# LESSON 9 - 12 MAY 2021

### SOBOLEV EMBEDDING

DEFINITION X, Y normed spaces, XSY. We say that

X EMBEDS continuously in Y , in symbols X ← Y , if the identity i: (X, ||·||<sub>x</sub>) → (Y, ||·||<sub>y</sub>)
 is continuous , i.e. if 3 < >0 s. t.

luly < Claly dueX.

The embedding X c> Y is COMPACT if the identity i: X → Y is a continuous compact operator, i.e.,

If BSX is norm bounded WRT 11.11 > B 11.11y is compact WRT 11.11y.

# THEOREM 7.27 (Soboles embedding)

Let ISR be open. There I C>O, depending only on III, s.t.

 $||u|| \leq C ||u||_{W^{1,p}(\underline{I})}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}(\underline{I}), \quad 1 \leq p \leq +\infty.$ 

Thus Wap (I) Co Loc(I). If in addition I is BOUNDED:

- (a) The embedding  $W^{1,p}(I) \hookrightarrow C(\overline{I})$  is Compact  $\forall 1 ,$
- (b) The embedding W2,+ (I) -> L9(I) is compact + 1 < 9 < + >>,
- (c) The embedding W<sup>21P</sup>(I) \( \text{L}^{P}(I) \) is COMPACT \( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \f

In order to prove THEOREM 7.27 we need two auxiliary results:

#### THEOREM 7.28 (ASCOLI - ARZELA')

Let (k,d) be a compact metric space, and consider C(k) i.e. the set of continuous functions  $u: k \rightarrow R$ . Let  $A \subseteq C(k)$  and suppose that:

- A is BOUNDED: i.e. M>O s.t. || ull ∞ ≤ M for all MEA
- 2 A is EQUI-CONTINUOUS: i.e. 4870, 3570 s.t.

d(x1, x2)<5 > | M(x2) - M(x2) | < € , + MEA.

Then the closure of A in C(K) is COMPACT.

(This theorem should adready be well-known in euclidean spaces. For a proof of the metric case, see the book by RUDIN.)

For the next result, recall the notation: if u:IR > IR, heIR, the TRANSLATION operator In is defined by (Thu)(x):= U(x+h).

## THEOREM 7.29 (Characteritation of Soloslev Functions)

Let 1<p<+ > and ue LP(IR). They are equivalent:

- (a) ue W3,P(R)
- (b) It holds II Thu MI LP(R) & Ha'll LP(R) [h], + heIR.

Moreover, the implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is also true for p=1.

(The proof of the above theorem will be left for the exercises course)

Proof of THEOREM 7.27

We start by showing the embedding W1,P(I) C> La(I). WLOG we can suppose I=R, otherwise we can use the extension operator of THEORER 7.24. Also, the embedding is trivial for p=+00. Hence assume 1 = p < +00. Define G(s):= |s|p-1s. Let u ∈ C\_c(R) and set

W := G(u).

Clearly we Co (R), with

(w is compactly supported since)  $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$  and G(0) = 0

 $W' = G'(n)n' = \rho |n|^{\rho-1}n'$ 

Therefore for XEIR,

=  $\int_{x}^{x} p |u(s)|^{p-1} u'(s) ds$ 

Now | G(u) = |u|2, thus, by @ and Hölder's inequality,

 $|u(x)|^p = |G(u(x))| \in \int_{-\infty}^{x} p |u(s)|^{p-1} |u'(s)| ds$ 

(is non-negative) > = p [ |u(s)| p-1 |u'(s)| ds

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\text{Recall } p' = \frac{p}{p-1} . \text{ Then} \\
p'(p-1) = p \text{ and } \frac{1}{p!} = \frac{p-1}{p!}
\end{pmatrix} = p \|u\|_{L^p}^{p-1} \|u'\|_{L^p}$$

Therefore

| M(x) | < p | Mull p | Mu'll p + x = 1R.

Recall Young's Inequality for real numbers: abs  $\frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^{p'}}{p'}$ ,  $4a,b \ge 0$ . Apply it to  $a = ||u||_{L^p}^{4p}$ ,  $b = ||u||_{L^p}^{4p}$  to get

Taking the supremum for XEIR and noting that  $p^{3/p} \leq e^{3/e}$  + p>1, we get

with  $C := e^{1/e}$ . Suppose now that  $u \in W^{1,p}(IR)$ . By THEOREM 7.24 there  $\exists \{u_n\} \subseteq C_c^1(IR)$  s.t.  $u_n \to u$  strongly in  $W^{1,p}(IR)$ . By applying  $\longleftrightarrow$  to  $(u_n - u_m) \in C_c^1(R)$  we have

| un - um | 1 0 € C | un - um | 1 1, p -> 0 as n, m -> + 00,

(see PROPOSITION 7.16). Therefore duny is a cauchy sequence in L20(R).

