1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	FILED           OF THE         07/25/19
3	11:55 AM  STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	In Attendance: PRESIDENT MICHAEL PICKER
6	COMMISSIONER GENEVIEVE SHIROMA
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES RAFAEL L. LIRAG and ELAINE LAU, co-presiding
8	
9	) PUBLIC ) PARTICIPATION
10	) HEARING
11	Application of Pacific Gas and ) Electric Company for Authority, )
12	Among Other Things, to Increase ) Application Rates and Charges for Electric and ) 18-12-009
13	Gas Service Effective on January 1, ) 2020. (U39M)
14	2020. (039M) )
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT Chico, California
24	July 18, 2019 Pages 146 - 347
25	Volume - 3
26	
27	
28	Reported by: Carol A. Mendez, CSR No. 4330 Shannon Ross, CSR No. 8916

1	STATEMENTS	
2		
3	MR. SCHOENHOFER	157
4	MR. KENNEY	158
5	MR. WHITEHURST	164
6	MRS. WHITEHURST	168
7	MS. HARMON-JONES	169
8	MR. WOLT	174
9	MR. CARDOZA	176
10	MR. MULHOLLAND	178
11	MR. NICHOLS	181
12	MS. ROGERS	183
13	MR. HOFFMAN	188
14	MR. McLEAN	191
15	MS. SAVAGE	193
16	MS. SULLIVAN	197
17	MS. STEVENS	200
18	MS. KENNEDY	203
19	MR. BARKER	204
20	MR. BRISTOW	206
21	MS. DENNIS	207
22	MS. BENSON	211
23	MS. MEEHAN	214
24	MR. BRADDY	215
25	MR. BENSON	217
26	MR. ZENER	220
27	MS. PARKE	222
28	MS. HILL	225

1	MS.	FABIAN	226
2	MR.	McCOLLUM	230
3	MS.	YOUNG	234
4	MR.	GOWINS	237
5	MR.	WOODS	242
6	MS.	WESTBROOK	243
7	MR.	PATTERSON	246
8	MR.	HARTHORN	247
9	MR.	SCHULTZ	249
10	MR.	CONNELL	252
11	MS.	AZEVEDO	254
12	MS.	HILDERBRAND	255
13	MR.	MINTO	257
14	MR.	SHALLENBERGER	260
15	MR.	"DUSTY"	263
16	MR.	CAVALLERO, JR.	265
17	MS.	HUNT	266
18	MR.	SCHOENHOFER	276
19	MR.	KENNEY	277
20	MR.	SHIER	284
21	MR.	SUTTER	286
22	MR.	"ARNOLD"	288
23	MS.	SHARY	291
24	MR.	VERCRUYSSEN	292
25	MR.	ONATE	294
26	MR.	STONEBRAKER	296
27	MS.	ADEMA	301
28	MS.	KNIGHT	303

1	MR.	DURKIN	305
2	MS.	SCARPA	307
3	MR.	GEISER	307
4	MR.	BEITZ	309
5	MR.	HENSON	311
6	MR.	MILBURN	312
7	MR.	LICKEY	314
8	DR.	KAISER	315
9	MR.	SLOBODZIAN	318
10	MS.	PRIDDY	321
11	MR.	PRIDDY	323
12	MR.	RANNEY	323
13	MS.	McREYNOLDS	329
14	MS.	RACKLEY	331
15	MS.	SMITH	334
16	MR.	GOYMER	336
17	MR.	HANEY	337
18	MR.	WINSLOW	340
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	CHICO, CALIFORNIA
2	JULY 18, 2019 - 1:00 P.M.
3	* * * *
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LIRAG: All
5	right. I guess it's 1:00 p.m. So we'll
6	start. Let's go on the record. Please come
7	to order.
8	This is the time and place for the
9	Public Participation Hearing for the General
10	Rate Case Application of Pacific Gas &
11	Electric Company or PG&E. And the
12	Application was designated as A.18-12-009.
13	Good afternoon, Everyone. Thank you
14	for all coming here.
15	May name is Rafael Lirag and I'm the
16	Administrative Law Judge assigned to review
17	this proceeding. With me is Administrative
18	Law Judge Elaine Lau. She is co-assigned
19	with myself to review this proceeding.
20	Let's pause to give President Picker
21	time to get up to the podium. Let's go off
22	the record.
23	(Off the record.)
24	ALJ LIRAG: All right. Let's go back
25	on the record.
26	So, with us this afternoon is a
27	Commissioner, Commissioner Shiroma, and then
28	we have President Picker. So the assigned

Commissioner is Liane Randolph. She is not here today, but we'll work with her office.

And the hearing is being transcribed, so her office will get a copy of everything that is said here today, as well as the other -- the two other Commissioners. So there's a total of five Commissioners with the Public

Utilities Commission.

Right now I would like to turn it over to President Picker first if he wants to make a few opening remarks.

PRESIDENT PICKER: Thanks. I will be very brief.

This is one of the few of our formal actions in our processes which are very legalistic where we just hear general comments from the public.

My experiences in rate cases is that we hear a variety of different issues that folks are concerned about. But generally we don't answer questions as Commissioners. We are decision-makers. We're almost like judges. So we are only able to make a decision on the evidence that is presented to us on the record. This will form part of the record. But in every case we're, as decision-makers, really not able to speak to opinions, our thoughts, until we actually

render a formal decision at the end of the 1 2 process when all of the evidence is before 3 us. 4 Having said that, we're very 5 interested to hear what people have to say, but please bear with us if we don't react or 6 respond to questions. 7 8 Thank you very much. 9 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you, President 10 Picker. 11 Next we'll have a few opening 12 remarks from Commissioner Shiroma. 13 COMMISSIONER SHIROMA: Yes. Thank you, 14 Judge. Good afternoon, everyone. My name 15 is Genevieve Shiroma and I am one of the five 16 17 Commissioners on the Commission, and I'm

is Genevieve Shiroma and I am one of the five Commissioners on the Commission, and I'm pleased to attend today's public participation hearing regarding the PG&E General Rate Case and to attend with President Picker and Judges.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Now, back in March, I had the opportunity to visit Chico and also Paradise and throughout some of surrounding areas accompanied by the team at CAL FIRE. And during that visit, I was able to spend a little bit of time with Mayor Jones of Paradise, City Manager Gill and City Council

member Zuccolillo. And I was really struck by the dedication to rebuilding the community after the devastating wildfires. And I assured that the Commission is continuing to do its part in the path forward.

Today's comments are very important at public hearings like today's, and I very much value it. I want to thank everyone for attending and speaking today. As President Picker said, we are here to listen and to hear from you and there will be a record that will go into the deliberations and ultimately before the Commission as we vote. We won't be voting today. We are listening today.

So I look forward to hearing your comments and I will be attending the participation hearing this evening as well.

And I thank you all for being here.

Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you, Commissioner Shiroma.

I would also like to point out
Adenike Adeyeye. She's an advisor to
Commissioner Guzman Aceves' Office. And we
also have Leuwam Tesfai, who is an advisor
for Commissioner Shiroma.

Let's go off the record.

(Off the record.)

ALJ LIRAG: Back on the record.

So I just introduced two advisors. They're probably going to stick around for a couple of minutes after the hearing. If you have factual questions or questions on how to obtain more information about the PUC, they're going to stay a couple of minutes after the hearing and you can approach them. But I think they're only here for a couple of minutes.

You can also approach the person that is outside that signed you up to speak. She is a representative of the Commission's Public Advisor's Office. They provide general information regarding the Public Utilities Commission. She can also point you to helpful links and tell you how to get in touch with us through e-mail or by writing.

I also want to introduce the two court reporters that are seated in front of us. They're taking down every comment that is going to be said here today. So President Picker and Commissioner Shiroma can review the comments and then the other Commissioners can also go over them.

We are here today to hear you talk about the PG&E's application, which is a general rate case application that they file

every three years. So the general rate case application sets the revenue requirement or the funding level that PG&E says that it needs in order to provide safe and reliable services to you. So these are gas and electric services. And we would like to hear from you regarding your thoughts about PG&E's application or any personal experiences that you may have regarding their service.

They're going to briefly provide an overview of what is contained in the application, if you don't know what the application entails.

But generally for 2020, the average customer -- the average customer's bill will increase by \$10.57. So this is for the average customer. If you consume more than the average customer, then it's probably going to go up a little bit more than that. But PG&E will talk about that when they present their application in the next couple of minutes.

So right now there's just a couple of more things I want to tell you about the PUC.

So, the PUC is the agency that has regulatory authority over what PG&E does and the PUC has five Commissioners that are

appointed by the Governor. So the five Commissioners are the ones that will vote on the outcome of the application. So we, as the -- Judge Lau and I as the Administrative Law Judges, we will be the ones to review the application. We'll be conducting hearings later in September and October. At those hearings, we'll have witnesses from PG&E present testimony and evidence. We'll also have other parties present counter testimony and their own witnesses and evidence.

So there are several parties that are parties to this proceeding that oppose PG&E's -- what PG&E is asking for. So, I don't think anyone from those parties are here but they are here -- they do attend the public hearings every now and then. They were at Stockton yesterday. So this was TURN The Utility Reform Network.

Let's go off the record for a while.

(Off the record.)

ALJ LIRAG: Let's go back on the record.

I just want to note that Senator
Nielsen is here inside the room. He is here
to listen to what you all have to say, also
and he is here to observe this public
hearing.

Thank you, Senator Nielson, for taking time to come here.

So we'll get to hear your comments pretty soon, but right now I want to turn it over to the PG&E representative to say a few words regarding the application.

# STATEMENT OF MR. SCHOENHOFER

Welcome. Can everybody hear me okay? Welcome and thank you everyone for attending today's public participation hearing on PG&E's 2020 general rate case proposal.

My name is Carl Schoenhofer and I am the Senior Manager for PG&E's North Valley Division. Much of my day-to-day focus includes working with our operational teams to improve our customer experience.

This is one of 17 hearings being posted by the CPUC throughout our 70,000 square-mile service area.

At each location, representatives from PG&E will be available to assist customers with their questions. Some of those folks are out in the entryway as you walked in. We also have representatives here today who can help track down information you need related to the specific proceeding. Our customers' feedback in this process is

crucial for us to hear because the application outlines a series of critical safety investments to help address the state's growing wildfire threat and further protect the 16 million people we serve.

Thank you to the Commission, the Administrative Law Judges Lirag and Lau and Commissioner Shiroma, as well as President Picker for this opportunity to learn more from our customers.

Now I would like to introduce

Robert Kenney. He is Vice President of

Regulatory and External Affairs for PG&E. He
would like to say a few words.

# STATEMENT OF MR. KENNEY

Carl, thank you. Good afternoon, everyone.

Let me start by thanking President
Picker and Commission Shiroma, Judge Lau,
Judge Lirag for giving us the opportunity to
say a few brief words and for the opportunity
to participate in this process.

I also want to thank all the members of the public who have taken time out of their day to show up and to participate and be a part of the process. You are why we are here. So thank you very much for being here. And, Senator, thank you also for

participating and being here as well.

As Carl said, my name is Robert
Kenney. I am PG&E's Vice President of State
and Regulatory Affairs. And my job is to
manage PG&E's filings in relationships with
all of the regulatory bodies with which we
interact and with the state and local
governmental entities with which we interact.

Before joining PG&E, I had the privilege of serving on the Public Utilities Commission in Missouri. And I had the privilege of participating in similar hearings as these.

These hearings provide a transparent and respectful way for us to interact with and communicate with our customers. We do truly value and I speak on behalf of the entire company when I say we value and appreciate and look forward to the public's participation in this process. And the primary reason we are here is to listen to what you have to say.

Briefly, I just want to indicate that PG&E's most important responsibility is the safety of our customers and the communities that we serve. And one of the most important ways that we achieve that goal is through the filing of our general rate

case proposal which outlines our plans to upgrade technology and infrastructure to enhance our ability to provide safe and reliable gas and electric service.

Our proposal will help bolster fire prevention efforts, risk monitoring and emergency response efforts. It will also add new and enhanced safety measures. It will increase vegetation management and will harden our electric systems, increase resiliency and help further reduce the risk of wildfire.

Under our proposal, we are requesting an increase of \$1.1 billion over current revenues. More than half of the proposed increase would be directly related to wildfire prevention, risk reduction and additional safety enhancements.

And as Judge Lirag indicated, if approved by the CPUC, it would increase a typical residential customer's bill by approximately 6.4 percent or \$10.57 a month. And that includes \$8.73 per month for electricity and \$1.84 a month for natural gas.

So, I believe it's important that you understand and that we share with you where your money is going. It's also

important that we share with you and tell you where your money is not going.

While the GRC proposal is intended to help fund a series of significant and important safety investments, it does not request funding for any PG&E corporation or utility officer compensation. That means it does not go to paying my salary or the salaries of any of my colleagues.

The proposal also does not request funding for potential wildfire claims involved in the Chapter 11 bankruptcy process.

The GRC proposal is a significant request. We recognize that fact and it comes at a difficult time. There's really never a good time for a rate increase and we do understand that. As always, our commitment is to keep customer costs as low as possible while meeting our responsibilities to safely serve our customers even as changing climate risks present significant new challenges.

The funding proposal, as you know and as the judge has indicated, is subject to the CPUC's thorough, open and transparent review and approval process. As President Picker indicated, this is the part of the process that is exclusively devoted to

1 hearing from customers. 1 2 We encourage and welcome our 3 customers to provide feedback and participate 4 in this important public process. I thank 5 you again for being here today, and we very much look forward to hearing what you have to 6 7 say. Thank you again for allowing us the 8 opportunity to speak. 9 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 10 Is there anyone here a representative from any of the parties? 11 12 (No response.) 13 ALJ LIRAG: Hearing none. 14 Let's proceed. First, let me just 15 interrupt with a safety announcement: 16 case of an emergency, we will follow instructions from the CHP officer over here 17 18 regarding how to evacuate if we need to 19 evacuate. In the event of an earthquake, we'll do the duck, cover and hold. Please 20 21 raise your hand if you know CPR so that we 22 can ask for your assistance. All right. 23 Okay. So, thank you. Please provide us your 24 assistance, but, hopefully, it won't be 25 needed. So that's our little safety 26 announcement. 27 Let me just clarify regarding the 28 figures that you heard. So those are the

figures if all of PG&E's requests are approved. So a different outcome may result depending on the evidence and depending on what the Commissioners vote on regarding the application.

So, now, let's get to the main part, which is to hear from you. I have a list of 30 names. If you're not here at the end of this list, I'm going to ask if people did not sign up, but want to talk. So there's going to be an opportunity for that. So I'm going to call a couple of names, probably three or four, just so you know you're next in line.

Also, we do want to hear from everyone; so let's try to cooperate and make that happen. Please direct your comments to us. We want to hear from you.

What you say has bearing on the application, and what is decided regarding the application. So, please, avoid or limit addressing the rest of the folks that are out here. If you want to talk to them, we can do that after the hearing, but for the most part, please direct your comments to us, the four of us here. We definitely want to hear from you, and so the reporters can take down every word that you're saying.

When you are called, please spell

your last name. We may have gotten it wrong when we took down your name. So we want to get it right when the reporters take it down in the transcript. Also, please put your phones on silent. Off the record.

(Phone ringing.)

ALJ LIRAG: All right. Let's go back on the record. Please double-check your phones to make sure it's on silent so we don't interrupt whoever is talking. We want to be fully focused on whoever is up there on the podium.

I apologize for not having enough chairs. This is probably one of the biggest places we could find, but, hopefully, you're all right there.

We'll try to get through everyone so you're not standing for a long time.

So let's start right away. First up will be Norman Whitehurst, followed by Josie Whitehurst, and then Debra Herman-Jones.

Mr. Whitehurst.

## STATEMENT OF MR. WHITEHURST

Hi. My name is Norman Whitehurst, W-h-i-t-e-h-u-r-s-t. My comments do not affect or are in any way against the PG&E employees. So I don't want my comments to be taken -- misconstrued in that aspect. I am

concerned we don't have enough information because I know they're going to give us different numbers. These are just kind of general and broad numbers, but we don't really know what the details are as far as that goes.

I'm concerned about the amount. It seems like every time something goes wrong, the consumer has to pay that price. Before it was a water issue. We conserved water and our rates went up.

So it seems like everything that as a consumer we do, seems like we're penalized. It's like saying if I were to hook a generator to the side of my house, and I do something wrong, will PG&E come and fix it? They're going to tell me: Oh, that's your fault. That's an error on you, that you've done something wrong. It was a mistake.

So it just seems like everyone else is just paying for other people's mistakes and that's my biggest concern that we seem to have.

Rates, as far as wildfire prevention, I'm not sure if that's totally their fault, an issue, and the reason why I say that, as I travel through California, I see wires and cables going through trees, and

asked the question: Well, why do you guys have wires going through trees?

And for some reason or another it's because environment doesn't want them to cut the trees down. So some things that we do we prevent them from making sure that we don't have wildfires, we don't have shorts and things like that. So it's kind of a state issue and not just PG&E, and I think they should also work to take care of those issues also.

So some of that money, instead of making us pay an extra \$10 or whatever the case may be and that's what it is now to maybe later, maybe the State needs to pick it up. Again, we're going to pay for in the long run in any event.

But my biggest concern is the amount of money that's being asked for. And we don't know what the details are for that amount of money. They also say: We're going to do this; we're going to do that. Money gets redirected or gets used for something else because we need to know the budget. I don't know how well that plays and when you approve a budget amount.

So the only thing I actually look at, what I see down here, would be on the

last part of it on your statement, as far
as -- and I think you have the \$2.5 million.

You're talking about gas main replacement,
construction, pressure regulators, things
like that. Those items I can understand, but
some of the other ones, I think, probably
should come from someplace else; okay. So
that's my concern.

I'm not sure if you can rearrange that number or they can request a lesser amount, I don't know. But I understand stuff has to get taken care of. They have to move forward as a business, I get that part of it, but I'm concerned about the amount. That's all.

I want to thank you very much for your time.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you, Mr. Whitehurst.

Because there's a lot of speakers today, we generally try not to time how long you're taking -- and this isn't anything against Mr. Whitehurst. This is me not reading off my script.

So each speaker should take about three-to-five minutes. Once you get to five minutes, I'm going to try to signal you. I'm not going to cut you off, but please wrap-up when I signal you.

Also, just to make sure everyone gets a chance to speak, I'll apologize in advance if I have to interrupt someone just to redirect us to what the topic is regarding this afternoon's public hearing.

At the end, after everyone has spoken, I'm going to also say a few things regarding where you can find out more detailed information about PG&E's application for those that are interested.

So, next, let's have Mrs. Whitehurst.

## STATEMENT OF MRS. WHITEHURST

I'm Josie Whitehurst,

W-h-i-t-e-h-u-r-s-t, and I just had a couple of things that were running through my mind about Butte county having suffered a great financial burden because of the fires; that we are distressed as a community and to bear more stress because of PG&E raising our rates at this time, I think is not acceptable.

I also would like to ask why PG&E now wants to shore up their infrastructure. It seems like closing the barn door after the horse has gotten out. I think they know and have known for a long time that they have needed to shore up the infrastructure.

And the residents of Paradise were

1 sent a notice that their power may have been 2 turned off last fall before the fire, the 3 week of, and PG&E never did turn the power off. And I ask, Why? Why not then? I do believe that would have made a 5 huge difference to the residents of Paradise 6 7 and to our community. 8 Also, I was listening to the news 9 prior to PG&E declaring bankruptcy, and it 10 was reported that their highest corporate 11 officers were given large raises before the 12 bankruptcy was asked for and put into place, 13 and I asked why that money couldn't have been 14 used instead of our money to raise the rates 15 to shore up the infrastructure. 16 So in closing, I would like to ask 17 you to consider the burden that we all are 18 under as citizens of Butte county. 19 Thank you. 20 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 21 Next up is Ms. Debra Harmon-Jones, 22 followed by Gary Wolt, Joe Cardoza and Bob 23 Mulholland. 24 STATEMENT OF MS. HARMON-JONES 25 Debra Harmon-Jones, D-e-b-r-a, 26 H-a-r-m-o-n-J-o-n-e-s. 27 Thank you for hosting this.

never attended one of these before, and I

28

want to thank Senator Nielsen for sending out the mailers because that's why I'm here partially. And partially I'm here to represent people that didn't know about this meeting, people that have lost so much.

My husband and I were very lucky.

We live in Oroville. We were mandatory

evacuated for two weeks, and we had a home to

return to so we were extremely lucky.

I'm here because fire victims who endured deaths an/or total home or possession loss should not pay higher rates as they move to the areas nearby - Chico, Oroville, Gridley, Yuba City, et cetera. So that's, basically, agreeing with the Whitehursts, what their statements were.

California rates are already high.

The 22 cents for kilowatt hour for Tier 1

billing is twice that of other states, such

as Arizona and Texas, where they pay half of
that on their Tier 1 billing.

And just as Mrs. Whitehurst mentioned, the executives were given large bonuses before the bankruptcy, and it seems to me that the PG&E workers don't have a lot of say in the operations. I mean, maybe they do and it just doesn't seem that way, but I think that they work very hard to keep the

equipment running, but it seems like in the last five years or so there's been a big change to that.

And it's almost like a business or business model or culture that it's less expensive for the company to pay claims than to properly maintain the equipment or replace it, and this is based on my personal experience with low voltage issues.

And we had loss of appliances, like four of them, within a couple of months. And so, you know, you file a claim, but, you know, that doesn't -- it's prorated. You still have to go out and buy the stuff and pay more money and all of that.

