BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION







IN ATTENDANCE: COMMISSIONER MARTHA GUZMAN ACEVES

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GARRETT TOY, presiding

)	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
Application of Southern California)	
Edison Company (U338E) for Authority)	
to Increase Rates for its Class C)	
Catalina Water Utility and Recover)	
Costs from Water and Electric)	Application
Customers.)	20-10-018
)	
)	

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT Telephonic Proceeding January 7, 2021 Pages 1 - 59 Volume 1

1	TELEPHONIC PROCEEDING
2	JANUARY 7, 2021 - 10:02 A.M.
3	* * * *
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE TOY: We'll be
5	on the record.
6	The Commission will come to order.
7	Good morning, everyone. It is 10:00 a.m.
8	on 10:02 a.m. on January 7th, 2021.
9	This is the time and place for the
10	telephonic prehearing conference for
11	Application 20-10-018 filed by Southern
12	California Edison Company.
13	My name is Garrett Toy. I am the
14	assigned administrative law judge assigned to
15	this proceeding. The assigned Commissioner
16	is Martha Guzman Aceves. I will be inviting
17	the Commissioner to make opening comments in
18	just a little bit.
19	The purpose of a prehearing
20	conference is to discuss the category, scope
21	and schedule of the proceeding. As such, my
22	agenda for today is to first go over the
23	service list, then discuss the categorization
24	of this proceeding followed by the scope of
25	issues and potential phasing, and then
26	discuss the need for hearings and the
27	schedule for the remainder of the proceeding.
28	Nothing will be decided today, and

1	any final determinations will be made in the
2	scoping memo issued by Commissioner Guzman
3	Aceves.
4	A reminder for everyone to please
5	speak slowly and clearly for the benefit of
6	our court reporter and to not interrupt or
7	speak over one another.
8	At this time, I'd like to invite
9	Commissioner Guzman Aceves to make her
LO	opening comments.
L1	COMMISSIONER GUZMAN ACEVES: Thank you,
L2	Judge Toy.
L3	Really just want to welcome
L4	everyone. I look forward to hearing if there
L5	are other elements we should be considering
L6	in this GRC. I know I do want to acknowledge
L7	that the application does propose pretty
L8	severe rate increases, and we'll be obviously
L9	deliberating on that. But I look forward to
20	everyone's comments and hopefully how we can
21	best resolve them into a timely manner.
22	Okay. Thank you very much, Judge
23	Toy.
24	ALJ TOY: Thank you, Commissioner.
25	The first order of business is to
26	take appearances from the parties in
27	attendance via conference line followed by

taking motions for party status, if there are

28

1	any. Please spell out your full name the
2	first time you speak and give your last name
3	before speaking every time thereafter.
4	Turning to the applicant, Southern
5	California Edison Company, which I will now
6	refer to as Edison, do I have Mr. Sung in
7	attendance?
8	MR. SUNG: Good morning, your Honor.
9	Yes, you do. Paul Sung, P-A-U-L S-U-N-G,
10	counsel for Edison.
11	ALJ TOY: Is there anyone else in
12	attendance for Edison that will be
13	participating today?
14	(No response.)
15	ALJ TOY: Thank you. Moving on. On
15 16	ALJ TOY: Thank you. Moving on. On behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which
	_
16	behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which
16 17 18	behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which I will now refer to as Cal Advocates, do I
16 17 18 19	behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which I will now refer to as Cal Advocates, do I have Emily Fisher in attendance?
16 17 18 19	behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which I will now refer to as Cal Advocates, do I have Emily Fisher in attendance? MS. FISHER: Good morning, your Honor.
16 17 18 19 20	behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which I will now refer to as Cal Advocates, do I have Emily Fisher in attendance? MS. FISHER: Good morning, your Honor. That's correct. Emily Fisher, F-I-S-H-E-R,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which I will now refer to as Cal Advocates, do I have Emily Fisher in attendance? MS. FISHER: Good morning, your Honor. That's correct. Emily Fisher, F-I-S-H-E-R, for Cal Advocates.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which I will now refer to as Cal Advocates, do I have Emily Fisher in attendance? MS. FISHER: Good morning, your Honor. That's correct. Emily Fisher, F-I-S-H-E-R, for Cal Advocates. ALJ TOY: Thank you. And you'll be
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which I will now refer to as Cal Advocates, do I have Emily Fisher in attendance? MS. FISHER: Good morning, your Honor. That's correct. Emily Fisher, F-I-S-H-E-R, for Cal Advocates. ALJ TOY: Thank you. And you'll be representing Cal Advocates in this matter?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which I will now refer to as Cal Advocates, do I have Emily Fisher in attendance? MS. FISHER: Good morning, your Honor. That's correct. Emily Fisher, F-I-S-H-E-R, for Cal Advocates. ALJ TOY: Thank you. And you'll be representing Cal Advocates in this matter? MS. FISHER: Yes, your Honor.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	behalf of the Public Advocates Office, which I will now refer to as Cal Advocates, do I have Emily Fisher in attendance? MS. FISHER: Good morning, your Honor. That's correct. Emily Fisher, F-I-S-H-E-R, for Cal Advocates. ALJ TOY: Thank you. And you'll be representing Cal Advocates in this matter? MS. FISHER: Yes, your Honor. ALJ TOY: Is there anyone else in

1	ALJ TOY: Moving on. On behalf of The
2	Utility Reform Network, which I will now
3	refer to as TURN, do I have Mr. Finkelstein
4	in attendance?
5	MR. FINKELSTEIN: You do, your Honor.
6	Robert Finkelstein, spelled F, as in Frank,
7	I-N-K-E-L-S-T-E-I-N, and I'll be representing
8	TURN in this matter. And there's no one else
9	who's going to be on for TURN.
10	ALJ TOY: Thank you, Mr. Finkelstein.
11	On behalf of the Catalina Parties,
12	do I have Mr. Bishton in attendance?
13	MR. BISHTON: Yes, your Honor. Norris
14	J. Bishton, B-I-S-H-T-O-N, on behalf of the
15	City of Avalon, Catalina Island Chamber of
15 16	City of Avalon, Catalina Island Chamber of Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company,
16	Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company,
16 17	Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company, Santa Catalina Island Conservancy, Guided
16 17 18	Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company, Santa Catalina Island Conservancy, Guided Discoveries, and the Hamilton Cove Homeowners
16 17 18 19	Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company, Santa Catalina Island Conservancy, Guided Discoveries, and the Hamilton Cove Homeowners Association.
16 17 18 19 20	Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company, Santa Catalina Island Conservancy, Guided Discoveries, and the Hamilton Cove Homeowners Association. ALJ TOY: Thank you, Mr. Bishton. And
16 17 18 19 20 21	Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company, Santa Catalina Island Conservancy, Guided Discoveries, and the Hamilton Cove Homeowners Association. ALJ TOY: Thank you, Mr. Bishton. And you'll be representing the Catalina Parties
16 17 18 19 20 21	Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company, Santa Catalina Island Conservancy, Guided Discoveries, and the Hamilton Cove Homeowners Association. ALJ TOY: Thank you, Mr. Bishton. And you'll be representing the Catalina Parties in this matter?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company, Santa Catalina Island Conservancy, Guided Discoveries, and the Hamilton Cove Homeowners Association. ALJ TOY: Thank you, Mr. Bishton. And you'll be representing the Catalina Parties in this matter? MR. BISHTON: Yes, I will.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company, Santa Catalina Island Conservancy, Guided Discoveries, and the Hamilton Cove Homeowners Association. ALJ TOY: Thank you, Mr. Bishton. And you'll be representing the Catalina Parties in this matter? MR. BISHTON: Yes, I will. ALJ TOY: Great.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Commerce, Santa Catalina Island Company, Santa Catalina Island Conservancy, Guided Discoveries, and the Hamilton Cove Homeowners Association. ALJ TOY: Thank you, Mr. Bishton. And you'll be representing the Catalina Parties in this matter? MR. BISHTON: Yes, I will. ALJ TOY: Great. And is there anyone else in

1	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
2	Anyone else on the line that plans
3	to participate today?
4	(No response.)
5	ALJ TOY: Moving on. The next order of
6	business is to develop the service list. The
7	applicant and those who have filed protests
8	or responses are automatically added to the
9	service list.
10	Is there anyone on the line wishing
11	to become a party to the proceeding that is
12	not already a party?
13	MR. BISHTON: Judge Toy, this is Norris
14	Bishton.
15	We are not on the service list. We
16	were left off the service list. We request
17	to be added to the service list.
18	ALJ TOY: I believe that's probably a
19	clerical error on our part since you did file
20	a protest. You should be a party, but that
21	is noted.
22	Seeing no one else, or hearing no
23	one else, the service list is complete.
24	It is the practice of the Commission
25	to designate one party representative on the
26	service list to communicate on behalf of the
27	parties. Others wishing to follow the
28	proceeding may add themselves as information

1	only on the service list. As you may know,
2	the Commission encourages electronic service
3	and requires all documents served
4	electronically go to everyone on the list.
5	That includes those who are listed as
6	information only.
7	As this is a telephonic prehearing
8	conference, I remind everyone to speak slowly
9	and clearly for the benefit of the court
10	reporter. You should not interrupt or speak
11	over one another. I will provide
12	opportunities for the parties to speak on all
13	of the issues, and I request that parties not
14	speak until I call on them.
15	Anyone who would like an expedited
16	transcript of today's status conference,
17	please email reporting@cpuc.ca.gov as soon as
18	possible.
19	In this application, Edison proposed
20	categorizing this proceeding as ratesetting.
21	Resolution ALJ-176-3475 3475 preliminarily
22	categorized this proceeding as ratesetting.
23	No party objected to the proposed category,
24	which it was required to do under Rule 2.6(d)
25	if it had any objections or proposed
26	alternatives.
27	Accordingly, I agree that the
28	ratesetting categorization is appropriate for

1	this case and will be recommending that to
2	Commissioner Guzman Aceves.
3	Normally with a ratesetting
4	categorization, ex-parte communications with
5	the Commissioners and their advisors are
6	permitted with restrictions and reporting
7	requirements pursuant to Article 8 of the
8	Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.
9	However, I note here that Cal
10	Advocates, as well as TURN and the Catalina
11	Parties, support a ban on individual ex-parte
12	communications, meaning all communications
13	with decision makers would be limited to
14	all-party meetings.
15	I'd like to give the parties an
16	opportunity to provide any further comments
17	on this issue starting with Edison.
18	MR. SUNG: Thank you, your Honor. Paul
19	Sung from Edison.
20	SCE maintains its position that here
21	there really is no need for the Commission to
22	depart from its already established ex-parte
23	requirements. The ex-parte requirements were
24	deliberated upon and established a default
25	framework upon which the parties should be
26	operating under. And here there really are
27	no special or extenuating circumstances that

warrant that kind of departure from the

28

1	already existing rules. SCE submits those
2	already existing rules provide for clear
3	transparency through the required advance and
4	ex-post notices. And the Commission's
5	requirement to base this decision on the
6	record, it remains the same whether ex-parte
7	communications are individual, all-party or a
8	combination of both.
9	Our position is that requiring only
10	all-party meetings would actually work
11	against deficiencies given the logistics and
12	the time to coordinate all the parties to
13	gather together in one meeting.
14	So our position is that there really
15	in no need to depart from the already
16	established rules on this.
17	ALJ TOY: Thank you, Mr. Sung.
18	Cal Advocates, any comments?
19	MS. FISHER: Thank you, your Honor.
20	This is Emily Fisher.
21	Cal Advocates reiterates its request
22	that individual ex-parte meetings be
23	prohibited in this proceeding for reasons
24	we've stated in our protests and in the joint
25	statement, essentially that as far as
26	logistics are concerned, in this time of
27	virtual meetings, that's not as much of an
28	issue as that might that may in other

1	circumstances for coordinating meetings and
2	their prohibiting individual ex-parte
3	meetings will greatly enhance transparency.
4	Even with the notice requirements that would
5	apply to individual meetings, those pre- and
6	post-notices never fully capture the full
7	content of those meetings. And I believe
8	it's in the best interest of all the parties
9	and the Commission and the proceeding
10	generally to require all-party ex-parte
11	meetings.
12	Thank you.
13	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
14	TURN, any comments?
15	MR. FINKELSTEIN: Thank you, your
16	Honor. Bob Finkelstein for TURN.
17	We support the Cal Advocates'
18	request to restrict ex-parte communications
19	
	to all-party meetings, and I would add to
20	to all-party meetings, and I would add to what Ms. Fisher just described in two ways.
20	what Ms. Fisher just described in two ways.
20 21	what Ms. Fisher just described in two ways. One is this is not a typical Class C water
20 21 22	what Ms. Fisher just described in two ways. One is this is not a typical Class C water utility that we're dealing with here. Edison
20 21 22 23	what Ms. Fisher just described in two ways. One is this is not a typical Class C water utility that we're dealing with here. Edison has the resources of its electric utility to
20 21 22 23 24	what Ms. Fisher just described in two ways. One is this is not a typical Class C water utility that we're dealing with here. Edison has the resources of its electric utility to bring to bear on its ex-parte communications.
20 21 22 23 24 25	what Ms. Fisher just described in two ways. One is this is not a typical Class C water utility that we're dealing with here. Edison has the resources of its electric utility to bring to bear on its ex-parte communications. And it puts them at a huge advantage as

