# LESSON 12 - 2 JUNE 2021

#### GENERAL EXISTENCE RESULT IN SOBOLEV

Let p>1, acb, and consider the space

Let L: 
$$(a,b) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
, L=L(x,s,3) and define  $F: W^{1,p}(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F(u) := \int_{a}^{b} L(x, u, \dot{u}) dx$$

THEOREM 9.9 Let p>1. Assume L is a Carathéodory function.

Suppose that the following conditions hold:

$$L(x,s,\xi) > \alpha_1 |\xi|^p + \alpha_2 |s|^q + \alpha_3$$
, for a.e.  $x \in (a,b)$ 

Set

- (1) If m < + ∞, then ∃ u<sub>o</sub> ∈ X which minimizes F over X.
- If in addition  $(s, \xi) \mapsto L(x, s, \xi)$  is STRICTLY CONVEX for a.e.  $x \in (a,b)$ , then the minimizer is UNIQUE.

REMARK Assumptions (M1)-(M2) in THEOREM 9.9 cannot be weakened.

I will leave some exercises for the Exercise Course to show this claim.

#### Proof of THEOREM 9.9

Step 1. F is well-defined: Let ue W1,P(a,b). The map

 $x \mapsto L(x, n(x), n(x))$ 

is measurable by PROPOSITION 8.2, since L is Carathéodory and u, in are measurable. Therefore  $x\mapsto L(x,u(x),i(x))$  can be integrated and F(u) is well-defined, possibly being infinite.

## Step 2. F is weakly LSC:

The proof of weak LSC is very difficult under the assumptions given: see
THEOREMS 3.30, 4.1 in B. DACOROGNA - "DIRECT HETHODS IN THE CALCULUS OF
VARIATIONS", SPRINGER, 2008.

Instead, we prove LSC under much stronger assumptions, just to give an idea of what lies behind it.

Just for this step, assume then that

- · Le C4 ([a,b]xRxIR)
- · (S, 3) I L(x, S, 3) is convex for every x & [4,6]. (Note that this implies (M1))
- · 3 30 s.t.

 $|L_{s}(x,s,\xi)|$ ,  $|L_{\xi}(x,s,\xi)| \in \beta (1+|s|^{p-1}+|\xi|^{p-1})$ ,  $\forall x \in [a,b]$ ,  $s,\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We now show that F is weakly LSC, that is, un - uo weakly in W3, P(a, b) => F(u) < liminf F(un) Indeed, since L is C1 and convex WRT (5,3), by THEOREM 5.2 We get  $L(x, u_n(x), \dot{u}_n(x)) > L(x, u_o(x), \dot{u}_o(x))$ + Ls (x, uo, io) (nn - no) + Lz (x, uo, io) (un - io) Notice that  $L_s(x, u_0, \dot{u}_0), L_{\bar{s}}(x, u_0, \dot{u}_0) \in L^{p'}(\alpha, b)$ Since  $\int_{a}^{b} |L_{s}(x, u_{o}, \dot{u}_{o})|^{p'} dx \leq \beta^{p'} \int_{a}^{b} (1 + |u_{o}|^{p-1} + |\dot{u}_{o}|^{p-1})^{p'} dx$  $\begin{vmatrix}
p' = \frac{P}{P-1} & \text{and} \\
(a+b)^{p'} \leq 2^{p'-2} (ap'+b')
\end{vmatrix} \leq \beta^{p'} C \int_{a}^{b} |M_0|^p + |M_0|^p dx$   $= \beta^{p'} C \|M_0\|^p \leq +\infty$ 

The same conculation shows that also  $L_{\xi}(x, u_0, \dot{u}_0) \in L^{p'}(\alpha, b)$ .

Then, since  $u_n$ ,  $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\alpha, b)$ , from (x) and Hölder's inequality we get

Ls (x, uo, io) (mn-no), Lz (x, no, io) (in-io) E La(a,b).

Therefore we can integrate ( to get

$$F(u_n) \ge F(u_0) + \int_a^b L_s(x, u_0, \dot{u}_0)(u_n - u_0) dx$$

\*\*

Now, un - u. weakly in W<sup>2</sup>1P(a,b). In particular un - u., un - u.

$$\int_{a}^{b} L_{s}(x, u_{o}, \dot{u}_{o})(u_{n} - u_{o}) dx$$
,  $\int_{a}^{b} L_{\bar{s}}(x, u_{o}, \dot{u}_{o})(\dot{u}_{n} - \dot{u}_{o}) dx \rightarrow 0$ 

as n > + > . Taking the liminf in & yields weak LSC for F.

## Step 3. F has compact sublevels:

We are going to prove this part with the original assumptions. So fix MED and lat

From (M2) we deduce that I M such that  $K \neq \emptyset$ .

By (M2) we have

$$F(u) > d_1 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + d_2 \|u\|_{L^q}^q + d_3 (b-a)$$

**(**\*)

inequality we get Hölder with exponents 
$$P_q > 1$$
,  $(P_q)^1 = \frac{P}{P-q}$ 

$$\|u\|_q^q = \int |u|^q dx \leq \left(\int |u|^p dx\right) \left(\int 1^{p-q} dx\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}$$

Then from (x)

\*\*

for some Cz, CzelR. Moreover, if uex, we have

$$|u(x)| = |u(a) - u(a) + u(x)|$$

and so, integrating the above,

Using (4x) and the above, we then get some C1, C2 & R s.t.

$$F(u) > d_1 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p - C_1 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^q - C_2$$

Now let {un} = K. Then

Estimate above

$$A_1 \| \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n \|_{L^p}^p - C_1 \| \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n \|_{L^p}^q - C_2 \leq \mp (u_n) \leq M$$

Polynomial in  $\| \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n \|_{L^p}$ 

Since  $\langle u_n \rangle \leq K$ 

As p>q > 1, we deduce that II in II p must be bounded uniformly, i.e.

Since we already proved that

from 😭 we get

Recalling that W<sup>1,p</sup> is a REFLEXIVE BANACH space for 1<p<+> (PROPOSITION 7.16) from BANACH - ALAOGLU We conclude the existence of u<sub>0</sub> ∈ W<sup>1,p</sup> (a,b) s.t.

along some subsequence. By weak LSC of F we also get

$$F(u_0) \leq \underset{k \to +\infty}{\text{liminf}} F(u_{n_k}) \leq M$$

As  $\underset{k \to +\infty}{\text{As }} f(u_{n_k}) \leq M$ 

Finally, from the COMPACT embedding W<sup>1,P</sup>(a,b)  $\hookrightarrow$  C[a,b] for p>1 (THEDAEM 7.27) We get, by PROPOSITION 7.31,

unx > uo uniformly in [a, b].

Since funt CX, and so un(a)=a, un(b)=B +nEN, we conclude

showing that use X. In total usek, proving that K is compact.

### Step 4. Existence of a minimizer:

So far we have shown that:

- · F is weakly LSC in W1,P(a,b)
- · 3 HER S.+.

is non-empty and weakly compact in X.

Thus by the DIRECT HETHOD (THEOREM 9.7) we conclude the existence of  $\triangle \in X$  s.t.

$$F(\hat{\omega}) = \inf \{ F(u) \mid u \in X \}.$$

Step 5. Uniqueness: Usual STUFF: Follows as in the proof of THEOREM 5.4, with straight forward adaptations.