

FORM A: BLANK NOTICE OF INTENT TO CLAIM INTERVENOR COMPENSA

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALPFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric	A.18-12-009
Company for Authority, Among Other Things,	(Filed December 13, 2018)
to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and	
Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2020.	
(U39M)	

NOTICE OF INTENT TO CLAIM INTERVENOR COMPENSATION AND, IF REQUESTED (and [1] checked), ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING ON CENTER FOR ACCESSIBLE TECHNOLOGY'S SHOWING OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

NOTE: AFTER ELECTRONICALLY FILING A PDF COPY OF THIS NOTICE OF INTENT, PLEASE EMAIL THE DOCUMENT IN AN MS WORD FORMAT TO THE INTERVENOR COMPENSATION PROGRAM COORDINATOR AT lcompcoordinator@cpuc.ca.gov.

Customer or Eligible Local Government Entity (party intending to claim intervenor compensation): Center for Accessible Technology (CforAT)		
Assigned Commissioner: Mi		Administrative Law Judge: Elaine Lau, Rafael L. Lirag
I hereby certify that the informatis true to my best knowledge, in		orth in Parts I, II, III and IV of this Notice of Intent elief.
	Signature:	/s/ Melissa W. Kasnitz
Date: March 11, 2019	Printed Name:	Melissa W. Kasnitz

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES (To be completed by the party intending to claim intervenor compensation)

A. Status as "customer" (see Pub.	Util. Code § 1802(b)) ²	The party claims	Applies
"customer" status because the party	is (check one):		(check)

_

¹ DO NOT CHECK THIS BOX if a finding of significant financial hardship is not needed (in cases where there is a valid rebuttable presumption of eligibility (Part III(A)(3)) or significant financial hardship showing has been deferred to the intervenor compensation claim).

² All statutory references are to California Public Utilities Code unless indicated otherwise.

1. A Category 1 customer is an actual customer whose self-interest in the proceeding arises primarily from his/her role as a customer of the utility and, at the same time, the customer must represent the broader interests of at least some other customers. See, for example, D.08-07-019 at 5-10).	
2. A Category 2 customer is a representative who has been authorized by actual customers to represent them. Category 2 involves a more formal arrangement where a customer or a group of customers selects a more skilled person to represent the customer's views in a proceeding. A customer or group of customers may also form or authorize a group to represent them, and the group, in turn, may authorize a representative such as an attorney to represent the group.	
3. A Category 3 customer is a formally organized group authorized, by its articles of incorporation or bylaws to represent the interests of residential customers or small commercial customers receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation (§1802(b)(1)(C)). Certain environmental groups that represent residential customers with concerns for the environment may also qualify as Category 3 customers, even if the above requirement is not specifically met in the articles or bylaws. See D.98-04-059, footnote at 30.	V
4. The party's detailed explanation of the selected customer category. The party's explanation of its status as a Category 1 customer. A party seeking status as a Category 1 customer must describe the party's own interest in the proceeding and show how the customer's participation goes beyond just his/her own self-interest and will benefit other customers. Supporting documents must include a copy of the utility's bill. The party's explanation of its status as a Category 2 customer. A party seeking status as a Category 2 customer must identify the residential customer(s) being represented and provide authorization from at least one customer. The party's explanation of its status as a Category 3 customer. If the party represents residential and small commercial customers receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation, it must include in the Notice of Intent either the percentage of group members that are residential ratepayers or the percentage of the members who are receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation. Supporting documentation for this customer category must include current copies of the articles of incorporation or bylaws. If current copies of the articles and bylaws have already been filed with the Commission, only a specific reference (the proceeding's docket number and the date of filing) to such filings needs to be made. The Center for Accessible Technology (CforAT) is an organization that is	
authorized by its bylaws to represent the interests of residential customers with disabilities before the Commission; specifically, our bylaws state at	