The second point is that in TURN's 1 2. experience and from what we've seen from various Commissioners offices all-party 3 meetings are actually far more efficient and far more effective because it gives the 5 6 Commission an opportunity to hear from the 7 parties with the back-and-forth of testing and probing each other's positions rather 8 9 than just a canned presentation of a single 10 party without anybody else in the room. 11 we think that all party -- to the extent 12 there are ex-parte communications at all, we think all-party meetings are a far more 13 14 effective way to support and promote the 15 decision made by the Commission. 16 Thank you. 17 ALJ TOY: Thank you. 18 Catalina Parties, any comments? 19 MR. BISHTON: Yes, I do. Norris 2.0 Bishton. 21 Yes, I support the Cal Advocates' position. I reiterated that in -- or sent 22 2.3 that out in our protest, and I support it in 24 the joint statement. I represented the same 25 parties in the previous GRC that went on for four years. As I indicated in the joint 26 27 statement, we experienced people on behalf of Edison communicating to Commissioners and 2.8

1 their staff and not even reporting to us that 2 they had these unilateral communications and was told by an employee of Edison what was 3 going on. We strongly feel that all 4 communications -- ex-parte communications 5 should be all-party. 6 ALJ TOY: Thank you. 7 Thank you, everyone, for your 8 I will discuss the matter with the 9 10 assigned Commissioner. 11 Finally, any party wishing to 12 communicate with me should limit their 13 communications to procedural matters only and 14 do so via email, cc'q the entire service 15 list. Let's go ahead and move on to the 16 scope of issues in this application. I have 17 before me Edison's application, the protest 18 filed by the parties and the prehearing 19 conference statements served by Edison. I'm 2.0 going to go through each numbered set of 21 issues separately as laid out in the PHC 22 statement filed by Edison, and then I will 2.3 address the proposed changes that were in the 2.4 PHC statement before moving on to the next 25 I'll also ask whether other parties 26 have comments on any of the proposed issues 27 within each numbered set after I've gone 2.8 through the proposed changes that we see in

1	the PHC statement.
2	No. 1, whether SCE's requested
3	revenue requirement for Catalina Water is
4	reasonable.
5	Subsection (a), whether SCE's
6	forecast of its operation and maintenance and
7	administration and general expenses is
8	reasonable.
9	Section (b), whether Edison's
10	proposed additions to plant are necessary,
11	accurate, reasonable and justified.
12	Section (c), whether Edison's
13	forecast of rate base is reasonable.
14	Section (d), whether Edison's costs
15	recorded in two drought-related memorandum
16	accounts (The Catalina Water Rationing
17	Memorandum Account and the Catalina Water
18	Lost Revenue Memorandum Account) are
19	reasonable.
20	And Section (e), whether Edison has
21	established the reasonableness of the
22	historical water capital expenditures it
23	seeks to recover.
24	Catalina Parties stated and would
25	like to make adjustments to this section.
26	Mr. Bishton, can you clarify for me
27	the proposed changes in the PHC statement
28	MR. BISHTON: Yes, I can.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

ALJ TOY: -- a little bit.

MR. BISHTON: Yes, I can. In the application, they make no -- Edison does not request that they recover the costs that are enumerated in subparagraph 1(d) as part of their revenue requirement. The sole request that I quoted in the joint statement from the application where they simply said that they were seeking those costs from the alternative service, in their case, their electrical customers, this is a total change from their application. As I indicated in the joint statement, the item 1(d) should be 2(a), which is solely -- 2 solely deals with the 28.969 million dollars in (inaudible) costs, which is not part of -- it should not be a proper part of the first scope -- first scope item.

ALJ TOY: Okay. I think I see the issue. Would it be easier to simply change the title of Section 1 so that it no longer says "revenue requirement," instead states that SCE's requested costs for recovery are reasonable as opposed to revenue requirement?

MR. BISHTON: All the rest of the items are revenue requirement items in 1. I say it would be less confusing if 1(d) was moved to No. 2.

1	ALJ TOY: Okay. I will take
2	that into account. In any case, the issue
3	will remain the same. Thank you.
4	Additionally, Catalina Parties would
5	like to add a completely new section with
6	other costs that Edison may incur in the near
7	future including COVID-19 costs and
8	decommissioning pipeline costs.
9	Preliminarily, Edison is not seeking recovery
10	for these costs, and they likely do not need
11	be addressed at this time. Taking a look at
12	the other proceeding in which these costs are
13	being analyzed, it seems fairly speculative
14	at this time. But I will discuss the issue
15	with the Commissioner, and tentatively, I
16	will add issue 1(f), whether any other costs
17	should be added to SCE's rate revenue
18	requirement or otherwise addressed in this
19	proceeding.
20	I'll repeat that just because I went
21	over it pretty quick, whether any other costs
22	should be added to SCE's rate revenue
23	requirement or otherwise addressed in this
24	proceeding. Again, I'm not inclined at this
25	time to go ahead with that issue.
26	Any comments from the parties
27	starting with Catalina Parties?
28	MR. BISHTON: Yes, your Honor. My

1	concern is that the size of we already
2	know, yes, they estimated 28.9 million
3	dollars. This ratesetting will last for a
4	number of years. During that period of time,
5	they add another 28.9 million to be recovered
6	from the ratepayers. It certainly is
7	something that the Commission should be fully
8	aware of during this proceeding, and if over
9	the course of the proceeding Edison should be
10	able to
11	(Interruption by reporter.)
12	MR. BISHTON: should be able to
13	identify and more specifically what those
14	costs are, it is clear, I believe from what
15	has already been filed, was information on
16	Application 116-W as well as an advice letter
17	that these are items that has to be done.
18	This is not discretionary items that they
19	have to do in the near term. They are
20	environmental remediations that must be done.
21	So these are costs that are not speculative.
22	They are going to come down the pike. I
23	think they should be either if not fully
24	addressed in this GRC, the Commission should
25	be aware of them.
26	ALJ TOY: Thank you, Mr. Bishton.
27	Does Edison have any comments on
28	this issue?

Thank you, your Honor. 1 MR. SUNG: 2 Sung for Edison. Edison believes that the tentatively 3 proposed scoping issue 1(f) would adequately 4 5 capture and strike the right balance between potential costs that could be added to the 6 7 scope of this proceeding. Our position is that as the applicant we are not including 8 9 these costs. So we're not seeking 10 authorization to recover any of these costs 11 in our current application. 12 As the applicant, we have the 13 discretion to apply for cost recovery when 14 the time is right. And as the -- as you 15 noted, your Honor, the costs at this point 16 are too speculative to include. And it 17 doesn't make sense to seek recovery for them. 18 There are alternative proceedings currently 19 open that could potentially be the right 2.0 avenue, but for now, your Honor, because SCE 21 is not seeking to recover these costs, our 22 contention is that it's outside of the scope 2.3 of this proceeding and should be excluded. 24 ALJ TOY: Thank you. 25 Does Cal Advocates have any comments on this issue? 26 27 MS. FISHER: Yes. Thank you, your This is Emily Fisher. 2.8 Honor.

1	I believe the proposed wording that
2	your Honor suggested for considering whether
3	the other cost should be added to the scope
4	is helpful. Our understanding of these
5	issues are that, yes, that the actual amounts
6	of these costs are still it sounds like
7	that they are sounds like they are still
8	speculative, but it's fairly clear that they
9	will be significant. And it would merit
LO	considering them or at least acknowledging
L1	that they exist in this proceeding and taking
L2	them into consideration even if a full
L3	reasonableness revenue is not is not
L4	practical or possible within the scope of the
L5	proceeding. So we support adding the
L6	additional issue to the scope as your Honor
L7	proposed.
L8	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
L9	Does TURN have any comments on this
20	issue?
21	MR. FINKELSTEIN: Thank you, your
22	Honor. Bob Finkelstein for TURN.
23	I think it's important for you and
24	for the assigned Commissioner to keep in mind
25	that there are two separate categories of
26	costs that are very substantial from the
27	perspective of Catalina Water Utility
28	customers. There's the 29 million dollars

approximately that Edison has proposed in 1 2. this application be subsidized by its electric customers, and then there's a 3 separate coincidentally approximately 29 million dollar figure that is an initial 5 estimate for these projects that a sufficient 6 system is raised regarding assessment in decommissioning a number of pipelines that 8 9 need to happen on the island. The second 29 million dollar 10 11 increment of cost will present cost recovery 12 issues that are very similar, if not identical, to the cost recovery issues that 13 14 are teed up in this proceeding for the 29 15 million dollars that Edison has proposed to 16 have its electric customer subsidize. So 17 even if we are not dealing with a specific 18 review of the reasonableness of these project 19 costs, certainly there will be alternative 2.0 cost recovery issues that are sufficiently 21 similar that they ought to be addressed here. 22 Parties ought to have the option to address them here as well. 2.3 24 ALJ TOY: Thank you. I will discuss 25 the matter with the assigned Commissioner. 26 Are there any other comments 27 regarding anything else related to the issues

just presented starting with Edison?

2.8

Thank you, your Honor. 1 MR. SUNG: Paul 2. Sung for Edison. Just one final note on this issue. 3 You know, as a utility, and any company, 4 5 frankly, has ongoing costs that are -- that 6 are being incurred every day of operation. And there has to be a cutoff point in which the applicant submits an application to 9 recover current costs or the costs that it 10 has a number on, and that's exactly what 11 happened here, your Honor. And, you know, 12 the future costs right now are speculative. They are preliminary. And the 28, 29 million 13 14 dollar figure, that's an outdated figure, 15 your Honor, and that's still subject to 16 further analysis and change. So even that number -- that 17 18 preliminary number we're uncomfortable with 19 just kind of adding and corroborating the 2.0 fact that this really isn't right for 21 discussion in this proceeding, but your 22 Honor's proposed scoping issue, I think, 2.3 would be the right balance to potentially 24 capture that in this proceeding. 25 ALJ TOY: Thank you. Just to be clear, 26 when I asked about any of those issues --27 comments on any of these issues, I'm 2.8 referring to subsection (1) in it's entirety.

1	Cal Advocates, any comments on
2	subsection 1?
3	MS. FISHER: No other comments at this
4	time, your Honor. Thank you.
5	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
6	TURN.
7	MR. FINKELSTEIN: Not at this time,
8	your Honor.
9	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
10	Catalina Parties.
11	MR. BISHTON: No further comments at
12	this time, your Honor.
13	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
14	Moving on to Section 2. With
15	respect to Edison's proposal to recover 29
16	million dollars in water utility costs from
17	its electric utility customers, subsection
18	(a), does the Commission have the authority
19	to charge SCE's electric utility customers
20	the costs that do not have a sufficient nexus
21	to SCE providing those customers with
22	electric utility service?
23	Section (b), if the Commission does
24	have the authority to charge these water
25	utility service costs to electric utility
26	customers that do not receive said water
27	service, should the Commission allow Edison
28	to charge its electric utility customers for

1	Catalina Island's water service and what
2	amount?
3	Section (c), what alternative
4	approaches are there to recovering water
5	utility costs from sources other than
6	Catalina Island Water Utility customers and
7	SCE electric utility customers?
8	Section (d), has SCE established
9	that it adequately considered or pursued
LO	alternative approaches to recover water
L1	utility costs from sources other than its
L2	water utility electric utility customers?
L3	Section (e), whether SCE's proposed
L4	deferred revenue requirement tracking
L5	account, the five-year phased-in recovery
L6	mechanism is reasonable.
L7	Edison proposes a strikethrough of
L8	Sections 2(c) and 2(d). I note that 2(c) and
L9	2(d) are the only issues that mention
20	alternatives to the proposal to charge
21	Edison's electric customers. Edison stated
22	in the PHC statement that it would be filing
23	supplemental testimony with an alternative
24	proposal for revenue allocation and rate
25	design. With at least two different
26	proposals, I would expect that we would need
27	to scope some sort of issue that compares at
28	least these two proposals. Preliminarily,

issue 2(c) will be -- likely be slightly 1 edited to say, "What alternative approaches 2. 3 are there to recovering the proposed water utility costs from sources other than 4 5 Catalina Island Water Utility customers and 6 SCE's electric utility customers?" Issue 7 2(d) will likely be edited to state, "Which cost recovery approach for Catalina Island 9 Water Utility costs should be adopted?" 10 Comments starting with Edison. 11 MR. SUNG: Thank you, your Honor. Paul 12 Sung for Edison. 13 I believe your Honor's proposed 14 edits to subsection (c) and (d), SCE would 15 not object to those changes. The biggest 16 issue that SCE foresees with subsection (d) 17 in particular is the "adequately considered" 18 portion which creates, we believe, a 19 dangerous precedent as to applicants having 2.0 to now have a burden of proof regarding all other potential alternatives that exist. 21 22 SCE, as it's stated in its protest and its 2.3 PHC statement, is open to discussing these 24 alternative cost recovery approaches. We are 25 planning to file supplemental testimony with 26 an alternative proposal to clarify that 27 alternative proposal with the -- what the costs would look like and the revenue 2.8

requirement would look like if there was no 1 cross-subsidization of the 29 million dollar 2 costs to the electric customers. So we'll --3 as requested, we'll certainly be filing that 4 5 promptly. I should probably go over Cal 6 Okav. 7 Advocates' proposed addition to the scope of whether SCE's supplemental testimony should 8 9 include a proposal for revenue allocation and 10 rate design that does not rely on a subsidy 11 by SCE electric customers as well before we 12 take comments from all the other parties who 13 no doubt will bring this up. 14 Edison's PHC statement at this time 15 gives a time frame of February 2021 for the 16 filing of supplemental testimony, which would 17 then -- which, when filed, would address this 18 issue. 19 Cal Advocates, given that Edison 2.0 plans to propose this alternative, do you still foresee the need for this issue to be 21 22 scoped given the proposed changes to 2(c) and 2.3 2(d)? 24 (No response.) 25 ALJ TOY: No comments from Cal Advocates? 26 27 Thank you, your Honor. MS. FISHER: 2.8 had to unmute myself there. This is Emily

