

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Authority to Increase Rates for its Class C Catalina Water Utility and Recover Costs from Water and Electric Customers.

Application 20-10-018

# E-MAIL RULING SETTING NEW DUE DATES FOR SUPPLEMENTAL, INTERVENOR, AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

Dated July 1, 2021, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ GARRETT TOY

Garrett Toy

Administrative Law Judge

392075737 - 1 -

## A.20-10-018 GT2/cmf

From: Toy, Garrett <Garrett.Toy@cpuc.ca.gov>

Sent: Thursday, July 1, 2021 3:47 PM

To: Bishton Gubernick <br/> <br/>bishgub@aol.com>; Paul.Sung@sce.com; Fisher, Emily

<Emily.Fisher@cpuc.ca.gov>; Bob Finkelstein <bfinkelstein@turn.org>

Cc: huntpt@regutilconsult.com; Dundon, Will <Will.Dundon@cpuc.ca.gov>; Moussa, Hani

<hani.moussa@cpuc.ca.gov>; Au, Jenny <jenny.au@cpuc.ca.gov>; Aslam, Mehboob

<mehboob.aslam@cpuc.ca.gov>; case.admin@sce.com; cooper.cameron@sce.com;

Eric.Sezgen@sce.com; Gloria.Ing@sce.com; SCEGRC@sce.com; Leong, Bradley

<Bradley.Leong@cpuc.ca.gov>; Chitadje, Charlotte <charlotte.chitadje@cpuc.ca.gov>; Toy, Garrett

<Garrett.Toy@cpuc.ca.gov>; Williams, Lesley <Lesley.Williams@cpuc.ca.gov>; Chavez, Moises

<moises.chavez@cpuc.ca.gov>; Rauschmeier, Richard <richard.rauschmeier@cpuc.ca.gov>

**Subject:** A. 20-10-018; Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Setting New Due Dates for Supplemental,

Intervenor, and Rebuttal Testimony

To the service list in A. 20-10-018:

Having considered the below communications, Southern California Edison Company's request for an extension for the due date of its supplemental testimony is granted. The Utility Reform Network's request for an extension for the due date of intervenor testimony is also granted. However, given the extensive time already given to parties to consider opening testimony, a shorter extension than requested for intervenor testimony is granted.

The following proceeding schedule is adopted:

SCE Supplemental Testimony: Due August 19, 2021

Intervenor Testimony: Due October 19, 2021

Rebuttal Testimony: Due November 19, 2021

Status Conference: December 2021

Evidentiary Hearings: Targeted for December 2021/January 2022

The Docket Office shall formally file this ruling.

IT IS SO RULED.

Dated July 1, 2021

/s/
Garrett Toy
Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission
Garrett.toy@cpuc.ca.gov

## A.20-10-018 GT2/cmf

From: Claire Torchia < Claire. Torchia@sce.com>

**Sent:** Monday, June 28, 2021 3:15 PM

To: Bob Finkelstein <br/>
<a href="mailto:bfinkelstein@turn.org">bfinkelstein@turn.org</a>; Toy, Garrett <<a href="mailto:Garrett.Toy@cpuc.ca.gov">Garrett.Toy@cpuc.ca.gov</a>>

Cc: Case Admin < Case.Admin@sce.com >; Cooper Cameron < Cooper.Cameron@sce.com >; Eric Berk Sezgen < Eric.Sezgen@sce.com >; Gloria Ing < Gloria.Ing@sce.com >; Paul I. Sung < paul.sung@sce.com >; SCEGRC < scegrc@sce.com >; Aslam, Mehboob < mehboob.aslam@cpuc.ca.gov >; bishgub@aol.com < bishgub@aol.com >; Leong, Bradley < Bradley.Leong@cpuc.ca.gov >; Chitadje, Charlotte < charlotte.chitadje@cpuc.ca.gov >; Fisher, Emily < Emily.Fisher@cpuc.ca.gov >; Moussa, Hani < hani.moussa@cpuc.ca.gov >; huntpt@regutilconsult.com < huntpt@regutilconsult.com >; Au, Jenny < jenny.au@cpuc.ca.gov >; Williams, Lesley < Lesley.Williams@cpuc.ca.gov >; Chavez, Moises

 $<\!\!\underline{moises.chavez@cpuc.ca.gov}\!\!>; Rauschmeier, Richard<\!\!\underline{richard.rauschmeier@cpuc.ca.gov}\!\!>; Dundon,$ 

Will < Will. Dundon@cpuc.ca.gov >; Swansen, Antonina < antonina.swansen@cpuc.ca.gov >

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: (External):A.20-10-018 -- SCE Catalina GRC -- Intervenors' Request for Extension

of Testimony Due Date

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

#### **ALJ Toy**

As TURN notes below, SCE does seek a two week extension of its supplemental showing to August 19, 2021. SCE does not oppose intervenors' request for an extension to file opening testimony but believes that a three month extension to November 5 is unwarranted. A November 5 deadline would give intervenors over a year to prepare opening testimony from the October 30, 2020 application filing date. Moreover, the scope of supplemental testimony is narrow, focusing on alternative sources of funding. 46 days to respond to SCE's supplemental showing is more than sufficient and is greater than the 4-week period SCE will have to prepare rebuttal testimony in response to all intervenors. Accordingly, SCE believes that an extension of the deadline for intervenor testimony to October 4 is sufficient.