Then we found out that a year later, the equipment was still tagged out. They refer to it as "lock-out/tag-out."

So our problem resurfaced and so it just seems to be kind of a culture of the way of doing business, and I don't fault the workers for that. That's got to be a way higher level than they are.

My husband and I have been plaqued for years with outages and low voltage because we are in a rural area. I feel that the rural areas kind of get second billing to the more populated city areas, which maybe

that's normal. I don't know. But there have been so many low voltage outages -- and there was regulator equipment, not near our house, maybe eight miles away or whatever, and they had a really hard time getting that squared away.

And then that kind of brings me to the question about the SmartMeter. The SmartMeter doesn't seem to be very smart because when you try to -- if you are having a low voltage situation -- obviously, your surge protectors aren't going to help you with that, but you get this low voltage situation, and you go online to try to do an online report, and the thing checks your meter and it says: Well, you've got power. You know, there's no outage in your area.

Just recently I had to call emergency into PG&E because we were in such a low voltage, brown-out situation - I mean it was really low - that it was going to ruin all of our stuff.

When you live rural, you have well pumps and other things, you know, to take care of besides just your appliances.

So, anyway, the people that I've spoken to on the phone, and workers that have come out to the house have been absolutely

1 wonderful. And this woman on the phone, I said, Hey, you're going to ruin all of our 2 3 stuff, and all our neighbors, and, you know, you need to turn the power off to our area 5 because it's so bad because the voltage was, ] 6 like, under a hundred. I mean 240 is normal. 7 And then wild fluctuation. Μy 8 husband and I have taught some of our 9 neighbors how to read what your voltage is on 10 your SmartMeter because they didn't know how 11 to do that. 12 ALJ LIRAG: Are you able to wrap up in 13 a minute? 14 MS. WHITEHURST: Yes. So anyway, the 15 fire prevention and safety enhancements I 16 would think would be an incurrent of just 17 doing business, the type of business that 18 they do. And California already had to pay 19 millions of dollars in fire prevention fees 20 for several years, which that is not ongoing

So, anyway, I just feel that this would be a hardship at the time, especially for those that lost everything. I can't even imagine.

right now. And there is also an additional

Thank you.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

charge on your property tax bill.

1 Mr. Wolt.

## STATEMENT OF MR. WOLT

My name is Gary Wolt, W-o-l-t.

ALJ LIRAG: German.

MR. WOLT: I'm as nervous as all get out. I am not a public speaker, but I am a Camp Fire survivor and I lost everything.

You people are trying to balance this rate increase of how much, without creating public outrage that this should be happening. I don't envy your job.

I worked in the Feather River Canyon as a young man. And I watched the PG&E employees back then. Something has dramatically changed in that company in the last 40 years.

I don't know if you have the ability, if your job entails actually looking at PG&E and how it's organized. But they have lost something, whether it's the people at the ground level being involved with the CEOs and their board and deciding where this money goes and how it's allocated, I don't know. But having a bunch of pencil pushers in charge of PG&E that have never worked in the field, that have never climbed a pole, that doesn't seem right. You may or may not have the ability to affect that. I don't

know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I don't want to ramble on here, but the Governor just signed a bill, maybe you can help me out here. It had something to do with a wildfire contingency fund. We are paying for that. I'm also paying for a rate increase. How about we use some of that money to defray the rate increase? Does it all have to apply to just the consumer? You know?

I mean if we're going to affect every PG&E customer in the state, maybe we need to use some of those emergency funds to help those people that are on the bubble. And then part of your deliberation on whether to grant this increase, there's a certain amount of our society that is always on that financial bubble, and a simple increase, and I don't care what the numbers PG&E puts on a piece of paper, they can tell you anything, but there's a certain amount of people in our society that are always financially on that bubble. And when you do a rate increase, you have to ask yourself how many poor homeless people are you going to make? Every tax increase, every utility increase, you are affecting every household in this state and some can be affected adversely.

I don't know where you pull your information to make these decisions, but I'm hoping and praying that you do what's best for the consumer in this state.

Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Mr. Cardoza.

## STATEMENT OF MR. CARDOZA

Hello. Can you hear me? Joe Cardoza, C-a-r-d-o-z-a.

And this is not just for you, but also for my fellow citizens. Just last week on July 10th, the Wall Street Journal claims that PG&E knew parts of their transmission lines system were faulty and potential -- a potential for wildfire hazard existed.

And that Probation Judge William
Alsup wants PG&E to respond. Document
obtained by the Freedom of Information Act
states that for years PG&E declined to pay
for upgrades. Yet, after starting so many
fires -- and there's tens of them over the
last so many years; they had money,
\$79 million for logging in the year 2011.
They didn't pay taxes between 2008 and 2010.
They got a billion dollars in rebates. They
made a profit back then of 4.8 billion. They
increased executive pay by 94 percent. These

are things they may not know. And they paid out \$5 billion in dividends before bankruptcy to their investors.

So why do we have to pay for this?

We know they're at fault for this. And yet they hand out bonuses. They pay for lobbyists. They make contributions to politicians. And then they're asking for 1.1 billion when they're paying out dividends of \$5 billion. So how come nobody says to them, "Save that money and use it to pay for your errors for burning down people's towns."

And I hate to have to put it so dramatic, but they killed 85 people. They knew that C-hook was bad.

So may I suggest that they take the money from their own funding. If they have \$5 billion for dividends, if they have millions of dollars for bonuses, they can pay for their own safety equipment.

They always talk about safety
first. They put out commercials for years
and yet it's proven that they did not fix
their lines. May I suggest in the future
that they build a fund for themselves?
Instead of profits, instead of dividends to
investors, that they keep a fund, an
emergency fund for a rainy day, just like

178

Governor Brown did for the State of 1 2 California. Why don't they do that? 3 wrong with that business model? It's a good 4 idea. I don't know why they don't come up 5 with this themselves. I also find it funny we have to 6 7 To me, the California Public speak. Utilities Commission without our input should be going, "Hey, you guys have all this extra 9 10 money. You gave out bonuses and dividends. 11 You pay for it." 12 Am I wrong here, people? 13 AUDIENCE MEMBERS: (Collective "No." 14 Resounding applause by audience.) 15 MR. CARDOZA: I shouldn't even have to 16 speak with you. If it doesn't go that way, 17 all I have got to say as humans, "Shame on 18 vou." 19 That's it. 20 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 21 Next Mr. Mulholland, followed by 22 Brad Nichols, Karen Rogers, Linda Cartier and 23 Steve Hoffman. 24 Mr. Mulholland first. 25 STATEMENT OF MR. MULHOLLAND 26 I'm Bob Mulholland of Chico, 27 M-u-l-h-o-l-l-a-n-d. I'm here -- to Bob, 28 thanks for bringing up that Wall Street

1 Journal. 2 I'm here to state why PG&E needs 3 this rate increase. AUDIENCE MEMBER: What? Say that 5 again. MR. MULHOLLAND: State why PG&E needs 6 7 this rate increase, just like everyone else. 8 San Bruno gas explosion killed many 9 people. They got convicted. They're a 10 convicted felon. They're on parole. They 11 have to report to their parole officer, which 12 is a Federal judge. 13 The CEO of PG&E earlier this year 14 said that PG&E was responsible for the Camp 15 Fire; that she was responsible. 16 Right down the street here in Hagen 17 Lane. FEMA is putting in a hundred units for 18 some of those survivors and some have spoken 19 today. 19,000 structures destroyed. 20 \$9 billion in damage. And for those who've 21 said, or others have said, 85 people dead. 22 She immediately quit. She wasn't arrested. 23 She quit. And PG&E gave her an \$8 million, I 24 believe, severance package. 25 And then what did PG&E do? They put 26 the word out they needed a new CEO. 27 did they hire? Bill Johnson from the East

Coast. He was fired at one utility and the

28

180

```
1
     other utility he was so incompetent he cost
2
     the ratepayers tens of millions of dollars.
3
     And he was going to get moving expenses
 4
     because when you pay somebody several million
5
     dollars, you really can't expect them to pay
     for their U-Haul. And they put in the
6
7
     contract for Bill Johnson that if he gets
8
     fired for incompetence, he'll get several
9
     million dollars in severance package.
10
           ALJ LIRAG: Mr. Mulholland.
11
           MR. MULHOLLAND:
                             Mulholland, yeah.
12
           ALJ LIRAG:
                        No.
                             I'm not going to
13
     interrupt you.
14
           MR. MULHOLLAND:
                             I know you're not.
15
           ALJ LIRAG: I'm just going to tell you
16
     to speak a little slower.
17
           MR. MULHOLLAND:
                             Okay.
18
           ALJ LIRAG: The reporters are
19
     struggling to get down every word --
20
           MR. MULHOLLAND:
                             Oh, yeah.
21
               (Crosstalk.)
22
           ALJ LIRAG: All right. Thank you.
23
     Please proceed.
24
           MR. MULHOLLAND: Yeah.
                                    Yeah.
                                            The
25
     Governor of this state gets about $200,000 a
26
            Why do we pay these people $8 million?
27
     Maybe the PUC doesn't have the ability to
28
     limit these people, but because you have the
```

181

1 ability to add our rates up, maybe the 2 Legislature ought to move, instead of petting 3 these people, we ought to put them in jail. 4 And as I said at the -- oh, I should say, 5 "Welcome back to Chico, Michael" -- that I would like to see some of these people put in 6 7 handcuffs for arson. It was arson at the 8 Camp Fire. 9 (Mr. Mulholland displaying handcuffs.) 10 11 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 12 Next is Mr. Nichols. STATEMENT OF MR. NICHOLS 13 14 My name is Brad Nichols, 15 N-i-c-h-o-l-s. 16 I don't have any remarks prepared. 17 I'm not much of a public speaker, so bear 18 with me. I heard about this a few hours ago. 19 I thought I'd come down here and express my 20 opinion about the rate hike. 21 ALJ LIRAG: Can you get a little closer 22 to the mic? 23 MR. NICHOLS: I thought I'd come down 24 here and express my opinion about the rate I'm against it. 25 hike. 26 I think this is a private company 27 that is out there to make profits. I think 28 they make good profits. As people have

mentioned, they make enough to pay billions in bonuses, millions to lobbyists, \$8 million to their CEO. They're asking for \$10 a month, but they're asking for more from people -- this is a community that's already been greatly affected by their actions or inactions as the case may be. And they're asking, you know, us to foot the bill for their mistakes.

They go into bankruptcy. It seems like they can't manage their equipment. They can't manage their finances, but somehow the people that are forced to use them for their power -- we don't have a choice who we get our power from, but they want us to pay for it rather than their investors who chose to associate with that company. If they had the incompetence to invest their money into that company, let them use that money to do business the way it should be done without causing towns to burn to the ground.

That's all I have to say about it. So, thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

And you spoke very well. We understood what you said.

Next is Ms. Rogers.

### STATEMENT OF MS. ROGERS

Karen Rogers, R-o-g-e-r-s.

I had some things written down, but a lot of people have already said a lot, but I just want to add my voice.

Like everybody else, I was really dumbfounded when I read in the paper and heard on the news reports that the higher-ups were getting bonuses after -- before the bankruptcy and after the fire. And I thought, oh my gosh. This is not right. And then 16 percent of your profit -- of your income is going to go to shareholders?

Something is not right there either.

And why now are you talking heavily about inspections? I don't know about anybody else, but inspections are like personal work reviews. We get reviewed every six months or once a year for many companies.

I work for the Census Bureau on a daily basis and I represent the people, not the government. I represent their voice.

You are supposed to represent our voice and what happens in this county, as well as other areas all across the United States or wherever PG&E is located.

What happened in San Bruno is unfathomable, I thought at the time. And

Paradise? That was preventable. How does anyone look at themselves in the mirror and say, "We did our job." You did not do your job. Inspections should be held regularly, especially with utilities. You're dealing with households with human beings. We are human beings for a reason, not computers, not technological components. We are real people and you're taking our lives every single day. So, please represent us.

I was at the Water Commission rate increase. There were not even half as many people there as there are today. And it just — it really bothers me because we're all expressing from our heart and our experience. And it's not going to mean a damn thing because you're going to do the rate increase. But if you're going to do the rate increase, make it worthwhile. Do your inspections. Care about the people in this community, not just what is going to go in your pocket. I feel very strongly about this.

I was fortunate enough not to be affected in personally losing everything, but I know so many people because I dealt with so many people who lived in Paradise, who lived on the Skyway and lost evening. They can't replace that. Thank God many of them

185

1 survived, but we lost 86 people in that fire. 2 And I don't remember how many people were 3 lost in San Bruno. 4 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Eight. MS. ROGERS: Pardon? 5 6 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Eight. 7 MS. ROGERS: Eight. Eight is eight too 8 many. Yes. But it's all preventable. 9 do your job. We have to do ours in our work. Do your job. 10 11 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 12 Next is Linda Cartier. MS. CARTIER: Hi. Can everybody hear 13 14 me? 15 AUDIENCE: (Collective no.) 16 MS. CARTIER: Is that better? 17 Linda Cartier, C-a-r-t-i-e-r. 18 here representing the disabled and other 19 elderly people who could not be here. 20 I don't usually come to meetings 21 like this. I have wanted to go to things 22 like City Council and things like this, but 23 when they're mostly held in the Bay Area or 24 Sacramento, I don't have a car and I don't 25 have a way to get there and I can't afford it 26 anyway. And locally I don't generally have 27 the energy to sit through a meeting. I look 28 okay, but my spine is disintegrating.

Anyway, just on a personal note, I had the money a few years ago, about 12 years ago, to buy a mobile home in Paradise for cash, but it was the beginning of the housing crunch or fiasco and I realized that I wouldn't be able to sustain the rent for the space over time because I'm on Social Security disability and SSI, and with \$951 a month income, you know, \$500 was just going to not do it for me.

If I had done that, I would have died in that fire because I haven't had a car for seven years and am unable to drive right now. So I would have been one more person dead in the fire.

So, again, personally, last summer my PG&E bill was about \$35. The rate increases are already hurting me terribly. This year, this month, it was \$44. That's \$9 more and I'm using less energy. I have all LED light bulbs, except for the fluorescents in my kitchen because I haven't afforded buying the LEDs for those. I conserve. I have a small apartment, but I can't live without the air conditioner which I used last year and paid \$35. Okay?

So here's somebody who conserves

and has several lifesaving machines at home and I can't afford any rate increases. They seem to be exponential. It seems that they keep breeding more.

Now for the heart of my -- what I think about this; first of all, the 2020 increases and the 2021 and 2022 increases total \$22.9 million. That's incredible. I have a question. When you're talking about 10 percent rate increase, is that just the -- or \$10 rate increase, is that just the 2020 increase or is that both of them? If it's not both of them, this is just plain unsustainable.

My income went up about \$5. My utility bill went up \$9. Inflation was more than that for groceries. I could end up homeless, even though I have Section 8 rental assistance, if my utility bill continues to increase like that. That's the reality for a lot of people that are elderly and/or disabled.

I want to talk about -- some people have touched on this. What are the main reasons for PG&E to cite needing this increase in revenue? Each and every one of these items should have been done all along. They should have had these funded fully all

1 along for years, decades. And that they 2 don't speaks to the problems with their 3 business model as others have said. To give huge bonuses and huge dividends when towns are getting destroyed and people are being 5 priced out of having a home because they 6 7 can't pay their utilities, is just not okay. 8 Please, do the right thing instead of acting like you're in PG&E's pocket. 9 10 Thank you. 11 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. Next is 12 Mr. Hoffman followed by Ann Schwab, Bruce 13 McLelan, Patti Savage and Susan Sullivan. 14 Mr. Hoffman. 15 STATEMENT OF MR. HOFFMAN 16 Good afternoon. My name is Steve 17 Hoffman, H-o-f-f-m-a-n. And I really don't 18 like to come to places like this but I just 19 felt like I was responsible to do that. 20 The first thing I want to say is I 21 have absolutely no confidence in PG&E being 22 able to manage money. If you throw more 23 money at them, it's just going to make a 24 bigger problem. 25 I would like to share a couple of 26 those that I experienced first-hand with.

college, I went to work at a small auto parts

When I first went to work out of

27

28

store. I was doing machine work in the back of it. Our best customer was PG&E, many of the powerhouses up and down the canyon.

What we routinely did was send new washers and dryers, refrigerators and color TVs up and down the canyon billed out as nuts and bolts and equipment. Okay.

The second example I have is I have a relative that used to work for PG&E down in San Francisco. He had a little office and all he did was make planned presentations for the PUC for rate increases.

One year he shared with me, after I shared with him the Feather River Canyon episode, he said that he felt the best way to get a rate increase was to -- they needed line trucks I guess they call them. And what he did is he went to Ford and he priced out every single piece of a line truck one item at the time and totaled it all up and it was like a quarter of a million dollars for a \$900,000 truck, of course. Okay?

So the PUC thought, "I'm going to save everybody money. PG&E, you're going to get all new line trucks." It's not like -- when you refurbish a truck, you don't buy every single piece brand new.

The very next day, he was back in

his office in San Francisco preparing his
next presentation for the PUC.

I have to check out my teleprompter here.

So how is PG&E going to get their next rate increase? It looks like they're going to burn down a community and kill 85 people, of our neighbors.

I have a question. Who is going to be held responsible for killing 85 people? The CEO of PG&E? She walked away with a bonus. I read it was \$2 million after two years of working for them. Somebody else said \$8 million. I don't actually know what the number is but I think she should be in prison for criminal neglect.

Okay. What is the fix for the PG&E? In my opinion, you've got to break up. You have a complete monopoly. And you have got to break them up. Who's going to run it? Who is going to run PG&E? Well, you need to talk to Gridley. They have it together. They're distributing their own power. The City of Gridley is doing better for it and the community is saving money.

Our house up in Tahoe, Liberty Utility's rates are about half of what they are at PG&E.

1 So, you know where these rates go. 2 Let's ask for -- we actually want a 3 five percent increase, we'll ask for ten. 4 Because of all this energy and outpouring of interest, we're going to take care of the 5 6 people. We are only going to charge 5 7 percent instead of 10 percent. Look what 8 good people we are. 9 That is all But, you know, what? 10 they wanted anyway. 11 So, thank you. 12 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 13 Ms. Schwab. 14 AUDIENCE MEMBER: She's not here. 15 ALJ LIRAG: Let's move on to Bruce 16 McLelan (sic). 17 STATEMENT OF MR. McLEAN 18 Actually, that's Bruce McLean, M-c 19 capital L-e-a-n. 20 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 21 MR. McLEAN: I recognize the Bruce, so. I -- it's just been touched on now, 22 23 but I think many if not most of the problems 24 that have been discussed and concerns that we 25 all have, have to do with PG&E being a 26 private company and not being run properly, 27 considering that our safety, security is 28 involved. And I second whoever it was who

suggested that PG&E be broken up. They have shown themselves not to be viable as a company and it is not correct to have the ratepayers pay for their unviability.

I'm very concerned that their fail-safe device to keep us safe now is to simply shut off the electricity. I mean they provide electricity. That is all they do.

If the only way that they can keep us safe ultimately is by denying us the service that we need, and it is not easy for us if they shut off our electricity, who is going to pay for the food that goes rotten in my refrigerator? Me and you. There is something criminally wrong here, something criminally wrong.

And I agree with the person who brought up Gridley and you could say Sacramento and Redding also. And there's a movement here in Chico that just started to do something somewhat similar and I encourage us to do it. I encourage our Board of Supervisors to do it on a county-wide basis so that everybody can benefit, not just Chico.

And I was very encouraged when I heard the -- I went to PG&E's wildland safety program that they had. I was thinking it was

1 going to be a forum like this where people 2 were going to be able to speak. But there 3 was no forum. There was a suggestion box. 4 And you know where my suggestion would have 5 gone had I put it in there, so. 6 I talked to people. I was happy to 7 hear that they were finally considering 8 putting underground electrical power 9 structure. Yes, it's a big investment, but 10 so is the 9 or \$15 billion that the Camp Fire 11 caused. 12 You go to the country of the 13 Netherlands, which has a very high water 14 table, it's hard to dig a hole there and not 15 strike water. Half the country is under sea 16 level. They buried all of their electrical 17 power structure. You don't see electrical 18 wires there because they have got a 19 publicly-run, smartly-administered on behalf 20 of the users public utility. 21 We don't. We have a privately-run 22 for-profit and poorly-run. So it needs to be 23 broken up and the public needs to be in 24 charge of the running of it. 25 Thank you. 26 ALJ LIRAG: Ms. Savage. 27 STATEMENT OF MS. SAVAGE 28 I'm also not a public speaker.

last name is Savage, S-a-v-a-g-e.

I am a survivor of the Camp Fire.

Fortunately, I wasn't at home when the fire came through Paradise because my son had called me on the afternoon of the 7th and said, Hey, my friend Steve is being sent to turn off the electricity in Oroville, and so he asked me to give you a call in case you wanted to -- had plans to do something tomorrow, maybe you should do it today, or if you don't want to be home, don't be home.

And I hung up, and I complained to my friend, and I said, I don't want to wake up at 4 a.m. to make coffee, and nobody likes me if I don't have coffee. And so they suggested that I go up to their house in Cohasset where they had a generator and I could have coffee whether we had electricity or not.

And so I woke up at 7 a.m. and saw the plume that mistakenly I thought was a storm cloud, and the sun was peeking out from behind it, and I said, Oh, isn't that beautiful, and we're going to have rain and we don't have to worry about fire for a while, and they said, That's not a storm cloud. And then I realized that it was a plume because it was only one.