1 Fisher with Cal Advocates. Our concern is that our 2 understanding from SCE is, from Edison is 3 that the content of the supplemental testimony they intend to file would not 5 6 actually present an alternative proposal for 7 rate recovery, rather, it will present the alternative of no -- of recovering the full 8 9 amount from Catalina customers. So in that 10 sense, it would almost be an impact analysis 11 rather than an alternative proposal for rate 12 recovery. And given the importance, the significance of this issue in this 13 14 proceeding, it's really the 2,000-pound 15 gorilla in this case. The supplemental 16 testimony needs to address a reasonable or a 17 reasonable -- alternative or alternatives to 18 the rate recovery that SCE has currently 19 proposed. 2.0 So whether the issues -- the revised 21 issues (c) and (d) are -- basically, as long 22 as the end result requires Edison to present 2.3 an analysis of actual alternatives, proposals 24 to transferring costs to electric customers, 25 which the Commission made very clear in the 26 last GRC, that was intended to be a unique 27 isolated occurrence, our concern is just that 2.8 SCE be required to present meaningful

alternatives to that recovery option. 1 2. ALJ TOY: Thank you. TURN, any comments? I know in your 3 PHC statement you have raised -- pushed 4 keeping Section 2(c) and 2(d) as is. 5 6 MR. FINKELSTEIN: Thank you, your 7 Honor. Bob Finkelstein for TURN. I'll try not to reiterate material 8 9 that's already in the prehearing conference statement. But I think what Ms. Fisher for 10 11 Cal Advocates has touched on is extremely important. First, this alternative cost 12 recovery issue is a central issue, if not the 13 14 central issue in this proceeding. So it 15 needs clear and focused attention throughout. 16 I also would agree with her that 17 what SCE is describing for its supplemental 18 testimony is not a meaningful alternative. 19 It's merely saying, "Fine, if we don't 2.0 recover" -- as I understand it -- "If we 21 don't recover any of these Catalina Water 22 Island" -- I'm sorry -- "Catalina Water 2.3 Utility costs from any source other than 24 Catalina Water Utility customers, these will 25 be the impact on those customers." And given 26 that Edison's application and testimony are 27 premised on that not being an acceptable alternative, I'm not sure how it's 2.8

1	meaningfully useful for them to put forward
2	that as an alternative. I see it, frankly,
3	as more of a scare tactic than anything else.
4	This is Edison's application. Edison has the
5	burden of putting forward a reasonable
6	alternative, and in our view, it hasn't done
7	so yet. And it's critical, we think, that,
8	A, the issue of what's a reasonable
9	alternative or a range of reasonable
10	alternatives get addressed and, B, that
11	just to preview an upcoming topic, that it
12	get addressed earlier rather than later in
13	the proceeding.
14	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
15	Catalina Parties, any comments on
16	these issues?
17	MR. BISHTON: Yes, sir. We have some
18	comments. Earlier
19	ALJ TOY: Mr. Bishton
20	MR. BISHTON: Norris Bishton
21	ALJ TOY: speak up a little bit.
22	
	It's hard to hear you right now.
23	It's hard to hear you right now. MR. BISHTON: Catalina it's Norris
2324	
	MR. BISHTON: Catalina it's Norris
24	MR. BISHTON: Catalina it's Norris Bishton for the Catalina Parties.
24 25	MR. BISHTON: Catalina it's Norris Bishton for the Catalina Parties. I have Frontier Telephone Company

bit fast. 1 I will -- I will try. 2. MR. BISHTON: First of all, as I just mentioned 3 earlier, I thought 1(d) has to become 2(a) so 4 that the reasonableness of the costs sought 5 for the alternative -- for the alternative 6 7 source are determined, because that makes a big difference in evaluating the alternative 9 sources that -- it's how much is going to be 10 passed on to an alternative source. 11 Secondly, as I pointed out earlier, in their application, this is the only place 12 they sought these costs from, in other words, 13 14 from an alternative source. So to confuse it 15 as being part of what their revenue 16 requirement is is not in line with what they 17 have applied for in their application. 18 solely -- Edison solely points to alternative 19 sources to bear these costs. And that's why I suggested earlier 1(d) should become 2(a). 2.0 21 ALJ TOY: Thank you. Do you have any 22 comments on the alternative discussion that's 2.3 being going on? 24 MR. BISHTON: A full presentation on 25 all issues will benefit all parties and the 26 Commission. So I support Cal Advocates' 27 position. 2.8 ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you.

1 Edison, any comments on the discussion so far? 3 MR. SUNG: Yeah. Thank you, your Paul Sung from Edison. 4 Honor. 5 I think it's important for your Honor and the parties to kind of get a 6 7 better -- reset our thinking on this. The costs that SCE is seeking to recover in this 9 present application in totality represent the 10 Just the unfortunate reality that costs. this is what it costs for us to operate water 11 12 operations in Catalina Island, and the costs 13 are high. And the default proposal could 14 have been, and maybe even should have been, 15 that all of the costs begin to start -- would 16 be -- would be added to -- would be borne out 17 by the water customers. And that's not what 18 SCE wanted. The costs already, we recognize 19 fully, are extremely high and significant, 2.0 and it would be difficult for our customers 21 to bear. So that's why we believe that the 22 most reasonable alternative here, given the 2.3 options, is a cross-subsidization of the 29 24 million dollar cost to our electric 25 customers, and that is the most reasonable 26 proposal that we came up with, your Honor. 27 And I think our application bears that out, 2.8 and we support our cost recovery proposal in

1	full with that in mind.
2	And while SCE is open to certainly
3	considering alternative costs proposals, we
4	think that a scoping issue or a requirement
5	that our supplemental testimony is required
6	to delve into other options that really would
7	not serve the most the most reasonable and
8	strikes the right balance between this
9	difficult issue is unnecessarily unwarranted.
10	So that's our position on this, your
11	Honor. We don't we have supported our
12	cost recovery proposal, and we believe that
13	it is the most reasonable and just it
14	strikes the best balance currently as things
15	are.
16	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
17	Are there any other comments from
18	the parties relating to the issue in Section
19	2 starting with Edison?
20	MR. SUNG: Not at this time, your
21	Honor. Paul Sung for Edison. Thank you.
22	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
23	Cal Advocates.
24	MS. FISHER: Thank you, your Honor.
25	Just one other comment responding to Mr.
26	Sung. The support that Edison has provided
27	for their proposal is sufficient in a
28	couple a couple aspects as far as the

justification for the cross-subsidy. 1 are some -- there are some gaps in that analysis that would clearly tie -- create a 3 nexus between electric customers and Catalina Water -- basically who should bear the burden 5 of Catalina Water costs. So that's just 6 one -- one reason why the supplemental testimony needs to address some of those 9 issues and provide analysis of a meaningful 10 alternative to the cross-subsidy. 11 Thank you. 12 ALJ TOY: Thank you. 13 TURN, any comments? 14 MR. FINKELSTEIN: Your Honor, Bob Finkelstein for TURN. 15 16 I don't -- I don't mean to be 17 jumping ahead to a phasing discussion that I 18 know is upcoming, but I think what counsel 19 for Edison just described highlights the need 2.0 for either a broader directive to the utility 21 on what it needs to cover in its supplemental 22 testimony or holding off on that supplemental 2.3 testimony until after the Commission 24 determines whether or not a subsidy of 25 Catalina Water Utility costs by Edison 26 electric utility customers where the 27 Commission would be saying Edison's electric CARE customers ought to be subsidizing costs 2.8

1	of residents and businesses on Catalina
2	Island, that addressing that first to see if
3	that's even a viable alternative would, in
4	TURN's view, highlight the need for Edison,
5	as the applicant, to push towards some other
6	meaningful and potentially effective
7	alternatives other than just trying to load
8	all the costs on Catalina Water customers.
9	So I agree with what Ms. Fisher just
10	described. I think it's important that these
11	be within the scope, and like I said, not to
12	be jumping ahead, I think it's going to come
13	up again as something the Commission needs to
14	consider as it addresses the phasing issue.
15	ALJ TOY: Thank you. And I will be
16	going over the phasing issue later. So we'll
17	get back to that.
18	Catalina Parties, any comments on
19	Section 2?
20	MR. BISHTON: Nothing further as long
21	as we address my issue that you cannot
22	determine whether an alternative is
23	reasonable unless you know what is the amount
24	you're going to determine is reasonable to
25	pass on to the alternative source. Other
26	than that, I have no further comments.
27	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
28	Moving on to Section 3 No. 3,

1	whether SCE's proposed rates for the test
2	year and escalation years are just and
3	reasonable.
4	Subsection (a), whether SCE's
5	forecast for water sales is reasonable.
6	Subsection (b), whether SCE's
7	proposed rate design is reasonable.
8	Subsection (c), whether a proposed
9	Tier 1 breakpoint to promote affordable rates
10	is reasonable.
11	And (d), whether the proposed
12	California alternate rates for energy
13	increase from 20 percent to 32.5 percent is
14	reasonable.
15	Comments starting with Edison.
16	MR. SUNG: Paul Sung for Edison.
17	No comments, your Honor.
18	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
19	Cal Advocates, any comments?
20	MS. FISHER: Emily Fisher for Cal
21	Advocates.
22	We have no comments on this section,
23	your Honor. Thank you.
24	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
25	TURN.
26	MR. FINKELSTEIN: Bob Finkelstein for
27	TURN.
28	We have no comments on this issue.

1	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
2	Catalina Parties.
3	MR. BISHTON: Norris Bishton for
4	Catalina Parties.
5	We have no comments on paragraph 3.
6	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
7	Moving on to Section 4, whether
8	SCE's proposed transition from water revenue
9	adjustment mechanism to a Monterey-style
10	incremental cost balancing account is
11	reasonable.
12	Comments starting with Edison.
13	MR. SUNG: Paul Sung for Edison.
14	No comments, your Honor.
15	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
16	Cal Advocates, any comments?
17	MS. FISHER: Emily Fisher for Cal
18	Advocates.
19	No comments on Section 4, your
20	Honor.
21	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
22	TURN.
23	MR. FINKELSTEIN: Bob Finkelstein for
24	TURN.
25	No comments on Section 4.
26	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
27	Catalina Parties.
28	MR. BISHTON: Norris Bishton for

Τ	Catalina Parties.
2	No comments on Section 4.
3	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
4	Moving on now to the potential
5	phasing of the proceeding. I have a few
6	questions for the some of the parties.
7	Cal Advocates, can you please
8	elaborate a little on why you believe rate
9	design/cost recovery should take place before
10	cost/rate reasonableness?
11	MS. FISHER: Thank you, your Honor.
12	This is Emily Fisher for Cal Advocates.
13	As I said as I mentioned earlier
14	in the previous GRC, Catalina the
15	Commission made very clear that cross-subsidy
16	was to be a one-time unique occurrence and
17	sounded pretty reluctant to do it at all.
18	Though, it really the issue of whether
19	this form of this approach to recovery is
20	acceptable and within the Commission's
21	authority to approve seems to be an issue
22	that which needs to be addressed before we
23	go further down the road and risk ending up
24	in a similar position as the Commission did
25	in the previous GRC without a thorough
26	consideration of alternatives that may or
27	could have been feasible to the
28	cross-subsidies. So this issue really should

be determined before -- before the further --1 the regular GRC issues of reasonableness 2. revenue can go forward. 3 4 Thank you. 5 ALJ TOY: Thank you. Moving on. TURN, if I understand 6 7 your comments correctly, you proposed an initial phase focused on generating a record 8 9 regarding potential alternatives for Edison's 10 proposed cost recovery from its electric 11 customers as well as the determination on the legality of passing these costs to Edison's 12 13 electric customers. Is that correct? 14 MR. FINKELSTEIN: Your Honor, Bob Finkelstein for TURN. 15 16 I think that is generally correct. 17 It is, we believe, an issue that could be 18 addressed thoroughly and probably based on a 19 stipulated evidentiary record of various 2.0 documents that Edison has submitted certainly 21 in discovery to some of TURN's requests and 22 we anticipate in response to a data request 2.3 set that we just served this morning. So the hope is that we would be able to do a 24 25 largely, if not entirely, paper-based initial 26 phase to flesh out the issues associated with 27 the alternative cross-subsidization, cost 2.8 recovery approach that Edison has proposed

and why, in TURN's view at least, that the 1 2 Commission both lack the authority to adopt it and even if has the authority shouldn't 3 adopt it under the circumstances here. 4 And we think it's essential for that 5 to be the first phase because if we get to 6 the end of the proceeding and the only 7 alternatives are Edison's cross-subsidization 8 9 proposal and the approach Edison described 10 earlier of having to recover the entirety of 11 the cost from the Catalina Water Utility 12 customers, that Edison has explained, it 13 would be a huge burden on those customers to 14 see their rates go up by -- the costs would 15 go up by 125 percent was the figure I 16 remember from the application testimony even 17 without some of the memorandum accounts that 18 Edison is seeking to recover in electric 19 rates. 2.0 We need to know earlier rather than 21 later whether or not that's even viable 22 because by the time the Commission gets to a final decision here, it needs to have viable 2.3 24 alternatives in front of it. And trying not 25 to repeat myself. We don't see this one as 26 viable. 27 ALJ TOY: Okay. So this initial phase 2.8 does not come to a conclusion regarding which

