## Hamzat-ul Wasl

- The English name given to a *Hamzat-ul Wasl* is 'the connecting *Hamzah*'.
- It is a small sign resembling the letter  $\underline{s}aad$  ( $\sim$ ) positioned only above an  $alif \hat{l}$ .
- An with a *hamzat-ul wasl* sitting above it that looks like this  $\tilde{I}$  is **NOT** to be pronounced at all, irrespective of where the *alif* is situated in a word.
- The only time it is read is when it appears at the very beginning of a verse, i.e. the very first letter of a verse.



If the  $\hat{l}$  appears at the beginning of a verse or sentence, is it read as an:  $\hat{l}$  or  $\hat{l}$ ?

**1.** If the  $\hat{l}$  is followed by  $\perp laam$ , the  $\hat{l}$  is pronounced as  $\hat{l}$ .

Written	Read	English Transliteration
ٱلَّذِي	أُلَّذِي	alla <u>dh</u> y

**2.** If the first vowel that appears after  $\hat{l}$  (i.e. on the 3rd letter) is a fat-hah or a kasrah, the  $\hat{l}$  is pronounced as  $\hat{l}$ 

Written	Read	English Transliteration
آذُهُبُ	ٳۮ۠ۿٮؚٛ	i <u>dh</u> -hab
آرْجِعُواْ	﴿ إِرْجِعُواْ	irji'oo

**3.** If the first vowel that appears after the  $\tilde{l}$  is a <u>dammah</u>, the  $\tilde{l}$  is pronounced as  $\hat{l}$ .

	Written	Read	English Transliteration
¥	آدْخُلُواْ	أُدْخُلُواْ	ud <u>kh</u> uloo



Whenever a word commences with an *alif* that has *hamzat-ul wa<u>sl</u>* above it followed by a *laam-*<sup>1</sup>, it **MUST** be merged with the previous word, sounding like one word.

If there is a *sukoon* on the *laam*, then the previous word is to be merged with the *laam*, ignoring the *alif* that has a *hamzat-ul wa<u>s</u>l*.

If there is no *sukoon* on the *laam*, then the previous word is to be merged with the letter directly after the *laam*. Therefore both the *alif* with *hamzat-ul wasl* and the *laam* are **NOT** to be read.