And so I jumped in my car and I tried to make it back up, and I got to Bruce Road and Skyway at 8:30 in the morning and it was already closed. I couldn't go up.

I was devastated because I couldn't get my kids and grandkids baby pictures out of the house. I couldn't get the jacket that I kept after my mom died so when I really needed my mom, I could go hug it and smell her. There's lots of things that I had that were stuff, but there's things that can't be replaced.

And to have these corporate executives making over a million dollars a year and then asking for more money. They file bankruptcy so they can get out of paying the survivors what they're due because they were negligent in keeping their equipment up-to-date.

From what I understand, even if they would have turned off that power that day, it still would have happened because it was a transformer not a line.

So they turn off our power now, and what happens when it's another transformer that they can't keep up-to-date?

I'm tired of the CPUC giving them a break. They don't deserve it. You make sure

they make a profit, and they get money to give their shareholders every year. That's not right.

We're the victims and you're asking us to pay the victims. That would be like sending the rape victim to jail and not the rapist. It's pitiful what they're doing.

If they want to have more money, how about they reduce their salaries. How about they reduce their dividends. How about they reduce their lobbying and their campaign funds to try to bribe or whatever they plan on doing with those funds.

I'm not saying that any of the politicians, whether they knowingly accepted it or not, that they were bribed by it, but money talks. And I don't see a whole lot of people doing the walk, and I'm disappointed.

When I rebuild in Paradise, I'm going solar, and if I could have nothing to do with PG&E, I'm going to.

Because even though they're going to be there with their lines underground or overhead depending on what they decide to do, I'm not going to pay for their salaries to destroy my home again, and I think it's a shame that they are asking us to do that.

Thank you.

1 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Next is Susan Sullivan followed Linda Kennedy and Kathryn Stevens, David Barker and Keith Bristow.

## STATEMENT OF MS. SULLIVAN

Susan Sullivan, S-u-l-l-i-v-a-n, and I don't like public speaking either. I know we're not supposed to ask questions, but I want to know why this wasn't a meeting about breaking up PG&E? That is what we should be here for.

I don't know what the CPUC does.

They're supposed to regulate PG&E, watch
after them, make sure the money is spent
wisely.

After the San Bruno pipeline explosion, which is a half mile away from where I grew up, many high school friends' parents lost their homes and their lives there, and that was due to improper inspection of lines or pipes, and yet after that, PG&E asked for and got permission to do the SmartMeters. The job of the CPUC should have been to hound on PG&E to make sure their system was safe and ed inspected and repaired.

I don't know how you go home and sleep at night. On a daily basis -- I live

in Chico. Hundreds of my friends lost everything. My old home on Honey Run is gone. An old neighbor is gone.

A girlfriend, her mother had a stroke the week after the fire. Their family lost two houses in Concow. Her mother passed away last month. She is not going to be counted among the 85 dead. Not everybody here is a survivor from the Camp Fire. There are people from Chico too.

It's not only impacted -- you're penalizing the people that lost everything: Their community, their homes.

I have friends all over the state now, all over the country because it's going to be years before it's safe to move back to Paradise, and you want to bill them for the negligence of PG&E and the negligence of the CPUC to make sure PG&E maintained their lines?

I'm sorry. It's just -- it can't not be emotional. I run into friends. I ran into a friend yesterday that had spent 27 hours stuck on up on Edgewood after the fire, and that's the location where people did not make it out.

I want to know why the first thing is, if PG&E is concerned about safety, is

199

that they haven't put sirens up in these 1 2 Foothill communities. They shut down power 3 already for over 24 hours. There's no means for the people in the foothills to 5 communicate if they don't have their cell 6 phones charged. 7 If another fire came, how would 8 they even know? 9 So if PG&E's first concern is 10 safety, the first thing they should do is 11 have sirens up and down and in Chico because 12 the fire did almost come to Chico. It came to Bruce Road and over 99 I think. 13 14 It's not acceptable. They've had focus groups, spent money on that. I'm sure 15 16 sirens would be cheaper. This is not the time for a rate 17 increase. Was it \$238 million in bonuses 18 19 went out to executives? 20 How do any of you sleep at night 21 with what you've done? 22 PG&E needs to be broken up. Ιt 23 needs to be managed on a local level. 24 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 25 Next is Ms. Kathryn Stevens. So 26 Ms. Stevens is No. 16 on the list of 27 speakers. The last number I have is No. 38; 28 so let's try to get through everyone.

1 Ms. Stevens.

#### STATEMENT OF MS. STEVENS

My last name is spelled

S-t-e-v-e-n-s. I'm speaking as an
individual. I do teach up in Paradise. I
did lose my home and everything in it, but
I'm not here speaking for the school
district. I'm speaking as an individual.

A lot of things that I have to say are the same things as others, so I'll try to keep that part very quick.

My first question - I know you can't answer it, but something to consider - is how do you prevent them from actually using this money, if it were to approved, to go to wages and the lawsuit.

So that's what they're saying their intention is, but we all know how money moves within business, and I don't know if there's some way to prevent that, but if this does go forward, it would be great for you to actually not allow that.

This money should not be going for them paying for what they've done wrong and for them to be paying more money for people who are not doing their job properly.

I also am concerned about them saying that there's money for liability

1 insurance.

Having to move from Paradise to Chico, and we're able that we were able to settle in Chico. I have a \$550 increase in my rent. No one is helping me with that. That is just our responsibility.

And the people that are living in Magalia and Paradise, have a huge increase on their insurance because they can't get wildfire insurance or are paying extreme amounts of money for it, and no one is helping them.

And it's not just about: Well, we can't get; therefore, they shouldn't. That's not what we are saying.

and you can tell. A lot of people are suffering. A lot of people have lost a lot, but what they are saying is, not that's why you should make your decision. You should make your decision because they have an excess of money that they're not using in an appropriate fashion. They should be making cuts within their own wages. That's what you do when you have a business and you want to spend more money than what you are bringing in: You make cuts and you use your money more efficiently.

And that's what we're asking them to do. Not put it all on the back of the people that they've harmed or just the people that are benefiting from it.

You know, people have lost their homes. And then you're asking us to may more. Are you going to exempt us from that because we lost our homes?

Or what are you going to do to balance that?

They should not be able to collect more money off of us after they burned everything I owned.

And so I think that's another consideration to have in there. I do not understand why it's a private company that is for profit.

Like in teaching, nothing is for profit. Well, some charters, but we try not to do that. So, you know, I work for the Paradise Unified School District. We do not make money. We teach children.

They are providing a service of giving us electricity and gas. They should not be making money off of that.

The police force does not make money off of what they do. It's public service, and I think it should be the same

1 way. 2 And then the last thing, and I 3 don't know if you have an opportunity to do 4 this is just more of an explanation of this 5 process and how this works, as far as you making your decision and what you consider. 6 I know there's a websites and 7 things like that, but since we're here if you 8 9 could explain how this is going to go forth, 10 that would be appreciated. 11 Thank you. 12 ALJ LIRAG: I think I skipped Ms. Linda 13 Kennedy. 14 MS. KENNEDY: I thought you did. 15 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you for waiting, 16 Ms. Kennedy. 17 STATEMENT OF MS. KENNEDY 18 Thank you for having me. Thank you 19 for this opportunity for me to speak. 20 Others have expressed a lot of what I wanted to say eloquently and very factually 21 22 and I appreciate that. 23 So the point that I would like to 24 make is I want better governance. I want 25 PG&E to be operating in such a way that we 26 don't have to worry about a high wind; we 27 don't have to trade wind for electricity.

And I really honestly don't

28

understand the relationship between the CPUC
and PG&E. I thought you were an oversight
committee, and I thought that you were
looking at their operation and that you had
some ability to influence the way it's being
run.

And all these points that have been made about it being a for-profit organization, it's really hard to measure that when you're talking about such personal loss in this community.

So, you know, I thought, as I was preparing my remarks, that I could make the point that maybe CPUC is partially responsible for our tragedy, if it is because of a lack oversight, then I would ask for better governance from both PG&E and also CPUC.

So, thank you very much.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Mr. David Barker is next.

# STATEMENT OF MR. BARKER

Hi. I'm David Barker, B-a-r-k-e-r.

Most people have already touched on pretty
much what I wanted to say, and it all goes
hand-in-hand. I see nothing going to come of
this because nobody is really being held
accountable.

If you're giving somebody money, before they get bankrupt, so the higher-ups can make more money, and then we all get shafted down here at the lower end. It doesn't make any sense to me, and I'm not a college person, and I haven't gone to college or anything like that. I work like the rest of everybody else.

I just feel that, you know, we're not stupid. None of us in here are stupid. We can say what we want and we know what we want to say, and what's going on here is just totally, in my opinion, ignorant.

I mean, let's be real about it.

Anybody that wants to argue that would really have a hard time doing that.

So I'm just here to say it's just totally wrong. PG&E should be held accountable. If I go and wreck my car because I was drinking, is somebody going to come bail me out?

No. No. I have to bail myself out. Then I got to go through whatever they do anymore.

So, you know, it doesn't work that way with PG&E. We're supposed to bail them out while they sit at their pools and drink a glass of wine and watch a ballgame?

206

1 I'm sorry. That just doesn't work 2 in my book. And I'm going solar. And I know 3 a lot of other people are too, and when then happens, then where's PG&E going to be? 5 Asking for more money from us because we are all solar now? 6 7 Yeah, That's not going to fly 8 because they won't get it then because this 9 is going to be a short course. 10 Thank you. 11 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 12 Mr. Bristow. STATEMENT OF MR. BRISTOW 13 14 My name is Keith Bristow, 15 B-r-i-s-t-o-w. I am a Camp Fire survivor. 16 This is a burn that is still healing 17 eight-and-a-half months later. 18 This is it really simple. You 19 don't reward somebody for doing something bad 20 by raising the rates. You're rewarding them 21 for doing something bad. 22 If the schoolyard bully does 23 something bad, you punish them. PG&E does 24 not deserve a rate increase. They deserve to 25 give us free electricity for the of our 26 lives. There's 85 people that ain't got a 27 life. It just ain't right. I'm just a 28 simple person. I'm just here to tell you:

1 They don't deserve a rate increase. 2 Thank you for your time. 3 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. Ms. Helen Dennis is next. 4 5 Ms. Dennis. Let's go off the record. 6 7 (Off the record.) ALJ LIRAG: Let's go back on the record 8 9 so that we can get everything that you're 10 saying. Go ahead, Ms. Dennis. 11 STATEMENT OF MS. DENNIS 12 Hello. My name is Helen Dennis, 13 D-e-n-n-i-s. And I'm here to represent the 14 poor. I'm not homeless, but I'm nearly homeless because I can't afford to do any 15 16 maintenance on my home. I can't afford to do 17 any -- anything exciting or fun or anything 18 because I have to pay my bills. 19 And what's my biggest bill, besides 20 my house insurance, which has been, you know, 21 sky-rocketing because of fires -- and guess 22 what? PG&E. That's the big one. 23 And I have to have PG&E so that I 24 can live. I have machines at home that are 25 necessary for my life. So I'm here to say I 26 get \$801 a month from social security. 27 They turn off the power, the last 28 time they did in my neighborhood - because

they were doing maintenance, they said. And they blew up my TV, so now I no longer have a television.

So what are they going to blow up next? And what are they going to do for my food that goes to be waste because I can't eat it, I can't cook it, I can't do anything with it because they've turned off my power.

I want to know what's going to happen. Why are they being allowed to be kings of our country?

They think they're a god, and you guys allow it and that's wrong.

It should not ever be that way. It should never have gotten to this point where they're getting such an astronomical amount of money. And believe me, the workers get good pay, but they're just workers, and they get fired right and left whenever they're not doing whatever PG&E wants them to do.

If they speak up and say: Hey, you're not doing things right, what do they get? Shaft.

But what do we get? We've been getting shaft all along. And look how many emergencies and disasters - I live Oroville - our community has accepted and has had to deal with, and every one of those cuts our

1 | lives shorter.

I plan to be 115. Now, I'm going to have to reevaluate at 100 because I'm never going to make it with all these disasters that we keep having. This is wrong. We should not be having that.

I never hear the town of Concow being talked about, but there was 750 homes up there. 700 of them are gone. No more. Nothing. To the ground.

I went to my friend's house up there just the other day for her birthday. She is trying to live in this little-dinky trailer. No bathroom facilities. No water. No nothing. She's trying to live there while they're supposed to be clearing her property. No effort has been made so far so that she can start having a home put there.

The other six members of that family are homeless, not just for her, but other members in the family.

I want to know, because I'm speaking for those people too, I want to know what you're going to do for that.

And why PUC doesn't stop this, take and knock them down off that thrown they put themselves on. They are not my god. I just have to pay them or die.

210

1 That's not what any of us want. 2 And nobody has ever talked about how many 3 little animals are dead. Did you notice I have a service dog 5 on my lap? 6 That service dog is a life-giver: 7 It alerts. Guess what? If PG&E turns off, I 8 am going to have to get up because we can't 9 breathe. Does it matter? 10 It should. It shouldn't be just 11 because I live in a semi-rural area that I'm 12 having this kind of problem. Numerous fires 13 have been started in the field behind my home 14 because of PG&E, their little transformer 15 stations. That's ridiculous. None of us should have to live like 16 17 that. And I'm asking you: Please do not 18 give them this rate increase. Please make 19 them start digging in their own pockets 20 instead of taking bonuses and raises. 21 We do not need that. Thev 22 shouldn't be getting that kind of money. 23 Nobody in this world should be getting that 24 kind of money on the backs of the poor and on 25 the backs of the people they serve. 26 You're not serving me when you do 27 that. You're not serving any of us, and I

ask that you do something about it.

28

1 Thank you. 2 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 3 Next is Ms. MaryKay Benson, followed 4 by Anna Meehan, Roger Braddy, and then I only 5 have a name "Benson." 6 STATEMENT OF MS. BENSON 7 I'm MaryKay Benson, B-e-n-s-o-n. 8 I'm here representing myself and also 350 Butte County, which includes Chico 350. 9 We're an environmental education/advocation 10 11 group. 12 I feel so many great things have 13 been said by so many people already and 14 really just speaking from the heart, our 15 community is terrorized. This feels like 16 domestic terrorism to me because we have 17 85 -- at least 85 people dead and no one is 18 keeping count of ones that died shortly thereafter because of the fire. 19 I testified before this CPUC in 20 21 Sacramento on January 31st. I found out that 22 right after I testified, the CPUC gave 23 another billion dollars to PG&E. 24 So I don't know how much we affect 25 your decisions. I think not much. 26 But I would like to put on the 27 record that PG&E lobbied the CPUC last year

\$350,000. What for? You're a regulatory

28

agency. That feels like terrorism to me.

When we're told that if another fire comes along, if the winds are high, they're going to shut down our power, our electricity.

Well, it gets to be 108 probably more up here. It's really hot. We are front-line community that got burned out, but we're also in a climate crisis, and we're going to have more and more hot days and more and more hot nights, and - guess what - even when it's really super hot like that and the wind blows, then if PG&E is going to shut down our electricity, you're going to kill a bunch more of us.

I don't understand. The whole system is corrupt to me. PG&E pays 80 percent of our California elected officials -- they lobby them. 80 percent of our elected officials are on the take from PG&E. Your Commission itself took \$350,000.

I think it's like follow the money, but the money is California crony capitalism, disaster capitalism, and I think it's domestic terrorism. You've got us all frightened to death.

I won't live that long to see all the damages that are being done, but I do

know that for the young people, that's why I'm here. I have led a good life. I'm 71, but there are a lot of young people who are not going to sit and take this.

And I can tell you, if PG&E caused 1500 California fires - I'm reading some headlines now - the day before they declared bankruptcy, the CPUC gave them six-plus billion dollars, and that makes you co-conspirators, and that also makes you, since PG&E is a six-time convicted felon, that makes you co-conspirators to the murders that they have committed, and that's not acceptable.

And I also just want to tell you that 10,000 corrections or repairs have to be made that they found since the wildfires. I feel like it's like big oil keeping us bamboozled for 20, 30, 40 years. They knew the repercussions of their drilling, and they knew it was killing people, and they spent their money instead for misinformation and climate denial, paid political operatives.

It's the same thing here. You know that what you're doing is killing people.

That makes you serial killers.

And we are not going to just lay down and be the collateral damage to

1 corporate profits.

Shareholders, the shareholders themselves are hedge fund managers. These are not mom and pop investors. I think the whole system is crooked, and I call it domestic terrorism.

Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Next is Anna Meehan.

STATEMENT OF MS. MEEHAN

Hi. I'm Anna Meehan. I'm here as an individual citizen, meeting member and also on behalf of 350.org and DSA.

I echo the sentiments of most of the speakers that spoke today. They brought up a lot of really good points and asked a lot of good questions.

And I also, I guess my main question and concern is -- I guess, first of all, yeah, I echo the concerns that I'm opposed to the rate increase first of all, to address that. But also I am concerned about PG&E's monopoly on our energy and that people can't go off the grid. That just doesn't seem fair. It seems that we should have a choice and I want to continue that dialog that we have.

If it's something that -- people's life support, if energy is something that the

215

1 people's life support is on to get their 2 water from their well and all of the things 3 that we need for our day-to-day lives, keep our food fresh and all that, it shouldn't be 5 a business. I'm just going to put it out there. 6 7 People should not be making profit off of us. And it should be public-owned, worker-owned, 8 9 and then we will have more input, more say. 10 So I propose that we have more of a 11 discussion on that level because that is what 12 I want. That is what I think a lot of people 13 in our community want because we're sick of 14 PG&E. 15 Thank you. And also, yeah. 16 tired of the corruption and, yeah. Yeah, I 17 just want to propose that we continue that 18 discussion of how we can get away from PG&E 19 and create, like Gridley, a community, 20 public-owned, worker-owned option for our 21 public utilities. 22 Thank you. 23 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 24 Next is Roger Braddy. Is it 25 B-r-a-d-d-y? 26 STATEMENT OF MR. BRADDY 27 That is correct. It's Scottish. 28 ALJ LIRAG: All right.

MR. BRADDY: What I want to say is in 2020, all new homes are going to go solar. It's already been mandated. This means PG&E is going to be looking for those who are not solar to make up their revenue. Who will not have solar? People like me. People who can't afford to go solar. I would like to. I can't afford it. So, do I, "A", go solar and not eat? Or do I have to pay more in revenues to PG&E because I can't afford both? It's just unreal.

I'm sure you have heard that there is a lot of disdain for PG&E in this community at present. I think you know why. It's fair to say that we are all grieving in our own ways.

But I'm going to suggest that we turn them down with this request at this point in time for just willful indifference of how they have treated our communities.

I have also seen that Berkeley is going to go natural gas on new construction. So, again, there's more places in the north state that are getting away from PG&E. I suggest that there's been other people that have recommended going, you know, to other venues as well.

Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. Next I have someone listed only as Benson. And then after that is Julian Zener and then Susan Parke and then Elle Hill.

## STATEMENT OF MR. BENSON

So all the PUC, CPUC, I have been waiting to talk to you for a while. So thanks for the opportunity.

The writing on this piece of paper here it says, "What are the main reasons for PG&E cites for needing the increase in revenue?" And of course what we want to know: What is the PG&E's main reasons for citing the need for the increase, the shareholders and the salaries of their executives?

Thank you. Yeah. Okay. This is -- I'm reading from the Berkeley News East Bay Times editorial:

In the wake of California's deadly wildfires, the impending PG&E bankruptcy, it's time for the California leaders to again question whether or not the State's utilities' regulator is up to their task. The California Public Utilities Commission's ability to provide adequate oversight of PG&E

1 2 ALJ LIRAG: Sorry. Sorry. Maybe a 3 little slower. Carol is really fast, but not 4 that fast. 5 MR. BENSON: Okay. I'll start with 6 that last part. The California Public Utilities 7 8 Commission's ability to provide 9 adequate oversight of PG&E has been in doubt since the 2010 10 11 San Bruno explosion, which killed 8 12 people, injured 66 people and 13 destroyed 38 homes. 14 And actually it happened during the 15 daytime. If it happened in the evening when 16 people were home, we would be talking over a 17 hundred people dead. 18 The independent audit in 2/15 found 19 that PUC frequent management 20 changes, shifting priorities and 21 reactive responses to 22 internal/external recommendations 23 post-San Bruno has led to less 24 focus, lack of clear direction and 25 loss of trust and leadership and 26 unexpectable work backwash in the 27 PUC. 28 There is no use talking about the

1 PG&E if the PUC can't do its job. That's you 2 folks. And it would be nice if you would 3 actually answer these charges. I'm sure you have already read the editorial many times 5 over. PUC's shortcomings also include lack 6 7 of consistency, focus organization, 8 depth and rigor, adequate 9 recordkeeping, clear expectations 10 and follow-through and utility 11 inspection practices. 12 If you guys don't stand up and do 13 your job, what is the use of talking about 14 PG&E? There's an organization by the 15 16 citizens called TURN, The Utility Reform 17 Network. We formed that to make you guys do 18 your job. We can't even make you do your job. We formed citizens' groups to help you 19 20 or make you do your jobs and you don't do it.

It would be nice if you would tell these people, you know, "Why you don't do your

jobs?"

23

24

25

26

27

28

Really quick, all you people out here, how many of you trust the PUC? Raise your hands. There you go. Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Julian Zener, Z-e-n-e-r.

