Decision \_\_\_\_\_

**Intervenor:** Small Business Utility



## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF 04:09 PM CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for	Application No. 18-12-009
Authority, Among Other Things, to Increase Rates and	(Filed Dec. 13, 2018)
Charges for Electric and Gas Service Effective on January	
1, 2010. (U 39 M)	

# INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM OF SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES AND DECISION ON INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM OF SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES

NOTE: After electronically filing a PDF copy of this Intervenor Compensation Claim (Request), please email the document in an MS WORD and supporting EXCEL spreadsheet to the Intervenor Compensation Program Coordinator at <a href="mailto:Icompcoordinator@cpuc.ca.gov">Icompcoordinator@cpuc.ca.gov</a>.

For contribution to Decision (D.) 20-12-005

Advocates		101 001011011 (2.0) 20 12 000
Claimed: \$73,018.75		Awarded: \$
Assigned Commissioner: Liane Randolph Assigned		Assigned ALJ: Elaine Lau and Rafael L. Lirag
I hereby certify that the information I have set forth in Parts I, II, and III of this Claim is true to my best knowledge, information and belief. I further certify that, in conformance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure, this Claim has been served this day upon all required persons (as set forth in the Certificate of Service attached as Attachment 1).		
Signature: Ariel Strauss		Ariel Strauss
<b>Date:</b> February 9, 2021	Printed Name:	/s/ Ariel Strauss

## PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES (to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

A. Brief description of Decision:	Decision (D.) 20-12-005 authorizes Pacific Gas & Electric
	Company's (PG&E) revenue requirement increase for 2020
	test year. The decision approves test year revenue
	requirements increases of \$1.05 billion (for a 12.4%
	increase) over base year 2019, which includes a revenue
	requirement of 9.093 billion. Additionally, D.20-12-005
	adopts a comprehensive Settlement Agreement between all
	active parties, including Small Business Utility Advocates

(SBUA), finding that the comprehensive Settlement Agreement entered into by the parties is reasonable, consistent with the law, and in the public interest.
consistent with the law, and in the public interest.

## B. Intervenor must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Pub. Util. Code §§ $1801-1812^1$ :

	Intervenor	CPUC Verification
Timely filing of notice of intent to claim compensation (NOI) (§ 1804(a)):		
1. Date of Prehearing Conference:	February 11, 2019	
2. Other specified date for NOI:		
3. Date NOI filed:	March 13, 2019	
4. Was the NOI timely filed?		
Showing of eligible customer status (§ 1802(b (§§ 1802(d)	,	ernment entity status
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.18-11-005	
6. Date of ALJ ruling:	June 24, 2019	
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):		
8. Has the Intervenor demonstrated customer status or eligible government entity status?		
Showing of "significant financial ha	rdship" (§1802(h) or	§1803.1(b)):
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.18-11-005	
10. Date of ALJ ruling:	June 24, 2019	
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):		
12. Has the Intervenor demonstrated significant fin	ancial hardship?	
Timely request for com		
13. Identify Final Decision:	D. 20-12-005	
14. Date of issuance of Final Order or Decision:	December 11, 2020	
15. File date of compensation request:	February 9, 2021	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  All statutory references are to California Public Utilities Code unless indicated otherwise.

\_

16. Was the request for compensation timely?	

#### C. Additional Comments on Part I: (use line reference # as appropriate)

#	Intervenor's Comment(s)	CPUC Discussion
1	SBUA also received a more recent ruling on its customer status and showing of significant financial hardship in R.20-08-020 on December 23, 2020.	

## PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION (to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

A. Did the Intervenor substantially contribute to the final decision (see § 1802(j), § 1803(a), 1803.1(a) and D.98-04-059): (For each contribution, support with specific reference to the record.)

