

Project 2

Yura Heo, Kathleen Zhang

Model Justification and Diagnostics

For this experiment, we used a full factorial experimental design with four factors—rotor length, leg length, leg width, and paperclip use, each at two levels ($2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 = 16$ total design combinations). To ensure adequate precision and allow estimation of variability at each condition, we collected four independent flight-time measurements per combination, resulting in 64 total experimental runs.

Because the project requires identifying (1) which factors matter most, (2) whether interaction effects exist, and (3) the best-performing combination, a full factorial model is the most appropriate analytical approach. This model estimates all main effects and interaction effects, allowing us to evaluate both individual factor contributions and how factors jointly influence performance.

The residuals appear randomly scattered around zeros across the entire range, and there is no clear curvature or funneling pattern, suggesting there is no evidence of missing nonlinear structure. Homoscedasticity holds reasonably well as the vertical spread of residuals is roughly constant across fitted values.

The QQ plot shows that the normality assumption is largely satisfied as it follows the 45-degree line.

Q1. Which factors are most important to make helicopters that fly longer?

Leg length appears to be the most important factor for increasing flight time, with longer legs showing the largest positive estimated effect of +0.240 seconds. Longer leg width and paperclip use also contribute positively, though to a smaller degree (+0.240 seconds, +0.158 seconds respectively). However, none of these effects reach statistical significance as flight time does not vary dramatically across the tested designs.

Q2. Is there any evidence that the effect of rotor length differs by leg width?

The analysis of our full factorial design shows that the `rotor:leg_width` interaction is not statistically significant ($p = 0.43$), meaning the interaction does not meaningfully improve the model. The point estimate for the `rotor:leg_width` interaction is -0.18 seconds, meaning that the difference in flight time between the 8.5 and 7.5 cm rotors is approximately 0.18 seconds shorter when the legs are 5 cm wide than when the legs are 3.2 cm wide. Because our 95% confidence interval is (-0.64, 0.28) and includes 0, there is insufficient statistical evidence to conclude that the effect of rotor length depends on leg width.

Q3: What would you recommend as the ideal combination to make the helicopter fly long?

Even though statistical differences are not significant, we can still identify the best-performing conditions to find an optimal helicopter design by examining the predicted flight times from the full factorial. We estimated the model-based mean flight times from the `emmeans` output and identified the combination with the largest predicted mean flight time. The longest predicted mean flight time is about 2.44 seconds (with a 95% confidence interval of (2.21, 2.67)) for a helicopter with a rotor of 8.5 cm (longer rotor/high setting), leg length of 7.5 cm (shorter leg length/low setting), leg width of 5.0 cm (longer leg width/high setting), and no paper clip attached (low setting). Therefore, the ideal combination to make the helicopter fly long is a rotor of 8.5 cm, leg length of 7.5 cm, leg width of 5.0 cm, and no paper clip attached.

Appendix

```
library(tidyverse)
```

```
-- Attaching core tidyverse packages ----- tidyverse 2.0.0 --
v dplyr      1.1.4      v readr      2.1.5
v forcats    1.0.0      v stringr    1.5.1
v ggplot2    3.5.1      v tibble     3.2.1
v lubridate  1.9.3      v tidyr      1.3.1
v purrr      1.0.2

-- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
x dplyr::lag()     masks stats::lag()
i Use the conflicted package (<http://conflicted.r-lib.org/>) to force all conflicts to become
```

```
library(emmeans)
```

Welcome to emmeans.

Caution: You lose important information if you filter this package's results.

See '? untidy'

```
rotor_length <- c("7.5 cm", "8.5 cm")
leg_length   <- c("7.5 cm", "12.0 cm")
leg_width    <- c("3.2 cm", "5.0 cm")
paper_clip   <- c("No", "Yes")

base_design <- expand.grid(
  RotorLength = rotor_length,
  LegLength   = leg_length,
  LegWidth    = leg_width,
  PaperClip   = paper_clip
)

design <- base_design[rep(1:nrow(base_design), each = 4), ]

design$Replicate <- rep(1:4, times = nrow(base_design))

design$FlightTime_sec <- NA

#write.csv(design, "helicopter_design.csv", row.names = FALSE)

#design
```

```
heli <- read_csv("helicopter_design.csv")
```

Rows: 64 Columns: 6

-- Column specification -----

Delimiter: ","

chr (4): RotorLength, LegLength, LegWidth, PaperClip

dbl (2): Replicate, FlightTime_sec

i Use `spec()` to retrieve the full column specification for this data.