#### STATEMENT OF MR. ZENER

My name is Julian Zener, Z-e-n-e-r.
I'm a citizen of Chico.

I think that PG&E acts the way a major corporation in this country does.

There's been a change in the past 50 years.

A big corporation does not look after its customers. It looks after its profits and its shareholders. And there is no reason to think that now, after San Bruno, Redding, Santa Rosa, Paradise, that they'll change their habits. This is part of our culture.

So how are things supposed to work?

There's supposed to be oversight and regulation. And that falls to California

Public Utilities Commission. I think that the fault of all of this lies directly with you.

There's a bill of particulars. The PUC knew for decades that the high-tension towers in the forest were aged and susceptible to failure. PG&E told you that. They told you that, for instance, the area where the tower was faulty was to be replaced 25 years ago. And PUC asked PG&E, "When are you going to do it?" And they said, "Oh. Oh. We will, we will." And this conversation went on back and forth.

The second thing is that PUC has known that PG&E diverted ratepayers' funds that were to go -- that were earmarked to go for safety inspections were being funneled off to executive salaries and to shareholders. Did the Regulatory Commission do anything about that? No. It talked and said, "You guys should be nice." Right? And PG&E said, "No. We're, you know -- citizens united said we're an individual and we can be greedy." And that's the culture.

Okay. So, the proposal to put lines underground in Paradise, from my point of view, is a travesty. If those lines had been underground on November 8th, Paradise would still be turned into ashes. The problem is that the high transmission lines going through the forests are structurally unsafe. And PG&E has, despite recommendations for decades, has not insulated those wires.

My understanding is that the high-tension wire came loose, fell down and sparked. If they put the money into insulating the high-tension wires, Paradise would not have happened.

So, I think that there has been a real travesty and a scandal, as far as

California Public Utilities Commission doing 1 2 their job. They have known about problems. 3 They have not had -- they have not utilized 4 the power and the teeth they have to regulate 5 and I don't see any change. And I think that is really, really sad. And it may be that 6 7 the ultimate solution is to eliminate our 8 utility -- our energy utility provider from 9 being a private entity, to a public entity, 10 because you guys have not been doing your 11 job.

Thank you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Next is Susan Parke. So I'm going to start asking the spelling of your names. If it is incorrect, please correct it when you get to the stand. Otherwise, I'm just going to do it.

It's P-a-r-k-e.

## STATEMENT OF MS. PARKE

That is correct.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

MS. PARKE: I'm one of many in a long line here. And what I wanted to say has already been said, except that there is one word that I haven't heard spoken. And that is that although what's been talked today and what PG&E is asking for may be legal, but

it's immoral. And it's immoral because of the ongoing negligence that they have shown for so many years and, you know, this is just repeating what other people said, but I have a good memory and I remember the San Bruno fire, the explosion and the fire there.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

What was particularly egregious about San Bruno was not only that PG&E ignored, people would call in and explain about the smell of gas for over a year before the explosion, that nothing was done. then what was particularly egregious is that they tried to cover it up through changing their documentation and not making things available. And it was only through the diligence of that mayor of San Bruno, who just went after them, and then finally they became responsible. If my memory is right, they were fined a huge amount, billions and millions of dollars. And that fine was completely reduced to something that is probably a few days of profit for PG&E.

At this point in time rather than go on and on and restate what has been stated, I just want to say that when you have somebody who tells you one thing but behaves in another way, it causes craziness. When you do that to a child, it causes them to be

nuts; when you do that to a spouse, and that
is what PG&E does to us when they put on
those marketing advertisements telling us how
important our safety is. It's just -- how
stupid do they think we are? It is
absolutely ridiculous.

 $$\operatorname{My}$  -- I work at Feather River Hospital as an RN.

The fire was on November 8th. My mother was 93 at the time. She was in assisted living down in Chico. She had bad COPD -- coronary -- COPD. I can't -- I'm so nervous, I can't think of it. Breathing difficulties. She required oxygen constantly. She died November 21st from pneumonia. Her -- the hallways where she was living were filled with smoke. She is not going to be counted in the 85, but she needs to be counted.

And the other people -- the other thing that is not being counted and I'm very concerned about is all the toxicity that is up in Paradise right now, people moving back into that area, I just wonder about the asbestos that is still left on the ground.

What happens when a wind comes up? In a way, isn't it kind of a 9/11 repeat? And what are we going to see in another, you know,

19 years what we're seeing now from the first 1 2 responders? What are we going to see from 3 this, the effects of the health community, 4 the community of health? Not only in 5 Paradise, but all the surrounding communities and Chico. I mean how far does that blow? 6 7 We don't know. I have relatives in the Bay Area who were totally smoke-bound after this. So it's not just here and now. 9 10 are the victims today, but what about all the 11 victims tomorrow? 12 Thank you. 13 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 14 Next it's Elle Hill followed by 15 Cynthia Fabian, Robin McCollum, Kim Young and Richard Gowins. 16 17 Elle Hill, H-i-l-l. That was pretty 18 easy. Thank you. 19 STATEMENT OF MS. HILL 20 Just for clarification, PG&E is a 21 limited liability corporation masquerading as 22 a public utility. 23 Law is generated from maxims and 24 true we have not found a maxim which suggests 25 that a damaged party should pay itself back 26 for the damage caused by another party. 27 is what these rate hikes are doing. I'm a

damaged party and you are asking me to pay

28

myself back for the damage caused by PG&E.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cynthia Fabian, F-a-b-i-a-n.

# STATEMENT OF MS. FABIAN

First off, I wanted to, and you can't answer me, but I want the judges to know that there was a line fire on Wood Street in Magalia and that is where I'm from. That just happened this last week but it was not reported by the news media and I don't know why.

But for Helen Walker, who died a horrible gruesome death, and her neighbor who was trying to save her died the same death in Concow, this is for her, too.

We suffered greatly. And I suffered greatly. And one of the things, on November 7th, an employee, a local employee, PG&E employee came to my door and said, "We're going to turn off your electricity for the next five days." And that was in the evening he came by and knocked on my door and said that. So I was upset. I asked him, "Well, what are all these people that are disabled going to do that have oxygen or whatever?" "Oh. Well, go out and buy a generator." And he left. I knew then it was going to be bad.

And we are not getting our communication, text communications in Magalia. And I have addressed that with PG&E. And I want the judges to know that. I didn't get them before the fire, but they came in like four or five days later when I was in Yuba City in a hotel.

Just recently, the same thing happened. I got the e-mail that they might turn off the electricity, but that was it. The text message came in two or three days later, after -- for 22 hours I was without electricity.

I now have a lady in Hospice that
I'm Power of Attorney for her care, her aide,
housing with me because her house burnt down.
And I had to get them out, the aide out and
then go back up to Magalia.

was on the fire line. My house restoration, it's completely smoke-filled. It's a mess. I struggle with insurance companies. I can't get the text messages. I don't know when you're going to turn it off; when you're not going to turn it off. Needless to say, I did go out and buy a generator. And luckily I was able to gather the funds just from the rent that I'm getting from the 94-year old

who is now on Hospice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Another thing is I want the judges to know that I think there should be an If they give us this \$10 increase, I want an audit of exactly where it goes. it cleaning the forest? Is it really doing what they say it's going to do? I got a bill and I didn't get a say from Del Oro. over \$10 I'm paying for what -- the 50 percent of the houses in Magalia were burned down. So they're not getting that. So they are charging us \$10 and some odd cents for that, for their water bill. I paid a fire tax. Where is that money? How -- what's going on with that? You know. They couldn't even get to my house. Luckily I'm on the fire line and I was just lucky; lucky that I have a neighborhood and lucky I can house two people. But my house is a mess inside.

And I'm against any kind of hike whatsoever. In fact, I feel you should have bought everybody a generator in Magalia, you know, and supplied that because there are so many people on the edge of homelessness up there.

We have -- San Francisco has 60,000 homeless people. And since this fire, Chico has picked up -- I don't even know the stats

on that how many homeless, but we see it all.

It's just at mess and we're just -- people

are just right on the line of homelessness.

Another thing I wanted to bring up to the judges is to -- when that happened, when the PG&E came to my door and said they're going to turn off everything for five days, I was talking to friends afterwards and they said, "Well the Rothschilds own PG&E." So I looked it up on the internet. How could that be? I don't even know anything about who owns or what stocks or whatever that are in PG&E and there was the name. That bothers me. That concerns me because it was there. Their name was there. And they have deep pockets. That is the central bank.

And I'm worried about weather modification and the spraying of aluminum barium I see every day. I have pictures. I came in from Hawaii and I cannot believe it when I came to Magalia. I absolutely couldn't believe it. That's an accelerant.

ALJ LIRAG: Are you able to wrap up?

MS. FABIAN: Yes. I'm done, actually.

I want accountability and I want the judges to take into consideration all these things and everything that people have said today.

230

1 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 2 Next is Robin McCollum, 3 M-c C-o-l-l-u-m. 4 STATEMENT OF MR. McCOLLUM Robin McCollum. M-c -- I think 5 6 it's unnecessary to repeat. 7 I have been to the PUC twice. Once 8 I was invited by an Administrative Law Judge 9 to help with the OII on tree trimming in 10 1996. And then I came again in February, 11 February 7th. And I fault PG&E for failing 12 to manage their vegetation correctly. 13 don't mean that they don't cut enough. 14 mean they cut too much. The consequence, 15 their vegetation program, management program 16 has failed. It induced rapid growth back 17 into the wires. This came down from 18 San Francisco, not from the experienced tree 19 trimmers that were doing the work, because 20 they knew as most arborists know that if you 21 hit a tree too hard, it's going to respond 22 radically. 23 But this is a problem that is 24 systemic in PG&E. The orders come from on 25 They don't get feedback from the 26 fields. Messages get screwed up. People go

cut the wrong trees because they had orders

to cut this tree but it hadn't been checked

27

28

out. There is a failure of coordination there.

The most important thing that I want to bring to your attention and I tried on February 7th is that PG&E needs to insulate the wires. I don't know if it's possible to insulate the transmission wires that started the fire, but they could definitely, as other countries, other states in the United States do, insulate those wires. That would probably take care of, and I don't have statistics, but PG&E surely could work some up.

Southern California Edison -- and Mr. Picker you may know -- presented the workshop on covered conductors. The economics are very clear. It's the most cost-effective way to bring the electricity to us. It costs \$300,000 per mile for bare wire, \$430,000 per mile for covered conductor, and \$3 million per mile for undergrounding.

Now undergrounding is certainly more appealing. It's safer. It is safer. It's not feasible in all cases and it's not fast. PG&E came to Paradise and somehow got a standing ovation -- I don't know who, yeah -- when he promised to put in undergrounding

in five years. And I don't believe that is going to happen. I mean Christmas in July.

Paradise is a tough place to put in underground everything. I don't believe it's going to happen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In the meantime, immediately after the fire, they went in and stood up their power. They put the poles and wires back up. They were done in March. They had started tree trimming with this new extreme clearance that you guys are standing back and letting them do. This is a great assault on natural resources. This is unnecessary. If they were covered conductors, you would not have to trim the trees as harshly or to make those wide clearances. You could stand with these long-standing four-foot clearance and be perfectly safe. The insulated conductors can go back up quickly. They could have been put up immediately after the fire. But they're still cutting trees up there.

My point being that this errand that they're on to cut all the trees in California, a hundred million trees they told you -- they told Judge Alsup, excuse me, that they would have to cut a hundred million trees to conform to this idea of 15 feet of clearance on each side. That is totally

unnecessary. It's a waste of time. PG&E has a profit interest in the business of cutting trees and it's a big show. It makes people think that they're really doing something, but it's unnecessary. It's a waste of resources, a waste of time. They're not dealing with the risk that is out there.

There's going to be more fires soon because of bare wires, as the one that she just referenced in Magalia the other day.

And we have photographs of fires that started from their lines where there are no trees; a bird, a balloon, all these things can cause an arc and start a fire.

Now, as to the costs, I want to try the keep it on the money. It is absurd and I concur with other people that have spoken to have given bonuses to their people after this? Are you kidding me? Bonuses? Their salaries are enough to absorb several hundred people for a lifetime. So they don't need -- I mean, that's their salaries. They should take a cut in pay and they would still be able to live in a high rise.

ALJ LIRAG: Are you able to wrap up in the next couple of seconds?

MR. McCOLLUM: Yes. Anyway, I'm calling for justice and a rapid response to

the threat of fire from bare wires. No one would have a bare wire in their house and we have them in the forest, tinder dry forest.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

I have 10 more people on the list. And we will get to everyone.

Next is Kim Young, Y-o-u-n-g, ] followed by Richard Gowins.

### STATEMENT OF MS. YOUNG

I want to thank all the speakers who spoke and brought up great points I wanted to make, and I don't want to reiterate because I really want to stay on task.

Like many of us here, we're

California natives. We've been born in this

state. We've known PG&E all our lives. It's

all that we've had, and in the many decades

that I've lived, I see it going downhill and

nothing being done.

The only thing I see was Federal

Judge William Alsup ever give them any

consequences for a private company that makes

a lot of errors and lot of gross negligence

and a lot of lives are being lost.

So that this is happening after our state, and especially our community up here, when we've lost so many lives, it feels like such a gross affront to the timing, and it's

almost uncomprehendible that it is being asked at this time.

The other point, I feel like PG&E through the decades, we go through the regular required hearings, meetings, the public being able to speak, and then the rate increases are just approved, and PG&E never does a lot of work to really earn that increase and to prove it and show to the public why they need it.

Anybody who has been raised with PG&E knows and has heard or had a family member of the extreme waste that the company has done throughout all my life that I've heard, which I'm sure a lot of these great public speakers have heard also, the ways that this company does with absolutely no accountability, but the rate increases keep getting asked for.

I really respect the PG&E speaker that spoke, but when he said the words "improved customer experience," the hairs went on my arms and raised.

I am American Red Cross. We own some land, quite a bit of acreage, and we had at one time more, but we have five RVs of fire survivors on our property.

My husband and I are very

conservative in our power usage because we don't want to give our hard-earned money to PG&E, but we went from a \$180 PG&E bill to 1,200 helping fire survivors. We have called PG&E repeatedly to ask for a better rate plan. They have not got back to us.

So your "improved customer experience," you need to work on that one, and they need to work harder to show us and to earn rate increases from a public, and especially a public that has gone through what we have gone through.

We have had our citizens running for their lives. I have had -- and all of us could tell thousands of stories. I had a fire survivor little boy, his back burned. He barely escaped the fire, his hand burned touching the car door inside as they're trying to leave Paradise.

We need to make these rate increases be much more difficult for PG&E, and through the decades, every single time, I don't see the Public Utilities Commission being the safeguard that they are supposed to be, and this is a private company. We expect for more from you, and we hope that you deny this rate increase at this absolutely implorable time to ask for it.

1 Thank you so much.

2 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Richard Gowins, G-o-w-i-n-s.

Followed by Robert Woods and Judy Westbrook.

## STATEMENT OF MR. GOWINS

Right. Thank you. I hope my voice is clear and concise. I appreciate the input so far. I concur with it. And I think that the people hat I've listened to and heard from in Paradise, which I am still a resident, although I lived in Magalia for a while, would voice their opinion consistent with what you've heard today in so many of the areas.

I'm a retired state employee. I've lived in Paradise 25 years. I have am a volunteer in the Paradise community and intend to continue with that in rebuilding our beautiful community.

We went from a 2,800-square-foot home to a 28-foot trailer with three labs, and we're making it. It's been fun. The only problem I have is my wife. She is part of a very important tort committee, because of the bankruptcy, looking at the entire state of California, and what you folks were responsible for as well as PG&E.

Karen comes home from that

committee that's been appointed by the federal government through the Department of Justice, crying, upset, angry - thank heavens she doesn't take that out on me - and concerned about your role and the role of PG&E in terms of negligence, accountability and follow-through, especially with the claimants in the state of California.

And I must be honest with you, I'm here representing strongly the claimants that they don't get lost in the shuffle of payouts, rate increases, or whatever might be the case.

Rate increases, we can talk that.

My home, the last increase went up 250

percent. We were never told why. And that

was one of the issues that we've had with you

folks, our legislative people, as well as

PG&E.

Let me give you a couple more
examples: More people, if they'd known about
this meeting today, would have come. Most of
Paradise is scattered. They have very
limited means of communication. The
technology of listening to news, paper or
whatever. They're in different communities,
but they're concerned about Paradise and
what's going on with rate increases. They

didn't know about it. Most of them didn't.

It's interesting. I found out late last night through the news. And I'm one of the advocates in the community of staying up-to-date on things taking place. It was difficult to adjust. I came from a meeting, a legal meeting, on issues regarding insurance to come to this, and then I go back to a similar meeting.

I got to stress communication. One of things I would strongly suggest, and part of this is if you want our trust and PG&E wants our trust, which they don't have, and which I don't have, you follow through with what you state in public; you communicate that well; and you let us know what you're doing and why on an ongoing basis and make every effort to do that.

I met in Sacramento with two of our assemblymen last week, the author of HR1054. It was like Pelosi stating again at the Federal level, and I respect her. I only use her as an example because that bill which effects rates in two or three different areas for us, they didn't have time to read it. It was a political push, and it's going to have a severe impact, perhaps, on the payout as well as what type of recourse we will have as

1 claimants and people in our community. That 2 shouldn't have happened that way. I've had to visit them five 3 PG&E: 4 times in the office. I had write the 5 vice-president. I've had to write San Francisco on three different issues that 6 7 could have been very simplistically handled. It wasn't. I listened to their vice-president. 9 10 They came to Paradise, promised things; never 11 happened. 12 I go out in the field telling PG&E 13 staff what we were told, felt good about it, 14 and they go: No. He or she has it wrong. 15 That's not the case. We're not doing that. 16 We're not following through. 17 And they're talking to people who 18 have lost their homes, everything. 19 ALJ LIRAG: Are you able to wrap-up in less than a minute? 20 21 MR. GOWINS: Yes. 22 And just handling that in such an 23 insensitive-type-of-way for our residents. 24 Lastly, let me just finish. I just 25 want to concur with don't increase the rates 26 at all. And if you have to for some reason, 27 let us know, and where those rates are going

to go and how the people are going to be

28

1 accountable. 2 I understand you have a safety 3 division or will have, and then the rates. Make sure they're working together, and 5 that's the communication from our community. Realize the impact. 10 bucks? 6 7 Folks, if you haven't sensed the 8 disposition of our people and what effect 9 that will have, you missed the target. 10 missed the view of what's going on in the 11 lives of the people. 12 Don't -- and this is loud and clear 13 from our community and my neighborhood and 14 everything else: Don't reward people for 15 negligence and criminal behavior. 16 Please be accountable. Let us know 17 what is going on. Treat us as human beings, 18 and we will respect you even better if you 19 show that you follow through with what your 20 commitment is, especially with what you hold 21 people accountable for and have in the past. 22 And make sure you're up front with 23 us on that and in the same ballpark with us 24 as a community. Please do that. It's time. 25 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 26 Robert Woods, W-o-o-d-s. 27 /// 28 ///

Followed by Judy Westbrook, Ross Patterson, Pamela Posey and Mike Schultz.

## STATEMENT OF MR. WOODS

Robert Woods from Forest Ranch.

Thank you for coming up here today and
listening to all of us.

I think one of the big problems that we have is that the decisions are not made here, but made in corporate boardrooms and so forth, whether it's cutting our trees or raising our rates or whatever our problem -- or cutting our power to our houses like PG&E is proposing.

One of the things is, I went to a PG&E presentation out at the Elks, and we hardly got to talk with anybody. They just handed out literature. I got to talk with one person, but they didn't really listen to what our concerns were out there.

And when those decisions are made in the corporate boardrooms, they don't really relate to what our problems are, and in Forest Ranch, when they cut off our power, it disrupts our water systems. It actually has life problems with people who need electricity for keeping themselves alive.

Those problems aren't related by those people in the boardrooms.

My idea is that we really need to have the state of California have a stake in the delivery of electricity. I think we need government to control that process more, and I think this is the time to do that.

When PG&E is in bankruptcy, I think the state of California needs to step in and do the things that are necessary to do: Put the power underground. That will save much money in the long run. It's an expensive process, but power needs to be underground as much as possible.

It's been done in many neighborhoods in this town and some neighborhoods up in Forest Ranch where I live, power should be underground. Don't cut the trees. We don't need to cut the trees. Put the power underground. Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

#### STATEMENT OF MS. WESTBROOK

Judy Westbrook, W-e-s-t-b-r-o-o-k.

Hi, I'm a 39-year resident, 40-year resident of Paradise. And I received this in my mailbox up in Paradise and was shocked:

"PG&E proposes rate increase," and I was just like -- and it had State California Senator

Jim Nielsen on it, and I was just like that's like pouring salt in the wound. I was

totally disappointed in that, and I am still.

And I'm also disappointed in the obscure location of this meeting and the times. Because I'm from Paradise, I don't know Chico that well. I'm very grateful to be here. I'm very grateful to be alive.

It's only by the grace of God I'm alive with my husband.

I feel like you would have a lot better population input if you had it in a place that was more accessible with more times. Maybe you need more meetings to get a better, you know, discussion going.

Anyway, I feel also like the increases for the rates need to come out of the pockets of the executives; not us.

As a senior, I have experienced nine months of living in Chico. The cost of living, I'm not sure I'm going to be able to stay in this area, No. 1; and I'm not sure I'm going to even be able to remain in California because of the cost of living, with rent that I'm looking at, and now the PG&E.

