

Visualization of Straight Lines in p -Adic Space

In p -adic space, straight lines analogous to those in \mathbb{R}^2 are defined by

$$y = a + b \cdot x, \quad (a, b, x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

In Euclidean space, we say that $y = a + bx$ because the Euclidean distance between y and $a + bx$ is zero, and the Euclidean distance is simply the absolute value of their difference. In p -adic space, we also declare y and $a + bx$ equal if their distance is zero; however, here the distance between two numbers is determined by the divisibility of their difference by p . If the difference is divisible by arbitrarily high powers of p , then their p -adic distance approaches zero.

Thus, when we write $y = a + bx$ in p -adic space, we really mean that

$$y - (a + bx)$$

is divisible by every power of p , that is,

$$y \equiv a + bx \pmod{p^n} \quad \text{for all } n > 0.$$

However, there are three practical limitations when visualizing this situation.

1. **Finite precision.** We cannot verify divisibility up to an infinite power of p . In practice, we can only check that $y - (a + bx)$ is divisible up to some finite power p^n , i.e., modulo p^n .
2. **Finite plotting domain.** We cannot explicitly plot x and y in \mathbb{Q}_p , because for a given $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, the condition $y - (a + bx)$ divisible by p^n yields infinitely many pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ satisfying it. Therefore, we can only visualize their images modulo p^n , i.e.

$$y \bmod p^n = (a \cdot (x \bmod p^n) + b) \bmod p^n,$$

and plot the pairs $(x \bmod p^n, y \bmod p^n)$.

3. **Disconnectedness of p -adic space.** We cannot connect these plotted points with continuous lines, since the p -adic metric induces a completely disconnected topology. Around each point there exists an open ball that contains no other points, hence no continuous curve can pass through multiple points in p -adic space.

How to Expand a Number in p -Adic Space

The general idea is that we use an infinite amount of p^i to approximate a number x . Different from the Euclidean metric, the notion of closeness here changes to the p -adic metric, so if we are using a sequence s_n to approximate x , we want $x - s_n$ to be more and more divisible by p when n progresses.

So if $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i p^i$, then we want $x - s_n$ to be divisible by p^{n+1} .

However, here the idea of divisibility changes since $x - s_n$ is any rational number. Suppose $x = r/s$. Then $r/s - s_n$ being divisible by p^{n+1} means that $r - as$ is divisible by p^{n+1} , or $x - s = fp^{n+1}$, where f = some integer in \mathbb{Z}_p . This is denoted by

$$\frac{r}{s} \equiv s_n \pmod{p^{n+1}}.$$

A useful algorithm for this is to start from a_0, s_0 . We want

$$x \equiv s_0 \pmod{p^1}.$$

Then we know $x - a_0 = f_0 p$. Next, we find a_1 such that

$$x \equiv s_1 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Then

$$x - s_1 = x - s_0 - a_1 p = f_0 p - a_1 p.$$

This holds iff $f_0 - a_1$ is divisible by p . So we need

$$a_1 \equiv f_0 \pmod{p}.$$

By induction, it is easy to show that to get a_n ,

$$a_n \equiv f_{n-1} \pmod{p},$$

where

$$f_{n-1} = \frac{x - s_{n-1}}{p^n}.$$

(Note that its is the best if we keep f_i in fractional form so that we don't loss any precision) Now the problem is how to find a_n such that $a_n \equiv f_{n-1} \pmod{p}$, where f_{n-1} is a rational number. Remember, divisibility for rational numbers is different from the integer definition, so we have to use another algorithm.

Step I:

We prove that

$$a_n = ((r \pmod{p})(u \pmod{p})) \pmod{p},$$

where $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r/s = f$ with $(p \nmid s)$. Suppose $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies

$$su \equiv 1 \pmod{p},$$

that is, $u \equiv s^{-1} \pmod{p}$.

First, we show that for any integers a, b ,

$$((a \pmod{p})(b \pmod{p})) \pmod{p} = (ab) \pmod{p}.$$

Let $a = \tilde{a} + kp$ and $b = \tilde{b} + \ell p$, where $\tilde{a} = a \pmod{p}$ and $\tilde{b} = b \pmod{p}$. Then

$$ab = (\tilde{a} + kp)(\tilde{b} + \ell p) = \tilde{a}\tilde{b} + p(\tilde{a}\ell + \tilde{b}k + k\ell p).$$

Hence,

$$ab \equiv \tilde{a}\tilde{b} \pmod{p}.$$

Also, we know that if $u \equiv s^{-1} \pmod{p}$, then $s u \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, since $u - 1/s = kp$ implies that $us - 1 = np$, where n is an integer.

So we know

$$a_n = ((r \pmod{p})(u \pmod{p})) \pmod{p}$$

is the same as saying

$$a_n \equiv r u \pmod{p}. \quad (1)$$

Thus,

$$s a_n \equiv r u s \pmod{p}. \quad (2)$$

Since $s u \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we have

$$s a_n \equiv r \pmod{p},$$

which is our original restriction on a_n .