1	cost recovery proposal is best, correct?
2	MR. FINKELSTEIN: Your Honor, as TURN
3	anticipates it as TURN anticipates it,
4	this phase would serve to address whether or
5	not the cost recovery proposal Edison has put
6	forward is viable. And it wouldn't
7	necessarily address any other cost recovery
8	proposal, merely have the Commission address
9	the narrower question of can it require
10	electric utility customers to bear in their
11	electric utility rates costs associated with
12	providing water utility service to Catalina
13	Island customers.
14	ALJ TOY: Okay. And so then so
15	would you envision the only one second
16	phase that then addresses all the other
17	issues together?
18	MR. FINKELSTEIN: Yes.
19	ALJ TOY: Okay.
20	Edison, I'm going to give you
21	allow you to make comments now, but I also
22	have a specific question I'll ask it at
23	the end. Edison, any comments on the
24	discussion so far?
25	MR. SUNG: Thank you, your Honor. Paul
26	Sung for Edison.
27	As your Honor has asked and appears
28	to be suggesting, I think there is a

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

difference between the Commission's authority 1 as to whether cross-subsidization is 2. permitted versus what cost recovery proposal 3 should be adopted. And those are two 4 separate questions, your Honor. And SCE 5 would be open to addressing this specific 6 7 narrow legal question of whether or not the Commission has the -- or the authority to 8 9 permit this type of alternative. I think that would make sense, but that's different 10 11 than what is being proposed in terms of a 12 phased proceeding.

Addressing a separate narrow legal question earlier in the proceeding does not necessitate the adoption of a phased proceeding. So SCE would be open to tackling that question earlier in the proceeding. But yeah, as far as the phased proceeding goes, your Honor, there really is no need as set forth more fully in our PHC statement and reply.

ALJ TOY: Okay. I note that in your PHC statement you stated you believe that if this proceeding were to be phased that a decision voted on the by the full Commission would have to be issued. Are you changing that statement based on the fact that it would just be this narrow legal issue, or

would you still hold that? 1 Thank you, your Honor. 2. MR. SUNG: Sung for Edison. 3 Our position would be that even on 4 the narrow legal question of whether the 5 Commission has the authority to order this 6 7 cost recovery proposal, we would request and submit that a full Commission vote be 8 9 required as it would be binding precedent --10 or it would be binding on this proceeding as to how we could proceed. So we believe that 11 full Commission vote would be -- would be 12 13 warranted. 14 (Interruption by reporter.) 15 ALJ TOY: Okay. I will note a little 16 out of turn that TURN's schedule currently 17 proposes six weeks for Edison to file 18 supplemental testimony and following a 19 decision ruling addressing these issues. 2.0 Edison, does that seem feasible? 21 MR. SUNG: Paul Sung for Edison, your 22 Honor. 2.3 I think the answer to that really depends on what really the ruling would look 24 25 like. Six weeks -- depending if the ruling were to state -- or the Commission decision 26 27 were to state that the cost recovery proposal 2.8 is permitted, then six weeks would be

1	sufficient. But if the Commission were to
2	direct SCE on a number of issues that the
3	Commission may want to see further direction
4	on, then we reserve the right to we would
5	object preliminarily here and say that six
6	weeks may not be enough.
7	ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you.
8	Catalina Parties, any comments so
9	far on this discussion?
10	MR. BISHTON: Norris Bishton for the
11	Catalina Parties.
12	First of all, passing on costs to
13	the electrical customers is only one of the
14	alternatives that's raised in the earlier
15	issues. Our position is, as stated earlier,
16	is that the Commission, before making any
17	decisions, is the allowance of money are so
18	monumental compared to the number of
18 19	monumental compared to the number of ratepayers should have
19	ratepayers should have
19 20	ratepayers should have (Interruption by reporter.)
19 20 21	ratepayers should have (Interruption by reporter.) ALJ TOY: Mr. Bishton, Mr. Bishton,
19 20 21 22	ratepayers should have (Interruption by reporter.) ALJ TOY: Mr. Bishton, Mr. Bishton, hold on.
19 20 21 22 23	ratepayers should have (Interruption by reporter.) ALJ TOY: Mr. Bishton, Mr. Bishton, hold on. Off the record.
19 20 21 22 23 24	ratepayers should have (Interruption by reporter.) ALJ TOY: Mr. Bishton, Mr. Bishton, hold on. Off the record. (Off the record.)
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ratepayers should have (Interruption by reporter.) ALJ TOY: Mr. Bishton, Mr. Bishton, hold on. Off the record. (Off the record.) (Commissioner Guzman Aceves not

1 Bishton was making comments regarding the 2 phasing of the proceeding. MR. BISHTON: Yes. This is Norris 3 Bishton for Catalina Parties. 4 I was stating that all -- the 5 Commission should have the full record of all 6 issues before it makes decisions. 7 This is not something that can be done piecemeal. 8 9 addition, we're not -- because earlier 10 issues -- scoping issues raised alternatives 11 other than electrical ratepayers. So as I said now a couple of times, we need to know 12 13 the reasonable amount that's going to reach a 14 supplemental alternative source before we 15 could even judge whether or not that 16 alternative source is feasible. We oppose 17 any kind of piecemeal approach. 18 ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. 19 Bishton. 2.0 I will discuss with the Commissioner 21 everything we've talked about today. Right 22 now likely leaning towards some sort of --2.3 maybe not phasing but certainly addressing 24 this legal issue at an earlier time. But of 25 course, all this will be made final with the 26 Commissioner's review. 27 Moving on now to the proceeding 2.8 schedule and the need for evidentiary

1	hearing. The application and protests all
2	foresaw the need for evidentiary hearing.
3	Accordingly, I will agree with ALJ Resolution
4	34 176-3475, which preliminarily
5	determined that this matter does require an
6	evidentiary hearing and will recommend to
7	Commissioner Guzman Aceves that the scoping
8	memo include them.
9	Now moving to scheduling. I have
10	two proposed schedules:
11	Starting with Edison's supplemental
12	testimony would be filed by Edison by
13	February 26th, 2021.
14	Public participation hearings would
15	take place on March 30th, 2021.
16	Intervenor testimony filed by July
17	9, 2021.
18	Rebuttal testimony filed by August
19	9, 2021.
20	Settlement discussions would begin
21	taking place in August 2021.
22	Evidentiary hearings will take place
23	in mid-September 2021.
24	Opening briefs would take place 30
25	days after evidentiary hearing.
26	The deadline to request oral
27	argument would be the day after opening
28	briefs are due.

Reply briefs would be due 15 days
after opening briefs.
And the Water Division technical
conference would take place in October 2021.
Comments starting with Edison.
MR. SUNG: Paul Sung for Edison, your
Honor.
The dates that your Honor proposed
seem right and consistent with what the
parties submitted as a joint schedule. So no
further comments.
ALJ TOY: Thank you.
Cal Advocates.
MS. FISHER: Thank you, your Honor.
Emily Fisher with Cal Advocates.
This may be stating the obvious, but
as far as scheduling goes, the proposed
schedule just needs to the schedule
adopted needs to reflect whatever decisions
are made in terms of phasing or inclusion of
other issues in the scope.
ALJ TOY: Thank you. I'll now be going
over TURN's proposed schedule in a little
bit.
TURN, any comments on this proposed
schedule by Edison?
MR. FINKELSTEIN: Bob Finkelstein for
TURN.

It's -- I think a proposed schedule 1 2. by all the parties that there be a single phase for the proceeding, and with that, TURN 3 doesn't have any further comments. 4 5 ALJ TOY: Thank you. Catalina Parties. 6 7 MR. BISHTON: I reiterate what Cal Advocates said, that depending upon this 8 9 phasing decision, it may be necessary to 10 adjust some of the dates as stated by your 11 Honor, but other than that, we have no 12 further comments. 13 ALJ TOY: Thank you. I also have the 14 following proposed schedule by TURN. This is 15 with the assumption that there will be a 16 limited discussion regarding the cost 17 recovery proposal presented by Edison. Is 18 that correct, TURN, the initial phased 19 portion of the proceeding? Or sorry -- yeah. 2.0 Is that correct, TURN? 21 MR. FINKELSTEIN: That is correct, your I'm sorry. Bob Finkelstein for TURN. 22 And this is the schedule that we 2.3 24 were proposing, should the Commission agree 25 with us, that there should be an initial 26 phase that focuses on cost recovery 27 alternatives and represents our proposal for folding that in and how it would affect the 2.8

follow-on dates. 1 2. ALJ TOY: When you say "cost recovery alternatives, " does that mean you foresee 3 that this initial phase will also ask 4 briefing by the parties on other alternatives 5 6 that Edison should be proposing to the Commission? No, your Honor. MR. FINKELSTEIN: shouldn't have said it quite so broadly. 9 What we have -- what's written in the 10 11 prehearing conference statement is opening 12 briefs on limits to the cost recovery 13 alternatives, and as we've discussed here, it 14 sounded like a focus on whether or not 15 Edison's alternative, as proposed in its 16 application and testimony, something that the 17 Commission could or should adopt. So it 18 would be solely on that -- that alternative. 19 ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you. 2.0 Given that, the proposed schedule would be: 21 22 Opening briefs on this limited cost 2.3 recovery issue by late February to early 24 March 2021 depending on when the scoping memo 25 is published. 26 Reply briefs on limits of the cost 27 recovery alternative will take place two weeks after opening briefs are due. 2.8

1	Commissioner or ALJ ruling or
2	Commission decision on this limited issue to
3	be determined.
4	SCE would be asked to serve
5	supplemental testimony on initial issues six
6	weeks after the ruling or decision is issued.
7	The public participation hearing
8	will still take place March 30, 2021.
9	Intervenor testimony would take
10	place six weeks after SCE's supplemental
11	testimony is due.
12	Rebuttal testimony would be due four
13	weeks after intervenor testimony is due.
14	Settlement discussions will take
15	place four weeks after intervenor testimony.
16	Evidentiary hearings will take place
17	six weeks after rebuttal testimony is due.
18	Opening briefs would be due 30 days
19	after the last day of evidentiary hearing.
20	The deadline to request oral
21	argument would be the day after opening
22	briefs are due.
23	Reply briefs would be due 15 days
24	after opening briefs are due.
25	And the Water Division technical
26	conference would take place on October 2021.
27	Comments starting with Edison.
28	MR. SUNG: Hi, your Honor. I

actually just -- Paul Sung for Edison. 1 2. I actually experienced some technical difficulty and had to disconnect 3 and rejoin. So I had missed maybe the last 4 minute and a half or two minutes or so. 5 I caught enough of what Bob Finkelstein had 6 7 been providing comments on to at least respond to that portion of it, your Honor, 8 9 and I'll provide that first. And then 10 subject to the understanding that I would 11 like to have an opportunity to comment later 12 on what I had just missed. I apologize for 13 that, your Honor. 14 ALJ TOY: Okay. So I think -- I'm not 15 MR. SUNG: 16 entirely sure exactly how TURN's comments had 17 ended, but I think it's important to keep in 18 mind that the narrow legal question of 19 whether the Commission has authority to 2.0 authorize this cost recovery proposal SCE would be open to, but SCE would object to 21 22 if that -- the latter part of that question, 2.3 if the Commission did have authority should it do so. We believe that the record is 24 25 incomplete at this premature stage of the proceeding to go ahead with that kind of 26 27 determination. We also agree with the 2.8 Catalina Parties that determining the costs

1	first is essential to the evaluation of what
2	cost recovery proposal is reasonable, and
3	that is following the logic of other prior
4	general rate cases and just the Commission's
5	practice and consistent with that as well.
6	So that would be the comments I would provide
7	on that issue. And yeah, would appreciate an
8	opportunity to comment on what I had just
9	missed.
10	Thank you.
11	ALJ TOY: All right. What I had just
12	gone over was the schedule proposed by TURN.
13	It pretty much followed exactly what was in
14	the PHC statement. If you want to look at
15	that. I can go over it again if you like.
16	MR. SUNG: Paul Sung for Edison.
17	I have it open. Thank you, your
18	Honor.
19	ALJ TOY: Okay. I'll give you a minute
20	to take a look at it, and I'll come back to
21	you.
22	Cal Advocates, any comments on the
23	proposed schedule by TURN?
24	MS. FISHER: Emily Fisher for Cal
25	Advocates.
26	No other comments on the proposed
27	schedule. If I may, I would like to respond
28	to some of the comments that Mr. Sung has

