

Problem Set 4 Solution

Instructor : Yujung Hwang *

October 1, 2020

Question 1.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} E[\Delta u_{it}(\Delta u_{it-1} + \Delta u_{it} + \Delta u_{it+1})] &= E[(\zeta_{it} + m_{it})(\zeta_{it-1} + \zeta_{it} + \zeta_{it+1} - m_{it-1} + m_{it+1})] = \sigma_\zeta^2 \\ E[\Delta u_{it}\Delta u_{it-1}] &= E[(\zeta_{it} + m_{it} - m_{it-1})(\zeta_{it-1} + m_{it-1} - m_{it-2})] = -\sigma_m^2 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Note that α_{it} is a random variable.

$$\begin{aligned} E[\Delta u_{it}|L_{it} = 1, L_{it-1} = 1] &= \sigma_{\zeta\eta} E\left[\frac{\phi(\alpha_{it})}{1 - \Phi(\alpha_{it})}\right] \\ E[\Delta u_{it}(\Delta u_{it-1} + \Delta u_{it} + \Delta u_{it+1})|L_{it-2} = 1, L_{it-1} = 1, L_{it} = 1, L_{it+1} = 1] &= \sigma_\zeta^2 + \sigma_{\zeta\eta}^2 E\left[\frac{\phi(\alpha_{it})}{1 - \Phi(\alpha_{it})} \alpha_{it}\right] \\ E[\Delta u_{it}^2|L_{it} = 1, L_{it-1} = 1] &= \sigma_\zeta^2 + \sigma_{\zeta\eta}^2 E\left[\frac{\phi(\alpha_{it})}{1 - \Phi(\alpha_{it})} \alpha_{it}\right] + 2\sigma_m^2 \end{aligned}$$

To see this,

$$\begin{aligned} E[\Delta u_{it}|L_{it} = 1, L_{it-1} = 1] &= E[E[\zeta_{it} + m_{it} - m_{it-1}|\eta_{it} > \alpha_{it}, \eta_{it-1} > \alpha_{it-1}]] \\ &= E[E[\zeta_{it}|\eta_{it} > \alpha_{it}]] \\ &= \sigma_{\zeta\eta} E\left[\frac{\phi(\alpha_{it})}{1 - \Phi(\alpha_{it})}\right] (\because \text{truncated bivariate normal distribution formula}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &E[\Delta u_{it}(\Delta u_{it-1} + \Delta u_{it} + \Delta u_{it+1})|L_{it-2} = 1, L_{it-1} = 1, L_{it} = 1, L_{it+1} = 1] \\ &= E[E[\zeta_{it}^2|\eta_{it} > \alpha_{it}]] \\ &= \sigma_\zeta^2 E[E[\tilde{\zeta}_{it}^2|\eta_{it} > \alpha_{it}]] \quad (\because \tilde{\zeta}_{it} \equiv \zeta_{it}/\sigma_\zeta) \\ &= \sigma_\zeta^2 E[E[\tilde{\zeta}_{it}^2|\eta_{it}]|\eta_{it} > \alpha_{it}] \\ &= \sigma_\zeta^2 E\left[E\left[\text{Var}(\tilde{\zeta}_{it}|\eta_{it}) + E[\tilde{\zeta}_{it}|\eta_{it}]^2|\eta_{it} > \alpha_{it}\right]\right] \\ &= \sigma_\zeta^2 E\left[E\left[1 - \rho_{\zeta\eta}^2 + \rho_{\zeta\eta}^2 \eta_{it}^2|\eta_{it} > \alpha_{it}\right]\right] \\ &= \sigma_\zeta^2 (1 - \rho_{\zeta\eta}^2 + \rho_{\zeta\eta}^2 E[E[\eta_{it}^2|\eta_{it} > \alpha_{it}]]) \end{aligned}$$

*yujungghwang@gmail.com

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sigma_{\zeta}^2(1 - \rho_{\zeta\eta}^2 + \rho_{\zeta\eta}^2(\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha_{it}\frac{\phi(\alpha_{it})}{1-\Phi(\alpha_{it})}\right] + 1)) \\
&= \sigma_{\zeta}^2(1 + \rho_{\zeta\eta}^2\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha_{it}\frac{\phi(\alpha_{it})}{1-\Phi(\alpha_{it})}\right])
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\Delta u_{it}^2 | L_{it} = 1, L_{it-1} = 1] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[(\zeta_{it} + m_{it} - m_{it-1})^2 | \eta_{it} > \alpha_{it}, \eta_{it-1} > \alpha_{it-1}]] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{it}^2 | \eta_{it} > \alpha_{it}]] + 2\sigma_m^2 \\
&= \sigma_{\zeta}^2(1 + \rho_{\zeta\eta}^2\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha_{it}\frac{\phi(\alpha_{it})}{1-\Phi(\alpha_{it})}\right]) + 2\sigma_m^2
\end{aligned}$$

Question 2.

(a)

```

workeq <- glm(work ~ age + age2 + factor(educ) + noveliv, family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = data)
# (a)
alphahat <- cbind(rep(1,nobs),data$age,data$age^2,(data$educ=="College_Graduate"),
                  (data$educ=="Postgraduate"),(data$educ=="Some_College"),
                  data$noveliv)*%*%workeq$coefficients
lambdahat <- dnorm(alphahat)/(1-pnorm(alphahat))

```

(b)

$$\log w_{it} - \log w_{it-1} = \Delta Z'_{it}\beta + \zeta_{it} + m_{it} + m_{it-1} \quad (0.1)$$

```

reg1 <- lm(d1lnwage ~ d1age2 + lambdahat)
# compute residual and find uhat
duhat <- d1lnwage - reg1$coefficients[1] - reg1$coefficients[2]*d1age2

```

(c)

```

> paramest
[1] 0.03119798 0.03159257 0.01941181
> stderr
[1] 0.0010169239 0.0125732414 0.0006523193

```