

Higher symmetries and anomalies in \mathfrak{so} QCD and $\mathcal{N}=1$ duality

Yasunori Lee¹, Kantaro Ohmori², and Yuji Tachikawa¹

¹ Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI),
University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

² Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

We study higher symmetries and anomalies of 4d $\mathfrak{so}(2n_c)$ gauge theory with $N_f = 2n_f$ flavors. We find that they depend on the parity of n_c and n_f , on the global form of the gauge group, and the discrete theta angle. The contribution from the fermions plays a central role in our analysis. Furthermore, our conclusion applies to $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric cases as well, and we see that higher symmetries and anomalies match across the duality $\mathfrak{so}(2n_c) \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(2n_f - n_c + 4)$ of Intriligator and Seiberg.

Contents

1	Introduction and summary	1
2	Charges of line operators in \mathfrak{so} QCD	3

1 Introduction and summary

Our understanding of the concept of symmetries in quantum field theories has been greatly improved in the last several years. We now have the concept of p -form symmetries acting on p -dimensional objects [GKSW14]. This concept gives a unifying point of view to both ordinary symmetries acting on point operators for $p = 0$ and center symmetries of gauge theories acting on Wilson line operators for $p = 1$. In addition, the 't Hooft magnetic flux [tH79] can now be thought of as a background gauge field for the 1-form center symmetry. It is also realized more recently that 0-form symmetries and 1-form symmetries can not only coexist in a direct product but also mix in a more intricate manner. They can have mixed anomalies between them. They can also combine to form a symmetry structure called 2-groups [CDI18, BCH18].

In this paper we study these issues in the case of 4d $\mathfrak{so}(N_c)$ gauge theories with N_f flavors of fermion fields in vector representation. Let us quickly recall the 0-form and 1-form symmetries these theories have.

As for the 1-form symmetry, we first need to recall that such theories come in three versions, Spin, SO_+ and SO_- , distinguished by the global form of the gauge group (Spin vs. SO) and by the choice of a discrete theta angle (SO_+ vs. SO_-) [AST13]¹. They also differ by the nontrivial line operator they possess: the Spin theory has the Wilson line W in the spinor representation, the SO_+ theory has the 't Hooft line H which is mutually non-local with respect to W , and the SO_- theory has the dyonic line $D = WH$. Furthermore, these line operators are charged under corresponding \mathbb{Z}_2 1-form symmetries, which we can call electric, magnetic and dyonic 1-form symmetries.

As for the 0-form symmetry, we focus our attention on the $\mathfrak{su}(N_f)$ symmetry acting on N_f flavors of matter fields in the vector representation. There can be and definitely are other discrete symmetries, but we will not consider them in this paper for brevity.

The main question is then how the \mathbb{Z}_2 1-form symmetry and the $\mathfrak{su}(N_f)$ 0-form symmetry are related.² We concentrate on the case when N_c and N_f are both even, $N_c = 2n_c$ and $N_f = 2n_f$.

Take for example the $\text{Spin}(2n_c)$ gauge theory with $2n_f$ flavors, when n_c is odd. Take two copies of the Wilson line W in the spinor representation. They form a Wilson line in the vector representation. This can be screened by a dynamical fermion, which was why $W^2 = 1$ as far as the 1-form symmetry charge was concerned. Now let us recall that this dynamical fermion transforms nontrivially under $-1 \in \text{SU}(2n_f)$. Therefore, when we take the flavor symmetry into account, W^2 is still nontrivial. As we will recall below, formally this means that the \mathbb{Z}_2 1-form

¹This is when the theories are considered on spin manifolds. On more general manifolds a further distinction needs to be made [ARS19]. For simplicity we only consider spin manifolds in this paper.

²A partial answer was given in [HL20], but the contribution from fermions was not taken into account in that reference. Our conclusion is therefore somewhat different from theirs.

(n_c, n_f)	Spin	SO ₊	SO ₋
(even, even)	none	none	none
(odd, even)	extension	anomaly	extension
(even, odd)	anomaly	extension	extension
(odd, odd)	extension	extension	anomaly

Table 1: How the \mathbb{Z}_2 1-form symmetry and the $SU(2n_f)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 0-form symmetry are combined in $\mathfrak{so}(2n_c)$ QCD. ‘none’ implies that they remain a direct product without mixed anomaly; ‘anomaly’ means that they remain a direct product but with mixed anomaly; and ‘extension’ is when they combine into a nontrivial 2-group. The orange lines show how the duality of Intriligator and Seiberg acts on this set of theories.

symmetry extends the $SU(2n_f)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 0-form symmetry in a nontrivial manner, forming a nontrivial 2-group H

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2[1] \rightarrow H \rightarrow SU(2n_f)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.1)$$

whose Postnikov class is specified by

$$\beta v_2 \in H^3(SU(2n_f)/\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2), \quad (1.2)$$

where a_2 is the generator of $H^2(SU(2n_f)/\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and β is the Bockstein.

