

Anomaly of electromagnetic duality of the Maxwell theory

Yuji Tachikawa (立川裕二)

based on my work with

Chang-Tse Hsieh (謝長澤) & Kazuya Yonekura (米倉和也)

Topological Science Symposium 2019, Keio, Nov. 20

This work was made possible by two great collaborators:




Chang-Tse Hsieh

謝長澤

cond-mat

IPMU/ISSP



Kazuya Yonekura

米倉和也

hep-th

Tohoku

PRL123(2019)161601 [1905.08943]

I apologize for those who attended my talk at 学習院 last March at 第七回統計物理懇談会, where I gave basically the same talk in Japanese.

This will be a repeat in English.

The only major change is that at that time only Kazuya (hep-th) was the collaborator, and the paper was unpublished. To complete it, the help of Chang-Tse (cond-mat) was essential. Now it's a paper with three authors.

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} \vec{B} &= 0, & \operatorname{rot} \vec{E} + \partial_t \vec{B} &= \vec{0}, \\ \operatorname{div} \vec{E} &= 0, & \operatorname{rot} \vec{B} - \partial_t \vec{E} &= \vec{0}.\end{aligned}$$

Very important.


$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} \vec{B} &= 0, & \operatorname{rot} \vec{E} + \partial_t \vec{B} &= \vec{0}, \\ \operatorname{div} \vec{E} &= 0, & \operatorname{rot} \vec{B} - \partial_t \vec{E} &= \vec{0}.\end{aligned}$$

Not invariant under the Galilei
transformation!



It's rather invariant under the Lorentz
transformation!


Anomalies and **topological phases** are closely related.



Consider the prototypical case of the integer quantum Hall effect:

- **1+1d** boundary hosts **gapless chiral fermion**
- **2+1d** bulk described by **Chern-Simons**

Anomalies and **topological phases** are closely related.



Today I consider instead

- **3+1d** boundary hosts **the Maxwell theory**
- **4+1d** bulk described by **a cousin of Chern-Simons**

Electromagnetic duality and Dirac quantization condition


$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} \vec{B} &= 0, & \operatorname{rot} \vec{E} + \partial_t \vec{B} &= \vec{0}, \\ \operatorname{div} \vec{E} &= 0, & \operatorname{rot} \vec{B} - \partial_t \vec{E} &= \vec{0}.\end{aligned}$$

Electromagnetic duality: $\vec{E} \leftrightarrow \vec{B}$


$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} \vec{B} &= 0, & \operatorname{rot} \vec{E} + \partial_t \vec{B} &= \vec{0}, \\ \operatorname{div} \vec{E} &= 0, & \operatorname{rot} \vec{B} - \partial_t \vec{E} &= \vec{0}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S : (\vec{E}, \vec{B}) &\mapsto (-\vec{B}, \vec{E}) \\ S^2 : (\vec{E}, \vec{B}) &\mapsto -(\vec{E}, \vec{B})\end{aligned}$$


Although called duality,
doing twice is still nontrivial.



Consider electric charge q
and magnetic charge m .



Poynting vector $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ gives
the angular momentum $\propto qm$.




We fix the units so that

$$\text{angular momentum} = \frac{\hbar}{2} qm.$$

QM demands qm is an integer.

This is the **Dirac quantization condition** (1931).



We haven't chosen the units of charges either.

$$\text{angular momentum} = \frac{\hbar}{2} qm.$$

Choose a dimensionless unit such that
the smallest charges are $q = 1$ and $m = 1$.



We can also consider particles with both elec. and mag. charges.

$$\text{angular momentum} = \frac{\hbar}{2}(q\mathbf{m}' - m\mathbf{q}') = \frac{\hbar}{2} \det \begin{pmatrix} q & q' \\ m & m' \end{pmatrix}$$

The transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{B} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{B} \end{pmatrix}$$

acts on (q, m) which are integers.

So a, b, c, d are also integers.

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} q & q' \\ m & m' \end{pmatrix} \text{ needs to be conserved, so } \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a, b, c, d \text{ are integers} \\ \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = 1 \end{array} \right\} \iff \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \textcolor{red}{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

In particular

$$S : \begin{pmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{B} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -\vec{B} \\ +\vec{E} \end{pmatrix}$$

comes from

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that bosons and fermions have angular momenta

boson	$0\hbar$	\hbar	$2\hbar$	\dots
fermion	$\frac{1}{2}\hbar$	$\frac{3}{2}\hbar$	$\frac{5}{2}\hbar$	\dots

So we have

$$b + b = b; \quad b + f = f; \quad f + f = b$$

N.B. I'm relativistic,
so the angular momentum determines the statistics.

