# Abstract Binding Trees

Jon Sterling and Darin Morrison

## 1 Preliminaries

Fix a set  $\mathscr{S}$  of *sorts*. We will say s *sort* when  $s \in S$ . A valence  $\{\vec{p}\}[\vec{q}].s$  specifies an expression of sort s which binds symbols in  $\vec{p}$  and variables in  $\vec{q}$ .

$$\frac{s \ sort \quad p_i \ sort \quad (i \le m) \quad q_i \ sort \quad (i \le n)}{\left\{p_0, \dots, p_m\right\} \left[q_0, \dots, q_n\right]. \ s \ valence}$$

An arity  $(\vec{v})s$  specifies an operator of sort s with arguments of valences  $\vec{v}$ . We will call the set of valences  $\mathcal{V}$ , and the set of arities  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$$\frac{s \ sort \quad v_i \ valence \ (i \le n)}{(v_0, ..., v_n) s \ arity}$$

Let  $\mathbb{I}$  be an infinite set of symbols. Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the category of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{I}$  and their injective maps; then the comma construction  $\mathbf{SCtx} \triangleq \mathbb{F} \downarrow \mathcal{S}$ , with  $\mathcal{S}$  regarded as a discrete category, is the category of contexts of symbols, whose objects are finite sets of symbols U and sort-assignments  $\mathfrak{s}: U \to \mathcal{S}$ , and whose morphisms are sort-preserving renamings; we will write  $\Upsilon$  for a symbol context  $(U, \mathfrak{s})$ .

$$\frac{\vartheta \in \mathscr{O}\langle \Upsilon, \varrho \rangle}{\Upsilon \Vdash \vartheta : \varrho}$$

The judgment  $\Upsilon \Vdash \vartheta : \varrho$  enjoys the structural properties of weakening and exchange via the functoriality of  $\mathscr{O}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Category of elements.

**Examples** Operators are defined by specifying the fibres of  $\oint \mathcal{O}$  in which they reside. For instance, consider the lambda calculus with a single sort, exp; we give its signature by asserting the following about its operators:

```
\Upsilon \Vdash \lambda : (\{\cdot\} [\exp] . \exp) \exp

\Upsilon \Vdash ap : (\{\cdot\} [\cdot] . \exp, \{\cdot\} [\cdot] . \exp) \exp
```

So far, we have made no use of symbols and parameters; however, consider the extension of the calculus with assignables (references):

```
\Upsilon \Vdash \operatorname{decl} : (\{\cdot\} [\cdot] . \exp, \{\exp\} [\cdot] . \exp) \exp
\Upsilon, u : \exp \Vdash \operatorname{get}[u] : (\cdot) \exp
\Upsilon, u : \exp \Vdash \operatorname{set}[u] : (\{\cdot\} [\cdot] . \exp) \exp
```

Declaring a new assignable consists in providing an initial value, and an expression with a free symbol (which shall represent the assignable in scope). Weakening can be seen as inducing a "degeneracy map" on operators, whereas a renaming  $u \mapsto v$  will take get[u] to get[v].

# 2 Contexts

In general, we will have three kinds of context: metavariable contexts, variable contexts, and symbol (parameter) contexts. A metavariable context  $\Omega$  consists of bindings of valences to metavariables; a variable context  $\Gamma$  is a collection of bindings of sorts to variables, and a parameter context  $\Upsilon$  is a collection of bindings of sorts to symbols.

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Omega \ mctx \ v \ valence \ M \notin |\Omega|}{\Omega, M: v \ mctx} \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma \ vctx \ s \ sort \ x \notin |\Gamma|}{\Gamma, x: s \ vctx} \\ \\ \frac{\Upsilon \ vctx \ s \ sort \ u \notin |\Upsilon|}{\Upsilon, u: s \ sctx} \end{array}$$

