Get API key   **Studio** Dashboard Documentation ☒   ⚙

PromptBase Submission Guidelines ✎

Run settings   ⌕ ✕

Gemini 2.5 Pro   ⌄

Stylized Vintage Exotic Animal Illustrations
Desaturation HD Photographs
Amazing Transformations Weight Loss
High Quality Cartoon Cat And Dog Animals
3. Decline Reasons
3.1 Introduction
Getting a prompt declined can be very frustrating! But usually your prompt just requires a bit of tweaking to get
approved. This section will go into more detail as to why a prompt has been declined, and how you can fix it.

3.2 Why does PromptBase decline prompts?
We're living in an exciting time with generative AI. At PromptBase, we have been the first website to monetize the
exchange of prompts, an exciting new meta-product driving the creativity behind these AI models.

We have an obligation to ensure that all prompts sold on PromptBase reach a high quality standard, both to our
customers, the emergent field of prompt engineering, and to other sellers on the website.

Ultimately, we want users of PromptBase to be able to purchase with confidence knowing that their prompt will work
and be adaptable to their needs. By operating a rigorous review process, we try to ensure a high bar of quality that
instills this trust, and results in more sales for you as a seller.

3.3 No use case
If your prompt was declined for a "No use case" reason, this means we have not deemed the prompt to have enough of
a use-case from a potential buyer to be sold on PromptBase.

As the exchange of prompts is monetized on PromptBase, your prompt needs to provide enough value to a potential
buyer in order for it to be listed on the marketplace.

3.3.1 How can I resolve this?
Unfortunately this is one of the rare decline reasons that cannot be fixed, as it is more of a problem with the idea behind
the prompt than the prompt itself. Check out our section on high use-case factor to get more of an idea as to what
prompts get accepted.

3.4 Too specific
If your prompt was declined for being too specific, it usually means that the scope of the prompt could be widened to
improve your prompt even further.

For example, if you had a prompt that generates high quality pictures of bagels, then it is likely that your prompt could
also be generalized to produce high quality images of sandwiches, toasties, and other baked goods.

Another example is if your prompt generates cute illustrations of sleeping foxes, then the scope could be widened to
produce illustrations in the same style, but for all animal types.

We also decline prompts that are overly niched.

For example, if you had a prompt that generates 3D doctor animals with moustaches then this would be too niche, even
if you might be able to use this prompt with multiple animals.

A general rule of thumb is a prompt is too niche for PromptBase if it is trying to combine more than 3 ideas, or has 3
ideas but one of those ideas is too niche.

In 3D doctor animals with moustaches the prompt explores 4 ideas, with two of them being too niche (3d: broad,
animals: broad, doctors: niche, moustaches: niche)

Changing the prompt to 3D doctor animals with a variable to add moustaches would be an improvement, but still too
niche (has 3 ideas: 3d: broad, animals: broad, doctors: niche).

However, an even better prompt would be 3D animals with jobs, allowing the use case of the prompt to be broadened
even further (it has 3 ideas: 3d: broad, animals: broad, jobs: broad).

3.4.1 Why are these prompts declined?
We decline these sorts of prompts for two reasons:

Your prompt will be a lot more valuable to a buyer if it's generalized to allow them to produce any subject in the same
style as your prompt allows.
We are trying to avoid the scenario where users continually submit the same prompts with small alterations, as this
reduces prompt quality, burdens our review team, and clogs the website with similar prompts. For example the prompts
"green haired wizards", "blue haired wizards" and "red haired wizards", would be much better as a single "Wizards"
prompt where the [hair] variable is changeable.
3.4.2 How can I resolve this?
Usually this is an easy fix by adding editable variables to your prompt in [square brackets]. If you are making this fix,
make sure to re-submit your prompt with new outputs demonstrating that your prompt is able to produce differentiated
outputs with this new variable. For example, if you are editing "cute illustrations of sleeping foxes" to be "cute
illustrations of sleeping animals", make sure to re-submit your prompt with images containing other animals (not just
foxes again).

If your prompt already contains [square bracket] variables, you can widen the scope of your prompt and re-submit it.

3.5 Inconsistent style
If your prompt was declined for having an inconsistent ⌄, th⌄ eans that either the style or the subject of the output
changes too much for the prompt to be usable.

Token count   151,838 / 1,048,576

Temperature
                                            2

Media resolution
Medium   ⌄

Thinking

Thinking mode   ⬤

Set thinking budget   ⬤

Tools   ⌃

Structured output   Edit ⬤

Code execution   ⬤

Function calling   Edit ⬤

Grounding with Google Search   ⬤

URL context   ⬤

Advanced settings   ⌄

Start typing a prompt   ⊕   Run Ctrl⏎