

## Stats 270, Homework 3

Due date: **February 11**

1. A Markov chain on a countable state-space  $E$  proceeds from its current state  $i$  by randomly drawing another state  $j$  with proposal probability  $q_{ij}$  and then accepting/rejecting this proposed state with probability

$$a_{ij} = \frac{\pi_j q_{ji}}{\pi_j q_{ji} + \pi_i q_{ij}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots)$  is a probability mass function on  $E$ . This MCMC construction is called Barker's algorithm. Notice that  $0 \leq a_{ij} \leq 1$  by definition.

- (a) What are the transition probabilities of this Markov chain,  $p_{ij}$ , for  $i \neq j$ ?
  - (b) Show that  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  is a stationary distribution of Barker's Markov chain.
2. Consider a toric Ising model with state-space  $\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) : x_i = \pm 1\}$  and  $\pi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{\beta \sum_{i=1}^k x_i x_{i+1}}$ , where  $x_{k+1}$  is understood to be equal to  $x_1$ . Set  $k = 50$  and  $\beta = 0.9$ . Implement the Metropolis-Hastings sampler discussed in class to approximate  $E[M(\mathbf{x})]$  and  $\text{Var}[M(\mathbf{x})]$ , where  $M(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$  is the total magnetization. In each algorithm, start from a random state  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ , obtained by flipping  $k$  independent fair coins and assigning values 1 or  $-1$  to each component of  $\mathbf{x}$ . Run your MCMC chains for  $N$  iterations. During the first  $L < N$  iterations, do not save sampled states of the system.  $L$  is the length of a "burn-in period", needed for the Markov chain to achieve stationarity (hopefully).
  3. Consider a two state continuous-time Markov SIS model, where the disease status  $X_t$  cycles between the two states: 1=susceptible, 2=infected. Don't worry about continuous-time — everything will be defined in the problem formulation. Let the infection rate be  $\lambda_1$  and clearance rate be  $\lambda_2$ . Suppose that an individual is susceptible at time 0 ( $X_0 = 1$ ) and infected at time  $T$  ( $X_T = 2$ ). We don't know anything else about the disease status of this individual during the interval  $[0, T]$ . If  $T$  is small enough, it is reasonable to assume that the individual was infected only once during this time interval. We would like to obtain the distribution of the time of infection  $I$ , conditional on the information we have:

$$\Pr(I \mid X_0 = 1, X_t = 2, N_t = 1) \propto \Pr(0 < t < I : X_t = 1, I < t < T : X_t = 2),$$

where  $N_t$  is the number of infections. Since  $X_t$  is a continuous-time Markov chain, the last probability (it is actually a density) can be written as

$$\Pr(0 < t < I : X_t = 1, I < t < T : X_t = 2) = \underbrace{\lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 I}}_{\text{density of waiting time until infection}} \times \overbrace{e^{-\lambda_2 (T-I)}}^{\text{prob of staying infected}}.$$

Set  $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0.2$  and  $T = 1.0$  and implement a Metropolis-Hastings sampler to draw realizations from the above posterior distribution. For your proposal distribution, use a uniform random walk with reflective boundaries 0 and  $T$ . In other words, given

a current value of the infection time  $t_c$ , generate  $u = \text{Unif}_{[t_c - \delta, t_c + \delta]}$  ( $2\delta < T$ ) and then make a proposal value

$$t_p = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } 0 < u < T, \\ 2T - u & \text{if } u > T, \\ -u & \text{if } u < 0. \end{cases}$$

This is a symmetric proposal. Plot the histogram of the posterior distribution of the infection time. Try a couple of sets of values for  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  and examine the effect of these changes on the posterior distribution of the infection time.