

## Top physics beyond the standard model: Prospects at CMS

F. YUMICEVA<sup>(1)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> *Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA*

**Summary.** — Precise studies of the top-quark sector will be performed in the LHC in order to test the standard model and search for new physics. The top-quark sector at the LHC opens a very rich region to look for new phenomena. Searches beyond the standard model include new top quark decays and top quarks in resonant production. In the latter, a good observable to carry on a model independent search is the top pair invariant mass. A special reconstruction procedure is needed for the case of heavy resonances decaying into very high- $p_T$  top jets. CMS is developing tools to improve the reconstruction of these highly boosted top jets and studying the discovery potential of new physics in the top quark sector. A brief review of these studies are given in this note.

PACS 14.65Ha – top quarks..

### 1. – Introduction

Precision top-quark physics will be carried on by the LHC experiments thanks to production of a much larger data set than the current Tevatron top-quark sample. At design luminosity of  $10^{-34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ , the LHC will produce about 80 million top pairs and 40 million single top events per year. The large statistics top event sample opens the possibility to use top quark events as a tool to calibrate the detector. For example, top quark events can be used to estimate the absolute jet energy scale and estimate the  $b$ -tagging efficiency. Besides testing the standard model (SM) and using top events as a standard candle, top physics opens a rich region for searches beyond the standard model (BSM). Because of the large top Yukawa coupling, we expect new physics to have strong couplings to the top sector [1]. New phenomena in the top-quark sector can appear as new decay channels or top quarks in resonant production [2].

The top quark has a special role both in the standard model and BSM. Within the SM, the top quark is naturally related to the electroweak (EWK) symmetry breaking (EWSB) because of its large Yukawa coupling among the SM fermions, and because the top mass is at the EWK scale. In addition, the top-quark loop presents the largest contribution to the quadratic divergence of the SM Higgs mass. Because of its prompt decay, it offers the possibility to study properties of a bare quark, *e.g.* spin, mass, and coupling. Within the BSM, there are many alternative mechanism of EWSB, models




Fig. 1. – (a) Branching ratio of  $t \rightarrow H^\pm b$  vs  $\tan\beta$ , and (b) branching ratios for charged Higgs boson decaying to different final states for  $\tan\beta = 20$ .

with top partners in order to compensate for its large radiative correction to the Higgs mass (SUSY, Little Higgs, Extra Dimensions). Its large mass opens up a large phase space for decays to heavy states. Models whose couplings of new gauge interactions to the top quark are enhanced. Such particles could show up as resonances which decay to  $t\bar{t}$ .

In this note, we summarize the results of exploring the discovery potential in the top sector with the CMS detector. A detail description of the CMS detector can be found somewhere else [3]. We concentrate in the searches of new top decays and the search for heavy resonances into  $t\bar{t}$  decays. Studies about the search for top partners and other exotic top searches are currently being done in CMS.

## 2. – Top quark decays

In the SM, flavor changing neutral currents (FCNC) are suppressed by the GIM mechanism. The dominant decay channels are through the weak charged-currents (CC). Because of  $V_{tb} \gg V_{td}, V_{ts}$  [4], the predominant decay channel is to a  $b$  quark. In addition, the top quark has a prompt decay via first order weak interaction before hadronization  $\Gamma(t \rightarrow W^+ q) \approx 1.5 \text{ GeV} > \Lambda_{QCD} \sim 200 \text{ MeV}$ .

**2.1. Top charged current decays in BSM.** – Alternative top quark CC decays are possible via charged technicolor particles, *e.g.*  $t \rightarrow \pi_T^+ b$ , and charged Higgs in Supersymmetry (SUSY) or with an extended Higgs sector  $t \rightarrow H^\pm b$ . The former decay channel has not been explored yet by CMS. The latter decay channel could be the leading channel for charged Higgs production. CMS has explored the discovery potential of this decay in the context of the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM). A more detail description of this analysis can be found in the ref. [5]. The branching ratio of top decay to charged Higgs boson depends on both its mass and  $\tan\beta$  as shown in fig. 1(a). For  $\tan\beta = 20$ , the charged Higgs boson mostly decay to  $\tau\nu$  for  $m_{H^\pm} < m_t$  where  $m_t$  is the top mass [see fig. 1(b)]. While for  $m_{H^\pm} > m_t$ , the two main decay modes are to  $tb$  or  $\tau\nu$

as shown in fig. 1(b). Therefore, we can study the following main final states:

- If  $m_{H^\pm} < m_t$ ,  $t\bar{t} \rightarrow H^\pm W^\mp b\bar{b} \rightarrow (\tau^\pm \nu)(l^\mp \nu) b\bar{b}$ .
- If  $m_{H^\pm} > m_t$ ,  $gg \rightarrow tbH^\pm \rightarrow (j_1 j_2)(bb(\tau^\pm \nu))$ .
- If  $m_{H^\pm} > m_t$ ,  $gb \rightarrow tH^\pm \rightarrow ttb \rightarrow W^+ W^- bbb \rightarrow j_1 j_2 \mu \nu bbb$  and  $gg \rightarrow tH^\pm b \rightarrow ttbb \rightarrow W^+ W^- bbbb \rightarrow j_1 j_2 \mu \nu bbbb$ .

The final state  $(\tau^\pm \nu)(l^\mp \nu) b\bar{b}$  was studied using fully simulated data, including pile-up, corresponding to a low luminosity of  $2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  [6]. The Level-1 (L1) trigger and High Level Trigger (HLT) selection includes a single muon,  $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}/c$ , and an electron,  $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}/c$ . The offline reconstruction uses calibrated jets with  $E_T > 40 \text{ GeV}/c$ . The jet algorithm uses the iterative jet cone reconstruction with  $\Delta R = 0.5$ . Jets are required to be central  $|\eta_{jet}| < 2.4$ . At least three jets are required in the event and at least one of the jets tagged as a  $b$ -jet. The  $b$ -tagging algorithm is based in the impact parameter significance of tracks in the jet. The on-line tau reconstruction at L1 requires tau-like energy deposits in the calorimeters. Regional jet reconstruction around the L1 tau candidate is performed with  $E_T > 20 \text{ GeV}/c$ . Electron fakes are reduced by requiring that the hottest hadron calorimeter tower to have  $E_T > 2 \text{ GeV}$ . Then, the tau offline reconstruction follows using three concentric cones to identify tau candidates. Tau jet candidates are required to have  $E_T > 40 \text{ GeV}$ . The total charge of the lepton plus the tau jet is required to be zero. To reduce background, the missing transverse energy (MET) has to be greater than 70 GeV. The main background channels are QCD  $t\bar{t}$  with at least a single electron or muon tau jets,  $W$ +jets, and single top. The total systematic uncertainty is around 5% where the main contributions come from  $b$ -tagging and tau identification. The  $5\sigma$  discovery potential for light  $H^\pm$  boson at  $30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  including the effect of systematic uncertainties is shown in fig. 2.

The final state  $(j_1 j_2)bb(\tau^\pm \nu)$  has also been studied in CMS [7]. Fully simulated data at low luminosity was used in this analysis. The main background channels are tau decays from QCD  $t\bar{t}$ , and taus from  $W$  decays. We can take advantage in this channel of the large MET for  $H^\pm$  decays. The reconstruction of the top mass helps to suppress QCD multi jet background. In addition, helicity correlations favoring  $H^+$  decays over  $W$  decays due to the spin-parity properties of the decaying particles. The main systematic uncertainties are for tau identification, 8%, and for the  $t\bar{t}$  background about 11%. For the other backgrounds, the MC statistics strongly dominate the measurement uncertainties and therefore the MC statistics uncertainties were used. Fig. 3 shows the  $5\sigma$ -discovery region in the  $m_A - \tan \beta$  plane.