Kind regards,

Claire Torchia
Director and Managing Attorney
Southern California Edison
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, California 91770
Ph: (626) 302-6945

From: Bob Finkelstein < bfinkelstein@turn.org >

Sent: Monday, June 28, 2021 2:17 PM

To: Toy, Garrett < Garrett. Toy@cpuc.ca.gov>

**Cc:** Case Admin <<u>Case.Admin@sce.com</u>>; Cooper Cameron <<u>Cooper.Cameron@sce.com</u>>; Eric Berk Sezgen <<u>Eric.Sezgen@sce.com</u>>; Gloria Ing <<u>Gloria.Ing@sce.com</u>>; Paul I. Sung <<u>paul.sung@sce.com</u>>; SCEGRC <<u>scegrc@sce.com</u>>; Aslam, Mehboob <<u>mehboob.aslam@cpuc.ca.gov</u>>; <u>bishgub@aol.com</u>; Leong, Bradley <<u>Bradley.Leong@cpuc.ca.gov</u>>; Chitadje, Charlotte <<u>charlotte.chitadje@cpuc.ca.gov</u>>; Fisher, Emily <<u>emily.fisher@cpuc.ca.gov</u>>; Moussa, Hani <<u>hani.moussa@cpuc.ca.gov</u>>;

<a href="https://doi.org/10.2002/nc.ca.gov">huntpt@regutilconsult.com; Au, Jenny < jenny.au@cpuc.ca.gov">; Williams, Lesley < lesley.Williams@cpuc.ca.gov">; Chavez, Moises < moises.chavez@cpuc.ca.gov">; Rauschmeier, Richard < richard.rauschmeier@cpuc.ca.gov">; Dundon, Will < Will.Dundon@cpuc.ca.gov">; Swansen, Antonina < antonina.swansen@cpuc.ca.gov">; Claire Torchia < Claire.Torchia@sce.com</a>>

**Subject:** (External):A.20-10-018 -- SCE Catalina GRC -- Intervenors' Request for Extension of Testimony Due Date

#### \*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL - Use caution when opening links or attachments \*\*\*

ALJ Toy — Cal Advocates, the Catalina Parties, and TURN seek a modification to the procedural schedule. The current due date for intervenor testimony is July 9, 2021. However, your ruling of May 27, 2021 directed SCE to present proposals for one or more additional alternatives for cost recovery options or methods. The intervenors believe it would make more sense and be more efficient to have intervenors submit a single round of testimony that responds both to SCE's original showing and the August 5 material. Furthermore, the intervenors believe that providing three months between receipt of SCE's supplemental material and the intervenor testimony due date is appropriate, given that the supplemental material will include SCE's presentation on one of the critical issues in this proceeding, and intervenors will be seeing that presentation for the first time. A three-month period will provide sufficient time to review and respond to the supplemental showing, including engaging in necessary discovery and pursuing any procedural relief that may be warranted. Therefore, we ask that you revise the due date for intervenor testimony, from the current July 9, 2021 date to a due date of November 5, 2021 (three months after the additional SCE proposals are presently scheduled to arrive). The dates that would follow (rebuttal testimony, evidentiary hearings, etc.) would retain the periods set forth in the January 29, 2021 Scoping Memo. For example, the rebuttal testimony would still be due 4 weeks after the intervenor testimony date, and the evidentiary hearings would be targeted for either

December 2021 or January 2022. In compliance with Rule 11.6, the intervenors asked SCE if it would agree to the proposed extension. In a phone call earlier today, the intervenors learned that SCE intends to seek a two-week extension to the August 5 due date for its supplemental showing, and that SCE believes a reasonable schedule would give the utility its full requested extension, but limit the extension of intervenors' testimony to October

4. The intervenors have no objection to extending SCE's due date to August 19, and would agree to retaining the November 5, 2021 due date for intervenor testimony even with the two-week extension for SCE. However, an October 4 due date (only 46 days after SCE submits the supplemental materials) would likely provide insufficient time for review and analysis of the new material.

Thank you in advance for your attention to this request.

Bob Finkelstein, TURN (and for Cal Advocates and the Catalina Parties)