

**TEOREMA 3.5 (The Bramble-Hilbert Lemma)** *Let  $m$  and  $k$  be non-negative integers such that  $0 \leq m \leq k+1$ , and let  $\Pi \in \mathcal{L}(H^{k+1}(S), H^m(S))$  such that  $\Pi(p) = p \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_k(S)$ . Then there exists  $C := C(\Pi, S) > 0$  such that*

$$\|v - \Pi(v)\|_{m,S} \leq C |v|_{k+1,S} \quad \forall v \in H^{k+1}(S).$$

**DEMOSTRACIÓN.** Given  $v \in H^{k+1}(S)$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}_k(S)$  we have

$$v - \Pi(v) = (v + p) - \Pi(v + p) = (I - \Pi)(v + p),$$

which, using that  $I \in \mathcal{L}(H^{k+1}(S), H^m(S))$  since  $0 \leq m \leq k+1$ , implies

$$\|v - \Pi(v)\|_{m,S} \leq \|I - \Pi\| \|v + p\|_{k+1,S} \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_k(S),$$

and therefore

$$\|v - \Pi(v)\|_{m,S} \leq \|I - \Pi\| \inf_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k(S)} \|v + p\|_{k+1,S} = \|I - \Pi\| \|v\|_{k+1,k,S}.$$

This inequality and the Deny-Lions Lemma (cf. Theorem 3.4) complete the proof.  $\square$

On the other hand, the following two lemmas provide equivalence relationships between Sobolev spaces defined on affine-equivalent and Piola-equivalent domains.

**LEMA 3.12** *Let  $S$  and  $\widehat{S}$  be compact and connected sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz-continuous boundaries, and let  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the affine mapping given by  $F(\widehat{x}) = B\widehat{x} + b \quad \forall \widehat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , with  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  invertible and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $S = F(\widehat{S})$ . In turn, let  $m$  be a non-negative integer and let  $v \in H^m(S)$ . Then,  $\widehat{v} := v \circ F \in H^m(\widehat{S})$  and there exists  $C := C(m, n) > 0$  such that*

$$|\widehat{v}|_{m,\widehat{S}} \leq \widehat{C} \|B\|^m |\det B|^{-1/2} |v|_{m,S}. \quad (3.14)$$

*Conversely, if  $\widehat{v} \in H^m(\widehat{S})$  and we let  $v = \widehat{v} \circ F^{-1}$ , then  $v \in H^m(S)$  and there exists  $\widehat{C} := \widehat{C}(m, n) > 0$  such that*

$$|v|_{m,S} \leq \widehat{C} \|B^{-1}\|^m |\det B|^{1/2} |\widehat{v}|_{m,\widehat{S}}. \quad (3.15)$$

**DEMOSTRACIÓN.** We use that  $C^m(\overline{S})$  is dense in  $H^m(S)$ . Then, given  $v \in C^m(\overline{S})$  and a multi-index  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = m$ , we have  $\widehat{v} := v \circ F \in C^m(\widehat{S})$  and

$$\partial^\alpha \widehat{v}(\widehat{x}) = D^m \widehat{v}(\widehat{x})(e_{\beta_1}, e_{\beta_2}, \dots, e_{\beta_m}) \quad \forall \widehat{x} \in \widehat{S},$$

where  $\{e_{\beta_1}, e_{\beta_2}, \dots, e_{\beta_m}\} \subseteq \{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$ , the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It follows that

$$|\partial^\alpha \hat{v}(\hat{x})| \leq \sup_{\substack{\|\xi_i\| \leq 1 \\ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}}} |D^m \hat{v}(\hat{x})(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m)| =: \|D^m \hat{v}(\hat{x})\|,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{v}|_{m, \hat{S}}^2 &= \int_{\hat{S}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\partial^\alpha \hat{v}(\hat{x})|^2 d\hat{x} \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\hat{S}} \|D^m \hat{v}(\hat{x})\|^2 d\hat{x} \\ &= C_1(m, n) \int_{\hat{S}} \|D^m \hat{v}(\hat{x})\|^2 d\hat{x}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where  $C_1(m, n) := \text{card} \{\alpha : |\alpha| = m\}$ . Now, utilizing the chain rule and the fact that  $DF(\hat{x}) \equiv B \ \forall \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we deduce that

$$D^m \hat{v}(\hat{x})(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) = D^m v(F(\hat{x}))(B\xi_1, B\xi_2, \dots, B\xi_m)$$

