

Theorem 1 [Associativity of @ (Assoc@)]

$$(L1 @ L2) @ L3 = L1 @ (L2 @ L3)$$

Proof of Theorem 1 by structural induction on L1

fresh constant

- $\text{Nil}, E \rightarrow e$
- $\text{List} \rightarrow l1, l2, l3$

I. Base Case

what to show is $(\text{nil} @ l2) @ l3 = \text{nil} @ (l2 @ l3)$

$$(\text{nil} @ l2) @ l3 \rightarrow l2 @ l3 \text{ by } (@?)$$

$$\text{nil} @ (l2 @ l3) \rightarrow l2 @ l3 \text{ by } (@?)$$

II. Induction Case

what to show is $((e | l1) @ l2) @ l3 = (e | l1) @ (l2 @ l3)$

Induction Hypothesis

$$(l1 @ l2) @ l3 = l1 @ (l2 @ l3) \dots (IH)$$

$$((e | l1) @ l2) @ l3$$

$$\rightarrow (e | (l1 @ l2)) @ l3$$

by (@?)

$$\rightarrow e | ((l1 @ l2) @ l3)$$

by (@?)

$$\rightarrow e | (l1 @ (l2 @ l3))$$

by (IH)

$$(e | l1) @ (l2 @ l3)$$

$$\rightarrow e | l1 @ (l2 @ l3)$$

End of Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 2 [Correctness of a Tail recursive reverse (ctr)]
 $rev1(LI) = rev2(LI)$

Proof of Theorem 2 By structural induction on LI

fresh constant

- $Elr.E \rightarrow e$
- $List \rightarrow LI$

I. Base case

what to show is $rev1(nil) = rev2(nil)$

$rev1(nil)$

$\rightarrow nil$ by (n1-1)

$rev2(nil)$

$\rightarrow sr2(nil, nil)$ by (r2)

$\rightarrow nil$ by (sr2-1)

II. Induction case

what to show is $rev1(e|LI) = rev2(e|LI)$

Induction hypothesis

$rev1(LI) = rev2(LI) \dots (IH)$

$rev1(e|LI)$

$\rightarrow rev1(LI) @ (e|nil)$ by (nf-2)

$\rightarrow rev2(LI) @ (e|nil)$ by (IH)

$\rightarrow sr2(LI, nil) @ (e|nil)$ by (r2)

$rev2(e|LI)$

$\rightarrow sr2(e|LI, nil)$ by (r2)

$\rightarrow sr2(LI, e|nil)$ by (r2-2)

$sr_2(L1, n1?) @ (e | n1?)$ と $sr_2(L1, e | n1?)$ の書き換えがこれより上
 2つとも必要に2つ。 lemma (補題) が必要。候補 n 補題と2つ以下が挙げられる。

$$sr_2(L1, E | n1?) = sr_2(L1, n1?) @ (E | n1?)$$

この候補は lemma と2つは具体的に示すために、より一般化した以下に lemma
 lemma と2つ使用する。

Lemma:

$$sr_2(L1, E2 | L2) = sr_2(L1, n1?) @ (E2 | L2) \quad \dots (P-sr_2)$$

$$\underline{sr_2(L1, e | n1?)}$$

$$\rightarrow sr_2(L1, n1?) @ (e | n1?)$$

$$by (P-sr_2)$$

End of Proof of Theorem 2

Lemma 1 [A property of sr_2 (P- sr_2)]

$$sr_2(L1, E2 | L2) = sr_2(L1, nil?) @ (E2 | L2)$$

Proof of Lemma 1 By structural induction on $L1$

fresh constant.

- $Elc, E \rightarrow e, e2$
- $List \rightarrow l1, l2$

I. Base case

$$\text{what to show is } sr_2(nil?, e2 | l2) = sr_2(nil?, nil?) @ (e2 | l2).$$

$$sr_2(nil, e2 | l2)$$

$$\rightarrow e2 | l2$$

by (sr2-1)

$$sr_2(nil?, nil?) @ (e2 | l2)$$

$$\rightarrow nil? @ (e2 | l2)$$

by (sr2-1)

$$\rightarrow e2 | l2$$

by (@1)

II. Induction case

$$\text{what to show is } sr_2(e | l1, e2 | l2) = sr_2(e | l1, nil?) @ (e2 | l2)$$