What else? We don't know. We don't know all the fallout of what's going to happen. The medical costs from medical care: I have a disabled husband I was taking care

of at home for a year and the fire swept us out.

And like I said, there was no clue there was a fire on our side of town. We had no smoke; we had no smell; we had nothing; we did not get a 911 reverse call. I called for an ambulance; there were none. We had no clue. The system completely wasn't activated.

So there's a lot things that are keeping me from probably returning up there because I feel like it's in grave danger to happen again. To be perfectly honest, there's no way to control the winds and no way to control the drought.

And, now, I feel like PG&E is a major player for scaring us, and almost like the terrorism the lady talked about. I have no confidence in PG&E and I would really rather not have to deal with them.

The other thing, I think it's unethical. This in unethical thing, not only absurd, but I feel like it's going to change the demographics of Chico.

It's not only with what we're looking at from all the influx of population, but people on a fixed income, like I am now, and other people that are on welfare and

Medi-Cal, people with health issues and the homeless, all of these people are at grave risk with these increases.

And so we're going to be moving out of state. Chico may become the city where only the people that can afford to live here and pay these increases will be, you know, the population. The rest of us will probably have to exit stage left. So I would request that please deny the rate increase.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Ross Patterson, P-a-t-t-e-r-s-o-n.

#### STATEMENT OF MR. PATTERSON

Thank you. First off, I speak to a lot of people across California about different things. And PG&E pops up from time to time and it's a resounding "no" to a rate increase for them.

And there's a big reason behind that, and it goes back to overpayment for executives from the regional managers all the way to the top are paid way too much money.

There's no reason for you people allowing this to happen to we, the people of California. It's time to stop it and stand up for we, the people.

It's not going to help to just keep giving them more because they're going to put

more in their offshore account that is their 1 2 backup because they're a self-insured 3 company, and they're going to pay their stockholders more money. They've got to take 4 5 and bite the bullet like the rest of us. Ι 6 lost a company because I didn't know how to 7 manage the book work end of it. It's time for them to do the same. If they've got bad people that 9 10 don't want to spend their money where it 11 belongs to keep their utility working, then 12 get rid of them. 13 Thank you. 14 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 15 Pamela Posey, P-o-s-e-y, followed by 16 Mike Schultz, Chris Connell, Kathryn Azevedo. 17 STATEMENT OF MR. HARTHORN 18 You're probably guessing that I'm 19 not Pamela Posey. She had to leave. I'm her 20 husband. My name is Allen, A-1-1-e-n, 21 Harthorn, H-a-r-t-h-o-r-n. 22 I just wanted to address a little 23 bit of the vegetation management. I want to 24 be a voice for the tree as several people 25 have spoken to today. 26 The vegetation management that is 27 being conducted by PG&E is horrendous. It's

extreme. It's not doing the job.

28

As Robin mentioned, there were tree-cutting crews on the ground in Butte county two months before the fire. The crews were actually from Texas. Most the tree cutters did not speak English. They know very little about the forest of California, most importantly, about the foothill oak forest, and they literally clear-cut these easements on trees that grow back as incredibly flammable bushes, so they are going about completely wrong.

Several people have mentioned insulated conductors. Why are we not forcing PG&E to use insulated conductors anywhere there's a chance of wildfires. Save our forest. Use insulating conductors.

I live in Butte Creek Canyon which everybody in this county knows is a beautiful pristine place. It has been torched. We have blackened sticks everywhere. Hundreds of thousands of trees are dead.

And then to add insult to injury,

PG&E continues in their tree-cutting crews

and clear-cut right down to the stream banks,

across the streams. They took out 25

heritage Sycamore trees right on the stream

banks of Butte Creek. It was a travesty.

We called the California Department

of Fish and Wildlife and said: Help us. We need to do something. They are destroying our stream banks.

The wardens came, took a look at and said: Yes. That's bad. But we are powerless. PG&E has a Get Out of Jail Free Card. It's time for you to revoke that Get Out of Jail Free Card.

I just want to mention what one of our neighbors who did lose their home has said several times that when the fire came through and destroyed her home, she felt like she was being raped by PG&E the first time. When they came through and they clear-cut the all power lines in Butte Creek Canyon, she said she felt like she was being raped a second time. This rate increase would be the third rape of an innocent person. Please do not give them any more money.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Mike Schultz, S-c-h-u-l-t-z.

## STATEMENT OF MR. SCHULTZ

That's correct. Hello.

I understand that PG&E is a unique private and public organization. Before asking the ratepayers for the \$1.1 billion that are needed, I suggest that the money come from the investors, the stock prices for

PG&E. Not operating costs. I'm not talking about operating cost. I'm talking about investment income.

My late father was a photographer down in southern California, and if he had a loss in his business, he wouldn't be able to collect revenues beyond operating costs until that lost was absorbed. That's the nature of things. PG&E's situation should not be any different.

Also, most income from the lower and middle class people comes from earned income, wages; okay. But most income from the wealthy in our society, in our state, comes from investment income; okay.

When the wealthy dodge their responsibilities and rate increases come from the lower and middle class, that's wealth redistribution from the middle to the upper class, and I think that's wrong.

Now, this idea of taking the needed money, the 1.1, from the investment income, I don't want to hear that that's not possible because of the organizational structure.

People created this organizational structure and people can make exceptions under exceptional circumstances. That's what we're in, exceptional circumstances.

I also don't want to hear, Oh, this can't be done for this reason or that reason.

What I want to know is how it can be done. What structural change needs to happen in such a way that the investment income for the investors can be redirected toward this financial need; okay.

I realize that what I'm suggesting would result in lower stock prices. I understand that.

Frankly, that's not an obstacle.

PG&E is not going to go away just because the structure of how this organization is. It's not like other businesses that if you lower the stock prices, oh, the businesses go away because it isn't revenue; therefore, stock prices would go down, and as any wise investor knows, you want to buy low and sell high.

So low stock prices would result in investment opportunities for people who are in the long-term investment goals; okay.

Just finishing here, I don't want to just make this statement and then be ignored sometime in the future. Like: Okay. We heard you. You know, that's your goal, is to just hear me out.

I want an answer to why this -- why

1 my idea can, or if not, couldn't work; okay. 2 There's nothing worse in my mind than being 3 ignored; okay. And to make a statement, to make a 4 5 request and to be ignored about it, would be the most insulting thing. If this is not the 6 7 appropriate forum to get an answer to my question about how this could be done, what 8 9 is the proper forum? When would I hear that this idea 10 11 that I'm having to you here today, when can I hear back as to how it's feasible; what would 12 13 need to happen in order for that feasibility 14 to be implanted? 15 Is there an answer to the forum 16 question, of what would be the forum? 17 ALJ LIRAG: Not in this public hearing. 18 MR. SCHULTZ: Okay. Hopefully, I will 19 hear and not be ignored about what forum is 20 appropriate to get response to this idea and 21 its implementation. 22 Thank you. 23 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 24 Chris Connell, C-o-n-n-e-l-l. 25 STATEMENT OF MR. CONNELL 26 That is correct. There's another

company out there called Southern California

They also have other states. PG&E

27

28

Edison.

is not looked at well because they don't have
backup transformers.

In Oroville, the hospital transformer blew, and it took them 16 hours to get a replacement, not to put it in, just to get a replacement.

And they're asking for increases and yet they're paying their upper management money. How about repairing things before you start asking for money. Having backups before you start asking for money.

They cut down a tree on my family's property that we couldn't even move, but they cut it up in a way that we can't even sell it. The rounds were as high as my waist. They would have taken up a complete tractor trailer.

They just felled it, and said:
Well, it could have knocked down one of our
power lines. How? It was 60 feet from a
power line.

When you're up in the mountains, you see about a 120-foot path between their main power lines. How big of a path do they need?

They can drop those power lines down to the ground and enclose them in something. Not everything has to go

underground, but it can be put on the ground.

I'm done.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Kathryn Azevedo, A-z-e-v-e-d-o.

## STATEMENT OF MS. AZEVEDO

First of all, just reiterating all of them. This is not an emotional issue for you. I understand that. As public service providers, you have a moral and ethical responsibility and obligation to provide honest and consistent service to secure a safe environment for all of the people you represent throughout California.

Do not approve a rate increase for a company that is looking for an easy way out. Stock investments are not guaranteed. You have a personal portfolio. You hope your portfolio increases. There is no guarantee.

It's no different for the public service that PG&E is supposed to provide for us. Do not approve this rate increase. The Public Utilities Commission can set a new precedent: Don't bail out a mismanaged company just because you can. Keep them responsible. Keep them accountable.

The accountability for businesses should be just as stringent as for an individual. You have an opportunity again to

255

1 set a precedent that will be seen across the 2 United States, not just California. 3 The rate increases is another 4 reflection of poor management, misplaced 5 priorities. Fire prevention is safety. The cost that businesses' responsibilities have 6 7 is to provide us with that safety. Please, don't wait for another 8 9 emergency where you have to respond. 10 Encourage PG&E to be liable, responsible, and 11 forward thinking in preparation for the 12 future for the services that they will 13 provide. 14 Holding a public office provides 15 responsibility. Allow PG&E to be culpable. 16 Do not approve this rate increase, please. 17 Thank you. 18 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 19 Sue Hilderbrand. 20 H-i-l-d-e-r-b-r-a-n-d. 1 21 STATEMENT OF MS. HILDERBRAND 22 That is the correct spelling. It's 23 great being one of the last people because I 24 really learned a lot. 25 I applaud everybody here for being 26 so knowledgeable and sharing the numbers and 27 I really did learn a lot and I will not 28 repeat some of the amazing things that came

up.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I do want to mention on the notice that I received at the door it says, "A general rate case allows the CPUC to take a broad, in-depth look at the energy company's revenues and expenses and consider quality of service and other factors to arrive at just and reasonable rates."

And the reason that I bring that up is because I have a feeling that what you all will do is you will go back and you'll review the numbers and you will come to the bottom line and say, "Yes they deserve or they can justify a rate increase." What I really hope that you do is you go back to your offices and you remember the emotion that you heard here and you not only consider the bottom line number but you think about the morality and the emotional aspect of what you're -what PG&E is asking to do. This is truly adding insult to injury at that time that our community does not deserve this. And based on what I -- so much of what I learned in the room in the last couple of hours is they don't deserve it even monetarily.

So, please, if you would go back and make decisions not only on the bottom-line numbers, but also the morality

and the emotion that must be considered in the situation.

Thank you very much.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Jim Minto, M-i-n-t-o. You're the last speaker.

### STATEMENT OF MR. MINTO

Thank you. That is the correct spelling. And thank you, PUC, for showing up and subjecting yourselves to our feelings and what we have learned to expect from you in the last years.

I am a fourth generation

Californian, been here for a long time. I

have very little respect for you people and
the PUC because I know not what you do, but I
know what we are exposed to and it's not a
good deal. Okay?

I am a General Contractor,
Electrical Contractor, and I know quite a bit
about what's going on and I think that our
government has totally let us down in
California. And it's sad, but it's not just
on the political level. I realize you're
political appointees and you're subject to
all the tolerances that are given by the
laws, but the fact of the matter is, there
were 85 people that died in Paradise. And

1 there's about 20 people that have died since
2 because of the Paradise fire.

The fact of the matter is I talked to a coroner the week -- it was about 14 days after the fire and the corner said they had collected 200 body bags. I think this is a cover up. I think the government is covering up what's really happened. And this is just part of it.

PG&E was planning on doing extensive power cutting, tree trimming and so forth and so on long before the fire.

(Lights in the building went out.)

ALJ LIRAG: Off the record.

(Off the record.)

ALJ LIRAG: Back on the record.

MR. MINTO: I hope I'm not responsible for that.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Notice the court reporter's didn't go out.

MR. MINTO: Some of the things I would like to bring attention to is the power grid in Northern California, PG&E's power grid is failing. It is not capable of handling all of the new solar installations that are going on. PG&E's power is the worst. It's the noncleanest power, what they call dirty power. When it fluctuates, it's the dirtiest

power in the United States of America and Canada. PG&E is responsible for the dirtiest power. That needs to be fixed. PUC has got to be responsible for holding them up. It's not okay. Okay?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

One of the other things that nobody knows about is the power grid that failed that caused the Camp Fire, PG&E crew on the 7th spent a whole day working on the insulator that caused the fire. Do you know that? Does PUC know that? Does the public know that? They need to. They need to know that because that's the truth. There was a crew spent the whole day on the 7th and then went home and improperly secured that fire or maybe they were told to do it. Do we know? Is there an investigation? We don't know that. Do we? That's pretty scary. people don't know that California, CAL FIRE was told by the State heads only do evacuations in Paradise on the day of the They weren't even supposed to ever fire. pull out any fire equipment, no hoses off the Nothing was supposed to ever be put out on the day of the fire. Half of Paradise could have been saved if CAL FIRE would have tried to put the fire out. They didn't even try. And the people don't know that.

There's a lot of unknowns that need to be published that need to come out. And I think that needs to be heard in a public or a government situation.

And I thank you for your time.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. Anyone else? Step forward. Yes. Please step forward to the mic and then the gentleman in orange.

Please state your name.

#### STATEMENT OF MR. SHALLENBERGER

My name is Gary Shallenberger.

I'll spell it. Last name

S-h-a-l-l-e-n-b-e-r-g-e-r.

I was a 47-year resident of Paradise, along with my wife. We lost our house on the day of the fire on November the 8th. We managed to escape.

I'm on oxygen 27 -- 7/24. I managed to get out with my oxygen and this sort of thing. I was prepared for this sort of thing to happen. I had been warned we possibly would be -- power would be shut off, so I played some games of "what ifs?" So we made it out, fortunately, by the skin of our teeth. We lost our house, everything in it.

What I am upset about is I'm also a 35-year employee of PG&E in customer service here in Paradise and Chico. So I spent my

working career with PG&E. If I had done something wrong at PG&E, had said something wrong to a customer or did something that was not correct, I would have been fired and kicked down the road without anything left.

What really ticks me off as a former PG&E employee, was the ability for the executive branch of the PG&E corporation to pay their incapable leadership bonuses for felony manslaughter. That really upsets me. That senior PG&E employees was allowed by the CPUC and you have the authority to look over this. You could stop this right now. You could have a whole new business acumen and we need to face this with corporate America and this could be a test case.

gentleman's proposal go forward. This is the time to take for senior corporation executives to be held responsible for their screwups and not get paid for getting bonuses for doing something wrong. It's not right. It's immoral. It's incorrect. It's criminal. And it's time for the CPUC which is a government agency to overlook the operation of PG&E and what you can do as Commissioners is look at what they did after the last increase that they got that you

approved of, did they do the job? Make sure that they did the job that they said they were going to do at the last increase. If they didn't and they didn't do it because we had a major disaster in Paradise. It's obvious they didn't complete the job they said they were going to do last time, make things safer. They didn't do it. They didn't complete it. So don't give them a raise. It's as simple as that. You did not complete the job. Just tell them that.

It's your responsibility as

Commissioners who are appointed by elected

officials who we vote for. And you have that

responsibility. That is -- I am, as a voter,

I can vote in who I want to vote for into

support in the Legislature, State Legislature

and the Governor. And if they don't do their

job, I will fire them by not voting for them.

I will get somebody in there that will do it.

And you have a responsibility, as Commissioners, as appointees affirmed by the State Senate to be Commissioners, you have a responsibility of doing the job. If PG&E did not complete the job they applied for at the last rate increase, if they didn't do it, then they don't deserve this one. Make them accountable for what they did before.

And the other part of that is if they did something wrong, then fine them for it, the individuals, the senior leaders.

ALJ LIRAG: Can we get to your final point?

MR. MINTON: That's it.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. The gentleman in orange first and then you will be last.

#### STATEMENT OF MR. "DUSTY"

Hello. Sorry. I'm kind of nervous and I just kind of wrote this down so it might be a little bit fragmented.

ALJ LIRAG: Can you state your name? SPEAKER DUSTY: Dusty, D-u-s-t-y.

How do you expect us to trust you? You have killed almost a hundred of us and now you're stabbing us in the back again. You've given almost everyone alive in this room untreated PTSD. Our water is pretty poisonous. How many of us are going to die for you? How many people in this year are going to die from your actions? How many people in the room are going to die for you?

My father has housed a survivor who has watched her friend burned to death and watched her own skin burn off her body as she sucked air through a tire. My father does not make a lot of money and his home is not

physically fit to house more people. He has done more for her and the people than you have. You have only ruined her life. With your rate increase, it feels like you are penalizing her for still being alive.

Why don't you just do things right the first time and stop cutting corners? It ultimately costs you less money and less deaths, so I don't understand. Your actions — use your actions to win us over. It feels like you are gas lighting this whole county just when you just say that our lives are important only for us to get killed.

Our community needs to stay together. You should be happy that we are not more united; that we have not taken back our compensation by force, because we do have the power.

We have done more for each other than you have done and we need to keep it up. We need to stay together so we can be prepared for when you potentially screw us over, kill us and pilfer our wallets again. And when we come back to this place, we'll bring our own chairs to show you how numerous we are.

Please do something. You are all in the position of power. You can help us.

1 Please, please do something. Don't just let 2 rich White people get money. 3 Thank you. ALJ LIRAG: Thank you, Dusty. 4 5 The gentleman in the cap. Please 6 state your name. 7 STATEMENT OF MR. CAVALLERO, JR. 8 Gabino Joseph Cavallero, Jr. 9 that's spelled C-a-v-a-l-l-e-r-o, J-r. 10 ALJ LIRAG: And if you can, get direct 11 to the point. 12 MR. CAVALLERO, JR.: I will definitely. Mine will be short and sweet. 13 14 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 15 MR. CAVALLERO, JR.: I retired in 1973 16 from the military. I built my home two years 17 later. In the interim, I looked at what the 18 CPU was all about and I looked at PG&E. All 19 right. There was some problems at the time. 20 But I still had no choice. I had to have 21 power for my new home. 22 But I can tell you now, since the 23 year 2000, I have lost total confidence in 24 you people up there. All of you. 25 As a Provost Marshall in the United 26 States Army of a major installation, and as a 27 Battalion Commander, I can tell you right now

all of you not only would have been relieved

28

1 by then, you would have all been court 2 marshaled. 3 As far as the stockholders of the 4 PG&E organization, they would have also 5 suffered consequences for being complicit in 6 what has happened in Paradise. I feel for those people because I 7 8 have seen people burn. I have smelled their AND YOU ALL DON'T GIVE A DAMN. 9 flesh. 10 that's in capital letters. 11 I heard what these people said here 12 today and I had been prepared to discuss that 13 with you, but not anymore. I think you and 14 the senior employees of PG&E should be 15 relieved and be charged with a criminal act. 16 Thank you for your time. 17 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 18 The gentleman in the white shirt? 19 AUDIENCE MEMBER: The young lady over here. 20 21 ALJ LIRAG: The lady that is raising Sorry. And if you can, get direct 22 her hand. 23 to the point. Thank you. 24 STATEMENT OF MS. HUNT 25 My name is Rose Hunt, H-u-n-t. 26 And what I would like to say is the 27 shareholders of PG&E have had -- they have

had 75 years of conglomerate. This is the

28

largest electric company in the United States and they are the most corrupt.

When I started looking into it, the Carlyle Group owns five separate parts of the largest shareholders. And they are owned by the Rothschilds. If you really want to get to brass tacks, I don't think they need our money. If you have to, break up PG&E so it's not so large and they can handle it. They are rich enough.

They're talking about cutting the power for 15 hours. My husband is on breathing treatments. He can't do that. He was in the Army Airforce and he doesn't need to be treated like this by the American people; by the rich so that they can become richer? This is too much.

There are co-ops that are running well in America. The fact is most of your equipment at PG&E is over 65 years old.

There is a lot of electrical problems that some of these electricians could explain to you if you're too stupid to know what happens when the breakdown of running electricity through hot and cold for years happens. This is why we're having the problem.

They have equipment that is 106 years old still standing. But when we had

the fires down south of here, I watched the

-- when they went into court and they said

that their first obligation is to their

shareholders. That was before our fires.

And they're still. Their first obligation is

to their shareholders. They don't care about

us. They never have or they would have fixed

this equipment and stopped trying to patch

and put band aids on equipment that should

have been pulled out at least 30 years ago.

Our prices have doubled in the last 10 years. This is unacceptable. We cannot do this anymore. There is no place for us to go in California. This is one of the poorest counties in California. And the people that live here love each other. We take care of each other, as this fire has proved. We get sent the homeless because Utah doesn't want them. So they put them on buses and ship them here and so does Arizona and Nevada. But we try to take care of them and we get ridiculed for it.

What is wrong with the rich in America that they want to die with bazillions of dollars in their pockets? This is too much. We can't take any more. You're going to have rioting in the streets. We are simple people and we want to live simple

1 lives.

Enough is enough. Get rid of the shareholders. I don't care what you have to do to PG&E. It needs to be broke up. It needs to be looked at. It needs to be evaluated. All this information I found online. I think it would be -- you guys are probably better at the computer than I am. And you can probably find this information out. If you do, you're going to find out how appalling it has been.

Please, do something to help us, before this happens and Nevada City is worried. Tahoe is worried. They're scared to death because they're dealing with the same problem: PG&E. The shareholders always get their money. We don't even get proper equipment. And we get raises all the time, raises. We can't afford anything.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you, Ms. Hunt.

Any closing remarks from

22 | Commissioner Shiroma?

COMMISSIONER SHIROMA: Simply put, I really appreciate everyone who has spent time with us today. All of your comments are in the record with our court reporters, our notes and in our hearts and minds. And I do thank you.