for all  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , from which, denoting  $x = F(\hat{x})$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^m \hat{v}(\hat{x})\| &:= \sup_{\substack{\|\xi_i\| \leq 1 \\ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}}} |D^m v(x)(B\xi_1, B\xi_2, \dots, B\xi_m)| \\ &= \|B\|^m \sup_{\substack{\|\xi_i\| \leq 1 \\ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}}} \left| D^m v(x) \left( \frac{B\xi_1}{\|B\|}, \frac{B\xi_2}{\|B\|}, \dots, \frac{B\xi_m}{\|B\|} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \|B\|^m \sup_{\substack{\|\lambda_i\| \leq 1 \\ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}}} |D^m v(x)(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)| = \|B\|^m \|D^m v(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

In this way, employing also (3.11), we find from (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{v}|_{m, \hat{S}}^2 &\leq C_1(m, n) \|B\|^{2m} \int_{\hat{S}} \|D^m v(F(\hat{x}))\|^2 d\hat{x} \\ &= C_1(m, n) \|B\|^{2m} |\det B|^{-1} \int_S \|D^m v(x)\|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\|D^m v(x)\| \leq C_2(n) \max_{|\alpha|=m} |\partial^\alpha v(x)| \leq C_2(n) \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\partial^\alpha v(x)|$$

we obtain

$$|\hat{v}|_{m, \hat{S}}^2 \leq C_3(m, n) \|B\|^{2m} |\det B|^{-1} |v|_{m, S}^2,$$

which proves (3.14) for  $v \in C^m(\bar{S})$ . Analogously, exchanging the roles of  $S$  and  $\hat{S}$ , and using  $F^{-1}$  instead of  $F$ , we have (3.15) for all  $\hat{v} \in C^m(\bar{\hat{S}})$ .

Similarly, for each  $p \leq m$  there hold

$$|\hat{v}|_{p,\hat{S}} \leq C(p, n) \|B\|^p |\det B|^{-1/2} |v|_{p,S}$$

and

$$|v|_{p,S} \leq C(p, n) \|B^{-1}\|^p |\det B|^{1/2} |\hat{v}|_{p,\hat{S}}$$

for all  $v \in C^p(\bar{S})$  with  $\hat{v} := v \circ F \in C^p(\bar{\hat{S}})$ , which implies the existence of constants  $C_i = C_i(m, n, B)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , such that

$$C_1 \|\hat{v}\|_{m,\hat{S}} \leq \|v\|_{m,S} \leq C_2 \|\hat{v}\|_{m,\hat{S}} \quad \forall v \in C^m(\bar{S}). \quad (3.17)$$

Now, given  $v \in H^m(S)$ , we consider a sequence  $\{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C^m(\bar{S})$  such that  $\|v_j - v\|_{m,S} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . Thus, we obtain from (3.17) that

$$\|\hat{v}_j - \hat{v}_k\|_{m,\hat{S}} \leq C_1^{-1} \|v_j - v_k\|_{m,S},$$

from which we deduce the existence of  $\hat{v} \in H^m(\hat{S})$  such that  $\|\hat{v}_j - \hat{v}\|_{m,\hat{S}} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that this limit  $\hat{v}$  is independent of the chosen sequence, and hence we can define the operator

$$\begin{aligned} H^m(S) &\longrightarrow H^m(\hat{S}) \\ v &\longrightarrow \hat{v} := "v \circ F". \end{aligned}$$

Finally, taking limit in the inequality (3.14) with  $v = v_j$ , that is

$$|\hat{v}_j|_{m,\hat{S}} \leq \hat{C} \|B\|^m |\det B|^{-1/2} |v_j|_{m,S},$$

we arrive at

$$|\hat{v}|_{m,\hat{S}} \leq \hat{C} \|B\|^m |\det B|^{-1/2} |v|_{m,S},$$

which shows (3.14)  $\forall v \in H^m(S)$ . Analogously we prove (3.15)  $\forall \hat{v} \in H^m(\hat{S})$ .  $\square$

**LEMA 3.13** *Let  $S$  and  $\hat{S}$  be compact and connected sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz-continuous boundaries, and let  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the affine mapping given by  $F(\hat{x}) = B\hat{x} + b \quad \forall \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , with  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  invertible and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $S = F(\hat{S})$ . In turn, let*