# Questions and Answers of Lab 2 Yuliang Jin 986381

#### 1

Determine the asymptotic running time of the following procedure (an exact computation of number of basic operations is not necessary):

```
int[] arrays(int n) {
    int[] arr = new int[n];
    for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i){
        arr[i] = 1;
    }
    for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
        for(int j = i; j < n; ++j){
            arr[i] += arr[j] + i + j;
        }
    }
    return arr;
}</pre>
```

The asymptotic running time of the above procedure is  $O(n^2)$ .

#### 2

Consider the following problem: As input you are given two sorted arrays of integers. Your objective is to design an algorithm that would merge the two arrays together to form a new sorted array that contains all the integers contained in the two arrays. For example, on input [1, 4, 5, 8, 17], [2, 4, 8, 11, 13, 21, 23, 25] the algorithm would output the following array: [1,2,4,4,5,8,8, 11, 13, 17, 21, 23, 25] For this problem, do the following:

- 1. Design an algorithm Merge to solve this problem and write your algorithm description using the pseudo-code syntax discussed in class.
- Examining your pseudo-code, determine the asymptotic running time of this merge

algorithm

```
Algorithm: merge (A,B)
          In plot: two sorted array of Integers.
          Oneput: a sorted army of all numbers in both A and B
          ( + new array, c + 0
           lenA < A. length.
           len B + B. length
           a < 0
           b 6 0
           while (a < lenA && b < lenB) do:
                 If A[a] < B[b] then
                       CIC++] = A [a++];
                 else
                       C [c++] = B [ b++];
            While (a < len4) do
                  CEC++] = ATa++]
           while (b < len B) do
                 C EC++] = B [b++]
            return C
The asymptotic running time of this algorithm is O(n), because we only iterate once
over each of the Input array.
```

3. Implement your pseudo-code as a Java method merge having the following signature: int[] merge(int[] arr1, int[] arr2). Be sure to test your method in a main method to be sure it really works!

```
public static int[] merge(int[] arr1, int[] arr2) {
    int len1 = arr1.length;
    int len2 = arr2.length;
    int[] arr3 = new int[len1 + len2];
    int a=0, b=0, c=0;
    while(a<len1 && b<len2) {
        if(arr1[a] <= arr2[b]) {
            arr3[c++] = arr1[a++];
        } else {
            arr3[c++] = arr2[b++];
        }
        while(a<len1) {
            arr3[c++] = arr1[a++];
        }
        while (b<len2) {
            arr3[c++] = arr2[b++];
        }
</pre>
```

```
return arr3;
}
```

### 3

Use the limit definitions of complexity classes given in class to decide whether each of the following is true or false, and in each case, prove your answer.

# **4 Power Set Algorithm**

Given a set X, the power set of X, denoted P(X), is the set of all subsets of X. Below, you are given an algorithm for computing the power set of a given set. This algorithm is used in the brute-force solution to the SubsetSum Problem, discussed in the first lecture. Implement this algorithm in a Java method:

```
public static List<Set<Integer>> powerSet(List<Integer> X) {
   List<Set<Integer>> P = new ArrayList<Set<Integer>>();
   HashSet<Integer> S = new HashSet<Integer>();
   P.add(S);
```

```
HashSet<Integer> T = new HashSet<Integer>();
    while(!X.isEmpty()) {
      List<Set<Integer>> temp = new ArrayList<Set<Integer>>();
      Integer f = X.remove(0);
      //When iterate over P, P should not be modified(like adding elements or delete
elements during the process of
      // iteration) at the same time, otherwise ConcurrentModificationException will
be thrown.
     for (Set<Integer> x : P) {
       T = new HashSet<Integer>(x);
        T.add(f);
        temp.add(T);
      }
      for (Set<Integer> integers : temp) {
        P.add(integers);
    }
    return P;
```

#### 5

In the slides, an algorithm removeDups was given for extracting a list of all the distinct elements of a given input list L.

## Explain why the running time of removeDups is O(n^2)

Because in the contains method of the ArrayList, it iterates over the list data using a for loop. Therefor, this algorithm is actually a nested for loop. So the running time is O(n^2).

# Try using the technique shown in the solution to the Sum of Two problem (i.e. a HashMap) to improve running time of removeDups to O(n)

```
public static List<Integer> removeDups(List<Integer> L) {
    ArrayList<Integer> list = new ArrayList<Integer>();
    HashMap<Integer, Integer> map = new HashMap<Integer, Integer>();
    for (Integer integer : L) {
        if(!map.containsKey(integer)) {
            map.put(integer, 1);
            list.add(integer);
        }
    }
    return list;
}
```