Results

May 6, 2024

1 Tables of Friedman, Bonferroni-Dunn, Holm, Hochberg and Hommel Tests

Table 1: Average Rankings of the algorithms

orage rearrier	1180 01 0110 0
Algorithm	Ranking
CRSPT	1.26
SPT	2.39
LWRK	3.29
CR	4.03
MS	4.97
WINQ	5.18
LIFO	6.88

Iman and Davenport statistic considering reduction performance (distributed according to F-distribution with 6 and 594 degrees of freedom: 310.59867604468064. P-value computed by Iman and Daveport Test: 1.7483717238658274E-179.

Table 2: Holm / Hochberg Table for $\alpha = 0.05$

i	algorithm	$z = (R_0 - R_i)/SE$	p	Holm/Hochberg/Hommel	
6	LIFO	18.395768146894174	1.4203361831609202E-75	0.008333333333333333	
5	WINQ	12.831211945876335	1.0963562408413921E-37	0.01	
4	MS	12.143825591632961	6.1864493211553555E-34	0.0125	
3	CR	9.06695333930547	1.2239130032004769E-19	0.016666666666666666	
2	LWRK	6.644734757685957	3.037635965606681E-11	0.025	
1	SPT	3.6987932395000698	2.166269750207351E-4	0.05	

Table 3: Holm / Hochberg Table for $\alpha=0.10$

i	algorithm	$z = (R_0 - R_i)/SE$	p	Holm/Hochberg/Hommel
6	LIFO	18.395768146894174	1.4203361831609202E-75	0.01666666666666666
5	WINQ	12.831211945876335	1.0963562408413921E-37	0.02
4	MS	12.143825591632961	6.1864493211553555E-34	0.025
3	CR	9.06695333930547	1.2239130032004769E-19	0.03333333333333333
2	LWRK	6.644734757685957	3.037635965606681E-11	0.05
1	SPT	3.6987932395000698	2.166269750207351E-4	0.1

Table 4: Adjusted p-values

i	algorithm	unadjusted p	p_{Bonf}	p_{Holm}	p_{Hoch}	p_{Homm}
1	LIFO	1.4203361831609202E-75	8.522017098965522E-75	8.522017098965522E-75	8.522017098965522E-75	8.522017098965522E-75
2	WINQ	1.0963562408413921E-37	6.578137445048353E-37	5.481781204206961E-37	5.481781204206961E-37	5.481781204206961E-37
3	MS	6.1864493211553555E-34	3.711869592693213E-33	2.4745797284621422E-33	2.4745797284621422E-33	2.4745797284621422E-33
4	CR	1.2239130032004769E-19	7.343478019202861E-19	3.6717390096014306E-19	3.6717390096014306E-19	3.6717390096014306E-19
5	LWRK	3.037635965606681E-11	1.8225815793640086E-10	6.075271931213361E-11	6.075271931213361E-11	6.075271931213361E-11
6	SPT	2.166269750207351E-4	0.0012997618501244107	2.166269750207351E-4	2.166269750207351E-4	2.166269750207351E-4

Table 5: Holm / Shaffer Table for $\alpha = 0.05$

	Table 9. Hollin / Sharler Table for $\alpha = 0.00$							
i	algorithms	$z = (R_0 - R_i)/SE$	p	Holm	Shaffer			
21	CRSPT vs. LIFO	18.395768146894174	1.4203361831609202E-75	0.002380952380952381	0.002380952380952381			
20	SPT vs. LIFO	14.696974907394102	6.740429164400005E-49	0.0025	0.0033333333333333335			
19	CRSPT vs. WINQ	12.831211945876335	1.0963562408413921E-37	0.002631578947368421	0.0033333333333333335			
18	CRSPT vs. MS	12.143825591632961	6.1864493211553555E-34	0.002777777777777778	0.003333333333333333			
17	LWRK vs. LIFO	11.751033389208215	6.97604691102719E-32	0.0029411764705882353	0.003333333333333333			
16	CR vs. LIFO	9.328814807588703	1.0706133691691547E-20	0.003125	0.003333333333333333			
15	SPT vs. WINQ	9.132418706376264	6.698567895913119E-20	0.0033333333333333335	0.003333333333333333			
14	CRSPT vs. CR	9.06695333930547	1.2239130032004769E-19	0.0035714285714285718	0.004545454545454546			
13	SPT vs. MS	8.445032352132891	3.039612600338651E-17	0.0038461538461538464	0.004545454545454546			
12	CRSPT vs. LWRK	6.644734757685957	3.037635965606681E-11	0.004166666666666667	0.004545454545454546			
11	MS vs. LIFO	6.251942555261212	4.053785113250897E-10	0.004545454545454546	0.004545454545454546			
10	LWRK vs. WINQ	6.186477188190377	6.152358282771574E-10	0.005	0.005			
9	WINQ vs. LIFO	5.564556201017838	2.6282041744792282E-8	0.00555555555555556	0.0055555555555556			
8	LWRK vs. MS	5.499090833947005	3.817544534886102E-8	0.00625	0.0071428571428571435			
7	SPT vs. CR	5.3681600998054	7.954392931595842E-8	0.0071428571428571435	0.0071428571428571435			
6	CR vs. WINQ	3.764258606570864	1.6704394413917723E-4	0.008333333333333333	0.008333333333333333			
5	CRSPT vs. SPT	3.6987932395000698	2.166269750207351E-4	0.01	0.01			
4	CR vs. MS	3.076872252327491	0.0020918492890084897	0.0125	0.0125			
3	SPT vs. LWRK	2.9459415181858866	0.0032197326909219233	0.016666666666666666	0.016666666666666666			
2	LWRK vs. CR	2.4222185816195134	0.015426068688276055	0.025	0.025			
1	MS vs. WINQ	0.687386354243373	0.49183929436869095	0.05	0.05			