Article 2.1(d) that CforAT is "involved in advocacy initiatives to enhance the lives of the disability community, including ways to improve access to technology and increase the ability of people with disabilities to live independently. In particular, CforAT is authorized and urged to actively participate and intervene before government entities, including but not limited to the California Public Utilities Commission, on all matters that it deems appropriate that will affect directly or indirectly the interests of residential customers with disabilities, ratepayers with disabilities, small businesses owned by people with disabilities, including customers who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation." CforAT is not a membership organization. A copy of CforAT's bylaws were submitted with our NOI in A.10-03-014, which was filed on August 29, 2011. No relevant changes have been made since that time. An additional copy can be provided upon request.	
Do you have any direct economic interest in outcomes of the proceeding? ³ If "Yes", explain:	□Yes ☑ No
B. Conflict of Interest (§ 1802.3)	Check
1. Is the customer a representative of a group representing the interests of small commercial customers who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation? ? [Among other interests, CforAT represents small businesses owned by people with disabilities]	⊠Yes □ No
2. If the answer to the above question is "Yes", does the customer have a conflict arising from prior representation before the Commission?	□Yes ☑No
C. Status as an Eligible Local Government Entity (§§1802(d), 1802.4, 1803.1)	
The party claims "eligible local government entity" status because the party is a city, county, or city and county that is not a publicly owned public utility that intervenes or participates in a Commission proceeding for the purpose of protecting the health and safety of the residents within the entity's jurisdiction following a catastrophic material coss suffered by its residents either in significant damage to infrastructure or loss of life and property, or both, as a direct result of public utility infrastructure.	□Yes ☑ No
The party's explanation of its status as an eligible local government entity must include a description of (1) The relevant triggering catastrophic event; (2) The impacts of the triggering catastrophic event on the residents within the entity's jurisdiction as a result of public utility infrastructure; and (3) The entity's reason(s) to participate in this proceeding.	

³ See Rule 17.1(e).

D. Timely Filing of Notice of Intent to Claim Intervenor Compensation (NOI) (§	
1804(a)(1)): 1. Is the party's NOI filed within 30 days after a Prehearing Conference? Date of Prehearing Conference: 2/11/2019	✓Yes □No
2. Is the party's NOI filed at another time (for example, because no Prehearing Conference was held, the proceeding will take less than 30 days, the schedule not reasonably allow parties to identify issues within the timeframe normally permitted, or new issues have emerged)?	
2a. The party's description of the reasons for filing its NOI at this other	er time:
2b. The party's information on the proceeding number, date, and decision nu Commission decision, Commissioner ruling, Administrative Law Judge's rul document authorizing the filing of NOI at that other time:	

PART II: SCOPE OF ANTICIPATED PARTICIPATION (To be completed by the party intending to claim intervenor compensation)

A. Planned Participation (§ 1804(a)(2)(A)):

The party's statement of the issues on which it plans to participate:

In advance of this Application, CforAT negotiated a Memorandum of Understanding with PG&E to address issues of disability access to the facilities, services and programs provided by PG&E to its customers and the public, consistent with prior agreements addressing similar issues. CforAT's primary focus in this proceeding will be to support adoption of the MOU (see Issue 3.b in the Scoping Memo issued on March 8, 2019). Additionally, CforAT spends a modest amount of time each year overseeing the implementation of a similar MOU that was adopted in conjunction with PG&E's 2017 GRC.

In addition to this work on disability access issues, CforAT may address a limited set of other issues identified in the Scoping Memo that have particular relevance to our constituency of utility customers with disabilities, including PG&E's proposal to close 17 identified customer service offices (Issue 3.d in the Scoping Memo) and the impact of proposed rate increases on disconnections for non-payment (Issue 3.e in the Scoping Memo).

The party's explanation of how it plans to avoid duplication of effort with other parties:

CforAT will avoid duplication by maintaining a narrow focus on the issues of particular interest to our constituency, as described above. To the extent that other parties are also addressing these issues, CforAT will coordinate with those parties.

The party's description of the nature and extent of the party's planned participation in this proceeding (to the extent that it is possible to describe on the date this NOI is filed). CforAT will participate as needed to support adoption of the proposed MOU. This may include participation at hearing and/or in settlement discussions. In past proceeding where

similar MOUs have been put forward and adopted, CforAT's participation has been specifically requested in such efforts.

On other identified issues, CforAT may put forward limited testimony and/or engage in settlement discussions where such issues are being addressed. If needed, CforAT may also participate in briefing and/or comments on a limited scale to address the targeted issues.