1 made. 2. ALJ TOY: Sure. The -- if the proceeding 3 MS. FISHER: is divided into phases to address the 4 narrower question, this issue is not simply a 5 6 legal question, and I think Mr. Sung recognized that as well as TURN. If the 7 proceeding is phased, then there is a need to 8 9 address not only the "could," whether the 10 Commission can authorize the cross-subsidy, 11 but there's also a significant policy 12 question, which is the "should." And that 13 should be part of the scope of Phase 1 as 14 well. 15 Thank you. 16 ALJ TOY: Thank you. 17 TURN, any comments on the proposed 18 schedule or the discussion that just took 19 place? MR. FINKELSTEIN: Bob Finkelstein for 2.0 21 TURN. 22 I would echo what Ms. Fisher just I think that the Commission is best 2.3 said. 24 served by a determination early on as to 25 whether or not Edison's alternative goes 26 forward, and that could be both on the 27 grounds of the Commission's (inaudible) authority to even consider it or take action 2.8

1	of that type. And is also includes, even if
2	the Commission has the authority, should it
3	do so under the circumstances here. So I
4	think there is some risk of an unduly narrow
5	description of this first phase, and it
6	would let me illustrate it this way, your
7	Honor. If we were to limit the first phase
8	or the briefing to a limited question of
9	whether the Commission has the authority to
10	go forward with Edison's proposal and the
11	Commission decided early on that it has the
12	authority to do so and then we postpone until
13	very late in the proceeding whether or not
14	the Commission should do so and the
15	Commission were to decide that it shouldn't
16	do so under the circumstances here, I
17	think I think that creates a fair amount
18	of potential chaos if we have a bunch of
19	costs authorized and no meaningful cost
20	recovery mechanism on the record.
21	So I think it's important that we
22	address that up front to maximize the
23	opportunity to then develop a record
24	should the Commission make clear this
25	proposal won't work, that we maximize the
26	opportunity to have Edison present other
27	alternatives on the record earlier in the
28	proceeding rather than later.

1	A second much shorter comment would
2	be on the question of, as I heard you
3	describe what was listed as item 5 on the
4	TURN proposed schedule the assigned
5	Commissioner ALJ ruling, you added "or
6	proposed decision," and that certainly would
7	be, I think, a determination for you and the
8	assigned Commissioner to make. But I don't
9	think there's any basis for saying a proposed
10	decision or a formal final decision would be
11	required on those points. I think I don't
12	have specific examples I could cite. But in
13	my experience, the Commission has often
14	addressed preliminary issues in a proceeding
15	through an assigned Commissioner ruling or
16	even through an ALJ's ruling where it's
17	necessary to achieve a smoother processing of
18	the proceeding into even where it addresses
19	some of the key issues in the proceeding.
20	So if you and the assigned
21	Commissioner decide that it ought to be done
22	through a formal decision, TURN wouldn't
23	object. We just wanted to be on the record
24	that we don't see that as required under the
25	circumstances.
26	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
27	Catalina Parties, any comment?
28	MR. BISHTON: Yes. Norris Bishton for

1	the Catalina Parties.
2	First of all, I am totally confused.
3	Are we talking about a single alternative;
4	namely, the electrical ratepayers, or are we
5	talking about all the alternatives? In the
6	application, Edison focused on one
7	alternative but mentioned that there were
8	other alternatives and that that issue was
9	raised by in the various protests of
10	whether or not other alternatives other than
11	electrical ratepayers are feasible.
12	I think you first have to have
13	testimony that supports what Edison seeks or
14	the other alternatives. So how you can have
15	supplemental testimony about cost recovery
16	alternatives after a decision already has
17	been made. So under No. 5 then, the
18	schedule, I still strongly oppose any kind of
19	piecemeal going at this. This is a the
20	Commission needs to look at the entire
21	situation. And, yes, they will ultimately
22	have to determine whether they have authority
23	to authorize one of the alternatives, but the
24	Commission needs the entire situation before
25	it all the evidence before it in making
26	its final decision.
27	Thank you.
28	ALJ TOY: Thank you, Mr. Bishton.

1	Just for clarity, the proposed
2	decision in the file would be on the limited
3	matter of potentially the legality of
4	charging SCE's electric customers as well as
5	potentially, as proposed by Cal Advocates and
6	TURN, whether the Commission should actually
7	go ahead with that alternative or consider
8	that alternative.
9	Edison, as I said, I would come back
LO	to you for further comment on the schedule.
L1	MR. SUNG: Paul Sung for Edison.
L2	Thank you, your Honor. To start, if
L3	there is a true phasing a phased
L4	proceeding, then a full Commission vote would
L5	be required to determine the question of
L6	whether Edison should move forward with the
L7	cost recovery proposal in its current
L8	application. If it's a narrow question of
L9	could the Commission have the authority to
20	order the cross-subsidization, then an
21	assigned Commissioner ALJ ruling could make
22	sense here in and of itself. But here, your
23	Honor, it doesn't make sense to us to have a
24	phased proceeding and determine the overall
25	ultimate question of should Edison move
26	forward with its cost recovery proposal,
27	because there is no record upon which to base
28	that. The intervenor testimony has not been

1	filed. Rebuttal testimony has not been
2	filed. It really is we have to have a
3	phased proceeding with all of that or we
4	don't, your Honor.
5	And to that point, there has to be a
6	logical ordering SCE submits in which the
7	cost the reasonableness of SCE's costs
8	should be determined first or else we really
9	cannot determine if the cost recovery
10	proposal comes after. There's a logic and a
11	reason and a consistent pattern that's
12	followed in the electric GRCs for that exact
13	reason, your Honor.
14	If for instance, if the total
15	cost is a million dollars, that's a much
16	difficult question that it's the total cost
17	of the hundred million dollars. We need to
18	know first what the costs are to properly
19	evaluate the reasonableness of putting the
20	hundred million or one million upon 2,000
21	ratepayers or 2 million ratepayers, your
22	Honor. That's the logic. And that's why if
23	there is any phasing at all, your Honor, the
24	reasonableness of SCE's costs must come
25	first, and then the cost recovery and rate
26	design must come second.
27	ALJ TOY: Okay.
28	Any comments on the schedule?

1	MR. SUNG: Paul Sung for Edison.
2	No, your Honor. Thank you.
3	ALJ TOY: Okay. Thank you. Thank you
4	for the discussion, everyone. I will take
5	this back to discuss with the with
6	Commissioner Guzman Aceves' office.
7	Finally, as stated earlier, we have
8	tentatively scheduled public participation
9	hearings for March 30th, 2021. The current
10	plan is to have 2 public participation
11	hearings taking place at 1:30 p.m. and at
12	6:00 p.m. on the same date, March 30th. I
13	may ask the parties to provide short
14	presentations of their position at the
15	beginning of the PPH.
16	Any comments on this starting with
17	Edison?
18	MR. SUNG: Paul Sung for Edison.
19	No comments on this issue, your
20	Honor.
21	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
22	Cal Advocates.
23	MS. FISHER: Emily Fisher with Cal
24	Advocates.
25	No comments. Thank you, your Honor.
26	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
27	TURN.
28	MR. FINKELSTEIN: Bob Finkelstein for

Τ	TURN.
2	No comments, your Honor.
3	ALJ TOY: Thank you.
4	Catalina Parties.
5	MR. BISHTON: Norris Bishton for
6	Catalina Parties.
7	I only have a question how public
8	participation hearings are going to be done
9	in this day and age of COVID-19? Are we
10	going to do them by remote? By Zoom? By
11	it is currently the Island has gone from
12	41,000 of cases before Thanksgiving to 122-
13	as of this morning. And it's a very small
14	community. And I can't envision, unless, by
15	March, that we're going to be under the
16	circumstances where people can physically
17	get together in one of the in the few
18	places that have allowed where people could
19	physically get together.
20	ALJ TOY: Right. No. It will be
21	remote at this time, Mr. Bishton. There will
22	be a call-in number for commenters to call
23	into and a conference line for people to
24	listen in on as well. But it will not be in
25	person. The current plan is for it to be
26	remote.
27	Given that, do you have any other
28	comments?

1	MR. BISHTON: I have no further
2	comments. Thank you.
3	ALJ TOY: Thank you. Okay. I will
4	discuss everything today with Commissioner
5	Guzman Aceves who will then issue a scoping
6	memo for this proceeding. That's it for
7	today. I want to conclude by thanking all of
8	you for your participation.
9	Going off the record for a second.
10	(Off the record.)
11	ALJ TOY: Back on the record.
12	There being nothing further, we are
13	adjourned and off the record.
14	(Whereupon, at the hour of 11:32 a.m., the Commission then adjourned.)
15	a.m., the commission their adjourned.)
16	* * * *
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, DORIS HUAMAN, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 10538, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON JANUARY 7, 2021.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS JANUARY 12, 2021.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Davidence
21	DORIS HUAMAN CSR NO. 10538
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	20-10-018 2:11	9	adjourned 58:13,14
	2021 2:2,8 24:15 43:13,		adjust 45:10
(1) 20:28	15,17,19,21,23 44:4 46:24 47:8,26 56:9	9 43:17,19	adjustment 34:9
(a) 13:5 21:18 33:4	26th 43:13		adjustments 13:25
(b) 13:9 21:23 33:6	28 20:13	A	administration 13:7
(c) 13:12 22:3 23:14	28.9 16:2,5	a.m. 2:2,7,8 58:14	administrative 2:4,14
25:21 33:8	28.969 14:15	acceptable 26:27	adopt 37:2,4 46:17
(d) 13:14 22:8 23:14,16 25:21 33:11	29 18:28 19:4,10,14	35:20 account 13:17,18 15:2	adopted 23:9 39:4 44:19
(e) 13:20 22:13	20:13 21:15 24:2 29:23	22:15 34:10	adoption 39:15
1	3	accounts 13:16 37:17	advance 9:3
	2 00:00 04:5	accurate 13:11	advantage 10:25
1 13:2 14:21,26 21:2 33:9 50:13	3 32:28 34:5 30 43:24 47:8,18	Aceves 2:16 3:3,9,11 8:2 41:25 43:7 58:5	advice 16:16
1(d) 14:5,13,27 28:4,20	30th 43:15 56:9,12	8:2 41:25 43:7 58:5 Aceves' 56:6	advisors 8:5
1(f) 15:16 17:4	32.5 33:13	achieve 52:17	Advocates 4:16,17,2
``	34 43:4		23,26 8:10 9:18,21 17:25 21:1 24:19,26
10:00 2:7	3475 7:21	acknowledge 3:16	25:1 26:11 30:23 33:1
10:02 2:2,8	3473 7.21	acknowledging 18:10	21 34:16,18 35:7,12 44:13,15 45:8 49:22,2
116-W 16:16	4	action 50:28	54:5 56:22,24
11:32 58:14		actual 18:5 25:23	Advocates' 10:17
122- 57:12	4 34:7,19,25 35:2	add 6:28 10:19 15:5,16 16:5	11:21 24:7 28:26
125 37:15	41,000 57:12	added 6:8,17 15:17,22	affect 45:28
15 44:1 47:23	5	17:6 18:3 29:16 52:5	affordable 33:9
176-3475 43:4		adding 18:15 20:19	age 57:9
1:30 56:11	5 52:3 53:17	addition 24:7 42:9	agenda 2:22
2		additional 18:16	agree 7:27 26:16 32:9
	6	Additionally 15:4	43:3 45:24 48:27
2 14:14,28 21:14 30:19 32:19 55:21 56:10	6:00 56:12	additions 13:10	ahead 12:15 15:25 31:17 32:12 48:26 54:
2(a) 14:13 28:4,20	7	address 12:23 19:22 24:17 25:16 31:8 32:21	ALJ 3:24 4:11,15,22,2
2(c) 22:18 23:1 24:22	-	38:4,7,8 50:4,9 51:22	5:1,10,20,24 6:1,5,18 9:17 10:13 11:17 12:7
26:5	7 2:2	addressed 15:11,18,	14:1,19 15:1 16:26
2(d) 22:18,19 23:7 24:23 26:5	7th 2:8	23 16:24 19:21 27:10, 12 35:22 36:18 52:14	17:24 18:18 19:24 20:25 21:5,9,13 24:25 26:2 27:14,19,21,27
2,000 55:20	8	addresses 32:14 38:16	28:21,28 30:16,22
2,000-pound 25:14	9 0.7	52:18	31:12 32:15,27 33:18, 24 34:1,6,15,21,26 35
2.6(d) 7:24	8 8:7	addressing 32:2 39:6, 13 40:19 42:23	36:5 37:27 38:14,19
20 33:13		adequately 17:4 22:9 23:17	39:22 40:15 41:7,21,2 42:18 43:3 44:12,22 45:5,13 46:2,19 47:1