Let's imagine a world where neutral particles are all bosons.

Then two possibilities:

elec. charge	...	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	...
<i>b</i> or <i>f</i> ?		<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	

or

elec. charge	...	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	...
<i>b</i> or <i>f</i> ?		<i>f</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	

Similarly, there are two possibilities:

mag. charge	...	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	...
<i>b</i> or <i>f</i> ?		<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	


or

mag. charge	...	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	...
<i>b</i> or <i>f</i> ?		<i>f</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	

In a world where neutral particles are all bosons, there are $2 \times 2 = 4$ slightly different types of Maxwell theories, depending on

- whether particles with odd elec. charge is *b* or *f*
- whether particles with odd mag. charge is *b* or *f*

How about b/f of particles with both elec. and mag. charges?



Need to recall that there is an additional angular momentum
from $\frac{\hbar}{2}qm = \frac{\hbar}{2}$.

Then, depending on (q, m) , we have

$(1, 0)$	+	$(0, 1)$	\rightarrow	$(1, 1)$
b	+	b	\rightarrow	f
b	+	f	\rightarrow	b
f	+	b	\rightarrow	b
f	+	f	\rightarrow	f

$(1, 0)$	$+$	$(0, 1)$	\rightarrow	$(1, 1)$
b	$+$	b	\rightarrow	f
b	$+$	f	\rightarrow	b
f	$+$	b	\rightarrow	b
f	$+$	f	\rightarrow	f

$SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ permutes $(q, m) \equiv (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)$.

The last one is invariant under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.


(Sometimes called the all fermion electrodynamics.)

The first three permuted by $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Not invariant under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Generalized symmetry and the Maxwell theory




charged particle in 3d charged particle in 4d




Symmetry $g \in G$ in 4d can be visualized as an operator O crossing a 3d wall.

Take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$. If O is odd, gets multiplied by -1 when crossing the wall.




Can consider “symmetry” acting on a line operator, rather than a point operator \mathcal{O} .

Captured by a 1d world-line crossing a 2d wall.




looks differently depending on how to project it:





Call a “symmetry” acting on p -dim’l objects a p -symmetry.

0-symmetry



1-symmetry







Maxwell theory has the following two \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**:


- Electric \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**:
 $(-1)^q$ when crossing a worldline of elec. charge q ,
- magnetic \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**:
 $(-1)^m$ when crossing a worldline of mag. charge m

Note that the exp. value of a world-line of elec. charge q is the Aharanov-Bohm phase $\exp(2\pi i q \int \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{x})$.



$(-1)^q$ when crossing a worldline of elec. charge q .


$(-1)^q$ when crossing a worldline of elec. charge q .



$$\exp(2\pi i q \int_C \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{x}) \mapsto \exp(2\pi i q \int_{C'} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{x}) (-1)^q$$


This means that the black wall realizing the electric \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry** has **half the flux** of the magnetic quantum

$$\vec{B} = \oint \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{x} = \int_C \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{x} - \int_{C'} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{x} = \pm \frac{1}{2}$$



$(-1)^m$ when crossing a worldline of mag. charge m .

$(-1)^m$ when crossing a worldline of mag. charge m .



This means that the green wall realizing the magnetic \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry** has the factor

$$\exp(\pi i \iint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{\sigma})$$

Wall for elec. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**

$$\vec{B} = \pm \frac{1}{2}$$



Wall for mag. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**


$$\exp(\pi i \iint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{\sigma})$$



Problematic if both are inserted at the same time,
since two 2d surfaces intersects at points in 4d.



If depicted in one lower dimension,



You can't tell if the phase is which of

$$e^{\pm \pi i / 2} = \pm i$$

An **anomaly** is when the phase of the partition function and the expectation values become ambiguous in a quantum field theory.

Originally found concerning the $U(1)$ symmetry of chiral fermions in the late 60s.


What I described so far is the mixed anomaly of elec. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry** and mag. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**.

