Control Systems

- Given a desired value, increase/decrease our output until we see matching feedback value
- Simple control algorithm:
 - As the command changes, we change
 - Software reacts to it as an event and move up/down
 - Otherwise, maintain desired command value
 - Note:
 - Speed of change is limited by our sample rate
 - We don't want to change our output faster or we won't see our changes
 - Can't sample faster or we see erroneous data
 - In many cases, this is sufficient
 - Especially will slow feedback and slow change requirement
 - Img 72
 - When is this not enough?
 - 1. Large jumps
 - Img 73
 - We could simply take larger steps with our ramping
 - This leads to overshoot
 - Img 74
 - 2. Maintaining a value can be hard
 - Img 75
 - We can't get an exact value so we continuously bounce
 - Due to:
 - Lack of accuracy
 - Changing conditions
 - We need to adjust for these 2 error that are going to occur and minimize them

PID (Proportional, Integral, Derivative)

- Standard control algorithm used for decades comes from mechanical engineering
- Can us "any" combination depending on our needs: P, PI, PD, PID (P is neccessary)
- Our input is either the measured output or an error signal
 - Difference between command value & curr input
- Can be an open loop or closed loop system
 - IMG 76
 - Open: aren't measuring the true impact of controlling
 - Closed: feedback is what we're controlling
 - E.g. controlling ground speed
 - Open: measure RPMs at wheel
 - Dependent on surface

- Closed: LIDAR giving us actual speed
- Open loop are based on approximation (...)
- Closed loop feedback are more accurate (...)
- Goal: always have a closed loop system

Implementing a PID:

- General code structure
 - State = readInput();
 - Output = updatePID(cmd-state(error), state); (...)
 - genOutput(output);

Proportional:

- The error is multiplied by a constant (Gain) to determine where to go next
 - pTerm = pGain * error;
- Determines how much we're out and how much to push
 - Gain: how fast
- This is just rate adjusted simple control
- If we have too much response delay, we can't stabilize the output
 - Need to drive output fast enough to get something useful
 - But, too quickly(too much/high gain) causes oscillation
- A DC motor:
 - IMG77
 - If we do a P, updatePID() returns the pTerm
 - When to use P:
 - Slow change, not much noise

Integral:

- Adds longer-term precision
- Track state as the sum of all preceding input(error values)
- Integrator "remembers" all prior states
 - Lets us cancel out long term output errors
- Can't just use an I(integral)
 - Memory causes instability
 - Respond too late:
 - A new command is seen as a large new error
 - To catch up, we would accumulated error and adjust
- Use P to add in a level of the current command value
- Code:
 - iState += error;
 - iTerm = iGain * iState;
 - **-** ...
 - return pTerm + iTerm;
- Issues:
 - Sample rate defines how fast we accumulate error

- We can suffer from wind-up
- E.g. make a step change
 - I(integral) accumulates error
 - Our state grows until we have a very large value
 - Output keeps pushing for higher values until we get lots of negative error
 - Results in too much oscillation & never settles
 - Solution:
 - Define minimum & maximum values and trim state
 - Based on output min/max
- A motor:
 - IMG78
 - PI is used when we have slow change & noise
 - Long-term stability with few command changes
- Differential:
 - If we can't stabilize an operation with a P, we can't with a PI
 - We need a way to predict the future
 - What is derivative?
 - Rate of change over time
 - Velocity
 - $\frac{\Delta state}{time} = \frac{diff\ btw\ last\ \&\ curr\ val}{time}$
 - We track where our state will be
 - Code:

```
dTerm = dGain * (dState-state); | dState : last , state : curr dState = state;
```

. .

Return pTerm + iTerm + dTerm;

- Problem: Differential control(itself) algorithm exaggerate noise
 - PD is good for rapid changes & low noise
- A motor:
 - IMG79
 - Whole point of differential is get rid of overshoot
- A full PID will account for where we are, how far away we are, and how quickly we'll get there
 - Adjust with each sample to compensate for new error, rate of change, disturbances, and commands

Note: PID gives us an offset from our current value

PID Tuning

- How do we pick our gain values? (2 ways)
 - a. Model the system
 - b. Experimentation (method we will use)
- Algorithm:
 - Set all gains to 0

- Start with a low pGain (<= 1)
- Test
- Set dGain to ≈ 100 * pGain
- Increase dGain until you see oscillations r excessive overshoot
 - Backoff by a factor of 2 to 4
- Set pGain btw 1 100
 - We will see slow or oscillating operation
 - If oscillating
 - Drop by a factor of 8 10 until it stops
 - If slow
 - Increase pGain by 8 10x(factor) until it start to oscillates
 - Reduce by 2 4x
- Fine tune by x2, until happy
- Set iGain btw .0001 and .01
 - Increase
 - Want our change to stay fast, little overshoot, & not too close to oscillating

Notes:

- 1. All testing is under real conditions
- 2. Sampling rate should be 1/10th to 1/100th of our desired settling time Usually, rate determines our settling time

Fuzzy Control

- In some systems we have multiple inputs to determine 1 output
- How do we combine them?
 - Weight is applied to each input
 - Transform into 1 input
 - Feed this 1 values into our PID
- Weighting depends on:
 - a. Priorities
 - b. Quality
- This relates to state transition via hysteresis
 - Multiple inputs feeding one hysteresis process
 - Give input various increment/decrement values
 - E.g.
 - input 1: +2, -3
 - input 2: +1, 0
 - input 3 : -1, +2 (weighting)
 - We can also have hysteresis processes with more than 2 states
 - E.g.
 - threshold 1 = on
 - threshold 2 = quick
 - threshold 3 = emergency