Deliverable 3

Team ThoseKoolKidz W18A

Harsimran Saini

Arindam Mukherjee

Meilin Huang

Yulan Guo

Table of Contents

Summary of Changes:	5
High Fidelity Prototype:	7
Testing Documentation:	8
Changes to the testing documentation:	8
Abstract	
Purpose	
Product Description	10
Problem Statement/Test Objectives	
Participant Profile	12
Method (Test Design)	13
Participant Greeting and Introduction	13
Orientation and Consent	
Pre-test questionnaire	
Usability Evaluation	15
Post-test questionnaire	15
Participant Debriefing	16
Task List	17
Test Environment/Equipment	21
Test Monitor Role	22
Evaluation Measures (data to be collected)	23
Report Contents	24

R	eferences	25
4	ppendix:	26
	A1 Clickable Card	26
	A2: Clickable Card Fixed	27
	B1: Course information in study session	28
	B2: Course information in study session Fixed	29
	C1: Link between class and its study sessions	30
	C2: Link between class and its study sessions Fixed	31
	D1: Timer language	32
	D2: Timer language Fixed	
	E1: My Availability	34
	E2: My Availability Fixed	35
	F1: Default tab	36
	F2: Default tab Fixed	37
	G1: Button sizes	38
	G2: Button sizes Fixed	39
	H1: Tabs on detail pages	40
	H2: Tabs on detail pages Fixed	41
	I1: Group availability in create study session	42
	12: Group availability in create study session Fixed	43
	J1: Group availability in its own tab	44
	J2: Group availability in its own tab Fixed	45
	K1: Search vs Filter	46

K2: Search vs Filter Fixed	47
L1: Colour for status	48
L2: Colour for status Fixed	49
M1: Edit timer	50
M2: Edit timer Fixed	5:

Summary of Changes:

#	Severity 0 (low) - 4 (high)	Principle	Description of issue	Effects on usability goals	Effects on user experience goals	Changes made
1	2	Consistency and Standards (Heuristics)	Consistency and standards help users understand what they could do since they can build on knowledge they have acquired elsewhere. The standard of searchable cards, like those on the Course screen, is that they are clickable to reveal more information. Thus, users expected to be able to click the card itself rather than the join button. (Appendix A1)	Learnability	Confusin g	Making the whole card clickable but leaving the join button outside for expert users. (Appendix A2)
2	1	Visibility (Design Principles)	Visibility refers to the visual design which provides clues about how to interact with the system. Users were asked to look for study sessions from the 'Algorithms' course in task 2, but this information was not displayed on the cards. This lacks visibility on the important aspects of the study sessions. (Appendix B1)	Effectivenes s	Frustrati ng	Course and class information added to study session page. (Appendix B2)
3	2	Structure (Design Principles)	Design should organize the user interface purposefully, in meaningful and useful ways by putting related elements together and by eliminating unrelated things. Study sessions for specific courses were expected to be displayed/linked to their courses/classes. Not having these in the relevant sections can confuse the user. (Appendix C1)	Learnability and Effectivenes s	Disorient ating	Added a button to create a link between classes and their study session – more flexibility for user. (Appendix C2)
4	2	Match between system and the real world (Heuristics)	Match between system and the real world refers to ensuring the system uses familiar concepts to the target audience and "speaks the user's language". The word 'Pomodoro' for the timer is unfamiliar for the user and should not be used. (Appendix D1)	Learnability	Confusin g	Remove the 'Pomodoro' tab and make it as a function to start the timer for the specific task. (Appendix D2)
5	3	Structure (Design Principles)	Users were not able to find the 'My availabilities' section and expected it to be its own tab. (Appendix E1)	Learnability	Disorient ating	Created a new bottom tab for availabilities. (Appendix E2)
6	1	Consistency and Standards (Heuristic)	User found it was confusing that the initial tab opened is at the right-hand side on the default home page, which is inconsistent with other similar applications. (Appendix F1)	Learnability	Disorient ating	Changed the order of the sub tabs. (Appendix F2)