Intervenor's Claimed Contribution(s)	Specific References to Intervenor's Claimed Contribution(s)	<b>CPUC Discussion</b>
1. Comprehensive Settlement	The Decision adopted the Settlement	
Agreement & MOU Adoption	Agreement and MOU, stating: "we also	
	adopt the MOU between PG&E and	
SBUA was an active party in	SBUA. The MOU promotes the	
the comprehensive Settlement	collaboration between PG&E and its	
Agreement and negotiated	small and medium business customers	
extensively with PG&E and	by encouraging PG&E to help these	
other parties, attended	customers manage their energy usage.	
settlement conferences and	The settlement adopts PG&E's proposal	
participated in the filing of	of recovering from ratepayers the \$6.5	
joint comments (see, e.g., Joint	million in annual expense PG&E	
Reply Comments, Feb. 5,	expects to incur from the MOU." (D.20-	
2020), and related thereto	12-005, p. 164.)	
separately negotiated an		
agreement between PG&E and	"The settlement adopts the MOU	
SBUA as a Memorandum of	between PG&E and SBUA, as well as	
Understanding ("MOU").	PG&E's proposed cost recovery	
SBUA advocated in the	mechanism for the costs PG&E commits	
proceeding for the Commission	to spend in the MOU." (D.20-12-005,	
to adopt the MOU and allocate	Findings of Fact #170, p. 160.)	
sufficient funding for its		
provisions. The Commission's		

adoption of the Settlement	"The MOU between PG&E and SBUA	
Agreement and endorsement of	promotes the collaboration between	
the SBUA-PG&E MOU	PG&E and its small and medium	
secures favorable benefits for	business customers." (D.20-12-005,	
small businesses.	Findings of Fact #171, p. 160.)	
sman businesses.	Findings of Fact #1/1, p. 100.)	
	"The settlement's adoption of PG&E's	
	forecasted expenses and capital	
	expenditures for the activities related to	
	Customer Engagement, PG&E's	
	proposed cost recovery and account	
	treatment for the AB 802 memorandum	
	accounts, the MOU between PG&E and	
	SBUA, and PG&E's proposed cost	
	recovery of the costs PG&E commits to	
	spend in the MOU is reasonable."	
	(D.20-12-005, Conclusions of Law #45,	
	p. 389.)	
	Li de Contra de	
	Joint Motion of Settling Parties for	
	Approval of Settlement Agreement	
	(December 19, 2019), App. E	
	(Memorandum of Understanding	
	between PG&E and SBUA).	
	Can also CDIIA Mation for Douty Status	
	See also SBUA Motion for Party Status	
	(Jan. 25, 2019), pp. 3-4 (discussing	
	importance of MOU).	
2. Customer Service and	MOU Sections 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.6	
Outreach	and 2.3 provide important customer	
<u>Surfacin</u>	service and small business outreach and	
Under the agreed MOU	are part of the MOU adopted by the	
adopted in the Settlement	Decision (D.20-12-005, p. 164).	
Agreement, PG&E has	Decision (D.20-12-003, p. 10-7).	
committed to dedicate \$6.5	SBUA Opening Testimony of Michael	
million annually, or \$19.5	Brown (July 26, 2019) (as revised on	
million for the 2020 GRC	Sept. 4, 2019) (Hearing Exhibit (HE)	
Period, to provide outreach and	29), pp. 10-14 (discussing MOU	
support that will assist small	benefits related to customer service,	
businesses. These SBUA-	outreach, and economic development).	
PG&E MOU provisions	duredon, and economic development).	
include Sections 2.1 (Spending	SBUA Rebuttal Testimony of Michael	
Target), 2.1.2 (New Small	Brown, (Sept. 4, 2019) (HE 30), pp. 3-6	
	(same).	
Business Organization), 2.1.3	(Same).	
(Small Business Adopters of		
New Energy Technologies),		

2.1.6 (Webpage and Technology Resources) and 3.1 (Economic Development). The Agreement provisions are designed to ensure that ratepayer funds in significant amounts will be dedicated to serve small businesses. In addition, in the MOU, PG&E agreed to a number of specific customer outreach and service initiatives for small businesses:

First, PG&E agreed to continue its internal small business organization unit recently established under the 2017 SBUA-PG&E MOU with dedicated account managers to connect small business customers to PG&E tools, resources, programs, services, and integrated demand-side management offerings. SBUA's objective with this provision is to enhance PG&E's communicating with and support for small commercial customers.

