

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Authority, Among Other Things, to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2017. (U39M)

Application 15-09-001 (Filed September 1, 2015)

COMMENTS OF OFFICE OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES, THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK, ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY, CENTER FOR ACCESSIBLE TECHNOLOGY, COALITION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY EMPLOYEES, CONSUMER FEDERATION OF CALIFORNIA FOUNDATION, ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND, MARIN CLEAN ENERGY, MERCED IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NATIONAL DIVERSITY COALITION, SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES, SOUTH SAN JOAQUIN IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AND PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ON PROPOSED DECISION

LAURA J. TUDISCO STEVEN W. FRANK MARY A. GANDESBERY

 505 Van Ness Avenue
 P. O. Box 7442

 San Francisco, CA 94102
 San Francisco, CA 94120

 Telephone:
 (415) 703-2164

 Telephone:
 (415) 973-6976

Email: ljt@cpuc.ca.gov Email: steven.frank@pge.com

Attorney for Attorneys for

OFFICE OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES

COMMISSION

Dated: August 20, 2018

ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON NEXT PAGES

ADDITIONAL COUNSEL

HAYLEY GOODSON

785 Market St., Ste. 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Telephone: (415)929-8876 Email: hayley@turn.org

Attorney for

THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

MELISSA W. KASNITZ

3075 Adeline St., Ste. 220

Berkeley, CA 94703

Telephone: (510) 841-3224, ext. 2019

Email: service@cforat.org

Attorney for

CENTER FOR ACCESSIBLE TECHNOLOGY

WILLIAM R. NUSBAUM

1107 9th Street #901 Sacramento, CA 95814

Telephone: (415) 317-2023

Email: <u>billnusbaum13@gmail.com</u>

Attorney for

CONSUMER FEDERATION OF CALIFORNIA

FOUNDATION

NATHANIEL MALCOLM

1125 Tamalpais Avenue San Rafael, CA 94901

Telephone: (415) 464-6048

Email: nmalcolm@mcecleanenergy.org

Policy Counsel

MARIN CLEAN ENERGY

ANN L. TROWBRIDGE

Day, Carter & Murphy LLP

3620 American River Dr., Ste. 205

Sacramento, CA 95864 Telephone: (916) 246-7303

Email: <u>atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com</u>

Attorney for

MODEŠTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT

ALVIN S. PAK

Law Office of Alvin S. Pak

827 Jensen Court Encinitas, CA 92024

Telephone: (619) 209-1865 Email: apak@alpaklaw.com

Attorney

ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY

MARC JOSEPH

Adams, Broadwell, Joseph & Cardozo

601 Gateway Blvd., Ste. 1000 South San Francisco, CA 94080 Telephone: (650) 589-1660

Email: mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com

Attorney for

COALITION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY

EMPLOYEES

TIMOTHY O'CONNOR

123 Mission St., 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 293-6154

Email: <u>ajohnson@edf.org</u>

Attorney for

ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND

ANN L. TROWBRIDGE

Day, Carter & Murphy LLP

3620 American River Dr., Ste. 205

Sacramento, CA 95864

Telephone: (916) 246-7303

Email: atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com

Attorney for

MERCED IRRIGATION DISTRICT

TADASHI GONDAI

15 Southgate Ave., Ste. 200

Daly City, CA 94015

Telephone: (650) 952-0522 Email: tgondai@naac.org

Attorney for

NATIONAL DIVERSITY COALITION

ADDITIONAL COUNSEL

JAMES BIRKELUND 548 Market St., Ste. 11200 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 605-6223

Email: james@utilityadvocates.org

Attorney for

SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES

VIDHYA PRABHAKARAN Davis, Wright, & Tremaine LLP 505 Montgomery St. Ste 800 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415)276-6568

Email: vidhyaprabhakaran@dwt.com

Attorney for

SOUTH SAN JOAQUIN IRRIGATION

DISTRICT

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Authority, Among Other Things, to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2017. (U39M) Application 15-09-001 (Filed September 1, 2015)

COMMENTS OF OFFICE OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES, THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK, ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY, CENTER FOR ACCESSIBLE TECHNOLOGY. COALITION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY EMPLOYEES, CONSUMER FEDERATION OF CALIFORNIA FOUNDATION, ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND, MARIN CLEAN ENERGY, MERCED IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NATIONAL DIVERSITY COALITION, SMALL BUSINESS UTILITY ADVOCATES, SOUTH SAN JOAQUIN IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AND PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ON PROPOSED DECISION

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Rule 14.3 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission), the Settling Parties (defined below) hereby provide comments on the Proposed Decision Granting Intervenor Compensation to Scott J. Rafferty for Substantial Contribution to Decision 17-05-013.

