

# Algorithms and Data Structures II

Lecture 10:

## Algorithm Design Techniques: Dynamic Programming

<https://elms.u-aizu.ac.jp>

# Dynamic programming

- ▶ **Dynamic programming** solves a given problem by combining the solutions to subproblems.  
Programming here means a tabular method, not writing a computer code.
- ▶ Dynamic programming is different from divide-and-conquer which partitions the problem into independent subproblems, solves the subproblems recursively, and then combines the solutions to solve the original problem.

# Dynamic programming

- ▶ Dynamic programming is applicable when the subproblems are not independent but dependent, i.e., subproblems share subproblems. In this context, a divide-and-conquer algorithm does more work than necessary, repeatedly solving the common subproblems.

# Dynamic programming

- ▶ A dynamic programming algorithm solves every subproblem only once and then saves its answer in a table to avoid recomputing the answer every time the subproblem is encountered.

# Warshall's and Floyd's algorithms

- ▶ Warshall's algorithm to find the transitive closure of a graph and Floyd's algorithm to find the shortest paths between every pair of nodes of a weighted graph are examples of dynamic programming algorithms.

# Warshall's Algorithm

- For every pair of nodes  $i$  and  $j$ , we find the path from  $i$  to  $j$  which may pass through nodes  $1, 2, \dots, k$ , using the path from  $i$  to  $j$ , the paths from  $i$  to  $k$  and from  $k$  to  $j$ , that may pass through nodes  $1, 2, \dots, k-1$  (the result of the subproblems which is kept in the **table**  $C^{k-1}[i, j]$ ).

$$C^k[i, j] = C^{k-1}[i, j] \vee (C^{k-1}[i, k] \wedge C^{k-1}[k, j])$$

# matrix chain product

- ▶ Another example of dynamic programming algorithm for matrix chain product problem.
- ▶ Given an  $\ell \times m$  matrix  $A$  and an  $m \times q$  matrix  $B$ , the product

$$\begin{matrix} C & = & A & \times & B \\ (\ell, q) & & (\ell, m) & & (m, q) \end{matrix}$$

is an  $\ell \times q$  matrix, for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  and  $1 \leq j \leq q$ ,

$$C[i, j] = \sum_{k=1}^m A[i, k] \times B[k, j]$$

If we use the standard method to compute  $C$ ,  $\ell \times m \times q$  multiplications are used.

# matrix chain product

- Assume  $n$  matrices are to be multiplied together:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_1 & M_2 & M_3 & \cdots & M_{n-1} & M_n, \\ (r_1, r_2) & (r_2, r_3) & (r_3, r_4) & \cdots & (r_{n-1}, r_n) & (r_n, r_{n+1}) \end{array}$$

where the matrices satisfy the constraint that  $M_i$  has  $r_i$  rows and  $r_{i+1}$  columns for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .



# matrix chain product

- ▶ The product can be computed in many orders, e.g., in the **left-to-right** order  $(\cdots ((M_1 M_2) M_3) \cdots M_{n-1}) M_n$ , or in the **right-to-left** order  $M_1 (M_2 \cdots (M_{n-2} (M_{n-1} M_n)) \cdots )$ . Many other orders are also possible.
- ▶ The total number of multiplications used in different orders are different.

# Example

- Given the matrix chain  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$ . Let  $A_1$  be  $30 \times 1$ ,  $A_2$  be  $1 \times 40$ ,  $A_3$  be  $40 \times 10$ ,  $A_4$  be  $10 \times 25$ .

Order of Multiplications	Number of scalar multiplications
$A_1 \times (A_2 \times (A_3 \times A_4))$	$40 \times 10 \times 25 + 1 \times 40 \times 25 + 30 \times 1 \times 25 = 11,750$
$A_1 \times ((A_2 \times A_3) \times A_4)$	$1 \times 40 \times 10 + 1 \times 10 \times 25 + 30 \times 1 \times 25 = 1,400$
$(A_1 \times A_2) \times (A_3 \times A_4)$	$30 \times 1 \times 40 + 40 \times 10 \times 25 + 30 \times 40 \times 25 = 41,200$
$(A_1 \times (A_2 \times A_3)) \times A_4$	$1 \times 40 \times 10 + 30 \times 1 \times 10 + 30 \times 10 \times 25 = 8,200$
$((A_1 \times A_2) \times A_3) \times A_4$	$30 \times 1 \times 40 + 30 \times 40 \times 10 + 30 \times 10 \times 25 = 20,700$

# matrix chain product problem

- ▶ The **matrix chain product problem** is to find the order of multiplying the matrices that **minimizes** the total number of multiplications used.

# matrix chain product problem

- ▶ Now, we use dynamic programming approach to find a solution for the matrix chain product problem:
  - ▶ First, there is only one way to compute  $(M_1M_2)$  which takes  $r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3$  multiplications,  $(M_2M_3)$  which takes  $r_2 \times r_3 \times r_4$  multiplications,  $\dots$ , and  $(M_{n-1}M_n)$  which takes  $r_{n-1} \times r_n \times r_{n+1}$  multiplications.
  - ▶ We record those costs in a **table**.

