AI and Deep Learning

Deep Learning

Jeju National University

Yungcheol Byun

Agenda

- Merging gates in a computation graph
- Vanishing gradient and ReLU
- MNIST application
- Overfitting and drop-out
- Deep Learning

Influence of w change on E



is multiplication of all the local gradients in the graph (chain rule)

Influence of w change on E

Merging gates



Influence of w change on E



Influence of w change on E



is multiplication of all the local gradients in the graph (chain rule)

Influence of w change on E



is multiplication of all the local gradients in the graph
(chain rule)

Influence of w change on E



Therefore, the local gradient is derivative of the function.

Influence of w change on E

Derivative of E with respect to w

$$E = (w \cdot 1 - 1)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w}(w \cdot 1 - 1)^2 = 2(w - 1)$$

Cost/Error function

for logistic regression



$$hypo = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-wx}}$$

$$E = -y\log(hypo) - (1 - y)\log(1 - hypo)$$

Computational Graph

$$E = -y\log(hypo) - (1-y)\log(1-hypo)$$





$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w} =$$

Computational Graph

Merging gates

How we get the local gradient of the merged gate?





3-layer NN



3-layer NN (simplified)



Influence of w change on E

$$E = -y\log(hypo) - (1-y)\log(1-hypo)$$



10-layer Neural Network

The giant moster, computational graph!

Influence of w change on E



$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w} = ?$$

Hint: chain rule!

Vanishing Gradient

- The derivative of sigmoid function is (1-sigmoid) * sigmoid
- Two multiplication of sigmoid for a single neuron, 20 multiplications for 10 connected neurons
- Each sigmoid squashes the input value into the value between 0 and 1.

Vanishing Gradient

- The influence of w change on E is *many* multiplications of the values between 0 and 1, which gives us almost 0.
- Vanishing Gradient
- $w = w - \alpha \cdot$ (almost 0)
- $b = b - \alpha \cdot$ **(almost 0)**
- Therefore, no change in w and b

(Lab) 18.py

- XOR problem using 4-layer neural networks
- Failed owing to vanishing gradient

The Dark Age in Artificial Intelligence and Neural Networks (~2006)

since back-propagation by Hinton in 1986

ReLU

proposed by Hahnloser in 2000 and demonstrated for deep networks in 2011

using ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit) activation function instead of sigmoid







(Lab) 19.py

- Solving vanishing gradient problem using ReLU activation function
- Back-propagation is working by using ReLU.

So, now can go deeper.

MNIST

Modified National Institute of Standards and Technology (USA)

MNIST


0 5 10 15 20 25
0 5 10 15 20 25

(Lab) 20.py

- 60,000 training images + 10,000 testing images
- Input image : 28 * 28 pixels → 784 pixels
- 784 dimension
- 10 classes (output: 0 ~ 9)
- Softmax
- 90.23% of recognition rate



Input Layer
Fully-connected
Output Layer
Softmax
h_1
h_2
h_3
h_4
h_5
h_6
h_7
h_8
h_9
h_{10}
784
10



Fully-connected
Softmax
10
784

(Lab) 21.py

- Deep Neural Network (4-layer)
- ReLU
- 94.55% accuracy



(Lab) 22.py

- Applying initialization method for w and b, not randomly
- 97.23% of accuracy

(Lab) 23.py

- Applying initialization method for w and b, not randomly
- 6-layer deep neural networks
- 97.83% of accuracy


Fully-connected
784
512
512
512
512
10

Overfitting and drop-out

- The deeper the network is, the more the decision boundary is complex.
- Good at learning data but errors for testing data → overfitted to learning data
- Making it less complex by drop-out some neurons while learning.

Which do you think is desirable decision boundary?

View from above



While the black line fits the data well, the green line is overfit.

https://elitedatascience.com

Regularization: **Dropout**

"randomly set some neurons to zero in the forward pass"



(a) Standard Neural Net



(b) After applying dropout.

[Srivastava et al., 2014]

(Lab) 24.py

- Applying dropout
- 98.13% of recognition accuracy

How to Prevent Overfitting

- Train with more data
- Reduce features
- Early stopping
- Ensemble
- regularization

Early stopping



Early stopping



Fully-connected



Fully-connected





Fully connected, then how many synapses(parameters) are there?

$25 * 16 + 16 * 16 + 16 * 9 + 9 * 9 = 881$

Fully-connected



Fully connected, so how many connections are there?

25 * 16 + 16 * 16 + 16 * 9 + 9 * 9 = 881



   

Geoffrey Hinton, Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio, Andrew Ng

Google Baidu 百度 facebook IBM

Deep Learning

- in early 2000s (2006, 2010, 2012)
- Deep Neural Networks
- Activation functions (ReLU)
- Weight initialization methods
- Dropout (2014)
- Big data
- GPU

Fully-connected

FCNN

Any problem?