# Homework 1

Yunlin Zhang UID: 17583629

## 1 Problem 1

$$X \sim \mathcal{N}(5,4) \Rightarrow f_X(x) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} exp[\frac{-(x-5)^2}{16}]$$

i. 
$$P[X \le 6] = \int_{-\infty}^{6} f_X(x) dx$$

ii. 
$$P[X > 4] = \int_4^\infty f_X(x) dx$$

iii. 
$$\begin{split} P[|X-5|>1] &= \int_{|x-5|>1} f_X(x) dx = \int_{x-5>1} f_X(x) dx + \int_{x-5<-1} f_X(x) dx \\ &= \int_{x>6} f_X(x) dx + \int_{x<4} f_X(x) dx \\ &= \int_6^\infty f_X(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^4 f_X(x) dx \\ &= (1-P[X<6]) \ + \ (1-P[X>4]) \end{split}$$

## 2 Problem 2

$$n = 10, p = q = \frac{1}{2}$$

i.  $P[{\rm beat~market~all~10~years}] = p^n = (\frac{1}{2})^{10} = \frac{1}{1024} \approx 0.977\%$ 

ii. 
$$P[\text{at least 1 beats market all 10 years}] = 1 - P[\text{no one beat market all 10 years}] = 1 - (\binom{4170}{0})(0.977\%)^0(1 - 0.977\%)^{4170}) \approx 1 - 0 = 1$$

Out of 4170 funds it is virtually guaranteed that at least one will beat the market all 10 years.

iii.  $P[\text{at least 5 funds beats market all 10 years}] = \sum_{i=5}^{4170} \binom{4170}{i} (0.977\%)^i (1-0.977\%)^{4170-i} \approx$ 

 $Y_i$  are i.i.d,  $E[Y_i] = \mu$ ,  $Var[Y_i] = \sigma^2$  and  $\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^4 Y_i$ 

i. Calculate 
$$E[\overline{Y}]$$
 and  $Var[\overline{Y}]$   

$$E[\overline{Y}] = E[\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} Y_i] = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} E[Y_i] = \frac{1}{4} (4\mu)$$

$$= \mu$$

$$Var[\overline{Y}] = Var[\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} Y_i] = (\frac{1}{4})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{4} Var[Y_i]$$

$$= \frac{1}{16} (4\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{4}\sigma^2$$

$$\therefore Y_i \text{ are i.i.d}$$

ii. 
$$W = \frac{1}{8}Y_1 + \frac{1}{8}Y_2 + \frac{1}{4}Y_3 + \frac{1}{2}Y_4$$
 calculate  $E[W]$  and  $Var[W]$  
$$E[W] = E[\frac{1}{8}Y_1 + \frac{1}{8}Y_2 + \frac{1}{4}Y_3 + \frac{1}{2}Y_4] = \frac{1}{8}E[Y_1] + \frac{1}{8}E[Y_2] + \frac{1}{4}E[Y_3] + \frac{1}{2}E[Y_4]$$
 
$$= \frac{1}{8}\mu + \frac{1}{8}\mu + \frac{1}{4}\mu + \frac{1}{2}\mu$$
 
$$= \mu \implies \text{W is an unbiased estimator of } \mu \ Var[W] = Var[\frac{1}{8}Y_1 + \frac{1}{8}Y_2 + \frac{1}{4}Y_3 + \frac{1}{2}Y_4]$$
 
$$= (\frac{1}{8})^2 Var[Y_1] + (\frac{1}{8})^2 Var[Y_2] + (\frac{1}{4})^2 Var[Y_3] + (\frac{1}{2})^2 Var[Y_4] \qquad \therefore \quad Y_i \text{ are i.i.d}$$
 
$$= (\frac{1}{8})^2 \sigma^2 + (\frac{1}{8})^2 \sigma^2 + (\frac{1}{4})^2 \sigma^2 + (\frac{1}{2})^2 \sigma^2$$
 
$$= \frac{11}{32}\sigma^2 > \frac{1}{4}\sigma^2$$

iii.  $\overline{Y}$  would be a better estimator of  $\mu$  since its variance is less than that of W