Index: (1)..ALJ

48:14 49:11,19 50:2,16 52:5.26 53:28 54:21 55:27 56:3,21,26 57:3, 20 58:3,11 **ALJ's** 52:16 ALJ-176-3475 7:21 **all-party** 8:14 9:7,10 10:10,19 11:3,13 12:6 allocation 22:24 24:9 allowance 41:17 allowed 57:18 alternate 33:12 **alternative** 14:9 17:18 19:19 22:3,10,23 23:2, 24,26,27 24:20 25:6,8, 11,17 26:12,18,28 27:2, 6,9 28:6,8,10,14,18,22 29:22 30:3 31:10 32:3, 22,25 36:27 39:9 42:14, 16 46:15,18,27 50:25 53:3,7 54:7,8 alternatives 7:26 22:20 23:21 25:17,23 26:1 27:10 32:7 35:26 36:9 37:8,24 41:14 42:10 45:27 46:3,5,13 51:27 53:5,8,10,14,16, 23 amount 22:2 25:9 32:23 42:13 51:17 amounts 18:5 **analysis** 20:16 25:10, 23 31:3,9 analyzed 15:13 anticipate 36:22 anticipates 38:3 apologize 48:12 appearances 3:26 appears 38:27 **applicant** 4:4 6:7 17:8, 12 20:8 32:5 applicants 23:19

application 2:11 3:17

7:19 12:16,17 14:3,8,12

16:16 17:11 19:2 20:8

26:26 27:4 28:12.17 29:9,27 37:16 43:1 46:16 53:6 54:18 applied 28:17 **apply** 10:5 17:13 approach 23:8 35:19 36:28 37:9 42:17 approaches 22:4,10 23:2,24 approve 35:21 approximately 19:1,4 argument 43:27 47:21 Article 8:7 aspects 30:28 assessment 19:7 **assigned** 2:14,15 12:10 18:24 19:25 52:4, 8,15,20 54:21 Association 5:19 assumption 45:15 attendance 3:27 4:7, 12,18,26 5:4,12,26 attention 26:15 August 43:18,21 **authority** 21:18,24 35:21 37:2,3 39:1,8 40:6 48:19,23 50:28 51:2,9,12 53:22 54:19 authorization 17:10 authorize 48:20 50:10 53:23 authorized 51:19 automatically 6:8 Avalon 5:15 **avenue** 17:20 aware 16:8,25 В B-I-S-H-T-O-N 5:14

back-and-forth 11:7 balance 17:5 20:23 30:8,14 balancing 34:10

ban 8:11 base 9:5 13:13 54:27 based 36:18 39:27 basically 25:21 31:5 **basis** 52:9 bear 10:24 28:19 29:21 31:5 38:10 bears 29:27 begin 29:15 43:20 beginning 56:15 behalf 4:16 5:1,11,14 6:26 11:27 believes 17:3 benefit 3:5 7:9 28:25 **biq** 28:8 biggest 23:15 **binding** 40:9,10 **Bishton** 5:12,13,14,20, 23,28 6:13,14 11:19,20 13:26,28 14:2,25 15:28 16:12,26 21:11 27:17, 19,20,23,24 28:2,24 32:20 34:3,28 41:10,21 42:1,3,4,19 45:7 52:28 53:28 57:5,21 58:1 **bit** 2:18 14:1 27:21,27 28:1 44:24 **Bob** 10:16 18:22 26:7 31:14 33:26 34:23 36:14 44:27 45:22 48:6 50:20 56:28 **briefs** 43:24,28 44:1,2 46:12,22,26,28 47:18, 22,23,24

borne 29:16 breakpoint 33:9 briefing 46:5 51:8 bring 10:24 24:13

broader 31:20 broadly 46:9 **bunch** 51:18 burden 23:20 27:5 31:5 37:13 **business** 3:25 6:6 businesses 32:1 C

Index: Alj's..categorizing

Cal 4:17,21,23,26 8:9 9:18,21 10:17 11:21 17:25 21:1 24:6,19,25 25:1 26:11 28:26 30:23 33:19,20 34:16,17 35:7, 12 44:13,15 45:7 49:22, 24 54:5 56:22,23

California 2:12 4:5 33:12

call 7:14 57:22

call-in 57:22

canned 11:9

capital 13:22

capture 10:6 17:5 20:24

CARE 31:28

case 8:1 14:10 15:2 25:15

cases 49:4 57:12

Catalina 5:11,15,16,17, 21,26 8:10 11:18 13:3, 16,17,24 15:4,27 18:27 21:10 22:1,6 23:5,8 25:9 26:21,22,24 27:15, 23,24 29:12 31:4,6,25 32:1,8,18 34:2,4,27 35:1,14 37:11 38:12 41:8,11 42:4 45:6 48:28 52:27 53:1 57:4,6

categories 18:25

categorization 2:23 7:28 8:4

categorized 7:22 categorizing 7:20

back 32:17 41:27 49:20

54:9 56:5 58:11

category 2:20 7:23	Commerce 5:16	confused 53:2	critical 27:7
caught 48:6	Commission 2:6 6:24	confusing 14:27	cross-subsidies
cc'g 12:14	7:2 8:21 10:9 11:6,15	Conservancy 5:17	35:28
central 26:13,14	16:7,24 21:18,23,27 25:25 28:26 31:23,27	consideration 18:12	cross-subsidization
Chamber 5:15	32:13 35:15,24 37:2,22	35:26	24:2 29:23 36:27 37:8 39:2 54:20
change 14:11,20 20:16	38:8 39:8,25 40:6,8,12, 26 41:1,3,16 42:6 45:24	considered 22:9 23:17	cross-subsidy 31:1,
changing 39:26	46:7,17 47:2 48:19,23	consistent 44:9 49:5	10 35:15 50:10
chaos 51:18	50:10,23 51:2,9,11,14, 15,24 52:13 53:20,24	55:11	current 17:11 20:9
	54:6,14,19 58:14	content 10:7 25:4	54:17 56:9 57:25
charge 21:19,24,28 22:20	Commission's 8:8 9:4	contention 17:22	customer 19:16
charging 54:4	35:20 39:1 49:4 50:27	coordinate 9:12	customers 14:11
circumstances 8:27	commissioner 2:15,	coordinating 10:1	18:28 19:3 21:17,19,21, 26,28 22:6,7,12,21
10:1 37:4 51:3,16 52:25	17 3:2,9,11,24 8:2 12:10 15:15 18:24	correct 4:20 36:13,16	23:5,6 24:3,11 25:9,24
57:16	19:25 41:25 42:20 43:7	38:1 45:18,20,21	26:24,25 29:17,20,25 31:4,26,28 32:8 36:11,
cite 52:12	47:1 52:5,8,15,21 54:21 56:6 58:4	correctly 36:7	13 37:12,13 38:10,13
City 5:15	Commissioner's	corroborating 20:19	41:13 54:4
clarify 13:26 23:26	42:26	cost 17:13 18:3 19:11,	cutoff 20:7
clarity 54:1	Commissioners 8:5	13,20 23:8,24 26:12 29:24,28 30:12 34:10	
Class 10:21,26	11:3,28	36:10,27 37:11 38:1,5,7	
clear 9:2 16:14 18:8	communicate 6:26	39:3 40:7,27 45:16,26 46:2,12,22,26 48:20	dangerous 23:19
20:25 25:25 26:15 35:15 51:24	12:12	49:2 51:19 53:15 54:17,	data 36:22
clerical 6:19	communicating 11:28	26 55:7,9,15,16,25	date 56:12
	communications 8:4, 12 9:7 10:18,24 11:12	cost/rate 35:10	dates 44:8 45:10 46:1
coincidentally 19:4	12:2,5,13	costs 13:14 14:4,9,15,	day 20:6 43:27 47:19,21
combination 9:8	community 57:14	23 15:6,7,8,10,12,16,21 16:14,21 17:6,9,10,15,	57:9
comment 30:25 48:11 49:8 52:1,27 54:10	company 2:12 4:5 5:16	21 18:6,26 19:19 20:5,	days 43:25 44:1 47:18,
commenters 57:22	20:4 27:25	9,12 21:16,20,25 22:5, 11 23:4,9,28 24:3 25:24	23
comments 2:17 3:10,	compared 10:26 41:18	26:23 28:5,13,19 29:8,	deadline 43:26 47:20
20 8:16 9:18 10:14	compares 22:27	10,11,12,15,18 30:3 31:6,25,28 32:8 36:12	dealing 10:22 19:17
11:18 12:9,26 15:26	complete 6:23	37:14 38:11 41:12	deals 14:14
16:27 17:25 18:19 19:26 20:27 21:1,3,11	completely 15:5	48:28 51:19 55:7,18,24	decide 51:15 52:21
23:10 24:12,25 26:3	concern 16:1 25:2,27	counsel 4:10 31:18	decided 2:28 51:11
27:15,18 28:22 29:1 30:17 31:13 32:18,26	concerned 9:26	couple 30:28 42:12	decision 8:13 9:5
33:15,17,19,22,28 34:5,	conclude 58:7	court 3:6 7:9	11:15 37:23 39:25 40:19,26 45:9 47:2,6
12,14,16,19,25 35:2 36:7 38:21,23 41:8 42:1	conclusion 37:28	Cove 5:18	52:6,10,22 53:16,26
44:5,11,25 45:4,12	conference 2:10,20	cover 31:21	54:2
47:27 48:7,16 49:6,22, 26,28 50:17 55:28	3:27 7:8,16 12:19 26:9	COVID-19 15:7 57:9	decisions 41:17 42:7
56:16,19,25 57:2,28	44:4 46:11 47:26 57:23	create 31:3	44:19
58:2	confuse 28:14	creates 23:18 51:17	decommissioning 15:8 19:8

Index: category..decommissioning

default 8:24 29:13 discretionary 16:18 44:5,6,26 45:17 46:6 **error** 6:19 47:27 48:1 49:16 51:26 deferred 22:14 discuss 2:20,23,26 escalation 33:2 53:6,13 54:9,11,16,25 12:9 15:14 19:24 42:20 deficiencies 9:11 56:1,17,18 essential 37:5 49:1 56:5 58:4 deliberated 8:24 **Edison's** 12:17 13:9, essentially 9:25 discussed 46:13 12,14 21:15 22:21 deliberating 3:19 established 8:22.24 discussing 23:23 24:14 26:26 27:4 31:27 9:16 13:21 22:8 **delve** 30:6 36:9,12 37:8 43:11 discussion 20:21 46:15 50:25 51:10 estimate 19:6 28:22 29:2 31:17 38:24 depart 8:22 9:15 edited 23:2,7 41:9 45:16 50:18 56:4 estimated 16:2 departure 8:28 edits 23:14 discussions 43:20 evaluate 55:19 depending 40:25 45:8 47:14 effective 11:5,14 32:6 46:24 evaluating 28:8 divided 50:4 efficient 11:4 depends 40:24 evaluation 49:1 **Division** 44:3 47:25 describe 52:3 elaborate 35:8 everyone's 3:20 documents 7:3 36:20 describing 26:17 **electric** 10:23 19:3,16 evidence 53:25 dollar 19:5,10 20:14 21:17,19,22,25,28 22:7, description 51:5 evidentiary 36:19 24:2 29:24 12,21 23:6 24:3,11 42:28 43:2,6,22,25 25:24 29:24 31:4,26,27 design 22:25 24:10 dollars 14:15 16:3 47:16,19 36:10,13 37:18 38:10, 33:7 55:26 18:28 19:15 21:16 11 54:4 55:12 **ex-parte** 8:4,11,22,23 55:15,17 design/cost 35:9 9:6,22 10:2,10,18,24,27 **electrical** 14:10 41:13 doubt 24:13 11:12 12:5 designate 6:25 42:11 53:4,11 determination 36:11 drought-related 13:15 ex-post 9:4 electronic 7:2 48:27 50:24 52:7 **due** 43:28 44:1 46:28 exact 55:12 electronically 7:4 determinations 3:1 47:11,12,13,17,18,22, examples 52:12 elements 3:15 23.24 **determine** 32:22,24 excluded 17:23 email 7:17 12:14 53:22 54:15,24 55:9 Ε exist 18:11 23:21 **Emily** 4:18,20 9:20 **determined** 28:7 36:1 17:28 24:28 33:20 existing 9:1,2 43:5 47:3 55:8 earlier 27:12,18 28:4, 34:17 35:12 44:15 determines 31:24 11,20 35:13 37:10,20 **expect** 22:26 49:24 56:23 39:14,17 41:14,15 42:9, determining 48:28 expedited 7:15 employee 12:3 24 51:27 56:7 **develop** 6:6 51:23 expenditures 13:22 encourages 7:2 early 46:23 50:24 51:11 difference 28:8 39:1 expenses 13:7 end 25:22 37:7 38:23 **easier** 14:20 difficult 29:20 30:9 **experience** 11:2 52:13 **ended** 48:17 echo 50:22 55:16 experienced 11:27 **ending** 35:23 **Edison** 2:12 4:5,6,10, difficulty 48:3 48:2 12 7:19 8:17,19 10:22 **energy** 33:12 direct 41:2 11:28 12:3,19,22 13:20 explained 37:12 enhance 10:3 14:3 15:6,9 16:9,27 direction 41:3 **extent** 11:11 17:2,3 19:1,15,28 20:2 entire 12:14 53:20,24 directive 31:20 21:27 22:17,21 23:10, extenuating 8:27 **entirety** 20:28 37:10 12 24:19 25:3,22 27:4 disconnect 48:3 extremely 26:11 29:19 28:18 29:1,4 30:19,21, enumerated 14:5 **Discoveries** 5:18 26 31:19,25 32:4 33:15, environmental 16:20 F 16 34:12,13 36:20,28 discovery 36:21 37:9,12,18 38:5,20,23, envision 38:15 57:14 discretion 17:13 26 40:3,17,20,21 43:12 F-I-S-H-E-R 4:20