Nemenyi's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have a p-value $\leq 0.002380952380952380952381$. Holm's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have a p-value ≤ 0.005 . Shaffer's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have a p-value $\leq 0.002380952380952381$. Bergmann's procedure rejects these hypotheses:

- CRSPT vs. SPT
- CRSPT vs. LWRK
- CRSPT vs. CR
- \bullet CRSPT vs. MS
- CRSPT vs. WINQ
- CRSPT vs. LIFO
- $\bullet~{\rm SPT}$ vs. LWRK
- $\bullet~{\rm SPT}$ vs. CR
- $\bullet~{\rm SPT}$ vs. ${\rm MS}$
- SPT vs. WINQ
- SPT vs. LIFO

- $\bullet\,$ LWRK vs. CR
- $\bullet~$ LWRK vs. MS
- LWRK vs. WINQ
- $\bullet\,$ LWRK vs. LIFO
- CR vs. MS
- \bullet CR vs. WINQ
- \bullet CR vs. LIFO
- $\bullet\,$ MS vs. LIFO
- WINQ vs. LIFO

Table 6: Holm / Shaffer Table for $\alpha = 0.10$

	Table 6. Hollin / Shaher Table for $\alpha = 0.10$							
i	algorithms	$z = (R_0 - R_i)/SE$	p	Holm	Shaffer			
21	CRSPT vs. LIFO	18.395768146894174	1.4203361831609202E-75	0.004761904761904762	0.004761904761904762			
20	SPT vs. LIFO	14.696974907394102	6.740429164400005E-49	0.005	0.006666666666666667			
19	CRSPT vs. WINQ	12.831211945876335	1.0963562408413921E-37	0.005263157894736842	0.00666666666666667			
18	CRSPT vs. MS	12.143825591632961	6.1864493211553555E-34	0.00555555555555556	0.00666666666666667			
17	LWRK vs. LIFO	11.751033389208215	6.97604691102719E-32	0.0058823529411764705	0.00666666666666667			
16	CR vs. LIFO	9.328814807588703	1.0706133691691547E-20	0.00625	0.00666666666666667			
15	SPT vs. WINQ	9.132418706376264	6.698567895913119E-20	0.0066666666666666	0.00666666666666667			
14	CRSPT vs. CR	9.06695333930547	1.2239130032004769E-19	0.0071428571428571435	0.009090909090909092			
13	SPT vs. MS	8.445032352132891	3.039612600338651E-17	0.007692307692307693	0.009090909090909092			
12	CRSPT vs. LWRK	6.644734757685957	3.037635965606681E-11	0.008333333333333333	0.009090909090909092			
11	MS vs. LIFO	6.251942555261212	4.053785113250897E-10	0.009090909090909092	0.009090909090909092			
10	LWRK vs. WINQ	6.186477188190377	6.152358282771574E-10	0.01	0.01			
9	WINQ vs. LIFO	5.564556201017838	2.6282041744792282E-8	0.011111111111111111111111111111111111	0.011111111111111111111111111111111111			
8	LWRK vs. MS	5.499090833947005	3.817544534886102E-8	0.0125	0.014285714285714287			
7	SPT vs. CR	5.3681600998054	7.954392931595842E-8	0.014285714285714287	0.014285714285714287			
6	CR vs. WINQ	3.764258606570864	1.6704394413917723E-4	0.0166666666666666666666666666666666666	0.01666666666666666			
5	CRSPT vs. SPT	3.6987932395000698	2.166269750207351E-4	0.02	0.02			
4	CR vs. MS	3.076872252327491	0.0020918492890084897	0.025	0.025			
3	SPT vs. LWRK	2.9459415181858866	0.0032197326909219233	0.03333333333333333	0.03333333333333333			
2	LWRK vs. CR	2.4222185816195134	0.015426068688276055	0.05	0.05			
1	MS vs. WINQ	0.687386354243373	0.49183929436869095	0.1	0.1			