Global Gravitational Anomaly of the Maxwell theory


Let's combine the two stories together.

In 3+1d, rotating 720° is trivial, while rotating 360° is nontrivial.

Something is a fermion if 360° gives you -1 :



Particles with elec. charge $q = 1$ are fermions
 \leftrightarrow twisting by 360° is equal to
surrounding by a wall for elec. \mathbb{Z}_2 1-symmetry



Particles with mag. charge $m = 1$ are fermions
 \leftrightarrow twisting by 360° is equal to
surrounding by a wall for mag. \mathbb{Z}_2 1-symmetry



You can't say you don't care about twisting particles!

When 3+1d spacetime is nontrivial, the pasting together coordinate patches can produce twisting by 360° .

This is measured by the **Stiefel-Whitney class** w_2 , introduced in math in the 1940s.

They are \mathbb{Z}_2 walls of representing 360° twists, intrinsically present in 3+1d spacetime M_4 .

Now recall the four versions of the Maxwell theory:

(q, m)	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(1, 1)$
	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>
	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>b</i>
	<i>f</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>b</i>
	<i>f</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>f</i>

$SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ exchanges $(q, m) \equiv (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)$.

The last one is invariant under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

The first three are permuted by $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

(q, m)	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(1, 1)$
	b	b	f
	b	f	b
	f	b	b
	f	f	f

The first three $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ non-invariant have **no issues**:
 You **never insert both** walls for elec. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**
 and walls for mag. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**.


The last $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ invariant one has **a problem**:
Need to insert both walls for elec. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**
 and walls for mag. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**.

The partition function can be ambiguous by ± 1 .

(q, m)	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(1, 1)$
	f	f	f

For example, on the complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^2 ,
to account for 360° twists,
we need to put walls for both elec. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-sym.** and mag. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-sym**
at $w_2 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$.

Two \mathbb{CP}^1 within \mathbb{CP}^2 intersect at one point:



producing a ± 1 ambiguity.

For example, a global coordinate transformation $[z : w] \mapsto [\bar{z} : \bar{w}]$ of \mathbb{CP}^2 flips the sign of the partition function.

Summarizing, the $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -invariant Maxwell theory with

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} (q, m) & (1, 0) & (0, 1) & (1, 1) \\ \hline & f & f & f \end{array}$$


has a **subtle breaking of general covariance**.

This is an example of a **global gravitational anomaly**.

[Wang-Wen-Witten 1810.00844]


Maxwell theory as living on the boundary

Anomalies and **topological phases** are closely related.



The phase ambiguity of the boundary partition function is canceled against the phase ambiguity of the bulk partition function.


Anomalies and **topological phases** are closely related.



The prototypical case is the integer quantum Hall effect: [details](#)

- **1+1d** boundary hosts **gapless chiral fermion**
- **2+1d** bulk described by **Chern-Simons**

Anomalies and **topological phases** are closely related.



Today I consider instead

- **3+1d** boundary hosts **the Maxwell theory**
- **4+1d** bulk described by **a cousin of Chern-Simons**

For elec. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry** and mag. \mathbb{Z}_2 **1-symmetry**, we introduce background fields

$$A_e, A_m \in H^2(M_5, \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

The bulk “Chern-Simons” action is

$$\exp(\pi i \int_{M_5} A_e \mathbf{Sq}^1 A_m)$$

where \mathbf{Sq}^1 is one of the **Steenrod squaring operation** (which is introduced in 1940s in algebraic topology)

You might be scared of these expressions, but recall that the Chern-Simons action, introduced in mid-1970s, is

$$\exp(\frac{ik}{4\pi} \int_{M_3} AdA)$$

and they look similar.


For the anomaly under general covariance, we take both A_e, A_m to be the **Stiefel-Whitney class** w_2 . Then the bulk action is

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(\pi i \int_{M_5} A_e \mathbf{Sq}^1 A_m) &= \exp(\pi i \int_{M_5} w_2 \mathbf{Sq}^1 w_2) \\ &= \exp(\pi i \int_{M_5} w_2 w_3).\end{aligned}$$

known as the **de Rham invariant**.

(This invariant was introduced in 1931. The expression in terms of Stiefel-Whitney class came slightly later)

When two oriented manifolds M_d, M'_d are connected by X_{d+1} ,



they are called bordant: $M_d \sim M'_d$.