7	4	Structure (Design Principles)	Users found the next button inconveniently positioned. This in turn creates poor usability because of users struggling to click on next to progress through the form. As such, this led to user frustration due to having them spend more time clicking the button, when that time could have been used elsewhere more efficiently. (Appendix G1)	Efficiency and learnability	Frustrati ng	Stretching out the next button to give users more room to click (Appendix G2)
8	3	Consistency and standards (heuristics principles)	Users found that when opening more details for a clicked page, they would always have the navigation bar at the bottom. As a result of not staying consistent to the conventional mobile standards, this creates a negative experience for users as they preferred having that extra screen real estate to display more of the form. As such, this increased the number of scrolls as well. (Appendix H1)	Efficiency, Learnability	Annoyin g, frustrati ng	Removing the navigation bar when users click on something with a lot of detail, as well as adding a back button to ensure user freedom (Appendix H2)
9	4	Structure (Design Principles)	Users were not able to find the 'Group availabilities' section and expected it to be in the create new study session form itself. (Appendix I1)	Efficiency	Confusin g	Added group availabilities as part of the create form. (Appendix I2)
10	4	User control and freedom (Heuristics)	User control and freedom refers to ensuring users are able to feel in control of an action and actions are not unpredictable. Users would like to see Group Availabilities outside of creating a new study session. Forcing the user to go through creating a study session to see the availability impedes on their user control and freedom. (Appendix J1)	Utility	Annoyin g	Created a separate section for availabilities. (Appendix J2)
11		User control and freedom + consistency and standards (Heuristics)	Users found themselves confused when they tried to make a filter search query but had both the search bar and the filter search form displayed. As a result of presenting them with multiple options, this prevents users from feeling like they are in control of their actions due to the cognitive overload that arises. As such, by adhering to industry standards, users could focus their attention span on one item at a time. (Appendix K1)	Efficiency, Effectivenes s, Learnability	Frustrati ng, annoying , confusin g	Removed the search bar when users click on the filter button. This way we don't overload them with unnecessary information. (Appendix K2)
12	4	Flexibility and efficiency of use (Heuristics)	Flexibility and efficiency of use refers to providing alternative ways/paths through an application that can cater for different users. Users that are colourblind would have a difficult time using colour to distinguish whether a time slot is available. (Appendix L1)	Utility	Unusabl e	Added other cues to display information other than just colour. (Appendix L2)
13	1	User control and freedom + consistency and standards	Users expected an edit button and did not realise clicking the numbers would allow you to edit the timer. (Appendix M1)	Learnability	Frustrati ng	Added new screen for them to start, pause and edit the timer however they like. (Appendix M2)

High Fidelity Prototype:

Link to our high fidelity prototype: https://www.figma.com/file/AwkJV4SCviMZyCVtwm8510/Deliverable-3---Figma-Prototype?type=design&node-id=0%3A1&mode=design&t=PJPZ5kyoLu9SKHih-1

Testing Documentation:

Please find testing documentation below.

Changes to the testing documentation:

Changes were made to the tasks section of the usability testing documentation due to the following 4 reasons:

- 1. Through our usability testing we found that the wording on some of the usability tasks was confusing. This included adding the course number in task 2, and rewording tasks 3 –5
- 2. Made sure to split task 5 into new steps as it was content heavy
- 3. Features and screens were added/changed due to the usability feedback and the tasks needed to reflect these changes to effectively test the application.
- 4. Reworded some of the post questions as they sounded very similar

Abstract

This document involves the procedures and processes to run a usability test for the study session application created in the COMP4511 Group Project by Team ThoseKoolKidz. The aim of this application is to allow university students to establish mutual study accountability for motivation, easily find suitable study partners, optimise study resources amongst themselves, and feel that they are part of a learning community.

Purpose

The primary purpose of this usability test is to evaluate the usability of the Study Session application. We will therefore ensure that the aims of this application are tested. The aim of the application is to allow university students to establish mutual study accountability for motivation, easily find suitable study partners, optimise study resources amongst themselves, and feel that they are part of a learning community. Through this test, we intend to identify any usability issues that may hinder the application's ability to meet its aims and gather user feedback and insight to refine it, provide a seamless and effective platform for university students to collaborate, motivate and learn together.

Product Description

This is a study session collaboration application for university students that mainly focuses on face-to-face interactions, which offers services allowing users to seamlessly browse, search and join the courses that are tailored to their academics, find the suitable study partners and participate in face-to-face study sessions. With a focus on enhancing the face-to-face interaction, our study session collaboration application is the ideal tool for university students looking to enrich their learning through in-person collaboration and mutual support.

