Homework 4

(10 : not a ha

So CD is not a key.

 $(B \cup D)^{\dagger} = B, \cup, D, \in, A$ $(E \cup D)^{\dagger} = E, \cup, D, A, B$

 $(ALD)^{\dagger} = A.L.D.B.E$

So. ALD, BLD, CDE are keys for R.

are not present.

2 R is in 3NF, because A, B and E are all parts of keys. Transtive dependencies

3. Ris not in BLNF, because L.H.S attributes A, BL and ED do not contain a key.

19.3 1. According to the Functional dependency, if every value of x is uniquely able to indentify

value of y, then $X\to Y$ exist. if not, then not exist. Similarly, following functional dependency to check all other attributes. Thus, Finally we got that $X\to Y$, $Z\to Y$, $XZ\to Y$ that relation instance satisfies.

2. If last reword in relation is changed from 23 to 22, all above functional dependency sets are unchanged and no new functional dependency is added. So, we can still check this use the question 1 rule.

19.5 I. $R_1(A.C.B.D.E)$, $A \rightarrow B$, $C \rightarrow D$ (a) 1NF

(b) BCNF decomposition: AB, CD, ACE

2.
$$R_2(A,B,F)$$
, $AC \rightarrow E$, $B \rightarrow F$

(a) $1NF$

(b) $BCNF$ decomposition: AB , BF

3. $R_3(A,D)$. $D \rightarrow G$, $G \rightarrow H$

(a) $BCNF$

(b) No need to decompose the relation
$$R_{2}$$
.
4. $R_{4}(D.C.H.G), A \rightarrow I, I \rightarrow A$

IA) BLNF 16) No need to decompose the relation Rq.

(A) BLNF (b) No need to decompose the relation Rs.

19.6 I. A B C (a)
$$A \rightarrow B$$
 V

1 2 3 (b) $B C \rightarrow A$ X

4 2 3 (c) $B \rightarrow C$ V

X

3 Ans, BC → A does not hold over schema S.

2. No. For example: $A \rightarrow B$, $B \rightarrow C$. We can say that certain dependencies are not violated, but

We can't sow that these dependencies hold nith respect to S. Because, we need more tuples or instances to make sure.

(c) The functional that violating the properties of BLNF are $C \rightarrow D$, $C \rightarrow A$. Let the

19.7 1 C → D. C → A. B → C

 $(D)^{\dagger} = D$

2. B -> C, D-A

 $(B)^{\dagger} = B, C, D, A$ $(C)^{\dagger} = C, D, A$

(b) It satisfied 2NF not 3NF

Therefore, the condidate key is B.

relation into BLNF. The decomposition into CD, CA, BC.

(a) $(A)^{\dagger} = A$

 $A A \rightarrow B$, $B L \rightarrow D \cdot A \rightarrow C$ (a) $(A)^{\dagger} = A, B, U, D$

(BU)+ = B, C, D The candidate key is A.

(b) It satisfies 2NF not 3NF.

LC) The functional that violating the properties of BLNF is BC → D. Let the relation

into BLNF. The decomposition into BLD. ABC.

5. $AB \rightarrow C$, $AB \rightarrow D$, $C \rightarrow A$, $D \rightarrow B$.

 $(A)(AB)^{+} = A.B.C.D$

(U)+ = C,A

19.10

 $(D)^{\dagger} = D_{\ell}B$

The candidate keys are AB, CD, BC, AD.

(b) It satisfies 3NF not BUNF. (c) The functional that violating the properties of BCNF are $C \rightarrow A$, $D \rightarrow B$. Let the

will not be preserved. Since D-B. BCD is still not BCNF. So, there is no BCNF decomposition.

1. $B \rightarrow C$, $D \rightarrow A$; decompose into Bc and AD.