1	ALJ LIRAG: President Picker, any
2	closing remarks?
3	COMMISSIONER PICKER: No.
4	ALJ LIRAG: I just want to thank
5	everyone. We really appreciate hearing from
6	you. We are glad that we were here today and
7	we thank you again for coming here and to
8	those that spoke and to those that listened.
9	Thank you, again.
10	Judge Lau?
11	ALJ LAU: No. No.
12	ALJ LIRAG: All right. Thank you. We
13	are adjourned. There is another public
14	hearing at 6 p.m.
15	So, off the record.
16	(Whereupon, at the hour of 3:52 p.m. a recess was taken until 6:00 p.m.)]
17	a recess was taken until 0.00 p.m.)
18	* * * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CHICO, CALIFORNIA

JULY 18, 2019 - 6:00 P.M.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LIRAG: Please come to order. We're on the record. This is the time and place set for the Public Participation Hearing in the General Rate Case Application of PG&E. The Application is A.18-12-009.

Good evening, everyone, and thank you for coming here. My name is Rafael Lirag. Beside me is Elaine Lau. We're the Administrative Law Judges assigned to review the PG&E rate case application, which they file every three years to set their revenue requirement for the funding they need in order to provide safe and reliable gas and electric services.

With us tonight is President Michael Picker and Commissioner Genevieve Shiroma. They are two of the five Commissioners at the PUC. The five Commissioners are appointed by the Governor, and they are the ones who will vote on the outcome of PG&E's application.

They can vote to either adopt the proposed decision that Judge Lau and I will write or they can draft an alternate.

Later on, PG&E a representative from

PG&E, is going to explain a little bit about what they are asking for in their application and why, but before that, I would like to ask if Commissioner Shiroma and President Picker have a few opening remarks.

Let's start with Commissioner Shiroma.

COMMISSIONER SHIROMA: Good evening, everyone. I am pleased to attend this evening's Public Participation Hearing on the PG&E General Rate Case with President Picker and the judges and with all of you.

We were able to hear from many people this afternoon at 1:00, and I'm sure we'll hear very important and insightful comments from you this evening.

I want to share that in March I had the opportunity to visit Chico and also to visit Paradise and the surrounding areas with our Cal Fire team, and during that visit, I was able to meet with Mayor Jones of Paradise and City Manager Gill, and City Council Member Zuccolillo.

It struck me that their dedication on behalf of the community and all of you who are either from Paradise or the surrounding areas, Magalia and so forth, that their dedication to rebuilding after the

devastating wildfires is very inspiring and remarkable given the challenges.

The comments we hear from you this evening are very important. Everything is being reported by the court reporters, and we are hearing firsthand your feedback and your comments.

And I do want to thank you for taking your time out this evening to attend tonight and for speaking with us today. I know that means time away from other personal commitments and I do appreciate it.

So I look forward to hearing your comments this evening on the PG&E proposed general rate case.

Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you, Commissioner Shiroma.

President Picker.

PRESIDENT PICKER: Thank you.

I generally like to explain a little bit about why we don't answer questions.

As Commissioners, we are here mostly to bear witness. Ultimately, when we make a decision on the proceeding, this is probably one of the least formal of the parts of that process, but it will be based on the full record. You can see the two court reporters

here are actually making a record to publish.

Additionally, there will be a point in which the judges will examine people who come forward to be parties in this proceeding, just like parties would go before a judge in a court action. People have to justify why they have standing. They have to pledge to follow the rules. They will be asked to present evidence in the form of

briefings, in terms of documents, and then

they'll actually cross-examine each other.

The judges will then assemble that record. That's what all five Commissioners use to make our decision. So things that we say here could be considered to be prejudicial to the eventual decision that we make. So that's why we generally listen very carefully. We bear witness to the things that you tell us, but we don't really respond to questions. Our apologies for that, but it's part of the process, and it's designed to guarantee that we don't make premature decisions before all the evidence is in before us.

Thank you very much and thank you for joining us tonight. I will probably have to leave around 8:00 or 8:30. So, hopefully, you'll all get a chance to speak before then.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you, President Picker.

We also have advisors: There is Adenike Adeyeye from Commissioner Guzman Aceves's office, and beside her is Leuwam Tesfai from Commissioner Shiroma's office.

So if you have questions for a Commissioner, it's probably better to ask Adenike and Leuwam after the public hearing. They can only answer factual questions or how to get more information from the PUC's website. There's also representatives from the Public Advisor's Office. They can direct you to helpful links if you want to find out more about this general rate case.

Also I understand not everyone is able to make it here. So if you have friends, neighbors or family that also want to make comments based on what goes on here today and from what you find out about this rate case application, the website is public.advisors@cpuc.ca.gov.

So send the comments there, and all of us here will receive it, and then we'll reread each and every comment that we receive that's sent to that site. So that goes into the Public Advisor's Office's website.

We also have, as President Picker

said, we also have two court reporters that are taking down all your comments so that we can review them. We're going to take down notes, but it's good to have a copy of everything that was said.

Also, the Commissioners that were not able to make it here to this public hearing will have a chance to he read and review everything that was said.

So the assigned Commissioner to this proceeding is Commissioner Liane Randolph, who, unfortunately, is not here, but we'll be working with her office regarding what went on today.

So the main purpose of this public hearing is to hear from you, and we'll get to that soon, but, first, let's here from PG&E's representative. They're going to talk a little bit about the application they've filed.

# STATEMENT OF MR. SCHOENHOFER

Welcome, and thank you for attending today's Public Participation Hearing on PG&E's 2020 General Rate Case proposal.

My name is Carl Schoenhofer, and
I'm the Senior manager for PG&E's North
Valley Division. This is one of 17 hearings

being hosted by the CPUC throughout our 1 2 70,000-square-mile service area. 3 location, representatives from PG&E will be 4 available to assist customers with their 5 questions. We have a couple folks outside if you have questions regarding your service. 6 7 We also have representatives here today who 8 can help track down information you need 9 related to this specific proceeding. Our customers' feedback in this 10 11 process is crucial for us to hear because the 12 application outlines a series of critical 13 safety investments to help address the 14 state's growing wildfire threat and further 15 protect the 16 million people we serve. 16 Thank you to the Commission's Administrative 17 Law Judges Lirag and Lau, and Commissioner 18 Shiroma, as well as President Picker, for 19 this opportunity to learn from our customers. Now, I'd like to introduce Robert 20 21 Kennev. He is the Vice-President of 22 Regulatory and External Affairs for PG&E. 23 STATEMENT OF MR. KENNEY 24 Carl, thank you very much. 25 Good evening and good to see you 26 again. President Picker, Commissioner 27 Shiroma, Judge Lirag and Judge Lau, thank you

for allowing us the opportunity to present,

28

and to all the members of the public that are here this evening, thank you for taking the time to come out and participate in this important part of the process.

Like Carl said, I'm Robert Kenney.

I'm PG&E's Vice-President of Regulatory and

External affairs. In that role, I am

responsible for leading PG&E's interactions

with all our state and federal regulators as

well as our local and state governmental

entities as well.

Prior to joining PG&E, I had the opportunity and privilege to serve on the Public Utility Commission in Missouri, and in that role, I had the privilege and opportunity of participating in similar public participation hearings, and that informs my thinking about the importance of these public participation hearings: The importance for the company and the importance for the Commission as well, and the importance for the entire process.

These hearings provide a transparent and respectful way for us to interact and communicate with our customers. I true truly value and appreciate the public's participation in the process and I look forward to listening to all the things

you have to say tonight.

PG&E's most important responsibility is the safety of our customers in the communities we serve, and one of the most important ways we achieve that is through our general rate case application, which outlines our plans to upgrade technology and infrastructure to enhance our ability to provide safe and reliable gas and electric service.

Our proposal will help bolster bonfire prevention, risk monitoring, and emergency response. It will also allow us to add new and enhanced safety measures, increase vegetation management, and harden our electric system to increase resiliency and to help further reduce wildfire risk.

Under the proposal, we are requesting \$1.1 billion. More than half of the proposed increase would be directly related to wildfire prevention, risk reduction, and additional safety enhancements.

If approved by the CPUC, it would increase the typical residential customer's bill by approximately 6.4 percent and that includes -- that's \$10.57 approximately, which includes \$8.73 for electricity and

\$1.84 for natural gas.

It's very important that we share with you where that money is going and what we're using it for. It's equally as important that we share with you what we're not using that money for.

While the GRC proposal is intended to fund a series of important safety investments, it does not request funding for PG&E Corporation or for the utility's executive compensation; in other words, it doesn't go toward paying me or any of my colleagues. The proposal also does not request funding for potential wildfire claims involved in the Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding.

The GRC proposal is a significant request, and we recognize that, and we also recognize that it comes at a difficult time.

As always, our commitment is to keep customer costs as low as possible while meeting our responsibilities to safely serve our customers, even as the changing climate presents significant new challenges and risks.

The funding proposal that we put forward is subject to the CPUC's thorough, open, and transparent process. This is the

first -- or an additional part of that entire process. We encourage and welcome our customers' participation throughout the process and particularly here tonight in providing your feedback.

And with that, I look forward to listening to what you have to say this evening. Thank you for allowing us to speak, and thank you for being here.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

So the amounts that you've heard from PG&E and the rate impacts, those are the impacts if all their requests in their GRC application are approved. So it's also possible that a different outcome will be the result and this will be what will be litigated in the evidentiary hearings that are scheduled for September and October.

So in those hearings, there will also be other parties. These are large user groups, community groups, and other local groups that are parties to the proceeding.

Many of these groups oppose what PG&E is requesting, and they will also present their own witnesses and their own testimony to try to advocate for what they believe is a reasonable amount, or reasonable outcome, with regards to PG&E's request.

So PG&E will also present their witnesses and evidence, and we will evaluate these in the evidentiary hearings.

Before we begin with the public comments, let me just go over a quick safety] note. So in the event of an emergency if we do have to evacuate for safety reasons, we'll follow the instructions of the CHP officers that are here. So they will direct us to evacuate or exit the building in a safe manner. Also, in the unlikely event of an earthquake, we'll do the duck, cover, hold.

If it's necessary, are there folks here that know CPR? Please raise your hand. So these are the folks that will help us out in case that is needed.

So, let's get to the comments which is the main purpose of why we're here. So we're here to hear about your comments regarding PG&E's rate application or your experience regarding their service.

So I have many speakers on the list.

So in order to get to everyone, we want to hear everyone talk, the length of time that you should talk would be from around three to four minutes. So once it gets to that time, I won't say, "I'll stop." I will say, "Can you wrap up in the next minute or so?" You

might see a green light on the podium. So that's going to -- it may or may not light up. It depends on Doris.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Anyway, so, I'm going to call several names and then when you hear your name, get ready to move forward. I want to stress that we do want to hear from you, so please direct your comments to us. comments are very important. They impact this application and it impacts what PG&E's requesting and we want the to hear what you have to say. Please try to avoid directing your comments to the rest of the public. you want to talk to someone in the public that's part of the public that's here, please do that after this public hearing is concluded. For the most part, please talk to us because we want to hear from you.

So anyway, let's begin. When I call your name, I'm going to spell out your last name. If it's correct, you don't need to do anything. But if it's incorrect, please correct us. Sometimes we make misstates in taking this down. So please step up to the podium, use the mic and then tell us what you have to say.

So, we'll begin with Rod Shier, Matt Sutter, Ali Knight and Arnold.

1 So, Mr. Shier, S-h-i-e-r. 2 STATEMENT OF MR. SHIER 3 Thank you for pronouncing that 4 correctly. 5 COMMISSIONER PICKER: And thanks for 6 your patience. You were the first person to 7 get here. 8 MR. SHIER: I'm only an individual. 9 I'm not here with a group or anything, but as 10 for this rate increase, I really oppose it. 11 ALJ LIRAG: Can you speak into the mic? 12 They want to hear you talk. Thank you. MR. SHIER: 13 I'm sorry. 14 I oppose this rate increase, 15 especially after hearing representatives from 16 PG&E where the funds were supposed to go to. 17 I was part of a system back in the late '70s 18 when Howard Jarvis pushed the Prop 13 to get 19 rid of the hogwash upstairs and yet it didn't 20 get rid of hogwash. It got rid of five 21 peons. Excuse my expression. However, it 22 doesn't work the way everybody would like it

I have seen so many deep pockets get lined with these rate increases. Instead of them taking care of their equipment, what they're trying now to say they want to use the funds for.

23

24

25

26

27

28

to.

A little bit about myself. I'm a hundred percent service-connected disabled veteran. My spouse is also disabled and I reside in Forest Ranch.

I was impacted by that fire. I was lucky enough not to lose my property.

However, I was out of my home for a few weeks, which was an output for my wife and I. My wife is needing dialysis three times a week. We couldn't even -- I had to go travel 350 miles south to get her treatment done because of the circumstances in Chico weren't available for our health.

I'm starting to get emotional now.

These type of circumstances, and as of today

I'm receiving notices from PG&E indicating

that there are -- could be times where my

power can be cut off for up to 48 hours

because of weather conditions. Well, why

would that affect me if they didn't take care

of their equipment properly 10 years ago,

20 years ago?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: 100.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: 50.

MR. SHIER: So, in my opinion, I don't seem like I should have to relocate when I'm settled into my home just because PG&E wants to ruin my life every week or every two weeks

for 48 hours at a shot. It gets awful hot in the summertime around here, but that's about pretty much all I wanted to at least relate to the Board and have their understanding on just my personal position and why I oppose this rate increase.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you for your comments, Mr. Shier.

Mr. Sutter, S-u, double-t-e-r.

#### STATEMENT OF MR. SUTTER

I'm obviously here because I'm opposed to the rate increase. I'm opposed to the rate increase because I'm opposed to the continued existence of PG&E as any kind of public entity.

PG&E has a long history of destroying communities. I grew up in Paradise. I teach and work in Paradise. My whole existence is wrapped up in that community. My life and everything I care about is up there or at least it was.

PG&E destroyed my home town. They killed 85 people in my hometown. They committed 85 murders in my hometown.

I don't want to see PG&E's rates increase. I want to see the CEO brought up for murder. I want to see their major executives put on trial for murder. I want

to see is their major shareholders put on trial for murder. They have a long history of doing this.

I went online early today just to see how long that history goes. It goes all the way back at least to the 1950s.

Many of us are familiar with the movie Erin Brockovich. That movie takes place in the early '90s. What they don't tell you is the dumping of what is called hexavalent chromium waste water into that community happened in -- between 1952 and 1963. They obviously changed CEOs, changed executives, changed a lot since then, but what hasn't changed is the fact that they destroy communities and harm people.

It's beyond time that the State of California go ahead, take PG&E, break it up. Give its assets to the people that they have harmed and that local communities go ahead and form their own local small power cooperatives to deal with power transmission. It's clear that PG&E can't handle the job. So a rate hike? Absolutely not.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

MR. SUTTER: Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Ali Knight.

MS. KNIGHT: I'm willing to -- I'm

going to pass and let speakers go before me.

ALJ LIRAG: Okay. I will call you again at the very end.

All right. Next is Arnold followed by Donna Shary, Rene -- I can't pronounce your name but it starts with a "V" and then Joe Onate.

So, Arnold.

#### STATEMENT OF MR. "ARNOLD"

Yes. First I want to say I agree with everybody that Trump was way out of line the other day and -- oh, wrong reason, wrong reason. Okay.

No. It's just the only thing I have to say is something you have probably heard a million times and you probably heard it, so I will -- but I just want -- I just couldn't help myself. I had to drive a long way.

I mean if PG&E can say that they're at fault right away, which has got to be the fastest bankruptcy, the fastest admission of guilt that I have ever seen, okay. But then obviously somebody must be held criminally liable. Okay. So, I mean we won't hold our breath for that, but, you know, that's just the way things are.

But the one thing that I'm just

I'm weird, but when I see all these lawyers on TV right away, you know, obviously going "Oh, man. There's going to be buckets of money." Right? Now can't we just get a process where there's some set of lawyers that are going to take care of what lawyers need to take care of and not get all these lawyers get to put their hand in the pot? Because how much are our rates going to go up just to pay those lawyers? Right?

And so, really I know you guys don't answer questions but that is one of the questions. What percentage of my rates is just going to pay those lawyers? There's four of you. Come on. You had said you would answer my question earlier. Okay.

ALJ LIRAG: The rate case does not go into paying salaries of executives. If the lawyer is not an executive --

SPEAKER ARNOLD: The lawyers on TV, right? And they're going to get PG&E settlement. I'm sorry to interrupt. I'm sorry. Maybe you're going to answer.

ALJ LIRAG: All right. So, according to PG&E, the general rate case application does not go into paying officer compensation.

If -- I don't know where the lawyer falls

under, but there are representatives of PG&E here and they're willing to talk to you to answer your question. So I think they're going to be able to answer that question. So, please.

SPEAKER ARNOLD: Okay. Well, you know. Okay. Okay. Okay. But please go back to the Governor and tell him that he should set up just a team of lawyers that need to take care of lawyer cases. I mean this ain't going to happen. I'm just saying as a person who knows the rates are going to go up because this is nice, right?

See, in my view and I will sit down, right, is just what is obvious; right? Is the politicians go, "Okay. PG&E will just take blame." And then, you know, the politicians will allow PG&E, CPUC allow them to raise rates, took care of. So now they don't have to go tax us more and new fees at least right now, right?

So why can't they just -- because you know, already -- anyway I already said it. It's just those lawyers on TV when you see them, and they're obviously going to want to at least to pay for their commercials, right, which is adding up. Anyway.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

First I would like to acknowledge Senator Nielson. Thank you for being here and listening to what everyone has to say.

 $\label{eq:somethings} \mbox{So, the next speaker is Donna Shary,} \\ \mbox{S-h-a-r-y.}$ 

#### STATEMENT OF MS. SHARY

So I confess there's been many times when we get the little notices in our bill that talks about rate increases that I have not said anything. Because I felt I'm just one person, what difference does that make? And to be honest with you, I'm kind of disheartened that only two of the five Commissioners are here today because that makes me feel even more does my voice really matter?

But I'm going to go ahead and share what I wanted to share and that is that I, too, am opposed to this rate increase because — and I suspect that we'll hear many examples of reasons why. So I won't get into that, but I feel like: Why should the taxpayers have to — not the taxpayers. I'm sorry, the ratepayers, continue to have to have it on our backs to continually have our rates increased because the executives at PG&E continue to make poor decisions with our money in how they use it?

1 According to my bills in roughly 2 the last five years, the first tier kilowatt 3 usage has gone up 60 percent. The second tier kilowatt usage has gone up 77 percent. 5 And that's just for the electricity. I 6 didn't figure out the gas. To me they have had plenty of 7 8 opportunities to look and figure out better, 9 wiser ways to use the money and to use the 10 rate increases that they have been getting. 11 And so I would just like to ask 12 that this be looked at again and that maybe 13 it should be put on the backs of the 14 executives in figuring out better ways to use 15 our money instead of continually increasing 16 our rates. 17 Thank you. 18 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 19 Next is Rene Vercruyssen, ] 20 V-e-r-c-r-u-y-s-s-e-n. 21 Followed by Joe Onate, John 22 Stonebraker, Debby Adema, and Kevin Durkin. 23 STATEMENT OF MR. VERCRUYSSEN 24 The name was spelled correctly. 25 It's pronounced "Vaircruzin." 26 I'm dead-set against any rate 27 increase. My wife and I just paid our PG&E 28 bill for June. The combined rate, Tier 1 and 293

Tier 2, was 25.6 cents per kilowatt hour. 1 2 That's the highest average cost in the United 3 States, with the exception of Hawaii, right here in Chico. Think about that. 5 Tier 1 is 22.3 cents. Tier 2 is 6 28.1 cent. So when you send more electricity 7 through the same infrastructure, you charge more for it. That's insanity. Nobody in the 9 real world gets that. 10 You deliver more product through 11 the same infrastructure, you charge less for 12 it. Nothing but a quasi-governmental 13 monopoly like PG&E could do a thing like 14 that. 15 The nationwide average for power is 16 13.3 cents per kilowatt hour. So my wife and 17 I in June paid double what the national 18 average is. 19 And what's PG&E want? They want to 20 raise the rate. That is nuts. 21 Only a quasi-governmental monopoly 22 like PG&E would try something like this. 23 case anybody has any question about my 24 PG&E -- about the rate increase, it is no. 25 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 26 Mr. Onate, O-n-a-t-e. 27 /// 28 ///

And I'm probably pronouncing it wrong.

### STATEMENT OF MR. ONATE

It's a Bask name. Bask is a tough language. I'm a veteran like the other fellow. I served as an officer in the military. My dad was a fighter pilot. He didn't come home from the war, Vietnam.

I got my degree from UC Davis in Environmental Policy, with an emphasis in Energy Policy. I don't see any energy policy here that's reasonable. This country has not had an energy policy since I went to school 35 years ago; okay.

It is because the special interests have taken away from the public interest, and this is an example of it. I'm also a public health nurse. So I have a little environmental public health stuff going on.

The governor recently signed some legislation that created a fund. It was created real fast, and they signed it real fast to create a fund to bail out people's mistakes so they won't be held accountable, or the accountability factor will be easier to ignore. It's another wedge. And we'll have to pay for it it looks like.

Gavin Newsom, I think his dad was

an oil and gas attorney, and his mom at one point sold PG&E stock as he was growing up as a kid. So that kind of explains a little bit.

Historically, your Commission has not done the public a very good service; and, therefore, you really have no business to have anything to do or say about raising our utility rates.