i Specify the column types or set `show_col_types = FALSE` to quiet this message.

```
glimpse(heli)
```

```
Rows: 64
Columns: 6
$ RotorLength    <chr> "7.5 cm", "7.5 cm", "7.5 cm", "7.5 cm", "8.5 cm", "8.5 ~
$ LegLength      <chr> "7.5 cm", "7.5 cm", "7.5 cm", "7.5 cm", "7.5 cm", "7.5 ~
$ LegWidth       <chr> "3.2 cm", "3.2 cm", "3.2 cm", "3.2 cm", "3.2 cm", "3.2 ~
$ PaperClip      <chr> "No", "No", "No", "No", "No", "No", "No", "No", "No", "~
$ Replicate      <dbl> 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3~
$ FlightTime_sec <dbl> 2.11, 2.04, 2.17, 2.04, 2.14, 1.99, 2.19, 2.26, 1.99, 1~
```

```
heli <- heli %>%
  mutate(
    rotor      = factor(RotorLength),
    leg_len    = factor(LegLength),
    leg_width  = factor(LegWidth),
    clip       = factor(PaperClip)
  )

fit_full <- lm(
  FlightTime_sec ~ rotor * leg_len * leg_width * clip,
  data = heli
)
summary(fit_full)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = FlightTime_sec ~ rotor * leg_len * leg_width * clip,
    data = heli)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-0.5675	-0.0950	0.0150	0.1000	0.4650

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value
(Intercept)	1.8500	0.1139	16.241
rotor8.5 cm	0.0275	0.1611	0.171
leg_len7.5 cm	0.2400	0.1611	1.490
leg_width5.0 cm	0.1950	0.1611	1.211
clipYes	0.1575	0.1611	0.978

rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm	0.0275	0.2278	0.121
rotor8.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm	-0.1800	0.2278	-0.790
leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm	-0.0200	0.2278	-0.088
rotor8.5 cm:clipYes	0.3600	0.2278	1.580
leg_len7.5 cm:clipYes	0.0250	0.2278	0.110
leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	-0.1175	0.2278	-0.516
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm	0.3025	0.3222	0.939
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm:clipYes	-0.3250	0.3222	-1.009
rotor8.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	-0.2900	0.3222	-0.900
leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	-0.0225	0.3222	-0.070
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	0.1175	0.4556	0.258

Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept)	<2e-16 ***
rotor8.5 cm	0.865
leg_len7.5 cm	0.143
leg_width5.0 cm	0.232
clipYes	0.333
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm	0.904
rotor8.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm	0.433
leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm	0.930
rotor8.5 cm:clipYes	0.121
leg_len7.5 cm:clipYes	0.913
leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	0.608
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm	0.352
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm:clipYes	0.318
rotor8.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	0.373
leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	0.945
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	0.798

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.2278 on 48 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.4797, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3171

F-statistic: 2.951 on 15 and 48 DF, p-value: 0.00225

```
confint(fit_full)
```

	2.5 %	97.5 %
(Intercept)	1.6209741	2.0790259
rotor8.5 cm	-0.2963916	0.3513916
leg_len7.5 cm	-0.0838916	0.5638916
leg_width5.0 cm	-0.1288916	0.5188916

clipYes	-0.1663916	0.4813916
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm	-0.4305519	0.4855519
rotor8.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm	-0.6380519	0.2780519
leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm	-0.4780519	0.4380519
rotor8.5 cm:clipYes	-0.0980519	0.8180519
leg_len7.5 cm:clipYes	-0.4330519	0.4830519
leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	-0.5755519	0.3405519
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm	-0.3452832	0.9502832
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm:clipYes	-0.9727832	0.3227832
rotor8.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	-0.9377832	0.3577832
leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	-0.6702832	0.6252832
rotor8.5 cm:leg_len7.5 cm:leg_width5.0 cm:clipYes	-0.7986038	1.0336038

```
par(mfrow = c(1, 2))
plot(fit_full, which = 2)

par(mfrow = c(1, 1))
```




Figure 1: Q-Q residual plot fit of model

```
emm_all <- emmeans(fit_full, ~ rotor * leg_len * leg_width * clip)
emm_tbl <- summary(emm_all)

best_row <- emm_tbl[which.max(emm_tbl$emmean), ]
best_row
```

rotor	leg_len	leg_width	clip	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
8.5 cm	7.5 cm	5.0 cm	No	2.44	0.114	48	2.21	2.67

Confidence level used: 0.95