(a) $(BD)^{\dagger} = A, B, C, D$

 $(B)^{\dagger} = B_{i} C$

(D) = D, A

Candidate keys: BD. (b) The decomposition into BC, AD is unsati-factory. Because there are no comman attribute between Bc and AD. The cartesian product would be bigger than ABCD.

relation into BLNF. The decomposition. DA, BCD. But functional dependency AB -> C, AB->D

- 2. $AB \rightarrow C$, $C \rightarrow A$, $C \rightarrow D$; decompose into ALD and BC. (AB) = A, B, C, D
 - $(CB)^{\dagger} = C \cdot B \cdot A \cdot D$
- candidate keys: AB, CB

 (b) ACD and BC have common attribute C.
 - $R_1(A,C,D)$

 - \Rightarrow $f_1(C \rightarrow AD)$, The super key is C in R_1 .
 - R2 (B, L)
 - $\frac{(B)^{\dagger}}{B} \frac{(C)^{\dagger}}{CAD}$
 - ⇒ F₂ Φ
 - $F_1 \cup F_2 = C \rightarrow AD \neq AB \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow D.$
 - It's not dependency preserving since AB >C is not preserved.
 - $A \rightarrow R/$. $/ \rightarrow AD$ dalma /a int. AR/ AD

Therefore, This is not Lossless join decomposition.

- 3. $A \rightarrow BU$, $U \rightarrow AD$; de lompose into ABU, AD. (a) $(A)^{\dagger} = A$, B, C, D
- $(C)^{+} = C \cdot A \cdot D \cdot B$
 - candidate key: A.C.
 - (b) ABC and AD have common attrabate A. R. (A, B, C)
- $(AB)^{\dagger}$ $(AC)^{\dagger}$ $(BU)^{\dagger}$ $(A)^{\dagger}$ $(B)^{\dagger}$ $(C)^{\dagger}$
 - ABLD ALBO BLAD ABLD B CADB
 - $F_1(A \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow AB)$ A and c are super keys.

R;
$$(A, D)$$

At D^{\dagger}

ABLD D

F2 $(A \rightarrow BC)$

F1 $V F_2 = A \rightarrow BC$, $C \rightarrow AB \neq A \rightarrow BC$, $C \rightarrow AD$.

It's not dependency preserving since $C \rightarrow AD$ is not preserved.

Therefore, This is not bossless join decomposition.

If $A \rightarrow B$, $B \rightarrow C$, $C \rightarrow D$; decompose into AB and ACD .

4. A → B, B → C, L → D; decompose into AB and ALD.

(a) Candidate Key: A. (b) AB and ALD have common attribute A.

ABLD BLD

Fi(A→B) super key is A

R2 (A, L.D)

$$\frac{(A \cup)^{\dagger} (A \cup)^{\dagger} (C \cup)^{\dagger} (A)^{\dagger} (C)^{\dagger}}{(A \cup)^{\dagger} (C \cup)^{\dagger} (A)^{\dagger} (C)^{\dagger}}$$

ALBO ADBL CD ABLD CD

F2 (A > CD) Super key ISA

FIVF = A > B, A > CD = A -> B, B -> L, L -> D

It's not dependency preserving since $B \rightarrow C$ is not preserved. Therefore, This is not Lossless join decomposition.

5. $A \rightarrow B$, $B \rightarrow C$. $C \rightarrow D$; decompose into AB, AD and CD.

- (a) candidate key: A.
- (b) AB and AD have common attribute A.

AD and LD have common attribute D.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_1 & (A \cdot B) \\ \underline{A^+} & \underline{B^+} \\ ABLD & BLD \\ F_1 & (A \rightarrow B) \\ R_2 & (A \cdot D) \\ \underline{A^+} & \underline{D^+} \end{array}$$

F4 (L → D)

R3 ((→ D)

_C+ D+

AB CD D F2 (A → D)

 $F_1 \cup F_2 = A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D.$

Therefore, This is Lossless join decomposition, but this is not the best decomposition.

AB.BL, CD is better.