Index: default..F-I-S-H-E-R

fact 20:20 39:27	foresee 24:21 46:3	58:5	
fair 51:17	foresees 23:16	ш	
fairly 15:13 18:8	form 35:19	н	I-N-K-E-L-S-T-E-I-N
fast 28:1	formal 52:10,22	half 48:5	5:7
feasible 35:27 40:20	forward 3:14,19 27:1,5	Hamilton 5:18	identical 19:13
42:16 53:11	36:3 38:6 50:26 51:10 54:16,26	happen 19:9	identify 16:13
February 24:15 43:13 46:23	frame 24:15	happened 20:11	illustrate 51:6
feel 12:4	framework 8:25	hard 27:22	impact 25:10 26:25
figure 19:5 20:14 37:15	Frank 5:6	hear 11:6 27:22	importance 25:12
file 6:19 23:25 25:5	frankly 20:5 27:2	heard 52:2	important 18:23 26:12 29:5 32:10 48:17 51:21
40:17 54:2	front 37:24 51:22	hearing 3:14 6:22 43:1,	inaudible 14:15 50:27
filed 2:11 6:7 12:18,22	Frontier 27:25	2,6,25 47:7,19	
16:15 24:17 43:12,16, 18 55:1,2	full 4:1 10:6 18:12 25:8	hearings 2:26 43:14,22 47:16 56:9,11 57:8	inclined 15:24 include 17:16 24:9
filing 22:22 24:4,16	28:24 30:1 39:25 40:8,	·	43:8
final 3:1 20:3 37:23	12 42:6 54:14	helpful 18:4	includes 7:5 51:1
42:25 52:10 53:26	fully 10:6 16:7,23 29:19 39:20	high 29:13,19	including 15:7 17:8
Finally 12:11 56:7	future 15:7 20:12	highlight 32:4	inclusion 44:20
Fine 26:19		highlights 31:19	incomplete 48:25
Finkelstein 5:3,5,6,10	G	historical 13:22	increase 33:13
10:15,16 18:21,22 21:7 26:6,7 31:14,15 33:26		hold 40:1 41:22	increases 3:18
34:23 36:14,15 38:2,18	gaps 31:2	holding 31:22	increment 19:11
44:27 45:21,22 46:8 48:6 50:20 56:28	Garrett 2:13	Homeowners 5:18	incremental 34:10
Fisher 4:18,19,20,24	gather 9:13	Honor 4:8,19,24 5:5,13 8:18 9:19 10:16 15:28	incur 15:6
9:19,20 10:20 17:27,28	general 13:7 49:4	17:1,15,20,28 18:2,16,	incurred 20:6
21:3 24:27 25:1 26:10 30:24 32:9 33:20 34:17	generally 10:10 36:16	22 20:1,11,15 21:4,8,12 23:11 24:27 26:7 29:4,	individual 8:11 9:7,22
35:11,12 44:14,15	generating 36:8	6,26 30:11,21,24 31:14	10:2,5
49:24 50:3,22 56:23	give 4:2 8:15 38:20 49:19	33:17,23 34:14,20 35:11 36:14 38:2,25,27	information 6:28 7:6
five-year 22:15	Good 2:7 4:8,19	39:5,19 40:2,22 44:7,8,	16:15
flesh 36:26	gorilla 25:15	14 45:11,22 46:8 47:28 48:8,13 49:18 51:7	initial 19:5 36:8,25 37:27 45:18,25 46:4
focus 46:14	GRC 3:16 11:25 16:24	54:12,23 55:4,13,22,23	47:5
focused 26:15 36:8 53:6	25:26 35:14,25 36:2	56:2,20,25 57:2	instance 55:14
	GRCS 55:12	Honor's 20:22 23:13	intend 25:5
focuses 45:26	Great 5:24	hope 36:24	intended 25:26
folding 45:28 follow 6:27	greatly 10:3	hour 58:14	interest 10:8
	grounds 50:27	huge 10:25 37:13	interrupt 3:6 7:10
follow-on 46:1	Guided 5:17	hundred 55:17,20	interruption 16:11
forecast 13:6,13 33:5	Guzman 2:16 3:2,9,11		40:14 41:20
foresaw 43:2	8:2 41:25 43:7 56:6		

Index: fact..interruption

intervenor 43:16 47:9, 35:15 42:25 44:20 50:1 mind 18:24 30:1 48:18 Κ 13.15 54:28 53:17 minute 48:5 49:19 invite 3:8 maintains 8:20 minutes 48:5 keeping 26:5 inviting 2:16 maintenance 13:6 missed 48:4,12 49:9 key 52:19 **island** 5:15.16.17 19:9 make 2:17 3:9 13:25 money 41:17 kind 8:28 20:19 29:6 22:6 23:5,8 26:22 29:12 14:3 17:17 38:21 39:10 42:17 48:26 53:18 32:2 38:13 57:11 51:24 52:8 54:21,23 Monterey-style 34:9 Island's 22:1 makers 8:13 monumental 41:18 L isolated 25:27 makes 28:7 42:7 morning 2:7 4:8,19 36:23 57:13 lack 37:2 **issue** 8:17 9:28 14:20 making 41:16 42:1 15:2,14,16,25 16:28 53:25 motions 3:28 laid 12:21 17:4,26 18:16,20 20:3, manner 3:21 move 12:15 54:16,25 largely 36:25 22 22:27 23:1,6,16 24:18,21 25:13 26:13, March 43:15 46:24 47:8 moved 14:27 late 46:23 51:13 14 27:8 30:4,9,18 56:9,12 57:15 moving 4:15 5:1 6:5 32:14,16,21 33:28 law 2:4,14 Martha 2:16 12:24 21:14 32:28 34:7 35:18,21,28 36:17 leaning 42:22 35:4 36:6 42:27 43:9 39:28 42:24 46:23 47:2 material 26:8 49:7 50:5 53:8 56:19 **left** 6:16 41:28 matter 4:23 5:8.22 12:9 58:5 Ν legal 39:7,13,28 40:5 19:25 43:5 54:3 issued 3:2 39:26 47:6 42:24 48:18 50:6 **matters** 12:13 narrow 39:7,13,28 40:5 **issues** 2:25 7:13 12:16, legality 36:12 54:3 48:18 51:4 54:18 maximize 51:22.25 21,26 18:5 19:12,13,20, **letter** 16:16 narrower 38:9 50:5 27 20:26,27 22:19 meaning 8:12 25:20,21 27:16 28:25 limit 12:12 51:7 necessarily 38:7 meaningful 25:28 31:9 36:2,26 38:17 limited 8:13 45:16 26:18 31:9 32:6 51:19 necessitate 39:15 40:19 41:2,15 42:7,10 46:22 47:2 51:8 54:2 44:21 47:5 52:14,19 meaningfully 27:1 Network 5:2 limits 46:12,26 item 14:13,18 52:3 mechanism 22:16 nexus 21:20 31:4 lines 27:26 34:9 51:20 items 14:25,26 16:17, Norris 5:13 6:13 11:19 18 **list** 2:23 6:6,9,15,16,17, meeting 9:13 27:20,23 34:3,28 41:10 23,26 7:1,4 12:15 42:3 52:28 57:5 meetings 8:14 9:10,22, J listed 7:5 52:3 27 10:1,3,5,7,11,19 note 8:9 20:3 22:18 11:4,13 39:22 40:15 listen 57:24 January 2:2,8 memo 3:2 43:8 46:24 **noted** 6:21 17:15 load 32:7 ioint 9:24 11:24,26 58:6 notice 10:4 logic 49:3 55:10,22 14:7,12 44:10 memorandum 13:15, notices 9:4 iudge 2:4,14 3:12,22 logical 55:6 17,18 37:17 6:13 42:15 **number** 16:4 19:8 **logistics** 9:11,26 mention 22:19 20:10,17,18 41:2,18 July 43:16 long 25:21 32:20 mentioned 28:3 35:13 57:22 53:7 jumping 31:17 32:12 longer 14:21 numbered 12:20,27 justification 31:1 **merit** 18:9 Lost 13:18 justified 13:11 mid-september 43:23 0 M million 14:15 16:2.5 object 23:15 41:5 48:21 18:28 19:5,10,15 20:13 52:23 21:16 24:2 29:24 55:15, made 3:1 11:15 25:25 17,20,21

Index: intervenor..object

- ·			
objected 7:23	28:15 48:22 50:13	phasing 2:25 31:17	pre- 10:5
objections 7:25	participate 6:3	32:14,16 35:5 42:2,23 44:20 45:9 54:13 55:23	precedent 23:19 40:9
obvious 44:16 occurrence 25:27	participating 4:13,27 5:27	PHC 12:21,24 13:1,27 22:22 23:23 24:14 26:4	prehearing 2:10,19 7:7 12:18 26:9 46:11
35:16	participation 43:14 47:7 56:8,10 57:8 58:8	39:20,23 49:14	preliminarily 7:21 15:9 22:28 41:5 43:4
October 44:4 47:26	•	physically 57:16,19	
office 4:16 56:6 offices 11:3	parties 3:26 5:11,21,26 6:27 7:12,13 8:11,15,25 9:12 10:8 11:7,18,25	piecemeal 42:8,17 53:19	preliminary 20:13,18 52:14
	12:18,25 13:24 15:4,26,	pike 16:22	premature 48:25
one-time 35:16	27 19:22 21:10 24:12	pipeline 15:8	premised 26:27
ongoing 20:5	27:15,24 28:25 29:6 30:18 32:18 34:2,4,27	pipelines 19:8	present 19:11 25:6,7,
open 17:19 23:23 30:2 39:6,16 48:21 49:17	35:1,6 41:8,11 42:4 44:10 45:2,6 46:5 48:28	place 2:9 28:12 35:9	22,28 29:9 41:26 51:26 presentation 11:9
opening 2:17 3:10	52:27 53:1 56:13 57:4,6	43:15,21,22,24 44:4 46:27 47:8,10,15,16,26	28:24
43:24,27 44:2 46:11,22, 28 47:18,21,24	party 3:28 6:11,12,20,	50:19 56:11	presentations 56:14
operate 29:11	25 7:23 11:10,11 12:11	places 57:18	presented 19:28 45:17
operating 8:26	pass 32:25	plan 56:10 57:25	pretty 3:17 15:21 35:17
operation 13:6 20:6	passed 28:10	planning 23:25	49:13
operations 29:12	passing 36:12 41:12	plans 6:2 24:20	preview 27:11
•	pattern 55:11	plant 13:10	previous 11:25 35:14,
opportunities 7:12	Paul 4:9 8:18 17:1 20:1 23:11 29:4 30:21 33:16	point 11:1 17:15 20:7	25
opportunity 8:16 11:6 48:11 49:8 51:23,26	34:13 38:25 40:2,21	55:5	prior 49:3
oppose 42:16 53:18	44:6 48:1 49:16 54:11	pointed 28:11	probing 11:8
opposed 14:24	56:1,18	points 28:18 52:11	procedural 12:13
option 19:22 26:1	people 11:27 57:16,18,	policy 50:11	Procedure 8:8
options 29:23 30:6	percent 33:13 37:15	portion 23:18 45:19	proceed 40:11
•	period 16:4	48:8	proceeding 2:1,15,21, 24,27 6:11,28 7:20,22
oral 43:26 47:20	permit 39:9	position 8:20 9:9,14	9:23 10:9 15:12,19,24
order 2:6 3:25 6:5 40:6 54:20	permitted 8:6 39:3	11:22 17:7 28:27 30:10 35:24 40:4 41:15 56:14	16:8,9 17:7,23 18:11,15
ordering 55:6	40:28	positions 11:8	19:14 20:21,24 25:14 26:14 27:13 35:5 37:7
other's 11:8	person 57:25	post-notices 10:6	39:12,14,16,17,18,24
outdated 20:14	perspective 18:27	postpone 51:12	40:10 42:2,27 45:3,19 48:26 50:3,8 51:13,28
	phase 36:8,26 37:6,27	potential 2:25 17:6	52:14,18,19 54:14,24
Р	38:4,16 45:3,26 46:4	23:21 35:4 36:9 51:18	55:3 58:6
D A II I 40	50:13 51:5,7	potentially 17:19	proceedings 17:18
P-A-U-L 4:9	phased 39:12,15,18,24 45:18 50:8 54:13,24	20:23 32:6 54:3,5	processing 52:17
p.m. 56:11,12	55:3	PPH 56:15	prohibited 9:23
paper-based 36:25	phased-in 22:15	practical 18:14	prohibiting 10:2
paragraph 34:5	phases 50:4	practice 6:24 8:8 49:5	project 19:18