Second, PG&E will promote adoption of electric vehicle charging, energy storage, solar generation and other new energy technologies for small businesses and will study and report in the 2023 GRC on the need for additional outreach to promote adoption of these opportunities.

Third, PG&E committed to maintaining and upgrading its webpage for small business customers with a centralized location for information, links, and resources. This web page

See also Joint Comparison Exh. Vol. 1 (HE 311), pp. 2-313 (setting forth SBUA positions relative to customer care funding categories).

includes, for example, the following topics and areas tailored for small businesses: (i) energy efficiency; (ii) energy audits; (iii) distributed generation; (iv) contracting opportunities with PG&E; (v) environmental sustainability; (vi) a list of dates and locations of upcoming small business conferences and programs by PG&E; (vii) resources related to Economic Development; (viii) small business electricity rate information including Time of Use and Critical Peak Pricing; (ix) demand-side management programs for small businesses and (x) electric vehicle charge network information. SBUA's objective with these provisions is to with MOU modifications to allow small businesses to more easily discover and engage with PG&E services and opportunities. Fourth, PG&E will continue its Economic Development (ED) organization unit and provide to small businesses-related outreach to recipients of ED funds. MOU Sections 5.1 and 5.2 enhance 3. Promote Contracting Opportunities for Small contracting opportunities for small Businesses business outreach and are part of the MOU adopted by the Decision (D.20-SBUA advocated for increased 12-005, p. 164). direct contracting opportunities SBUA Opening Testimony, pp. 15-16 for small businesses with PG&E. In the MOU, PG&E (discussing MOU benefits related to commits to (i) assigning one contracting opportunities for small full time employee to "assist business). small businesses in completing the necessary processes and

applications necessary for small businesses securing contracts to provide materials and services to PG&E." (MOU, § 5.1.2.) and (ii) hosting at least two learning sessions during the 2020 GRC period to teach about PG&E's application process and requirements for entering into PG&E's supply chain (MOU, § 5.2).	SBUA Rebuttal Testimony, pp. 6-7 (supporting supplier diversity).	
i) PG&E had proposed closing 17 Community Service Centers (CSOs) (Joint Reply Comments, p. 26 (Feb. 26, 2020)).). SBUA opposed the closure of CSOs that provide high levels of service to PG&E small and medium business (SMB) customers (see, id.). SBUA negotiated with PG&E and provided extensive analysis of the impact of closing certain offices on SMBs. PG&E agreed to provide additional customer communication in advance of closure (id., p. 29). PG&E revised its application to close 10 identified CSOs, which did not include many of the CSOs that SBUA identified to PG&E as high-priority for remaining open.  ii) SBUA and PG&E interfaced on several other administrative issues related to maintaining and implementing the provisions of the MOU, such as the parties' meet and confer obligations (MOU, §§ 2.1.5, 5.3, 6.1), and the necessity of	i) PG&E narrowed its list of CSOs for closure from 17 to 10 specified offices and did not include many of the CSOs serving the most SMB customers. As noted in the Decision, PG&E "considered input from external parties" (D.20-120995, pp. 180-81), which included SBUA and "came to a reasonable compromise" (Joint Reply Comments, p. 26 (Feb. 26, 2020)).  ii) The MOU implements important provisions necessary for the administration of the MOU and realization of its benefits for small businesses ( <i>see</i> , <i>e.g.</i> , MOU, §§ 2.1, 4.1, 5.3, 6.1). The MOU was adopted by the Decision (D.20-12-005, p. 164).	

tracking small business	
customers (id., § 4.1). These	
matters are important to the	
administration of the MOU and	
of benefit to small businesses.	