In fact, your agency should be under federal receivership for historical inability to consistently rule in favor of the public's interest that you're supposed to be serving.

Instead, you've enabled utilities like PG&E to escape reasonable and prudent regulation as it relates to the public's pocketbook and safety. PG&E is a monopoly; therefore, they have no competitors, and, therefore, there's no inherent motivation or incentive to do anything other than to make as much money as possible -- as much money they can, however possible, and nobody does anything about it.

PG&E has had for decades, at least 45 years, to have made the necessary technological adjustments to their infrastructure over time and in a gradual

manner. That has not happened. It didn't
happen. And PG&E has pocketed that
difference over the past five decades.

They had access to the best scientists, scientific journals, research papers, and the like, but it seems that all of these resources were not utilized, and they were further summarily ignored; therefore, this does not create an emergency for any of the affected ratepayers as it relates to rate increase. It should come out of PG&E's salaries and benefits and their hides.

The senior executive staff should not only be paying reparations in cash, instead of raising their rates, but they should be paying reparations as a debt to society in a prison cell.

ALJ LIRAG: Next, John Stonebraker, S-t-o-n-e-b-r-a-k-e-r.

#### STATEMENT OF MR. STONEBRAKER

That's correct. I've been a reluctant PG&E ratepayer for 10 years, and I've seen my bills go up almost double without raising my consumption, just as everybody else in this room has, and now they want to add another 10 percent to that, but that is not the exact reason why this should

be --

ALJ LIRAG: I'm going to try to slow you down so that Shannon, our reporter, can catch every word. Thank you.

MR. STONEBRAKER: That is not the sole reason why this rate increase should be shot down. Their shareholders are already scheduled to make a four-to-six percent return on investment based on the current rates, which is more than most people in this room are making on our investments.

They do not need an additional 10 percent this year and an additional increase in 2021 and 2022 on top of that.

And if they're saying that they need this for safe and reliable service to their customers, I don't think PG&E's current board or executives can provide that at any price because their culture simply does not support it. Their organization is too large, too disorganized, too chaotic, and, again, too internally corrupt that they are focused only on making money and pocketing it, and putting it into the pockets of their shareholders, and not serving the customers in their service territory.

I would say what is just and reasonable is approximately what Mr. Sutter

said: We can start a minus 100 percent return on investment as all of their assets are liquidated, sent off to small public cooperatives and to pay the victims of not just the Camp Fire, but other fires, and also for the downwinders, including those in Sacramento, who, you might have known, were forced to breathe toxic smoke for two weeks.

I am going to focus specifically on where they think their money is going to go or where they are alleging their money is going to go. Even though, as we all know, in the San Bruno case, when they had taxpayer and ratepayer money earmarked for a certain safety use, it did not go there.

ALJ LIRAG: I'll slow you down again.

MR. STONEBRAKER: Regarding the Community Wildfire Safety Program as they call it, last year they were attempting to clear everything within 12 feet of all of their conductors all the way up to the sky.

And that involved marking for destruction huge numbers of healthy, robust conifers in the upper ridge and the other heavily forested island areas.

So a number of us were opposed to this, and got together, and now they're not asking for quite that much. They're only

asking for four feet on either side, which will still be enough to take off most of the healthy foliage that grows from the conifer stem towards the break in the canopy.

That will kill all of those trees, which are 100 years old or more, and once those are gone, it will encourage the growth of brush - flammable, small-diameter brush, such as broom - along the roadsides.

This is already beginning to happen, both along the Lovelock-to-Sterling corridor, which was clear-cut without permits, without Environmental Impact Reports last summer, and along such as Humbug Road, where PG&E has their own property.

And you can go up there into Magalia, and you can see what this looks like, and you can see what it will look like in a few years' time, and what all of the other roadsides and power-line corridors in the Tier 2 and Tier 3 areas will look like if this comes to pass.

So I will say that this enhanced vegetation management project is not really a fire prevention safety effort. It is transferring one risk, that of ignition from a branch falling onto the bare wires, which should have been swapped out long ago, or

another risk, which is small-diameter brush catching from a spark off of a flat tire, or propagation from fire coming out of the canyon and across the ridge.

We had just had on Humbug Road, on Nimshew Road, on Centerville Road a shaded fuel break put in in 2017, which was used to save dozens of homes in Butte Creek Canyon.

Those tall shade trees were maintained on purpose because they help suppress that flammable brush.

So when they're saying that most of this increase is going to safety improvements, that's not 100 percent true.

Now, if we are putting in covered conductors, which again should have already happened, and it would be better to be using self-supporting aerial cable, spacer cable, rather than simply tree wire on crossarms; that's a good thing. But that's a capital improvement that will reduce their vegetation management costs and their outages in years to come.

ALJ LIRAG: So at this time, can I have you wrap up in half a minute?

MR. STONEBRAKER: Oh.

ALJ LIRAG: You can speak faster.

MR. STONEBRAKER: The third part is

they're trying to put in more cameras and more weather stations for their so-called "public safety power shutoffs."

But in the June 8th case, which I won't have time to fully discuss, they didn't notify the people in the Orofino circuit that our power was going to be shut off, and that caused far more impact than there should have been.

If what they really believe was most important was to protect the safety of their customers, there would have been notification in that zone as well as in other zones, and I have far more to say than I can say in three minutes.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Next is Debby Adema, A-d-e-m-a.

# STATEMENT OF MS. ADEMA

That is correct. Thank you very much. I, too, am opposed to this rate increase.

For the last 20 years my husband and I -- well, actually we've owned the property 30 years: Built our house; raised two children. We do everything we can to be a small carbon footprint. We grow our own vegetables; we grow our own animals for the freezer.

We have put in solar, trying to do everything right that PG&E has asked us to do and the community has asked us to do to make our life and society better.

So we put in the solar, and they raised the rates because our rates dropped. We didn't use as much based on the kilowatt buy-back so that raised up.

Then they put us on the tier system because I'm in an ag situation. I used to have an ag system.

Now, they want to raise our rates again, and at times cut our power for upwards of 48, 72 hours, who knows how long. I can't sustain my life without any kind of power.

You can't live on just the solar that goes back into a relatively nonexistent infrastructure.

That's what they say: You know, I can't take this all back; we don't have the infrastructure to do this.

They have been raising our rates for over 20 years and not putting it to the things that they have determined they said they would do, the infrastructure, the continued increase in safety precautions and these other things.

I have a well. I can't run my well

on a battery. I can't run my house on a battery if I charged it with my solar system. So turning my power off for that length of time is not acceptable.

Raising my rates is not acceptable for that reason because there's no guarantee at the end of 48 hours, when the wind is blowing, that they'll be able to turn that back on until they run the lines and make sure that nothing was a problem.

You don't hear of wildfires across the nation. You only hear of PG&E or these kinds of wildfires caused in the state of California, and they need to be held accountable, and they need to utilize what they have already been given over the years for the rate increases to protect what they say they're going to protect, which is the society. Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Ali Knight, K-n-i-g-h-t. Followed by Kevin Durkin and then Maggie Scarpa.

#### STATEMENT OF MS. KNIGHT

Good evening. So I come here, and I'm with a group of people, and we kind of know this meeting is this just a procedure for approval; right.

So if PG&E doesn't get these

hearings finished and done, then their tax hike is going to have come hiccups; right?

So what we want to do is demonstrate here with Sunrise Movement how to shut down a meeting because it's not necessary.

You're not really going to do anything but go meet with the PG&E executives and make the decision you want to make behind closed doors.

So we're thinking about, we can just shut this meeting down. You've seen a demonstration. We're going to show you how we shut down PG&E, and we're going to shut down institutions that oppress people and do not put people over profits because this is all about putting people over profits.

You are actually -- you're conforming to this. And we know that this is about murder. This is about capitalizing on a disaster where people died, and PG&E is willing to ask people to pay the price financially. So here, we want to shut this down.

Does anybody else want to shut this down? We're going to keep talking. I don't think you're going to have a meeting now.

ALJ LIRAG: You don't want to let the

305

other people talk first? 1 2 (People chant: "People over 3 profits.") 4 ALJ LIRAG: Let's have Kevin Durkin 5 talk. We're off the record. 6 7 (Off the record.) ALJ LIRAG: Let's go back on the 8 9 record. So, Mr. Durkin. 10 STATEMENT OF MR. DURKIN 11 My name is Kevin Durkin. I've 12 lived in Butte County for nearly 33 years. In my life, this is the biggest disaster 13 14 that's ever hit any part of California. From 15 what I've read and gathered in the 16 newspapers, PG&E is directly responsible. 17 Is PG&E going to rebuild the houses 18 of people who have lost their house? 19 Are they going to pay for the 20 medical cost for people who suffered smoke 21 inhalation during this area? They are 22 directly responsible for this: The 23 90-lives-plus that we're still finding more 24 lives that were killed by this fire. 25 I would recommend that PG&E give 26 every single person that's in the county that 27 was displaced, whether they rented or owned a 28 house, 10 years of free energy and everyone

in Butte county three years of free energy for what you've done.

And judging by the amount that you charge people compared to other states, this is doable. This is very doable. In fact, it's merciful.

You know, I don't think people learn from prison necessarily. I think our system is completely antiquated, but what you did, what PG&E did to this community and other communities in Northern California and other parts of the state is completely despicable.

Justice falling gently on the largest laps is so evident by how you are being treated by the state. How can you do this? This is one of the poorest counties in the state, and yet you're willing to raise the rate on people that are already paying. Some of them had 28 years left on their mortgage and they are responsible to pay for a place that they can't even live in anymore.

How is this okay? That's all.

No rate hike.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Maggie Scarpa, followed by Jared Geiser, Rod Beitz, and Jim Henson.

28 ///

1 Ms. Scarpa, S-c-a-r-p-a. 2 STATEMENT OF MS. SCARPA 3 Yeah, hi. I came up here today to 4 say that I do not support the ratepayer 5 increase. PG&E, having known that the power lines up in Paradise for years were suspected 6 7 failures and they're responsible for 17 other fires since 2017, I just can't -- and I 9 cannot support the ratepayer increase. 10 The criminal and fatal negligence 11 of the corporation should not fall on the 12 ratepayers, and the safety infrastructure 13 funds need to come from the corporation. 14 Let's see. I think we need a 15 publicly-owned and controlled utility as the benefit would be in the interest of the 16 17 community, not the shareholders or the profit 18 of PG&E. That's all I came up to say. 19 Thank you. 20 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 21 Jared Geiser, G-e-i-s-e-r. 22 STATEMENT OF MR. GEISER 23 Hello. Thank you for coming out 24 here, down to Butte county. I felt like crap 25 all day, but I dragged myself here anyway 26 because this is important. 27 I think raising the rates of the

ratepayers is absurd. I don't think people

28

in California should have to pay any more for power than we already are.

We've been hit pretty hard here in Butte county. I'm sure you are all aware of the Camp Fire, but I encourage you to drive up the ridge, drive up and see the destruction. It will haunt you. It's really horrible.

The people in this community in Chico are feeling the impacts of it, but the people up on the ridge, their lives have been shaped negatively forever.

There's no reparations for something like that, and I think to then go and ask -- after this private utility company burned our ridge down, to then come and ask us for more money, is just ridiculous.

I don't think that's right. I don't think your Commission should allow that, and I don't think we can continue to allow energy to be run for profit. I think it needs to be run publicly so that we focus on providing renewable energy to the people of our region, not making a profit off of the distribution and production of energy.

And so I think that's a transition that we have needed for a long, long time, and I think in light of the disasters that we

keep seeing, that it's especially important
to do this now.
So going forward, as a Commission,

when you figure out what you want to do with PG&E, I think it's clear that a rate hike is not fair.

If you look at the salaries of the executives of the corporation, they're exorbitant. There's no one in Butte county that makes that much money. They're making millions of dollars, and we pay more for energy than anywhere else in the country.

And I really think running this for profit is wrong in so many ways.

And I really hope you consider looking at alternative models of producing and distributing energy.

Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Mr. Beitz, B-e-i-t-z.

## STATEMENT OF MR. BEITZ

I have a couple of related questions. If you can answer them, that would be great. If not, you can take them as a comment.

What I would like to know is, what is the Commission doing to direct funds from internal PG&E paid bonuses and stockholder

profits to subsidize proposed fire safety and
service program?

Some of these issues have been addressed by other speakers, but if you can answer that question, that would be appreciated.

What is the Commission doing to direct funds from internal bonuses and stockholder profits to the fire safety and service program?

The second part of this question is, what safeguards do the ratepayers have that PG&E will dedicate any rate increases to fire safety and electrical system efficiency?

Those are the questions. Can you answer them?

ALJ LIRAG: We will take it as a statement for this hearing.

MR. BEITZ: Okay. All right. That's my statement then.

What my statement would be then - and I've heard this comment from many people here today and up in Magalia and Paradise - is that most people want to have assurances that the Commission is going to make sure PG&E does not take rate increases for their own personal profits or the shareholders' personal profits.

In other words, the people that I talked to want to see any proposed rate increase, however large or small, go to electrical system efficiency and fire safety just as PG&E has proposed. That's my statement.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Next is Jim Henson, followed by Mark Milburn, and Keith Lickey, and then Dr. Kathy Kaiser.

Mr. Henson, H-e-n-s-o-n.

# STATEMENT OF MR. HENSON

That's correct. I'm here because I lived through the Camp Fire. I'm here to represent just myself, but the concerns that I have about the repercussions that the fire and what PG&E is now looking to do.

I oppose the rate increase because the rate increase is something that they no longer really need. They had 10 years of privatizing and getting rid of workers of their own, employees of their own, to fill their pockets for the shareholders and CEOs and not repair or fix any of the lines that need to be done.

Now, here we are today already hearing that the CEOs and shareholders got a big chunk of money before they claimed

1 bankruptcy. 2 In the bankruptcy case, there will 3 not be anything that will be doled out until 4 the bankruptcy case is solved. 5 That means they could go into litigation for years, and years, and years, 6 7 and years, and years until they finally run 8 out of money just doing the litigation part. 9 My concern is, is that at this 10 moment in time, what would the raise be? 11 Would the raise be to fill the 12 lawyers that they have to have to do 13 litigation or would they actually do the work 14 that they promised to do 10 years ago? 15 I thank you for this time, and I 16 thank you for listening to all of us. ALJ LIRAG: 17 Thank you. 18 Mr. Milburn, M-i-l-b-u-r-n. 1 19 STATEMENT OF MR. MILBURN 20 Spelling is correct. 21 So, I guess I'm going to start out 22 with my disappointment with PG&E. You know, 23 two weeks prior to the fire they were saying, 24 you know, "There's going to be a red flag 25 warning and we're going to shut off your 26 power." And we got e-mails. We got notice 27 after notice. And then when they have a line

that is sparking and a red flag warning, they

28

didn't turn anything off. So that is kind of disappointing.

And so I live up in Magalia and our house stands but our community doesn't. And I have heard about the financial side of things. And I also look at, you know, their source. At least in our area one of the cheapest forms of power generation is hydroelectric. So in our area we have some of -- they're generating electricity at the cheapest rate and yet our rates are the highest in the nation from what I've heard. And to hear that they're going to raise the rates after a community is devastated, it seems like PG&E, I wish they would break up and be more efficient.

I have a neighbor that is an AT&T worker that has worked side-by-side with PG&E workers and he -- it's really rough on him because they have a system on how to, you know, get overtime and that sort of thing. So they're standing side-by-side with PG&E workers, and so there's a lot of inefficiencies as I see it.

Before the fire, PG&E had some people come out and said, "That pole is bad and we need to trim the trees." Since then, they've come up six to seven different times

and they go, "That pole is bad and we need to trim the trees." And the pole is still there and the trees are still there. So, with this rate increase, they will probably send out six more people to evaluate the same pole and the same trees.

So I think there's some real inefficiencies there and I'm not really excited about increasing rates.

It would be my suggestion that people in fire-affected areas by PG&E get amnesty or something, for a period of time at least that they're exempt from the rate increases to help us recover, not add to our load.

So that's my thoughts.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Next is Mr. Lickey, L-i-c-k-e-y.

Hello. My name is Keith Lickey.

### STATEMENT OF MR. LICKEY

And I want to thank everybody who has participated so far tonight. I had a lot of good ideas and I respect everyone and their

24 voicing their opinions.

I just have a simple thing to say that I guess the Public Utilities Commission has the choice to okay all this. You know, like PG&E is asking for a raise. And people

1 are going to decide, "Well, is that going to 2 happen and after PG&E admits that they're 3 culpable for all that has happened?" And so 4 it's kind of a disconnect there, kind of an 5 add injury to insult to admit that you're culpable and then asking for a raise. 6 7 So, nothing against you people. 8 It's just the right thing, but, yeah, it would be great if our public utilities are 9 10 really owned by public and communities and 11 the people who use them. 12 And I guess that is what people are 13 speaking about outside. So that's all I have 14 to say. 15 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 16 MR. LICKEY: Thank you. 17 ALJ LIRAG: Next is Dr. Kathy Kaiser, 18 but let me pause for 15 seconds. Let's go off the record. 19 20 (Off the record.) 21 ALJ LIRAG: Let's go back on the 22 record. 23 Dr. Kaiser, K-a-i-s-e-r. 24 STATEMENT OF DR. KAISER 25 Yes. Dr. Kaiser. I'm a deviant 26 specialist, which applies completely to this 27 situation. 28 PG&E has already been ruled a

1 felon. They have bragged in print that you 2 have never ever turned down a rate increase. 3 That is the second time you've come to our community to ask us to assess the validity of 5 a rate increase. The last one happened without a blink. 6 We were also told that they 7 would never charge the rate holders for them 8 blowing up San Bruno and then several years 9 later they did and you let them.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

These lines were a hundred years old. The last time a community in the United States burnt totally down was a hundred years ago. Isn't that ironic?

You have a group of people who said they were going to go and improve the safety of these lines three years before the fire and yet never did anything. So, why would you believe a felon?

There are several versions of crime here. A robbery means somebody uses a weapon, but a burglary is they just come and steal things from your house. I think you have a burglary situation.

The fact that you have never turned down a rate increase petition from PG&E and that the Commission has been in print accused of being too close to PG&E suggests that there is some other kind of behavior that may

not be legal.

Solar has dramatically increased and all they've done is raise the tiers; not just raise the tiers, but if you're on solar, now they have changed the time period. So it used to be if you were a solar customer, you would have an option about what time you wouldn't use power. Now it's when everybody is home from work. So you're not going to cook for your kids. You're not going to do laundry. And you're not going to watch TV. Oh, unless you want to pay PG&E more per unit that you're using.

When I moved to the neighborhood I'm in now, my house was 1,000 feet larger. I had a pool, but I had the same number of people for the same number of hours using power. And when the person who was a woman came by to read the meter I asked her why was my rate so high? She said, "Oh. Well PG&E based the rate on the size of houses that used to be in this neighborhood." That neighborhood was a rice farming community where they had workers who had small houses and the few owners had large houses.

Now the majority of houses are large houses. We all have wells and we are paying as if we were these small houses

1 overusing power. 2 So, I suspect that anything PG&E 3 tells you they're going to use the rate for, based on their past historical behavior, you 5 can check out, is a lie. They blew up San Bruno and yet we 6 7 paid for it. They never used the three-years-ago money that they had to improve the wires for the Camp Fire. And 9 10 what makes you think they will do fire safety 11 improvement now? ALJ LIRAG: 12 Thank you. 13 Next is Chris Slobodzian, Mary Ann 14 Priddy --15 MR. SLOBODZIAN: You say it better than 16 I do. 17 ALJ LIRAG: Charles Priddy. S-1-o-b-o-d-z-i-a-n. 18 19 STATEMENT OF MR. SLOBODZIAN 20 Yes. That's correct. And you say 21 it better than I do, so. 22 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 23 MR. SLOBODZIAN: First of all, I want 24 to say I'm deathly opposed to the rate hike. 25 And like previous speakers have said, 26 the Commission has never denied PG&E a rate 27 hike and that is wrong. That tells me you're 28 looking out for them and not the ratepayer.

Okay. And so that makes me wonder if you're nothing but corporate lackeys and lapdogs of these people, you know, and you're getting paid off.

What I think is needed is a new initiative or ballot measure or whatever to change the bylaws of the Commission that strips the Governor of his authority to appoint you guys to the Commission and that all future members of that Commission are drawn for the voter registration rolls of the three utility companies in California because it's obvious you don't care about the safety of the ratepayers.

The second thing is there was a recent article, just so everybody knows this is the Wall Street Journal on July 11th of this year about PG&E knew the aging grid was a fire risk. A full 3,500 of their towers are over a hundred years old and their life expectancy is 65 years. So that tells me PG&E's been negligent for at least 40, 50, years. And that's in the 115-kilovolt line that caused the Camp Fire. And in that line there, 49 towers in that particular line in that region there were supposed to be replaced in 2010 according to the article.

So they're criminally negligent.

And, like I said, I believe the other people that died there, PG&E has committed murder.

And as far as I'm concerned, so has the Commission for failing to uphold safety

standards that need to be.

And then further in the article it says, "3,500 towers installed in the 1900s and 1910s." And, like I said, the life-expectancy is 65 years. So they're 45 to 50 years overdue.

And then in their 230-kilovolt system, it says "60 percent of the structures were built between 1920 and 1950," which means their life-expectancy is 5 to 20 years overdue.

So PG&E is just burning up the state. I'm wondering how many billions that they paid out in bonuses and dividends that needed to be put toward fire safety.