As  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  is complete, we conclude the  $\exists$  of  $\tilde{u} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $u_n \to u$  strongly in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . Recalling that  $u_n \to u$  in  $W^{3,p}(\mathbb{R})$ , we immediately conclude that  $\tilde{u} = u$ .

By (xx) we have

llun llos = cllun llws,p , + neIN

Since  $u_n \to u$  in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  and in  $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R})$ , we can pass to the limit as Nortan in the above and obtain our thesis:

lullo € clullon, the Wap .

(a) Assume I bounded. We need to prove that the embedding

WIPLII COC(I)

is compact, for all  $1 \le p \le +\infty$ . Therefore let  $B \subseteq W^{1,p}(I)$  be a bounded set, so that there  $\exists M>0$  s.t.

Nul WILP & M, tueB.

By the embedding we just proved, it follows that

lullo ≤ c lul wasp ≤ cM, + MEB.

Recalling that WIP(I) = C(I) (see THEDRET 7.19), we get ||u|| = ||u||\_p, so that

(\*) IIII & CM, THEB.

Moreover, by THEOREM 7.23 we have W1,P(I) C C0,1-4/P (I), if p>1, with

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \le ||u||_{L^p} |x - y|^{1-3p}, \quad \forall x, y \in \overline{I}.$$

As lille = M for all ueB, we conclude that

which shows that the family  $B \subseteq C(\overline{I})$  is EQUI-CONTINUOUS. As  $\bigcirc - \bigcirc - \bigcirc - \text{hold}$ , we can apply the ASCOLI-ARZELA' THEOREM 7.28 with  $K=\overline{I}$ , to conclude that B is compact in  $C(\overline{I})$  (where the closure is taken WRT the uniform norm in  $C(\overline{I})$ ). Thus, (A) is established.

(b) Let I be bounded, 1 ≤ 9 < + ∞. We need to prove that the embedding

is compact. So let BS W 1,12 (I) be a bounded set, i.e.

" | W11 W12(I) ≤ M, + u + B.

Let  $P: W^{1,1}(I) \to W^{1,1}(IR)$  be the extension operator from LEHMA 7.25. By the properties of P, the set P(B) is bounded in  $W^{1,1}(IR)$ , and also  $P(B)_{|I} = B$ , where

P(B) | I = { u: I > R | 3 de P(B) s.t. J | I = 4 }.

By the embedding  $W^{1,1}(IR) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}(IR)$  we already proved, we have that P(B) is also bounded in  $L^{\infty}(IR)$ . Then, for  $u \in P(B)$  we have

 $\int |u|^{9} dx = \int |u|^{9-1} |u| dx \leq ||u||^{9-1} ||u||_{L^{\infty}}, \quad \forall u \in P(B)$ IR

showing that P(B) is also bounded in L9(R), i.e., I M>0 s.t.

(R) & M, Jue P(B).

We now check that

lin sup || Thu-u|| =0. |h| →0 nef(B)

Indeed, by THEORER 7.29 (implication (a) ⇒ (b) with p=1) we have

 $||Thu-u||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq ||u||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} ||h||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + u \in P(B)$ 

Since P(B) is bounded in W<sup>3,1</sup> (R)

Therefore, for MEP(B),

$$\|T_{h}n - n\|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R})}^{q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |T_{h}n - n|^{q} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |T_{h}n - n|^{q-1} |T_{h}n - n| dx$$

Showing  $\bullet$ . Since  $\bullet$  -  $\bullet$  hold, and I bounded,  $q \neq +\infty$ , we can apply FRÉCHET-KOLHOGOROU

THEOREM 6.17 to conclude that the closure of  $P(B)_{|I|}$  is compact in  $L^{q}(I)$ . Recalling that  $P(B)_{|I|} = B$ , we have that the closure of B is compact in  $L^{q}(I)$ .

(c) Let ISIR be bounded. We are left to show that the embedding

is compact for every  $1 \le p \le +\infty$ . Indeed, for p = 1, (\*) is just a special case of (b) with q = 1. Instead, for 1 , <math>(\*) follows from the compact embedding  $W^{1,p}(I) \subset C(I)$  of point (e), and from the fact that uniform convergence implies  $L^p$  convergence.

