

SIMS: Social Inference of Microbiome Samples

By Tomer Oron & Yuval Dotan

i.d 322549312, 318505120

The research was performed in the School of Computer Science, Faculty of Exact Sciences

Under the supervision of Mr. Omri Peleg & Yadid Algavi

October 2024

Introduction

The gut microbiome plays a critical role in shaping the health, behavior, and evolutionary dynamics of animals {}. In social species, such as baboons, the composition of gut microbiota is influenced not only by individual factors like diet, genetics, and age, but also by social interactions and environmental conditions {Tung et al.}. Social inference—understanding microbiome composition through social dynamics—has emerged as a promising approach in recent studies of social species, revealing that individuals within the same group can share microbial communities {}. The Amboseli baboon population1, which has been the subject of long-term ecological and behavioral research, presents a promising model to further explore these influences.

In this study, we aim to predict the gut microbiome composition of individual baboons by using two key sources of data: the relative abundance profiles of microbial taxa from each baboon's gut and those of their social group. Using data on the Amboseli baboon population collected between 2000 and 2013 2, we assess how well the microbiome of an individual baboon can be predicted through a combination of their own microbiome samples and the shared microbial environment within their group.

Methods

Data exploration

For this work, we utilized data collected from the Amboseli baboon population during the period of 2000-2013. This dataset includes microbiome profiles of the gut microbiome, based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing3. The profiles were constructed using fecal samples collected opportunistically. Each sample is accompanied by metadata detailing the environmental conditions at the time of defecation, as well as information about the baboon from which the sample was taken.

**The metadata** for each sample encompasses several essential elements. Temporal information includes the collection date, month, and hydrological year. At the individual level, the data specifies the baboon's unique identifier (*baboon\_id*), age at the time of collection, and sex. Social group data provides details on the baboon’s social group affiliation during sampling, the group's size, and diet composition, which is assessed using 30-day sliding windows and Principal Component Analysis (PCA)4. Environmental context is also included, covering the season (dry or wet) and the amount of rainfall during the collection month.

Analysis of the training data reveals that each baboon has an average of 76.2 samples **(Fig 1a)**. The median time difference between consecutive samples from the same baboon is 22 days, with 282 samples having a preceding sample collected more than 180 days earlier **(Fig 1b).** Although the wet season spans 42% of the year (November to May), 61% of the samples were collected during this period **(Fig 1c)**.

**For each sample**, there is a measurement of the abundance rates of the 61 most prevalent genera across all microbiome samples. Three analyses were conducted regarding samples from same baboon, first we compared the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity score between samples collected within the same date **(fig 1c)**, secondly, we calculated the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity score between sample and the mean of the predeceasing samples **(fig 1d)**, and lastly, we calculated the score between every two concurrent samples **(fig 1e)**. These results suggest the predictive power of using the mean of previous samples, and using the last sample, while also providing us with upper bound to the prediction accuracy one could expect.

First Model

Building on our analysis, three key requirements were considered when constructing the model. 1. The model should use both last sample and the mean of previous samples. 2. As the time difference between two consecutive samples increases, the influence of the last sample should decrease. 3. The model should present seasonality effect.


Were is the sample to predict. The function represents seasonal effect, and the exponent reduces the effect of the last sample as the time difference increases. and are matrices representing the effect of each genus of bacteria. These parameters are learned for each baboon individually. is a global parameter, representing the weight of the reduce through time effect.

Using the training set, we trained the model's parameters through iterative optimization using "L-B

The SIMS Model

All presented a right-tailed bell curve distribution, with a mean scores of 0.203 0.364 and a median of 0.351, suggesting the potential for predictions based on previous samples. Examine of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity score between sample and the previous sample,

A calculation of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity score over 102 samples taken on the same day from the same baboon presented a right-tailed bell curve distribution with a mean score of 0.203 and a median of 0.173 **(fig 1d)**. Since both samples share the same metadata, this distribution can be considered the expected limit for the accuracy of any prediction using our data.



**Figure 1. Analasys results of the train data**

**(a)**Histogram of number of samples collected per baboon in the train data. **(b)**Histogram of the time differences between the collection date of every 2 subsequent samples of the same baboon. **(c)**Pie chart of the distribution of samples' collection month. **(d)**Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) smoothed histogram of the Bray- Curtis dissimilarity score between every two samples collected on the same date from the same baboon. **(e)**KDE smoothed histogram of the Bray- Curtis dissimilarity score between sample and the mean of previous samples of the same baboon.

Results

Discussion

1. Add clustering of means

Bibliography

1. Alberts, S. C. & Altmann, J. The Amboseli Baboon Research Project: 40 Years of Continuity and Change. in *Long-Term Field Studies of Primates* (eds. Kappeler, P. M. & Watts, D. P.) 261–287 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012). doi:10.1007/978-3-642-22514-7\_12.

2. Björk, J. R. *et al.* Synchrony and idiosyncrasy in the gut microbiome of wild baboons. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **6**, 955–964 (2022).

3. Caporaso, J. G. *et al.* Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME J.* **6**, 1621–1624 (2012).

4. Hotelling, H. Analysis of a complex of statistical variables into principal components. *J. Educ. Psychol.* **24**, 417–441 (1933).