Nemenyi's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have a p-value $\leq 0.004761904761904762$. Holm's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have a p-value ≤ 0.1 . Shaffer's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have a p-value $\leq 0.004761904761904762$. Bergmann's procedure rejects these hypotheses:

- CRSPT vs. SPT
- CRSPT vs. LWRK
- \bullet CRSPT vs. CR
- \bullet CRSPT vs. MS
- \bullet CRSPT vs. WINQ
- \bullet CRSPT vs. LIFO
- SPT vs. LWRK
- SPT vs. CR

- $\bullet~{\rm SPT}~{\rm vs.}~{\rm MS}$
- SPT vs. WINQ
- SPT vs. LIFO
- $\bullet\,$ LWRK vs. CR
- \bullet LWRK vs. MS
- \bullet LWRK vs. WINQ
- $\bullet\,$ LWRK vs. LIFO
- CR vs. MS
- \bullet CR vs. WINQ
- CR vs. LIFO
- $\bullet\,$ MS vs. LIFO
- $\bullet\,$ WINQ vs. LIFO

Table 7: Adjusted p-values

	rable i : Adjusted p -values							
i	hypothesis	unadjusted p	p_{Neme}	p_{Holm}	p_{Shaf}	p_{Berg}		
1	CRSPT vs .LIFO	1.4203361831609202E-75	2.9827059846379326E-74	2.9827059846379326E-74	2.9827059846379326E-74	2.9827059846379326E-74		
2	SPT vs .LIFO	6.740429164400005E-49	1.415490124524001E-47	1.3480858328800011E-47	1.0110643746600008E-47	1.0110643746600008E-47		
3	CRSPT vs .WINQ	1.0963562408413921E-37	2.3023481057669235E-36	2.083076857598645E-36	1.6445343612620883E-36	1.6445343612620883E-36		
4	CRSPT vs .MS	6.1864493211553555E-34	1.2991543574426248E-32	1.1135608778079639E-32	9.279673981733034E-33	6.805094253270891E-33		
5	LWRK vs .LIFO	6.97604691102719E-32	1.4649698513157099E-30	1.1859279748746223E-30	1.0464070366540784E-30	7.673651602129909E-31		
6	CR vs .LIFO	1.0706133691691547E-20	2.2482880752552247E-19	1.7129813906706476E-19	1.6059200537537322E-19	9.635520322522392E-20		
7	SPT vs .WINQ	6.698567895913119E-20	1.406699258141755E-18	1.004785184386968E-18	1.004785184386968E-18	6.698567895913119E-19		
8	CRSPT vs .CR	1.2239130032004769E-19	2.5702173067210016E-18	1.7134782044806677E-18	1.3463043035205246E-18	1.1015217028804291E-18		
9	SPT vs .MS	3.039612600338651E-17	6.383186460711168E-16	3.9514963804402465E-16	3.3435738603725166E-16	2.1277288202370558E-16		
10	CRSPT vs .LWRK	3.037635965606681E-11	6.37903552777403E-10	3.645163158728017E-10	3.3413995621673486E-10	1.8225815793640086E-10		
11	MS vs .LIFO	4.053785113250897E-10	8.512948737826883E-9	4.4591636245759864E-9	4.4591636245759864E-9	2.4322710679505384E-9		
12	LWRK vs .WINQ	6.152358282771574E-10	1.2919952393820305E-8	6.152358282771574E-9	6.152358282771574E-9	4.3066507979401015E-9		
13	WINQ vs .LIFO	2.6282041744792282E-8	5.51922876640638E-7	2.3653837570313053E-7	2.3653837570313053E-7	1.314102087239614E-7		
14	LWRK vs .MS	3.817544534886102E-8	8.016843523260814E-7	3.0540356279088813E-7	2.672281174420271E-7	1.5270178139544407E-7		
15	SPT vs .CR	7.954392931595842E-8	1.6704225156351269E-6	5.56807505211709E-7	5.56807505211709E-7	3.181757172638337E-7		
16	CR vs .WINQ	1.6704394413917723E-4	0.003507922826922722	0.0010022636648350635	0.0010022636648350635	6.681757765567089E-4		
17	CRSPT vs .SPT	2.166269750207351E-4	0.004549166475435437	0.0010831348751036756	0.0010831348751036756	6.681757765567089E-4		
18	CR vs .MS	0.0020918492890084897	0.04392883506917828	0.008367397156033959	0.008367397156033959	0.004183698578016979		
19	SPT vs .LWRK	0.0032197326909219233	0.06761438650936039	0.00965919807276577	0.00965919807276577	0.006439465381843847		
20	LWRK vs .CR	0.015426068688276055	0.32394744245379714	0.03085213737655211	0.03085213737655211	0.03085213737655211		
21	MS vs .WINQ	0.49183929436869095	10.32862518174251	0.49183929436869095	0.49183929436869095	0.49183929436869095		