The final state  $j_1 j_2 \mu \nu bbb$  and  $j_1 j_2 \mu \nu bbbb$  are the most interesting from the experimental point of view because an isolated muon is present to trigger on and the branching fraction into this decay is high [8]. The production of  $H^+$  through heavy SUSY particles is not taken into account. The main background in these channels is  $t\bar{t} + jets$ . The selection includes a single muon trigger,  $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}/c$ , at least 5(6) calibrated jets with  $E_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$ , and at least 3(4)  $b$ -tagged jets. The secondary vertex algorithm is used to identify  $b$ -jets. The best jet association is based in a likelihood ratio which contains information from kinematic variables of jets, output of kinematic fit on  $t\bar{t}$  system with a  $W$  and top mass constraints, and  $b$ -tagging discriminants. The largest systematic uncertainty is in the estimation of the large background. Even with a very optimistic analysis at  $30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  no visibility for these channels is obtained within the MSSM. The discovery contours for both final states are shown in fig. 4.




Fig. 2. – Discovery potential ( $5\sigma$ ) for light charged Higgs boson at  $30\text{ fb}^{-1}$  including the effect of systematic uncertainties.




Fig. 3. – Discovery potential ( $5\sigma$ ) for channel  $gg \rightarrow tbH^\pm \rightarrow (j_1 j_2)(bb(\tau^\pm \nu))$  at  $30\text{ fb}^{-1}$  in the maximal mixing scenario with  $\mu = 200\text{ GeV}/c^2$ . The discovery regions with and without systematic uncertainties are shown. The regions excluded by the LEP and Tevatron searches are also shown.




Fig. 4. – Discovery contour for  $H^\pm \rightarrow tb$  decay for  $30 \text{ fg}^{-1}$  with three  $b$ -jet final state (a)  $j_1 j_2 \mu \nu b b b$ , and four  $b$ -jet final state (b)  $j_1 j_2 \mu \nu b b b b$ . The systematic uncertainty is on the background for an efficiency of 0%, 1%, and 3% are shown.

**2.2. Top neutral current decays in BSM.** – Within SUSY, we can have new decay modes like  $t \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}^0$ . These decay modes have not been explored in CMS. The decays explored are the FCNC at loop level which are highly suppressed in the SM with branching fractions about  $10^{-14}$ . Within the MSSM, we can have branching fractions of about  $10^{-5}$ . The possible decays are  $t \rightarrow \gamma + \text{jet}$ ,  $t \rightarrow Z + \text{jet}$ , and  $t \rightarrow g + \text{jet}$ . The last channel has not been studied because of the very high background. The analyses look for one SM top decay and another FCNC decay. Only the muon and electron decays from W and Z are studied. The main background sources are QCD  $t\bar{t}$ , single top (t-channel), ZW+jets, WW+jets, ZZ+jets, W+jets, Z+jets,  $Zb\bar{b}$ , and QCD. A detail description of these analysis can be found in the ref. [5].

### 3. – Top quarks in resonant production

In the SM, top quarks are produced via QCD production by fusion of  $q\bar{q}$  (10%) and  $gg$  (90%), via single top production, and via Higgs associated production  $t\bar{t}H$ . Beyond the SM, top quarks can also be produced in resonant production. There is a high potential to discover new physics in the top quark sector searching for heavy resonances which decay into  $t\bar{t}$  or  $t\bar{b}$ . Resonant states include Higgs bosons, new gauge bosons, Kaluza-Klein excitations of gluons and gravitons, technicolor-like dynamical states, and many more. New phenomena can be observed in the  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  distribution as shape distortions or peaks. The distortions can be deviations of the distribution from the theoretical predictions due to enhancements or interferences of new physics [9]. Therefore, the  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  distribution is a good observable to carry on a model independent search. The  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  distribution can be divided in three regions (see fig. 5). The low mass region, between 300 to 800  $\text{GeV}/c^2$ , is where most of the SM background peaks and the standard tools to reconstruct top quark events can be applied. The medium region, between 800 to 1000  $\text{GeV}/c^2$ , is where the standard tools begin to have low efficiency and an special reconstruction approach is needed to recover efficiency. The high mass region, above 1  $\text{TeV}/c^2$ , is where the top

quark is highly boosted. The decay products are merged and the reconstruction of this objects require a different approach. However, the event topology of these decays and the presence of high- $p_T$  jets can help to reconstruct these special decays.