## matrix chain product problem[Next Step]

- ▶ Next, we find the best way to multiply successive triples,

$$(M_1M_2M_3), (M_2M_3M_4), \dots, (M_{n-2}M_{n-1}M_n).$$

The minimum cost of  $(M_1M_2M_3)$  is the smaller of the cost of  $((M_1M_2)M_3) = r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3 + r_1 \times r_3 \times r_4$  and the cost of

$$(M_1(M_2M_3)) = r_2 \times r_3 \times r_4 + r_1 \times r_2 \times r_4.$$

## matrix chain product problem[Next Step] (contd)

- ▶ In finding the costs of  $((M_1M_2)M_3)$  and  $(M_1(M_2M_3))$ , we do **not recompute** the costs of  $(M_1M_2)$  and  $(M_2M_3)$  but simply find them from the **table**.
- ▶ The minimum costs of  $(M_1M_2M_3), (M_2M_3M_4), \dots, (M_{n-2}M_{n-1}M_n)$  are kept in the **table**.

## matrix chain product problem[in general]

- ▶ We find the best way to compute  $(M_i M_{i+1} \cdots M_{i+j})$  by finding the minimum cost of computing  $(M_i M_{i+1} \cdots M_{k-1})(M_k \cdots M_{i+j})$  for  $i < k \leq i + j$ .
- ▶ The cost of  $(M_i M_{i+1} \cdots M_{k-1})(M_k \cdots M_{i+j})$  is the sum of the cost of  $(M_i M_{i+1} \cdots M_{k-1})$ , the cost of  $(M_k \cdots M_{i+j})$ , and  $r_i \times r_k \times r_{i+j+1}$ .
- ▶ The cost of  $(M_i M_{i+1} \cdots M_{k-1})$  and the cost of  $(M_k \cdots M_{i+j})$  are found from the **table**.
- ▶ The minimum cost of  $(M_i M_{i+1} \cdots M_{i+j})$  is kept in the **table**.

*cost*[ ][ ]

- ▶ Let *cost*[ ][ ] be a 2D array such that *cost*[*i*][*i* + *j*] keeps the minimum cost of computing the product  $M_i M_{i+1} \cdots M_{i+j}$ . For example,
  - ▶ *cost*[1][2] keeps the cost of  $M_1 M_2$ ,
  - ▶ *cost*[1][3] keeps the minimum cost of  $M_1 M_2 M_3$ ,
  - ▶ *cost*[3][5] keeps the minimum cost of  $M_3 M_4 M_5$ ,  
and
  - ▶ *cost*[1][*n*] keeps the minimum cost of  $M_1 M_2 M_3 \cdots M_{n-1} M_n$ .
  - ▶ *cost*[*i*][*i*], *i* = 1, 2, ..., *n* are 0.
  - ▶ *cost*[*i*][*j*], *i* > *j* are NOT used.



*best*[ ][ ]

- ▶ Another array *best*[ ][ ] is used to derive a way of multiplication which has the minimum cost.  
*best*[*i*][*i* + *j*] keeps a value *k* which indicates that the product of  $(M_i M_{i+1} \cdots M_{i+j})$  should be done by  $(M_i M_{i+1} \cdots M_{k-1})(M_k \cdots M_{i+j})$ .
- ▶ For example, if *best*[1][3] has the value 2 then  $(M_1 M_2 M_3)$  should be computed as  $(M_1 (M_2 M_3))$ .

## program with $cost[ ][ ]$ and $best[ ][ ]$

- ▶ The following is a program to compute  $cost[ ][ ]$  and  $best[ ][ ]$ .
- ▶ In the program, array  $r[i]$  and  $r[i + 1]$  have the numbers of rows and columns of matrix  $M_i$ , respectively.

## program with *cost*[ ][ ] and *best*[ ][ ]

```
for (i=1;i<=n;i++)
    for(j=i+1;j<=n;j++)cost[i][j]=infty;
for (i=1;i<=n;i++) cost[i][i]=0;
for (j=1;j<n;j++)
    for (i=1;i<=n-j;i++)
        for (k=i+1;k<=i+j;k++){
            t=cost[i][k-1]+cost[k][i+j]
              +r[i]*r[k]*r[i+j+1];
            if (t<cost[i][i+j])
                {cost[i][i+j]=t;best[i][i+j]=k;}
        }
```

# understanding of the program

- In the the program  $cost[i][i + j]$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n - j$ , are computed by

$$cost[i][i + j] = \min_{k=i+1}^{i+j} \{ cost[i][k - 1] + cost[k][i + j] + r[i] * r[k] * r[i + j + 1] \}$$

Note that  $cost[i][k - 1]$  and  $cost[k][i + j]$  do not need to be recomputed. The following is a procedure that finds the best order of multiplying  $M_i \cdots M_j$ .

# understanding of the process

- ▶ For  $j = 1$ , the costs of  $M_1M_2, M_2M_3, M_3M_4, \dots, M_{n-1}M_n$  are computed.
- ▶ For  $j = 2$ , the minimum costs of  $M_1M_2M_3, M_2M_3M_4, \dots, M_{n-2}M_{n-1}M_n$  are computed.
- ▶ For  $j = n - 1$ , the minimum cost of  $M_1M_2M_3 \cdots M_{n-1}M_n$  is computed.

## for output

```
/*Find the best multiplication order for n
matrices;uses the best[][] from previous code*/
order(int i,int j){
    if (i==j) printf("M%d",i);
    else {
        printf("(");
        order(i,best[i][j]-1);
        order(best[i][j],j);
        printf(")");
    }
}
```

## Note for square matrices:

Any ordering can not save multiplications since the costs for multiplications are always the same in any order.

In other words, no need to consider the ordering.