

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALFIDEDA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for
Authority, Among Other Things, to Increase Rates and
Charges for Electric and Gas Service Effective on
January 1, 2017. (U 39 M)

11-30-15
04:59 PM
Application 15-09-001
(Filed September 1, 2015)

NOTICE OF INTENT TO CLAIM INTERVENOR COMPENSATION AND, IF REQUESTED (and [] checked), ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING ON THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK'S SHOWING OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

NOTE: After electronically filing a PDF copy of this Notice of Intent (NOI), please email the document in an MS WORD format to the Intervenor Compensation Program Coordinator at Icompcoordinator@cpuc.ca.gov.

Customer (party intending to claim intervenor compensation): The Utility Reform Network (TURN)			
Assigned Commissioner: Michael Picker Administrative Law Judge: Stephen C. Roscow			
I hereby certify that the Intent (NOI) is true to n		forth in Parts I, II, III and IV of this Notice of Cormation and belief.	
Signature: /S/		/S/	
Date: 11/30/15	Printed Name:	Hayley Goodson	

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES (To be completed by the party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation)

A. Status as "customer" (see Pub. Util. Code § 1802(b)):	Applies
The party claims "customer" status because the party is (check one):	(check)
1. A Category 1 customer is an actual customer whose self-interest in the	
proceeding arises primarily from his/her role as a customer of the utility and, at	
the same time, the customer must represent the broader interests of at least some	
other customers.	
In addition to describing your own interest in the proceeding you must show how	

 $^{^{1}}$ DO NOT CHECK THIS BOX if a finding of significant financial hardship is not needed (in cases where there is a valid rebuttable presumption of eligibility (Part III(A)(3)) or significant financial hardship showing has been deferred to the intervenor compensation claim).

your participation goes beyond just your own self-interest and will benefit other	
customers.	
2. A Category 2 customer is a representative who has been authorized by actual customers to represent them. Category 2 involves a more formal arrangement where a customer or a group of customers selects a more skilled person to represent the customer's views in a proceeding. A customer or group of customers may also form or authorize a group to represent them, and the group, in turn, may authorize a representative such as an attorney to represent the group.	
A representative authorized by a customer must identify the residential customer(s) being represented and provide authorization from at least one customer. <i>See</i> D.98-04-059 at 30.	
3. A Category 3 customer is a formally organized group authorized, by its articles of incorporation or bylaws to represent the interests of residential customers or small commercial customers receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation. ² Certain environmental groups that represent residential customers with concerns for the environment may also qualify as Category 3 customers, even if the above requirement is not specifically met in the articles or bylaws. <i>See</i> D.98-04-059, footnote at 3.	Χ
The party's explanation of its customer status must include the percentage of the intervenors members who are residential ratepayers or the percentage of the intervenors members who are customers receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation, and must include supporting documentation: (i.e., articles of incorporation or bylaws).	
TURN is a Category 3 "group or organization authorized pursuant to its articles of incorporation or bylaws to represent the interests of residential ratepayers." TURN provided the relevant portion of our articles of incorporation in the NOI submitted in A.98-02-017, and again in A.99-12-024. Most recently, TURN submitted our articles of incorporation and bylaws in A.10-11-015 on May 17, 2011. On October 15, 2015, TURN's Board of Directors adopted amendments to TURN's bylaws and articles of incorporation. However, at the time of filing of this NOI, these documents have not been finalized, as they are still undergoing execution by the President and Secretary of TURN's Board of Directors. TURN expects this process to be completed in the near future, and TURN will amend this NOI to provide the newly revised bylaws and articles of incorporation shortly thereafter.	
TURN has approximately 20,000 dues-paying members, of whom we believe the vast majority are residential ratepayers. TURN does not poll our members in a manner that would allow a precise breakdown between residential and small business members, so a precise percentage is not available. Similarly, TURN believes that the	

² Intervenors representing either a group of residential customers or small commercial customers who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation, <u>must</u> indicate in Part I, Section A, Item #4 of this form, the percentage of their members who are residential customers or the percentage of their members who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation. The NOI may be rejected if this information is omitted.