## 4 Problem 4

Consider  $Y_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , and  $E[Y_i] = \mu$ ,  $Var[Y_i] = \sigma^2$ , and  $Cov[Y_i, Y_j] = 0$  for  $i \ne j$ 

i. Define 
$$W_a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i Y_i$$
  
 $\Rightarrow E[W] = E[\sum_{i=1}^n a_i Y_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n E[a_i Y_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E[Y_i]$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu = \mu \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$   
W is an unbiased estimator of  $\mu \iff E[W] = \mu \Rightarrow \mu \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \mu$   
 $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$  and  $\mu \neq 0$ 

ii. Find 
$$Var[W]$$
  

$$Var[W] = Var[\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i Y_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var[a_i Y_i]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 Var[Y_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \sigma^2$$

$$= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2$$

$$: Cov[Y_i, Y_j] = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j$$

iii. Give 
$$\frac{1}{n}(\sum_i a_i)^2 \leq \sum_i a_i^2$$
 show  $\forall a \ s.t \ E[W] = \mu$ ,  $Var[W_a] \geq Var[\overline{Y}]$  
$$Var[W_a] = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \geq \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i)^2}{n} \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2$$
 
$$Var[\overline{Y}] = Var[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Var[Y_i] = \frac{1}{n^2} n \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2$$
 
$$\Rightarrow Var[W_a] \geq Var[\overline{Y}]$$

Let 
$$\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i$$
 where  $E[X_i] = \mu$  and  $Var[X_i] = \sigma^2$  Consider:

$$W_1 = \left[\frac{n-1}{n}\right] \overline{Y}$$

$$W_2 = \frac{\overline{Y}}{2}$$

i. Show  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are both biased estimators, and take limit  $n \to \infty$ 

$$E[W_1] = E[[\frac{n-1}{n}]\overline{Y}] = [\frac{n-1}{n}]E[\overline{Y}]$$

$$= [\frac{n-1}{n}]\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu - E[W_1] = \frac{1}{n}\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} (\mu - E[W_1]) = 0$$

 $W_1$  approaches an unbiased estimator of  $\mu$  as the sample size becomes large

$$E[W_2] = E[\overline{\frac{Y}{2}}] = \frac{E[\overline{Y}]}{2}$$

$$= \frac{\mu}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu - E[W_2] = \frac{1}{2}\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} (\mu - E[W_2]) = \frac{\mu}{2}$$

As sample size gets large,  $W_2$  remains a biased estimator of  $\mu$  with constant bias

ii. Find probability limits of 
$$W_1$$
 and  $W_2$  
$$plim_{n\to\infty}W_1=\lim_{n\to\infty}E[W_1]=\lim_{n\to\infty}[\frac{n-1}{n}]\mu$$
 
$$=\mu$$
 
$$plim_{n\to\infty}W_2=\lim_{n\to\infty}E[W_2]=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mu}{2}$$
 
$$=\frac{\mu}{2}$$
 
$$\Rightarrow W_1 \text{ is a consistent estimator of }\mu$$

iii. Find 
$$Var[W_1]$$
 and  $Var[W_2]$ 

$$Var[W_1] = Var[[\frac{n-1}{n}]\overline{Y}] = [\frac{n-1}{n}]^2 Var[\overline{Y}]$$

$$= [\frac{n-1}{n}]^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$Var[W_2] = Var[\overline{Y}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

iv. Consider when 
$$\mu$$
 is close to 0  $\lim_{\mu \to 0} E[W_1] = 0 = \lim_{\mu \to 0} E[\overline{Y}]$   $Var[W_1] = \left[\frac{n-1}{n}\right]^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \left[\frac{n-1}{n}\right]^2 Var[\overline{Y}] < Var[\overline{Y}]$ 