From market research we found that a face-to-face focus is missing from the market, and on further investigation, via questionnaires, users also were looking for a comprehensive application that comprises of all the user journey stages of the face-to-face study session process. This includes communication with peers, booking a location and participating in the sessions.

The product is still in the early stages of development and the version that will be tested is a low-fidelity prototype.

Problem Statement/Test Objectives

University students find it difficult to organise and partake in study sessions. The most common issues that they face are finding suitable face-to-face study partners and coordinating study sessions efficiently. The goals of these students are to establish mutual study accountability for motivation, easily find suitable study partners, optimise study resources amongst themselves, and feel that they are part of a learning community.

Participant Profile

We are expecting to test a total of 12 users, split into two groups. These users will be split roughly into expert/novice characteristics of experience with study applications. Participants will be selected randomly, and their novice/expert status will be determined from a pre-test questionnaire.

The characteristics of the groups are shown below:

Characteristics	Range	Frequency Distribution
Prior experience with study	None to 3 months	75% of users are expected
applications		to be in this group (from
		questionnaire statistics)
Familiar with study	Once a day – once a week	25% of users are expected
applications		to be in this group (from
		questionnaire statistics)

Method (Test Design)

Participant Greeting and Introduction

Each participant will be personally greeted by the test facilitator and made to feel comfortable and relaxed.

Orientation and Consent

Participants will each receive a scripted, verbal introduction and orientation, which will explain the purpose and goals of the test. They will also be assured that the application is what is being tested, not themselves. Participants will then be introduced to the equipment and told that their voices and the screen sharing the application will be recorded, and these will be used for later review, and will not be exposed these to others. Two copies of a consent form will be given to each participant to sign, where the participant is free to keep one copy of this form, and the second copy will be filed away by the evaluation team. The participant is free to leave the test at any time or choose not to participate after reading the consent form.

Pre-test questionnaire

Before the usability evaluation session, each participant will be asked to complete a pre-test questionnaire. This questionnaire will be used to establish the participant's level of expertise.

Pre-test Questionnaire

Note: For scale question, 1 means extremely poor and 5 means extremely well.

- 1. What age group are you in?
- A. Under 25
- B. 25-30
- C. 31-40

2. What is your current study level?
3. Have you ever used an app dedicated to studying before?
A. Never
B. Have used one before in the past
C. Have used multiple in the past
D. Currently using one
E. Currently using more than one
3a. If so which ones?
4. Have you ever used an app dedicated to organising study sessions before?
A. Never
B. Have used one before in the past
C. Have used multiple in the past
D. Currently using one
E. Currently using more than one
4a. If so which ones?

- 5. What device(s) do you usually use for study apps?
- 6. From a scale of 1-5, how would you rate your confidence in using mobile phones?
- 7. From a scale of 1-5, how would you rate your confidence in navigating through a study app on your mobile phone?

Usability Evaluation

The usability evaluation session consists of a series of tasks that the participants will carry out while being observed. These tasks will be conducted in the following manner:

a. A script will be read out loud to the participant by the test monitor (facilitator), detailing the task to be accomplished. The participant will then be asked to attempt the task.

During the usability evaluation, the observer will make notes on the participants interaction with the test artefact. Any errors or issues that the participant encounters will be noted. Where applicable the facilitator will encourage the participant to verbalise his/her thoughts and ask relevant questions. The observer will also make note of any unusual circumstances that may not be picked up by the recording devices.

Post-test questionnaire

After the usability evaluation session, each participant will be asked to complete a post-test questionnaire. This questionnaire will be used to gather the final thoughts of the participant on the user interface.

Post-test questionnaire

Note: For scale question, 1 means extremely poor and 5 means extremely good.

1. From a scale of 1-5, how user-friendly is the interface?

Team	Those	Koo	lKidz	W18A
I Calli	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	こいいい	IKIUZ	VVIOA

- 2. From a scale of 1-5, how would you rate your overall experience with our interface?
- 3. What are the best and worst things about our interface?
- 4. Is there anything about our interface that you would change or add to improve its usability?