vast majority of our members receive bundled electric service from an electrical	
corporation. TURN does not poll our members in a manner that would allow a	
precise breakdown between those who receive bundled electric service from an IOU, those who receive electric service from a municipal utility and gas service from an	
IOU, and those who might be a CCA customer or Direct Access customer.	
100, and those who might be a correctioner of Breet recess easterner.	
Identify all attached documents in Part IV.	
Do you have any direct economic interest in outcomes of the proceeding? ³	
Yes: \square No: \underline{X}	
If "Yes", explain:	
11 Tes , explain.	
B. Conflict of Interest (§ 1802.3)	Check
1. Is the customer a representative of a group representing the interests of	□Yes
small commercial customers who receive bundled electric service from an	<u>X</u> No
electrical corporation?	
2. If the answer to the above question is "Yes", does the customer have a conflict	□Yes
arising from prior representation before the Commission?	□No
C. Timely Filing of Notice of Intent (NOI) (§ 1804(a)(1)):	Check
1. Is the party's NOI filed within 30 days after a Prehearing Conference?	X Yes
Date of Prehearing Conference: 10/29/2015	$\underline{\underline{X}}$ Yes \Box No
Date of Prehearing Conference: 10/29/2015	□No
Date of Prehearing Conference: 10/29/2015 2. Is the party's NOI filed at another time (for example, because no Prehearing	□No
Date of Prehearing Conference: 10/29/2015	□No
Date of Prehearing Conference: 10/29/2015 2. Is the party's NOI filed at another time (for example, because no Prehearing Conference was held, the proceeding will take less than 30 days, the schedule did not reasonably allow parties to identify issues within the timeframe normally permitted, or new issues have emerged)?	□No
Date of Prehearing Conference: 10/29/2015 2. Is the party's NOI filed at another time (for example, because no Prehearing Conference was held, the proceeding will take less than 30 days, the schedule did not reasonably allow parties to identify issues within	□No
 Date of Prehearing Conference: 10/29/2015 2. Is the party's NOI filed at another time (for example, because no Prehearing Conference was held, the proceeding will take less than 30 days, the schedule did not reasonably allow parties to identify issues within the timeframe normally permitted, or new issues have emerged)? 2a. The party's description of the reasons for filing its NOI at this other time: N/A 2b. The party's information on the proceeding number, date, and decision number for 	□No □Yes □No
Date of Prehearing Conference: 10/29/2015 2. Is the party's NOI filed at another time (for example, because no Prehearing Conference was held, the proceeding will take less than 30 days, the schedule did not reasonably allow parties to identify issues within the timeframe normally permitted, or new issues have emerged)? 2a. The party's description of the reasons for filing its NOI at this other time: N/A	□No □Yes □No

(To be completed by the party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation)

A. Planned Participation (§ 1804(a)(2)(A)(i)):
The party's statement of the issues on which it plans to participate:

³ See Rule 17.1(e).

As in recent GRCs, TURN expects to address all the major components of the revenue requirement (expense, taxes, depreciation), including evaluating numerous capital and expense cost issues related to the various functions of PG&E's gas and electric service (distribution, generation, customer service costs, administrative and generation expenses, human resources, etc.).

The party's explanation of how it plans to avoid duplication of effort with other parties:

The Commission's Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) is also a party to this proceeding and, like TURN, generally represents ratepayer interests by addressing a broad range of revenue requirement and other issues. A number of other intervenors appear likely to play an active role in this proceeding on behalf of ratepayers, including but not limited to the Consumer Federation of California, Center for Accessible Technology, the National Diversity Coalition, the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility, Friends of the Earth, Small Business Utility Advocates, and Environmental Defense Fund. However, these intervenors tend to focus on more specific subsets of issues. As in past GRCs, TURN expects to coordinate closely with ORA and other intervenors with interests similar to ours in order to minimize potential overlap in issues and to ensure that where such overlap occurs, each party is presenting a unique analysis.

The party's description of the nature and extent of the party's planned participation in this proceeding (to the extent that it is possible to describe on the date this NOI is filed):

TURN has already participated actively in this proceeding, including conducting extensive discovery, filing a protest and prehearing conference statement, and participating in the prehearing conference. TURN intends to continue our active participation by conducting further discovery, preparing intervenor testimony, preparing rebuttal testimony, participating in evidentiary hearings, preparing opening and reply briefs, filing any other necessary pleadings, and responding to the proposed decision.

B. The party's itemized estimate of the compensation that the party expects to request,					
based on the anticipated duration of the proceeding (§ 1804(a)(2)(A)(ii)):					
Item	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$	#	
ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCATE FEES					
Hayley Goodson, TURN Attorney	600	\$355	\$213,000	#1	
Robert Finkelstein, TURN Attorney	300	\$505	\$151,500	#1	
Matthew Freedman, TURN Attorney	100	\$410	\$41,000	#1	
Marcel Hawiger, TURN Attorney	300	\$410	\$123,000	#1	
Thomas Long, TURN Attorney	300	\$570	\$171,000	#1	
Elise Torres, TURN Attorney	100	\$220	\$22,000	#1	
Eric Borden, TURN Analyst	100	\$180	\$18,000	#1	
JBS Energy, Inc. – Expert Consultants (various issues)			\$500,000	#1	

Other Expert Consultants			\$100,000	#1
Subtotal: \$1,339,500				
OTHER FEES				
[Person 1]				
[Person 2]				
Subtotal: \$				
COSTS				
Postage, Photocopying, Phone, Legal Research			\$2,500	
Legal Research				

Subtotal: \$2,500

TOTAL ESTIMATE: \$1,342,000

Estimated Budget by Issues:

At this early juncture in the proceeding, TURN can only provide a very rough estimate of the allocation of our estimated costs by issue area. The following allocation excludes the \$2,500 in Direct Costs that TURN has included in our total budget estimate.