D

We want to discuss (without proof) what happens in the cases left out from THEOREN 7.27.

- 1 For the compete embedding W4, (I) c> C(I), I bounded, 1<p \( + \in \):
  - Let I be bounded. We have that  $W^{2,1}(I)$  embeds into  $C(\overline{I})$  (by THEOREM 7.9), but the embedding is in general NOT compact.
  - What Kind of compociness can we expect in this case? The answer is as follows: Let ISIR be open (bounded or unbounded).

    If \un\G\W^{1/1}(I) is bounded, there exists a subsequence  $u_{n_e}$  S.t.  $u_{n_e}(x)$  converges pointwise for all  $x \in I$ (this is called HELLY'S SELECTION THEOREM)
- 2 Concerning the embedding W<sup>1,p</sup>(I) ← L<sup>∞</sup>(I) for all 1≤p≤+∞;
  - · When I is unbounded, the above embedding is NEVER COMPACT
  - \* Assume I unbounded and  $1 \le p \le +\infty$ . If  $\{u_n\} \subseteq W^{1,p}(\mathbb{T})$  is bounded, then  $\exists u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{T})$  and a subsequence s.t.  $u_n \to u$  in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{J})$  for every  $\mathbb{J} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  bounded.
- A Let I be unbounded. Then  $W^{1,p}(I) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(I)$  for all  $q \in [p,\infty]$ . However, in general,  $W^{1,p}(I)$  does <u>NOT</u> embed into  $L^{q}(I)$  if  $q \in [1,p)$ .

We want to explicitely state a Corollary of THEOREH 7.27 regarding weak convergence. To this end, we first recent the general definition of compact operator.

DEFINITION Let X, Y be normed spaces, and TEJ(X,Y). We say that T is COMPACT if it holds:

BSX bounded WRT 1.11x > T(B) Compact WRT 1.114

PROPOSITION 7.31 Let X, Y be normed spaces, and  $T \in J(x, Y)$  be compact.

It holds:

 $x_n \rightarrow x_o$  weakly in  $X \Rightarrow Tx_n \rightarrow Tx_o$  strongly in Y

Proof Assume  $x_n - x_o$  weakly in X. Since X is a normed space, we have that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded WRT  $\|\cdot\|$ .

Thus, by definition of compact operator,  $\{Tx_n\}$  is compact  $\{Tx_n\}$  is compact  $\{Tx_n\}$ . Therefore, as  $\{Tx_n\} \subseteq \{Tx_n\}$ , there  $\{Tx_n\}$  a subsequence and  $\{Tx_n\} \in \{Tx_n\}$ .

Now, we know that  $x_n - x_0$  and T continuous. Thus (easy check)

Txn - Txo weakly in Y.

Since (\*) holds, and strong convergence implies weak convergence, we get  $Tx_{n_k} \rightarrow y$  weakly in Y. By (\*\*) and uniqueness of the weak limit we get  $y = Tx_0$ . Therefore (\*\*) reads

TXne -> TXO STrongly in Y.

To conclude, we use the following standard fact:

FACT  $(X, \tau)$  topological space,  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ . Suppose that for any subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that

 $x_{n_{k_{j}}} \rightarrow x_{0}$  as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

for some  $x_0 \in X$  which does not depend on the subsequence  $\{x_n\}$  chosen. Then  $x_n \to x_0$ .

Therefore, reasoning as above, we could have started from an arbitrary subsequence  $Tx_{n_k}$  of  $Tx_n$ , and shown that  $\exists \{Tx_{n_k}\}$  such that

Txnk; -> Txo strongly in Y, as j > +00.

Since the limit does not depend on the chosen subsequence  $\{Tx_{n_k}Y, we$  conclude that  $Tx_n \to Tx_0$  strongly in Y.

COROLLARY 7.32 Let I = (a,b) be bounded, and  $1 \le p < +\infty$ .

Mn - M weakly in W1,P (a,b)

(i.e., un > u, in > in weakly in LP(a,b)), then

un > u strongly in LP(a,b).

Proof By point (c) of THEOREM 7.27 we have their W<sup>1</sup>/P(a,b)  $\Rightarrow$  LP(a,b)
is compact for every  $1 \le p \le +\infty$ . The thesis follows by applying Proposition 7.31. D