Participant Debriefing

Each participant will be debriefed by the test monitor. The topics of the questions will be:

- 1. Overall satisfaction with the application
- 2. Any comments

Task List

The following five tasks will be used during the usability evaluation session:

TASK 1	DESCRIPTION
TASK	The user wants to view a list of courses on the study app. They then want to join the COMP3511 TH16A class.
STARTING STATE	Log In screen
SUCCESSFUL	Navigate to sign in screen
COMPLETION	2. Create account
CRITERIA	3. Log in and go to course page
	4. Make a search query to find the class by name or manually find
	it
	5. Click the big green join button
BENCHMARK	2 mins
SCRIPT (TASK	Hello [USER], welcome to task 1. You are a new student looking to
SCENARIO)	create an account and view the list of courses on the study application.
	After that you will need to join the TH16A class.
	Remember that this is not a test, and we are not assessing your
	abilities but testing the usability of our prototype, so please take your
	time and complete the task to the best of your ability.

TASK 2	DESCRIPTION
TASK	Find the list of users that are attending the study session for the T16A "Algorithms" class.
STARTING STATE	Courses home page

SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION CRITERIA	 Navigate to the study sessions tab Click the filter section next to the search bar Enter in "Algorithms" next to class and hit search Click on the study session e.g., CS st00dents Navigate to the "List of Users" section
BENCHMARK SCRIPT (TASK SCENARIO)	1:30 mins – 2 mins Hello [USER], welcome to task 2. You now want to view the users in a study session for the T16A "Algorithms" class. Remember that this is not a test, and we are not assessing your abilities but testing the usability of our prototype, so please take your time and complete the task to the best of your ability.

TASK 3	DESCRIPTION
TASK	The user wants to add a new task to the to-do list and would like to complete it in 5 hours. After doing so, they want to start a timer for this specific task.
STARTING STATE	Study Tools home page
SUCCESSFUL	1. Navigate to the "Study Tools" section in the navigation bar
COMPLETION	2. Click the plus icon at the bottom-right of the home page to
CRITERIA	create a new task
	3. Enter in your task name, select Tag, Due date and drag to set a
	5-hour completion time, then press "√" icon to add the new task.
	4. Now click on the "▷" icon on the right-hand side of the new created task to start a 5-hour timer
BENCHMARK	2:00 mins – 2:30 mins
SCRIPT (TASK SCENARIO)	Hello [USER], welcome to task 3. Now you find yourself busy with a new task called "Lab 1", and you want to finish it within 5 hours. Once you've added this task to your to-do list, proceed to initiate a timer dedicated to this specific task to help you stay on track and ensure its

Remember that this is not a test, and we are not assessing your abilities but testing the usability of our prototype, so please take your time and complete the task to the best of your ability.

TASK 4	DESCRIPTION
TASK	The user wants to view their availabilities on 14/12/2023.
STARTING STATE	Study Sessions homepage
SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION CRITERIA	 User selects the 'Availabilities' tab on the navigation bar. User checks their availabilities
BENCHMARK	1 min and only 1 click
SCRIPT (TASK SCENARIO)	Hello [USER], welcome to task 4. You now want to see your own availabilities on the 14 th of December 2023.
	Remember that this is not a test, and we are not assessing your abilities but testing the usability of our prototype, so please take your time and complete the task to the best of your ability.

TASK 5	DESCRIPTION
TASK	The user wants to create study sessions on 12/12/2023 and invites friends after checking the best time for the others to meet up.
STARTING STATE	Study Sessions homepage
SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION CRITERIA	 User selects the Study Sessions tab on the navigation bar User clicks on the "+" button at the bottom right corner to create new study session.
	3. Add participants4. User chooses the date on 14/12/2023.

	5. Select the appropriate time6. Move to location step7. Optionally add a room8. Create session
BENCHMARK	1:30 mins – 2:00 mins
SCRIPT (TASK SCENARIO)	Hello [USER], welcome to task 5. You now want to create a new study session on the 14 th of December 2023. Invite some friends and study partners into the session as well. Remember that this is not a test, and we are not assessing your abilities but testing the usability of our prototype, so please take your time and complete the task to the best of your ability.