Index: objected..projects

promote 11:14 33:9 put 27:1 38:5 receive 21:26 remediations 16:20 promptly 24:5 puts 10:25 recognize 29:18 remember 37:16 proof 23:20 putting 27:5 55:19 recognized 50:7 remind 7:8 recommend 43:6 reminder 3:4 **proper** 14:17 Q properly 55:18 recommending 8:1 **remote** 57:10,21,26 proposal 21:15 22:20, record 2:5 9:6 36:8,19 repeat 15:20 37:25 question 38:9,22 39:7, 41:23,24,27 42:6 48:24 24 23:26,27 24:9 25:6, 14,17 40:5 48:18,22 reply 39:21 44:1 46:26 11 29:13,26,28 30:12, 51:20,23,27 52:23 50:5,6,12 51:8 52:2 47:23 27 37:9 38:1,5,8 39:3 54:27 58:9,10,11,13 54:15,18,25 55:16 57:7 reporter 3:6 7:10 16:11 40:7,27 45:17,27 48:20 recorded 13:15 questions 35:6 39:5 49:2 51:10,25 54:17,26 40:14 41:20 55:10 recover 13:23 14:4 quick 15:21 reporting 8:6 12:1 17:10,21 20:9 21:15 proposals 22:26,28 quoted 14:7 reporting@cpuc.ca. 22:10 26:20,21 29:8 25:23 30:3 37:10,18 gov 7:17 **propose** 3:17 24:20 R recovered 16:5 represent 29:9 proposed 7:19,23,25 recovering 22:4 23:3 representative 6:25 12:23,26,28 13:10,27 raised 19:7 26:4 41:14 25:8 42:10 53:9 17:4 18:1,17 19:1,15 represented 11:24 20:22 22:13 23:3,13 recovery 14:23 15:9 range 27:9 representing 4:23 5:7, 24:7,22 25:19 33:1,7,8, 17:13,17 19:11,13,20 21 11 34:8 36:7,10,28 rate 3:18 13:13 15:17, 22:15 23:8.24 25:7.12. 39:11 43:10 44:8,17,23, 22 22:24 24:10 25:7,11, 18 26:1,13 29:28 30:12 represents 45:27 25 45:1,14 46:15,20 18 33:7 35:8 49:4 55:25 35:9,19 36:10,28 38:1, request 6:16 7:13 9:21 49:12,23,26 50:17 52:4, 5,7 39:3 40:7,27 45:17, ratepayers 16:6 41:19 10:18 14:4,6 36:22 40:7 26 46:2,12,23,27 48:20 6,9 54:1,5 42:11 53:4,11 55:21 43:26 47:20 49:2 51:20 53:15 54:17, **proposes** 22:17 40:17 rates 33:1,9,12 37:14, 26 55:9,25 requested 13:2 14:23 proposing 45:24 46:6 19 38:11 24:4 **refer** 4:6,17 5:3 protest 6:20 11:23 ratesetting 7:20,22,28 requests 36:21 referring 20:28 8:3 16:3 12:17 23:22 require 10:10 38:9 43:5 reflect 44:19 protests 6:7 9:24 43:1 Rationing 13:16 required 7:24 9:3 53:9 Reform 5:2 reach 42:13 25:28 30:5 40:9 52:11, **provide** 7:11 8:16 9:2 regular 36:2 24 54:15 reality 29:10 31:9 48:9 49:6 56:13 reiterate 26:8 45:7 requirement 9:5 13:3 reason 31:7 55:11,13 provided 30:26 14:6,22,24,26 15:18,23 reiterated 11:22 reasonable 13:4,8,11, 22:14 24:1 28:16 30:4 providing 21:21 38:12 13,19 14:24 22:16 reiterates 9:21 48:7 requirements 8:7,23 25:16,17 27:5,8,9 rejoin 48:4 10:4 **public** 4:16 43:14 47:7 29:22,25 30:7,13 32:23, 56:8,10 57:7 24 33:3,5,7,10,14 34:11 related 19:27 requires 7:3 25:22 42:13 49:2 published 46:25 relating 30:18 requiring 9:9 reasonableness purpose 2:19 reluctant 35:17 reserve 41:4 13:21 18:13 19:18 28:5 pursuant 8:7 35:10 36:2 55:7,19,24 rely 24:10 reset 29:7 pursued 22:9 reasons 9:23 remain 15:3 residents 32:1 rebuttal 43:18 47:12,17 **push** 32:5 remainder 2:27 **Resolution** 7:21 43:3 55:1 pushed 26:4 remains 9:6 resolve 3:21

Index: promote..resolve

resources 10:23 schedule 2:21.27 shorter 52:1 stage 48:25 40:16 42:28 44:10,18, respect 21:15 significance 25:13 start 29:15 54:12 23,26 45:1,14,23 46:20 respond 48:8 49:27 49:12,23,27 50:18 52:4 **significant** 18:9 29:19 **starting** 8:17 15:27 53:18 54:10 55:28 50:11 19:28 23:10 30:19 responding 30:25 33:15 34:12 43:11 44:5 scheduled 56:8 similar 19:12.21 35:24 response 4:14.28 6:4 47:27 56:16 24:24 36:22 schedules 43:10 **simply** 14:8,20 50:5 **state** 23:7 40:26,27 responses 6:8 scheduling 43:9 44:17 single 11:9 45:2 53:3 stated 9:24 13:24 22:21 rest 14:25 **scope** 2:20,24 12:16 sir 27:17 23:22 39:23 41:15 45:10 56:7 14:17 17:7,22 18:3,14, restrict 10:18 **situation** 53:21,24 16 22:27 24:7 32:11 **statement** 9:25 11:24, 44:21 50:13 restrictions 8:6 10:27 **size** 16:1 27 12:22,24 13:1,27 **scoped** 24:22 14:7,13 22:22 23:23 result 25:22 slightly 23:1 24:14 26:4,10 39:20,23, revenue 13:3,18 14:6, **scoping** 3:2 17:4 20:22 slower 27:28 27 46:11 49:14 22,24,26 15:17,22 30:4 42:10 43:7 46:24 **slowly** 3:5 7:8 18:13 22:14,24 23:28 58:5 statements 12:19 24:9 28:15 34:8 36:3 **small** 57:13 section 13:9,12,14,20, **states** 14:22 25 14:21 15:5 21:14,23 review 19:18 42:26 smoother 52:17 stating 42:5 44:16 22:3,8,13 26:5 30:18 revised 25:20 **sole** 14:6 32:19,28 33:22 34:7,19, **status** 3:28 7:16 25 35:2 risk 35:23 51:4 solely 14:14 28:18 stipulated 36:19 46:18 Sections 22:18 road 35:23 strike 17:5 sort 22:27 42:22 seek 17:17 Robert 5:6 strikes 30:8.14 sought 28:5,13 seeking 14:9 15:9 17:9, room 11:10 strikethrough 22:17 21 29:8 37:18 sounded 35:17 46:14 **Rule** 7:24 **strongly** 12:4 53:18 seeks 13:23 53:13 sounds 18:6,7 rules 8:8 9:1,2,16 **subject** 20:15 48:10 sense 17:17 25:10 source 26:23 28:7,10, ruling 40:19,24,25 39:10 54:22,23 submit 40:8 14 32:25 42:14.16 47:1,6 52:5,15,16 54:21 separate 18:25 19:4 submits 9:1 20:8 55:6 sources 22:5,11 23:4 39:5,13 28:9,19 S **submitted** 36:20 44:10 separately 12:21 Southern 2:11 4:4 subparagraph 14:5 **S-U-N-G** 4:9 serve 30:7 38:4 47:4 speak 3:5,7 4:2 7:8,10, subsection 13:5 20:28 12,14 27:21,27 **sales** 33:5 served 7:3 12:19 36:23 21:2,17 23:14,16 33:4, 50:24 speaking 4:3 6,8 Santa 5:16,17 **service** 2:23 6:6,9,15, subsidize 19:16 special 8:27 **scare** 27:3 16,17,23,26 7:1,2 12:14 subsidized 19:2 **specific** 19:17 38:22 **SCE** 8:20 9:1 17:20 14:10 21:22,25,27 22:1 39:6 52:12 21:21 22:7,8 23:14,16, 38:12 subsidizing 31:28 22 24:11 25:3,18,28 specifically 16:13 **set** 12:20,25,27 36:23 **subsidy** 24:10 31:24 26:17 29:8,18 30:2 39:19 speculative 15:13 39:5,16 41:2 47:4 substantial 18:26 16:21 17:16 18:8 20:12 48:20,21 55:6 Settlement 43:20 sufficient 19:6 21:20 47:14 spell 4:1 **SCE's** 13:2,5 14:23 30:27 41:1 15:17,22 21:19 22:13 severe 3:18 spelled 5:6 sufficiently 19:20 23:6 24:8 33:1,4,6 34:8 **short** 56:13 **staff** 12:1 47:10 54:4 55:7,24 suggested 18:2 28:20

Index: resources..suggested

suggesting 38:28 testing 11:7 transferring 25:24 V **Sung** 4:6,8,9 8:18,19 thanking 58:7 transition 34:8 9:17 17:1,2 20:1,2 Thanksgiving 57:12 transparency 9:3 10:3 versus 39:3 23:11,12 29:3,4 30:20, things 30:14 21,26 33:16 34:13 **true** 54:13 viable 32:3 37:21,23,26 38:25,26 40:2,3,21 44:6 38:6 thinking 29:7 turn 5:3,8,9 8:10 10:14, 47:28 48:1,15 49:16,28 16 18:19,22 21:6 26:3,7 view 27:6 32:4 37:1 50:6 54:11 56:1,18 thought 28:4 31:13,15 33:25,27 virtual 9:27 supplemental 22:23 tie 31:3 34:22,24 36:6,15 38:2,3 23:25 24:8,16 25:4,15 40:16 44:25,28 45:3,14, **vote** 40:8,12 54:14 **Tier** 33:9 26:17 30:5 31:7,21,22 18,20,22 49:12,23 50:7, voted 39:25 40:18 42:14 43:11 47:5, 17,21 52:4,22 54:6 time 2:9 3:8 4:2,3 9:12, 10 53:15 56:27 57:1 26 15:11,14,25 16:4 17:14 21:4,7,12 24:14, W **support** 8:11 10:17 **TURN's** 11:1 32:4 15 30:20 37:22 42:24 11:14,21,23 18:15 36:21 37:1 40:16 44:23 57:21 28:26 29:28 30:26 48:16 wanted 29:18 52:23 **timely** 3:21 supported 30:11 Turning 4:4 warrant 8:28 times 42:12 supports 53:13 type 39:9 51:1 warranted 40:13 title 14:21 **system** 19:7 **typical** 10:21,26 water 10:21,26 13:3,16, 17,22 18:27 21:16,24, today 2:22,28 4:13,26 26 22:1,4,6,10,12 23:3, 5:27 6:3 42:21 58:4,7 Т U 5,9 26:21,22,24 29:11, today's 7:16 17 31:5,6,25 32:8 33:5 tackling 39:16 ultimate 54:25 34:8 37:11 38:12 44:3 told 12:3 47:25 tactic 27:3 ultimately 53:21 topic 27:11 **ways** 10:20 taking 3:28 15:11 18:11 uncomfortable 20:18 total 14:11 55:14,16 43:21 56:11 weeks 40:17,25,28 understand 26:20 36:6 totality 29:9 41:6 46:28 47:6,10,13, talked 42:21 understanding 18:4 15,17 totally 53:2 **talking** 53:3,5 25:3 48:10 wishing 6:10,27 12:11 touched 26:11 technical 44:3 47:25 unduly 51:4 wording 18:1 48:3 **Toy** 2:4,13 3:12,23,24 unfortunate 29:10 4:11,15,22,25 5:1,10, words 28:13 teed 19:14 20,24 6:1,5,13,18 9:17 unilateral 12:2 work 9:10 51:25 Telephone 27:25 10:13 11:17 12:7 14:1, unique 25:26 35:16 19 15:1 16:26 17:24 worst 27:26 **telephonic** 2:1,10 7:7 18:18 19:24 20:25 21:5, **unmute** 24:28 **written** 46:10 9,13 24:25 26:2 27:14, tentatively 15:15 17:3 unnecessarily 30:9 19,21,27 28:21,28 56:8 30:16,22 31:12 32:15, unwarranted 30:9 Υ term 16:19 27 33:18,24 34:1,6,15, upcoming 27:11 31:18 21,26 35:3 36:5 37:27 terms 39:11 44:20 year 33:2 38:14,19 39:22 40:15 **utility** 5:2 10:22,23,26 test 33:1 41:7,21,27 42:18 44:12, **years** 11:26 16:4 33:2 18:27 20:4 21:16,17,19, 22 45:5,13 46:2,19 testimony 22:23 23:25 22,25,28 22:5,6,7,11,12 48:14 49:11,19 50:2,16 24:8,16 25:5,16 26:18, 23:4,5,6,9 26:23,24 Ζ 52:26 53:28 55:27 56:3, 26 30:5 31:8,22,23 31:20,25,26 37:11 21,26 57:3,20 58:3,11 37:16 40:18 43:12,16, 38:10,11,12 **Zoom** 57:10 18 46:16 47:5,9,11,12, tracking 22:14 13,15,17 53:13,15 transcript 7:16 54:28 55:1

Index: suggesting..Zoom