The Settling Parties consist of those parties joining the August 3, 2016 settlement (Settlement) filed in this matter, with the exception of Collaborative Approaches to Utility Safety Enforcement (CAUSE). The Settling Parties are:

- Office of Ratepayer Advocates:
- The Utility Reform Network;
- Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility;

^{1/} CAUSE has been consulted in the development of these comments and has reviewed this filing. CAUSE has not joined this filing in order to submit separate comments on the Proposed Decision.

- Center for Accessible Technology;
- Coalition of California Utility Employees;
- Consumer Federation of California Foundation;
- Environmental Defense Fund;
- Marin Clean Energy;
- Merced Irrigation District;
- Modesto Irrigation District;
- National Diversity Coalition;
- Small Business Utility Advocates;
- South San Joaquin Irrigation District; and
- Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

In brief, the Settling Parties identify in these comments the result of Mr. Rafferty's contributions to the Settlement. The identification of these contributions is something Mr. Rafferty was prohibited from doing on his own due to Rule 12.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

II. DISCUSSION

A. The Settling Parties Appreciate Mr. Rafferty's Discretion in Previously Not Providing Details Regarding the Extent of His Settlement Contributions

The Settling Parties appreciate Mr. Rafferty's discretion in not previously providing details regarding the extent of his Settlement contributions. Mr. Rafferty's discretion was exercised in respect of Rule 12.6. Rule 12.6 provides:

No discussion, admission, concession or offer to settle, whether oral or written, made during any negotiation on a settlement shall be subject to discovery, or admissible in any evidentiary hearing against any participant who objects to its admission. Participating parties and their representatives shall hold such discussions, admissions, concessions, and offers to settle confidential and shall not disclose them outside the negotiations without the consent of the parties participating in the negotiations. (Emphasis added.)

In light of the underlined restriction on disclosure, Mr. Rafferty provided little detail in his intervenor compensation request regarding the extent of his contributions to the Settlement. (Proposed Decision, p. 18.)

B. The Settling Parties Consent to Disclose Mr. Rafferty's Meaningful Contributions to Several Sections of the Settlement

The Settling Parties understand that the confidentiality protections in Rule 12.6 can make it challenging for the Commission to evaluate an intervenor's contributions to a settlement. This can be

particularly challenging where, as here, there is no clear nexus between an intervenor's proposals in testimony and the contents of a settlement agreement. (Proposed Decision, p. 19.) In light of these challenges, the Settling Parties hereby waive confidentiality under Rule 12.6 for the limited and sole purpose of identifying the provisions of the Settlement to which Mr. Rafferty provided a meaningful contribution.

The non-contested provisions of the Settlement to which Mr. Rafferty provided a meaningful contribution are:

- <u>Section 3.1.1.4 Exogenous Changes</u>: This provision clarifies the Commission precedent that would be followed for the use of the Z-factor mechanism, an area where Mr. Rafferty contributed legal and policy insights, clarifications and language revisions.
- <u>Section 3.2.8.6 Safety</u>: This provision recognizes the importance of input on safety issues from field personnel that was a key component of the "bubble up" concept promoted by Mr. Rafferty in his opening testimony and an area addressed by the settlement due to his participation in the proceeding and settlement discussions.
- <u>Section 3.2.8.9 Disclosure of Safety Metrics</u>: This provision itemizes a variety of safety metrics and ensures that PG&E will make a good faith effort to provide non-confidential data, topics raised by Mr. Rafferty's testimony and addressed by the settlement due to his participation in the proceeding and settlement discussions.
- <u>Section 3.2.8.10 Safety Standards and Benchmarking</u>: This provision addresses the use of "voluntary consensus standards" that were promoted by Mr. Rafferty in his opening testimony and an area addressed by the settlement due to his participation in the proceeding and settlement discussions.
- <u>Article 5 (General Provisions)</u>: These provisions were substantially edited by Mr. Rafferty. Finally, Mr. Rafferty provided extensive edits over the entirety of the Settlement.

III. CONCLUSION

In light of the challenges discussed above, the Settling Parties hereby waive confidentiality under Rule 12.6 for the limited and sole purpose of identifying the provisions of the Settlement to which Mr. Rafferty provided a meaningful contribution.

Pursuant to Commission Rule 1.8(d), counsel or representatives for the Settling Parties have authorized PG&E to submit these comments on their behalf.

/// /// ///

Respectfully Submitted,

STEVEN W. FRANK MARY A. GANDESBERY

By: /s/Steven W. Frank
STEVEN W. FRANK

Pacific Gas and Electric Company P.O. Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120

Telephone: (415) 973-6976 Facsimile: (415) 973-0520

E-Mail: steven.frank@pge.com

Attorney for PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Dated: August 20, 2018