

TEOREMA 3.5 (The Bramble-Hilbert Lemma) *Let m and k be non-negative integers such that $0 \leq m \leq k+1$, and let $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(H^{k+1}(S), H^m(S))$ such that $\Pi(p) = p \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_k(S)$. Then there exists $C := C(\Pi, S) > 0$ such that*

$$\|v - \Pi(v)\|_{m,S} \leq C |v|_{k+1,S} \quad \forall v \in H^{k+1}(S).$$

DEMOSTRACIÓN. Given $v \in H^{k+1}(S)$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}_k(S)$ we have

$$v - \Pi(v) = (v + p) - \Pi(v + p) = (I - \Pi)(v + p),$$

which, using that $I \in \mathcal{L}(H^{k+1}(S), H^m(S))$ since $0 \leq m \leq k+1$, implies

$$\|v - \Pi(v)\|_{m,S} \leq \|I - \Pi\| \|v + p\|_{k+1,S} \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_k(S),$$

and therefore

$$\|v - \Pi(v)\|_{m,S} \leq \|I - \Pi\| \inf_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k(S)} \|v + p\|_{k+1,S} = \|I - \Pi\| \|v\|_{k+1,k,S}.$$

This inequality and the Deny-Lions Lemma (cf. Theorem 3.4) complete the proof. \square

On the other hand, the following two lemmas provide equivalence relationships between Sobolev spaces defined on affine-equivalent and Piola-equivalent domains.

LEMA 3.12 *Let S and \widehat{S} be compact and connected sets of \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz-continuous boundaries, and let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the affine mapping given by $F(\widehat{x}) = B\widehat{x} + b \quad \forall \widehat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ invertible and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that $S = F(\widehat{S})$. In turn, let m be a non-negative integer and let $v \in H^m(S)$. Then, $\widehat{v} := v \circ F \in H^m(\widehat{S})$ and there exists $C := C(m, n) > 0$ such that*

$$|\widehat{v}|_{m,\widehat{S}} \leq \widehat{C} \|B\|^m |\det B|^{-1/2} |v|_{m,S}. \quad (3.14)$$

Conversely, if $\widehat{v} \in H^m(\widehat{S})$ and we let $v = \widehat{v} \circ F^{-1}$, then $v \in H^m(S)$ and there exists $\widehat{C} := \widehat{C}(m, n) > 0$ such that

$$|v|_{m,S} \leq \widehat{C} \|B^{-1}\|^m |\det B|^{1/2} |\widehat{v}|_{m,\widehat{S}}. \quad (3.15)$$

DEMOSTRACIÓN. We use that $C^m(\overline{S})$ is dense in $H^m(S)$. Then, given $v \in C^m(\overline{S})$ and a multi-index α with $|\alpha| = m$, we have $\widehat{v} := v \circ F \in C^m(\overline{\widehat{S}})$ and

$$\partial^\alpha \widehat{v}(\widehat{x}) = D^m \widehat{v}(\widehat{x})(e_{\beta_1}, e_{\beta_2}, \dots, e_{\beta_m}) \quad \forall \widehat{x} \in \widehat{S},$$

where $\{e_{\beta_1}, e_{\beta_2}, \dots, e_{\beta_m}\} \subseteq \{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$, the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n . It follows that

$$|\partial^\alpha \widehat{v}(\widehat{x})| \leq \sup_{\substack{\|\xi_i\| \leq 1 \\ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}}} |D^m \widehat{v}(\widehat{x})(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m)| =: \|D^m \widehat{v}(\widehat{x})\|,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{v}|_{m, \widehat{S}}^2 &= \int_{\widehat{S}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\partial^\alpha \widehat{v}(\widehat{x})|^2 d\widehat{x} \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\widehat{S}} \|D^m \widehat{v}(\widehat{x})\|^2 d\widehat{x} \\ &= C_1(m, n) \int_{\widehat{S}} \|D^m \widehat{v}(\widehat{x})\|^2 d\widehat{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $C_1(m, n) := \text{card } \{\alpha : |\alpha| = m\}$. Now, utilizing the chain rule and the fact that $DF(\widehat{x}) \equiv B \quad \forall \widehat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we deduce that

$$D^m \widehat{v}(\widehat{x})(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) = D^m v(F(\widehat{x}))(B\xi_1, B\xi_2, \dots, B\xi_m)$$