The SO_+ gauge theory is then obtained by gauging the \mathbb{Z}_2 1-form symmetry [KS14]. The presence of the fermions significantly complicates the analysis. For the moment let us suppose that we have N_f scalars instead of fermions in the vector representation. Then, the argument of [Tac17] immediately applies, and we see that the \mathbb{Z}_2 1-form symmetry of the $SO(2n_f)$ theory and the $SU(2n_f)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 0-form flavor symmetry remains a direct product but with a mixed anomaly given by

$$2\pi i \frac{1}{2} \int B \beta v_2. \quad (1.3)$$

In the rest of the paper, we will carefully analyze how the \mathbb{Z}_2 1-form symmetry and the $SU(2n_f)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 0-form symmetry are combined. The derivation will be detailed in the following, and here we simply summarize the result in Table 1. There, ‘none’ specifies that they remain a direct product without mixed anomaly; ‘anomaly’ implies that they remain a direct product but with mixed anomaly of the form (1.3); and ‘extension’ means that they combine into a 2-group given by (1.1) with the Postnikov class (1.2).

Our result is equally applicable in the case of $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric QCD, for which Intriligator and Seiberg found in [IS95] a duality exchanging $\mathfrak{so}(N_c)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(N_f - N_c + 4)$, which in our notation sends n_c to $n'_c = n_f - n_c + 2$. In [AST13], this duality was refined to account for the global form of the gauge group and the discrete theta angle, and it was concluded that Spin is exchanged with SO_- while SO_+ maps to itself. This mapping was checked using supersymmetric

localization on $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_n \times S^1$ in [RW13]. Our analysis allows us to check this duality by comparing how the 1-form symmetry and the 0-form symmetry are combined in the dual pairs. We superimposed the action of the duality on our main Table 1. It is satisfying to see that the duality action correctly preserves the labels ‘none’, ‘anomaly’ and ‘extension’.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows ...

2 Charges of line operators in \mathfrak{so} QCD

References

- [ARS19] J. Ang, K. Roumpedakis, and S. Seifnashri, *Line Operators of Gauge Theories on Non-Spin Manifolds*, **JHEP** **04** (2020) 087, [arXiv:1911.00589 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [AST13] O. Aharony, N. Seiberg, and Y. Tachikawa, *Reading Between the Lines of Four-Dimensional Gauge Theories*, **JHEP** **08** (2013) 115, [arXiv:1305.0318 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [BCH18] F. Benini, C. Córdova, and P.-S. Hsin, *On 2-Group Global Symmetries and their Anomalies*, **JHEP** **03** (2019) 118, [arXiv:1803.09336 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [CDI18] C. Córdova, T. T. Dumitrescu, and K. Intriligator, *Exploring 2-Group Global Symmetries*, **JHEP** **02** (2019) 184, [arXiv:1802.04790 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [GKSW14] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, *Generalized Global Symmetries*, **JHEP** **02** (2015) 172, [arXiv:1412.5148 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [HL20] P.-S. Hsin and H. T. Lam, *Discrete Theta Angles, Symmetries and Anomalies*, **SciPost Phys.** **10** (2021) 032, [arXiv:2007.05915 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [IS95] K. A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, *Duality, Monopoles, Dyons, Confinement and Oblique Confinement in Supersymmetric $SO(N_c)$ Gauge Theories*, **Nucl. Phys. B** **444** (1995) 125–160, [arXiv:hep-th/9503179](#).
- [KS14] A. Kapustin and N. Seiberg, *Coupling a QFT to a TQFT and Duality*, **JHEP** **04** (2014) 001, [arXiv:1401.0740 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [RW13] S. S. Razamat and B. Willett, *Global Properties of Supersymmetric Theories and the Lens Space*, **Commun. Math. Phys.** **334** (2015) 661–696, [arXiv:1307.4381 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [Tac17] Y. Tachikawa, *On Gauging Finite Subgroups*, **SciPost Phys.** **8** (2020) 015, [arXiv:1712.09542 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [tH79] G. ’t Hooft, *A Property of Electric and Magnetic Flux in Nonabelian Gauge Theories*, **Nucl. Phys. B** **153** (1979) 141–160.