Issue	Time	Budget
Gas Distribution	15.0%	\$200,925
Electric Distribution	15.0%	\$200,925
Energy Supply	10.0%	\$133,950
Customer Service	10.0%	\$133,950
Shared Services & IT	7.5%	\$100,463
Human Resources	7.5%	\$100,463
Administrative & General	15.0%	\$200,925
Depreciation	5.0%	\$66,975
Tax, Working Cash	5.0%	\$66,975
Post Test-Year Ratemaking	5.0%	\$66,975
Other Issues	5.0%	\$66,975
Total	100.0%	\$1,339,500

Comment #1: TURN's estimates of attorney and expert witness time and hourly rates are preliminary. TURN will address in our Request for Compensation the reasonableness of the time we ultimately devote to this proceeding and the hourly rates ultimately requested.

When entering items, type over bracketed text; add additional rows to table as necessary. Estimate may (but does not need to) include estimated Claim preparation time. Claim preparation time is typically compensated at ½ professional hourly rate.

PART III: SHOWING OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP (To be completed by party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation; see Instructions for options for providing this information)

A. The party claims "significant financial hardship" for its Intervenor	Applies
Compensation Claim in this proceeding on the following basis:	(check)
1. "[T]he customer cannot afford, without undue hardship, to pay the costs of	
effective participation, including advocate's fees, expert witness fees, and other	
reasonable costs of participation" (§ 1802(g)); or	
2. "[I]n the case of a group or organization, the economic interest of the Individual	
members of the group or organization is small in comparison to the costs of effective	
participation in the proceeding" (§ 1802(g)).	
3. A § 1802(g) finding of significant financial hardship in another proceeding,	$\Box X$
made within one year prior to the commencement of this proceeding, created a	
rebuttable presumption in this proceeding (§ 1804(b)(1)).	
Commission's finding of significant financial hardship made in proceeding	
number: A.15-03-005	
Date of Administrative Law Judge's Ruling (or CPUC Decision) in which the	
finding of significant financial hardship was made: 8/6/15	

B. The party's explanation of the factual basis for its claim of "significant financial hardship" (§ 1802(g)) (necessary documentation, if warranted, is attached to the NOI:

PART IV: ATTACHMENTS DOCUMENTING SPECIFIC ASSERTIONS MADE IN THIS NOTICE

(The party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation identifies and attaches documents; add rows as necessary)

Attachment No.	Description
1	Certificate of Service

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RULING⁴ (Administrative Law Judge completes)

	Check all
	that apply
1. The Notice of Intent (NOI) is rejected for the following reasons:	
a. The NOI has not demonstrated the party's status as a "customer" for the following reason(s):	
b. The NOI has not demonstrated that the NOI was timely filed (Part I(B)) for the following reason(s):	
c. The NOI has not adequately described the scope of anticipated participation (Part II, above) for the following reason(s):	
2. The NOI has demonstrated significant financial hardship for the reasons set forth in Part III of the NOI (above).	
3. The NOI has not demonstrated significant financial hardship for the following reason(s):	
4. The Administrative Law Judge provides the following additional guidance (see § 1804(b)(2)):	
IT IS RULED that:	
1. The Notice of Intent is rejected.	
2. The customer has satisfied the eligibility requirements of Pub. Util. Code § 1804(a).	
3. The customer has shown significant financial hardship.	
4. The customer is preliminarily determined to be eligible for intervenor compensation in this proceeding. However, a finding of significant financial hardship in no way ensures compensation.	
5. Additional guidance is provided to the customer as set forth above.	
Dated, at San Francisco, California.	
Administrative Law Judg	e

⁴ A Ruling needs not be issued unless: (a) the NOI is deficient; (b) the Administrative Law Judge desires to address specific issues raised by the NOI (to point out similar positions, areas of potential duplication in showings, unrealistic expectations for compensation, or other matters that may affect the customer's Intervenor Compensation Claim); or (c) the NOI has included a claim of "significant financial hardship" that requires a finding under § 1802(g).