The equivalence class is the bordism class.



There are only two oriented bordism classes in 5d, and distinguished by de Rham invariant:

$$\exp(\pi i \int_{M_5} w_2 w_3) = \pm 1$$

You can shrink M_5 if $+1$; you can't if -1 .

These are results of algebraic topology in the 1950s and 1960s. Chern-Simons was introduced in the mid-1970s, so these are older stories and should be easier.

In mathematical physics we use various subfields of math. But **we haven't used much algebraic topology**.

It's interesting that we now use **algebraic topology from 50 years ago**, and that **this trend started from mathematical condensed-matter theory**.

The relation to our work

So far I only talked about known stories, on which our work is based.

With Chang-Tse Hsieh (謝長澤) and Kazuya Yonekura (米倉和也), we determined the anomaly of the electromagnetic duality of the Maxwell theory.

Thanks to the bulk-boundary correspondence, all have to do is to analyze the 4+1d bulk Chern-Simons-like theory. It's somewhat complicated but it can be done.


We found that the anomaly is **56** times that of a fermion.

Why **56**?

It's the dimension of the smallest nontrivial representation of E_7 , one of the exceptional Lie group.

The point is that the 3+1d Maxwell theory is a T^2 compactification of a 5+1d self-dual tensor theory.

This self-dual tensor theory can be embedded into the tensor branch of the E-string theory, which is a superconformal field theory with E_8 symmetry. The E-string theory also has the Higgs branch, to which we can go continuously.



On the Higgs branch, E_8 is broken to E_7 , leaving **56** fermions.

6d
susy

tensor
branch \Leftarrow

E-string

\Rightarrow Higgs
branch

\cup

6d
free

selfdual
tensor

\cup

56
fermions

\downarrow

\downarrow

\downarrow

4d
free

Maxwell

\longleftrightarrow

56
fermions

The E-string theory is the smallest nontrivial 5+1d superconformal theory, and Kazuya and I were studying this from a totally different motivation for about six years.

Somehow it turned out to be 'useful' to understand a subtle feature of 3+1d Maxwell theory. This pleasantly surprised me.

I'd like to emphasize, though, that **the analysis can be and was first done purely field theoretically, without invoking string theory.** It's just that string theory provides a quicker, more conceptual derivation, if you happened to know string theory already.

We already have a short letter [\[1905.08943\]](#). We're preparing a longer version to fill in the detail. Please have a look if you're interested.

Supplement: anomaly on the boundary of Chern-Simons

Consider the electromagnetic $U(1)$. The gauge transformation is

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \frac{1}{2\pi i} e^{-2\pi i \chi} \partial_\mu e^{2\pi i \chi} = A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi$$


so we have

$$\oint A_t dt \rightarrow \oint A_t dt + \oint \partial_t \chi dt$$

Note that $\chi \sim \chi + 1$ so we need to identify

$$\oint A_t dt \sim \oint A_t dt + 1.$$

Consider a $1 + 1$ d fermion on a circle:



Energy levels of one particle states are

$$E \propto n + \oint A_t dt$$

The negative energy states are filled; this is the Dirac sea.

A careful regularization shows that the Dirac sea has the electric charge

$$q = \oint A_t dt.$$

The partition function is

$$Z = \text{tr} e^{-\beta H} e^{iq \oint A_t dt}$$

which varies under the gauge transformation

$$\oint A_t dt \rightarrow \oint A_t dt + \oint \partial_t \chi dt$$

as

$$Z \rightarrow Z e^{iq \oint \partial_t \chi dt} = Z \exp(i \oint \partial_t \chi dt \oint A_t dt).$$

The phase of the partition function is ambiguous!

The bulk effective action is the Chern-Simons term $e^{iS_{\text{CS}}}$ where

$$S_{\text{CS}} = \int_{M_3} A dA \propto \int_{M_3} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\rho A_\sigma$$

The gauge transformation

$$A \mapsto A + d\chi$$

changes it by

$$\delta \int_{M_3} A dA = \int_{M_3} d\chi dA = \int_{\partial M_3} (d\chi) A$$

which becomes

$$= \oint \partial_t \chi dt \oint A_x dx,$$

cancelling the phase ambiguity of the 1+1d fermion on the boundary.