And as far as I'm concerned, this fire fund that these guys just passed, that the State Legislator just passed, it is a bunch of BS, because I see where it said that ratepayers will put in 10.5 billion and that the utility companies have the option to buy into it.

So, in other words, we're baling out the criminal corporation again, just like

with the 2008 fiasco with the meltdown of the mortgages.

You know, you guys ought to be ashamed of yourselves for what you have done to the ratepayers in this.

I myself have cut my electricity consumption by 40 percent and my rate still goes higher. Shame on all of you. You all need to be replaced.

And if anybody knows here how to put that initiative to change the Commission guidelines and put just regular people in instead of you corporate insiders, we need to do that and we need to do it on the 2020 ballot.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

MR. SLOBODZIAN: But I encourage all of you to read this Wall Street Journal article of July 11th of this year.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Ms. Priddy, P-r-i-d-d-y.

## STATEMENT OF MS. PRIDDY

I, too, along with everyone else, oppose the rate hike.

One thing I'm wondering in reading this, the Community Wildfire Safety Program, how much has PG&E allotted in the past for this program and it's not been effective,

obviously? So, why, you know, why do we want to spend three hundred or \$580 million more for fire safety when it's not been effective up to this point?

Also, another thing that is not listed here that I've read about is that one reason for the rate hike is to lure investors. And so why should we be paying for that? That doesn't make any sense to me.

Another thing that I object to is why doesn't PG&E, if they are lacking in money, start at the top? I just looked up some of the -- some of the salaries, and this year's CEO, \$9 million. \$9 million. Okay. The other officers are almost as much. Special Advisor, PG&E Company; what does the Special Advisor do; we don't even know, for \$3,714,000?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Very special.

MS. PRIDDY: The salaries are just incredible. I would rather see the employees who work out in the field get a raise, rather than paying the corporate people so much money. So why don't they start at the top and cut back on the salaries and the benefits that these people get? It's outrageous to me.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

1 Charles Priddy is next, same last 2 name, followed by Michael Ranney and then 3 Elaina McReynolds and then Margie Rackley. STATEMENT OF MR. PRIDDY 4 5 This is probably not the proper place to ask this question, but I had General 6 7 Motors stock. And when they went bankrupt, 8 my stock became worthless. How does PG&E 9 stockholders -- how come they're not 10 affected? Maybe their value goes down a 11 little, but it seems to me like they should 12 be broke like PG&E is supposed to be, 13 bankrupt. I don't know how they keep making 14 money. 15 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 16 Michael Ranney, R-a-n-n-e-e. Oh, 17 sorry. R-a-n-n-e-y. 18 STATEMENT OF MR. RANNEY 19 Michael Ranney, R-a-n-n-e-y. It's 20 Ranney, not Rainey. 21 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 22 MR. RANNEY: Okay. Thank you. 23 I hear a lot of folks seem to be 24 expressing that they don't want the rate hike 25 in terms of it being a punitive measure 26 against PG&E for the Camp Fire. In other 27 words, don't give them the rate hike; punish 28 them for what they have done. And that is

well and good, but I'm looking at it as we have had so many rate hikes over the years that working people, people on fixed incomes and people just over the level of being in the CARE Program are having a hard time making ends meet, because not only are utilities up, but everything goes up, food, DMV fees, insurance. Everything is going up while their wages are stagnant. So people just can't afford another rate increase. It's not just a matter of being punitive against PG&E.

Now, and I don't want to express all of my situation and my wife's situation, but we were victims of the Camp Fire. We were trapped on our street for 27 hours and we were -- we lost everything, our vehicles and everything, absolutely everything. And I'm not the same person that I was before the fire and I never will be. It's changed -- it's actually ruined our lives.

And so anyway, but I don't want to go into -- everybody already knows how devastating this fire was.

I didn't know a couple of hours ago that I was going to be here to speak, so I scribbled down some points I would like to make. And so bear with me as I make these

points.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I heard people talk about PG&E should be, or some of the executives should be charged with murder. And I don't agree with that, but perhaps manslaughter would be more appropriate. And these, you know, the executive pay, the bonuses, raises to attract investors just doesn't seem realistic at this point, you know, in PG&E's history.

Like I said before, working people and folks on fixed income, retired, disabled, they can't cope with another rate increase.

PG&E and the PUC and State government rationalized the status quo operation of this private utility. We have endured many rate increases over the years. Working class folks have seen incomes nearly flat. I have already said that. The more affluent among us, perhaps including some of yourselves and corporate executives, they can absorb cost increases. They can't truly empathize with the working class, people of modest incomes. PG&E and other large corporations are closely connected to Wall Street. If we asked PG&E's CEO, he would probably say that public safety is a top priority while delivering reliable power to the public and to its customers. But if you

ask Wall Street, if it had a voice, and we'd ask its priority, it would say profit and increasing shareholder value. And so those things are closely tied together.

Perhaps the legacy of PG&E has run its course. And now may be the time to break the company into smaller entities and create more municipal, not-for-profit power providers.

Wall Street and State economists might say that a profitable PG&E is necessary for the state's economy as it provides power for large businesses and infrastructure and any large interruption of their business model would cause great harm to the entire state's economy. This is one example of rationalization of the status quo.

If PG&E were to be at least downsized, there may be a somewhat awkward transition period; however, I believe the market would respond with creative solutions if given the chance.

PG&E is a convicted felon which has broken its probation more than once. The PUC was also implicated by Federal investigators for lazy supervision regarding the San Bruno incident.

You may think that a bailout on the

backs of ratepayers and taxpayers is a form of opportunity for rehabilitation for this felon. In the view of many, it is a form of enablement, enabling a criminal to continue to profit.

Perhaps a transition to a not-for-profit distribution model, there could be a place for a reformed PG&E to provide power to only the largest customers for critical infrastructure and public transportation projects, while new smaller companies and municipal utilities could pop up to service homes and smaller businesses.

Please try to empathize with the majority of customers. Don't drive them deeper into personal austerity. We have reached our limits. We are sometimes only given the illusion of choice or opportunity, as some of these folks expressed, that you give us this illusion that we are able to speak and you hear us, but nothing changes and we just keep paying more and more.

A few weeks ago, I was in Modoc County and the utility there had rates of around \$0.08 a kilowatt hour and they were buying power from Bonneville, which I believe is all the way in Washington state. So we are just paying too much already.

I would just beg that we all come together as human beings, as caring human beings caring for each other and not cogs in a cold, ultimately unsustainable capitalist machine.

And let's do the right thing for the good of those who don't have the power to force change, because their political power has been hijacked by a corporate ruling class that can buy out even our democracy.

There would be great possibilities for the quality of life in our society for the health of our economy in the long run if we allowed the poor and working class to receive their fair share of the pie so they could contribute more to the velocity of the entire economy and not be slaves to a few segments of the economy.

ALJ LIRAG: Are you able to summarize the rest in half a minute?

MR. RANNEY: Just a couple of more sentences.

For the sake of fairness and justice, please deny this rate increase bailout and let's begin a knew paradigm, a new era of corporate responsibility. It doesn't matter the reasons. No more increases.

1 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 2 Elaina McReynolds, 3 M-c-R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s. 4 STATEMENT OF MS. McREYNOLDS 5 Correct. Thank you. 6 I oppose the increase. 7 surprise. I believe I would like to correct 8 my, and with one opinion that PG&E's mission is to increase stockholder salaries or 9 dividends and to increase their salaries. 10 11 \$9 million is pretty high. That person 12 didn't take one cut due to this poor decision 13 to not plan for safety for all these years. 14 I have lived here in Chico for 10 15 I live in a small apartment and that 16 apartment was about \$85 when I started to 17 cool off in the summertime. It's now \$186 18 because of rate increase already existing. 19 And all this time with all those increases, 20 nothing has been done to improve the safety. 21 It appears nothing is going to be done for 85 22 people who lost their lives. 23 I left the town for a couple of 24 days because I couldn't breathe with the 25 offer 500 parts-per-million smoke issue. 26 I had to come back because I had no money to 27 stay out longer. My job closed down for a

week because the air was so bad.

I am concerned about accountability and I believe that PG&E, certainly the executives were criminally negligent and they helped these people die.

I also feel like these folks that are outside that this isn't going to do any good. This is a valve to let off steam and then you will support the increase no matter what. And that's disheartening.

I read that the increase to me might be \$50 a month. That will eat up my annual increase. It's insane. That is over \$600 a year for one person. And you're going to meet other people in groups and stuff. We are the largest group that's out there; individual users of the energy.

I believe what happened was criminally negligent. I believe \$50 is going to hurt me and everyone else in this city and this county.

I don't have any trust whatsoever that safety is the first concern of PG&E in this effort because they gave increases before. Yes, this increase will not increase gold monies to the executives or the stockholders. They already did that. They already cut that deal. And now they're asking us to pay for it.

I don't have \$50 a month. 1 T do 2 It's already paying \$186 for like a 750 3 square-foot apartment to cool it off or heat 4 it up. So, I thank for this opportunity to 5 6 speak. I don't think it's going to do any

good.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. Next is Margie Rackley,

R-e-c-k-l-e-y.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## STATEMENT OF MS. RACKLEY

It's Margie Rackley, R-a-c-k-l-e-y.

ALJ LIRAG: Sorry. Thank you. then followed by Laurie Smith and David Goymer.

MS. RACKLEY: I just want to say that I have no faith in what PG&E is telling us and I hope that you guys will hear everything that we're saying and use it personally, not just your committee-wise, because I think if you think about their past history and everything, you can't believe that there's truth to it. They say that the rate increase is not related to the recent fires; however, that would have been more believable if they had asked for this rate increase before our two large Northern California fires; definitely after the first fire and before

1 | the Camp Fire.

Debbie earlier made a very good point that we have seen huge rate increases already. And, again, why didn't they use those rate increases to begin what they want to do for wildfire prevention? Had they, maybe our recent devastating fires could have been prevented.

One speaker noted that two weeks prior to the fire the warnings were sent -
(Interruption by protestors.)

MS. RACKLEY: They are very rude, disrespectful.

One speaker noted that two weeks prior to the fire, they received warnings that the power could be shut down during a high wind, red-flag period.

I actually have to cry. I'm sorry.

I have a friend who lost her home, barely evacuated with her two children and her dog.

She received warning two days before the fire. So why didn't they follow through then? They may have had a prevention plan back then that didn't work. What says that this rate increase will work now?

Again, that just brings me back to how can we believe what they say? It didn't take any more money than they've already got

to flip a switch and they couldn't do it. So what makes them think that now they can come up with an effective prevention plan, when they couldn't follow through with something simple that they said they would do?

And, again, is this the first of many increases? Shall we just continue to expect more because they can't follow through with the things that they say they're going to do? How do we trust that they're going to come up with a good plan? How transparent will PG&E be with the money if this rate is unfortunately approved? Will we get to know in advance what the money is being spent for? Will we learn about it afterwards or will we never really know anything about what the money was being spent on? What assurance is there that the funds won't be for bonuses?

I can't believe that they gave out \$235 million in bonuses right after the Camp Fire, after everybody lost everything, that was what was more important to them was paying their shareholders and everything instead of putting that money towards fire prevention. That is just an unacceptable thing. And so that just leads me to believe that no matter how high of a rate increase they give us, we won't see the fire

1 prevention we need.

If they truly want to do what's right, they will come up with a way to find fire prevention for our community and all of the other communities without a rate increase so that they can do what is right.

They can't fix the wrong that they have created but maybe by doing something right now, that will help others believe that they do have a heart. I want to see PG&E have a heart.

I ask that you guys think about this from your heart, not from your political standpoint.

Thank you.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Next is Laurie Smith.

## STATEMENT OF MS. SMITH

Yes. Elaine, Rafael, Genevieve, and Michael, this PG&E situation has affected everybody from the Camp Fire more than you will ever understand. My husband and I have lived there for 40 years. He's been a hospice nurse for 25 years up in Paradise.

It has affected the community in unbelievable ways that you will never understand. We have not only lost our community, 85 plus of our community members,

335

friends, family, our dogs, everybody, they 1 2 burnt. They actually burnt. I don't know if 3 you can really understand that and consequences of that that we have to live 5 with every day. Our water is polluted. Our soil is 6 7 polluted. Our air is polluted. 8 How is all of this going to affect 9 our health in 10 years? How many people in 10 10 years or 15 years are going to get cancer? 11 I mean, it's unbelievable if you 12 think about the ramifications of PG&E's actions and irresponsibility. 13 14 I mean, what? They gave the CPUC 15 \$360 million. On 4/23/19, \$235 billion in16 bonuses. 6-20-19, an additional \$11 billion, 17 and you're asking us for rate increases? 18 For what? 19 Just down street a few days ago a 20 PG&E line lit on fire right across -- up 21 Magalia, across the Skyway, near the Wood --22 near Wood Drive. That is just our 23 neighborhood, just right there it did not 24 burn. 25 We don't have a chance if you can't 26 help us. PG&E is not going to be 27 responsible. Like everyone else here has 28 said, the history of PG&E is only for their

1 investors. 2 We are collateral damage because 3 they don't care about our lives. They don't 4 care about the 85-plus that they haven't found people yet, or the people that have 5 6 died of their injuries and smoke inhalation, 7 or the people who are going to die of cancer 8 because of lung respiratory illnesses. 9 Please, please, do not approve it. 10 Don't approve their rate. They need to be 11 reorganized like everyone else said. 12 PG&E should be prosecuted for the 13 murders, not given permission by this 14 Commission to raise their rates. They need 15 to be held accountable. And that's the only 16 way that you're going to affect PG&E is by 17 affecting their bottom line. 18 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 19 Mr. Goymer, who is followed by Joey 20 Haney, and then Addison Winslow. 21 Mr. Goymer, G-o-y-m-e-r. 22 STATEMENT OF MR. GOYMER 23 That is correct. Well-pronounced. 24 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 25 MR. GOYMER: Without a doubt, I believe

the rate increase should not happen.

teacher of mathematics and physics, I have

not seen my salary increase in years. In the

26

27

1 evenings, we've had to reduce our power 2 consumption by turning off almost every 3 single light because we have seen our bills 4 increase steadily over the past 14 years. 5 Our home survived the carnage, the flames that ravaged Paradise, but it was 6 7 damaged. Insurance paid enough to cover for 8 very expensive cleaning, but it's up to me 9 now to do my own work building a fence, 10 rebuilding a shed, repairing my own roof. 11 When I saw this notice about 6.4 12 percent increase, I knew I had to come here. 13 Our family cannot afford another increase. 14 Others have very eloquently spoken 15 about numerous factors, I shan't reiterate those to be concise, but I do believe that a 16 17 good faith response from PG&E would be to 18 actually lower our rates. That would go a 19 long way, would go a long way. 20 Commissioners, thank you for being 21 here, and we do believe that you do have the 22 power to make a good decision. 23 Thank you very much. 24 ALJ LIRAG: Thank you. 25 Mr. Haney is next, H-a-n-e-y. 26 STATEMENT OF MR. HANEY Hello. 27 Thank you for coming up

here and listening to us. I'll start out

with making what is probably a pretty popular opinion here, that I am opposed to the rate increase.

What is maybe a less popular opinion is that I think, you know, it's reasonable for electricity to be expensive. It's kind of magic and it's being sent across the state, and it's being produced in so many ways and cheap electricity is maybe a relic of an earlier time when we had cheap sources of electricity.

What I think I'm opposed to, what I think a lot of people are really drilling down on is that the rates are going up, but our energy production maybe isn't improving in the same way. We are still getting power from coal, from natural gas, from fracking, from things that are baking the planet, and making our environment even worse.

We are paying more for power and that money is not going to improve our power delivery. It's not going to improve our power production. It is going to enrich shareholders, and it is going to enrich executives.

Just a quick, you know, phone calculation I did with the information given in the handouts today: That that average

10.57 cent increase that PG&E is requesting multiplied across the population of Butte county will be about \$26-and-a-half million, which I looked at the same source that the lady earlier today mentioned for PG&E's executive salary for those eight people, they are making just under \$25 million projected in 2019, which is just about the entire amount that Butte County would be paying in the increase in that one year.

And seeing things like that, numbers like that, are what I think are really driving people to oppose these rates.

They are not actually credibly being returned to us in value.

And that's what I would hope that all of you, as Commissioners on the CPUC, would look into, is not just how much money we are paying, but how is that money being used?

And listen to a lot of the people and a lot of the evidence that seems to go that maybe PG&E as an institution and organization is too big as a for-profit business and it's just fundamentally incapable of delivering power efficiently and safely and needs to be converted into something that's not-for-profit because every

for-profit business exists to serve its owners.

That's just fundamentally how every business operates, to turn a profit for their owners, and customers are just a means to accomplish that end.

And I think what we need to do is create a utility and an energy production and transmission organization that exists to serve users.

And that's the only way I think you'll be able to really get people, like the people in this room and across state, on board with paying the amounts, the rates, that are required to convert ourselves to a sustainable and healthy energy grid.

Thank you for coming out here to Chico.

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Next is Mr. Winslow, W-i-n-s-l-o-w.

## STATEMENT OF MR. WINSLOW

Hi. Welcome to Butte County. I don't suppose any of you spent much time here before. You're all from the bay area, LA, maybe in there. This is an area -- we have a very diverse geography, diverse topography. We're one of the poorest counties in the state of California.

We have coastal -- inland wages and coastal speculation, and we're seeing rent increases here in Chico and all around because of the people that left the fire that PG&E started.

This is a cost increase for everybody for the cost of living. People are scraping by here. People are leaving.

And you're coming here, telling us you want to give us another rate hike, increase the cost of living on everybody, power, on something that we need because PG&E neglected to upkeep their infrastructure for 100 years. The line that blew up was over 100 years old. Neglecting it for decades while they've been taking profits, dishing them out to the shareholders; okay.

So you, the CPUC, sued PG&E \$1.6 billion for falsifying public records, for generally cutting corners around pipeline safety after the San Bruno explosion.

Who is paying that fine?

It is criminal charges, and you're leaving criminals in charge of our power, in charge of a necessity.

You would be hard-pressed to find a single person in this county who trusts PG&E. And so why is it that four people up there

1 are the people who trust PG&E most in this room? 2 Humm. I think we're in a situation where 3 4 you seem to think - the government does, Newsom, everybody, they're all greased up, 5 the majority of them anyway - that PG&E, 6 7 that's our only option; right? 8 So it's either, like, no 9 electricity or electricity from criminals 10 dishing up profits all the way. 11 There's no way of reinvestment, no 12 Green New Deal, no movement to clean energy. 13 There no taking this seriously. There's no 14 taking fire safety seriously. 15 Nobody believes this is actually 16 going to happen. We've all seen it before. 17 There's been rate increases before. 18 said they're going to upkeep damaged 19 infrastructure before. 20 There's been fires before. Thev've 21 been sued for fires before. This is no, 22 like, we're suddenly figuring this out. It's 23 all unraveling before you right here. 24 Everything that everybody is 25 saying, we all knew before. We all knew 26 before the Camp Fire. This could have all been deduced.

And here you are asking questions

27

about it like it matters what we say, what decision you make.

I don't know if any of you, do you ever turn down a rate hike before? If you are going to this time; if there is even a chance in your mind that you will turn down this rate. Like, it doesn't matter what people say here.

If you don't -- do not recommend, if you don't bring up the possibility that there are other alternatives, we're going to be stuck in this for every industry and we'll never move to renewables, and more towns, one-road towns, that we have up here, are going to burn down.

You're going to have more hearings here, more people from Forest Ranch who suffered the same way the people on the ridge did will come here and tell you the same things.

The dependence on PG&E is based on two paternalistic myths - right - that we depend on PG&E; we need PG&E to provide us power.

And I think that's not true because it's a large corporation that can be managed publicly.

Simply, we could have a commission,

like yourselves, and instead of controlling
the rate hikes and making sure there's secure
profit margins, and then putting some
stipulations on PG&E, in fact, you'd be
overseeing like the majority of the large
decisions and their enterprise. We would run
to publicly.

The second is the workers need PG&E and the executives, and that's not true. We do trust the people that upkeep the lines who when they're given the money, do upkeep the infrastructure and do the work and keep the power running.

 $\label{eq:wenger} \mbox{We do not trust PG\&E.} \mbox{ We need a}$   $\mbox{public option for electricity.}$ 

ALJ LIRAG: Thank you.

Mr. Winslow was the last speaker on the list. Is there anyone else that wants to talk that wasn't on the list?

(No response.)

ALJ LIRAG: Hearing none.

I'll ask Commissioner Shiroma if she has any closing remarks.

COMMISSIONER SHIROMA: I want to thank everyone who took the time to attend the meeting this evening and assure you that your comments have been recorded by our court reporters and each of us up here on the dais

1	have been listening very carefully to what
2	each of you have said. And we, as I told the
3	public in the earlier meeting, we will carry
4	those in our hearts and minds.
5	I do thank you for your time with us
6	this evening. Thank you.
7	ALJ LIRAG: President Picker, any
8	closing remarks?
9	PRESIDENT PICKER: No.
10	ALJ LIRAG: Judge Lau?
11	ALJ LOU: No.
12	ALJ LIRAG: We were really happy to be
13	here. Thank you, everyone, for your very
14	insightful comments. Thank you for
15	attending. The public hearing is concluded.
16	We're off the record.
17	(Whereupon, at the hour of 7:45 p.m., this matter having been continued
18	to 1:00 p.m., July 24, 2019, at Oakland, California, the Commission
19	then adjourned.)
20	* * * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	