Induction Hypothesis

$$sr_2(l1, E2 | L2) = sr_2(l1, nil?) @ (E2 | L2) \quad \dots \text{ (IH)}$$

$$sr_2(e | l1, e2 | l2)$$

$$\rightarrow sr_2(l1, e | e2 | l2)$$

by (sr2-2)

$$\rightarrow sr_2(l1, nil?) @ (e | e2 | l2)$$

by (IH)

$$\underline{sr_2(e|l_1, n_1) @ (e_2|l_2)}$$

$$\rightarrow \underline{sr_2(l_1, e|n_1) @ (e_2|l_2)}$$

by (sh1-1)

$$\rightarrow \underline{(sr_2(l_1, n_1) @ (e_2|l_2))}$$

by (IH)

$$\rightarrow sr_2(l_1, n_1) @ ((e|n_1) @ (e_2|l_2))$$

by (assoc@)

$$\rightarrow sr_2(l_1, n_1) @ (e|(n_1 @ (e_2|l_2)))$$

by (@1)

$$\rightarrow sr_2(l_1, n_1) @ (e|e_2|l_2)$$

by (@f)

End of Proof of Lemma 01

Theorem 3 [Reverse of reverse (rev-rev)]

$$\text{rev}(\text{rev}(L)) = L$$

Proof of Theorem 3 by structural induction

Fresh constant:

$$E(t, E) \rightarrow e$$

$$\text{List} \rightarrow l$$

I. Base case

what to show is: $\text{rev}(\text{rev}(\text{nil})) = \text{nil}$.

$$\text{rev}(\text{rev}(\text{nil}))$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rev}(\text{nil})$$

by (H-1)

$$\rightarrow \text{nil}$$

by (H-1)

$$\text{rev}(\text{nil}) = \text{nil} \quad \dots (H-1)$$

$$\text{rev}(E | L) = \text{rev}(L) @ (E | \text{nil}) \quad \dots (H-2)$$

II. Induction case

what to show is: $\text{rev}(\text{rev}(e | l)) = e | l$

Induction Hypothesis:

$$\text{rev}(\text{rev}(l)) = l \quad \dots (IA)$$

$$\text{rev}(\text{rev}(e | l))$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rev}(\text{rev}(l) @ (e | \text{nil})) \quad \text{by (H-2)}$$

\therefore "Lemma 1" ∇ \nexists .

Lemma:

$$\text{rev}(L1 @ L2) = \text{rev}(L2) @ \text{rev}(L1) \quad \dots (\text{lemma})$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rev}(e | \text{nil}) @ \text{rev}(\text{rev}(l)) \quad \text{by (lemma)}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rev}(e | \text{nil}) @ l \quad \text{by (IH)}$$

$$\rightarrow (\text{rev1}(\text{nil})) @ (\text{e}|\text{nil})) @ l$$

$$\text{by } (1-2)$$

$$\rightarrow (\text{nil} @ (\text{e}|\text{nil})) @ l$$

$$\text{by } (1-1)$$

$$\rightarrow (\text{e}|\text{nil}) @ l$$

$$\text{by } (@1)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{e} | (\text{nil} @ l)$$

$$\text{by } (@2)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{e} | l$$

$$\text{by } (@1)$$

End of proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma : [Reverse of Concatenation (rev-concat)]

$$\text{rev}(L1 @ L2) = \text{rev}(L2) @ \text{rev}(L1)$$

Proof of Lemma by Structural Induction

Fresh Constant

- $E(e, E) \rightarrow e$
- $\text{List} \rightarrow L$

Induction Hypothesis

$$\text{rev}(L @ L1) = \text{rev}(L1) @ \text{rev}(L) \quad \dots \quad (IH)$$

I. Base case.

$$\text{what to show is } \text{rev}(\text{nil} @ L2) = \text{rev}(L2) @ \text{rev}(\text{nil})$$

$$\text{rev}(\text{nil} @ L2)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rev}(L2) \quad \text{by } (@1)$$

$$\text{rev}(L2) @ \text{rev}(\text{nil})$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rev}(L2) @ \text{nil} \quad \text{by } (H1-1)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rev}(L2) \quad \text{by } (@1)$$

II. Induction case :

$$\text{what to show is } \text{rev}((e|L) @ L2) = \text{rev}(L2) @ \text{rev}(e|L)$$

$$\text{rev}((e|L) @ L2)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rev}(e|(L @ L2)) \quad \text{by } (@2)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rev}(L @ L2) @ (e|\text{nil}) \quad \text{by } (H1-2)$$

$$\rightarrow (\text{rev}(L2) @ \text{rev}(L)) @ (e|\text{nil}) \quad \text{by } (IH)$$

$$\text{rev}(L2) @ \text{rev}(e|L)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{rev}(L2) @ (\text{rev}(L) @ (e|\text{nil})) \quad \text{by } (H1-2)$$

$$\rightarrow (\text{rev}(L2) @ \text{rev}(L)) @ (e|\text{nil}) \quad \text{by } (\text{assoc}@)$$

End of proof of Lemma