Module	SEPR
Year	2019/20
Assessment	2
Team	Dalai Java
Members	Jack Kershaw, Max Lloyd, James Hau, Yuqing Gong, William Marr, Peter Clark.
Deliverable	Risk Assessment

Risk Assessment

While developing our product to meet the requirements of our customer, there will be various risks that we will need to consider to achieve the desired specifications. We have created a comprehensive table documenting the possible complications that could occur during the development process and deployment of the final product and our proposed solutions to mitigate the impact of such problems. We initially compiled these risks through a brainstorming session, before building on our initial ideas using relevant websites and papers [2, 3].

We have categorised the potential risks into three types: Project, Product and Business risks. Project risks are risks which could impact the deadlines we have planned, or the resources we have decided to use. Product risks are risks which will affect the final product, either by resulting in requirements not being met or the gameplay not being as smooth as it could be. This can encompass all of the potential problems that may arise in the final version of the product, from problems during development to larger, more abstract problems about aspects of the game. Business risks are risks which affect the stakeholders who we are building the game for, resulting in potentially drastic changes in requirements. Through using these categories, we believe our table is comprehensive as we have covered potential risks related to each aspect of our project in detail.

We have also categorised the risks into three levels depending on their impact on the product and the customer - High, Moderate and Low.

High impact – A problem that would actively impact the customer experience on a large scale and seriously hamper the development or deployment of the product. Risks of this kind would render the final product unacceptable to the stakeholders.

Moderate impact – A problem that would result in disruptions to core gameplay to the extent that it would not meet the specifications given by the stakeholders. It would be an inconvenience to the customer but would result in a game which is still playable.

Low impact – A minor problem that would only result in minimal inconvenience to the customer. It may have a cosmetic effect to the game but all core features specified by the stakeholders would still be present and functional.

Furthermore, we decided to categorise the potential frequencies of the risks occurring in three ways; high, moderate and low frequency. By categorising each risk both by impact and by frequency, we are able to understand which risks we need to be the most aware of during development, and hence which risks need to be monitored the closest in case mitigation is required. As our project is reasonably small and non-critical, we believe that using three impact and frequency categories will be sufficient as a number of risks will fall into each category, making them easier to monitor as a whole.

We have agreed on a risk reviewing plan which involves re-assessing the likelihood and severity of risks at two-week intervals. We have assigned an 'owner' to each of the risks we have identified; this person will perform the re-assessment for their given risks and report the status to the rest of the team. We decided on the owners of each risk by conducting a group meeting in which team members were assigned specific risks, and we ensured that ownership of risks was spread out evenly between team members.

Product Risks

ID	Description	Impact	Frequency	Mitigation	Owner
R1.1	Variables having non-intuitive names and hence being assigned incorrect properties	Moderate	Low	Write appropriate docstrings and always label variables appropriately.	Peter
R1.2	Using discontinued libraries with no appropriate documentation	Moderate	Low	Avoid using discontinued libraries if possible, otherwise minimise use of them during development.	Jack
R1.3	Variables of different scope having the same name and being assigned incorrect data	Moderate	Low	Global variable names should be recorded on a shared wiki to ensure all team members are aware of them and thus do not repeat them.	Max
R1.4	A file which has data which needs to be read could be moved and cause the program to crash	High	Low	Ensure file locations are updated when a file is moved, and use appropriate error-catching functions to minimise impact.	Peter

R1.5	The program or major sections of code being deleted or becoming corrupt	High	Moderate	Use version control systems such as Git to ensure that frequent backups are made.	Yuqing
R1.6	Java may not support a GUI on mobile or computer	High	Low	Test early versions of the code on the hardware specified by the customer to ensure the game is playable.	James
R1.7	Unit tests may not correctly test the functionality of classes	Moderate	High	Ensure each Unit test is proof-read by multiple team members to reduce the chance of incorrect outputs.	Peter
R1.8	not produce complete code coverage	Low	High	Perform manual tests to complement the Unit tests and to ensure no obvious errors are present.	Max
R1.9	There may not be code available to implement the desired features	Moderate	Low	Devise a 'reserve' feature for each desired feature which still meets the customer requirements.	Yuqing

Project Risks

ID	Description	Impact	Frequency	Mitigation	Owner
R2.1	The requirements	High	Moderate	Ensure we receive constant and	William
	of our product			frequent feedback from	
	may change			customers when developing	
	significantly				

R2.2	Team members	Moderate	Low	Ensure all code is properly	Jack
	may leave the			documented with meaningful	
	course or fall ill			identifiers, and ensure core	
	before the project			functions are assigned to more	
	is completed			than one person to prevent a	
				single point of failure	
R2.3	Team members	Moderate	Moderate	Ensure all team members have	Jack
	may not be able to			sufficient Java skills and practise	
	code the required			before commencing	
	functions				
R2.4	Certain	Moderate	Moderate	Keep all requirements in an area	William
	requirements may			visible to all team members to	
	be overlooked			ensure full understanding of all	
				requirements, and that these are	
				central to the development of the	
				game	
R2.5	Set deadlines may	High	High	Ensure we give ourselves more	Max
	not be met			time than necessary to complete	
				each task to give ourselves time	
				to resolve any issues	
R2.6	There may be	Moderate	Moderate	Hold regular team meetings in	Peter
	conflicts within			which each team member is able	
	the team			to give their input and clearly	
				resolve any issues	
R2.7	The customer may	Low	Moderate	Ensure regular communication	Yuqing
	not be			with the customer and present	
	enthusiastic about			prototypes to them for feedback	
	our final product				

Business Risks

ID	Description	Impact	Frequency	Mitigation	Owner
R3.1	<mark>Ideal game</mark>	Moderate	Moderate	Monitor the evolving	Peter
	specifications were			needs of customers,	
	not researched			adapting to the constant	
	properly, leading to			change in opinion.	
	poor reception of				
	game.				
R3.2	May need to upscale	High	Moderate	Observe how the player	Yuqing
	services based on			base will grow and be	
	number of players,			expected to grow over	
	leading to server			time and put adequate	
	downtime.			systems in place to scale	
				services.	
R3.3	A similar product may	Moderate	Moderate	Monitor any potential	James
	appear on the			competition and maintain	
	market, leading to us			communication with	
	programming a game			stakeholders, anticipating	
	no-one wants			major changes in	
				requirements.	
R3.4	Servers may be	High	High	Have proper security	Will
	hacked leading to loss			measures in place with	
	of customer data.			corresponding monitoring	
				systems so we are aware	
				of any ongoing or	
				potential attack.	