



Marius Bancila's Blog

(<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/>)

No pointers to 0xBAADF00D

MENU ≡

template <auto>

Posted on May 23, 2018

by Marius Bancila (<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/author/Admin/>)

If you wanted to create templates with non-type template parameters, you had to specify both the type and the value. In C++17, this is no longer the case, as `template <auto>` helps simplify these scenarios.

Let's take as an example the declaration of a constant template.

C++

```
1 template <typename T, T value>
2 constexpr T numeric_constant = value;
3
4 constexpr auto const the_answer = numeric_constant<int, 42>;
```

In C++17, this can be simplified as follows:

C++

```
1 template <auto value>
2 constexpr auto numeric_constant = value;
3
4 constexpr auto const the_answer = numeric_constant<42>;
```

You no longer need to specify the type for non-type template parameters, it is automatically deduced by the compiler. Here is another example:

C++

```
1 template <auto value>
2 void foo() { /*... */ }
3
4 foo<42>();      // deduces int
5 foo<false>();    // deduces bool
```

Let's look at an example where `template <auto>` can simplify the code. The standard defines a type called `std::integral_constant` that wraps a static constant of a specified type and is the base class for the C++ type traits. `std::true_type` and `std::false_type` are two of those. These could be implemented as follows:

C++

```
1 template<class T, T val>
2 struct integral_constant
3 {
4     static constexpr T value = val;
5
6     using value_type = T;
7     using type = integral_constant;
8
9     constexpr operator value_type() const noexcept { return value; }
10
11    [[nodiscard]] constexpr value_type operator()() const noexcept { retu
12 };
13
14 template<bool val>
15 using bool_constant = integral_constant<bool, val>;
16
17 using true_type = bool_constant<true>;
18 using false_type = bool_constant<false>;
```

With `template <auto>` this code can be written as following:

C++

```
1 template<auto val>
2 struct integral_constant
3 {
4     static constexpr auto value = val;
```

```
5     using value_type = decltype(val);
6     using type = integral_constant;
7
8     constexpr operator value_type() const noexcept { return value; }
9
10    [[nodiscard]] constexpr value_type operator()() const noexcept { retu
11    };
12
13
14 using true_type = integral_constant<true>;
15 using false_type = integral_constant<false>;
```

Note: Although this works fine with Clang and GCC, VC++ 15.7 complains about the use of `decltype(val)`.

Note: It may be more cumbersome to deduce some types. For instance, if you need a `short` type, there is no way to specify a `short` literal. You must indicate that with a cast. In other words, if you want a `short 42` integral constant, you need to declare it like this:

```
1 using short_42 = integral_constant<(short)42>;
```

This feature is also useful with variadic templates for creating compile-time lists of heterogeneous values. Here is an example:

```
1 template <auto ... vs>
2 struct heterogenous_list {};
3
4 using ultimate_list = heterogenous_list<42, "the ultimate answer", true>;
```

For more information on this topic, see Declaring non-type template arguments with `auto` (<http://open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2016/p0127r1.html>).

Share this:

 Facebook (<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/2018/05/23/template-auto/?share=facebook&nb=1&nb=1>)

 Twitter (<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/2018/05/23/template-auto/?share=twitter&nb=1&nb=1>)

Like this:

Be the first to like this.

- ▶ C++ (<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/category/it/software/c/>)
- # auto (<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/tag/auto/>), C++ (<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/tag/c/>), templates (<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/tag/templates/>), typetraits (<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/tag/typetraits/>)

2 Replies to “template <auto>”

**Mihai Todor**

July 3, 2018 at 10:58 pm (<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/2018/05/23/template-auto/#comment-420456>)

Just curious, is there any benefit to using both `constexpr` and `const` when declaring a variable? I assumed that `constexpr` already implies `const`.

**Marius Bancila (<https://www.mariusbancila.ro/blog>)** ↗

July 4, 2018 at 10:16 pm (<https://mariusbancila.ro/blog/2018/05/23/template-auto/#comment-420457>)

It is indeed redundant. `constexpr` implies `const`.

This site uses Akismet to reduce spam. Learn how your comment data is processed (<https://akismet.com/privacy/>).

Proudly powered by WordPress (<https://wordpress.org/>) / Simplant Theme by Rafay (<https://abdulrafay.me/>)