B. The party's itemized estimate of the compensation that the party expects to request, based on the anticipated duration of the proceeding (\S 1804(a)(2)(A)):

Item	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$	#		
ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCATE FEES						
Melissa W. Kasnitz 60 \$490 \$29,400						
Kate Woodford	20	\$155	\$3,100			
	Subtotal: \$32,500					
	OTHER FEES					
[Person 1]						
[Person 2]						
Subtotal: \$						
	COSTS					

Subtotal: \$500

\$500

TOTAL ESTIMATE: \$33,000

Estimated Budget by Issues:

General office expenses (postage,

Disability Access: 60%

Local Offices: 15%

copying, etc.) [Item 2]

Disconnections for Non-Payment: 15%

General Participation: 10%

When entering items, type over bracketed text; add additional rows to table as necessary. Estimate may (but does not need to) include estimated Claim preparation time. Claim preparation time is typically compensated at ½ professional hourly rate.

PART III: SHOWING OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP (To be completed by party intending to claim intervenor compensation; see Instructions for options for providing this information)

A. The party claims that participation or intervention in this proceeding	Applies
without an award of fees or costs imposes a significant financial hardship, on	(check)
the following basis:	

1. The customer cannot afford, without undue hardship, to pay the costs of effective	
participation, including advocate's fees, expert witness fees, and other reasonable costs of participation. (§ 1802(h))	
2. In the case of a group or organization, the economic interest of the Individual	
members of the group or organization is small in comparison to the costs of effective participation in the proceeding. (§ 1802(h))	
3. The eligible local government entities' participation or intervention without an award	
of fees or costs imposes a significant financial hardship. (§ 1803.1(b).)	
4. A § 1802(h) or § 1803.1(b) finding of significant financial hardship in another	\checkmark
proceeding, made within one year prior to the commencement of this proceeding, created	
a rebuttable presumption in this proceeding (§ 1804(b)(1)).	
Commission's finding of significant financial hardship made in proceeding	
number:	
R.18-03-011	
Date of Administrative Law Judge's Ruling (or CPUC Decision) in which the finding of significant financial hardship was made:	
1/10/19 (Ruling)	
B. The party's explanation of the factual basis for its claim of "significant financial	al
hardship" (§ 1802(h) or § 1803.1(b)) (necessary documentation, if warranted, is attached to the NOI:	

PART IV: ATTACHMENTS DOCUMENTING SPECIFIC ASSERTIONS MADE IN THIS NOTICE

(The party intending to claim intervenor compensation identifies and attaches documents; add rows as necessary)

Attachment No.	Description	
1	Certificate of Service	

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RULING⁴ (Administrative Law Judge completes)

Check all
that apply

⁴ A Ruling needs not be issued unless: (a) the NOI is deficient; (b) the Administrative Law Judge desires to address specific issues raised by the NOI (to point out similar positions, areas of potential duplication in showings,

1. The Notice of Intent (NOI) is rejected for the following reasons:	
a. The NOI has not demonstrated the party's status as a "customer" or an	
"eligible local government entity" for the following reason(s):	
b. The NOI has not demonstrated that the NOI was timely filed (Part I(B)) for the following reason(s):	
the lone wing reason(s).	
c. The NOI has not adequately described the scope of anticipated participation	
(Part II, above) for the following reason(s):	
2. The NOI has demonstrated significant financial hardship for the reasons set	
forth in Part III of the NOI (above). 3. The NOI has not demonstrated significant financial hardship for the	
following reason(s):	
following reason(s).	
4. The Administrative Law Judge provides the following additional	
guidance (see § 1804(b)(2)):	
IT IS RULED that:	
1. The Notice of Intent is rejected.	
2. The customer or eligible local government entity has satisfied the eligibility requirements of Pub. Util. Code § 1804(a).	
3. The customer or eligible local government entity has shown significant financial hardship.	
4. The customer or eligible local government entity is preliminarily determined to	
be eligible for intervenor compensation in this proceeding. However, a finding of significant financial hardship in no way ensures compensation.	
5. Additional guidance is provided to the customer or eligible local government	П
entity as set forth above.	
Dated, at San Francisco, California.	
Administrative Law Judg	ge

unrealistic expectations for compensation, or other matters that may affect the customer or eligible local government entity's Intervenor Compensation Claim); or (c) the NOI has included a claim of "significant financial hardship" that requires a finding under § 1802(h).