Step II:

Finding $s u \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ is equivalent to finding integers n and u such that

$$s u + n p = 1.$$

This only has a solution if $\gcd(s, p) = 1$, because if they have a common factor, any multiple of $(1 + \text{factor})p$ is never going to be 0.

Now, to find such a solution, we have to first understand the normal Euclidean Algorithm that calculates $\gcd(a, b)$. It claims that if we keep dividing with remainders, the last remainder will be $\gcd(a, b)$. Namely, let

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q_0 b + r_1, \\ b &= q_1 r_1 + r_2, \\ r_1 &= q_2 r_2 + r_3, \\ &\vdots \\ r_{k-1} &= q_k r_k + r_{k+1}, \\ r_k &= q_{k+1} r_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $r_{k+1} = \gcd(a, b)$.

Proof. First, notice that $r_1 > r_2 > r_3 > \dots$, so the remainders form a strictly decreasing sequence. Also $r_i \geq 0$. Hence a strictly decreasing sequence bounded below by 0 must eventually reach 0.

Secondly, $\gcd(x, y) = \gcd(y, x - ny)$ for any integer n . Denote by $C(x, y)$ the set of common divisors of x and y . Similarly define $C(y, x - ny)$. We show $C(x, y) \subseteq C(y, x - ny)$ and $C(y, x - ny) \subseteq C(x, y)$.

If $d \mid x$ and $d \mid y$, then

$$\frac{x - ny}{d} = \frac{x}{d} - n \frac{y}{d} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

so $d \mid (x - ny)$. Thus $d \in C(y, x - ny)$, proving $C(x, y) \subseteq C(y, x - ny)$.

Conversely, if $d \mid (x - ny)$ and $d \mid y$, then

$$\frac{x}{d} = \frac{x - ny}{d} + n \frac{y}{d} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

so $d \mid x$. Thus $d \in C(x, y)$, proving $C(y, x - ny) \subseteq C(x, y)$.

Therefore $C(x, y) = C(y, x - ny)$, and hence $\gcd(x, y) = \gcd(y, x - ny)$.

Applying this to the Euclidean algorithm gives

$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r_1) = \gcd(r_1, r_2) = \cdots = \gcd(r_k, r_{k+1}) = r_{k+1}.$$

Since the remainders strictly decrease and are nonnegative, the process terminates with the last nonzero remainder r_{k+1} which equals $\gcd(a, b)$.

Step III:

Now with normal Euclidean algorithm, we could solve for x and y such that $ax + by = \gcd(a, b) = r_{k+1}$, this is simple as we already calculated from normal Euclidean algorithm that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k+1} &= r_{k-1} - q_k r_k, \\ &= (r_{k-2} - q_{k-1} r_{k-1}) - q_k r_k = \dots \end{aligned}$$

until we expand it to an expression with respect to a and b . Now back to our problem, we want to find n and u such that $su + np = 1 = \gcd(s, p)$, so we first use normal Euclidean algorithm to calculate $\gcd(s, p)$ which we should get 1, in the process we keep track of each q_i and r_i and then we use the extended Euclidean algorithm to solve for n and u . Now plug n back to the original equation in step I, we should get a_n .

Remark

1. If our input fraction is divisible by p , then we just simply factor out the part that is divisible by p , expand the remaining nondivisible part, and multiply p back in.
2. If our input number y is negative, then we first calculate its positive complement $-y$. We want $y - y = 0$, namely we want all of the p -adic digits to carry over to the next digit to infinity so that everything becomes 0.

Namely, we want

$$a_i + a_{i,\text{complement}} + 1$$

(the 1 comes from the previous digit carried over) to be equal to p for all i , so that every digit i is carried over to the next digit and the whole expression vanishes. So,

$$a_{i,\text{negative}} = p - 1 - a_{i,\text{positive}}.$$

Note that for the first non-zero digit, we don't have the extra 1 because we don't have the digit carried over from the previous digit, so

$$a_{k,\text{negative}} = p - a_{k,\text{positive}},$$

where k is the index of the first nonzero digit.

3. The reverse of expanding, namely finding the Euclidean rational number with known prefix and repeating block is Easy. Suppose I have a prefix A and a repeating digit block of $\{b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}\}$, and suppose I know that the repeating block starts from digit n_r . Then,

$$x = A + p^{n_r} (b_0 + b_1 p + \dots + b_k p^{k-1} + b_0 p^k + \dots),$$

where

$$(b_0 + b_1 p + \dots + b_k p^k + b_0 p^{k+1} + \dots) = B(1 + p^k + p^{2k} + \dots),$$

with $B = b_0 + b_1 p + \dots + b_k p^k$. Then, $(1 + p^k + p^{2k} + \dots)$ is the geometric series in p -adic space that converges to $1/(1 - p^k)$. This can be easily shown by the exact same technique we used in Euclidean space.