for all $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n$, from which, denoting $x = F(\widehat{x})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^m \widehat{v}(\widehat{x})\| &:= \sup_{\substack{\|\xi_i\| \leq 1 \\ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}}} |D^m v(x)(B\xi_1, B\xi_2, \dots, B\xi_m)| \\ &= \|B\|^m \sup_{\substack{\|\xi_i\| \leq 1 \\ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}}} \left| D^m v(x) \left(\frac{B\xi_1}{\|B\|}, \frac{B\xi_2}{\|B\|}, \dots, \frac{B\xi_m}{\|B\|} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \|B\|^m \sup_{\substack{\|\lambda_i\| \leq 1 \\ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}}} |D^m v(x)(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)| = \|B\|^m \|D^m v(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

In this way, employing also (3.11), we find from (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{v}|_{m, \widehat{S}}^2 &\leq C_1(m, n) \|B\|^{2m} \int_{\widehat{S}} \|D^m v(F(\widehat{x}))\|^2 d\widehat{x} \\ &= C_1(m, n) \|B\|^{2m} |\det B|^{-1} \int_S \|D^m v(x)\|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\|D^m v(x)\| \leq C_2(n) \max_{|\alpha|=m} |\partial^\alpha v(x)| \leq C_2(n) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\partial^\alpha v(x)|$$

we obtain

$$|\widehat{v}|_{m, \widehat{S}}^2 \leq C_3(m, n) \|B\|^{2m} |\det B|^{-1} |v|_{m, S}^2,$$

which proves (3.14) for $v \in C^m(\bar{S})$. Analogously, exchanging the roles of S and \hat{S} , and using F^{-1} instead of F , we have (3.15) for all $\hat{v} \in C^m(\bar{\hat{S}})$.

Similarly, for each $p \leq m$ there hold

$$|\hat{v}|_{p,\hat{S}} \leq C(p,n) \|B\|^p |\det B|^{-1/2} |v|_{p,S}$$

and

$$|v|_{p,S} \leq C(p,n) \|B^{-1}\|^p |\det B|^{1/2} |\hat{v}|_{p,\hat{S}}$$

for all $v \in C^p(\bar{S})$ with $\hat{v} := v \circ F \in C^p(\bar{\hat{S}})$, which implies the existence of constants $C_i = C_i(m,n,B)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, such that

$$C_1 \|\hat{v}\|_{m,\hat{S}} \leq \|v\|_{m,S} \leq C_2 \|\hat{v}\|_{m,\hat{S}} \quad \forall v \in C^m(\bar{S}). \quad (3.17)$$

Now, given $v \in H^m(S)$, we consider a sequence $\{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C^m(\bar{S})$ such that $\|v_j - v\|_{m,S} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Thus, we obtain from (3.17) that

$$\|\hat{v}_j - \hat{v}_k\|_{m,\hat{S}} \leq C_1^{-1} \|v_j - v_k\|_{m,S},$$

from which we deduce the existence of $\hat{v} \in H^m(\hat{S})$ such that $\|\hat{v}_j - \hat{v}\|_{m,\hat{S}} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Moreover, it is easy to see that this limit \hat{v} is independent of the chosen sequence, and hence we can define the operator

$$\begin{aligned} H^m(S) &\longrightarrow H^m(\hat{S}) \\ v &\longrightarrow \hat{v} := "v \circ F". \end{aligned}$$

Finally, taking limit in the inequality (3.14) with $v = v_j$, that is

$$|\hat{v}_j|_{m,\hat{S}} \leq \hat{C} \|B\|^m |\det B|^{-1/2} |v_j|_{m,S},$$

we arrive at

$$|\hat{v}|_{m,\hat{S}} \leq \hat{C} \|B\|^m |\det B|^{-1/2} |v|_{m,S},$$

which shows (3.14) $\forall v \in H^m(S)$. Analogously we prove (3.15) $\forall \hat{v} \in H^m(\hat{S})$. □

LEMA 3.13 *Let S and \hat{S} be compact and connected sets of \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz-continuous boundaries, and let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the affine mapping given by $F(\hat{x}) = B\hat{x} + b$ $\forall \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ invertible and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that $S = F(\hat{S})$. In turn, let*