

Lecture 2. Itô integrals.

- Why, how?
- Properties,

(and the associated calculus to manipulate them).

Goal : Define $\int_a^b X(t) dW(t)$.

- Question : How does the Stieltjes integral get us into trouble?
 - Consider two functions f, g , on $[0,1]$ cont. how do we define the integral of f wrt, g ?
 - Integration theory : 1) Take simple functions. $\xrightarrow{\text{piecewise constant}}$
in a nutshell 2) Extend the definition by taking limits

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dg(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x) dg(x).$$

Is it a good definition?

- Two things to verify:
- 1) The limit exists
 - 2) The limit is independent of the choice of f_n

We state without proof here :

Lemma 2.1 Suppose g has infinite variation $TV(g, 0, 1) = \infty$.

Then there exists simple functions f_n which converges to f uniformly, such that $\int_0^1 f_n(x) dg(x)$ diverges.

Therefore, 2) fails if we have something with infinite variation!

- Does a BM has infinite variation?

Lemma 2.2. With probability 1, $TV(W, a, b) = \infty$ for any $a < b$.

Remark: i.e. the sample paths of a BM are a.s. of infinite variation, this means a BM can travel infinite distance in a finite time!

(Graph).

Can we weaken the assumptions?

e.g. $X(t) = f(t)$, deterministic.

A new hope: Things look nicer in mean square.

Lemma 2.3. For any partition of the interval $[a, b]$,

$$\sum_i (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i})^2 \rightarrow b-a \text{ a.s.}$$

"Finite quadratic variation"

Ideas i). Should not allow looking into the future. \rightarrow adaptedness.

ii). Simply consider a different type of convergence.

$\hookrightarrow L^2$.

Def 2.5. ($\mathbb{I}_{\text{to}}^{\mathbb{W}}$ sum).

Let s.p. $X_t \in L^2[a, b]$, r.e.

i) X_t (w) is $\mathcal{B}([0, \infty)) \times \mathcal{F}$ - mble.

ii) X_t is \mathcal{F}_t^W - adapted,

iii) $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_a^b X_t^2 dt\right] < \infty$.

Let P be a partition of $[a, b]$, the \mathbb{I}_{to} sum $I(X, P)$ is

defined as follows :

$$I(X, P) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} X(t_j) (W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)).$$

Thm 2.6. (\mathbb{I}_{to} integral).

Let P^n denote a sequence of partitions of $[a, b]$, such

that $|P_n| := \max_j |t_{j+1} - t_j| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, then there exists a r.v. Y

with $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] < \infty$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[(Y - I(X, P^n))^2] = 0.$$

we define the \mathbb{I}_{to} integral $\int_a^b X dW = Y$.

Remark : i) This Y does not depend on P^n .

ii) $Y = Y(w)$ is a r.v.

(iii) non- modification?)

Two important properties of Itô integrals

Thm 2.7. (Itô isometry)

Let $X_+ \in L^2[a, b]$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_a^b X_+ dW_t \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_a^b (X_+)^2 dt \right].$$

\downarrow
finite.

Remark: (on oksendal 3.1.7).

sequence $\leftarrow \phi_n(t, w)$

of simple func.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \phi_n(t, w) & \xrightarrow{L^2([0, \infty) \times \Omega, \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{P})} & X(t, w) \\
 \downarrow I & & \downarrow I \\
 I[\phi_n] & \xrightarrow{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{P})} & I[X]
 \end{array}$$

Thm 2.8. (Vanishing expectation).

$$X \in L^2[a, b], \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\int_a^b X_+ dW_t \right] = 0.$$

\hookrightarrow closely related to m.g.

$$\text{E.X. 2.3} \quad \left(\int_a^b W_t dW_t \right) \quad \xrightarrow{\text{preweise konstant}}$$

Assume $X_t(w)$ is simple in t . Consider a partition in time.

$$a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b.$$

$$\text{Then we can write: } X_t(w) = e_i(w) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}$$

$$\int_a^b X_t dW_t = \sum_i e_i(w) \cdot (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}).$$

If X_t is not simple, e.g., $X_t = W_t$: we approximate it by

$$\sum_i W_{t^*} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]} \quad \text{for some } t^* \in [t_i, t_{i+1}].$$

Case 1 $t^* = t_i$. (Ito)

$$\int_a^b W_t dW_t = \lim_{\sup |\Delta t_i| \rightarrow 0} \sum_i \underbrace{B_{t_i}}_{\perp} \underbrace{(B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})}_{\perp}$$

Taking expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[LHS] = 0.$$



Independence.

