

The Prime Number Algorithm

The algorithm basically finds all prime numbers until number M . It iterates number n two by twos. Firstly, for each n value there is an integer division, which is $n/\text{prime}[k]$, and mode calculation, which is $n\% \text{prime}[k]$. The algorithm starts with 3 firstly as prime [1] is 3. If n is divisible by a prime number, it guarantees n is not a prime number. Otherwise, it checks $n/\text{prime}[k]$ is greater than $\text{prime}[k]$. If it less, the algorithm increments k by 1, takes new prime number and calculates new quotient and remainder values. If it is greater, n is prime, since the algorithm tried all coherent prime numbers. If n is prime, the algorithm adds n to the list, so increments the index of array by one. Otherwise, j is constant in that loop. Finally, an iteration is over, and the algorithm can check the next n value.

Normally the iterations would be until number M . However, the aim is to parallelize the algorithm. Therefore, the functions are parted into two parts. Firstly, we find prime numbers until finding next prime after square root of M . If we try to make all iterations parallel, there will be lack of some $\text{prime}[k]$ values.

After calculating sequentially, the functions use their chunk size parameter. There are three functions with distinct schedules. Static, guided, and dynamic methods.

Results

- High M values causes algorithm run longer naturally.
- For low M values number of threads did not affect algorithm much such as 10000.
- For high values, when number of threads are increased, the algorithm runs faster.
- For 1000000 M value, except 100000 chunk size, the algorithm duration with 8 threads has similar values with distinct chunk sizes and scheduling methods.
- For 1000000 M value, 100000 chunk size gives the worst results between different chunk sizes with 8 threads.
- For 1000000 M value, usually guided scheduling method gives the best speed-ups with 8 threads. The only case it is not best definitely is 100000 chunk size. This size needs distributing scheduling least compared to others. Dynamic also gives good speed-ups. For this case the worst is static.

- For 1000000 M value, apparently, 100 chunk size gives the best results with one thread.