#### B. Duplication of Effort (§ 1801.3(f) and § 1802.5):

	Intervenor's Assertion	CPUC Discussion
a. Was the Public Advocate's Office of the Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) a party to the proceeding? <sup>2</sup>	Yes	
b. Were there other parties to the proceeding with positions similar to yours?	Yes	
c. If so, provide name of other parties: Cal Advocates, Jan L. Reid and TURN all opposed closure of CSOs.	, CforAT, CUE,	
d. Intervenor's claim of non-duplication: SBUA provided the perspective of small businesses and necessarily participated to assess impacts to this class and advance their interests. No other party focused on the small business issues addressed by SBUA, particularly the confirmation and funding of the MOU that commits PG&E to provision of important outreach and contracting services to customers and small businesses. In this case, SBUA focused its efforts narrowly and coordinated with other parties to keep duplication to a minimum.		

### C. Additional Comments on Part II: (use line reference # or letter as appropriate)

#	Intervenor's Comment	CPUC Discussion

### PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION (to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

 $^2$  The Office of Ratepayer Advocates was renamed the Public Advocate's Office of the Public Utilities Commission pursuant to Senate Bill No. 854, which the Governor approved on June 27, 2018.

## A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§ 1801 and § 1806):

	<b>CPUC Discussion</b>
a. Intervenor's claim of cost reasonableness:	
SBUA intervened in this proceeding to protect and advance the interests of small businesses. The Commission approved the multi-party Settlement Agreement and endorsed the SBUA-PG&E Memorandum of Understanding, which included numerous provisions (as discussed above) that benefit small businesses.	
SBUA participated in this proceeding by obtaining party status, attending the prehearing conference, extensively negotiating with PG&E (and other parties), submitting testimony, attending hearings, joining the settlement, submitting joint comments, and presenting oral argument at the November 12, 2020, Commission meeting pertaining to the SBUA's participation, and SBUA's contribution was explicitly recognized in the Decision.	
SBUA's compensation request seeks an award of \$73,018.75 for approximately 200 hours of work performed pertaining to the 2020 GRC approved in this Decision. This is a reasonable request for fees in light of the benefits achieved by SBUA's advocacy. The SBUA-PG&E MOU and Settlement Agreement reach a reasonable compromise among PG&E, SBUA, and the other settling parties regarding small businesses and CSO closures. As a result of SBUA's work PG&E will among other things: ensure revenue expenditures of approximately \$20 million over the course of the 2020 GRC with commitments to outreach and engage with small business customers; maintain a new small business organization dedicated to assisting small businesses in PG&E's territory; enhance a new webpage with improved support for small businesses; promote new energy technologies and report on the need for additional DER-related funding in the 2023 GRC; and dedicate resources to create contracting opportunities for small businesses. The adoption of the MOU will benefit and protect an important customer class and is in the public interest.  For these reasons, the Commission should find that SBUA's efforts have been valuable and the request for fees reasonable.	
b. Reasonableness of hours claimed:	
The hours claimed are reasonable in the context of the importance of this multibillion-dollar GRC proceeding impacting millions of PG&E customers. SBUA participated efficiently, narrowly and successfully to address the needs of an underrepresented class. The hours requested above are reasonable in the context of the level of effort required to participate in a general rate case and reach a settlement. The time spent in settlement/MOU discussions was ultimately more beneficial than	

protracted litigation engagement, which would have entailed significantly more hours.	
c. Allocation of hours by issue:	
SBUA has assigned the following issue codes:	
1. Comprehensive Settlement & MOU Adoption (58.9 hours or 30% of time)	
2. Customer Service & Outreach (38.5 hours or 19% of time)	
3. Promoting Contracting Opportunities for Small Businesses (45.8 hours or 23% of time)	
4. Other Issues (CSO closures, resiliency zones, meet and future confer	
obligations) (42.6 hours or 21% of time)	
5. General (coordination, procedural issues) (13.7 hours or 7% of time)	
The categories above are designed to capture SBUA's main areas of advocacy and contributions to the Decision.	

