



Risk and Portfolio Management with Econometrics – Second Homework

Prof. Petter Kolm

Due date: Friday, October 9, 2015

Please post any questions to NYU Classes.

Questions

1. (i) Show that in the two-variable case, $R^2 = \rho_{xy}$ holds.
(ii) Does $R^2 = \rho_{xy}$ hold in the multi-variable case? Why, or why not?

2. Consider the linear model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik} + u_i$$

and assume that the classical linear regression assumptions (MLR.1-MLR.6) hold.

(i) Show that
$$\frac{(\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j)}{se(\hat{\beta}_j)} \sim t_{n-k-1}$$

- (ii) Give an example of a joint multiple hypothesis with q linear restrictions.

Show that
$$\frac{(SSR_r - SSR_{ur})/q}{SSR_{ur}/(n-k-1)} \sim F_{q, n-k-1}$$

(Hint: Use the definitions of t - and F -distributions in the handout “A Brief Review of Probability and Statistics” from Lecture 2.)

3. Suppose that the population model determining is y

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + u$$

and this model satisfies the classical linear regression assumptions. However, we estimate the model that omits x_3 . Let $\tilde{\beta}_0, \tilde{\beta}_1$ and $\tilde{\beta}_2$ be the OLS estimators from the regression of y on x_1 and x_2 . Show that the expected value of $\tilde{\beta}_1$ (given the values of the independent variables in the sample) is

$$E(\tilde{\beta}_1) = \beta_1 + \beta_3 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{r}_{i1} x_{i3}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{r}_{i1}^2}$$

where the \hat{r}_{i1} are the OLS residuals from the regression of x_1 on x_2 . [Hint: Use

that $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{r}_{i1} y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{r}_{i1}^2}$. Plug $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + u_i$ into this equation.

After some algebra, take the expectation treating x_{i3} and \hat{r}_{i1} as nonrandom.]

4. [Please solve this problem both in Excel and Matlab.] Use the data in WAGE2.XLS for this exercise.

(i) Consider the standard wage equation

$$\log(wage) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + \beta_2 exper + \beta_3 tenure + u.$$

State the null hypothesis that another year of general workforce experience has the same effect on $\log(wage)$ as another year of tenure with the current employer.

(ii) Test the null hypothesis in part (i) against a two-sided alternative, at the 5% significance level, by constructing a 95% confidence interval. What do you conclude?

5. [Please solve this problem both in Excel and in Matlab.] Use the data in DISCRIM.XLS to answer this question.

(i) Use OLS to estimate the model

$$\log(psoda) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 prpbldc + \beta_2 \log(income) + \beta_3 prppov + u.$$

and report the results in the usual form. Is $\hat{\beta}_1$ statistically different from zero at the 5% level against a two-sided alternative? What about at the 1% level?

(ii) What is the correlation between $\log(income)$ and $prppov$? Is each variable statistically significant in any case? Report the two-sided p -values.

(iii) To the regression in part (i), add the variable $\log(hseval)$. Interpret its coefficient and report the two-sided p -value for $H_0: \beta_{\log(hseval)} = 0$.

(iv) In the regression in part (iii), what happens to the individual statistical significance of $\log(income)$ and $prppov$? Are these variables jointly significant? (Compute a p -value.) What do you make of your answers?

(v) Given the results of the previous regressions, which one would you report as most reliable in determining whether the racial makeup of a zipcode influences local fast-food prices?

6. The following three equations were estimated using the 1,534 observations:

$$\widehat{prate} = 80.29 + 5.44 \text{ } \widehat{mrate} + 0.269 \text{ } \widehat{age} - 0.0013 \text{ } \widehat{totemp}$$

(0.78) (0.52) (0.045) (0.00004)

$$R^2 = 0.100, \bar{R}^2 = 0.098$$

$$\widehat{prate} = 97.32 + 5.02 \text{ } \widehat{mrate} + 0.314 \text{ } \widehat{age} - 2.66 \log(\widehat{totemp})$$

(1.95) (0.51) (0.044) (0.28)

$$R^2 = 0.144, \bar{R}^2 = 0.142$$

$$\widehat{prate} = 80.62 + 5.34 \text{ } \widehat{mrate} + 0.290 \text{ } \widehat{age} - 0.00043 \text{ } \widehat{totemp} + 0.0000000039 \text{ } \widehat{totemp}^2$$

(0.78) (0.52) (0.045) (0.00009) (0.0000000010)

$$R^2 = 0.108, \bar{R}^2 = 0.106$$

Which of these three models do you prefer? Why?