We are studying new techniques to improve the reconstruction of highly boosted top jets. The main problem on these events is that the decay products of the top jet are very close to each other. In fig. 6(a), the lego plot of the calorimeter towers of the four leading jets is shown from a sample of muonic QCD  $t\bar{t}$ . In fig. 6(a) is possible to distinguish easily two  $b$ -jets and two light jets from the W decay. In fig. 6(b), the same lego plot is shown for the case of a narrow resonance  $Z'(4\text{ TeV}) \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ . The top jet products are all merged into a high- $p_T$  jet, and a second jet with lower  $p_T$  opposite to the leading jet. The most simple method to reconstruct these fat jets is to use a jet association based in  $\Delta R$ . The leading jet is selected and the rest of jets around this jet are added vectorial to the leading jet. Then, the mass of this new merged jet (MJet) is obtained which is around the top mass. An additional selection can be applied using topology variables, *e.g.* requiring that the merged jet be opposite to the second jet. The use of the variable  $\Delta R$  is not suitable because of its strong dependency on the center of mass decay angle. This produces distortions of the angular distributions and hence the spin determination. A new variable called  $\psi$  has been studied to replace  $\Delta R$ . The variable  $\psi$  is inspired in the  $k_T$  jet algorithm and the top decay kinematics. Given a particle of mass  $M$  which has a two-body decay to particles of momentum  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  with angles  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  with respect to flight axis of  $M$ , we define  $\psi = (p_1 + p_2) \sin((\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2) [\min(p_1/p_2)]^{1/\alpha} / M$ . The value of  $\alpha$  is chosen to be 4 after an optimization using generated data. Using  $\psi$  instead of  $\Delta R$  gives a slightly higher selection efficiency.

Another challenging reconstruction problem is the application of  $b$ -tagging to high- $p_T$  jets. The  $b$ -tagging algorithms have been tested in high multiplicity events. It is observed that the algorithms are still functional in this extreme cases. However the non  $b$ -jet efficiency is very high to consider using  $b$ -tagging. The cause of the increase in the mistagging rate is due to the increase of fake tracks. High- $p_T$  jets have many tracks which are very close to each other producing overlapped hits in the pixel and tracker detector. There is currently efforts to reduce the track fake rate and improve  $b$ -tagging for these scenarios in CMS.

#### 4. – Conclusions

The LHC will open a very rich top-quark sector to look for new physics beyond the SM. CMS has explored the discovery potential of BSM top quark decays like charged Higgs bosons and FCNC decays. Analyses of several final states decays from  $H^+$  have been summarized in this note. These analysis are expected to be studied once the detector and the SM backgrounds are well known. The sensitivity contours for a sample of  $30\text{ fb}^{-1}$  were presented. In the case of FCNC decays, the expected sensitivity reach with  $10\text{ fb}^{-1}$  extends two order of magnitude larger than of the Tevatron. The search for heavy resonances to top pairs is also being explored in CMS. The top pair invariant mass is a good observable to carry on a model independent shape search. CMS is studying different reconstruction techniques to improve the efficiency of selecting boosted top jets.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Thaler and L. T. Wang, arXiv:0806.0023 [hep-ph].




Fig. 5. – Distribution of  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  events from generated QCD  $t\bar{t}$  events using Alpgen for  $1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  and  $100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ .

- [2] T. Han, arXiv:0804.3178 [hep-ph].
- [3] The CMS collaboration, *The CMS Experiment at the CERN LHC*, Submitted to the Journal of Instrumentation, (2008).
- [4] W.-M.Yao *et al.*(Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006) and 2007 partial update for the 2008 edition.
- [5] The CMS collaboration, *Physics Technical Design Report, Volume II*, CERN/LHCC 2006-021.
- [6] M. Baarmand, M. Hashemi, and A. Nikitenko, CMS Note 2006/056 (2006).
- [7]
- [8] S. Lowette, J. D'Hondt, and P. Vanlaer, CMS Note 2006/109 (2006).
- [9] R. Frederix and F. Maltoni, arXiv:0712.2355 [hep-ph].




Fig. 6. – Lego plot of the four leading calorimeter of an event from (a) QCD  $t\bar{t}$  sample and (b)  $Z'(4 \text{ TeV}) \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  sample.