

UConn, CSE Dept.  
Spring 2023  
CSE 3400/CSE 5080: Introduction to Computer and Network Security  
(or Introduction to Cybersecurity)  
Assignment 2

Instructor: Prof. Ghada Almashaqbeh

Posted: 2/10/2023

Submission deadline: 2/18/2023, 11:59 pm

**Note:** Solutions **must be typed** (using latex or any other text editor) and must be submitted as a pdf (not word or source latex files).

**Note:** This homework will have a **shorter late days allowance** than usual. It will be only 4 days (instead of the usual 5), after which no late submissions will be accepted. And as usual, if you still have free late days, you can up to 4 days from them, and if not, there will be a deduction for late days.

**Problem 1 [45 points]**

Let  $F : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  be a PRF, state whether the following constructions are PRFs (in all parts  $k$  is a long random secret key).

1.  $F'_k(x) = (x \oplus k) \parallel F_k(x) \parallel F_k(x + 1)$ , where each of  $k$  and  $x$  is of length  $n$  bits.
2.  $F''_k(x) = F_{k_1}(x) \oplus F_{k_2}(x)$ , where  $k = k_1 \parallel k_2$ , and each of  $k_1, k_2, x$  is of length  $n$  bits.
3.  $F'''_k(x) = k_1 \parallel F_{k_2}(x)$ , where  $k = k_1 \parallel k_2$  and each of  $k_1, k_2, x$  is of length  $n$  bits.

**Note:** if the scheme is not a PRF then provide an attack against it and informally analyze/justify its success probability. If the scheme is a PRF, just provide a convincing argument (formal proofs are not required) and state why the attacker advantage is negligible.

**Problem 2 [45 points]**

Let  $G : \{0, 1\}^{n/2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  be a PRG, and  $F : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  be a PRF. For each of the following encryption constructions, state the decryption algorithm, and then state whether it is a secure encryption scheme against a CPA attacker. (All the following are block ciphers; we encrypt  $m$  all at once, they are not stream ciphers). (In all parts  $k$  is a long random secret key)

1. Given message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , choose random string  $r \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}$ , and form an encryption as: let  $y = G(r)$ ,  $E_k(m) = (y, F_k(y) \oplus m)$ .
2. Given message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , choose a random string  $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and encrypt  $m$  as  $E_k(m) = (r, \text{lsb}(F_k(r)) \parallel (F_k(r) \oplus m))$  where  $\text{lsb}$  is the least significant bit.
3. Given message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^{3n}$ , parse  $m$  as  $m = m_1 \parallel m_2 \parallel m_3$  where  $|m_1| = |m_2| = |m_3| = n$ , then choose a random  $r \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}$  and  $r' \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and encrypt  $m$  as:  
 $E_k(m) = (r, r', G(r) \oplus m_1, F_k(r') \oplus m_2, F_k(r' + 1) \oplus m_3)$ .

**Note:** if the scheme is insecure then provide an attack against it and informally analyze its success probability. If the scheme is secure, just provide a convincing argument (formal security proofs are not required).

### Problem 3 [15 points]

- We know that a deterministic encryption scheme is not secure against a CPA attacker. Is it secure against a CTO attacker? why?
- For the basic PRF-based encryption scheme we took in class (which is provably secure against CPA attacker), we use a PRF (call it  $F$ ), for each message a random string  $r$  is generated and then encryption is  $E_k(m) = (r, F_k(r) \oplus m)$ . Is this scheme still secure against a CPA attacker if the length of the message  $m$  (and so the output of the PRF) is 1 bit? why?

**Note:** this problem has 5 points extra.