 $Var[W_1] = [\frac{n-1}{n}]^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = [\frac{n-1}{n}]^2 Var[\overline{Y}] < Var[\overline{Y}]$  $\Rightarrow$  For finite number of samples, as  $\mu$  approaches 0, the expected values of  $W_1$  and  $\overline{Y}$  both approach 0, but the variance of  $W_1$  is bounded above by variance of  $\overline{Y}$ . Therefore  $W_1$  is a better estimator of  $\mu$  than  $\overline{Y}$ 

$$X, Y > 0$$
 and  $E[Y|X] = \theta X$ 

i. Define 
$$Z = \frac{Y}{X}$$
, show  $E[Z] = \theta$   
Let  $a(X) = \frac{1}{X}$  and  $b(X) = 0$ , by property  $(1) \Rightarrow E[Z|X] = E[\frac{Y}{X}|X] = \frac{1}{X}E[Y|X] = \frac{1}{X}\theta X = \theta$   
By property  $(2) \Rightarrow E[Z] = E[E[Z|X]] = E[\theta] = \theta$ 

ii. Define 
$$W_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Y_i}{X_i}$$
, show  $W_1$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ 

$$E[W_1] = E[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Y_i}{X_i}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[\frac{Y_i}{X_i}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta \qquad \because \text{(i)}$$

$$= \theta \implies W_1 \text{ is an unbiased estimator of } \theta$$

iii.  
Define 
$$W_2 = \frac{\overline{Y}}{\overline{X}}$$
, show  $W_2 \neq W_1$  but  $W_2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$   
 $W_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_i Y_i}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_i X_i} = \frac{\sum_i Y_i}{\sum_i X_i} \neq W_1$ 

## 7 Problem 7

Consider Y, a Bernoulli random variable  $0 < \theta < 1$ , let  $\gamma = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$  For  $\{Y_i | 1 \le i \le n\}$ , define  $G = \frac{\overline{Y}}{1-\overline{Y}}$ 

i. Why is G not an unbiased estimator of  $\gamma$ 

$$\begin{split} E[\gamma - G] &= E\left[\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} - \frac{\overline{Y}}{1 - \overline{Y}}\right] = E\left[\frac{\theta(1 - \overline{Y}) - \overline{Y}(1 - \theta)}{(1 - \theta)(1 - \overline{Y})}\right] \\ &= E\left[\frac{\theta - \overline{Y}}{(1 - \theta)(1 - \overline{Y})}\right] \\ &= E\left[\frac{\theta}{(1 - \theta)(1 - \overline{Y})}\right] - E\left[\frac{\overline{Y}}{(1 - \theta)(1 - \overline{Y})}\right] \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{\theta \to 0+} E[\gamma - G] = E\left[\frac{\overline{Y}}{1 - \overline{Y}}\right] > 0 \\ \text{and} \qquad \lim_{\theta \to 1-} E[\gamma - G] = \infty > 0 \end{split}$$

ii. Show that G is a consistent estimator of  $\gamma$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  G is not an unbiased estimator of  $\gamma$ 

Let 
$$X_n = \overline{Y}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i Y_i \Rightarrow plim(X_n) = \theta$$
  
Let  $Z_n = 1 - \overline{Y}_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i Y_i \Rightarrow plim(Z_n) = 1 - \theta$   
 $\therefore plim(X_n) = \alpha \text{ and } plim(Z_n) = \beta \Rightarrow plim(\frac{X_n}{Z_n}) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$   
 $\Rightarrow plim(G) = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$   
 $\Rightarrow G \text{ is a consistent estimator of } \gamma$ 

Consider the survey as a Bernoulli trial with  $p=0.65,\ q=0.35,\ n=200$ 

i. Find 
$$E[X]$$
  
 $E[X] = np = 130$ 

ii. Find 
$$\sigma[X]$$
  

$$\sigma[X] = \sqrt{npq} = 6.75$$

iii. Only 115 people of the sample voted yes  $\frac{130-115}{6.75}=2.22$  The number of people who voted in the sampled population is about 2.22 standard deviations below expected  $\Rightarrow P[X\leq 115]=\int_{-\infty}^{-2.22}N(0,1)dx$