# 3 Abstract Binding Trees

Let the judgment  $\Omega \triangleright \Upsilon \parallel \Gamma \vdash M : s$  presuppose  $\Omega$  mctx,  $\Upsilon$  sctx,  $\Gamma$  vctx and s sort, meaning that M is an abstract binding tree of sort s, with metavariables in  $\Omega$ , parameters in  $\Upsilon$ , and variables in  $\Gamma$ . Let the judgment  $\Omega \triangleright \Upsilon \parallel \Gamma \vdash E : v$  presuppose v valence. Then, the syntax of abstract binding trees is inductively defined in four rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \ni x : s}{\Omega \rhd \Upsilon \parallel \Gamma \vdash x : s} var$$

$$\Omega \ni M : \left\{ p_0, \dots, p_m \right\} \left[ q_0, \dots, q_n \right] . s$$

$$\Upsilon \ni u_i : p_i \quad (i \le m)$$

$$\Omega \rhd \Upsilon \parallel \Gamma \vdash M_i : q_i \quad (i \le n)$$

$$\overline{\Omega \rhd \Upsilon \parallel \Gamma \vdash M \{u_0, \dots, u_m\} (M_0, \dots, M_n) : s} \quad mvar$$

$$\Upsilon \Vdash \vartheta : v_1, \dots, v_n$$

$$\frac{\Omega \rhd \Upsilon \parallel \Gamma \vdash E_i : q_i \quad (i \le n)}{\Omega \rhd \Upsilon \parallel \Gamma \vdash \vartheta (E_0, \dots, E_n) : s} \quad app$$

$$\frac{\Omega \rhd \Upsilon \parallel \Gamma \vdash \vartheta (E_0, \dots, E_n) : s}{\Omega \rhd \Upsilon \parallel \Gamma \vdash \left( \left\{ \overrightarrow{u} \right\} \left[ \overrightarrow{x} \right] . M \right) : \left( \left\{ \overrightarrow{p} \right\} \left[ \overrightarrow{q} \right] . s \right)} \quad abs$$

Abstract binding trees are identified up to  $\alpha$ -equivalence.

#### 3.1 Substitution of Variables

Variable substitution in abstract binding trees is defined inductively by a pair of judgments,  $[N/x]M \rightsquigarrow M'$  and  $[N/x]E \rightsquigarrow E'$ :

$$\frac{x = y}{[N/x] y \leadsto N} \qquad \frac{x \# y}{[N/x] y \leadsto y}$$

$$\frac{[N/x] M_i \leadsto M_i' \quad (i \le n)}{[N/x] M \{\vec{u}\} (M_0, \dots, M_n) \leadsto M \{\vec{u}\} (M_0', \dots, M_n')}$$

$$\frac{[N/x] E_i \leadsto E_i' \quad (i \le n)}{[N/x] \vartheta (E_0, \dots, E_n) \leadsto \vartheta (E_0', \dots, E_n')}$$

$$\frac{x \notin \vec{y} \quad \vec{y} \# FV(N) \quad [N/x] M \leadsto M'}{[N/x] \{\vec{u}\} [\vec{y}] . M \leadsto \{\vec{u}\} [\vec{y}] . M} \qquad \frac{x \in \vec{y} \quad \vec{y} \# FV(N)}{[N/x] \{\vec{u}\} [\vec{y}] . M \leadsto \{\vec{u}\} [\vec{y}] . M}$$

Because terms are identified up to  $\alpha$ -equivalence, the variable substitution judgment is functional in its inputs, and so we are justified in writing [N/x]M for M' when  $[N/x]M \rightsquigarrow M'$ . We write  $[\vec{N}/\vec{x}]M$  for the simultaneous substitution of  $\vec{N}$  for  $\vec{x}$  in M.

## 3.2 Substitution of Metavariables

Metavariable substitution is defined inductively by the judgment  $[E/M]M \rightsquigarrow M'$ :

$$\begin{split} & \overline{[E/\mathrm{M}]\,x \leadsto x} \\ & \underline{\mathrm{M} \,\#\,\mathrm{N} \quad [E/\mathrm{N}]\,M_i \leadsto M_i' \quad (i \le n)} \\ & \underline{[E/\mathrm{M}]\,\mathrm{N} \,\big\{\overrightarrow{u}\big\}\,(M_0,\ldots,M_n) \leadsto \mathrm{N} \,\big\{\overrightarrow{u}\big\} \,\big(M_0',\ldots,M_n'\big)} \end{split}$$