

# **Compare Binary Data Between two groups**

# Review

Compare means

Compare medians

Setting	Parametric Test	Nonparametric Test
Matched pairs	Paired t-test (one sample t-test on the differences)	Wilcoxon signed rank test
Two independent samples	Two-sample t-test	Wilcoxon Rank Sum test

Data File

ID	group	outcome
1	1	611
2	1	621
3	1	614
4	1	593
5	1	593
6	1	653
7	1	600
8	1	554
9	1	603
10	1	569
11	2	635
12	2	605
13	2	638
14	2	594
15	2	599
16	2	632
17	2	631
18	2	588
19	2	607

# Review

	Compare means	Compare medians
Setting	Parametric Test	Nonparametric Test
Matched pairs	Paired t test (one sample t-test on the differences)	Wilcoxon signed rank test
Two independent samples	Two-sample t-test	Wilcoxon Rank Sum test

Data File

ID	group	outcome
1	1	1
2	1	1
3	1	0
4	1	0
5	1	0
6	1	1
7	1	0
8	1	1
9	1	0
10	1	1
11	2	0
12	2	0
13	2	0
14	2	1
15	2	0
16	2	0
17	2	1
18	2	1
19	2	0

**Chi-square Test or Fisher exact Test**

# Risk

Goal: Compare risk of PVD in smokers and non-smokers?

Example: cross-sectional study of peripheral vascular disease (PVD) in Scottish men

Cigarette Smoker	PVD		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	15	1712	1727
No	41	3188	3229
Total	56	4900	4956

**Risk** is the  $\text{Prob}(\text{disease/exposure to risk factor})$

# Measure Association of Exposure and Disease

- Risk Difference
- Risk Ratio (Relative Risk)
- Odds Ratio



# Calculate Risks

Cigarette Smoker	PVD		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	15	1712	1727
No	41	3188	3229
Total	56	4900	4956

$p_S$  = probability of PVD in smokers  
= risk of PVD in smokers

$$\hat{p}_S = 15/1727 = 0.009$$

$p_{NS}$  = probability of PVD in non-smokers  
= risk of PVD in non-smokers

$$\hat{p}_{NS} = 41/3229 = 0.013$$

# Risk Difference

## Risk Difference

$$\Delta = p_S - p_{NS}$$

In our example:  $\hat{\Delta} = \hat{p}_S - \hat{p}_{NS} = -0.004$

The magnitude of the difference (exposed vs. non-exposed) in risk often is interpreted in relation to the “baseline” (non-exposed) risk

# Risk Ratio

- Risk ratio (relative risk)

$$\lambda = \frac{\text{risk of disease in exposed subjects}}{\text{risk of disease in non-exposed subjects}}$$

Cigarette Smoker	PVD		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	15	1712	1727
No	41	3188	3229
Total	56	4900	4956

$$\lambda = \frac{p_S}{p_{NS}} = \frac{15/1727}{41/3229} = 0.68$$

Interpretation: We estimate that the risk (probability) of PVD in smokers is 68% of the risk of PVD in non-smokers



# Odds

- Risk is a probability that quantifies the likelihood that an event occurs
- We may instead be interested in the likelihood of an event in relation to how **unlikely** it is
- Such a quantity is known as the **odds** of an event:

$$\text{Odds} = \frac{\text{Risk}}{1 - \text{Risk}}$$

- Example: If a disease occurs in 25% of adults, we can also say adults have an odds of 1/3 of developing the disease.

# Odds Ratio

- As relative risk is used to compare the risk of two groups, we can use the relative odds to compare the odds of two groups
- Relative odds is more commonly referred to as the **odds ratio**:

$$\psi = \frac{\text{Odds for Group 1}}{\text{Odds for Group 2}}$$

- An odds ratio is much harder to interpret than a relative risk
- However, an odds ratio is used much more often than a relative risk

# Computing Odds Ratio from a 2 by 2 Table

- Thus, for a general 2 x 2 table of exposure and disease

Risk Factor	Disease		Total
	Yes	No	
Exposed	a	b	a+b
Non-exposed	c	d	c+d
Total	a+c	b+d	n

the odds ratio of disease for exposed versus non-exposed is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\psi} &= \frac{ad}{bc} & \hat{\psi} &= \frac{\frac{a}{a+b} / \frac{b}{a+b}}{\frac{c}{c+d} / \frac{d}{c+d}} \\
 & & &= \frac{a/b}{d/d} \\
 & & &= \frac{ad}{bc}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Example

Cigarette Smoker	PVD		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	15	1712	1727
No	41	3188	3229
Total	56	4900	4956

- Risk difference =  $15/1727 - 41/3229 = -0.004$
- Relative risk =  $\frac{15/1727}{41/3229} = 0.6840$
- Odds Ratio =  $\frac{15 \times 3188}{41 \times 1712} = 0.68127$