## B. Specific Claim:\*

CLAIMED						CPUC A	WARD	
	ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCATE FEES							
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Ivan R. Jimenez	2018	1.3	\$185	D.20-06- 013	\$240.50			
Ivan R. Jimenez	2019	37.6	\$245	D.20-06- 013	\$9,212.00			
Ariel Strauss	2019	18.1	\$375	D.20-04- 023	\$6,787.50			
Ariel Strauss	2020	10.9	\$405	As above; increased by a 5% step increase plus a 2.55% Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) per Res. ALJ-387; see	\$4,414.50			

				Comment #1 below				
James Birkelund	2019	45.2	\$495	D.20-02- 061	\$22,374.00			
James Birkelund	2020	20.3	\$510	As above, escalated by a 2.55% COLA per Res. ALJ-387	\$10,353.00			
Michael Brown	2018	27.1	\$215	D.18-11-027	\$5,826.50			
Michael Brown	2019	36.5	\$220	D.18-11-027 plus application of Res. ALJ- 357 2.35% Cost of Living Adjustment for 2019.	\$8,030.00			
Michael Brown	2020	2.5	\$225	As above, escalated by a 2.55% COLA per Res. ALJ- 387	\$562.50			
		•		Subtotal	!: \$67,800.50			Subtotal: \$
Describ	e here v	what OT	HER HO	OTHEI DURLY FEES	R FEES S you are Clain	ning (nar	alegal, trav	vel **. etc.):
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate	Total \$
Michael Brown	2018	12.1	\$107.5	Travel time at 50% of 2018 Rate	\$1,300.75			
[Person 2]								
					al: \$1,300.75			Subtotal: \$
	IN	TERVE	NOR CO	MPENSATIO	ON CLAIM PI	REPARA	TION **	
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate	Total \$

Ivan Jim	n enez	2019	2.2	\$127.5	50% of 2020 Rate	\$280.50		
Jam Birl	ies kelund	2019	2.6	\$247.5	50% of 2020 Rate	\$643.50		
Jam Birl	ies kelund	2020	7	\$255	50% of 2020 Rate	\$1,785.00		
Ario Stra		2020	3.9	\$202.5	50% of 2020 Rate	\$789.75		
	Subtotal:			al: \$3,498.75		Subtotal: \$		
					CO	STS		
#	Iter	n		Deta	il	Amount	Amo	unt
1	1 Travel Costs for Expert		Reimbursement for 750 vehicle miles travelled (at 54.5 cents per mile) and \$10 BART travel		\$418.75			
	Subtotal: \$418.75					Subtotal: \$		

<sup>\*</sup>We remind all intervenors that Commission staff may audit the records and books of the intervenors to the extent necessary to verify the basis for the award (§1804(d)). Intervenors must make and retain adequate accounting and other documentation to support all claims for intervenor compensation. Intervenor's records should identify specific issues for which it seeks compensation, the actual time spent by each employee or consultant, the applicable hourly rates, fees paid to consultants and any other costs for which compensation was claimed. The records pertaining to an award of compensation shall be retained for at least three years from the date of the final decision making the award.

**TOTAL REQUEST: \$73,018.75** 

TOTAL AWARD: \$

<sup>\*\*</sup>Travel and Reasonable Claim preparation time are typically compensated at  $\frac{1}{2}$  of preparer's normal hourly rate

ATTORNEY INFORMATION								
Attorney	Date Admitted to CA BAR <sup>3</sup>	Member Number	Actions Affecting Eligibility (Yes/No?)  If "Yes", attach explanation					
Ivan R. Jimenez	December 2016	313644	No					
Ariel S. Strauss	March 2012	282230	No					
James M. Birkelund	March 2000	206328	No					

#### C. Attachments Documenting Specific Claim and Comments on Part III:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This information may be obtained through the State Bar of California's website at <a href="http://members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/MemberSearch/QuickSearch">http://members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/MemberSearch/QuickSearch</a> .