TASK 6	DESCRIPTION
TASK	View the group availabilities for the "Comp3511" course, "Comp4511" course and yourself.
STARTING STATE	Study Sessions homepage
SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION CRITERIA	 Select availabilities section in the nav bar Click on the "Group availabilities" section on the top tab Select the groups Check their availabilities
BENCHMARK	30 – 45 seconds
SCRIPT (TASK SCENARIO)	Hello [USER], welcome to task 6. You now want to check the group availabilities for the "Comp3511" course, "Comp4511" course and yourself.
	Remember that this is not a test, and we are not assessing your abilities but testing the usability of our prototype, so please take your time and complete the task to the best of your ability.

TASK 7	DESCRIPTION
TASK	Adjust the font size of the application
STARTING STATE	Study Sessions homepage
SUCCESSFUL	5. Select the settings page
COMPLETION	6. Click on accessibility settings
CRITERIA	7. Use the slider to adjust the font size
BENCHMARK	20 - 35 seconds
SCRIPT (TASK SCENARIO)	Hello [USER], welcome to task 7. You find yourself struggling to make out the content on the screen and you want to increase the font size to improve readability.
	Remember that this is not a test, and we are not assessing your abilities but testing the usability of our prototype, so please take your time and complete the task to the best of your ability.

Test Environment/Equipment

All usability evaluation sessions will be conducted over Teams using the researchers' laptops and screen sharing features.

The following equipment will be used across the range of participants:

- 1. MS Teams: To communicate with the participant and share screen for the tests. The shared computer screen will be recorded to ensure that the interaction between participant and the prototype is available for later review.
- 2. Microphones: these will be used to record participants and the think-aloud protocol data, which can later be reviewed, and transcripts created to ensure that all information is available for the analysis stage.
- 3. Computer with an internet connection: the participant will be interacting with the prototype as part of the usability evaluation testing.

Test Monitor Role

The test monitor will be present in the Teams call with each participant while conducting the test, and act as a facilitator of the evaluation. An observer will also be present on the call, making observations based on the participant's commentary and other behavioural aspects such as facial expressions and gestures.

The test monitor will not lead the participant to the answer or the correct series of steps and will not volunteer help unless the participant is in serious risk of not completing the task successfully. A basic time frame for offering any serious help is approximately 5 minutes. The test monitor will only respond to questions that enquire what to do and how to do if their answer will not threaten to skew the test results.

The test monitor will be on hand in case of equipment failure or other problems.

The test monitor will participate in active listening and encourage an easy-going atmosphere throughout the evaluation session.

Evaluation Measures (data to be collected)

The following evaluation data will be collected and later analysed:

Performance Measures Behavioural Measures Number and percentage of tasks completed Participant comments correctly with and without assistance Number of errors encountered during each Participant perception of site of the tasks Number of errors encountered during the User satisfaction whole of the evaluation Initial reaction when attempting each task Number of times participant asked for help Negative comments count Relevant quotes Positive comments count Relevant quotes Count of incorrect icon selection Participant perception of site An interview at the end of the usability test Participant interview responses Number of screen presses to complete a Participant perception of site task and the time it took to complete the task

Report Contents

A formal report will be produced summarising all the results and refining the application design. All the information making up this report will be kept internally for research and educational purposes.

References


Sasha, V. & Simon, P. (2008). *Usability Test Plan Template Version 1.2* [PDF file]. Retrieved from https://moodle.telt.unsw.edu.au/pluginfile.php/10679265/mod/resource/content/1/HCl 2008 Usability Test Plan Sample.pdf

Appendix:

A1 Clickable Card



A2: Clickable Card Fixed




B1: Course information in study session




B2: Course information in study session Fixed



C1: Link between class and its study sessions



C2: Link between class and its study sessions Fixed



D1: Timer language




D2: Timer language Fixed



E1: My Availability



E2: My Availability Fixed




F1: Default tab



F2: Default tab Fixed




G1: Button sizes



G2: Button sizes Fixed




H1: Tabs on detail pages



H2: Tabs on detail pages Fixed




l1: Group availability in create study session



12: Group availability in create study session Fixed




J1: Group availability in its own tab




J2: Group availability in its own tab Fixed




K1: Search vs Filter




K2: Search vs Filter Fixed




L1: Colour for status



L2: Colour for status Fixed



M1: Edit timer



M2: Edit timer Fixed