Case 2 : $t^* = t_{i+1}$. \rightarrow different with Ito?

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b W_t dW_t &= \lim_{\sup |\Delta t_i| \rightarrow 0} \sum_i B_{t_{i+1}} (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}) \\ &= \lim_{\sup |\Delta t_i| \rightarrow 0} \sum_i (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})(B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i} + B_{t_i}) \\ &= \lim_{\sup |\Delta t_i| \rightarrow 0} \sum_i \underbrace{(B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})^2}_{\mathbb{E} = t_{i+1} - t_i} + \underbrace{B_{t_i}(B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})}_{\mathbb{E} = 0}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[LHS] = \sum_i \Delta t_i = b-a \neq 0.$$

The choice of t^* matters !

Def. 2.9 (Martingale)

An integrable S.P. M_t on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a martingale w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_t if

- i) $M_t \in \mathcal{F}_t$ for each t .
- ii) $\mathbb{E}[M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s$, for $s \leq t$.
↓
martingale condition.

Remark. A martingale represents a "fair game".

E.X. 2.10. BM is a martingale.

Prf : ii) $\mathbb{E}[B_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[(B_t - B_s) + B_s | \mathcal{F}_s]$

$$= \mathbb{E}[B_t - B_s | \mathcal{F}_s] + B_s$$

$$= B_s. \quad \square.$$

Exercise 1. Check the followings are m.g.'s.

i). $M_t := B_t^2 - t$.

ii) (Doob's m.g.) $M_t := \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_t]$.

Thm 2.11, Itô integral $\int_0^t X dW$ is a m.g.

Prf : check the m.g. condition yourself.

Stochastic Differential Equations.

DE: $dY(t) = k(t) dt$.

SDE: $dY(t) = (\underbrace{k(t)}_{\text{mean } 0} + \text{"noise"}) dt$.

- LL increments
- Stationary

Def 2.12 (Ito's process).

An n -dim Ito's process driven by a d -dim BM.

has SDE of the following form:

$$\bullet dX_t = \underbrace{\mu_t}_{n \times 1} dt + \underbrace{\sigma_t}_{n \times d} dW_t \rightarrow \text{BM} \quad d \times 1.$$

where μ_t : "drift coeff.", σ_t : "diffusion coeff."

s.p., adapted to F_t^W .

Meaning that:

$$\bullet X_t - X(0) = \int_0^t \mu_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s.$$

- A special case: (what we usually use)

Def 2.13 (Ito diffusions).

- X is an Ito diffusion if $\mu = \mu(t, X_t)$, $\sigma = \sigma(t, X_t)$

are deterministic fcn's of t, X_+ .

→ why do we care?

- X is an time-homogeneous Lévy diffusion if

$$\mu = \mu(X_+), \quad \sigma = \sigma(X_+).$$

⇒ Nice properties w.r.t. stopping times. (later).

- Questions:
 - Does the SDE have a solution?
 - Is it unique?
 - How do we solve it?
- { today } next time.

Thm. 2.14. (An existence and uniqueness result)

Let $\mu: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ be mble
 $(t, x) \rightarrow \mu(t, x)$. $(t, x) \rightarrow \sigma(t, x)$

functions satisfying:

$$|\mu(t, x) - \mu(t, y)| + |\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)| \leq C |x - y|$$

(Lipschitz).

and

$$|\mu(t, x)| + |\sigma(t, x)| \leq D(1 + |x|). \quad (\text{linear growth}).$$

Then the SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \mu(t, X_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t) dW_t \\ X_0 = x_0 \end{cases}$$

\hookrightarrow deterministic.

has a unique solution $X \in L^2([0, T])$, (Strong solution).

Is there life beyond Lipschitz? Yes, but we need to be careful:

e.g. Consider ODE's:

i). $dX_t = X_t^2 dt$ \rightarrow explode.
 $X_t = \frac{1}{1-t}, t \in [0, 1)$, no global solution

ii) $\begin{cases} dX_t = 3X_t^{2/3} dt \\ X_0 = 0 \end{cases}$ \rightarrow unique

more than one solution.

$$X(t) = (t-a)^3 \vee 0, \text{ for } a > 0.$$