the factors set out in *Girsh v. Jepson*, 521 F.2d 153 (3d Cir. 1975), *In Re Prudential Ins. Co. Am. Sales Practice Litig. Agent Actions*), 148 F.3d 283 (3d Cir. 1998), and Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure regarding approval of class action settlements. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, and are hereby approved, adopted, and incorporated by the Court. The Parties, their respective attorneys, and the Claims Administrator are hereby directed to consummate the settlement in accordance with this Order and the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 8. Notice of the Final Approval Hearing and the proposed Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Expenses, and Service Awards have been provided to Settlement Class Members as directed by this Court's orders.
- 9. The Court finds that such notice as therein ordered constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances, apprised Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the action,

gave them an opportunity to opt out or object, complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2), and satisfied due process under the United States Constitution, and other applicable law.

- 10. As of the final date of time for opting out of the settlement, 30 Settlement Class Members have submitted a valid request to be excluded from the settlement. The names of those persons are set forth in **Exhibit A** to this Order. Those persons are not bound by this Final Approval Order, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
  - 11. No Settlement Class Members have objected to the Settlement.
- 12. The Court has considered all the documents filed in support of the settlement, and has fully considered all matters raised, all exhibits and affidavits filed, all evidence received at the Final Approval Hearing, all other papers and documents comprising the record herein, and all oral arguments presented to the Court.
- 13. The Court has considered Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, and service awards. The Court awards Class Counsel the sum of \$473,702.10 as an award of attorneys' fees and \$9,101.19 as an award of costs and expenses, and the Court finds this amount of fees, costs, and expenses to be fair and reasonable. These payments shall be paid in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Class Counsel also filed a motion for Service Awards for the Class Representatives. The Court having considered the motion, grants Class Counsel's request for service awards to the Class Representative and awards \$1,000 each to Plaintiffs Mark S. Holden, Richard Andisio, Edward Marshall, Ann Marie Marshall, Arthur Christiani, Johnielle Dwyer, Pawel Krzykowski, Mariola Krzynowek, James Howe, and Cindy A. Pereira.

- 15. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Defendants and the Settlement Administrator shall implement the Settlement in the manner and timeframe as set forth herein.
- As of the Effective Date, the Plaintiffs named in the Settlement Agreement and Release and every Settlement Class Member (except those who timely opted out) will be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever completely released, relinquished, and discharged the Released Persons from any and all past, present, and future claims, counterclaims, lawsuits, set-offs, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, losses, rights, demands, charges, complaints, actions, suits, causes of action, obligations, debts, contracts, penalties, damages, or liabilities of any nature whatsoever, known, unknown, or capable of being known, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, accrued or unaccrued and matured or not matured that arise out of, are connected to, or relate in any way to the Data Incident, including such claims that were or could have been asserted in the Litigation.
- 17. Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members waive any principles of law similar to and including Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members agree that Section 1542 and all similar federal or state laws, rules, or legal principles of any other jurisdiction are knowingly and voluntarily waived in connection with the claims released in the Settlement Agreement and agree that this is an essential term of the Settlement Agreement. Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members acknowledge that they may later discover claims presently unknown or suspected, or facts in addition to or different from those which they now believe to be true with respect to the matters released in the Settlement

Agreement. Nevertheless, Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members fully, finally, and forever settle and release the Released Claims against the Released Parties.

- 18. Notwithstanding Paragraphs 16 and 17, *supra*, the Parties expressly agree and acknowledge that the Release negotiated in the Settlement Agreement shall not apply to any litigation or claim not related to or arising out of the Data Incident.
- 19. In no event shall the Settlement Agreement, any of its provisions, or any negotiations, statements, or proceedings relating to it be offered or received as evidence in the Lawsuit or in any other proceeding, except in a proceeding to enforce the Settlement Agreement (including its Release).
- 20. Released Claims shall not include the claims of those persons identified in **Exhibit**A to this Order who have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class.
- 21. The matter is hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs, except that the Court retains jurisdiction over the consummation and enforcement of the settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date:

WILLIAM/J.MARTINI, U.S.D.J

## Exhibit A



## Exclusion Report Holden v Guardian Analytics

Number	Name
1	CHRISTOPHER P GARINGER
2	ROBERT V FISH
3	THANH D VU
4	MARGARET E CLANCY
5	ALAN L LIPMAN
6	GEORGE G BENNETT
7	ERIC F HEIN
8	LINDA F FRASIER
9	RUTH A MEYER
10	BRIAN J BURGH
11	JEAN B KENNEDY
12	LOUIS DELORSO SR
13	CARMEN R DELORSO
14	HONG QUAN
15	ERIC A SCHULER
16	JAMES R LEVINS
17	DEBORAH A BENNETT
18	JOSEPH MEDEIROS
19	ALBERT D CRAIG
20	SALLY A ROBINSON
21	VICTORIA A SCHUMEY
22	ANH HUY VU
23	SONYA L OLIVER
24	MARK SEBASTIANELLI
25	MAUREEN M CLANCY
26	ROBERT ROHINSKY
27	HEATHER D OLIVER
28	TIMOTHY M COLLIER
29	ROSE L STAUDT
30	JEFFREY J ZITER