# Chi-squared & Fisher's Exact Test

# Test Association of Exposure and Disease

2 × 2 table		
Exposed	Disease	
	Yes	No
Yes		
No		

# Chi-squared Test

- We can also view our hypothesis test as:

$H_o$  :  $\psi = 1 \rightarrow$  disease is not associated with exposure

$H_a$  :  $\psi \neq 1 \rightarrow$  disease is associated with exposure



Relative Risk or Odd Ratio

or

$H_o$  :  $\psi = 1 \rightarrow$  disease and exposure are independent

$H_a$  :  $\psi \neq 1 \rightarrow$  disease and exposure are dependent

- We can compare these hypotheses using a Chi-squared test of association

# Chi-squared Test of Association

- Thus, we have two 2x2 tables:

Observed:

Risk Factor	Disease		Total
	Yes	No	
Exposed	$a = O_{11}$	$b = O_{01}$	$a + b$
Non-exposed	$c = O_{10}$	$d = O_{00}$	$c + d$
Total	$a + c$	$b + d$	$n$

Expected:

Risk Factor	Disease		Total
	Yes	No	
Exposed	$(a + b)(a + c)/n$ $= E_{11}$	$(a + b)(b + d)/n$ $= E_{01}$	$a + b$
Non-exposed	$(c + d)(a + c)/n$ $= E_{10}$	$(c + d)(b + d)/n$ $= E_{00}$	$c + d$
Total	$a + c$	$b + d$	$n$



# Example

Vitamin C	Developed Cold		Total
	Yes	No	
Yes	17	122	139
No	31	109	140
Total	48	231	279

Calculate Expected Counts

$$E_{11} = 23.9 \quad E_{01} = 115.1$$

$$E_{10} = 24.1 \quad E_{00} = 115.9$$

**How to construct the test ?**

# Chi-Squared Test

**Hypothesis:**  $H_0$ : there is no association    $H_1$ : there is an association

**Test statistic:**

$$X^2 = \sum \frac{(\text{observed} - \text{expected})^2}{\text{expected}}$$

*Larger value of the statistic indicates more evidence of the association*

*Follows the chi-square distribution with **degrees of freedom**:*

$$(\# \text{ rows} - 1) \times (\# \text{ cols} - 1)$$



# Fisher's Exact Test

- The  $p$ -value for a chi-squared test of association is approximate; the approximation does poorly in “small” samples
- A common rule-of-thumb for “small” is if any of the (expected) cell counts is  $\leq 5$
- In small samples, we do not use a large-sample approximation, but compute the exact  $p$ -value
- This approach was first proposed by R.A. Fisher, hence the name Fisher's Exact Test

# Motivating Example

- Example: A retrospective case/control study among men aged 50-54 who died over a 1-month period; their dietary habits were ascertained from a close relative

Disease	Salt Intake		Total
	High	Low	
CVD	5	30	35
No CVD	2	23	25
Total	7	53	60

**How to construct the test ?**

# Fisher's Exact Test

Risk Factor	Disease		Total
	Yes	No	
Exposed	?	?	$a+b$
Non-exposed	?	?	$c+d$
Total	$a+c$	$b+d$	$n$

- Fisher's Exact Test fixes the row and column totals (margins)
- Once we know one of the cells  $(a, b, c, d)$ , we know them all because the margins are fixed
  - (a) Determine every possible table that would still lead to the same margins as those observed
  - (b) Compute the probability that each of the tables would be observed
  - (c)  $p$ -value = sum of probabilities corresponding to our observed table and any tables more extreme than our observed table

# Analysis Using R

```
> riskratio.wald(M, rev = c("both"))
$data
      cold
vitc   N  Y Total
  N    109 31   140
  Y    122 17   139
Total 231 48   279

$measure
      risk ratio with 95% C.I.
vitc estimate      lower      upper
  N 1.0000000      NA      NA
  Y 0.5523323 0.3209178 0.9506203

$p.value
      two-sided
vitc midp.exact fisher.exact chi.square
  N      NA      NA      NA
  Y 0.02951602 0.03849249 0.02827186
```

```
> oddsratio.wald(M, rev = c("both"))
$data
      cold
vitc   N  Y Total
  N    109 31   140
  Y    122 17   139
Total 231 48   279

$measure
      odds ratio with 95% C.I.
vitc estimate      lower      upper
  N 1.0000000      NA      NA
  Y 0.4899524 0.2569419 0.9342709

$p.value
      two-sided
vitc midp.exact fisher.exact chi.square
  N      NA      NA      NA
  Y 0.02951602 0.03849249 0.02827186
```

# Paired Data

- **McNemar's** test for paired binary data
- Use ***exact*** McNemar's test for small data
- **R code**
  - <https://www.statology.org/mcnemars-test-r/>
  - <https://yuzar-blog.netlify.app/posts/2022-02-20-mcnemar/>