#### (Intervenor completes; attachments not attached to final Decision)

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
Comment 1	2020 Rate for Attorney Ariel S. Strauss  Mr. Strauss' rate in D.18-07-036 was set at \$375 per hour. In addition, we are
	asking for a 5% step increase for Mr. Strauss, resulting in a 2020 rate in this case of \$405 per hour (375*1.05*1.0255, rounded to the nearest five, per D.13-05-009). Resolutions ALJ-387 states: "It is reasonable to allow individuals an annual 'step increase' of 5%, twice within each experience level and capped at the maximum rate for that level, as authorized by D.07-01-009." Mr. Strauss who is in the 8-12 years of experience bracket has not yet received any step increases in this experience level. In addition, Resolution ALJ-387 approves a COLA of 2.55% for 2020.
Attachment 1	Certificate of Service
Attachment 2	Time Sheet Records with Allocation of Hours by Issue for D.20-12-005
Attachment 3	Costs of Expert

### D. CPUC Comments, Disallowances, and Adjustments (CPUC completes)

Item	Reason

#### PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS

Within 30 days after service of this Claim, Commission Staff or any other party may file a response to the Claim (see § 1804(c))

A. Opposition:	Did any party oppose the Claim?	
If so:		

Party	Reason for Opposition	<b>CPUC Discussion</b>

Ku	le 14.6(c		
I	Party	Comment	CPUC Discussion
	J		
		(Green items to be completed by Intervenor)	
		FINDINGS OF FACT	
1.		L BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES [has/has not] made a sution to D.20-12-005	substantial
2.	represe	ntatives [, as adjusted herein,] are comparable to market rates payocates having comparable training and experience and offering s.	id to experts
3.		imed costs and expenses [, as adjusted herein,] are reasonable arnsurate with the work performed.	nd
4.	The tot	al of reasonable compensation is \$	
		CONCLUSION OF LAW	
		m, with any adjustment set forth above, [satisfies/fails to satisfy] ents of Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812.	all
		<u>ORDER</u>	
1.	SMAL	L BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES shall be awarded \$	·
2.	BUSIN "Within BUSIN on thei the ^ ca litigate electric	30 days of the effective date of this decision, shall pay SI [ESS UTILITY ADVOCATES] the total award. [for multiple ut a 30 days of the effective date of this decision, ^, ^, and ^ shall pay IESS UTILITY ADVOCATES their respective shares of the award California-jurisdictional [industry type, for example, electric] realendar year, to reflect the year in which the proceeding was printed. If such data is unavailable, the most recent [industry type, for ] revenue data shall be used."] Payment of the award shall included interest at the rate earned on prime, three-month non-financial	ilities: ay SMALL vard, based evenues for narily example, ide

commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning [date], the 75<sup>th</sup> day after the filing of **SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES**'s request, and continuing until full payment is made.

- 3. The comment period for today's decision [is/is not] waived.
- 4. This decision is effective today.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_\_, at San Francisco, California.

### **APPENDIX**

## Compensation Decision Summary Information

Compensation Decision:	Modifies Decision?
Contribution Decision(s):	D.20-12-005
Proceeding(s):	A.18-12-009
Author:	
Payer(s):	

## Intervenor Information

Intervenor	Date Claim	Amount	Amount	Multiplier?	Reason
	Filed	Requested	Awarded		Change/Disallowance
SMALL	February 9,	\$73,018.75		N/A	
BUSINESS	2021				
UTILITY					
ADVOCATES					

## Hourly Fee Information

First Name	Last Name	Attorney, Expert,	Hourly Fee	Year Hourly	Hourly Fee
		or Advocate	Requested	Fee Requested	Adopted
Ivan	Jimenez	Attorney	\$245	2019	
Ariel	Strauss	Attorney	\$375	2019	
Ariel	Strauss	Attorney	\$405	2020	
James	Birkelund	Attorney	\$495	2019	
James	Birkelund	Attorney	\$510	2020	
Michael	Brown	Expert	\$215	2018	
Michael	Brown	Expert	\$220	2019	
Michael	Brown	Expert	\$225	2020	

## (END OF APPENDIX)